BANACH LATTICE AM-ALGEBRAS

DAVID MUÑOZ-LAHOZ AND PEDRO TRADACETE

ABSTRACT. An analogue of Kakutani's representation theorem for Banach lattice algebras is provided. We characterize Banach lattice algebras that embed as a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K) precisely as those with a positive approximate identity (e_{γ}) such that $x^*(e_{\gamma}) \to ||x^*||$ for every positive functional x^* . We also show that every Banach lattice algebra with identity other than C(K) admits different product operations which are compatible with the order and the algebraic identity. This complements the classical result, due to Martignon, that on C(K) spaces pointwise multiplication is the unique compatible product.

1. INTRODUCTION

The space C(K) of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space K, with its usual uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, pointwise order and pointwise operations can be seen both as a Banach lattice and as a Banach algebra. In fact, its lattice and algebra structures are very nicely interwoven: for instance, the notions of closed lattice ideals and closed algebraic ideals coincide (and are in correspondence with the closed subsets of K as zero sets); also, it is well known that closed subalgebras of C(K) are sublattices, and closed sublattices containing the constant functions are necessarily subalgebras of C(K) (the latter fact playing a role in some proofs of the classical Stone–Weierstrass theorem). Finally, one can easily observe that the product of positive functions in C(K) is again positive. This seemingly naïve property relating the order and algebra structures lies behind the definition of a Banach lattice algebra: a *Banach lattice algebra* is a Banach lattice that is also a Banach algebra in which the product of positive elements is positive. In the last few years, there has been an increasing interest in understanding the structure of Banach lattice algebras ([2, 3, 6, 9, 15, 26, 27, 28]), thus reviving earlier developments initiated in the 1980s and 90s ([10, 12, 13, 21, 23, 24]).

From the point of view of Banach lattices, C(K) spaces are very well understood. Recall that a Banach lattice X is said to be an AM-space if $||x \vee y|| = ||x|| \vee ||y||$ for all $x, y \in X_+$. If there exists a strong unit $e \in X_+$ such that $||x|| = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |x| \le \lambda e\}$ for all $x \in X$, then X is called an AM-space with unit e. S. Kakutani proved that AM-spaces are just the closed sublattices of C(K):

Theorem 1.1 ([16]).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B42, 46J10, 46J30, 06F25.

Key words and phrases. Banach lattice algebra; AM-space; spaces of continuous functions.

D. MUÑOZ-LAHOZ AND P. TRADACETE

- (i) Every AM-space with unit is lattice isometric to C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K, with the unit corresponding to the constant one function $\mathbb{1}_K$.
- (ii) Every AM-space is lattice isometric to a closed sublattice of C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K. More precisely, there exists a family of pairs of points $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in I} \subseteq K \times K$ and scalars $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [0, 1)$ such that the AM-space is lattice isometric to the closed sublattice of C(K):

$$\{f \in C(K) : f(t_i) = \lambda_i f(s_i) \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

The non-trivial implication in Kakutani's theorem is particularly relevant in Banach lattice theory, as it allows us to view elements in abstract Banach lattices as true functions on some compact Hausdorff space (see for instance [17, Section 1.b]).

From a Banach lattice algebra point of view, it is natural to wonder whether an analogue intrinsic characterization might exist for closed sublattices of C(K) that are also subalgebras. The aim of Section 2 is to answer this question. More precisely, we define an *AM-algebra with unit* to be a Banach lattice algebra which is an AM-space with unit e, where e is also an algebraic identity. We also define an *AM-algebra with approximate unit* to be a Banach lattice algebra with an approximate identity (e_{γ}) such that $x^*(e_{\gamma}) \to ||x^*||$ for every positive functional x^* . After a discussion of these notions, we prove the following analogue of Kakutani's representation theorem for Banach lattice algebras.

Theorem 1.2.

- (i) Every AM-algebra with unit is lattice and algebra isometric to C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K, with the unit corresponding to the constant one function $\mathbb{1}_{K}$.
- (ii) Every AM-algebra with approximate unit is lattice and algebra isometric to a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K. More precisely, there exists a closed set $F \subseteq K$ such that the AM-algebra is lattice and algebra isometric to the closed sublattice-algebra of C(K):

$$\{f \in C(K) : f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in F\}.$$

In particular, it embeds as an order and algebraic ideal in C(K).

Let us explain further the analogy between Theorems 1.1 and 2.2. By Kakutani's theorem, every AM-space with unit is lattice isometric to a C(K) space. When we also have a Banach lattice algebra structure, it seems that the most natural compatibility condition between the order unit and the algebraic structure is to ask for the order unit to be also an algebraic identity. According to previous theorem, this condition characterizes C(K)spaces up to lattice and algebra isometry, just like Kakutani's theorem characterizes C(K)spaces up to lattice isometry as those being an AM-space with unit.

