(λ, λ) -EIGENFUNCTIONS ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

THOMAS JACK MUNN AND OSKAR RIEDLER

ABSTRACT. In this note we study (λ, μ) -eigenfamilies on compact Riemannian manifolds when $\lambda = \mu$. We show that any compact manifold admitting a (λ, λ) -eigenfunction is a mapping torus and that any (λ, λ) -eigenfamily is one dimensional. Additionally, we consider generalised eigenfamilies, which can have higher dimension, and relate these to harmonic Riemannian submersions to a torus.

1. Introduction

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold, $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_i : M \to \mathbb{C} \mid i \in I\}$ a family of complex-valued functions, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that \mathcal{F} is a (λ, μ) -eigenfamily, if for every $i, j \in I$ we have that

$$\tau(\phi_i) = \lambda \cdot \phi_i, \qquad g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) = \mu \cdot \phi_i \phi_j,$$

where τ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator and g denotes the complex bilinear extension of the metric to the complexified tangent bundle. Elements of (λ, μ) -eigenfamilies are called (λ, μ) -eigenfunctions.

Eigenfamilies were introduced by Gudmundsson and Sakovich [7] in order to produce harmonic morphisms. Since then they have been utilised to generate other geometrically interesting data, such as r-harmonic functions [10], and minimal submanifolds of codimension two in [6] by Gudmundsson and the first named author.

Eigenfamilies have been shown to exist on all the classical Riemannian symmetric spaces, see [7, 8, 9, 3, 4]. The well studied case of (λ, μ) -eigenfunctions when $(\lambda, \mu) = (0, 0)$ is that of harmonic morphisms with codomain \mathbb{C} . For the general theory of harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds we refer to the excellent book [1] and the regularly updated online bibliography [5].

Recently, there has been interest in classifying eigenfamilies. (λ, μ) -eigenfamilies on m-spheres are shown to correspond to (0,0)-eigenfamilies of homogeneous polynomials on the ambient space \mathbb{R}^{m+1} in [12] by the second named author. When M is compact many results describing the spectra, existence, and topological properties of eigenfunctions are derived in [13] by Siffert and the second named author. In particular, they show that eigenfamilies on compact manifolds have $\lambda \leq \mu < 0$ and that the case $\lambda = \mu$ is rather singular: the image degenerates to a circle and this case must be excluded from certain constructions.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C35, 53C43, 58E20.

Key words and phrases. Eigenfunctions, Eigenfamilies, Harmonic morphisms.

OR gratefully acknowledges the support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project-ID 427320536 - SFB 1442, as well as of Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics-Geometry-Structure. TM gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of Mathematics Münster during his visit.

We begin this note by providing a complete characterisation of (λ, λ) -eigenfamilies on compact manifolds. The situation for a single eigenfunction is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let (M,g) be a compact and connected Riemannian manifold, $\phi: M \to \mathbb{C}$ a non-constant smooth map, $\lambda < 0$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) ϕ is a (λ, λ) -eigenfunction.
- (ii) For any $x_0 \in M$ the map $\pi : (M,g) \to (S^1, \frac{1}{|\lambda|}dt^2)$, $x \mapsto \frac{\phi(x)}{|\phi(x_0)|}$ is a well-defined harmonic Riemannian submersion.

Harmonic Riemannian submersions to S^1 are well understood. The only Riemannian manifolds admitting Riemannian submersions to a circle are mapping tori, i.e. manifolds which may be written as

$$(M,g) \cong \left(\frac{M_0 \times [0,2\pi]}{(x,0) \sim (\eta(x),2\pi)}, \ g(t) + \frac{1}{|\lambda|} dt^2\right)$$

where M_0 is compact, g(t) a Riemannian metric on M_0 for all t, and $\eta: M_0 \to M_0$ is a diffeomorphism with $\eta^*g(2\pi) = g(0)$. The Riemannian submersion $[x,t] \mapsto e^{it}$ is then harmonic if and only if the fibres are minimal, i.e. if and only if the volume density of g(t) on M_0 is constant in t.

