

ON THE FLOWS OF LINEAR VECTOR FIELDS

M. JOTZ

ABSTRACT. This note provides a detailed proof of the fact that a linear vector field on a vector bundle has a flow by vector bundle isomorphisms. It implies then easily the existence of global solutions to linear non-autonomous ODE's, with a standard time-dependent flow construction. As a further application, a simple proof of the smooth triviality of vector bundles over contractible bases is given. Finally, a detailed elementary proof of the isomorphy (as Lie algebras) of all fibers of the kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid is given.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Notation and conventions	2
Acknowledgement	2
2. Linear derivations versus linear vector fields on vector bundles	2
2.1. The tangent prolongation of a smooth vector bundle	2
2.2. Linear vector fields and linear derivations	4
3. On the flow of a linear vector field	7
4. Applications	11
4.1. Vector bundles over contractible manifolds are trivial	11
4.2. Flows of derivations on tensor products of vector bundles	12
4.3. The kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid	14
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

This note shows in detail that the flow of a linear vector field on a vector bundle is a flow by isomorphisms of the vector bundle. This fact is standard, see [Mac05, Proposition 3.4.2], but a precise statement like the following (see Theorem 3.1 below) and a detailed proof are not easy to find in the literature.

Theorem 1. Let $q: E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ be a linear vector field over a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of X on its open flow domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$ containing $\{0\} \times M$. Then the flow Φ of χ is defined on

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \{(t, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times E \mid (t, q(e)) \in \Omega\}$$

and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the map

$$\Phi_t: q^{-1}(M_t) \rightarrow q^{-1}(M_{-t})$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C99.

is an isomorphism of vector bundles over the diffeomorphism $\phi_t: M_t \rightarrow M_{-t}$, where $M_t \subseteq M$ is the open subset

$$M_t := \{x \in M \mid (t, x) \in \Omega\}.$$

This paper, which is extracted from lecture notes by the author, discusses this theorem and its proof for future reference, in particular because it is needed in [JM24] for the proof of the homotopy invariance of Lie algebroid cohomology. While this theorem can be deduced from the existence of global solutions to linear autonomous ordinary differential equations, this paper proves it only using standard results on flows of vector fields, and then deduce from it the existence of global solutions to linear autonomous ODE's with a linear time-dependent vector field construction.

The smooth triviality of vector bundles over contractible bases – a folklore fact that is also hard to find in the literature in the smooth setting – follows in a straightforward manner from Theorem 1, see Corollary 4.1 below and the discussion following it.

The linear flows of the duals of linear derivations and of tensor products of derivations are given as well. Finally, an elementary proof of the isomorphy (as Lie algebras) of all fibers of the kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid is given, using the latter results on linear flows of tensor products.

Notation and conventions. All manifolds and vector bundles in this note are smooth and real. Vector bundle projections are written $q_E: E \rightarrow M$ if not stated otherwise, except for $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$, which stands for the projection of the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold M . Given a section ε of E^* , the map $\ell_\varepsilon: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear function associated to it, i.e. the function defined by $e_m \mapsto \langle \varepsilon(m), e_m \rangle$ for all $e_m \in E$. The set of global sections of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(E)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ is the space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M .

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Rosa Marchesini for their stimulating collaboration on [JM24], which led her as well to the proof of Corollary 4.1, and for pointing out to her all the different references for Theorem 4.3.

2. LINEAR DERIVATIONS VERSUS LINEAR VECTOR FIELDS ON VECTOR BUNDLES

This section explains in detail the tangent prolongation of a vector bundle, defines linear vector fields on vector bundles and describes their equivalence with linear derivations.

2.1. The tangent prolongation of a smooth vector bundle. Consider a vector bundle $q := q_E: E \rightarrow M$. Then the tangent space of E is a vector bundle $TE \rightarrow E$, since E is a smooth manifold. Consider the smooth map $Tq: TE \rightarrow TM$. Take an open subset $U \subseteq M$ such that U is a chart domain for a chart φ of M and a trivialisng set for $E \rightarrow M$. Take a smooth frame (e_1, \dots, e_k) of $E|_U$ and build the dual frame $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ of $E^*|_U$. Consider the induced smooth chart $\tilde{\varphi}: q^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ of E defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i(x) \mapsto (\varphi(x), a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

for $x \in M$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and the induced coordinate functions $q^* \varphi_1, \dots, q^* \varphi_n, \ell_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \ell_{\sigma_k}$ on $E|_U$. Then via such a chart

$$T\tilde{\varphi}: T(q^{-1}(U)) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(\varphi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

and

$$Tq \circ \partial_i^{\tilde{\varphi}} = \partial_i^{\varphi} \circ q \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and } Tq \circ \partial_i^{\tilde{\varphi}} = 0 \text{ for } i = n+1, \dots, n+k$$

show that

$$(T\varphi \circ Tq \circ T\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x, u, v, w) = (x, u)$$

for all $(x, u, v, w) \in \varphi(U) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Hence Tq is a surjective submersion, since in adapted charts, it is just a projection on the first $2n$ coordinates. The chart representation of Tq above shows as well that each fiber of Tq is a vector space of dimension $2k$.