Similarly, by Kakutani's theorem, every AM-space is lattice isometric to a closed sublattice of C(K). Being an AM-space is equivalent to having a positive net (e_{γ}) such that $x^*(e_{\gamma}) \rightarrow ||x^*||$ for every positive functional x^* (see Lemma 2.5). Such a net is what we call an approximate order unit, establishing an analogy with the fact that the norm of every positive functional is attained at an order unit (see Lemma 2.3). When we also have a

 $\mathbf{2}$

Banach lattice algebra structure, it seems that the most natural compatibility condition between the AM-space structure and the algebraic structure is to ask for such an approximate order unit to be an approximate algebraic identity. This property characterizes the closed sublattice-algebras of C(K) up to isometry, just like Kakutani's theorem characterizes closed sublattices of C(K), up to isometry, as those having an approximate order unit (i.e., as those being AM-spaces).

In our proof of Theorem 1.2, the following result, due to L. Martignon, plays a key role.

Proposition 1.3 ([18, Proposition 1.4]). Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $\star: C(K) \times C(K) \to C(K)$ be a binary operation such that C(K) with pointwise order and product \star is a vector lattice algebra. If in addition $\mathbb{1}_K$ is the identity of \star , then \star is the pointwise multiplication.

In other words, if we fix the lattice structure to be given pointwise and the algebraic identity to be given by the constant one function $\mathbb{1}_K$, there exists a unique vector lattice algebra structure on C(K). Since this fact is fundamental in our approach, one could wonder whether there might be other Banach lattice algebras with the same property. In Section 3, it will be shown that this is not the case. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 1.4. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e and order \leq . Suppose that there is a unique product on A that makes it into a vector lattice algebra with identity e and order \leq . Then A is lattice and algebra isometric to C(K), with e corresponding to $\mathbb{1}_{K}$.

2. Sublattice-algebras of C(K)

A Banach lattice algebra is a Banach lattice that at the same time is a Banach algebra in which the product of positive elements is positive. A subset which is both a sublattice and a subalgebra of a Banach lattice algebra will be called a *sublattice-algebra*. We say that a Banach lattice algebra has an identity if it has an algebraic identity of norm one (which is in fact positive, see [5, 26]). The space of continuous functions C(K) on a compact Hausdorff space K, with pointwise order and product, is an example of a Banach lattice algebra with identity. Further classical examples are those of the form $\ell_1(G)$, for a group G, with the convolution product and usual norm and lattice operations, or $L_r(E)$, the space of regular operators on a Dedekind complete Banach lattice E. Some examples of Banach lattice algebras that need not have an identity are certain closed sublattice-algebras of C(K). Our goal is to characterize those Banach lattice algebras that are isometric to a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K).

First note is that if $f \wedge g = 0$ in C(K), and $h \in C(K)_+$, then $(hf) \wedge g = 0 = (fh) \wedge g$. Any sublattice-algebra of C(K) must also satisfy this condition; that is, any closed sublatticealgebra of C(K) must be a *Banach f-algebra*. This shows that not every Banach lattice algebra which is also an AM-space must be a sublattice-algebra of some C(K): the Banach lattice ℓ_{∞}^2 , with product $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (0, x_1x_2)$, is an AM-space, that is also a Banach lattice algebra, but cannot be a sublattice-algebra of C(K), since

$$(1,0)^2 \wedge (0,1) = (0,1)$$
 while $(1,0) \wedge (0,1) = (0,0)$,

(i.e., it is not an f-algebra). Therefore, some relation between the AM-space and algebraic structures has to be required. In the unital case, this relation is straightforward.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e. If A is also an AM-space with (order) unit e, we say that A is an AM-algebra with unit e.

The following theorem asserts that AM-algebras with unit are nothing but algebras of continuous functions, thus proving the first part of Theorem 1.2. As observed in [18], this is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3.

Theorem 2.2. Every AM-algebra with unit is algebra and lattice isometric to C(K), for a certain compact Hausdorff space K.

In order to prove the second part of Theorem 1.2, we need to define the notions of AM-spaces and AM-algebras with approximate unit. The following is a well-known property that motivates our definition.

Lemma 2.3. A Banach lattice X is an AM-space with unit $e \in X_+$ if and only if $x^*(e) = ||x^*||$ for all $x^* \in (X^*)_+$.

Proof. If B_X denotes the unit ball of X and $e \in X_+$ is an order unit, then $B_X = [-e, e]$. For every $x^* \in (X^*)_+$:

$$||x^*|| = \sup_{x \in (B_X)_+} x^*(x) = \sup_{x \in [0,e]} x^*(x) = x^*(e).$$

Conversely, if $x^* \in (X^*)_+$ and $x \in (B_X)_+$, by assumption

$$0 \le x^*(x) \le ||x^*|| = x^*(e)$$

Since this holds for every $x^* \in (X^*)_+$, it follows that $0 \le x \le e$. Since $x \in (B_X)_+$ was arbitrary, $(B_X)_+ \subseteq [0, e]$. Also

$$||e|| = \sup_{x^* \in (B_{X^*})_+} x^*(e) = \sup_{x^* \in (B_{X^*})_+} ||x^*|| = 1,$$

so $[0,e] \subseteq (B_X)_+$. It follows that $B_X = [-e,e]$, and so X is an AM-space with unit e. \Box

Definition 2.4. Let X be a Banach lattice, and let $(e_{\gamma}) \subseteq X_+$ be a net. We say that X is an AM-space with approximate unit (e_{γ}) if $x^*(e_{\gamma}) \to ||x^*||$ for every $x^* \in (X^*)_+$. We also say that (e_{γ}) is an approximate order unit of X.