The above remarks can be considered to be a version of Tischler's Theorem [14] in the Riemannian setting. We formulate and prove this as Theorem 3.1 in Section 3 below.

Beyond this we show that any (λ, λ) -eigenfamily on a compact and connected (M, g) must necessarily be spanned by a single function, whence the previous theorem already gives the complete picture for (λ, λ) -eigenfamilies:

Proposition 1.2. Let (M,g) be a compact and connected Riemannian manifold, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and \mathcal{F} a (λ, λ) -eigenfamily on M. Then $\dim(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F})) \leq 1$.

In certain situations a generalised notion of a (λ, μ) -eigenfamily appears, where one allows different values of λ for each function, and the number μ is replaced by a matrix. To be precise:

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_k\}$ be a finite family of functions $M \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_i, A_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i, j \in \{1, ..., k\}$. We say that \mathcal{F} is (λ_i, A_{ij}) -eigenfamily if

$$\tau(\phi_i) = \lambda_i \, \phi_i, \qquad g(\nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j) = A_{ij} \, \phi_i \phi_j$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

Examples of these families appear on Sasaki manifolds, where one can build an embedding

$$(\phi_1,...,\phi_k):(M,g)\to\mathbb{C}^k$$

for which $\{\phi_1, ..., \phi_k\}$ is a $(\lambda_i, -\sqrt{\mu_i \mu_j})$ -eigenfamily for appropriate values λ_i, μ_i . The construction of these families is carried out in Theorem 3 of [13].

Example 1.4. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, ..., w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, we denote the associated weighted Sasakian sphere by $S^{2n-1}_{\mathbf{w}}$. As a Riemannian manifold this is the unit sphere $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with the metric

$$g_{\mathbf{w}}(v,v) = \frac{1}{\eta(\xi_{\mathbf{w}})} \left(\|v\|^2 - 2\langle \xi_{\mathbf{w}}, v \rangle \eta_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi_{\mathbf{w}}) \eta_{\mathbf{w}}(v) \right) + \left(1 + \frac{\|\xi_{\mathbf{w}}\|^2}{\eta(\xi_{\mathbf{w}})} \right) \eta_{\mathbf{w}}(v)^2$$

where $v \in TS^{2n-1}$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{i} w_{i}(x_{i}\partial_{y_{i}} - y_{i}\partial_{x_{i}}), \ \eta = \sum_{i}(x_{i}dy_{i} - y_{i}dx_{i}), \ \eta_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{\eta(\xi_{\mathbf{w}})}\eta$ for $(x_{1} + iy_{1}, ..., x_{n} + iy_{n})$ the standard complex coordinates on \mathbb{C}^{n} . Here $\langle , \rangle, \| \cdot \|$ denote the usual Euclidean scalar product and norm. Then the family $\{\phi_{1} = (x_{1} + iy_{1}), ..., \phi_{n} = (x_{n} + iy_{n})\}$ is a (λ_{i}, A_{ij}) -eigenfamily on $S_{\mathbf{w}}^{2n-1}$ for

$$\lambda_i = -w_i^2 - w_i(2n - 2), \qquad A_{ij} = -w_i w_j.$$

These kinds of families can still be used to construct local harmonic morphisms:

Theorem 1.5. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$, and $\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_k\}$ a (λ_i,A_{ij}) -eigenfamily on (M,g). For $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k$ open and $F:U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with:

$$F(t_1z_1,...,t_kz_k) = (\prod_i t_i^{d_i})F(z_1,...,z_k)$$

for all $(z_1,...,z_k) \in U$ and $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ close enough to 1. Then

$$(\phi_1, ..., \phi_k)^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad x \mapsto F(\phi_1(x), ..., \phi_k(x))$$

is a $(\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu})$ -eigenfunction for $\widetilde{\lambda} = \sum_i d_i(\lambda_i - A_{ii}) + \sum_{ij} d_i d_j A_{ij}$, $\widetilde{\mu} = \sum_{ij} d_i d_j A_{ij}$. In particular if

$$\sum_{ij} d_i d_j A_{ij} = 0, \qquad \sum_i d_i (\lambda_i - A_{ii}) = 0,$$

then the map is a harmonic morphism.