For each $T\varphi^{-1}(x, u) =: u_x \in T_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}M$, the map

$$T+: (Tq)^{-1}(u_x) \times (Tq)^{-1}(u_x) \rightarrow (Tq)^{-1}(u_x)$$

sends $T(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x, u, v, w)$ and $T(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x, u, v', w')$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x, v), \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x, v'))} + (T(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x, u, v, w), T(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})(x, u, v', w')) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x + tu, v + tw) + \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x + tu, v' + tw') \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x + tu, v + v' + t(w + w')) \\ &= T\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x, u, v + v', w + w'). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the canonical addition in the fibers of Tq given by the chart above coincides with $T+$ restricted to these fibers, and the trivialisation $\phi: q^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ gives further a trivialisation

$$T\phi: T(q^{-1}(U)) \xrightarrow{\sim} TU \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k,$$

which is an isomorphism of vector spaces in each fiber of Tq . This shows that $Tq: TE \rightarrow TM$ is a smooth vector bundle of rank twice the rank of E . The space TE fits so in a square of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TE & \xrightarrow{p_E} & E \\ Tq \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ TM & \xrightarrow{p_M} & M \end{array}$$

Take $x \in M$ and e and $e' \in E_x$. Take further $v_e, w_e \in T_eE$ and $u_{e'}, y_{e'} \in T_{e'}E$ such that

$$Tqv_e = Tqu_{e'} =: \alpha_x \in T_xM \quad \text{and} \quad Tqw_e = Tqy_{e'} =: \beta_x \in T_xM.$$

Then $Tq(v_e +_E w_e) = \alpha_x + \beta_x = Tq(u_{e'} +_E y_{e'})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{(e, e')} + (v_e +_E w_e, u_{e'} +_E y_{e'}) = T + ((v_e, u_{e'}) +_{E \times_M E} (w_e, y_{e'})) \\ &= (T_{(e, e')} + (v_e, u_{e'})) +_E (T_{(e, e')} + (w_e, y_{e'})) \end{aligned}$$

which is written for simplicity

$$(v_e +_E w_e) +_{TM} (u_{e'} +_E y_{e'}) = (v_e +_{TM} u_{e'}) +_E (w_e +_{TM} y_{e'}),$$

$+_E$ being the addition in the fibers of $TE \rightarrow E$, $+_{E \times_M E}$ the addition in the fibers of $TE \times_{TM} TE \rightarrow E \times_M E$ and $+_{TM} = T+$ being the addition in the fibers of $TE \rightarrow TM$. Hence the two additions of the two vector bundle structures on TE “commute”, and TE is a **double vector bundle** [Pra77]. All structure maps of one of the vector bundle structure are vector bundle morphisms with respect to the other one.

Consider the *vertical space* $T^qE = \ker(Tq: TE \rightarrow TM) \subseteq TE$. By definition, it is a vector subbundle of $TE \rightarrow E$ of rank k . The intersection

$$T^qE \cap p_E^{-1}(0^E) = (Tq)^{-1}(0^{TM}) \cap p_E^{-1}(0^E)$$

is the space of vectors $v_{0_x^E} \in T_{0_x^E}E$ for $x \in M$ such that $T_{0_x^E}qv_{0_x^E} = 0_x \in T_xM$. Hence it is the restriction of T^qE to the zero section of E . This intersection is the *core* of the double

vector bundle E and it is seen as a vector bundle over M [Pra77]. In general, the core of a double vector bundle always inherits a vector bundle structure over the **double base** M [Pra77]. Here, the core of TE can be identified as follows with the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. The map

$$\bar{\cdot}: E \rightarrow p_E^{-1}(0^E) \cap (Tq)^{-1}(0^{TM})$$

sending

$$e \in E_x \quad \text{to} \quad \bar{e} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te \in T_{0_x^E} E$$

is a bijection and a linear map with respect to both additions on TE since for e, e' in the same fiber of E ,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te +_E \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te' = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t(e + e') = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te +_{TM} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} te'.$$

In fact, the whole vector bundle $T^q E$ is isomorphic as a vector bundle over E to the pullback $q^! E \rightarrow E$, via the morphism sending

$$(e, e') \in q^! E \quad \text{to} \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e + te',$$

This isomorphism is easily seen in local coordinates, or in a local trivialisation of E . As a consequence of the isomorphism above, each section e of $E \rightarrow M$ defines a ‘‘pullback’’ section $q^! e =: e^\uparrow$ of $T^q E \rightarrow E$ via

$$e^\uparrow(e') = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e' + te_{q(e')},$$

and since $T^q E \simeq q^! E$, these core sections span pointwise $T^q E$. The (global!) flow of such a **core vector field** is simply

$$\mathbb{R} \times E \rightarrow E, \quad (t, e') \mapsto e' + te(q(e')).$$

The flows of two such core vector fields commute, and so two core vector fields always have a vanishing Lie bracket.

2.2. Linear vector fields and linear derivations. A vector field $\chi: E \rightarrow TE$ is called **linear** if it is a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\chi} & TE \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow Tq \\ M & \xrightarrow{X} & TM \end{array}$$

of vector bundles over a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In particular, a linear vector field $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ over the zero vector field on E has by definition values in the vertical space $T^q E$. Hence there is a smooth map $F: E \rightarrow E$ such that $q \circ F = q$ and

$$\chi(e) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e + tF(e)$$

for all $e \in E$. The linearity of χ then forces F to be a section of $\text{End}(E)$:

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e_1 + e_2 + tF(e_1 + e_2) = \chi(e_1 + e_2) = \chi(e_1) +_{TM} \chi(e_2) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e_1 + e_2 + tF(e_1) + tF(e_2)$$

shows that $F(e_1 + e_2) = F(e_1) + F(e_2)$ for e_1, e_2 in the same fiber of E , etc. Hence linear vector fields with values in T^qE , also called **core-linear vector fields**, are equivalent to sections of $\text{End}(E)$, via the correspondence sending $\psi \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ to $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$,

$$\tilde{\psi}(e) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e + t\psi(e)$$

for all $e \in E$.

