

SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR BOUNDEDNESS OF MAXIMAL OPERATOR ON WEIGHTED GENERALIZED ORLICZ SPACES

VERTTI HIETANEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded in the weighted generalized Orlicz space if the weight satisfies the classical Muckenhoupt condition A_p and $t \rightarrow \frac{\varphi(x,t)}{t^p}$ is almost increasing in addition to the standard conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Generalized Orlicz spaces have been studied since the 1940s. They are also known as Musielak–Orlicz spaces due to more comprehensive presentation of J. Musielak in his monograph published in 1983 [24]. Intuitively generalized Orlicz space L^φ consists of all measurable functions f such that

$$\varrho_\varphi(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, |f(x)|) dx < \infty.$$

We call the function $\varrho_\varphi(f)$ a *modular*. If the function φ does not depend directly on x , $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi(t)$, then we obtain *Orlicz spaces*. Function $\varphi(x, t) = t^p$ gives us the *Lebesgue space* L^p and $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$, the *variable exponent Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}$. Other important space is given by the double phase functional $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$, where $q > p$. Apart from being a natural generalization for many well-researched function spaces, the study of generalized Orlicz spaces has applications to image processing, fluid dynamics, and differential equations; see, e.g., [1, 2, 10, 17, 27].

The Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is a central tool in harmonic analysis. It was proved by D. Gallardo in 1988 [11] that $M : L^\varphi \rightarrow L^\varphi$ for an Orlicz function $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi(t)$ if and only if φ satisfies $(\mathbf{aInc})_p$ with $p > 1$. For an Orlicz function, condition $(\mathbf{aInc})_p$ implies the existence of an equivalent convex function $\psi^{1/p}$ (see Lemma 2.2.1, [14]), for which a Jensen-type inequality (Lemma 2.5) can be obtained. Jensen’s inequality is a helpful tool in obtaining boundedness results, but the inequality does not hold as such in the generalized Orlicz space.

In 2004, L. Diening proved the boundedness of M locally in the variable exponent, with the exponent p being log-Hölder continuous [7]. The boundedness was a result of a trick that $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$ satisfies Jensen’s inequality

Date: September 30, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E30, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. maximal operator, generalized Orlicz space, Musielak-Orlicz space, weighted inequalities, Muckenhoupt weights.

The author is supported by the Finnish Cultural Foundation.

up to an error term independent of t . The technique was soon generalized to the global case by D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C.J. Neugebauer [6], and independently by A. Nekvinda [25]. In the book [8], Diening's trick was named as *Key estimate* and formulated into Theorem 4.2.4.

In 2013, F-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura [22] provided the first sufficient conditions for boundedness of M in generalized Orlicz space using rather heavy machinery. In 2015, P. Hästö [16] proved a sharper version with φ satisfying conditions (A0)-(A2) and (aInc) and simplified the proof. The proof was based on generalized version of the key estimate that was obtained by using (A1) to estimate the generalized φ function with a regular Orlicz function locally and then using Jensen-type inequality 2.5. Finally, we note that the condition (A0) excludes weighted norm inequalities, both in the classical case $\varphi(x, t) = t^p\omega(x)$ and in the variable exponent case $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}\omega(x)$. In either case, the condition (A0) requires the weight to be essentially constant.

While generalized Orlicz spaces have been actively studied during the last twenty years, weighted generalized Orlicz spaces have got only a little attention. The weighted space is defined with a weighted modular

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, |f(x)|)\omega(x) dx,$$

where φ satisfies (A0) and ω is a weight function. The crucial question is to give a property for the weight ω so that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded. In 1972, B. Muckenhoupt [23] defined a class of weight functions, denoted by A_p , for which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded in the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega)$. The functions in this class bear the name *Muckenhoupt weights*. In variable exponent spaces, power-type weights have been studied by V. Kokilashvili N. Samko and S. Samko; see, e.g. [18–21]. Muckenhoupt-type weights have been studied by D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö [4]. The latter two authors have presented an alternative approach in preprint [9], where the weight ω is treated as a measure instead of a multiplier.