For this definition to make sense, we need to check that spaces with an approximate order unit are indeed AM-spaces. In fact, this definition is equivalent to that of an AM-space.

Lemma 2.5. A Banach lattice X has an approximate order unit if and only if X is an AM-space.

Proof. Let $(e_{\gamma}) \subseteq X_+$ be an approximate order unit. For $x^*, y^* \in (X^*)_+$:

$$|x^* + y^*|| = \lim(x^* + y^*)(e_{\gamma})$$

= $\lim x^*(e_{\gamma}) + \lim y^*(e_{\gamma})$
= $||x^*|| + ||y^*||.$

This proves that X^* is an AL-space. Hence X is an AM-space.

Conversely, suppose that X is an AM-space. The positive unit ball $(B_X)_+$ is an increasing net; denote it by (e_{γ}) . For $x^* \in (X^*)_+$, $x^*(e_{\gamma})$ is an increasing and bounded net of real numbers. As such, its limit coincides with its supremum:

$$\lim_{\gamma} x^*(e_{\gamma}) = \sup_{\gamma} x^*(e_{\gamma}) = \sup_{x \in (B_X)_+} x^*(x) = \|x^*\|.$$

This shows that $(B_X)_+$ is an approximate order unit.

Remark. A priori, we do not assume that approximate order units are bounded, see next example. However, if the approximate order unit is countable, then it must be bounded by the Uniform Boundedness Principle.

Previous lemma also shows that, if a Banach lattice has an approximate order unit, then it has a bounded approximate order unit (namely, the positive unit ball). In the separable case, one can even find a countable bounded approximate order unit.

Example 2.6. The following is an example of an approximate order unit in C[0,1] with no bounded tails. Denote by M[0,1] the dual of C[0,1], and by

$$U(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n;\varepsilon) = \{ f \in C[0,1] : |\mu_i(f)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots,n \},\$$

where $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in M[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. These form a basis of neighborhoods at 0 for the weak topology. Define

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ U(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n; \varepsilon) : \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M[0, 1], \ \varepsilon > 0 \}.$$

For every $(U,n) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{N}$, we are going to construct a continuous function $f_{(U,n)} \in \mathcal{U}$ with $||f_{(U,n)}||_{\infty} = n$. Suppose $U = U(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k; \varepsilon)$, and define $\mu = |\mu_1| + \cdots + |\mu_k|$. Decompose $\mu = \mu_c + \mu_d$, where μ_c and μ_d are the continuous and discrete parts of μ , respectively. The support of μ_d is at most countable, say $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_d) = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu_d(\{p_i\}_{i=N}^{\infty}) < \varepsilon/(2n)$. Since μ_c is continuous, there exists a non-empty open set $V \subseteq [0,1] \setminus \{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that $\mu_c(V) < \varepsilon/(2n)$. Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in V$. By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a continuous function $f: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that $0 \le f \le 1$, $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq V$ and $f(x_0) = 1$. Then $f_{(U,n)} = nf$ is such that $||f_{(U,n)}||_{\infty} = n$ and

$$|\mu_i(f_{(U,n)})| \le n\mu(f) \le n\mu(V) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k$$

so $f_{(U,n)} \in U$.

If we order $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{N}$ by setting $(U, n) \leq (V, m)$ if and only if $V \subseteq U$ and $n \leq m$, then the net $\{f_{(U,n)}\}_{(U,n)\in\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to zero and is unbounded. Indeed, if W is a weak neighbourhood of 0, there exists $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U_0 \subseteq W$, and then $f_{(U,n)} \in W$ for every $(U, n) \geq (U_0, 1)$. Yet $f_{(U,n)}$ is unbounded as we increase n; in particular, it has no bounded tail. It follows that $\{\mathbb{1} + f_{(U,n)}\}_{(U,n)\in\mathcal{U}\times\mathbb{N}}$ is an approximate order unit with no bounded tail.

Of course, AM-spaces with unit are the same as AM-spaces with an approximate order unit that is constant. The following is another characterization of approximate order units that will be useful later.

Lemma 2.7. Let X be a Banach lattice. A net $(e_{\gamma}) \subseteq X_+$ is an approximate order unit if and only if X^{**} is lattice isometric to C(K), for a certain compact Hausdorff space K, and $e_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{w^*} \mathbb{1}_K$.

Proof. If (e_{γ}) is an approximate order unit, X is an AM-space by Lemma 2.5, and therefore its bidual, being an AM-space with unit, is lattice isometric to C(K) for a certain compact Hausdorff space K. Moreover, the element $\mathbb{1}_{K}$ is such that $\mathbb{1}_{K}(x^{*}) = ||x^{*}||$ for all $x^{*} \in (X^{*})_{+}$, because $B_{X^{**}} = [-\mathbb{1}_{K}, \mathbb{1}_{K}]$. Then $x^{*}(e_{\gamma}) \to ||x^{*}|| = \mathbb{1}_{K}(x^{*})$ for all $x^{*} \in (X^{*})_{+}$; in other words, $e_{\gamma} \to \mathbb{1}_{K}$ in the weak^{*} topology. The converse follows easily from this last observation.