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. A **linear derivation D of E with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$** is an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$D: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$$

with

$$D(fe) = \mathcal{L}_X(f)e + fD(e)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

The space $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ of derivations of E is a $C^\infty(M)$ -module and a real Lie algebra via the commutator: given two derivations D_1 and D_2 of E with symbols X_1 and X_2 , respectively, the commutator

$$[D_1, D_2] = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$$

is again a derivation, with symbol $[X_1, X_2]$. Linear derivations of E with symbol zero are exactly the sections of $\text{End}(E)$. This yields a short exact sequence of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $\mathfrak{D}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ sends a derivation to its symbol. It is surjective since a vector bundle always admits a linear connection and a linear connection “lifts” vector fields on M to derivations of E . The latter implies in fact that $\text{Der}(E) \simeq \Gamma(\text{End}(E)) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the space of sections of a vector bundle over M . In the following, this vector bundle is written $\text{Der}(E)$ and its space of sections, i.e. the $C^\infty(M)$ -module of derivations of E , is $\Gamma(\text{Der}(E)) = \mathfrak{D}(E)$.

Given a linear derivation D of E with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the *dual derivation* $D^*: \Gamma(E^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(E^*)$ is defined by

$$\langle D^*\epsilon, e \rangle = X\langle \epsilon, e \rangle - \langle \epsilon, De \rangle$$

for all $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. The symbol of D^* remains the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Mac05]). *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. A linear vector field $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ over a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defines a derivation $D_\chi: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, via*

$$(2) \quad \chi(\ell_\epsilon) = \ell_{D_\chi^*(\epsilon)} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(q^*f) = q^*(X(f))$$

for all $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $D^*: \Gamma(E^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(E^*)$ is the dual derivation to D . Conversely, given a derivation D of E with symbol X , (2) defines a linear vector field \hat{D} .

This yields an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{X}^l(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(E), \quad \chi \mapsto D_\chi$$

of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules, with inverse $D \mapsto \hat{D}$.

Proof. Since χ is linear over X , it is in particular q -related to X ; i.e. $Tq \circ \chi = X \circ q$. Hence the equality $\chi(q^*f) = q^*(X(f))$ holds for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The additivity of χ can then be written

$$T+ \circ (\chi, \chi) = \chi \circ +: E \times_M E \rightarrow TE$$

for all $x \in M$. That is, $(\chi, \chi): E \times_M E \rightarrow TE \times_{TM} TE = T(E \times_M E)$ is $+$ -related to χ . This implies that $\chi(\ell_\epsilon)$ is linear for all $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$. The additivity follows from $\ell_\epsilon \circ + = + \circ (\ell_\epsilon, \ell_\epsilon): E \times_M E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by the linearity of ℓ_ϵ :

$$(\chi(\ell_\epsilon)) \circ + = +^*(\chi(\ell_\epsilon)) = (\chi, \chi)(+^*\ell_\epsilon) = (\chi, \chi)(+ \circ (\ell_\epsilon, \ell_\epsilon)) = + \circ (\chi(\ell_\epsilon), \chi(\ell_\epsilon)).$$

The \mathbb{R} -homogeneity follows as usual with an algebraic induction, or similarly with the \mathbb{R} -homogeneity of χ .

Write then $D_\chi^* \epsilon$ for the section of E^* defined by $\chi(\ell_\epsilon) = \ell_{D_\chi^* \epsilon}$. First check that (2) indeed defines a derivation D_χ^* of E^* with symbol X . Choose $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$. Then

$$\chi(\ell_{f \cdot \epsilon}) = \chi(q^* f \cdot \ell_\epsilon) = \chi(q^* f) \cdot \ell_\epsilon + q^* f \cdot \chi(\ell_\epsilon) = q^*(X(f)) \cdot \ell_\epsilon + q^* f \cdot \ell_{D_\chi^* \epsilon} = \ell_{X(f)\epsilon + f D_\chi^* \epsilon}.$$

Hence $D_\chi^*(f\epsilon) = X(f)\epsilon + f D_\chi^* \epsilon$, which shows that D_χ^* is a derivation of E^* .

Conversely, since a vector field on E is completely determined by its values on $C_{\text{lin}}^\infty(E)$ and on $q^* C^\infty(M)$, and since the two conditions in (2) are compatible, a derivation D of E with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defines the linear vector field \widehat{D} . The isomorphism of $\mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ with $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ is then immediate. \square

Given a derivation D over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the explicit formula for $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ is

$$(3) \quad \widehat{D}(e_m) = T_m e(X(m)) +_E \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_m - tD(e)(m))$$

for $e_m \in E$ and any $e \in \Gamma(E)$ such that $e(m) = e_m$. This can be checked by explicit computations on linear and pullback functions on E : on $q^* f$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\left(T_m e(X(m)) +_E \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_m - tD(e)(m)) \right) (q^* f) = X(m)(f) = \left(\widehat{D}(e_m) \right) (q^* f).$$

and on ℓ_ϵ for $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(T_m e(X(m)) +_E \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e_m - tD(e)(m)) \right) (\ell_\epsilon) \\ &= T_m \langle \epsilon, e \rangle (X(m)) + \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\langle \epsilon(m), e_m \rangle - t \langle \epsilon(m), D(e)(m) \rangle) \\ &= X(m) \langle \epsilon, e \rangle - \langle \epsilon(m), D(e)(m) \rangle = \langle (D^* \epsilon)(m), e_m \rangle = \ell_{D^* \epsilon}(e_m) = \left(\widehat{D}(e_m) \right) (\ell_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since E has a smooth atlas with linear and pullback coordinate functions, this shows (3).