Example 1.6. Let $F: \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}$, $(z_1, ..., z_k) \mapsto z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_k^{d_k}$ be a monomial map. Then F satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.5, and so $F \circ (\phi_1, ..., \phi_k)$ gives a new globally defined eigenfunction for any (λ_i, A_{ij}) -eigenfamily on a manifold M.

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 4.5, which states that a generalised eigenfamily \mathcal{F} consisting of $(-A_{ii}, -A_{ij})$ -eigenfunctions on M is equivalent to the existence of a harmonic Riemannian submersion $\pi: (M,g) \to (T^k,A^{-1})$. After this, we conclude the paper in Section 5 by applying the characterisations of Theorems 1.1 and 4.5 in order to derive existence and non-existence results for such families from curvature conditions.

2. Eigenfunctions and Eigenfamilies

In this section we remind the reader of some important definitions and results that we will use later. Let (M,g) be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ be the complexification of the tangent bundle TM of M. We extend the metric g to a complex bilinear form on $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$. Then the gradient $\nabla \phi$ of a complex-valued function $\phi:(M,g)\to\mathbb{C}$ is a section of $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$. In this situation, the well-known complex linear Laplace-Beltrami operator (alt. tension field) τ on (M,g) acts locally on ϕ as follows

$$\tau(\phi) = \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(g^{ij} \sqrt{|g|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

For two complex-valued functions $\phi, \psi: (M, g) \to \mathbb{C}$ we have the following well-known fundamental relation

$$\tau(\phi \psi) = \tau(\phi) \psi + 2 \kappa(\phi, \psi) + \phi \tau(\psi),$$

where the complex bilinear conformality operator κ is given by

$$\kappa(\phi, \psi) = g(\nabla \phi, \nabla \psi).$$

In local coordinates it takes the form:

$$\kappa(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j}.$$

Definition 2.1. [7] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then a complex-valued function $\phi : M \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a (λ, μ) -eigenfunction if it is eigen both with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator τ and the conformality operator κ with respective eigenvalues λ, μ , i.e.

$$\tau(\phi) = \lambda \cdot \phi$$
 and $\kappa(\phi, \phi) = \mu \cdot \phi^2$.

A set \mathcal{E} of complex-valued functions on M is said to be a (λ, μ) -eigenfamily on M if for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\tau(\phi) = \lambda \cdot \phi$$
 and $\kappa(\phi, \psi) = \mu \cdot \phi \psi$.

Eigenfamilies can be used to produce complex-valued harmonic morphisms:

Theorem 2.2 ([7]). Let (M,g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$$

a (λ, μ) -eigenfamily. If $P, Q : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials of the same positive degree then the quotient

$$\frac{P(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n)}{Q(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n)}$$

is a non-constant harmonic morphism on the open and dense subset

$$\{p \in M \mid Q(\phi_1(p), \dots, \phi_n(p)) \neq 0\}.$$

Away from its zeros, one can write a complex function in polar form, in this case the condition of being an eigenfunction becomes as follows:

Lemma 2.3 ([13]). Let (U, g) be a Riemannian manifold, not necessarily compact or complete, and let $\phi: U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a (λ, μ) -eigenfunction with λ, μ both real and $\phi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U$. Suppose $\phi(x) = e^{i\vartheta(x)}|\phi(x)|$ for some smooth function $\vartheta: U \to \mathbb{R}$. Then:

- (i) $\tau(\vartheta) = 0$;
- (ii) $\tau(\ln |\phi|) = \lambda \mu$;
- (iii) $\kappa(\vartheta, |\phi|) = 0$;
- (iv) $\kappa(\ln |\phi|, \ln |\phi|) = \kappa(\vartheta, \vartheta) + \mu$.