It was proved by A. Gogatishvili and V. Kokilashvili [13] in 1994 that the maximal operator M is bounded in weighted Orlicz space if and only if φ satisfies (aInc) $_p$ and $\omega \in A_p$. This condition for weight ω is also known to be sufficient in the variable exponent space [9]. In this paper we prove the sufficiency of this condition in generalized Orlicz space. We use a similar approach as in [9] by treating the weight ω as a measure. We obtain a weighted version of the *key estimate* (Theorem 4.3.2 from [14]), which plays a major role in the proof of unweighted case. This requires generalizing conditions (A1) and (A2) to (A1) $_\omega$ and (A2) $_\omega$, which are in line with the weighted space. At the end of this paper, we consider these new conditions with functions $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$ and $\varphi(x, t) := t^p + a(x)t^q$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set equipped with the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. By B we always denote an open ball in \mathbb{R}^n . If there exists a

constant C such that $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for almost every x , then we write $f \lesssim g$. If $g \lesssim f \lesssim g$, then we write $f \approx g$. We say that functions $\varphi, \psi : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ are *equivalent* if there exists $L \geq 1$ such that $\psi(x, \frac{1}{L}t) \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq \psi(x, Lt)$. A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *almost increasing* if there exists a constant $a \geq 1$ such that $f(s) \leq af(t)$ for all $0 < s < t$.

For a locally integrable function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, we define the (Hardy-Littlewood) *maximal operator* M by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all open balls B containing x .

Definition 2.1. Let $p > 0$. We say that a function $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfies $(\text{aInc})_p$ if $t \rightarrow \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t^p}$ is almost increasing, i.e there exists $a \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(x, s)}{s^p} \leq a \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t^p}$$

for all $0 < s < t$.

Definition 2.2. A function $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be a (generalized) Φ -*prefunction* if $x \rightarrow \varphi(x, |f(x)|)$ is measurable for every $f \in L^0(\Omega)$ and $t \rightarrow \varphi(x, t)$ is increasing with $\varphi(x, 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x, t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, t) = \infty$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

We say that the Φ -*prefunction* is a *weak Φ -function*, and write $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$, if it satisfies $(\text{aInc})_1$ on $(0, \infty)$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$. If, in addition, $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$ is convex and left-continuous with respect to t for almost every x , then we write $\varphi \in \Phi_c(\Omega)$.

For $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\varphi_B^+(t) := \text{ess sup}_{x \in B \cap \Omega} \varphi(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_B^-(t) := \text{ess inf}_{x \in B \cap \Omega} \varphi(x, t).$$

We say that $\varphi \in \Phi(\Omega)$ is *degenerate* if $\varphi|_{(0, \infty)} \equiv 0$ or $\varphi|_{(0, \infty)} \equiv \infty$. If φ_B^+ or φ_B^- is non-degenerate, then it is a weak Φ -function ([14], Lemma 2.5.16).

By ω we always denote a *weight*, that is a non-negative and locally integrable function. We often denote $\omega(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) dx$, and in this sense we treat ω as a measure.

Definition 2.3. Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$ and $p \geq 0$. We say that φ satisfies

- (A0): if there exists $\beta_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(x, \beta_0) \leq 1 \leq \varphi(x, \frac{1}{\beta_0})$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
- (A1) $_{\omega}$: if there exists $\beta_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(x, \beta_1 t) \leq \varphi(y, t)$ for every $\varphi(y, t) \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$, almost every $x, y \in B \cap \Omega$ and every ball B with $\omega(B) \leq 1$.
- (A2) $_{\omega}$: if for every $s > 0$ there exist $\beta_2 \in (0, 1]$ and $h \in L^1(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, $h \geq 0$, such that $\varphi(x, \beta_2 t) \leq \varphi(y, t) + h(x) + h(y)$ for almost every $x, y \in \Omega$ when $\varphi(y, t) \in [0, s]$.