As a consequence, the following proposition can be proved, see [Mac05].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $q: E \rightarrow M$ be an n -fold vector bundle and choose derivations D , D_1 and D_2 of E with symbols X , X_1 and X_2 , respectively, as well as sections $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$. Then*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2 \right] = \widehat{[D_1, D_2]}, \\ & \left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] = (De)^\uparrow \text{ and } \left[e_1^\uparrow, e_2^\uparrow \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality shows that the map $\mathfrak{D}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$, $D \mapsto \widehat{D}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.

Proof of Proposition 4. The third equation was already proved. For the second one, compute for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$:

$$\left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] (q^* f) = \widehat{D}(0) - e^\uparrow(q^*(X(f))) = 0 = (De)^\uparrow(q^* f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] (\ell_\epsilon) &= \widehat{D}(q^* \langle \epsilon, e \rangle) - e^\uparrow(\ell_{D^* \epsilon}) = q^*(X \langle \epsilon, e \rangle - \langle D^* \epsilon, e \rangle) \\ &= q^* \langle \epsilon, De \rangle = (De)^\uparrow(\ell_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly compute for the first equation

$$\left[\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2 \right] (q^* f) = \widehat{D}_1(q^*(X_2(f))) - \widehat{D}_2(q^*(X_1(f))) = q^*([X_1, X_2](f)) = [\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2](q^* f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2 \right] (\ell_\epsilon) &= \widehat{D}_1(\ell_{D_2^* \epsilon}) - \widehat{D}_2(\ell_{D_1^* \epsilon}) = \ell_{(D_1^* D_2^* - D_2^* D_1^*) \epsilon} \\ &= \ell_{[D_1, D_2]^* \epsilon} = [\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2](\ell_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. ON THE FLOW OF A LINEAR VECTOR FIELD

This section proves the main theorem of this note.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle and let $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ be a linear vector field on E over $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of X , with, as usual, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$ an open subset containing $\{0\} \times M$. Then the flow Φ of $\chi \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$ is defined on*

$$\widetilde{\Omega} := \{(t, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times E \mid (t, q(e)) \in \Omega\}$$

and for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the map

$$\Phi_t: q^{-1}(M_t) \rightarrow q^{-1}(M_{-t})$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles over the diffeomorphism $\phi_t: M_t \rightarrow M_{-t}$, where $M_t \subseteq M$ is the open subset

$$M_t := \{x \in M \mid (t, x) \in \Omega\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First, as usual $Tq \circ \chi = X \circ q$ implies that each flow line of χ projects under q to a flow line of X , and so that

$$\widetilde{\Omega} \subseteq \{(t, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times E \mid (t, q(e)) \in \Omega\}.$$

Choose a point $x \in M$ and consider the maximal integral curve $c: J \rightarrow M$ of X through x at time 0, i.e. with an open interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing 0. Define $C: J \rightarrow E$, $C(t) = 0^E(c(t))$ for all $t \in J$. Then for all $t \in J$

$$\dot{C}(t) = T_{c(t)} 0^E(\dot{c}(t)) = T_{c(t)} 0^E(X(c(t))) = \chi(0^E(c(t))) = \chi(C(t))$$

since χ is linear and so in particular

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\chi} & TE \\ 0^E \uparrow & & \uparrow T0^E \\ M & \xrightarrow{X} & TM \end{array}$$

commutes. (Recall that $T0^E$ is the zero section of the vector bundle $Tq: TE \rightarrow TM$.) That is, $C: J \rightarrow E$ is an integral curve of χ starting at 0_x^E at time 0. This shows that if $(t, x) \in \Omega$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in M$, then also $(t, 0_x^E) \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, the flow domain of χ .

Since $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is open in $\mathbb{R} \times E$, there exist then $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open around t and $U \subseteq E$ open around 0_x^E such that

$$(t, 0_x^E) \in I \times U \subseteq \widetilde{\Omega}.$$

Since $U \subseteq E$ is open and E is locally trivial, U contains the elements of a basis (e^1, \dots, e^k) of E_x . Then $(t, e^i) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Write

$$c^i: J_i \rightarrow E$$

for the flow line of χ through e^i at time 0, hence with $0, t \in J_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then as already observed, the curves $q \circ c^i: J_i \rightarrow M$ are all integral curves of X through x at time 0. They must hence coincide on the intersection

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k J_i,$$

which is an open interval containing 0 and t . Take now an arbitrary $e \in E_x$ and write it in the basis (e^1, \dots, e^k) :

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e^i$$

with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the smooth curve

$$C: I \rightarrow E, \quad s \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i c^i(s).$$

This is well-defined since the curves c^i all have the same projection to M on I . Then $C(0) = e$ and

$$\dot{C}(s) = \alpha_1 \cdot_{TM} \dot{c}^1(s) +_{TM} \dots +_{TM} \alpha_k \cdot_{TM} \dot{c}^k(s)$$

by definition of \cdot_{TM} and $+_{TM}$. This yields

$$\dot{C}(s) = \alpha_1 \cdot_{TM} \chi(c^1(s)) +_{TM} \dots +_{TM} \alpha_k \cdot_{TM} \chi(c^k(s)) = \chi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i c^i(s) \right) = \chi(C(s))$$

for all $s \in I$, since χ is linear. This shows that $C: I \rightarrow E$ is an integral curve of χ through e at time 0 and which is defined at time t . Hence $(t, e) \in \tilde{\Omega}$. The above shows that

$$\{(t, e) \in \mathbb{R} \times E \mid (t, q(e)) \in \Omega\} \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Hence the two sets are equal.

