

Dark Photon-Photon Resonance Conversion of GRB221009A through Extra Dimension

M. Afif Ismail,^{1*} Chrisna Setyo Nugroho,^{1 †} and Qidir Maulana Binu Soesanto^{2‡}

¹ *Department of Physics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 116, Taiwan*

² *Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof. Jacob Rais, Semarang 50275, Indonesia*

Abstract

The recently observed very high energy (VHE) photons dubbed as GRB221009A by several terrestrial observatories such as LHAASO and Carpet-2 require a physics explanation beyond the standard model. Such energetic gamma ray bursts, originating from yet unknown very distance source at redshift $z = 0.1505$, would be directly scattered by extragalactic background lights (EBL) rendering its improbable detection at the earth. We show that dark photon which resides in extra dimension would be able to resolve this issue when it oscillates resonantly with the photon in similar fashion with neutrino oscillation. We demonstrate that, for dark photon mass equals to 1 eV, the probability of GRB221009A photons with energy above 0.2 TeV to reach the earth lies in the range between 10^{-6} to 95% for kinetic mixing values $10^{-14} \leq \epsilon \leq 10^{-12.5}$ allowed by current constraints.

*m.aff.ismail@gmail.com

†setyo13nugros@ntnu.edu.tw

‡qidirbinu@fisika.fsm.undip.ac.id

1 Introduction

On October 9th 2022, several observatories such as LHAASO [1, 2], Carpet-2 [3], and Fermi GBM [4], observed extra-energetic gamma-ray burst at redshift $z = 0.1505$ or approximately 636 Mpc. This anomalous high energy photon, called GRB221009A [5], was detected with energy above 0.2 TeV, reaching even higher energy range between 10 TeV to 18 TeV as reported by LHAASO. Moreover, Carpet-2 recorded GRB221009A photon energy up to 251 TeV coming from yet unknown source. Such ultra-energetic photons coming from very distant source are known to be converted by EBL into electron-positron pairs [6, 7]. As a result, these photons would be suppressed effectively before reaching the earth.

This phenomena, which can not be explained by the standard model (SM) of particle physics, calls for a new explanation beyond the SM. Many beyond standard model (BSM) hypotheses has been proposed to resolve this issue including the photon to axion-like particle (ALP) conversion [8–15], high energy photon induced by heavy neutral lepton decay [16–19], as well as the violation of Lorentz invariance by cosmic high energy photon [20–24].

In this paper, we propose photon-dark photon oscillation to explain GRB221009A. Dark photon is well studied theory of BSM. However, as photon and dark photon (DP) mixes kinetically by the mixing angle ϵ , one expects that the conversion probability of photon into DP is suppressed by ϵ^2 . Based on the current stringent constraints of ϵ from both experiments and observations [25–32], this conversion is strongly attenuated. This problem can be alleviated when dark photon resides in extra dimension. The notion that dark photon as well as dark matter (DM) propagate in the bulk of extra dimension was proposed in [33–35]. Actually, the theory of extra dimension is well known theory to address several problems like unification theory, hierarchy problem in particle physics, the origin of neutrino masses, as well as recent particle physics anomalies [36–41]. In typical extra dimensional theory, any exotic particles like right-handed neutrino, ALP, dark photon, as well as graviton reside in higher dimensional space called bulk. On the other hand, the SM particles are constrained to live in 4 dimensions denoted as the brane. The particles that live in the bulk are allowed to propagate into the brane while the SM particles always stay on the brane.

As the origin of GRB221009A is yet to be known, we do not discuss the specific model of the source. Instead, we assume that the high energy photon is converted to dark photon when it propagates in the intergalactic medium and converted back into photon when the dark photon arrives at the Milky Way galaxy. As dark photon can propagate through the bulk and brane, the effect of extra dimension has to be taken into account during its propagation. We show that the effect of extra dimension induces the resonance conversion probability which effectively cancel the ϵ^2 suppression allowing GRB221009A gamma ray burst to reach the earth.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss photon-dark photon interaction as well as its conversion probability via extra dimension. We show our numerical results together with its implications in Section 3. Our summary and conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2 Photon-Dark Photon Conversion

