

Qualitative Properties of Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Systems

Marta Calanchi¹, Bernhard Ruf²

Abstract

The article explores the qualitative properties of solutions to elliptic equations and systems, focusing particularly on whether solutions retain the symmetry of their domains. According to the well-known Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg theorem, positive solutions to certain autonomous elliptic equations in radial domains are radial themselves. However, this symmetry can be broken in equations with power weight terms. The article also examines related results for systems of these weighted equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 35J47 · 35J60 · 35J20

Keywords: symmetry breaking, minimal energy solution, elliptic systems

1 Introduction

This article is concerned with semilinear elliptic equations and systems of such equations on symmetric domains. It is a natural question to ask whether solutions of these equations inherit the symmetry of the domain.

Indeed, a famous result of Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg ([16], 1979) says that the positive solutions of certain semilinear elliptic equations on radial domains are themselves radial. The proof of this result relies on the so-called *method of moving planes*. Generalizations of this result to more general symmetries (symmetry with respect to hyperplanes, symmetry with respect to a finite group of rotations) have been obtained by Pacella [28], [29].

¹Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy; e-mail: marta.calanchi@unimi.it

²Istituto Lombardo Accademia di Scienze e Lettere; e-mail: bruf001@gmail.com

On the other hand, Smets-Su-Willem ([33], 2002) showed that in related non-homogenous elliptic equations, namely equations in which the nonlinearity has a Hénon type weight, the symmetry of the positive solutions may be broken. In this article we will concentrate on this phenomenon, in various contexts.

In the *first part* of this article we will describe the mentioned result of Smets-Su-Willem and related results. The methods in these results are variational, and rely on the observation that the positive solutions are often *minimal energy solutions*. Indeed, Smets-Su-Willem show that for certain Hénon weights the minimal energy solution cannot be radial, since the global minimal energy is at a lower level than the minimal energy on the subspace of radial functions.

Another possible approach to symmetry breaking is the theory of bifurcation, but we will not pursue this approach here.

In the *second part* of this article, we consider these questions for coupled elliptic systems. Starting with a result by Mitidieri ([25], 1993) we discuss the importance of the so-called critical hyperbola for the solvability of such systems. Then, we review a result of X.J. Wang ([38], 1993) concerning the application of the moving plane technique for systems.

To discuss the critical range for systems with weights, we then present the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg ([8], 1984) inequality and its generalization to higher order operators by C.S. Lin ([22], 1986). This yields a modified critical hyperbola which depends explicitly on the exponents of the weights.

Finally, we turn to symmetry breaking results. We discuss results of Cao-Peng ([9], 2003) for elliptic equations with Hénon type weights and with nonlinearities approaching criticality. Furthermore, we present a result ([7], 2010) of the authors concerning the existence of radial and non-radial minimal energy solutions for elliptic systems with Hardy and Hénon type weights. In particular, we discuss the importance of certain new hyperbolas in describing the symmetry breaking (this result has been later improved by Bonheure-Dos Santos-Ramos in [3]).

2 Symmetry and Symmetry-Breaking

2.1 Radial solutions

Consider the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx$$

associated to (1). Here $F(t)$ is the primitive of f which vanishes in the origin.

It is well known that one can obtain solutions of (1) by finding critical points of J in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$, i.e. the space of functions whose weak derivative belongs to the space $L^2(\Omega)$. In order to have the term $\int_{\Omega} F(u) dx$ well-defined in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, one needs to impose a growth condition on $f(s)$, that is

$$|f(s)| \leq c(1 + |s|^{2^*-1}), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$$

so that F satisfies

$$|F(s)| \leq c(1 + |s|^{2^*}).$$

Then, by the Sobolev embedding theorem $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$, J is well defined on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. If we impose the stronger growth condition

$$|f(s)| \leq c_1 + c_2|s|^p, \quad 2 < p + 1 < 2^*,$$

then by Rellich's theorem one has a compact embedding and consequently solvability of the problem.

Now we concentrate on the case that Ω is a ball. In the celebrated paper of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg, the authors prove the radial symmetry of positive solutions of elliptic equation

Theorem 2.1. *(B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, (1979) [16]) Let $\Omega = \{|x| < R\}$ a ball in \mathbb{R}^N and f be of class C^1 . If $u > 0$ is a classical positive solution of (1), then u is radially symmetric and*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} < 0, \quad \text{for } 0 < r < R.$$

They also prove a similar result for functions which depend on r , i.e. $f = f(r, u)$, provided that they are decreasing in r :

Theorem 2.2. (*B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, (1979) [16]*) *Theorem 2.1 holds for $f = f(r, u)$ depending also on r , with f and f_u continuous, provided that f is **decreasing** in r .*

As an example, consider the following equation with a *Hardy type* weight:

$$-\Delta u = \frac{1}{|x|^\beta} u^p, \quad \text{with } 0 < \beta < 2.$$

Since $\frac{1}{|x|^\beta}$ is continuous and decreasing for $|x| \neq 0$, the result of Gidas-Nirenberg says that the positive solutions of this equation in the ball, and with Dirichlet boundary conditions, are radial.

It is now natural to ask what happens if one adds an increasing radial weight? Let us consider for instance the *Hénon equation*

$$-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^p, \tag{2}$$

which was introduced in 1973 by M. Hénon in the context of the simulation of spherically symmetric clusters of stars.