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $x \in M_t$ the flow Φ_t restricts to a map

$$E|_x \rightarrow E|_{\phi_t(x)}$$

which is bijective since it has as inverse the restriction of Φ_{-t} to $E|_{\phi_t(x)}$. To see that Φ_t is linear, note that

$$T+ \circ (\chi, \chi): E \times_M E \rightarrow TE$$

equals

$$\chi \circ +: E \times_M E \rightarrow TE.$$

Hence the vector field (χ, χ) on $E \times_M E$, which is an embedded submanifold of $E \times E$, is $+$ -related to the vector field χ on E . Since the flow (χ, χ) at a time t is (Φ_t, Φ_t) , this integrates to the equality

$$+ \circ (\Phi_t, \Phi_t) = \Phi_t \circ +,$$

which exactly restricts to the additivity of $\Phi_t|_{E|_x}$. Similarly, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the compatibility of χ with the scalar multiplication by α integrates to the \mathbb{R} -homogeneity of $\Phi_t|_{E|_x}$, as already seen in the considerations above. \square

Theorem 3.1 has the following corollary, which is standard, see e.g. [Lan99, IV,§1] or [Lee18, Theorem 4.31]. In fact, both statements are equivalent, since Theorem 3.1 can also be deduced from Corollary 3.2, but with more work than in the proof above.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \geq 1$. Consider a smooth function $A: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ on an open interval $J = (\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then for each $t_0 \in J$ and each $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the nonautonomous linear ordinary differential equation*

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t) \cdot x(t), \quad x(t_0) = v_0$$

has a unique smooth solution $x: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Consider the smooth vector field $X: J \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T(J \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$X(s, v) = (s, 1, v, A(s)(v)) \in T_s J \times T_v \mathbb{R}^n = \{s\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \{v\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

for all $(s, v) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The vector field X is linear over $\partial_s \in \mathfrak{X}(J)$ – recall that the vector field ∂_s reads $J \rightarrow TJ = J \times \mathbb{R}$, $s \mapsto (s, 1)$ via the canonical identification $TJ = J \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(J \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{Tq} & TJ \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_J \\ J \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{q} & J \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \partial_s \end{array}$$

This is easy to see: for $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} X(s, \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2) &= (s, 1, \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2, A(s)(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2)) \\ &= (s, 1, \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2, \alpha_1 A(s)(v_1) + \alpha_2 A(s)(v_2)) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdot_{TJ} (s, 1, v_1, A(s)(v_1)) +_{TJ} \alpha_2 \cdot_{TJ} (s, 1, v_2, A(s)(v_2)) \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdot_{TJ} X(s, v_1) +_{TJ} \alpha_2 \cdot_{TJ} X(s, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_s \in \mathfrak{X}(J)$ has the flow domain

$$\Omega = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times J \mid t + s \in J\} = \bigcup_{s \in J} (\alpha - s, \beta - s) \times \{s\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times J$$

and the flow

$$\phi: \Omega \rightarrow J, \quad (t, s) \mapsto t + s,$$

by Lemma 3.1, the vector field X has a maximal flow

$$\Phi: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow J \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (J \cap (\alpha - t, \beta - t)) \times \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_t} & J \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \\ J \cap (\alpha - t, \beta - t) & \xrightarrow{\phi_t} & J \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles.

For each pair $(t_0, v_0) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^n$, the smooth curve¹

$$\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad s \mapsto (\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \Phi)(s - t_0, t_0, v_0)$$

satisfies

$$\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(t_0) = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\Phi(0, t_0, v_0)) = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(t_0, v_0) = v_0.$$

¹The construction of $\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}$ is the standard construction of time-dependent flow lines of a time dependent vector field, see e.g. the proof of [Lee13, Theorem 9.48].

In addition,

$$\Phi(s - t_0, t_0, v_0) = \left(s, \gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s) \right)$$

by definition of $\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}$ and since Φ is linear over ϕ . Hence for all $s \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s) &= \frac{d}{ds} (\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \Phi)(s - t_0, t_0, v_0) = \text{pr}_{T\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Phi(s - t_0, t_0, v_0) \right) \\ &= \text{pr}_{T\mathbb{R}^n} (X(\Phi(s - t_0, t_0, v_0))) = \text{pr}_{T\mathbb{R}^n} \left(s, 1, \gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s), A(s) \left(\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s) \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s), A(s) \left(\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}(s) \right) \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Note that if A does not depend on t , then $\gamma^{(t_0, v_0)}$ is, as usual, explicitly given by

$$t \mapsto \exp((t - t_0)A) \cdot v_0,$$

but in general the differential equation is obtained by computing the time-ordered exponential of the matrix-valued function A , see e.g. [Lam98].

Theorem 3.1 has as well the following immediate corollary, which will be useful later on.

Corollary 3.3. *In the situation of Theorem 3.1, set $\chi = \widehat{D}$ for a linear derivation $D: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ with symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$ define*

$$\Phi_t^* e \in \Gamma_{M_t}(E)$$

to be the smooth section given by

$$(\Phi_t^* e)_x = \Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x)))$$

for all $x \in M_t$. Then²

$$De = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_t^* e.$$

In particular $\Phi_t^* e = e$ on M_t for all t if and only if $De = 0$.

Note that Corollary 3.3 implies as well that

$$(5) \quad \Phi_t^*(De) = \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_t^* e = D(\Phi_t^* e)$$

on M_t for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For $x \in M$ and $e' \in E_x$ compute

$$\begin{aligned} (De)^\uparrow|_{e'} &= \left[\widehat{D}, e^\uparrow \right] \Big|_{e'} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (\Phi_t^*(e^\uparrow))|_{e'} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} T_{\Phi_t(e')} \Phi_{-t} (e^\uparrow(\Phi_t(e'))) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \Phi_{-t} (\Phi_t(e') + se(\phi_t(x))) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} e' + s\Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x))). \end{aligned}$$

²This derivative is taken pointwise, i.e. in fibers of E .