If $\omega(x) = 1$, then condition (A1) $_{\omega}$ is equivalent to the standard condition (A1') presented in the book [14]. According to book's Lemma 4.2.5, (A2) $_{\omega}$

is equivalent to condition (A2), but the book formulation of (A2) contains a flaw that was recently corrected in [15].

The following formulation of $(A2)_\omega$ will be useful when considering the condition in variable exponent spaces.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$. Then φ satisfies $(A2)_\omega$ if and only if there exist $\varphi_\infty \in \Phi_w$, $h \in L^1(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \beta t) &\leq \varphi_\infty(t) + h(x) \quad \text{when } \varphi_\infty(t) \in [0, 1], \\ \text{and } \varphi_\infty(\beta t) &\leq \varphi(x, t) + h(x) \quad \text{when } \varphi(x, t) \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. The proof does not differ from the proofs of Lemmas 4.2.7 and 4.2.10 from [14], which cover the case $\omega(x) = 1$. \square

The next Jensen-type inequality is an important tool in obtaining the *key estimate*, Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 2.5 ([14], Lemma 4.3.1). *Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a prefunction that satisfies $(aInc)_p$, $p > 0$. Then there exists $\beta \in (0, 1]$ such that the following inequality holds for every measurable set U , $|U| \in (0, \infty)$, and every $f \in L^1(U)$:*

$$\varphi \left(\beta \int_U |f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_U \varphi(f)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx.$$

Next we give an exact definition of *weighted generalized Orlicz space*; starting with the definition of modular ϱ_φ^ω .

Definition 2.6. Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$. We define the *weighted modular* $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(\cdot)$ for $f \in L^0(\Omega)$ by

$$\varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) := \int_\Omega \varphi(x, |f(x)|) \omega(x) dx.$$

The *weighted generalized Orlicz space* is defined as the set

$$L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega) := \{f \in L^0(\Omega) : \|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_\varphi^\omega \left(\frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

An useful relation between the modular and the norm is the so-called *unit ball property*:

Lemma 2.7 ([14], Lemma 3.2.3). *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} < 1 \implies \varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1 \implies \|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} \leq 1.$$

If φ is left-continuous, then $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)}^\omega \leq 1 \iff \varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1$.

The unit ball property holds for any weight ω and more general measures as well.

For more information about generalized Orlicz spaces see the monograph [14].

3. PROPERTIES OF A_p -WEIGHTS

The classical Muckenhoupt condition can be stated as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and q' satisfy $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. If a non-negative and locally integrable weight function ω satisfies

$$[\omega]_{A_q} := \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |B|^{-q} \omega(B) \left(\int_B \omega(x)^{-q'/q} dx \right)^{q-1} < \infty,$$

where \mathcal{B} denotes the family of all open balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then we say that $\omega \in A_q$.

We define the class A_∞ as the union of all classes A_q , $q \in [1, \infty)$, and the class A_1 to consist of all weights ω satisfying $M\omega \lesssim \omega$.

In a paper [23], published in 1972, B. Muckenhoupt showed the following famous result for weights satisfying the above condition.

Lemma 3.2 ([23], Theorem 9). *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then $\omega \in A_q$ if and only if*

$$M : L^q(\Omega, \omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega, \omega).$$

The next lemma gives us an alternative definition for the class A_q , which is more closely related to boundedness of M .

Lemma 3.3 ([12], Theorem 1.12). *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $[\omega]_{A_q}$ be the constant from A_q condition. Then $\omega \in A_q$ if and only if*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx \right)^q \leq \frac{[\omega]_{A_q}}{\omega(B)} \int_B f(x)^q \omega(x) dx$$

for all measurable $f \geq 0$ and for all open balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

