

Boltzmann Sampling by Diabatic Quantum Annealing

Ju-Yeon Gyhm,¹ Gilhan Kim,¹ Hyukjoon Kwon,² and Yongjoo Baek^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy & Center for Theoretical Physics,
Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea* †

²*School of Computational Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea*

(Dated: September 27, 2024)

It has been proposed that diabatic quantum annealing (DQA), which turns off the transverse field at a finite speed, produces samples well described by the Boltzmann distribution. We analytically show that, up to linear order in quenching time, the DQA approximates a high-temperature Boltzmann distribution. Our theory yields an explicit relation between the quenching rate of the DQA and the temperature of the Boltzmann distribution. Based on this result, we discuss how the DQA can be utilized to train the Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM).

I. INTRODUCTION

Based on the adiabatic theorem, quantum annealing [1] has been proposed as a method to achieve optimization via quantum fluctuations rather than thermal fluctuations. Remarkably, recent studies [2–4] have reported that the samples produced by the D-wave quantum annealers [5] are close to the Boltzmann distribution, although theoretical justifications are lacking.

We note that finding the ground state via conventional quantum annealing can be viewed as sampling the Boltzmann distribution at zero temperature ($\beta = \infty$). On the other hand, if the transverse external field is instantaneously quenched to zero, the resulting samples should obey the infinite-temperature Boltzmann distribution ($\beta = 0$). Then, one can naturally conjecture that diabatic quantum annealing (DQA), which turns off the transverse field at a finite speed, would lead to the Boltzmann distribution at a finite temperature.

In this study, we analytically show that the projective probabilities of states obtained through a finite-speed linear decrease in the transverse field approximately corresponds to the Boltzmann sampling at a finite temperature in the high-speed (high-temperature) limit. We test our prediction using the two-dimensional and the all-to-all Ising models, observing an agreement between the two distributions. While this agreement is limited to the high-temperature regime, it is good enough to be used in the training of restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM) whose weights remain small throughout the training.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our theory that matches annealing speed to temperature. In Sec. III, we compare the target Boltzmann distribution of the RBMs with the estimated distribution obtained by our method. In Sec. IV, we check how well our proposed method reproduces the statistics of the system's physical observables. In Sec. V, we show the details of our analytical derivation. Finally, we summarize our findings and conclude in Sec. VI.

II. METHOD

We consider the conventional quantum annealing model using the x -directional magnetic field and the problem Hamiltonian, whose eigenbasis are z -directional spin configuration states. The time-dependent Hamiltonian for quantum annealing is given by

$$\hat{H}(t) = A(t)\hat{H}_x + B(t)\hat{H}_P, \quad (1)$$

for time, $0 \leq t \leq T$. $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are arbitrary functions that determine a time dependency of the Hamiltonian. They have the boundary conditions, $A(0) \gg B(0)$ at the initial time, and $A(T) \ll B(T)$ at the final time to ensure problem-solving.

\hat{H}_x is the Hamiltonian of the x -directional magnetic field,

$$\hat{H}_x = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x, \quad (2)$$

while \hat{H}_P is represented as the Ising interaction with z -directional magnetic fields,

$$\hat{H}_P = - \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \sigma_i^z - \sum_{i>j}^N J_{ij} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z. \quad (3)$$

h_i and J_{ij} are given as the problem, and what we want to gain is the ground state of the problem Hamiltonian, \hat{H}_P , which has the basis as the z -directional spin configuration.

The final state, $|\psi_f\rangle$, at the end of quantum annealing with given $A(t)$ and $B(t)$, is described by unitary evolution as

$$|\psi_f\rangle = \mathcal{T} \int_0^T dt \exp(-i\hat{H}(t)) \bigotimes_{i=1}^N |X-\rangle_i. \quad (4)$$

We assume that the state is initially prepared to the ground state of \hat{H}_x , as $|\psi_i\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N |X-\rangle_i$ at $t = 0$, where $|X-\rangle_i$ is an eigenstate of σ_i^x as $\sigma_i^x |X-\rangle_i = -|X-\rangle_i$. We use the atomic units in this letter as $\hbar = 1$.

By the adiabatic theorem, the final state is equal to the ground state of \hat{H}_P if $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ slowly vary

* y.baek@snu.ac.kr

† These authors contributed equally to this work.