Dark photon is a remnant of hidden $U(1)'$ symmetry or other non-Abelian symmetries which is spontaneously broken ala Higgs mechanism [42–49]. Moreover, its mass can also be generated

explicitly via Stueckelberg mechanism. As an extension of the standard model, dark photon is well known for its direct mixing with the photon. This mixing is generated at dimension 4 operator without any higher dimensional scale suppression. The Lagrangian encoding this interaction is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}F'^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\gamma'}A'^{\mu}A'_{\mu}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here, $F^{\mu\nu}$ ($F'^{\mu\nu}$) corresponds to the field strength tensor of the photon field A_{μ} (dark photon field A'_{μ}). The third term captures the kinetic mixing between photon and dark photon with mixing parameter ϵ while the last term gives dark photon mass.

In dealing with photon-dark photon oscillation, it is more convenient to write the Lagrangian in active-sterile basis analogue to that of neutrino oscillation [50]

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_a^{\mu} \\ A_s^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{\mu} \\ A'^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (2.2)$$

where, after expanding up to the leading order of ϵ , A_a^{μ} and A_s^{μ} denote the active and sterile fields, respectively. In this new basis, the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_a^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{4}F_s^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^s + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}^T \mathcal{M}^2 \mathbf{A} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \quad (2.3)$$

Here, $F_a^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_s^{\mu\nu}$ correspond to the field strength tensors of the active and sterile field, respectively. Moreover, the SM particles interact with the active state with coupling strength e i.e. $eJ_{\mu}A_a^{\mu}$. The last term of eq.(2.3) gives the mass-squared mixing matrix

$$\mathcal{M}^2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} m_{\text{eff}}^2 & \epsilon m_{\gamma'}^2 \\ \epsilon m_{\gamma'}^2 & m_{\gamma'}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

which captures the mixing between A_a^{μ} and A_s^{μ} . The square of photon effective mass m_{eff}^2 appearing in the first diagonal element of eq.(2.4) signifies the medium effect which takes zero value if the photon propagates in vacuum*.

To compute the conversion probability of photon to dark photon or vice versa, one needs to solve the Klein-Gordon (KG) equation corresponds to the Lagrangian given by eq.(2.3), which in the frequency domain reads

$$(\omega^2 - k^2 - \mathcal{M}^2) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu}(\omega, k) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu}(\omega, k)$ is the corresponding Fourier transform of \mathbf{A}^{μ} . In relativistic limit relevant for GRB221009A, $\omega \approx k \gg m_{\gamma'}, m_{\text{eff}}$ one arrives at linearized Schrodinger-like equation [51]

$$i\partial_z \mathbf{A}^{\mu} = H_0 \mathbf{A}^{\mu}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the explicit form of the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega + \Delta_{\text{pl}} & \epsilon \Delta_{A'} \\ \epsilon \Delta_{A'} & \omega + \Delta_{A'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.7)$$

*Here, we assume that m_{eff}^2 is constant which implies that the variation of m_{eff}^2 is negligible compared to the wave number k^{-1} of \mathbf{A}^{μ} [50].

Here, we replace the time derivative with the spatial one (at z direction) since we are dealing with relativistic particle. The explicit expressions of Δ_{pl} and $\Delta_{A'}$ are

$$\Delta_{\text{pl}} = -\frac{m_{\text{eff}}^2}{2E} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{A'} = -\frac{m_{\gamma'}^2}{2E}, \quad (2.8)$$

where we have substituted ω with E .

Up to this point, we have not discussed the implication of dark photon propagation in extra dimension. As dark photon may traverse via the bulk in higher dimensional space as well as the brane in four dimension, one needs to take this effect into account. We assume that the ultra high energy GRB221009A photons are converted to dark photons during their propagation in the intergalactic medium. Furthermore, their reconversion into photons occurs when dark photons arrive at the edge of the Milky Way galaxy. Finally, these reconverted photons propagates through the galactic medium before reaching the earth.