The following property of weights in A_q classes is often useful in controlling $\omega(B)$ with various operations; see, e.g., Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. If $\omega \in A_q$, then*

$$\omega(B(x, r)) \gtrsim \omega(B(y, R)) \left(\frac{r}{|x - y| + r + R} \right)^{qn},$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R, r > 0$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R, r > 0$. Since $\omega \in A_q$, we have by Lemma 3.2 that $M : L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(B(x, r)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\chi_{B(x, r)}(z))^q \omega(z) dz \gtrsim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M\chi_{B(x, r)}(z))^q \omega(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sup_{B \ni z} \frac{|B \cap B(x, r)|}{|B|} \right)^q \omega(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $B = B(y, |x - y| + r + R)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sup_{B \ni z} \frac{|B \cap B(x, r)|}{|B|} \right)^q \omega(z) dz &\geq \int_{B(y, R)} \left(\frac{|B(x, r)|}{|B(y, |x - y| + r + R)|} \right)^q \omega(z) dz \\ &= \omega(B(y, R)) \left(\frac{r}{|x - y| + r + R} \right)^{qn}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.5. We can observe that the weight $\omega \in A_q$ is doubling as a measure since by Lemma 3.4 it follows that $\omega(B(x, r)) \gtrsim \omega(B(x, 2r))3^{-qn}$.

Remark 3.6. We can also notice that by Lemma 3.4 the weight $\omega \in A_\infty$ has as at most polynomial growth i.e $\omega(0, r) \lesssim \omega(B(0, 1))(1+r)^{qn} \lesssim r^{qn}$ for some $q \in [1, \infty)$ when $r > 1$.

4. BOUNDEDNESS

Next we prove the key estimate in weighted generalized Orlicz space. We extend the techniques from [14] to the weighted case.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_\omega(\Omega)$ satisfy (A0), (A1) $_\omega$, (A2) $_\omega$ and (aInc) $_p$, with $p \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\omega \in A_p$. Then there exists $\beta > 0$ and $h \in L^1(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\varphi\left(x, \frac{\beta}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} |f| dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} \varphi(y, |f|)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy + h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} h(y)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy$$

for every ball B , $x \in B \cap \Omega$ and $f \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)$ with $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let β_0 be the constant from (A0), β_1 the constant from (A1) $_\omega$, and β_2 from (A2) $_\omega$. Let β_J be the constant from the Jensen-type inequality Lemma 2.5. We may assume without loss of generality that $f \geq 0$. Fix a ball B , denote $\hat{B} := B \cap \Omega$ and choose $x \in \hat{B}$. Denote $f_1 := f \chi_{\{f > 1/\beta_0\}}$, $f_2 := f - f_1$, and $D_i := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} f_i dy$.

We first estimate D_1 . Let $c_\omega := \max\{1, [\omega]_{A_p}\}$. Now φ satisfies (aInc) $_1$ and thus $\varphi_B^-(\frac{1}{a}c_\omega^{-1}f_1) \leq c_\omega^{-1}\varphi_B^-(f_1)$. Then by Lemmas 2.5 and 3.3, assuming $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(f_1) \leq \varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_B^-(\frac{1}{2a}c_\omega^{-1}\beta_J D_1) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi_B^-(\frac{1}{2a}c_\omega^{-1}f_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy\right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{[\omega]_{A_p}}{\omega(B)} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi_B^-(\frac{1}{2a}c_\omega^{-1}f_1)\omega(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega(B)} \int_{\hat{B}} \frac{1}{2} \varphi_B^-(f_1)\omega(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\omega(B)} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, f_1)\omega(y) dy < \frac{1}{\omega(B)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (aInc) $_1$ for the third inequality. Denote $\beta'_J := \frac{1}{2a}c_\omega^{-1}\beta_J$, and we have $\varphi_B^-(\beta'_J D_1) < \frac{1}{\omega(B)}$. We may also note that $\beta'_J \leq 1$.