Take $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((De)^\uparrow|_{e'}) (q^* f) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} (q^* f) (e' + s\Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x)))) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(x) = 0 = \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_t^* e \right)^\uparrow \Big|_{e'} \right) (q^* f). \end{aligned}$$

Take $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((De)^\uparrow|_{e'}) (\ell_\epsilon) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \ell_\epsilon (e' + s\Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x)))) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (\langle \epsilon(x), e' \rangle + s\langle \epsilon(x), \Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x))) \rangle) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} s \left\langle \epsilon(x), \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x))) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \epsilon(x), \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_{-t}(e(\phi_t(x))) \right\rangle = \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_t^* e \right)^\uparrow \Big|_{e'} \right) (\ell_\epsilon). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. APPLICATIONS

This section collects a few further useful applications of Theorem 3.1.

4.1. Vector bundles over contractible manifolds are trivial. Theorem 3.1 implies the following corollary, which is standard, but the proof of which is not easy to find in the smooth case in the literature.

Corollary 4.1. *Let M be a smooth manifold and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Choose $t_0 \in I$ and set $\iota: M \rightarrow I \times M$ to be the smooth inclusion $x \mapsto (t_0, x)$. Then each vector bundle $F \rightarrow I \times M$ is isomorphic to $I \times \iota^! F \rightarrow I \times M$, via an isomorphism over the identity on $I \times M$.*

This implies immediately that a **smooth vector bundle over a contractible manifold is trivial**: consider a contractible smooth manifold M and a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Take a smooth map $\varphi: I \times M \rightarrow M$, with $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an open interval containing $[0, 1]$ and such that $\varphi_0 \equiv x_0$ for some $x_0 \in M$ and $\varphi_1 = \text{id}_M$. Let $\iota_j: M \rightarrow I \times M$ be the smooth inclusion $x \mapsto (j, x)$ for $j = 0, 1$. Then $\varphi^! E$ is a smooth vector bundle over $I \times M$. Hence by Corollary 4.1 $\varphi^! E \simeq I \times \iota_0^! (\varphi^! E) = I \times \varphi_0^! E = I \times M \times E_{x_0}$. As a consequence, $E \simeq \text{id}_M^! E = \varphi_1^! E = \iota_1^! \varphi^! E = \iota_1^! (I \times M \times E_{x_0}) \simeq M \times E_{x_0}$.

Proof of Corollary 4.1. Consider any linear vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^l(F)$ over the vector field $\partial_t \in \mathfrak{X}(I \times M)$. Such a linear vector field exists since any vector field on $I \times M$ can be lifted to a linear vector field on F , see (1). The flow of ∂_t is given by

$$\phi: \Omega = \{(t, s, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times I \times M \mid t + s \in I\} \rightarrow I \times M, \quad (t, s, x) \mapsto (t + s, x),$$

and so by Theorem 3.1 the flow of X is a map

$$\Phi: \tilde{\Omega} = \{(t, e_{(s,x)}) \in \mathbb{R} \times F \mid t + s \in I\} \rightarrow F$$

such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F|_{((I-t) \cap I) \times M} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_t} & F|_{(I \cap (I+t)) \times M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ((I-t) \cap I) \times M & \xrightarrow{\phi_t} & (I \cap (I+t)) \times M \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles. The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & I \times \iota^! F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I \times M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{I \times M}} & I \times M \end{array}$$

defined by

$$\Theta(e_{(t,x)}) = (t, \Phi(t_0 - t, e_{(t,x)}))$$

is well-defined, smooth by definition, and covers the identity on $I \times M$. It is a diffeomorphism since it has the smooth inverse

$$\Theta^{-1}: I \times \iota^! F \rightarrow F, \quad \Theta^{-1}(t, e_{(t_0,x)}) = \Phi(t - t_0, e_{(t_0,x)}).$$

It remains to show that Θ is fibrewise linear. But this follows immediately from the fact that Φ_t is a vector bundle isomorphism for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

4.2. Flows of derivations on tensor products of vector bundles. Let $E \rightarrow M$ and $F \rightarrow M$ be two vector bundles over a same base manifold M . Two linear derivations $D_E: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ and $D_F: \Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ with a same symbol $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ define together a linear derivation D on $E \otimes F$ via

$$D(e \otimes f) = (D_E e) \otimes f + e \otimes (D_F f)$$

for all $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$. This can be extended to tensor products of arbitrary many vector bundles, for instance to powers of $E^{\otimes k} \otimes (E^*)^{\otimes l}$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

This section computes the flow Φ^{E^*} of $\widehat{D}_E^* \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E^*)$, where D_E^* is the dual linear derivation to D_E on E^* , as well as the flow Φ of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E \otimes F)$. Let $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow M$ be the flow of $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and let $\Phi^E: \widetilde{\Omega}^E \rightarrow E$ be the flow of $\widehat{D}_E \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E)$. Let $\Phi^F: \widetilde{\Omega}^F \rightarrow F$ be the flow of $\widehat{D}_F \in \mathfrak{X}^l(F)$, and let $q_*: E^* \rightarrow M$ be the vector bundle projection of E^* .