The algebraic counterpart of approximate order units are approximate algebraic identities. A net (e_{γ}) in a Banach algebra A is said to be a *left* (resp. *right*) approximate (algebraic) identity if $e_{\gamma}x \to x$ (resp. $xe_{\gamma} \to x$) for all $x \in A$. Of course, this is a standard definition in Banach algebras (see [19, Chapter 5]). When we omit the left or right specification, it means that it is both a left and a right approximate identity.

Putting together the notions of approximate order unit and approximate algebraic identity, we get the approximate analogue of AM-algebras with unit.

Definition 2.8. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra and let $(e_{\gamma}) \subseteq A_+$. We say that A is an AM-algebra with approximate unit (e_{γ}) if (e_{γ}) is both an approximate order unit and an approximate algebraic identity.

- **Example 2.9.** (i) An AM-algebra with unit *e* is also an AM-algebra with approximate unit, in which the approximate unit is the constantly *e* sequence.
 - (ii) The Banach lattice c_0 with supremum norm and coordinatewise order and product is an AM-algebra with approximate unit $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\right)_n$, where $e_i(i) = 1$ and $e_i(j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.
 - (iii) Any Banach lattice algebra with identity that is not an AM-space shows that we can have approximate algebraic identities without having approximate order units. This is the case for the Banach lattice algebra $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$, where the product is given by convolution.
 - (iv) If X is an AM-space, we can always endow it with the identically zero product, and it will become a Banach lattice algebra with an approximate order unit that has no approximate algebraic identity (unless $X = \{0\}$).
 - (v) Even if a Banach lattice algebra has an approximate order unit and an approximate algebraic identity, it may not be an AM-algebra with approximate unit, see Example 2.14.

AM-algebras with approximate unit are nothing but the closed sublattices of C(K) that are, at the same time, subalgebras.

Theorem 2.10. A Banach lattice algebra A is an AM-algebra with approximate unit if and only if it is lattice and algebra isometric to a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K), for a certain compact Hausdorff K. For the proof of this theorem we need to introduce some properties of the Arens products. Given Banach spaces A, B and C, and a bounded bilinear map $P: A \times B \to C$, its Arens adjoint is the bounded bilinear map $P^*: C^* \times A \to B^*$ defined by $P^*(\phi, a)(b) = \phi(P(a, b))$ for every $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $\phi \in C^*$; when A = B = C, its transpose is the bounded bilinear map $P^t: A \times A \to A$ defined by $P^t(b, a) = P(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. The bilinear map P is called Arens regular if $P^{t***t} = P^{***}$.

In the particular case that A is a Banach algebra with product $P: A \times A \to A$, both P^{***} and P^{t***t} define products on A^{**} , called the *first* and *second Arens product*, respectively. When A is a Banach lattice algebra, the first and second Arens product make A^{**} into a Banach lattice algebra with the usual lattice structure (see [14]). These products extend P, in the sense that the canonical isometry $A \to A^{**}$ is an algebra homomorphism. When P is Arens regular, the first and second Arens product coincide, and the algebra A is said to be Arens regular.

It turns out that identities for the Arens products are closely related to approximate identities in the original algebra.

Proposition 2.11 ([19, Proposition 5.1.9]). Let A be a Banach algebra, with canonical embedding $j: A \to A^{**}$, and let (e_{γ}) be a left (resp. right) approximate identity. If $j(e_{\gamma})$ weak^{*} converges to some $e \in A^{**}$, then e is a left (resp. right) identity for the second (resp. first) Arens product.

Arens regularity of bilinear operators and algebras has been extensively studied in the literature. In this line, G. Buskes and R. Page provided in [7] several equivalent conditions for all bilinear and positive operators defined on a Banach lattice to be Arens regular. Here we will only need the following one.

Theorem 2.12 ([7]). Let E be a Banach lattice. Every positive bilinear map $P: E \times E \to E$ is Arens regular if and only if ℓ_1 does not lattice embed in E.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.10.

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let A be an AM-algebra with approximate unit $(e_{\gamma}) \subseteq A_+$. Since A is an AM-space, its bidual A^{**} is lattice isometric to C(K) for a certain compact Hausdorff K. From Theorem 2.12 it follows that A is Arens regular, because, being an AM-space, ℓ_1 does not embed, as a lattice, in A. Endow A^{**} with the Arens product. Then A^{**} is a Banach lattice algebra, and the canonical isometric embedding $j: A \to A^{**}$ is both a lattice and algebra homomorphism.