Suppose first that $\varphi_B^-(\beta'_J D_1) \geq 1$. This implies $\omega(B) < 1$. Then there exists $y \in \hat{B}$ with $\varphi(y, \beta'_J D_1) \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$ and $\varphi(y, \beta'_J D_1) \leq 2\varphi_B^-(\beta'_J D_1)$. Then by (A1) $_\omega$ and Lemma 2.5 for the third inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \beta_1 \beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \varphi(y, \beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2\varphi_B^-(\beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi_B^-(f_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, f_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case $\varphi_B^-(\beta'_J D_1) < 1$. By (A0), this implies that $\beta'_J D_1 \leq \frac{1}{\beta_0}$. By (aInc)_p and (A0), we conclude that

$$\varphi(x, \beta_0^2 \beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq a^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta_0 \beta'_J D_1 \varphi(x, \beta_0)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq a^{\frac{1}{p}} \beta_0 \beta'_J D_1 \leq a^{\frac{1}{p}} D_1.$$

By (A0), $1 \leq \varphi(y, 1/\beta_0)$. Since $f_1 > 1/\beta_0$, when it is non-zero, we find by (aInc)_p for the second inequality, that

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} f_1 dy \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} f_1 \varphi(y, \frac{1}{\beta_0})^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\beta_0 |B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, f_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy.$$

In view of this and the conclusion of previous paragraph, we obtain final estimate for f_1

$$\varphi(x, \frac{\beta_0}{2a^{\frac{3}{p}}} \beta_0^2 \beta_1 \beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\beta_0}{2a^{\frac{3}{p}}} \varphi(x, \beta_0^2 \beta_1 \beta'_J D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, f_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy,$$

where we also used (aInc)_p for the first inequality.

Let us move to f_2 . Since $f_2 \leq \frac{1}{\beta_0}$, by (A0) we obtain $\varphi(y, \beta_0^2 f_2) \leq \varphi(y, \beta_0) \leq 1$. This allows us to use (A2)_ω, and with Lemma 2.5 for $\varphi(x, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ (with x fixed) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \beta_J \beta_0^2 \beta_2 D_2)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(x, \beta_0^2 \beta_2 f_2(y))^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, \beta_0^2 f_2)^{\frac{1}{p}} + h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} + h(y)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} \varphi(y, f_2)^{\frac{1}{p}} + h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}} + h(y)^{\frac{1}{p}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\beta}{|B|} \int_{\hat{B}} f dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \varphi(x, 2\beta \max\{D_1, D_2\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \varphi(x, 2\beta D_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \varphi(x, 2\beta D_2)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\beta > 0$. Adding the estimates for f_1 and f_2 , we conclude the proof by choosing $\beta := \frac{1}{2} \min\{2^{-1} a^{-3/p} \beta_0^3 \beta_1 \beta'_J, \beta_J \beta_0^2 \beta_2\}$. \square

This key estimate gives us the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$ satisfy (A0), (A1)_ω, (A2)_ω and (aInc)_p with $p \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\omega \in A_p$. Then there exists $\beta > 0$ and $h \in L^1(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\varphi(x, \beta Mf(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim M(\varphi(\cdot, f)^{\frac{1}{p}})(x) + M(h^{\frac{1}{p}})(x)$$

for every $f \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)$ with $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1$.

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_w(\Omega)$ satisfy (A0), (A1)_ω, (A2)_ω and (aInc)_p, with $p \in (1, \infty)$. If $\omega \in A_p$, then for all $f \in L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)$,*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)}.$$

Proof. Let us assume that $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} < 1$. From the unit ball property (Lemma 2.7) we have that $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) \leq 1$. Then by Corollary 4.2 we obtain

$$\varphi(x, \beta Mf(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim M(\varphi(\cdot, f)^{\frac{1}{p}})(x) + M(h^{\frac{1}{p}})(x).$$

Multiplying both sides with $\omega^{1/p}$, raising to the power p and integrating, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \beta Mf(x)) \omega(x) dx &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} [M(\varphi(\cdot, f)^{\frac{1}{p}})(x)]^p \omega(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} [M(h^{\frac{1}{p}})(x)]^p \omega(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \in A_p$ we have by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \beta Mf(x)) \omega(x) dx &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, f) \omega(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} h(x) \omega(x) dx \\ &= \varrho_\varphi^\omega(f) + \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(\beta Mf) \leq c(1 + \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega, \omega)}) =: c_1$ and by (aInc)₁, $\varrho_\varphi^\omega(\frac{\beta}{ac_1} Mf) \leq 1$. Again by the unit ball property we have that $\|Mf\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} \leq \frac{ac_1}{\beta} \lesssim 1$ and the case $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} < 1$ is complete.