FIG. 1. (a) The histograms of the components of the weight matrix of the RBMs. 10 trained matrices are used. The smaller the weight deviation, the better the DQA performance. (b-d) The Boltzmann distribution represented by the RBM (blue line) and its estimated distribution by DQA (black dots). These are the results from the RBMs trained by (b) disordered data ($T=16$ 2D Ising model) (c) critical data ($T=3.6$ 2D Ising model) (d) ordered data ($T=1.9$ 2D Ising model).

with sufficiently long total time T . Meanwhile, the final state is a superposition of the ground state and excited states with finite total time in general. We know that the basis of \hat{H}_P are the z-directional spin configurations, $|\mathbf{r}\rangle = |r_1 r_2 \dots r_N\rangle$, which allows us to represent the final state as $|\psi_f\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \psi_f(\mathbf{r}) |\mathbf{r}\rangle$. We define the distribution of $P_Q(\mathbf{r}) = |\psi_f(\mathbf{r})|^2$ as the estimated distribution from diabatic quantum annealing.

We prove there is a relationship between the estimated distribution, $P_Q(\mathbf{r})$, and the Boltzmann distribution of the modified Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}'_P = - \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \sigma_i^z - c_2 \sum_{i>j}^N J_{ij} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z. \quad (5)$$

with finite temperature, $\beta = 1/T$. Formally, the Boltzmann distribution is given as $P_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E'_r}$, where $E'_r = \langle \mathbf{r} | \hat{H}'_P | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ and Z is the partition function, $Z = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} e^{-\beta E'_r}$. β and c_2 are not fitting parameters but are uniquely determined by the lowest order of the Dyson series. Since the relationship is valid for the lowest order of energy, the relationship is only valid for the high temperature limit. We refer to the chapter V for detailed proof and how $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ determine β and c_2 .

Although the relationship exists for any $A(t)$ and $B(t)$, we mainly deal with one of the simplest schedules, $A(t) = \alpha(T - t)$ and $B(t) = 1$. The above linear function $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ determine the temperature as

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}} \quad (6)$$

and modifying factor as $c_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

III. ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION OF THE RESTRICTED BOLTZMANN MACHINE

When we train the RBMs, our goal is to decrease the Kullback-Leibler divergence between training data's distribution and the model's distribution. To achieve this, one need to estimate the model's distribution using Monte Carlo sampling. At this point, diabatic quantum annealing can be used. With the speed corresponds to

$\beta = 1$, the sampled results would be not the ground state but the Boltzmann distribution at $\beta = 1$. According to the characteristics of the data used to train the RBMs, the deviations of the components of the weight matrix are different as shown in Fig. 1(d). We do not discuss this, but concentrate on the fact that qualities of the DQA are dependent to the deviation of the deviation. When high temperature Ising model (i.e. disordered data) used, the deviation of the matrix components is small, makes the DQA quality very high as shown in Fig. 1(c). Conversely, when low temperature Ising data (i.e. ordered data) are used, the deviation of the weight matrix was large, makes the DQA quality not good, but still hold the tendency following the Boltzmann distribution as shown in Fig. 1(a).

So far, a former study already tried to utilize quantum annealing to train the RBMs [3]. However, the sampling result of quantum annealing is supposed to be the Boltzmann sampling of $\beta = \infty$, which means, only ground state is the original target of the sampling process. Of course the hardware systems of D-wave corporation are not yet perfect, the sampling results contain a few excited states making the distribution closer to a low temperature Boltzmann distribution. However, it is closer to a coincidence rather than a logical conclusion.

IV. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION AND THE BOLTZMANN DISTRIBUTION

We calculate the Kullback-Leibler divergence(KL divergence) for several well-known models to confirm how the estimated distribution is similar to the Boltzmann distribution. The KL divergence represents how one distribution is different from the true distribution, which is written by

$$D_{KL}(P_B \| P_Q) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_B(\mathbf{r}) \ln \frac{P_B(\mathbf{r})}{P_Q(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (7)$$

We simulate the quantum annealing with problem Hamiltonians as representative models composed of the two-dimensional Ising model and all-to-all Ising model.




FIG. 2. KL divergence between target distribution and its DQA-estimated distributions (left: all to all Ising model, right: 2D Ising model). For high temperature distributions, the DQA's estimation performance is appreciable. However, near critical temperature and low temperature, it is not.

The Hamiltonian of all-to-all Ising model is written as

$$\hat{H}_P = - \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{N} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z \quad (8)$$

which has a critical point at $\beta_C = 1$. Since the all-to-all Ising model satisfies the mean-field theory, we introduce the two-dimensional Ising model which does not follow the mean-field theory to confirm whether the mean-field theory is significant for the quality of estimated distribution. The Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional Ising model is given by

$$\hat{H}_P = - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq L} (\sigma_{i,j}^z \sigma_{i+1,j}^z + \sigma_{i,j}^z \sigma_{i,j+1}^z), \quad (9)$$

for L length square lattice with periodic condition. The critical point is known as $\beta_C = 2/\ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$

By numerical calculation of time ordered integral, Eq. (4), we prepare the estimated probability, P_Q , with different time gradient, α , which have corresponding Boltzmann distribution, P_B , with different $\beta = \sqrt{\pi/2\alpha}$. Fig. 2 provides the dependence of KL divergence on β . They describes the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the target Boltzmann distribution and the estimated distribution. For paramagnetic phases, the KL divergences are very close to zero, while it is very high near critical point and low temperature regimes.