Dark photon may traverse into two different paths prior to its arrival at the border of the Milky Way galaxy. The first path is through the usual four dimensional spacetime or the brane. In this case, dark photon travels directly to the edge of the Milky Way galaxy without experiencing any attenuation thanks to its elusive nature. On the other hand, it may travel through the bulk before reappear on the brane located at the Milky Way border. Since the brane is embedded in higher dimensional space, there would be a path difference in dark photon propagation. This difference would be zero if the brane were flat and rigid in its embedding. On the other and, if the embedding is curved, the path difference [52]

$$\delta = \frac{z_{\text{bulk}} - z_{\text{brane}}}{z_{\text{bulk}}}, \quad (2.9)$$

would be different from zero. This effect gives an additional term in the Hamiltonian [52]

$$H_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_\delta & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta_\delta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_\delta = -\frac{E\delta}{2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Taking into account this additional term, the linearized Schrodinger-like equation becomes

$$i\partial_z \mathbf{A}^\mu = (H_0 + H_\delta) \mathbf{A}^\mu \equiv H \mathbf{A}^\mu, \quad (2.11)$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E + \Delta_{\text{pl}} + \Delta_\delta & \epsilon \Delta_{A'} \\ \epsilon \Delta_{A'} & E + \Delta_{A'} - \Delta_\delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

To solve eq.(2.11), one needs to diagonalize the Hamiltonian H

$$VHV^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

with the corresponding V matrix as well as its explicit elements given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \tan 2\theta = \frac{2\epsilon \Delta_{A'}}{\Delta_{A'} - \Delta_{\text{pl}} - 2\Delta_\delta}. \quad (2.14)$$

The corresponding eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (2E + \Delta_{\text{pl}} + \Delta_{A'}) \pm \sqrt{4\epsilon^2 \Delta_{A'}^2 + (\Delta_{\text{pl}} - \Delta_{A'} + 2\Delta_\delta)^2} \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

In this diagonal basis, $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\mu = V\mathbf{A}^\mu$ the propagation of the field \tilde{A}_j^μ from initial point at z_0 to z is

$$\tilde{A}_j^\mu(z) = e^{-i(z-z_0)\lambda_j} \tilde{A}_j^\mu(z_0). \quad (2.16)$$

To calculate the conversion probability from active state to the sterile state, one simply invert back the relation $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\mu = V\mathbf{A}^\mu$ into $\mathbf{A}^\mu = V^\dagger\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\mu$. Assuming that we have a photon (active field) in the initial state at $z_0 = 0$, the probability of detecting dark photon (sterile field) after traversing a distance z is

$$P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'} = |\langle A_s(z) | A_a(z_0) \rangle|^2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'} = \frac{4\epsilon^2 \Delta_{A'}^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{z}{2} \sqrt{4\epsilon^2 \Delta_{A'}^2 + (\Delta_{\text{pl}} - \Delta_{A'} + 2\Delta_\delta)^2}\right)}{4\epsilon^2 \Delta_{A'}^2 + (\Delta_{\text{pl}} - \Delta_{A'} + 2\Delta_\delta)^2}. \quad (2.18)$$

As one can see in eq. (2.18), the photon to dark photon conversion probability is suppressed by ϵ^2 . Consequently, as the current experimental as well as observational limits of ϵ are quite severe, it is not possible for GRB221009A to reach the earth. However, this is not the case when the resonant condition is satisfied. At resonance, the diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian in eq. (2.12) have the same value, implying the following relation to hold

$$\Delta_{A'} - \Delta_{\text{pl}} = 2\Delta_\delta. \quad (2.19)$$

At resonance, the conversion probability becomes

$$P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'}^{\text{res}} = \sin^2(z \epsilon \Delta_{A'}). \quad (2.20)$$

Here, the ϵ only appears inside the sine argument. Furthermore, we can extract the resonant energy as

$$E_{\text{res}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_{\gamma'}^2}{2\delta}}, \quad (2.21)$$

where we have used the fact that $\Delta_{A'} \gg \Delta_{\text{pl}}$ as we will demonstrate in the following section. This resonance energy may have different values depending on the variation of δ for a fixed dark photon mass. We will employ the resonant conversion probability c.f. eq. (2.20) in the rest of our paper.

3 Results and Discussion

To demonstrate the ability of gamma ray burst GRB221009A to reach the earth after its conversion into dark photon, we set the dark photon mass to 1 eV. Moreover, we take four benchmark values of ϵ for the corresponding dark photon mass allowed by current limits [53]: $\epsilon = 10^{-12.5}$, 10^{-13} , $10^{-13.5}$, and 10^{-14} .