If $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)} \geq 1$, then we can reduce the claim to the previous case by considering the function $g := \frac{f}{2\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega, \omega)}}$ with norm less than one. \square

5. SPECIAL CASES

Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. We obtain variable exponent Lebesgue spaces when $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$ for some measurable function $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$. Here we interpret $t^\infty := \infty \chi_{(1, \infty)}(t)$ and $t^{\frac{1}{\infty}} := \chi_{(0, \infty)}(t)$. Next, we present the standard assumptions for the exponent p : local log-Hölder continuity and log-Hölder decay. If we assume any weaker modulus of continuity than log-Hölder continuity, then the maximal operator M need not be bounded [26]. In this sense the log-Hölder continuity is necessary for boundedness of M . For more information on variable exponent spaces, see [5, 8].

Definition 5.1. If there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that the measurable function $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p(y)} \right| \leq \frac{c_1}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}$$

for every $x, y \in \Omega$, then we say that $\frac{1}{p}$ is *locally log-Hölder continuous* on Ω , $\frac{1}{p} \in C^{\log}$.

If there exist $p_\infty \in [1, \infty]$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right| \leq \frac{c_2}{\log(e + |x|)}$$

for every $x \in \Omega$, then we say that $\frac{1}{p}$ satisfies the *log-Hölder decay condition*.

If $\frac{1}{p}$ satisfies both of the conditions above, we denote $\frac{1}{p} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$. We also denote $p_B^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B \cap \Omega} p(x)$ and $p_B^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in B \cap \Omega} p(x)$.

Remark 5.2. The log-Hölder decay condition can be weakened by a condition introduced by A. Nekvinda in [25]. The decay condition implies Nekvinda's condition which states that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta^{\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_{\infty}} \right|} dx < \infty.$$

This remains true also in the weighted case, as will be seen in Lemma 5.6.

Next we are going to link these conditions to the ones used in weighted generalized Orlicz space.

Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 7.1.1, [14]). *Let $\frac{1}{p} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$. Then the Φ -function $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$ satisfies (A0) and (Inc)₁.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\frac{1}{p} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$ and $\omega \in A_{\infty}$. Then for all $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\omega(B)^{\frac{1}{p_B^+} - \frac{1}{p_B^-}} \lesssim 1.$$

Proof. Let $B := B(x, r)$. Since $\omega \in A_{\infty}$, there exists $q > 1$ such that $\omega \in A_q$. By Lemma 3.4

$$\omega(B(x, r)) \gtrsim \omega(B(0, 1)) \left(\frac{r}{|x| + r + 1} \right)^{qn}.$$

With estimate $\frac{r}{|x| + r + 1} \geq \frac{r}{|x| + 2 \max\{r, 1\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{\max\{r, 1\}} (|x| + 1)^{-1}$ we have that

$$\omega(B(x, r))^{\frac{1}{p_B^+} - \frac{1}{p_B^-}} \lesssim \omega(B(0, 1))^{\frac{1}{p_B^+} - \frac{1}{p_B^-}} \left(\frac{r}{\max\{r, 1\}} \right)^{\left(\frac{1}{p_B^+} - \frac{1}{p_B^-} \right) qn} (|x| + 1)^{\left(\frac{1}{p_B^+} - \frac{1}{p_B^-} \right) qn}.$$

Now the first term is a constant, second is either 1 or bounded by log-Hölder continuity, and the last term is bounded by log-Hölder decay condition. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\omega \in A_{\infty}$ and $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$, where $\frac{1}{p} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$. Then φ satisfies (A1) _{ω} .*