This equation has become a good model for a series of problems of great interest from a mathematical point of view, especially in the domain of variational methods and nonlinear analysis. In particular, much attention has been devoted to the Dirichlet problem for positive solutions in the unitary ball $\Omega = B_1(0)$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

for which existence, non existence, multiplicity and qualitative properties such as radial symmetry have been considered in various papers, under different conditions on α and p .

Concerning existence results for problem (3), one first observes that the exponent α affects the range of powers p for which (3) may possess solutions. Indeed the presence of the term $|x|^\alpha$ modifies the global homogeneity of the

equation and shifts upward the threshold between existence and nonexistence (given by an application of the Pohozaev identity).

The existence of solutions in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$, for $N \geq 3$, was first considered by Ni (1982) who realized that the presence of the weight extends the range of p for which a solutions exists.

Theorem 2.3. (*W.M. Ni, (1982) [26]*). *Problem (3) possesses a radial solution for all $p + 1 \in (2, 2_\alpha^*)$, where $2_\alpha^* = 2^* + \frac{2\alpha}{N-2}$.*

Idea of proof. The first important ingredient is an estimate for the radial functions:

Radial Lemma. *Let u be a radially symmetric function on $\Omega = B_1(0)$ with $u(1) = 0$. Then,*

$$|u(x)| \leq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\sqrt{\omega_N(N-2)} |x|^{(N-2)/2}} \quad (4)$$

where ω_N is the surface area of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

This inequality allows one to prove that the map $u \rightarrow |x|^{\alpha/(p+1)} \cdot u$ from $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(\Omega)$ to $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ is compact for all $p + 1 < 2_\alpha^*$ (here $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(\Omega)$ denotes the space of radial functions on $H_0^1(\Omega)$). Then, in order to conclude, it suffices to make use of the Mountain-Pass Lemma due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1] to obtain a solution.

□

Ni's result concerns a wider class of nonlinearities $f(|x|, u)$ with subcritical growth. Moreover, via a Pohozaev identity one can prove that there exist *no* (nontrivial) solutions if $p + 1 \geq 2^* + \frac{2\alpha}{N-2}$. We emphasize that Ni's result concerns the existence of radial solutions. If we look for solutions in the whole space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we are forced to restrict ourselves to the subcritical case $p+1 < 2^*$.

We now return to the question mentioned above. Since the function $|x|^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, is increasing, the classical results (moving plane techniques, maximum principle) of Gidas-Ni -Nirenberg cannot be applied to force radial symmetry of the solutions.

And indeed, non radial solutions appear in a natural way.

2.2 Symmetry breaking and multiplicity

In an interesting paper, Smets, Su and Willem [33] proved some symmetry-breaking results for the Hénon equation. Their study was principally devoted to the symmetry of the so-called *ground-state solutions*, or least energy solutions of (3).

Consider the Rayleigh quotient

$$R(u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p+1} dx\right)^{2/(p+1)}}. \quad (5)$$

For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $p + 1 < 2^*$, it is easy to verify that

$$S_{\alpha p} := \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} R(u) \quad (6)$$

is achieved by a function u (the ground state) which – up to rescaling – is a solution of (2).

By the symmetry of the problem it is natural to consider also

$$S_{\alpha p}^{rad} := \inf_{\substack{u \in H_{0,rad}^1(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} R(u) \quad (7)$$

where $H_{0,rad}^1(\Omega)$ denotes the space of radial functions in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

It is also easy to verify that $S_{\alpha p}^{rad}$ is achieved by a positive function v , which – after rescalings – is a solution of (3) (see Palais' symmetric criticality principle [30]). Since the weight $|x|^{\alpha}$ is increasing, symmetrization in general does not increase the value of the denominator. To the contrary, numerical computations suggested that in some cases

$$S_{\alpha p}^{rad} > S_{\alpha p}. \quad (8)$$

Indeed, in [33] the authors proved that (8) holds for α sufficiently large, and thus the ground state solution cannot be radial, at least for α large enough. Note that, as a consequence, (2) has at least two solutions when α is large, namely a radial and a non-radial solution.

Theorem 2.4. (*D. Smets, J. Su and M. Willem (2001) [33]*)

Assume $N \geq 2$. For any $2 < p + 1 < 2^$ there exists $\alpha^* > 0$ such that no minimizer of R is radial provided $\alpha > \alpha^*$.*

The authors give two different proofs. We give the idea of one of them.

Proof. By a change of variable one can prove the following asymptotic estimates for $S_{\alpha p}^{rad}$: there exists C depending on N and p such that

$$S_{\alpha p}^{rad} \sim C \left(\frac{\alpha + N}{N} \right)^{1+2/(p+1)}, \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the other hand, by testing the Rayleigh quotient R on functions u_α which concentrate near the boundary, one obtains the estimate

$$S_{\alpha p} \leq R(u_\alpha) \leq C \alpha^{2-N+\frac{2N}{p+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$S_{\alpha p}^{rad} > S_{\alpha p}, \text{ for } \alpha \text{ large,}$$

since $1 + \frac{2}{p+1} > 2 - N + \frac{2N}{p+1}$ whenever $p > 1$.