By Lemma 2.7, $j(e_{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{w^*} \mathbb{1}_K$ in C(K). At the same time, by Proposition 2.11, we have that $\mathbb{1}_K$ is the identity of A^{**} , because both Arens products coincide, and $\mathbb{1}_K$ is the w^* limit of the two-sided approximate identity (e_{γ}) . Recapitulating, we have that A^{**} is lattice isometric to C(K), and we have endowed this space with a product, the Arens product, that makes it into a Banach lattice algebra with identity $\mathbb{1}_K$. According to Proposition 1.3, this product can only be the pointwise product, so A^{**} is both lattice and algebra isometric to C(K). Conversely, if A is a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K), then the positive unit ball $(B_A)_+$ is an increasing net that is an approximate order unit by Lemma 2.5. It is also an approximate algebraic identity, by the standard argument of C*-algebra theory (see [8, Theorem I.4.8] and note that, even though the natural scalar field for C*-algebras is \mathbb{C} , the same argument works for closed subalgebras of a real C(K)). Hence A is an AM-algebra with approximate unit.

At this point, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is almost done. The remaining details are completed after the restatement of the theorem.

Theorem 1.2.

- (i) Every AM-algebra with unit is lattice and algebra isometric to C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K, with the unit corresponding to the constant one function $\mathbb{1}_{K}$.
- (ii) Every AM-algebra with approximate unit is lattice and algebra isometric to a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K) for some compact Hausdorff space K. More precisely, there exists a closed set $F \subseteq K$ such that the AM-algebra is lattice and algebra isometric to the closed sublattice-algebra of C(K):

$$\{ f \in C(K) : f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in F \}.$$

In particular, it embeds as an order and algebraic ideal in C(K).

Proof. (i) is contained in Theorem 2.2. To prove (ii), let A be an AM-algebra with approximate unit. According to Theorem 2.10, we can see A as a closed sublattice-algebra of C(L), for a certain compact Hausdorff L. Since A is a closed sublattice of C(L), in virtue of another result by S. Kakutani (see [16, Theorem 3]), there exists a family of pairs of points $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in I} \subseteq L \times L$ and scalars $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that

$$A = \{ f \in C(L) : f(t_i) = \lambda_i f(s_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \}.$$

Given $i \in I$, if $f \in A$, then $f^2(t_i) = f(t_i)^2 = \lambda_i^2 f^2(s_i)$, but since also $f^2 \in A$, $f^2(t_i) = \lambda_i f^2(s_i)$, and we must have $\lambda_i^2 f(s_i)^2 = \lambda_i f(s_i)^2$. This only leaves three possibilities: either $f(s_i) = 0$ for every $f \in A$, in which case $f(t_i) = 0 = f(s_i)$, or $\lambda_i = 0$, in which case $f(t_i) = f(s_i)$.

Collecting these cases, one gets a family of pairs of points $\{(u_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J} \subseteq L \times L$ and a family of points $\{w_p\}_{p \in P} \subseteq L$ such that

$$A = \{ f \in C(L) : f(u_j) = f(v_j) \text{ and } f(w_p) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J, p \in P \}.$$

To obtain the desired result, it only remains to "glue together" u_j and v_j for every $j \in J$. Even though this is a standard procedure, we work out the details for the sake of completeness.

Consider the relation defined by $\{(u_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ in L. Equivalently, $t \sim s$ in L if and only if f(t) = f(s) for all $f \in A$. This is certainly an equivalence relation. Consider the set $K = L/\sim$ with the quotient topology, and let $Q: L \to K$ be the quotient map. Note that for each $f \in A$, the map $\tilde{f}: K \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\tilde{f}(Qs) = f(s)$ is well-defined and continuous. Whenever $Qs \neq Qt$, there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(s) \neq f(t)$. Hence, $\tilde{f}(Qs) \neq \tilde{f}(Qt)$, which in particular implies that K is Hausdorff. Since K = Q(L), and Q is continuous, it also follows that K is compact.

There is an isometric embedding

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T \colon & A & \longrightarrow & C(K) \\ & f & \longmapsto & \tilde{f} \end{array}$$

Indeed, T is certainly linear and

$$\sup_{Q(s)\in K} |\tilde{f}(Q(s))| = \sup_{s\in L} |f(s)|.$$

Also,

$$|\tilde{f}|(Qs) = |\tilde{f}(Qs)| = |f(s)| = |f|(s)$$
 and $fg(Qs) = (fg)(s) = f(s)g(s) = \tilde{f}(Qs)\tilde{g}(Qs)$,

for all $f, g \in A$ and $s \in L$, so T is a lattice and algebra homomorphism. Let now F be the closure of $\{Qw_p : p \in P\} \subset K$. It is straightforward to check that

$$T(A) = \{ g \in C(K) : g(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in F \}.$$

Remark. Previous result could also be compared to other works which study embeddings of an (ordered) Banach algebra as an (ordered) subalgebra of C(K) such as [20]. In [20, Corollary 3.5], H. Render shows that a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and a closed multiplicative cone containing all squares is order and algebra isomorphic to a subalgebra of C(K). Note that this result is quite different from the one presented here, since H. Render is not assuming that the algebra is a lattice, and the conclusion is not of an isometric nature.