The case of a (λ, λ) -eigenfunction is special. One has the following characterisation:

Proposition 2.4 ([13]). Let (M,g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold and $\phi: M \to \mathbb{C}$ a non-constant (λ, μ) -eigenfunction. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\lambda = \mu$.
- (ii) $|\phi|^2$ is constant.
- (iii) $\phi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$.

3. (λ, λ) -EIGENFUNCTIONS

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.5, which will be proven in the next section. We begin this section by proving Proposition 1.2, showing that all (λ, λ) -eigenfamilies on compact connected manifolds are necessarily one-dimensional:

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Suppose that ϕ, ψ are non-constant and in the same (λ, λ) -eigenfamily \mathcal{F} . Then it follows from Theorem 2.2 that the quotient

$$\frac{\phi}{\psi}: M \setminus \psi^{-1}(\{0\}) \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a harmonic morphism, in particular it is a harmonic map. Since $\psi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$ by Proposition 2.4, the domain of $\frac{\phi}{\psi}$ is all of M. By compactness of M it must then be constant, i.e. ψ and ϕ are linearly dependent.

The following result characterises compact manifolds which admit (λ, λ) -eigenfunctions.

Theorem 3.1. Let (M,g) be compact and connected, $\lambda < 0$, and $\pi : (M,g) \to (S^1, \frac{1}{|\lambda|}dt^2)$ a smooth map. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The map π is a harmonic Riemannian submersion.
- (ii) M is a mapping torus

$$M_0 \times_{\eta} [0, 2\pi] = \frac{M_0 \times [0, 2\pi]}{(x, 0) \sim (\eta(x), 2\pi)}$$

with metric

$$g = g(t) + \frac{1}{|\lambda|} dt^2$$

and monodromy map $\eta: M_0 \to M_0$ with $\eta^*g(2\pi) = g(0)$, M_0 is compact, the volume density of g(t) is constant in t, and $\pi([x,t]) = e^{it}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Since $\pi: M \to S^1$ is a surjective submersion from a compact manifold it is a proper map, and thus by Ehresmann's Theorem it is a fibre bundle over S^1 . We let M_0 denote a typical fibre, and $X = \nabla \hat{\pi}$, where $\hat{\pi}$ is the locally defined lift of π with co-domain \mathbb{R} .

Note that X is a horizontal vector field, it is divergence free since $\hat{\pi}$ is harmonic. Additionally from $\hat{\pi}$ being a Riemannian submersion:

$$d\hat{\pi}(X) = g(X, X) = \frac{d\hat{\pi}(X)^2}{|\lambda|},$$

whence $d\hat{\pi}(X) = ||X||^2 = -\lambda$ is constant. Letting η_t denote the flow of X one then sees that

$$\partial_t(\hat{\pi}\eta_t(x)) = d\hat{\pi}(X) = -\lambda,$$

and so $\hat{\pi}(\eta_t(x)) = -\lambda \cdot t + \hat{\pi}(x)$, i.e. $\pi(\eta_t(x)) = e^{it}\pi(x)$. It follows that M is a mapping torus

$$M \cong \frac{M_0 \times [0, 2\pi]}{(x, 0) \sim (\eta(x), 2\pi)}$$

with monodromy map $\eta = \eta_{2\pi}$, and $\pi([x,t]) = e^{it}$. Under the above diffeomorphism $X \equiv \partial_t$, since X was horizontal one finds that the metric on M is of the form

$$g = g(t) + \frac{1}{|\lambda|} dt^2$$

where $\eta^* g(2\pi) = g(0)$ and g(t) is a family of metrics on the fibre M_0 .