Proof. Assume that B is a ball with $\omega(B) \leq 1$ and $x, y \in B \cap \Omega$. We need to show that there exists β_1 such that $\varphi(x, \beta_1 t) \leq \varphi(y, t)$ when $\varphi(y, t) \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$. This is equivalent to showing that $t^{\frac{p(x) - p(y)}{p(x)}} \lesssim 1$ when $t^{p(y)} \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$. We may assume that $p(x) > p(y)$, since the other case follows directly from $t > 1$. Then by Lemma 5.4,

$$t^{\frac{p(x) - p(y)}{p(x)}} \leq \omega(B)^{\frac{p(y) - p(x)}{p(x)p(y)}} \leq \omega(B)^{p_B^- - p_B^+} \lesssim 1,$$

and the claim follows. \square

The next lemma shows that the weighted Nekvinda decay condition follows.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\omega \in A_{\infty}$ and $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$, where $\frac{1}{p}$ satisfies the log-Hölder decay condition. Then there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda^{\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_{\infty}} \right|} \omega(x) dx < \infty.$$

Proof. By the log-Hölder decay condition, $|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}| \leq \frac{c}{\log(e+|x|)}$. Since $\omega \in A_\infty$, there exists $q > 1$ such that $\omega \in A_q$. Then by Lemma 3.4, $\omega(B(0, r)) \lesssim r^{qn}$ when $r > 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \lambda^{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|} \omega(x) dx &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(0,j) \setminus B(0,j-1)} \lambda^{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|} \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{c \log(e+j-1)} \int_{B(0,j) \setminus B(0,j-1)} \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{c \log \lambda} \omega(B(0, j)) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{c \log \lambda + qn} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing $\lambda < e^{-\frac{qn+1}{c}}$. □

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\omega \in A_\infty$ and $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)}$, where $\frac{1}{p}$ satisfies the log-Hölder decay condition.. Then φ satisfies $(A2)_\omega$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, there exist $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $p_\infty \in [1, \infty]$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda^{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|} \omega(x) dx < \infty.$$

Let us assume that $\varphi_\infty(t) := t^{p_\infty} \leq 1$. If $p(x) \geq p_\infty$, then $(\lambda t)^{p(x)} \leq t^{p_\infty}$. When $p(x) < p_\infty < \infty$, it follows from Young's inequality that

$$(\lambda t)^{p(x)} \leq t^{p_\infty} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|}}.$$

If $p_\infty = \infty$, then $t^{p_\infty} = 0$ and $\lambda^{\frac{1}{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|}} = \lambda^{p(x)}$. The other case, where $\varphi(x, t) = t^{p(x)} \leq 1$, is analogous. By Lemma 2.4 with

$$h(x) := \lambda^{\frac{1}{\left|\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}\right|}},$$

φ satisfies $(A2)_\omega$. □

Double phase spaces. In the double phase case $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$, with $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, the central issue is the behavior of a around the zero set $\{x : a(x) = 0\}$. M. Colombo and C. Mingione [3] found that the critical Hölder exponent with which a must approach zero is $\frac{n}{p}(q-p)$, which also gives a sufficient condition for (A1) to hold [16]. A similar observation applies in the weighted double phase case.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$ with $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ and $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ non-negative. Then φ satisfies $(A0)$, $(A2)_\omega$, and $(Inc)_p$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 7.2.1 from [14]. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$ with $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ and $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be non-negative. Then φ satisfies $(A1)_\omega$ if and only if*

$$a(x) \lesssim a(y) + \omega(B)^{\frac{q-p}{p}}$$

for every $x, y \in B \cap \Omega$.

Proof. We may notice that $\varphi(x, t) \approx \max\{t^p, a(x)t^q\}$. Then $(A1)_\omega$ becomes

$$\max\{\beta_1^p t^p, a(x)\beta_1^q t^q\} \leq \max\{t^p, a(y)t^q\}$$

for $x, y \in B \cap \Omega$, $\omega(B) \leq 1$ and $\varphi(y, t) \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$. Dividing the inequality by t^q we get

$$\max\{\beta_1^p t^{p-q}, a(x)\beta_1^q\} \leq \max\{t^{p-q}, a(y)\}.$$

This holds trivially if $a(x)\beta_1^q \leq \beta_1^p t^{p-q}$, and thus the condition is equivalent to

$$a(x)\beta_1^q \leq \max\{t^{p-q}, a(y)\}$$

for $a(x)\beta_1^q > \beta_1^p t^{p-q}$.