□

Later on, Serra proved in [32] the existence of at least one non-radial solution to (2) in the critical case $p + 1 = 2^*$, and in [2] Badiale and Serra proved the existence of more than one solution to the same equation also for some supercritical values of p . These solutions are non-radial but have some partial symmetry, and are obtained by minimization under suitable symmetry constraints.

Theorem 2.5. (*M. Badiale, E. Serra, (2004), [2]*) *Assume $N \geq 4$ and $p \in (1, \frac{N+1}{N-3})$. Then, for α large, problem (3) has at least $\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor - 1$ non radial solutions.*

More precisely they look for solutions by minimizing the Rayleigh quotient $R(u)$ on spaces with particular kind of symmetry: for $N \geq 4$ and $0 \leq N-l \leq l$ they write $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{N-l}$ and consider functions u in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ which are radial with respect to y and z (with an abuse of notation $u(x) = u(|y|, |z|)$). The quotient $R(u)$ attains its infimum on these spaces. Then, by considering different l 's, they can distinguish these critical points one from the other and each from the radial one.

In a different approach, Cao and Peng proved in [9] that for $\alpha > 0$ fixed and for $p + 1$ sufficiently close to 2^* , the ground-state solutions of (2) possess a unique maximum point whose distance from $\partial\Omega$ tends to zero as $p + 1 \rightarrow 2^*$; this implies again that the ground state solutions cannot be radially symmetric.

Theorem 2.6. (*D. Cao and S. Peng, (2002) [9]*) Suppose $2 < p + 1 < 2^*$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the ground state solution u_p (after passing to a subsequence) satisfies that for some $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$,

i) $|\nabla u_p|^2 \rightarrow \mu \delta_{x_0}$ as $p + 1 \rightarrow 2^*$ in the sense of measure;

ii) $|u_p|^{2^*} \rightarrow \nu \delta_{x_0}$ as $p + 1 \rightarrow 2^*$ in the sense of measure,

where $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ satisfy $\mu \geq S\nu^{\frac{2}{2^*}}$, S is the best Sobolev constant, and δ_x is the Dirac mass at the point x .

To prove their result, Cao and Peng show that the ground state solutions u_p form a minimizing sequence of the best Sobolev constant S as $p + 1 \rightarrow 2^*$, and then prove the Theorem by using the concentration compactness principle by P.L. Lions [23].

This kind of result was improved by Peng in [31], where multibump solutions for the Hénon equation with almost critical Sobolev exponent p are found. The proof relies on a finite-dimensional Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction. These solutions are not radial, but they are invariant under the action of suitable subgroups of $O(N)$, and they concentrate at boundary points of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N as $p + 1 \rightarrow 2^*$. Also in this result the value of α remains fixed.

We also mention that for the subcritical case $p + 1 < 2^*$ Byeong and Wang studied in [6] the profile of the ground state solutions, proving that they concentrate on the boundary, for $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$.

Later on, Cao, Peng and Yan [10] improved this result. They proved that for fixed $p + 1 < 2^*$ the maximum point x_α of the ground state solution u_α satisfies $\alpha(1 - |x_\alpha|) \rightarrow l \in (0, +\infty)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$. They also obtain the existence of multi-peaked solutions and give their asymptotic behavior.

We also mention a very recent result, due to Y. Zhang and J. Hao [39], about the Hénon biharmonic equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where ν is the unit exterior normal on $\partial\Omega$, $\alpha > 0$ and $2 < p + 1 < 2^{**} = \frac{2N}{N-4}$, where 2^{**} is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding $H_0^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$

$L^{p+1}(\Omega)$. The authors are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the ground-state solutions of (9). More precisely, define

$$S_{\alpha,p} = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p+1}\right)^{2/(p+1)}}.$$

Since the embedding $H_0^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ is compact for $p+1 < 2^{**}$, there exists a nontrivial function $u_p \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ such that $S_{\alpha,p}$ is achieved by u_p . In analogy to the result of Cao and Peng [9] they prove

Theorem 2.7. (*Y. Zhang and J. Hao, 2011, [39]*). *Suppose $2 < p+1 < 2^{**}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the ground state solutions u_p (after passing to a subsequence) satisfy that for some $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$,*

i) $|\Delta u_p|^2 \rightarrow \mu \delta_{x_0}$ as $p+1 \rightarrow 2^{**}$ in the sense of measure;

ii) $|u_p|^{2^{**}} \rightarrow \nu \delta_{x_0}$ as $p+1 \rightarrow 2^{**}$ in the sense of measure,

where $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ satisfy $\mu \geq \bar{S} \nu^{\frac{2}{2^{**}}}$, δ_x is the Dirac mass at x , and \bar{S} is the best Sobolev constant

$$\bar{S} = \inf_{\substack{u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^{**}}\right)^{2/2^{**}}}.$$

iii) when $p+1$ is close to 2^{**} , u_p has a unique maximum point x_p such that $\text{dist}(x_p, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $p+1 \rightarrow 2^{**}$.

Thus, also for the biharmonic equation we find symmetry breaking: the ground state solutions are non radial if $p+1$ is sufficiently close to 2^{**} .

3 Symmetry Breaking in Systems

3.1 The critical hyperbolas

Let us now consider the following system of coupled elliptic equations with different Hénon coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = |x|^{\alpha} u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta u = |x|^{\beta} v^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where Ω is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered in the origin, $N \geq 3$, $p, q > 1$, and $\alpha, \beta > -N$. In particular, we discuss existence, multiplicity and qualitative properties (such as radial symmetry in the case Ω a ball) of solutions.