Fig. 2 shows the KL divergences between the theoretical boltzmann distributions and the estimated distribution using the DQA. Both the all-to-all Ising model and the 2D Ising model's cases, the DQA is appreciable for high temperature regime, while near critical temperature and low temperature regime, it is not. Also, as the system sizes increases, the temperature that the DQA's quality decrease increases.

The quantum expectation value for an observable, \hat{O} , is given as $\overline{O}_Q = \langle \psi_f | \hat{O} | \psi_f \rangle$. When the observable has the basis as $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$, the quantum expectation value becomes, $\overline{O}_Q = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_Q(\mathbf{r}) \langle \mathbf{r} | \hat{O} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$. The corresponding Boltzmann distribution has The thermal expectation given by $\overline{O}_B = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_B(\mathbf{r}) \langle \mathbf{r} | \hat{O} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ for observable \hat{O} . Obviously, the deviation of the quantum expectation from the thermal expectation indicates how both distributions differ.

Fig. 4 shows the behaviors of the observables-magnetization, magnetic susceptibility and the binder cumulant. For both models, the differences between theoretical values and the estimated values using the DQA are maximum near critical temperature. Unlike the Fig. 2, the estimated values are very close to theoretical values at low temperature. This phenomenon is because at low temperatures, there is no state other than the ground state.

V. DERIVATION OF THE EFFECTIVE TEMPERATURE

Denoting by \mathbf{r} the z -directional spin configuration, the problem Hamiltonian \hat{H}_P can generally be written as

$$\hat{H}_P = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} E_{\mathbf{r}} |\mathbf{r}\rangle \langle \mathbf{r}|. \quad (10)$$

Meanwhile, we can also write $\hat{H}_P = \sum_n \hat{H}_{P,n}$, where

$$\hat{H}_{P,n} = - \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} J_{i_1 \dots i_n} \sigma_{i_1}^z \dots \sigma_{i_n}^z \quad (11)$$

represents the n -spin interactions. Combining these two expressions, the energy of each $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ can also be expressed as $E_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_n \langle \mathbf{r} | \hat{H}_{P,n} | \mathbf{r} \rangle = \sum_n E_{\mathbf{r},n}$.

Expanding Eq. (4), the final state $|\psi_f\rangle$ can be expressed as a Dyson series

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_f\rangle = & \left[1 - i \int_0^T dt B(t) \hat{H}_P(t) \right. \\ & \left. + (-i)^2 \int_0^T dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 B(t_1) \hat{H}_P(t_1) B(t_2) \hat{H}_P(t_2) + \dots \right] \\ & \times e^{iN \int_0^T d\tau A(\tau)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^N |X-\rangle_i, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_P(t) = & e^{-i \int_t^T d\tau A(\tau) \hat{H}_x} \hat{H}_P e^{i \int_t^T d\tau A(\tau) \hat{H}_x} \\ = & \sum_n e^{-i \int_t^T d\tau A(\tau) \hat{H}_x} \hat{H}_{P,n} e^{i \int_t^T d\tau A(\tau) \hat{H}_x} \\ \equiv & \sum_n \hat{H}_{P,n}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For convenience, let us define $\phi_t \equiv \int_t^T d\tau A(\tau)$. Since \hat{H}_x rotates each Pauli operator by the same amount, we can represent $\hat{H}_{P,n}(t)$ as

$$\hat{H}_{P,n}(t) = - \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} J_{i_1 \dots i_n} \sigma_{i_1}^z(t) \dots \sigma_{i_n}^z(t), \quad (14)$$

where $\sigma_i^z(t) \equiv \cos(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^z - \sin(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^y$.

Plugging Eq. (14) into Eq. (12) and using

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i^z(t) |X-\rangle_i = & [\cos(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^z - \sin(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^y] |X-\rangle_i \\ = & [\cos(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^z - i \sin(2\phi_t) \sigma_i^z] |X-\rangle_i \\ = & e^{-2i\phi_t} \sigma_i^z |X-\rangle_i, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$




FIG. 3. Blue lines represent the theoretical Boltzmann distributions of the RBMs. The red dots represent the energies of all possible states of the RBMs estimated by the DQA. (a-c) The magnetizations, the magnetic susceptibilities and the binder cumulant of the all-to-all Ising model. (d-f) The magnetizations, the magnetic susceptibilities and the binder cumulant of the 2D Ising model. As the temperature of the system is lower, the quality of the distribution estimated by the DQA is lower.