First choose $x \in M$ and $\epsilon \in E^*|_x$. Consider the curve

$$\gamma: I_x \rightarrow E^*, \quad t \mapsto \Phi_{-t}^*(\epsilon) = \epsilon \circ \Phi_{-t} \in E^*|_{\phi_t(x)},$$

with $I_x := \Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{x\})$, the domain of definition of the maximal integral curve of ϕ through x at time 0. Then for $e \in \Gamma(E)$ (for simplicity a global section) and any $t \in I_x$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(t)(\ell_e) &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \gamma(t), e|_{q_*(\gamma(t))} \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \epsilon, \Phi_{-t}(e|_{\phi_t(x)}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \epsilon, (\Phi_t^* e)|_x \rangle = \langle \epsilon, (\Phi_t^*(D_E e))|_x \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma(t), D_E e|_{\phi_t(x)} \rangle = \ell_{D_E e}(\gamma(t)) = \widehat{D}_E^*|_{\gamma(t)}(\ell_e) \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 3.3. This shows that γ is an integral curve of \widehat{D}_E^* through ϵ at time 0. Since the flow domain of \widehat{D}_E^* is

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^{E^*} = \{(t, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R} \times E^* \mid (t, q_*(\epsilon)) \in \Omega\},$$

the curve γ must be the maximal integral curve of \widehat{D}_E^* through ϵ at time 0. The flow Φ^{E^*} of $\widehat{D}_E^* \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E^*)$ is hence defined by

$$(6) \quad \Phi^{E^*} : \{(t, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R} \times E^* \mid (t, q_{E^*}(\epsilon)) \in \Omega\} \rightarrow E^*, \quad (t, \epsilon) \mapsto \epsilon \circ \Phi_{-t}^E.$$

Given $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^*)$, the induced curve of sections of E^* through ϵ at time 0 is then

$$(\Phi^{E^*})_t^* \epsilon \in \Gamma_{M_t}(E^*)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$((\Phi^{E^*})_t^* \epsilon)_x = \Phi_{-t}^{E^*}(\epsilon(\phi_t(x))) = \epsilon|_{\phi_t(x)} \circ \Phi_t^E \in E^*|_x$$

for all $x \in M_t$.

Next, since the flow of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E \otimes F)$ is linear, it is enough to determine it on a basis of $(E \otimes F)_x$ at each $x \in M$. Hence it is enough to determine it on elementary tensors. Choose $x \in M$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$ global sections. Consider the curve

$$\gamma : I_x \rightarrow E \otimes F, \quad t \mapsto \Phi_t^E(e|_x) \otimes \Phi_t^F(f|_x) \in (E \otimes F)|_{\phi_t(x)}.$$

Choose further a (global) section ϵ of E^* and a (global) section κ of F^* and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(t)(\ell_{\epsilon \otimes \kappa}) &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \epsilon|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^E(e|_x) \rangle \cdot \langle \kappa|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^F(f|_x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle ((\Phi^{E^*})_t^* \epsilon)|_x, e|_x \rangle \cdot \langle ((\Phi^{F^*})_t^* \kappa)|_x, f|_x \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \langle ((\Phi^{E^*})_t^*(D_E^* \epsilon))|_x, e|_x \rangle \cdot \langle ((\Phi^{F^*})_t^* \kappa)|_x, f|_x \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \epsilon|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^E(e|_x) \rangle \cdot \langle ((\Phi^{F^*})_t^*(D_F^* \kappa))|_x, f|_x \rangle \\ &= \langle (D_E^* \epsilon)|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^E(e|_x) \rangle \cdot \langle \kappa|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^F(f|_x) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \epsilon|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^E(e|_x) \rangle \cdot \langle (D_F^* \kappa)|_{\phi_t(x)}, \Phi_t^F(f|_x) \rangle \\ &= \ell_{(D_E^* \epsilon) \otimes \kappa + \epsilon \otimes (D_F^* \kappa)}(\gamma(t)) = \ell_{D^*(\epsilon \otimes \kappa)}(\gamma(t)) = \widehat{D}|_{\gamma(t)}(\ell_{\epsilon \otimes \kappa}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I_x$. The identity $\dot{\gamma}(t)(q_{E \otimes F}^* g) = X|_{\phi_t(x)}(g) = \widehat{D}|_{\gamma(t)}(q_{E \otimes F}^* g)$ for $g \in C^\infty(M)$. Hence

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \widehat{D}|_{\gamma(t)}$$

for all $t \in I_x$ and the flow of $\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(E \otimes F)$ is pointwise the tensor product of the flows of \widehat{D}_E and \widehat{D}_F :

$$(7) \quad \Phi : \{(t, \chi) \in \mathbb{R} \times E \otimes F \mid (t, q_{E \otimes F}(\chi)) \in \Omega\} \rightarrow E \otimes F, \\ (t, e \otimes f) \mapsto (\Phi_t^E e) \otimes (\Phi_t^F f)$$

for all elementary tensors $e \otimes f \in E \otimes F$. Given $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in \Gamma(F)$ the induced curve of sections of $E \otimes F$ is then

$$\Phi_t^*(e \otimes f) \in \Gamma_{M_t}(E \otimes F)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\Phi_t^*(e \otimes f))_x = (\Phi_t^* e)|_x \otimes (\Phi_t^* f)|_x$$

for all $x \in M_t$.

4.3. The kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid. This section now provides an elementary proof of the fact that the kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid is a Lie algebra bundle.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a transitive Lie algebroid.*

(1) *The kernel*

$$K = \ker(\rho: A \rightarrow TM)$$

is a subalgebroid of A . Since the anchor vanishes on K , it is a bundle of Lie algebras.

(2) $\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(K) \rightarrow \Gamma(K)$ *defined by*

$$\nabla_a k = [a, k]$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and all $k \in \Gamma(K)$ is a flat A -connection on K .