In fig.1, we show the resonant conversion probability of the GRB221009A photon into dark photon as a function of photon energy in the intergalactic medium. There, the photon energy is taken from 0.2 GeV as observed by LHAASO to 251 GeV as reported by Carpet-2




Figure 1: Resonance conversion of photon into dark photon in the intergalactic medium for different ϵ : $10^{-12.5}$ (red solid line), 10^{-13} (blue dotted line), $10^{-13.5}$ (green dashed line), and 10^{-14} (black dot-dashed line). We set dark photon mass to 1 eV.




Figure 2: Higher and lower energy regime of photon to dark photon conversion. The squared sinusoidal behaviour is visible in both figures.

collaboration. It displays a very fast oscillation with conversion probability ranging from 0 to 1 as expected from eq.(2.20). The origin of this abrupt oscillation is due to the large value of the path z traversed by the dark photon i.e. the distance from the redshift $z = 0.1505$ (or approximately 636 Mpc) to the edge of the Milky Way galaxy (≈ 23.95 kpc [15]). We take the value of $m_{\text{eff}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-14}$ eV relevant for the typical intergalactic medium [50]. Consequently, since $m_{\gamma'} \gg m_{\text{eff}}$ which implies $\Delta_{A'} \gg \Delta_{\text{pl}}$ (see eq.(2.8)), the resonance energy approximation given by eq.(2.21) is valid. To show the square of sinusoidal characteristic of the $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'}^{\text{res}}$, we plot the zoomed in version of fig.1 in fig.2 for the higher energy regime (left-panel) as well as the lower energy regime (right-panel). In these two panels, the square sinusoidal behavior is apparent, with the slower oscillation behaviour as the value of the mixing parameter ϵ is reduced. Following [14], we set $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'}^{\text{res}} = 95\%$ to optimize the number of photon being converted to dark photon.

The reconversion probability of dark photon into photon at the border of Milky Way




Figure 3: Resonance conversion of dark photon into photon at Milky Way galaxy for different ϵ : $10^{-12.5}$ (red solid line), 10^{-13} (blue dotted line), $10^{-13.5}$ (green dashed line), and 10^{-14} (black dot-dashed line).

galaxy is displayed in fig.3. As the electron density is higher inside the Milky Way disk $n_e \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^{-3}$, the effective mass of the photon $m_{\text{eff}}^2 = 4\pi\alpha n_e/m_e$ is approximately $4.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{eV}$ [15]. Here, α and m_e denote the fine structure constant and electron mass, respectively. Moreover, we take the propagation distance from the edge of the Milky Way to the earth equals to $z = 23.95 \text{kpc}$ [15]. We see from fig.3 that the oscillation becomes visible as the propagation length gets smaller. Furthermore, despite it still varies between 0 to 1, the reconversion probability decays as the energy increases. This can be understood from the argument of sine in eq. (2.20) which proportional to $\Delta_{A'}$ c.f. eq (2.8). The total probability of gamma ray burst GRB221009A arriving at the earth is obtained by the product of $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'}^{\text{res}}$ and $P_{\gamma' \rightarrow \gamma}^{\text{res}}$. Using four benchmark values of ϵ , the total probability ranges between 10^{-6} to 95% which indicates that ultra-energetic photons from GRB221009A can reach the earth without any significant suppression.

As an additional remark, let us comment on the implication of gamma ray burst GRB221009A for the brane fluctuation. In the resonant energy range of GRB221009A from 0.2 TeV to 251 TeV, the corresponding path difference parameter δ lies between $1.25 \times 10^{-23} \geq \delta \geq 7.94 \times 10^{-30}$. The brane fluctuation associated with this range, which equals to the square-root of δ [52], varies within the interval $3.54 \times 10^{-12} - 2.82 \times 10^{-15}$. This is more stringent than the limit extracted from the neutrino oscillation experiment such as LSND/KARMEN, which sets the value of the brane fluctuation to range over $10^{-8} - 10^{-9}$ [52].