we obtain

$$|\psi_f\rangle = e^{iN\phi_0} \left[1 - i \sum_n \int_0^T e^{-2ni\phi_t} B(t) \hat{H}_{P,n} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_{P,n}^2) \right] \bigotimes_{i=1}^N |X-\rangle_i, \quad (16)$$

which yields the projective probability

$$P_Q(\mathbf{r}) = |\langle \mathbf{r} | \psi_f \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2^N} \left[1 - 2 \sum_n E_{r,n} \int_0^T dt B(t) \sin(2n\phi_t) + \mathcal{O}(E_{r,n}^2) \right]. \quad (17)$$

We require that, up to order $E_{r,n}$, this projective probability should be equal to the Boltzmann distribution

$$P_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Z'} e^{-\beta E'_r} = \frac{1}{2^N} \left[1 - \beta E'_r + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2 E_r'^2) \right], \quad (18)$$

of modified Hamiltonian $\hat{H}' = \sum_n c_n \hat{H}_{P,n}$ by first order of $E'_r = \sum_n c_n E_{r,n}$, where β is given by

$$\beta = 2 \int_0^T dt B(t) \sin\left(2 \int_t^T ds A(s)\right), \quad (19)$$

and the modifying factor c_n is given by

$$c_n = \frac{\int_0^T dt B(t) \sin\left(2n \int_t^T ds A(s)\right)}{\int_0^T dt B(t) \sin\left(2 \int_t^T ds A(s)\right)}. \quad (20)$$

We provided a linear function of $A(t) = \alpha(T-t)$ with $B(t) = 1$ as the candidate of the schedule for the low-temperature Boltzmann sampling. Eq. (19) gives β as

$$\beta = 2 \int_0^\infty dt \sin(\alpha t^2) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}}, \quad (21)$$

while the modifying factor c_n is

$$c_n = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \sin(\alpha n t^2)}{\int_0^\infty dt \sin(\alpha t^2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (22)$$

when T goes to infinity to satisfy the boundary condition, $A(0) = \alpha T \gg 1 = B(0)$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this study, we took a closer look at quantum annealing again. By modifying the schedule from adiabatic to diabatic linear process, namely diabatic quantum annealing, we showed analytically and numerically that the annealing speed is related to the specific temperature.

We firstly check how much different the estimated probabilistic distributions obtained by DQA process and the target theoretical distributions of the artificial neural networks. For various kinds of featured data sets, the DQA-estimated distributions are close to the Boltzmann distribution of the model. The deviation of the components of weight matrix is the key that determines




FIG. 4. Colored dashed lines represent the theoretical values of the observables, their corresponding solid lines represent the DQA-estimated values. The vertical black dashed lines represent the phase transition point. (a-c) The magnetizations, the magnetic susceptibilities and the binder cumulant of the all-to-all model. (d-f) The magnetizations, the magnetic susceptibilities and the binder cumulant of the 2D Ising model.

the quality of DQA. As the deviations are smaller, the similarity between DQA estimated and the theoretical distribution are high.

Next, we check KL divergences between theoretical distributions and the DQA-estimated ones are very small for high temperature regime. However, from critical temperature to low temperature regime, the DQA's quality seems to be not enough. A similar analysis is obtained for the observables of the Ising models. To summarize, the DQA-estimated distribution is very close when the effective temperature is high.

To summarize, effective temperature of the system is the key that determines the quality of the DQA. Particularly, when an artificial neural network system is in lazy learning regime [6], the sizes of the weight matrix would be small and hardly changes, the DQA's estimation will

be a good alternative to the conventional learning algorithm. Another case that the DQA would work well is when the system has very strong regularization condition.

All we have checked are linear schedule based DQA. We leave the exploration of various general schedules as future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Global-LAMP Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Education (No. RS-2023-00301976).

-
- [1] T. Kadowaki and H. Nishimori, Quantum annealing in the transverse Ising model, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 5355 (1998).
 - [2] J. Raymond, S. Yarkoni, and E. Andriyash, Global warming: Temperature estimation in annealers, *Frontiers in ICT* **3**, 10.3389/fict.2016.00023 (2016).
 - [3] T. Pochart, P. Jacquot, and J. Mikael, On the challenges of using d-wave computers to sample Boltzmann random variables, in *2022 IEEE 19th International Conference on Software Architecture Companion (ICSA-C)* (IEEE, 2022) pp. 137–140.
 - [4] R. Sandt and R. Spatschek, Efficient low temperature Monte Carlo sampling using quantum annealing, *Scientific Reports* **13**, 6754 (2023).
 - [5] <https://www.dwavesys.com/>.
 - [6] M. Geiger, S. Spigler, A. Jacot, and M. Wyart, Disentangling feature and lazy training in deep neural networks, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2020**, 113301 (2020).