We now show that each g(t) induces the same volume density. Assume first that M is oriented, let $\omega(t)$ denote the volume form of g(t) so that $\omega(t) \wedge dt$ is the volume form of M at [x,t]. Then

$$(\partial_t \omega(t)) \wedge dt = (\mathcal{L}_X \omega(t)) \wedge dt = \mathcal{L}_X(\omega(t) \wedge dt) = \operatorname{div}(X) \omega(t) \wedge dt = 0,$$

where we used the formula $\mathcal{L}_X d\text{Vol} = \text{div}(X)$ and div(X) = 0. Since the above argument is entirely local it also shows that the volume density induced by g(t) is constant in t for the non-orientable case.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Note that the map

$$\widehat{\pi}: (M_0 \times \mathbb{R}, g(t) + dt^2) \to (\mathbb{R}, \cdot), \qquad (x, t) \mapsto t$$

is clearly a Riemannian submersion. We now verify that it is harmonic. Let $(x_0, t_0) \in M_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $x_1, ..., x_m$ be a coordinate system of M_0 so that $\partial_{x_1}, ..., \partial_{x_m}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_0}M_0$ with respect to $g(t_0)$. We denote $g_{ij}(t) = g(t)(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j})$, note that $g(t_0)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. One checks that $\nabla \widehat{\pi} = \partial_t$, from which it follows that

$$\Delta \widehat{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} g(t_0) \left(\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}} \partial_t, \partial_{x_i} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} g(t_0) \left(\nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_i} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=0}^{m} g_{ii}(t) |_{t=t_0}
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{trace}((g_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le m}) |_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(\det((g_{ij}(t))_{1 \le i, j \le m}) \right) |_{t=t_0}.$$

This last expression is 0, since the volume form $\sqrt{\det((g_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m})} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m$ is constant in the variable t by assumption, and so $\widehat{\pi}$ is harmonic.

Now the diagram

$$(M_0 \times \mathbb{R}, g(t) + dt^2) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\pi}} (\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$$

$$\downarrow / \mathbb{Z} \qquad \qquad \downarrow / \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(M_0 \times_{\eta} I, g(t) + dt^2) \xrightarrow{\pi} (S^1, dt^2)$$

commutes by construction, here the vertical arrows are the natural covering maps. Since the vertical maps are also local isometries it follows that π is a harmonic Riemannian submersion.

4. Generalised Eigenfamilies

The previous section shows that the notion of a (λ, μ) -eigenfamily becomes degenerate in the case $\lambda = \mu$, since these are then always spanned by a single function. By relaxing some of the conditions on (λ, μ) -eigenfamilies the (λ, λ) -eigenfunctions can be part of a family:

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_k\}$ be a finite family of functions $M \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \le i \le k$ a vector in \mathbb{C}^k and A_{ij} , $1 \le i, j \le k$ a symmetric complex $k \times k$ matrix.

(i) We call \mathcal{F} an (λ_i, A_{ij}) -eigenfamily if for all $\phi_i, \phi_j \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\kappa(\phi_i, \phi_j) = A_{ij}\phi_i\phi_j, \qquad \tau(\phi_i) = \lambda_i\phi_i.$$

- (ii) We say that the family is λ -diagonal if additionally $\lambda_i = A_{ii}$ for all i.
- (iii) \mathcal{F} is said to be *reduced* if A_{ij} is a non-degenerate matrix.

The following is the prototypical example of a λ -diagonal (λ_i, A_{ij}) -eigenfamily.

Example 4.2 ([13]). Let Γ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n and $M = \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$ be the corresponding flat torus. Then consider the dual lattice

$$\Gamma^* = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle k, k' \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all } k \in \Gamma\}.$$

For any subset $K \subset \Gamma^*$ we define the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ f_k(x) = e^{2\pi i \langle k, x \rangle} \mid k \in K \}.$$

A direct computation shows

$$\tau(f_k) = -4\pi^2 \langle k, k \rangle \cdot f_k, \quad \kappa(f_k, f_{k'}) = -4\pi^2 \langle k, k' \rangle \cdot f_k f_{k'}$$

and thus \mathcal{F} is a λ -diagonal eigenfamily. Furthermore the set K is linearly independent if and only if \mathcal{F} is reduced.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $F: U \to \mathbb{C}$ as in the statement of the theorem. The homogeneity condition means that $z_i \cdot \partial_{z_i} F(z_1, ..., z_k) = d_i F$ for all i. Writing $^{\wedge}$ to denote precomposition with $(\phi_1, ..., \phi_k)$ one then finds:

$$\kappa(\hat{F}, \hat{F}) = \sum_{ij} (\partial_{z_i} F)^{\wedge} (\partial_{z_j} F)^{\wedge} \kappa(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} (z_i \partial_{z_i} F z_j \partial_{z_j} F)^{\wedge} = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} d_i d_j (\hat{F})^2.$$