Moreover, the condition that squares are positive is closely related to being an *almost* f-algebra (i.e., $a \wedge b = 0$ implies ab = 0). This connects with the result by E. Scheffold [22, Satz 2.4] that an almost f-algebra is semi-simple (i.e., its Jacobson radical is zero) if and only if it is isomorphic to a separating sublattice-algebra of $C_0(X)$, where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Note that this result characterizes separating sublattice-algebras of $C_0(X)$, but only in an isomorphic manner. The theorem given here, however, characterizes sublattice-algebras of C(K) isometrically. Both Render and Scheffold's results use adaptations of the Gelfand transform, while the technique presented here relies heavily on Banach lattice results and Proposition 1.3.

To finish this section, we extract a couple of consequences of the theorem. The first one is straightforward.

Corollary 2.13. Every AM-algebra with approximate unit is an f-algebra.

With this corollary we can come back to the example left hanging in Example 2.9.

Example 2.14. Even if a Banach lattice algebra has an approximate order unit and an approximate algebraic identity, it need not be an AM-algebra with approximate unit. Consider the Banach lattice $c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ with supremum norm and coordinatewise order. For $(x, \lambda), (y, \mu) \in c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$, define the product:

$$(x,\lambda)(y,\mu) = (xy + \lambda y + \mu x, \lambda \mu).$$

Then $c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is a Banach lattice algebra with identity (0, 1). It is also an AM-space, so it has an approximate order unit. However, it is not an AM-algebra with approximate unit, because it is not an *f*-algebra (if $x \in c_0$ is nonzero, then $(x, 0) \land (0, 1) = (0, 0)$, but $(x, 0)(0, 1) = (x, 0) \neq (0, 0)$).

A precise formulation of the second consequence requires working over the complex field, and therefore requires the introduction of complex Banach lattice algebras. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. Applying the procedure for the complexification of Banach lattices (see [1, Section 3.2]) one obtains the complex Banach lattice $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ with norm $||z||_{\mathbb{C}} = |||z|||$. Together with the usual product

$$(x_1 + ix_2)(y_1 + iy_2) = (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2) + i(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$$
 where $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in A$,

the space $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a complex algebra, which has an identity precisely when A has one. This product is compatible with the complex lattice structure in the sense that $|z_1z_2| \leq |z_1||z_2|$, for all $z_1, z_2 \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. This fact is not trivial, and a proof may be found in [11]. The submultiplicativity of the norm on A immediately implies that $||z_1z_2||_{\mathbb{C}} \leq ||z_1||_{\mathbb{C}}||z_2||_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is also a complex Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}}$. The space $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ with its structures of complex Banach lattice and complex Banach algebra is called a *complex Banach lattice algebra*. When A has an identity, we emphasize it by saying that $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *complex Banach lattice algebra with identity*.

For example, if K is a compact Hausdorff space, the complexification of C(K) may be identified with $C(K, \mathbb{C})$, the space of continuous complex-valued functions on K. Similarly, the complexification $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ of any closed sublattice-algebra A of C(K) (i.e., of any AM-algebra with approximate unit) will be a subalgebra of $C(K, \mathbb{C})$. It is clear that $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, being the complexification of A, is closed under involution. It follows that it is a C*-algebra, in which the cone of self-adjoint elements with positive spectrum (i.e., the positive elements in the sense of C*-algebras) is precisely A_+ . Conversely, by a result of S. Sherman [25], any C*-algebra $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ in which the cone of positive elements (in the C*-algebra sense) forms a lattice, must be commutative, hence a closed subalgebra of $C(K, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be the complexification of $A \cap C(K)$, which is a closed sublattice-algebra of C(K), hence an AM-algebra with approximate unit. This discussion is summarized in the final corollary.

Corollary 2.15. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. Its complexification $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be endowed with a C^{*}-algebra structure in such a way that A_+ is the cone of self-adjoint elements with positive spectrum if and only if A is an AM-algebra with approximate unit.

3. BANACH LATTICE ALGEBRAS WITH UNIQUE MULTIPLICATION

Recall that a vector lattice algebra is a vector lattice together with a real algebra structure in which the product of positive elements is positive. A vector lattice algebra with identity is a vector lattice algebra together with a positive algebraic identity. Recall also from Proposition 1.3 in the introduction that, fixed the pointwise lattice structure and the algebraic identity to be the constant one function, there is a single product in C(K) that makes it a vector lattice algebra (namely, the pointwise product). The goal of this section is to prove the converse of this result. **Theorem 1.4.** Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e and order \leq . Suppose that there is a unique product on A that makes it into a vector lattice algebra with identity e and order \leq . Then A is lattice and algebra isometric to C(K), with e corresponding to $\mathbb{1}_{K}$.

Remark. In contrast, given an Archimedean f-algebra with positive identity, there is a unique f-algebra product having the same identity (see [4, Theorem 2.58]). Thus, if we only consider f-algebra products, then every single Archimedean f-algebra with positive identity has uniqueness of the product.

Before we can proceed to the proof, we need the following properties of Banach lattice algebras with identity.