The case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ has been studied by many authors. Here the natural restriction on the exponents p and q for existence / non-existence of solutions is given by the so-called *critical hyperbola*, that is

$$\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N}{q+1} = N - 2. \quad (11)$$

This hyperbola was first introduced by E. Mitidieri [25] who proved non-existence of solutions for $(p+1, q+1)$ lying on or above the hyperbola, using a Pohozaev type identity. Existence of solutions for $(p+1, q+1)$ below the critical hyperbola was proved by de Figueiredo-Felmer (see [12]) and by Hulshoff-van der Vorst (see [19], [20]) by using a variational set-up with fractional Sobolev spaces. A different approach, working with Sobolev-Orlicz spaces (which allows a generalization to non-polynomial nonlinearities) can be found in [11], [13].

The general case $\alpha \neq 0$, and/or $\beta \neq 0$ was investigated independently by de Figueiredo-Peral-Rossi [14] and Liu Fang-Yang Jianfu [24]; in both papers an approach via fractional Sobolev spaces is used. As in the scalar case, the presence of the weight functions $|x|^\alpha$ and $|x|^\beta$ affects the range of p and q for which the problem may have solutions. Indeed, in [14] and [24] it is shown that the dividing line between existence and non-existence is given by the following “weighted” critical hyperbola

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p+1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q+1} = N - 2. \quad (12)$$

For future reference, we call the hyperbola (11) the M-hyperbola (for *Mitidieri hyperbola*), and the hyperbola (12) the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola).

Theorem 3.1. (*D. de Figueiredo, I. Peral, J.D. Rossi, [14]*)

Assume that $p, q > 1$ and $-N < \alpha, \beta < 0$ verify

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p+1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q+1} > N - 2. \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{q+1} < 1.$$

and

$$p + 1 < \frac{2(N + \alpha)}{N - 4} \quad \text{and} \quad q + 1 < \frac{2(N + \beta)}{N - 4} \quad \text{if } N \geq 5. \quad (14)$$

Then there exists at least one positive solution of (10).

For plots of the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola, see figures 1 - 3 below.

Theorem 3.2. (F. Liu and J. Yang, (2007) [24])

Let $\alpha, \beta > -N$ and $p, q > 1$ such that

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q + 1} > N - 2.$$

If one of the following assumptions is satisfied

- i) (Hardy-case) $0 \geq \alpha, \beta > -N, \alpha + \beta > -4$;
- ii) (Hénon-case) $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \frac{\alpha N}{N + \alpha} + \frac{\beta N}{N + \beta} < 4$;
- iii) (Mixed-case) $-N < \beta \leq 0 \leq \alpha$,

then there exists at least one positive solution of (10).

The authors proved this result for more general nonlinearities with some growth conditions.

Idea of Proof: In both papers the following functional associated to the system is considered

$$J(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v - \frac{1}{p + 1} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{q + 1} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\beta} |u|^{q+1} \quad (15)$$

A natural choice of the space to define the functional would seem to be $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$; however, this is too restrictive and does not give an optimal result. The idea is to “destroy” the symmetry between u and v by requiring more regularity of u than of v if p is large and q is small (and viceversa). More precisely, the authors consider *fractional Sobolev spaces* $H^s(\Omega)$, which can be defined via interpolation, or by Fourier series: let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the orthogonal basis of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and λ_i the corresponding eigenvalues. Then, define the Hilbert space $H^s(\Omega) := \{u : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^s (u, e_i)_{L^2}^2 < \infty\}$ of functions with fractional derivative of order s , and analogously, the fractional derivative operator $A^s : u \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^{s/2} (u, e_i)_{L^2} e_i$. With these definitions, one

sees that the integral $\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx$ can be defined on $H^s \times H^t$, with $s + t = 2$, by performing a “fractional partial integration”, that is by writing

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} A^s u A^t v \, dx : H^s \times H^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

To obtain that J is a well-defined functional on $H^s \times H^t$ one now uses the Sobolev embeddings of $H^s(\Omega)$ into weighted L^p -spaces:

$$H^s(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega, |x|^\alpha dx) , \quad \text{for } p \leq \frac{2(N + \alpha)}{N - 2s} ,$$

with compact inclusions for strict inequality. The conditions on s, p, α and t, q, β , and the condition $s + t = 2$, yield the hyperbola.

A second difficulty (which is common to such systems) is the strong indefiniteness of the functional J . A way to get around this difficulty is to consider a *finite dimensional approximation*, then do a standard linking approach in the finite-dimensional spaces to obtain approximate solutions, and then prove the convergence of the sequence of approximate solutions to a solution of the system.

□

3.2 Non existence: a generalized identity of Pohozaev type

The previous results show that below the critical $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola (12) one has the existence of solutions. One expects non-existence of solutions on or above the critical hyperbola. This can indeed be shown, by extending the argument of Mitidieri in [25] to the non-autonomous case, by deriving a generalized identity of Pohozaev type.

Theorem 3.3. (*M. Calanchi, B. Ruf [7]*). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded, smooth, starshaped domain with respect to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. If $\alpha, \beta > -N$ and*

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q + 1} \leq N - 2 \tag{16}$$

then the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta u = |x|^\beta |v|^{q-1}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

has no nontrivial strong positive solutions.