Similarly:

$$\Delta \hat{F} = \sum_{i} (\partial_{z_i} F)^{\hat{}} \Delta \phi_i + \sum_{ij} (\partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} F)^{\hat{}} \cdot \kappa(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \sum_{i} d_i (\lambda_i - A_{ii}) \hat{F} + \sum_{ij} A_{ij} d_i d_j \hat{F}.$$

Completing the proof.

We now turn to λ -diagonal eigenfamilies:

Proposition 4.3. Let (M,g) be compact and connected, $A \in M_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1,...,\phi_k\}$ a (A_{ii},A_{ij}) -eigenfamily on M, in particular \mathcal{F} is λ -diagonal. Then:

- (i) A is a negative semi-definite real matrix.
- (ii) \mathcal{F} is not reduced if and only if there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ not all vanishing so that $\prod_{i=1}^k (\phi_i)^{\alpha_i}$ is constant.

Proof. Since \mathcal{F} is λ -diagonal $|\phi_i(x)|$ is constant on M for all i. Then the locally defined functions $\ln \phi_i$ are purely imaginary and the vector fields $\nabla \vartheta_i := \nabla \operatorname{Im}(\ln \phi_i) = \frac{\nabla \phi_i}{i\phi_i}$ satisfy:

$$g(\nabla \vartheta_i, \nabla \vartheta_j) = -\frac{A_{ij}\phi_i\phi_j}{\phi_i\phi_j} = -A_{ij},$$

whence A_{ij} is negative semi-definite. Further it is degenerate if and only if the $\nabla \vartheta_i$ are linearly dependent, i.e. iff $\sum_i \alpha_i \nabla \vartheta_i = 0$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ not all vanishing. This is equivalent to

$$0 = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \nabla \ln(\phi_{i}) = \nabla \ln \prod_{i} (\phi_{i})^{\alpha_{i}},$$

which completes the proof.

Definition 4.4. For A a positive definite $k \times k$ matrix, let (T^k, A^{-1}) denote the flat torus $T^k = (S^1)^k$ equipped with metric A^{-1} .

Theorem 4.5. Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, A a symmetric real $k \times k$ matrix, and $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1, ..., \phi_k\}$ a family of functions $M \to \mathbb{C}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{F} is a reduced $(-A_{ii}, -A_{ij})$ -eigenfamily.
- (ii) A is positive definite and for any $x_0 \in M$ the map

$$\pi: (M,g) \to (T^k, A^{-1}), \quad x \mapsto (\frac{\phi_1(x)}{|\phi_1(x_0)|}, ..., \frac{\phi_k(x)}{|\phi_k(x_0)|})$$

is a well-defined and harmonic Riemannian submersion.

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii): Since ϕ_i is a $(-A_{ii}, -A_{ii})$ -eigenfunction for all i one has by Proposition 2.4 that $|\phi_i(x)|$ is constant and non-zero for all i, and so $\pi: M \to T^k, x \mapsto (\frac{\phi_1(x)}{|\phi_1(x_0)|}, ..., \frac{\phi_k(x)}{|\phi_k(x_0)|})$ is well defined.