4 Summary and Conclusions

The arrival of ultra-high energy photons known as GRB221009A at the earth, as observed by terrestrial observatories such as LHAASO and Carpet-2, calls a physics explanation beyond the SM. We propose a novel idea using photon-dark photon conversion through extra dimension to explain this phenomena. We show that, if dark photon resides in the higher dimensional space, the photon-dark photon conversion probability would attain resonant enhancement allowing the GRB221009A gamma ray burst to be detected at the earth. By

setting dark photon mass to 1 eV as well as taking four benchmark values of the photon-dark photon mixing parameter $\epsilon = 10^{-12.5}$, 10^{-13} , $10^{-13.5}$, and 10^{-14} , we demonstrate that there is 10^{-6} up to 95% fraction of the initial GRB221009A photon flux would be detectable at the terrestrial gamma ray observatories. Furthermore, this implies much stronger constraint on the brane fluctuation with respect to the limit extracted from neutrino oscillation experiment.

Acknowledgment

CSN is supported by the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) of Taiwan under Grant No. NSTC 113-2811-M-003-019.

References

- [1] Y. Huang, Sh. Hu, S. Chen, et al. (for the LHAASO Experiment), GCN Circ., No. 32677 (2022)
- [2] Z. Cao *et al.* [LHAASO], *Science* **380**, no.6652, adg9328 (2023) doi:10.1126/science.adg9328 [arXiv:2306.06372 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [3] D. Dzhappuev, Yu. Afashokov, I. Dzaparova, et al. (Carpet-2 Group), *Astron. Telegram*, No. 15669 (2022).
- [4] P. Veres, E. Burns, E. Bissaldi, et al. (for the Fermi-GBM team), GCN Circ., No. 32636 (2022).
- [5] S. Dichiara, J. D. Gropp, J. A. Kennea, et al. (for the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory Team), GCN Circ., No. 32632 (2022).
- [6] R. Gould and G. Schröder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16**, no.6, 252-254 (1966) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.16.252
- [7] G. G. Fazio and F. W. Stecker, *Nature* **226**, 135-136 (1970) doi:10.1038/226135a0
- [8] G. Zhang and B. Q. Ma, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **40**, no.1, 011401 (2023) doi:10.1088/0256-307X/40/1/011401 [arXiv:2210.13120 [hep-ph]].
- [9] W. Lin and T. T. Yanagida, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **40**, no.6, 069801 (2023) doi:10.1088/0256-307X/40/6/069801 [arXiv:2210.08841 [hep-ph]].
- [10] G. Galanti, L. Nava, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio and G. Bonnoli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, no.25, 251001 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.251001 [arXiv:2210.05659 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [11] A. Baktash, D. Horns and M. Meyer, [arXiv:2210.07172 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [12] S. V. Troitsky, *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **116**, no.11, 745-746 (2022) doi:10.31857/S123456782223001X [arXiv:2210.09250 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [13] S. Nakagawa, F. Takahashi, M. Yamada and W. Yin, *Phys. Lett. B* **839**, 137824 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137824 [arXiv:2210.10022 [hep-ph]].

- [14] J. C. Aban, C. R. Chen, Y. F. Hsieh and C. S. Nugroho, *Phys. Lett. B* **856**, 138905 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138905 [arXiv:2312.03314 [hep-ph]].
- [15] L. Wang and B. Q. Ma, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.2, 023002 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.023002 [arXiv:2304.01819 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [16] J. Huang, Y. Wang, B. Yu and S. Zhou, *JCAP* **04**, 056 (2023) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/04/056 [arXiv:2212.03477 [hep-ph]].
- [17] K. Cheung, [arXiv:2210.14178 [hep-ph]].
- [18] V. Brdar and Y. Y. Li, *Phys. Lett. B* **839**, 137763 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137763 [arXiv:2211.02028 [hep-ph]].
- [19] S. Y. Guo, M. Khlopov, L. Wu and B. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.2, L021302 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.L021302 [arXiv:2301.03523 [hep-ph]].
- [20] H. Li and B. Q. Ma, *Astropart. Phys.* **148**, 102831 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2023.102831 [arXiv:2210.06338 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [21] H. Li and B. Q. Ma, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, no.3, 192 (2023) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11334-z [arXiv:2210.05563 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [22] J. D. Finke and S. Razzaque, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **942**, no.1, L21 (2023) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/acade1 [arXiv:2210.11261 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [23] J. Zhu and B. Q. Ma, *J. Phys. G* **50**, no.6, 06LT01 (2023) doi:10.1088/1361-6471/accebb [arXiv:2210.11376 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [24] H. Li and B. Q. Ma, *JCAP* **10**, 061 (2023) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/10/061 [arXiv:2306.02962 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [25] R. L. Workman [Particle Data Group], *PTEP* **2022** (2022), 083C01 doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097
- [26] M. Fabbrichesi, E. Gabrielli and G. Lanfranchi, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-62519-1 [arXiv:2005.01515 [hep-ph]].
- [27] A. Caputo, A. J. Millar, C. A. J. O'Hare and E. Vitagliano, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) no.9, 095029 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.095029 [arXiv:2105.04565 [hep-ph]].
- [28] A. Hook, G. Marques-Tavares and C. Ristow, *JHEP* **06**, 167 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2021)167 [arXiv:2105.06476 [hep-ph]].
- [29] M. A. Ismail, C. S. Nugroho and H. T. K. Wong, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.8, 082002 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.082002 [arXiv:2211.13384 [hep-ph]].
- [30] P. Carena, G. Lucente and E. Vitagliano, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.8, 083032 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.083032 [arXiv:2301.06560 [hep-ph]].
- [31] C. R. Chen, Y. F. Hsieh and C. S. Nugroho, [arXiv:2405.19087 [hep-ph]].
- [32] O. E. Kalashev, A. Kusenko and E. Vitagliano, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.2, 023002 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.023002 [arXiv:1808.05613 [hep-ph]].