We give an idea of the proof in the Hardy case (for α, β negative).

Proof. For this case we follow the idea developed by B. Xuan (see Appendix in [37]).

Let (u, v) be a positive solution of system (10). Due to the Hardy weights this solution may be singular in the origin, but standard regularity results imply that for every δ small, u and v belong to $C^2(\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)})$. We multiply the equations respectively by $(x \cdot \nabla u)$ and by $(x \cdot \nabla v)$, add the two equations and integrate over $\Omega_\delta = \Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_\delta} \{ \Delta u (x \cdot \nabla v) + \Delta v (x \cdot \nabla u) \} dx = \\ \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left\{ \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} (x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial v} (x \cdot \nabla v) \right\} dx, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(x, u, v) = \frac{1}{p+1} |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{q+1} |x|^\beta |v|^{q+1} \quad (19)$$

Applying the Divergence Theorem to xG , one has for the right side of (18)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left\{ \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} (x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial v} (x \cdot \nabla v) \right\} dx = \\ = - \frac{N - |\alpha|}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{u^{p+1}}{|x|^{|\alpha|}} dx - \frac{N - |\beta|}{q+1} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{v^{q+1}}{|x|^{|\beta|}} dx + \\ + \int_{|x|=\delta} G(u, v, x) (x \cdot n) ds, \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

while for the left side of (18) (see [25], Corollary 2.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left\{ \Delta u (x \cdot \nabla v) + \Delta v (x \cdot \nabla u) \right\} dx = \\
& = \int_{\partial\Omega_\delta} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} (x \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} (x \cdot \nabla u) - (\nabla u \nabla v)(x \cdot n) \right\} ds + (N-2) \int_{\Omega_\delta} (\nabla u \nabla v) dx \\
& = \int_{|x|=\delta} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} (x \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} (x \cdot \nabla u) - (\nabla u \nabla v)(x \cdot n) \right\} ds \\
& \quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} (x \cdot n) \right\} ds + (N-2) \int_{\Omega_\delta} (\nabla u \nabla v) dx. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying the first equation by u , the second by v and integrating, one obtains

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} (\nabla u \nabla v) dx - \int_{|x|=\delta} v \nabla u \cdot x = \int_{\Omega_\delta} |x|^\beta |v|^{q+1} dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} (\nabla u \nabla v) dx - \int_{|x|=\delta} u \nabla v \cdot x = \int_{\Omega_\delta} |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} dx$$

Then one proves that all the integrals along $\{|x| = \delta\}$ go to zero, at least for a subsequence $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$. So that one has the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} (x \cdot n) \right\} ds \\
& = \left\{ -(N-2) + \frac{N-|\alpha|}{p+1} + \frac{N-|\beta|}{q+1} \right\} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} dx \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives non-existence of positive solutions on the critical hyperbola. \square

3.3 Existence: the ground state

In this section we are interested in a particular type of solution: the ground state. This needs a different approach with respect to the one used for instance by de Figueiredo-Felmer ([12]) and by Hulshoff-van der Vorst (see [19]), or by de Figueiredo-Peral-Rossi ([14]) and Liu-Yang ([24]) for the weighted systems.

We begin with the case without weights:

Theorem 3.4. (*X. J. Wang, (1993) [38]*) *We consider the following problem*

$$S_{p,q} = \inf_{W_\theta^{2,r}(B)} \frac{\int_B |\Delta u|^r}{\left(\int_B |u|^{p+1}\right)^{r/p+1}}, \quad r = \frac{q+1}{q} \quad (23)$$

where B is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N , and $W_\theta^{2,r}(B)$ denotes the set of functions in $W^{2,r}(B)$ which vanish on ∂B and p and q satisfy

$$\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N}{q+1} > N - 2 \quad (24)$$

(i.e. (p, q) lies below the M -hyperbola). Then the infimum of (23) is achieved by a positive function u which is spherically symmetric and radially decreasing. Moreover, u is a weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta ((-\Delta u)^{1/q}) = S_{p,q} u^p & \text{in } B, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Remark 3.5. *Set $v = (-\Delta u)^{1/q}$, then (u, v) is a weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v^q & \text{in } B, \\ -\Delta v = S_{p,q} u^p & \text{in } B, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Analogously one can (formally) deduce from the second equation in (10)

$$v = (-\Delta u)^{\frac{1}{q}} |x|^{-\frac{\beta}{q}},$$

and inserting this into the first equation one obtains the following scalar equation for the u -component

$$-\Delta((-\Delta u)^{1/q} |x|^{-\beta/q}) = |x|^\alpha u^p \quad (27)$$

It is interesting to investigate the rôle played by the weights α and β when dealing with the existence and symmetry of *ground state* (or *minimal energy*) solutions of equation (27), that is, minimizers u of the corresponding Rayleigh quotient

$$R(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} |\Delta u|^r}{\left(\int_\Omega |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} dx\right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}}}, \quad r := \frac{q+1}{q}, \quad (28)$$

on the weighted Sobolev space

$$W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx) \cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$$

Here we denote with $W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$ the set of functions $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|u|^r + |\nabla u|^r + \sum_{|\xi|=2} |D^{\xi} u|^r |x|^{-\beta(r-1)}) dx < +\infty ,$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta/q} dx)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} (|u|^r + |\nabla u|^r + \sum_{|\xi|=2} |D^{\xi} u|^r |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx) dx \right)^{1/r} ;$$

also, we denote with

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$$

the subspace of $W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$ of radial functions. Furthermore, let

$$W_D^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$$

denote the closure of $\{\phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) : \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ in $W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$, i.e. the closure of the smooth functions in Ω with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and with

$$W_{D,\text{rad}}^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx)$$

the corresponding subspace of radial functions (for Ω a ball).