Additionally by Lemma 2.3 one finds around each point in M a neighbourhood U and maps $\vartheta_i:U\to\mathbb{R}$ so that

$$\left(\frac{\phi_1(x)}{|\phi_1(x_0)|}, \dots, \frac{\phi_k(x)}{|\phi_k(x_0)|}\right) = \left(e^{i\vartheta_1(x)}, \dots, e^{i\vartheta_k(x)}\right).$$

One verifies:

$$g(\nabla \vartheta_i, \nabla \vartheta_j) = -g(\nabla \ln \phi_i, \nabla \ln \phi_j) = A_{ij}, \qquad \tau(\vartheta_i) = 0.$$
(4.1)

Since \mathcal{F} is reduced Proposition 4.3 implies that A is a positive definite matrix. Equation (4.1) then implies that the map $\widetilde{\pi}:(U,g)\to(\mathbb{R}^k,A^{-1}),\ x\mapsto(\vartheta_1(x),...,\vartheta_k(x))$ is a harmonic Riemannian submersion to its image.

Letting $L: (\mathbb{R}^k, A^{-1}) \to (T^k, A^{-1}), (t_1, ..., t_k) \mapsto (e^{it_1}, ..., e^{it_k})$ denote the standard isometric covering map, one has by construction that $\pi|_{U} = L \circ \widetilde{\pi}$, whence π is a harmonic Riemannian submersion.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (i): Since we assume that $\pi: M \to T^k$, $x \mapsto (\frac{\phi_1(x)}{|\phi_1(x_0)|}, ..., \frac{\phi_k(x)}{|\phi_k(x_0)|})$ is well defined it follows that $|\phi_i(x)|$ is constant non-zero for all i. We then find locally defined functions $\vartheta_i := \operatorname{Im}(\ln \phi_i)$ so that

$$\pi(x) = (e^{i\vartheta_1(x)}, ..., e^{i\vartheta_k(x)}) = (L \circ \widetilde{\pi})(x).$$

Here we let $L: (\mathbb{R}^k, A^{-1}) \to (T^k, A^{-1}), (t_1, ..., t_k) \mapsto (e^{it_1}, ..., e^{it_k})$ denote the standard isometric covering map. The map $\tilde{\pi}(x) = (\vartheta_1(x), ..., \vartheta_k(x))$ can be defined locally around any point.

Note that:

$$D\widetilde{\pi}(\nabla \vartheta_i) = \sum_i d\vartheta_j(\nabla \vartheta_i) \, \partial_{t_j} = \sum_i g(\nabla \vartheta_i, \nabla \vartheta_j) \, \partial_{t_j}.$$

Since π is Riemannian submersion so is $\widetilde{\pi}$, and it follows that:

$$g(\nabla \vartheta_i, \nabla \vartheta_j) = A^{-1}(D\widetilde{\pi} \nabla \vartheta_i, D\widetilde{\pi} \nabla \vartheta_j) = \sum_{k,\ell} g(\nabla \vartheta_i, \nabla \vartheta_k) A_{k\ell}^{-1} g(\nabla \vartheta_\ell, \nabla \vartheta_j),$$

which implies

$$g(\nabla \phi_i, \phi_j) = -\phi_i \phi_j g(\nabla \theta_i, \nabla \theta_j) = -A_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j.$$

Additionally $\widetilde{\pi}$ is harmonic since π is, and so

$$\tau(\phi_i) = -\phi_i \, g(\nabla \theta_i, \nabla \theta_i) + i \, \phi_i \tau(\theta_i) = -A_{ii} \phi.$$

It follows that \mathcal{F} is a $(-A_{ii}, -A_{ij})$ -eigenfamily, since A is positive definite it is reduced by Proposition 4.3.

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.5 shows that any compact manifold (M, g) admitting a reduced λ -diagonal $(-A_{ii}, -A_{ij})$ -eigenfamily is a fibre bundle over the torus T^k . If, additionally, the horizontal distribution is integrable then one can proceed as in Theorem 3.1 to show that M is a quotient of

$$M_0 \times [0, 2\pi]^k$$

with metric $g(t_1, \dots t_{n-k}) + A^{-1}$ such that $g(t_1, \dots t_{n-k})$ induces the a constant volume density on M_0 .

5. Existence and Non-existence from Curvature

In this section we apply our characterisations of (λ, λ) -eigenfunctions and λ -diagonal eigenfunctions by harmonic Riemannian submersions in order to derive existence and non-existence results.