Theorem 3.1. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e, and let

 $A_e = \{ a \in A : |a| \le \lambda e \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \}$

be the (order) ideal generated by the identity element.

- (i) The space A_e is a Banach lattice algebra, lattice and algebra isometric to C(K), for a certain compact Hausdorff space K.
- (ii) The ideal A_e is a principal projection band in A.

Sketch of proof. It is not difficult to check that $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$, where $\|x\|_e = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |x| \le \lambda e\}$ for $x \in A_e$, is an AM-algebra with unit e. By Theorem 1.2 there exists a compact Hausdorff space K such that $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is lattice and algebra isometric to C(K). One can check that A_e is such that if $x \in A_e$ is invertible in A, then $x^{-1} \in A_e$; in particular, the spectral radius r(x) is the same computed with respect to A_e or the whole A. From the identification of A_e with C(K) it is then clear that $\|x\|_e = r(x) \le \|x\|$. And since $\|x\| \le \|x\|_e \|e\| = \|x\|_e$ it follows $\|x\| = \|x\|_e$ for every $x \in A_e$.

For the second part, see [12, Theorems 1 and 2].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If $A = A_e$, then the result follows from Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $A \neq A_e$. We are going to exhibit another product that makes A a vector lattice algebra with identity e.

Let $P: A \to A$ be the band projection onto A_e , and let P^d be its disjoint complement. Let $\alpha, \beta \in A_e^*$ be multiplicative functionals with $\alpha(e) = \beta(e) = 1$ (if we identify A_e with C(K), α and β are just evaluations at points of K). For $x, y \in A$, define their product \star as

$$x \star y = PxPy + \alpha(Px)P^dy + P^dx\beta(Py).$$

First we have to check that \star is actually a product. It is clear from the definition that \star is bilinear. For convenice of the reader we include the details for checking associativity: Given $x, y, z \in A$, note that $P(x \star y) = PxPy$ whereas $P^d(x \star y) = \alpha(Px)P^dy + P^dx\beta(Py)$. Then

$$(x \star y) \star z = P(x \star y)Pz + \alpha(P(x \star y))P^{d}z + P^{d}(x \star y)\beta(Pz)$$

= $PxPyPz + \alpha(PxPy)P^{d}z + \alpha(Px)\beta(Pz)P^{d}y + \beta(Py)\beta(Pz)P^{d}x,$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \star (y \star z) &= PxP(y \star z) + \alpha(Px)P^d(y \star z) + P^d x\beta(P(y \star z)) \\ &= PxPyPz + \alpha(Px)\alpha(Py)P^d z + \alpha(Px)\beta(Pz)P^d y + \beta(PyPz)P^d x. \end{aligned}$$

Since α and β are multiplicative, it follows that $(x \star y) \star z = x \star (y \star z)$.

Now, it is clear that if $x, y \in A_+$ then $x \star y \in A_+$. Also,

$$x \star e = PxPe + P^d x \beta(Pe) = x$$
 and $e \star x = PePx + \alpha(Pe)P^d x = x$,

so e is still the identity for \star . We have thus shown that A, equipped with this product, is a vector lattice algebra with identity e.

If $A_e \neq \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., if the compact K has more than one point), different functionals α and β will give different products. Also, if there exist $x, y \in A_e^d$ such that $xy \neq 0$, then $x \star y = 0$, and the product \star is different from the one we started with. Thus, in these cases we have at least two different products.

It remains to check what happens when $A_e = \mathbb{R}$ and xy = 0 for all $x, y \in A_e^d$. In this case, any $x, y \in A$ can be written as $x = \lambda e + x'$ and $y = \mu e + y'$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, x', y' \in A_e^d$, and then

$$xy = \lambda \mu e + \lambda y' + \mu x'$$

Let $\phi \in (A_e^d)^*_+$ be a non-zero functional, let $x_0 \in (A_e^d)_+$ be a non-zero element, and define the product

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= \lambda \mu e + \lambda y' + \mu x' + \phi(x')\phi(y')x_0. \end{aligned}$$

This is clearly bilinear. Let $z = \nu e + z'$, with $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z' \in A_e^d$, then
 $(x * y) * z &= \lambda \mu \nu e + \lambda \mu z' + \nu(\lambda y' + \mu x' + \phi(x')\phi(y')x_0) + \phi(\lambda y' + \mu x' + \phi(x')\phi(y')x_0)\phi(z')x_0 = \lambda \mu \nu e + \lambda \nu z' + \nu \lambda y' + \nu \mu x' + [\nu \phi(x')\phi(y') + \lambda \phi(y')\phi(z') + \mu \phi(x')\phi(z') + \phi(x')\phi(y')\phi(z')\phi(x_0)] = x * (y * z), \end{aligned}$

and this shows that it is associative. If $x \in A_+$, then $\lambda \ge 0$ and $x' \in A_+$, so the product of positive elements is positive. It is straightforward that e is the identity for this product; hence A with * is a vector lattice algebra with identity e. We have chosen ϕ to be a non-zero functional; pick $x \in A_e^d$ such that $\phi(x) \ne 0$. Then $x * x = \phi(x)^2 x_0 \ne 0$, whereas $x^2 = 0$ in the original product of A. We have again exhibited two different products with the desired properties, and this finishes the proof. \Box

 x_0

Remark. In our definition of Banach lattice algebras with identity we assumed that the identity had norm 1, following [26]. However, it is also common to only ask for the identity to be positive. This condition is weaker (see [5]). If we only assume positivity of the identity element in Theorem 3.1, then A_e is lattice and algebra isomorphic to C(K) (but not isometric in general), and similarly in Theorem 1.4 we can only conclude that the Banach lattice algebra is isomorphic to C(K). The results of Section 2 are not affected by this change.