Critical points of $R(u)$ on $W_D^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta/q} dx)$ are (up to rescaling) weak solutions of (27), i.e. they verify

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u)^{1/q} |x|^{-\beta/q} (-\Delta \varphi) dx = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} u^p \varphi dx , \\ \text{for all } \varphi \in W_D^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta/q} dx) , \end{cases}$$

and, moreover, if $v = (-\Delta u)^{\frac{1}{q}} |x|^{-\frac{\beta}{q}}$, then $v \in W^{2, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\Omega, |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} dx) \cap W_0^{1, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\Omega)$. In accordance, by a *strong solution* of the system we mean a couple (u, v) of weak solutions such that

$$(u, v) \in W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\frac{\beta}{q}} dx) \cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega) \times W^{2, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\Omega, |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} dx) \cap W_0^{1, \frac{p+1}{p}}(\Omega).$$

We give first the following existence result for Hardy-type systems

Theorem 3.6. (*Hardy case; M. Calanchi, B. Ruf (2010) [7]*).

Let $0 \geq \alpha, \beta > -N$, and $p, q > 1$. If $\frac{N-|\alpha|}{p+1} + \frac{N-|\beta|}{q+1} > N-2$ (i.e. $(p+1, q+1)$ lies below the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola), then system (3) has a positive nontrivial solution (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) . Moreover if Ω is a ball then (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is radially symmetric.




Figure 1: Hardy case: $\alpha, \beta < 0$

Proof. From a generalization due to Lin (see [22]) of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality [8], one can prove that the embedding

$$W^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta(r-1)} dx) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega, |x|^\alpha)$$

is compact. To prove the existence of a positive solution we minimize the Rayleigh quotient $R(u)$ given in (28). By the compactness of the embedding the infimum is attained by a positive function, which is a (strong) solution of problem (10). For $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and if Ω is a ball, as proved by X.J. Wang [38], the ground state of (28) is a radial and radially decreasing positive function (Theorem 3.4). By adapting his argument (moving planes technique and maximum principle) one can extend this result also to the values $\alpha, \beta < 0$ (noting

that the weights do not interfere with the moving planes technique, since they are decreasing).

□

3.4 Symmetry breaking for systems

As in the case of a single equation, there are two type of symmetry breaking results: one for fixed (α, β) and (p, q) near the critical hyperbola, and the other one for fixed (p, q) with α large:

Theorem 3.7. (*H. He, J. Yang (2008) [17]*)

Consider the (Hénon) problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = |x|^\alpha u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta u = |x|^\beta v^{q_\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < pN$, $\beta > 0$, $N \geq 8$, $p > 1$, $q_\varepsilon > 1$. Assume that $q_\varepsilon \rightarrow q$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and q_ε, q satisfy respectively

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q_\varepsilon + 1} > N - 2, \quad \frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q + 1} = N - 2. \quad (30)$$

Then the ground state solution $(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$, up to subsequence, satisfies that for some $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$,

i) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $|\Delta v_\varepsilon|^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \rightharpoonup \mu \delta_{x_0}$, $|x|^{\frac{\alpha(p+1)}{p}} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} \rightharpoonup \mu \delta_{x_0}$ in the sense of measure;

ii) $|v_\varepsilon|^{q+1} \rightharpoonup \nu \delta_{x_0}$ in the sense of measure,

where $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ satisfy $\mu \geq S_{p,q} \nu^{\frac{p+1}{p(q+1)}}$, δ_x is the Dirac mass at x , and

$$S_{p,q} = \inf_{W_\theta^{2, \frac{q+1}{q}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^{\frac{q+1}{q}} dx}{\left(\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q(p+1)}}$$

is the Sobolev constant.

Thus, in high dimensions ($N \geq 8$), the minimal energy solutions concentrate near a boundary point if (p, q) tends to the critical hyperbola, which implies in particular that for $\Omega = B_R(0)$ the ground state solution cannot be radial.

Theorem 3.8. (*Hénon type system, M. Calanchi, B. Ruf (2010), [7]*).

Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and suppose that $p, q > 1$.

a) If $\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1} + \frac{N+\beta}{q+1} \leq N - 2$ (i.e., on or above the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola) and Ω is starshaped, then system (3) has no non-trivial solutions.

b) Suppose that $\Omega = B_1(0)$. If $q > \max\{1, \frac{\beta}{N}\}$ and $\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1} + \frac{N+\beta}{q+1} > N - 2$ (i.e. below the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola), then system (3) has a radial solution (not necessarily of minimal energy)

c) If $\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N}{q+1} > N - 2$ (i.e. below the M -hyperbola), then $\inf_E R(u)$ is attained and hence system (3) has a ground state solution; furthermore, if $p > \frac{N}{(N-1)q-1}$ and $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large, then the ground state solution is not radially symmetric.