We begin by remarking that harmonic maps $\pi: M \to S^1$ correspond, up to rotations of S^1 , with harmonic 1-forms on M with integral periods (see e.g. [1], [11]). The condition that the resulting map is submersive is equivalent to the form being *nowhere vanishing*. Theorems 1.1 and 4.5 then imply:

Corollary 5.1. Let (M,g) be a compact and connected Riemannian manifold.

- (i). Suppose $Ric \geq 0$ and first Betti number $\beta_1(M) = k$. Then there exists a reduced λ -diagonal eigenfamily $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$.
- (ii). Suppose the sectional curvature of (M,g) is strictly negative, then (M,g) does not admit any non-constant (λ,λ) -eigenfunctions for any value of λ .

Proof. We prove (i) first. Since M is compact and $\beta_1(M) = k$, there exist linearly independent integral harmonic 1-forms $\omega_1, \ldots \omega_k$. Let ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_k denote the corresponding harmonic maps into S^1 .

Since $Ric \geq 0$ and M is compact, we have that the ω_i are parallel by Bochner [2] and thus

$$g(\omega_i, \omega_j) = g(d\phi_i, d\phi_j)$$

is constant and so the resulting map (ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_k) is a harmonic Riemannian submersion to a torus. Point (ii) follows from the fact, due to Tsagas [15], that every closed 1-form on a compact manifold with strictly negative curvature vanishes at at least one point.

References

- [1] P. Baird and J. C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. No. **29**, Oxford Univ. Press (2003).
- [2] S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti Numbers, Ann. of Math. 49, 379-390 (1948), https://doi.org/10.2307/1969287.
- [3] E. Ghandour, S. Gudmundsson, Explicit p-harmonic functions and harmonic morphisms on the real Grassmannians, Adv. Geom. (2023). https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2023-0015.
- [4] E. Ghandour, S. Gudmundsson, Explicit harmonic morphisms and p-harmonic functions from the complex and quaternionic Grassmannians, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 64 (2023), 15.
- [5] S. Gudmundsson, The Bibliography of Harmonic Morphisms, www.matematik.lu.se/matematiklu/personal/sigma/harmonic/bibliography.html.
- [6] S. Gudmundsson, T. J. Munn, Minimal submanifolds via complex-valued eigenfunctions, J. Geom. Anal. 34 (2024), 190.
- [7] S. Gudmundsson, A. Sakovich, Harmonic morphisms from the classical compact semisimple Lie groups, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 33 (2008), 343-356.
- [8] S. Gudmundsson, A. Sakovich, Harmonic morphisms from the classical non-compact semisimple Lie groups, Differential Geom. Appl. 27 (2009), 47-63.
- [9] S. Gudmundsson, A. Siffert, M. Sobak, Explicit proper p-harmonic functions on the Riemannian symmetric spaces SU(n)/SO(n), Sp(n)/U(n), SO(2n)/U(n), SU(2n)/Sp(n), J. Geom. Anal. 32, 147 (2022).
- [10] S. Gudmundsson, M. Sobak, Proper r-harmonic functions from Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 57 (2020), 217-223.
- [11] F. Hélein, J. C. Wood, Harmonic maps, Handbook of global analysis, 417-491 (2008).
- [12] O. Riedler, Polynomial harmonic morphisms and eigenfamilies on spheres, arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19565 (2023).
- [13] O. Riedler, A. Siffert, Global eigenfamilies on compact manifolds, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.17750 (2024).
- [14] D. Tischler, On fibering certain foliated manifolds over S^{1*}, Topology 9, 153-154, Springer (1970).
- [15] Gr. Tsagas, Properties of closed 1-forms on special Riemannian manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81, 104-106 (1981).

MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LUND, BOX 118, LUND 221 00, SWEDEN *Email address*: Thomas.Munn@math.lu.se

Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstr. 62, 48149 Münster, Germany Email address: oskar.riedler@uni-muenster.de