(3) *For all $k_1, k_2 \in \Gamma(K)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$*

$$\nabla_a [k_1, k_2] = [\nabla_a k_1, k_2] + [k_1, \nabla_a k_2].$$

Proof. The proof is a simple computation which is left to the reader. \square

The following theorem shows that the kernel K of a transitive Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$ is not only a bundle of Lie algebras, but a Lie algebra bundle. This fact is standard, and proofs of it can be found in [CF03], [Mac05], [Mei21], [JM24].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $A \rightarrow M$ be a transitive Lie algebroid with anchor ρ and assume that M is connected. Then the kernel K of ρ is a Lie algebra bundle.*

Proof. Since the Lie bracket on K is defined pointwise, it defines a tensor $B \in \Gamma(K^* \otimes K^* \otimes K)$,

$$B(k_1, k_2) = [k_1, k_2]$$

for all $k_1, k_2 \in \Gamma(K)$. The vector bundle $K^* \otimes K^* \otimes K$ is equipped with the A -connection inherited from the one on K that is found in (2) of Proposition 4.2: for $\psi \in \Gamma(K^* \otimes K^* \otimes K)$ and $a \in \Gamma(A)$ the tensor $\nabla_a \psi$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_a \psi)(k_1, k_2) &= \nabla_a(\psi(k_1, k_2)) - \psi(\nabla_a k_1, k_2) - \psi(k_1, \nabla_a k_2) \\ &= [a, \psi(k_1, k_2)] - \psi[[a, k_1], k_2] - \psi[k_1, [a, k_2]] \end{aligned}$$

for all $k_1, k_2 \in \Gamma(K)$. By (3) in Proposition 4.2, $\nabla_a B = 0$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, i.e. B is flat. But by Lemma 3.3, $\nabla_a B = 0$ just means that the flow Φ of $\widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(K^* \otimes K^* \otimes K)$ does

$$\Phi_t^* B = B$$

on M_t for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the considerations in Section 4.2, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the section $\Phi_t^* B$ of $K^* \otimes K^* \otimes K$ over M_t is defined by

$$(\Phi_t^* B)|_x(k_1, k_2) = (\Phi_{-t}(B|_{\phi_t(x)}))(k_1, k_2) = \Phi_{-t}(B|_{\phi_t(x)}(\Phi_t k_1, \Phi_t k_2))$$

for $x \in M_t$ and $k_1, k_2 \in K|_x$, where the map Φ on the right-hand side is the flow of $\widehat{\nabla}_a \in \mathfrak{X}^l(K)$. Since this is $B|_x(k_1, k_2)$,

$$[\Phi_t k_1, \Phi_t k_2] = B|_{\phi_t(x)}(\Phi_t k_1, \Phi_t k_2) = \Phi_t(B|_x(k_1, k_2)) = \Phi_t[k_1, k_2]$$

for all $x \in M_t$ and all $k_1, k_2 \in K|_x$.

Let $x \in M$ and choose a smooth chart $\varphi: U^\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(U^\varphi)$ of M centered at x and such that $\varphi(U^\varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a cube centered at $0 = \varphi(x)$. Then the smooth vector fields $\partial_i^\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}(U^\varphi)$ all commute for $i = 1, \dots, m$, where m is the dimension of M . Since ρ is transitive there exist sections a_1, \dots, a_m of A over U^φ , such that $\rho \circ a_i = \partial_i^\varphi$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Consider the linear vector fields

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{a_i} \in \mathfrak{X}^l(q_K^{-1}U^\varphi), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Each $y \in U^\varphi$ can be written

$$y = \varphi^{-1}(y_1 e_1 + \dots + y_m e_m)$$

where $y_i = \varphi_i(y)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and (e_1, \dots, e_m) is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^m . That is,

$$y = \phi_{y_m}^{\partial_y^\varphi} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{y_1}^{\partial_y^\varphi}(x),$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where defined, the map $\phi_t^{\partial_y^\varphi} : U^\varphi \rightarrow U^\varphi$ is the flow

$$y \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(y) + t e_i)$$

of the vector field ∂_y^φ . The fiber of K over y is then isomorphic to K_x via the composition of flows

$$\Phi_{y_m}^{\nabla_{a_m}} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{y_1}^{\nabla_{a_1}} : K_x \rightarrow K_y.$$

The map

$$\Psi : q_K^{-1}(U^\varphi) \rightarrow U^\varphi \times K_x, \quad k_y \mapsto \left(y, \phi_{-y_1}^{\partial_y^\varphi} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{-y_m}^{\partial_y^\varphi}(k_y) \right)$$

for $y \in U^\varphi$ with coordinates $\varphi^1(y) = y_1, \dots, \varphi^m(y) = y_m$, is easily seen to be a diffeomorphism that restricts to an isomorphism of Lie algebras in each fiber. This completes the proof of the fact that K is a Lie algebra bundle. \square

REFERENCES

- [CF03] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes. Integrability of Lie brackets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(2):575–620, 2003.
- [JM24] M. Jotz and R. Marchesini. On the homotopy invariance of the twisted lie algebroid cohomology, 2024.
- [Lam98] C. S. Lam. Decomposition of time-ordered products and path-ordered exponentials. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39(10):5543–5558, 1998.
- [Lan99] S. Lang. *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, volume 191 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [Lee13] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [Lee18] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, volume 176 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2018. Second edition of [MR1468735].
- [Mac05] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [Mei21] E. Meinrenken. On the integration of transitive Lie algebroids. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 67(3-4):423–454, 2021.
- [Pra77] J. Pradines. *Fibrés vectoriels doubles et calcul des jets non holonomes*, volume 29 of *Esquisses Mathématiques*. Université d’Amiens U.E.R. de Mathématiques, Amiens, 1977.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, JULIUS-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG, GERMANY
 Email address: madeleine.jotz@uni-wuerzburg.de