From $\varphi(y, t) \in [1, \frac{1}{\omega(B)}]$ it follows that $t \leq \frac{1}{\omega(B)^{1/p}}$. Since $p-q < 0$ it suffices to use only the upper bound for t . Thus, the inequality above becomes

$$a(x) \lesssim \max\{a(y), \omega(B)^{\frac{q-p}{p}}\} \approx a(y) + \omega(B)^{\frac{q-p}{p}}. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, *Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **66** (2006), no. 4, 1383–1406.
- [2] I. Chlebicka, P. Gwiazda, A. Świerczewska-Gwiazda, and A. Wróblewska-Kaminska, *Partial differential equations in anisotropic Musielak-Orlicz spaces*, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [3] M. Colombo and G. Mingione, *Regularity for double phase variational problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), no. 2, 443–496.
- [4] D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö, *The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **14** (2011), no. 3, 361–374.
- [5] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, *Variable Lebesgue Spaces: Foundations and Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2013.
- [6] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C. J. Neugebauer, *The maximal function on variable spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **28** (2003), no. 1, 223–238.
- [7] L. Diening, *Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$* , Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), no. 2, 245–253.
- [8] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Ružička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [9] L. Diening and P. Hästö, *Muckenhoupt weights in variable exponent spaces*, 2008. Preprint.
- [10] C. De Filippis and G. Mingione, *Nonuniformly elliptic Schauder theory*, Invent. Math. **234** (2023), 1109–1196.
- [11] D. Gallardo, *Orlicz spaces for which the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded*, Publ. Mat. **32** (1988), no. 2, 261–266.
- [12] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 116, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. Notas de Matemática.
- [13] A. Gogatishvili and V. Kokilashvili, *Criteria of weighted inequalities in Orlicz classes for maximal functions defined on homogeneous type spaces*, Georgian Math. J. **1** (1994), 641–673.

- [14] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö, *Orlicz spaces and Generalized Orlicz Spaces*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 2236, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [15] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and A. Ślabuszewski, *A revised condition for harmonic analysis in generalized Orlicz spaces on unbounded domains*, Math. Nachr. **297** (2024), no. 9, 3184–3191.
- [16] P. Hästö, *The maximal operator on generalized Orlicz spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **269** (2015), no. 12, 4038–4048.
- [17] P. Hästö and J. Ok, *Maximal regularity for non-autonomous differential equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **24** (2022), no. 4, 1285–1334.
- [18] V. Kokilashvili, N. Samko, and S. Samko, *The maximal operator in weighted variable spaces $L^p(\cdot)$* , J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **5** (2007), 299–317.
- [19] V. Kokilashvili, N. Samko, and S. Samko, *Singular operators in variable spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \rho)$ with oscillating weights*, Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), 1145–1156.
- [20] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, *Maximal and Fractional Operators in Weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$ - Spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **20** (2004), no. 2, 493–515.
- [21] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, *A general approach to weighted boundedness of operators of harmonic analysis in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces*, Proc. Razmadze Math. Inst. **145** (2007), 109–116.
- [22] F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, *Boundedness of maximal operators and Sobolev’s inequality on Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (2013), 76–96.
- [23] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [24] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1034, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [25] A. Nekvinda, *Hardy-littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), 255–266.
- [26] L. Pick and M. Růžička, *An example of a space $L^{p(x)}$ on which the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded*, Expo. Math. **19** (2001), 369–371.
- [27] A. Wróblewska-Kaminska, *Existence result for the motion of several rigid bodies in an incompressible non-Newtonian fluid with growth conditions in Orlicz spaces*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), 685–716.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FI-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

Email address: `vertti.hietanen@helsinki.fi`