- [33] T. G. Rizzo, *JHEP* **07**, 118 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2018)118 [arXiv:1801.08525 [hep-ph]].
- [34] T. G. Rizzo, *JHEP* **10**, 069 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)069 [arXiv:1805.08150 [hep-ph]].
- [35] T. G. Rizzo and G. N. Wojcik, *JHEP* **03**, 173 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2021)173 [arXiv:2006.06858 [hep-ph]].
- [36] C. Deffayet and J. P. Uzan, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 063507 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.063507 [arXiv:hep-ph/0002129 [hep-ph]].
- [37] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, *Phys. Lett. B* **429**, 263-272 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00466-3 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315 [hep-ph]].
- [38] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, *Phys. Lett. B* **436**, 257-263 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00860-0 [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398 [hep-ph]].
- [39] K. R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 105023 (2000); L. Di Lella, A. Pilaftsis, G. Raffelt and K. Zioutas, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 125011 (2000)
- [40] J. C. Aban, C. R. Chen and C. S. Nugroho, *Phys. Lett. B* **830**, 137164 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137164 [arXiv:2112.12477 [hep-ph]].
- [41] J. C. Aban, C. R. Chen and C. S. Nugroho, *Phys. Lett. B* **848**, 138304 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138304 [arXiv:2305.10305 [hep-ph]].
- [42] B. Holdom, *Phys. Lett. B* **166**, 196-198 (1986) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)91377-8
- [43] L. B. Okun, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **56**, 502 (1982) ITEP-48-1982.
- [44] P. Fayet, *Nucl. Phys. B* **187**, 184-204 (1981) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90122-X
- [45] H. Georgi, P. H. Ginsparg and S. L. Glashow, *Nature* **306**, 765-766 (1983) doi:10.1038/306765a0
- [46] W. C. Huang, Y. L. S. Tsai and T. C. Yuan, *JHEP* **04**, 019 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)019 [arXiv:1512.00229 [hep-ph]].
- [47] B. Dirgantara and C. S. Nugroho, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.2, 142 (2022) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10051-3 [arXiv:2012.13170 [hep-ph]].
- [48] C. R. Chen, Y. X. Lin, C. S. Nugroho, R. Ramos, Y. L. S. Tsai and T. C. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.3, 035037 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.035037 [arXiv:1910.13138 [hep-ph]].
- [49] V. Q. Tran, T. T. Q. Nguyen and T. C. Yuan, *JCAP* **05**, 015 (2024) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2024/05/015 [arXiv:2312.10785 [hep-ph]].
- [50] N. Brahma, A. Berlin and K. Schutz, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.9, 095045 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.095045 [arXiv:2308.08586 [hep-ph]].
- [51] G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 1237 (1988) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1237

- [52] H. Pas, S. Pakvasa and T. J. Weiler, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 095017 (2005)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.095017 [arXiv:hep-ph/0504096 [hep-ph]].
- [53] J. M. Cline, [arXiv:2405.08534 [hep-ph]].