12

BANACH LATTICE AM-ALGEBRAS

Acknowledgements

Research supported by grants PID2020-116398GB-I00 and CEX2019-000904-S funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. Research of D. Muñoz-Lahoz supported by an FPI–UAM 2023 contract funded by Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Research of P. Tradacete also supported by a 2022 Leonardo Grant for Researchers and Cultural Creators, BBVA Foundation.

References

- 1. Y. A. Abramovich and C. D. Aliprantis, An invitation to operator theory, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 50, American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- 2. E. A. Alekhno, The irreducibility in ordered Banach algebras, Positivity 16 (2012), no. 1, 143–176.
- 3. _____, On the peripheral spectrum of positive elements, Positivity 22 (2018), no. 4, 931–968.
- 4. C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, reprint of the 1985 original ed., Springer, 2006.
- S. J. Bernau and C. B. Huijsmans, On the positivity of the unit element in a normed lattice ordered algebra, Studia Mathematica 97 (1990), no. 2, 143–149.
- A. Blanco, On the automatic regularity of derivations from Riesz subalgebras of L^r(X), Indag. Math., New Ser. 34 (2023), no. 1, 143–167.
- G. Buskes and R. Page, A positive note on a counterexample by Arens, Quaestiones Mathematicae 28 (2005), no. 1, 117–121.
- 8. K. R. Davidson, C^{*}-algebras by example, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- M. de Jeu, Free vector lattices and free vector lattice algebras, Positivity and its applications. Proceedings from the conference Positivity X, Pretoria, South Africa, July 8—12, 2019 (2021), 103–139.
- 10. J. J. Grobler, The zero-two law in Banach lattice algebras, Isr. J. Math. 64 (1988), no. 1, 32–38.
- 11. C. B. Huijsmans, An inequality in complex Riesz algebras, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica **20** (1985), 29–32.
- 12. _____, Elements with unit spectrum in a Banach lattice algebra, Indagationes Mathematicae **50** (1988), no. 1, 43–51.
- _____, A characterization of complex lattice homomorphisms on Banach lattice algebras, Quaestiones Mathematicae 18 (1995), no. 1-3, 131–140.
- 14. C. B. Huijsmans and B. de Pagter, *The order bidual of lattice ordered algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **59** (1984), 41–64.
- 15. J. Jaber, *The Fremlin projective tensor product of Banach lattice algebras*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **488** (2020), no. 2, 9.
- S. Kakutani, Concrete representation of abstract (M)-spaces. (A characterization of the space of continuous functions.), Annals of Mathematics. Second Series 42 (1941), 994–1024.
- J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical banach spaces II. function spaces, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- L. Martignon, Banach f-algebras and Banach lattice algebras with unit, Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática 11 (1980), no. 1, 11–17.
- T. W. Palmer, Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras. volume i: algebras and Banach algebras, Encycl. Math. Appl., vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- 20. H. Render, Lattice structures of ordered Banach algebras, Ill. J. Math. 36 (1992), no. 2, 238–250.
- E. Scheffold, Über komplexe Banachverbandsalgebren, Journal of Functional Analysis 37 (1980), 382–400 (German).
- 22. ____, FF-Banachverbandsalgebren, Mathematische Zeitschrift 177 (1981), 193–205.
- Banachverbandsalgebren mit einer natürlichen Wedderburn-Zerlegung, Mathematische Zeitschrift 185 (1984), 521–531 (German).

D. MUÑOZ-LAHOZ AND P. TRADACETE

- 24. _____, Über Banachverbandsalgebren mit multiplikativen Zerlegungseigenschaft. (Banach lattice algebras with multiplicative decomposition property), Acta Math. Hung. **52** (1988), no. 3-4, 273–289.
- 25. S. Sherman, Order in operator algebras, American Journal of Mathematics 73 (1951), 227–232.
- A. W. Wickstead, Banach lattice algebras: some questions, but very few answers, Positivity 21 (2017), no. 2, 803–815.
- 27. ____, Ordered Banach algebras and multi-norms: some open problems, Positivity **21** (2017), no. 2, 817–823.
- 28. ____, Two dimensional unital Riesz algebras, their representations and norms, Positivity **21** (2017), no. 2, 787–801.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVES-TIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13–15, CAMPUS DE CANTOBLANCO UAM, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN.

Email address: david.munnozl@uam.es

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVES-TIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13–15, CAMPUS DE CANTOBLANCO UAM, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN.

Email address: pedro.tradacete@icmat.es