The situation is illustrated in the following figure 2:




Figure 2: Hénon case: $\alpha, \beta > 0$

Since the original article contains an inaccuracy in the proof, we present here the essential steps.

Proof. a) has been already proved.

b) For the existence, as in Ni's result, the essential ingredient is an estimate for the radial functions which allows one to prove that the embedding

$$E_{rad} = W_{D,rad}^{2,r}(\Omega, |x|^{-\beta/q} dx) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega, |x|^\alpha dx), \quad r = \frac{q+1}{q}$$

is continuous and compact. Therefore, the infimum

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}^{rad} = \inf_{u \in E_{rad} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta/q} |\Delta u|^r dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}}}$$

is attained by a function \bar{v} which is, after rescaling, a solution of (10).

c) First, we have to show that $S_{\alpha,\beta} := \inf_E R(u)$ is attained. Let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ be a minimizing sequence. We may assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u_n|^{p+1} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta/N} |\Delta u_n|^r dx \rightarrow m > 0.$$

Then clearly $\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^r dx \leq c$, and by the assumption and the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem it follows that $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$, and hence also in $L^{p+1}(\Omega, |x|^\alpha dx)$. This is sufficient to conclude that $\inf_E R(u)$ is attained.

We show that if $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large, then the radial ground state level lies above the ground state level: indeed, by Proposition 3.9 below, we have the following lower estimate for the radial ground state level:

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}^{rad} \geq C \alpha^{2r + \frac{r}{p+1} - 1 - \frac{1}{q}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq \alpha_0$$

On the other hand, for the ground state level the following upper estimate holds: There exist $C > 0$ and α_0 such that for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} \leq C \alpha^{2r - N + N \frac{r}{p+1}}$$

From these two inequalities it follows that the ground state is non radial for α sufficiently large, and for $p > \frac{N}{(N-1)q-1}$ (for example this is true if $p > \frac{N}{N-2}$). We give now an estimate from below for the radial level

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}^{rad} = \inf_{u \in E_{rad} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta/q} |\Delta u|^r dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}}}$$

Proposition 3.9. *There exist $C > 0$ and α_0 such that*

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}^{rad} \geq C \alpha^{2r + \frac{r}{p+1} - 1 - \frac{1}{q}}, \quad \alpha \geq \alpha_0$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon = \frac{N}{N+\alpha}$ and $u(x) = u(|x|)$ a smooth radial function such that $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let $v(\rho) = u(\rho^\varepsilon)$. We have

$$v'(\rho) = \varepsilon u'(\rho^\varepsilon) \rho^{\varepsilon-1} \quad \text{and} \quad v''(\rho) = \varepsilon^2 u''(\rho^\varepsilon) \rho^{2\varepsilon-2} + \varepsilon(\varepsilon-1) u'(\rho^\varepsilon) \rho^{\varepsilon-2}$$

so that

$$u'(\rho^\varepsilon) = \rho^{1-\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-1} v'(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad u''(\rho^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2} \rho^{2-2\varepsilon} [v''(\rho) - (\varepsilon-1) \rho^{-1} v'(\rho)]$$

Therefore, by the change of variable $t = \rho^\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta/q} |\Delta u|^r dx &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^1 \left| u''(t) + \frac{N-1}{t} u'(t) \right|^r t^{N-1-\beta/q} dt \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^1 \varepsilon \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - 1} \left| u''(\rho^\varepsilon) + \frac{N-1}{\rho^\varepsilon} u'(\rho^\varepsilon) \right|^r d\rho \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^1 \varepsilon \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - 1} \left| \varepsilon^{-2} \rho^{2-2\varepsilon} [v''(\rho) - (\varepsilon-1) \rho^{-1} v'(\rho)] + \frac{N-1}{\rho^\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-1} \rho^{1-\varepsilon} v'(\rho) \right|^r d\rho \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{1-2r} \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - 1} \left| \rho^{2-2\varepsilon} [v''(\rho) - (\varepsilon-1) \rho^{-1} v'(\rho)] + (N-1) \varepsilon \rho^{1-2\varepsilon} v'(\rho) \right|^r d\rho \end{aligned}$$

(here there was the mistake)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{1-2r} \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - 1 + 2r - 2r\varepsilon} \left| v''(\rho) + \frac{N\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon + 1}{\rho} v'(\rho) \right|^r d\rho \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \varepsilon^{1-2r} \int_0^1 \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - 1 + 2r - 2r\varepsilon} \rho^{-(N\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon + 1)r} \left| (\rho^{N\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon + 1} v'(\rho))' \right|^r d\rho \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \varepsilon^{1-2r} \int_0^1 \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr - 1} \left| (\rho^{N\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon + 1} v'(\rho))' \right|^r d\rho \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \varepsilon^{1-2r} \int_0^1 \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} \left| (\rho^\gamma v'(\rho))' \right|^r d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = N\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon + 1$. Moreover, by the choice of ε ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u(x)|^{p+1} dx = \omega_{N-1} \varepsilon \int_0^1 |v(\rho)|^{p+1} \rho^{N-1} d\rho.$$

Thus, we get the following estimate for the radial level:

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}^{rad} = C_N \varepsilon^{-2r - \frac{r}{p+1} + 1} \inf_{v \in E_{rad} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_0^1 \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} \left| (\rho^\gamma v'(\rho))' \right|^r d\rho}{\left(\int_0^1 |v(\rho)|^{p+1} \rho^{N-1} \right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}}} \quad (31)$$

It is now sufficient to show that there exists $\eta > 0$ independent on ε such that

$$\inf_{v \in E_{rad} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_0^1 \rho^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr - 1} |(\rho^\gamma v'(\rho))'|^r d\rho}{\left(\int_0^1 |v(\rho)|^{p+1} \rho^{N-1}\right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}}} \geq \eta \varepsilon^{1/q} \quad \text{uniformly as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

We proceed as in the embedding result setting $w(\rho) = v'(\rho)\rho^\gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t)| &= \left| \int_1^t v'(\rho) d\rho \right| = \left| \int_1^t w(\rho) \rho^{-\gamma} d\rho \right| = \left| \int_1^t \rho^{-\gamma} \left(\int_0^\rho w'(s) ds \right) d\rho \right| \\ &= \left| \int_1^t \rho^{-\gamma} \left[\int_0^\rho w'(s) s^{\frac{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1}{r}} s^{-\frac{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1}{r}} ds \right] d\rho \right| \end{aligned}$$

(Hölder inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \int_1^t \rho^{-\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_0^\rho s^{(-\varepsilon N + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} - r + Nr\varepsilon + 1)q} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} d\rho \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_1^t \rho^{-\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_0^\rho s^{-\varepsilon N q + \varepsilon\beta - 1 + N(q+1)\varepsilon} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} d\rho \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{[\varepsilon(N + \beta)]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \left| \int_1^t \rho^{-\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \rho^{-\frac{\varepsilon N q}{q+1} + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q+1} + N\varepsilon} d\rho \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{[\varepsilon(N + \beta)]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \left| \int_1^t \rho^{2\varepsilon - \frac{Nq\varepsilon}{q+1} + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q+1} - 1} d\rho \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{[\varepsilon(N + \beta)]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \left| \int_1^t \rho^{\varepsilon(2-N + \frac{N+\beta}{q+1}) - 1} d\rho \right| =: \mathfrak{S} \end{aligned}$$

For $q + 1 \neq \frac{N+\beta}{N-2}$ one has

$$\mathfrak{S} = \frac{1}{[\varepsilon(N + \beta)]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right)^{1/r} \left| \frac{t^{\varepsilon(2-N + \frac{N+\beta}{q+1})} - 1}{\varepsilon \left(N - 2 - \frac{N+\beta}{q+1} \right)} \right|$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^1 |v(t)|^{p+1} t^{N-1} dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{[\varepsilon(N + \beta)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\int_0^1 |w'(s)|^r s^{\varepsilon N - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{q} + r - Nr\varepsilon - 1} ds \right) \left(\int_0^1 \left| \frac{t^{\varepsilon(2-N + \frac{N+\beta}{q+1})} - 1}{\varepsilon \left(N - 2 - \frac{N+\beta}{q+1} \right)} \right|^{p+1} t^{N-1} dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that the last term is uniformly bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = \left| \frac{t^{\varepsilon(2-N+\frac{N+\beta}{q+1})} - 1}{\varepsilon \left(N - 2 - \frac{N+\beta}{q+1} \right)} \right|^{p+1} t^{N-1}$$

We have that

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow (-\log t)^{p+1} t^{N-1} \text{ on } (0, 1), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$g_\varepsilon(t) \leq (-\log t)^{p+1} \text{ on } (0, 1).$$

Then, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\int_0^1 g_\varepsilon(t) dt \rightarrow \int_0^1 (-\log t)^{p+1} t^{N-1} dt$$

which is finite.

□

Quite surprisingly one can prove a similar result (with some restrictions) even in the mixed case:

Theorem 3.10. (*Hénon-Hardy type system, M. Calanchi, B. Ruf (2010), [7]*)

Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 > \beta > -N$, and suppose that $p, q > 1$.

a) If $\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1} + \frac{N-|\beta|}{q+1} > N - 2$ (i.e. below the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola),

then system (3) has a radial solution (not necessarily of minimal energy)

b) If (p, q) satisfies $\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N-|\beta|}{q+1} > N - 2$, then $\inf_E R(u)$ is attained and hence system (3) has a ground state solution; furthermore, if $p > \frac{N}{(N-1)q-1}$, and $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large, then the ground state solution is not radially symmetric.

The proof is similar of the one of the Hénon case. One only needs a compact embedding as in the Hardy case.




Figure 3: Mixed case.

3.5 Open problems

The present paper raises several open questions. A few list follows:

1. For systems of Hardy-Hénon type: suppose that Ω is an arbitrary bounded domain: if $(p+1, q+1)$ lies below the $\alpha\beta$ -hyperbola and above the M-hyperbola (fig. 3), then it is *not known* whether $\inf_E R(u)$ is attained.

2. For systems of Hénon type: in the subcritical case (under the M-hyperbola) is it possible to describe the asymptotic behavior of the ground state solution as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$?

3. For systems of Hénon type: is it possible to prove multiplicity of non-radial solutions with some partial symmetry in the spirit of Badiale and Serra's result (Theorem 2.5)? Which is in this case the new critical hyperbola?

and moreover

4. For the Hénon equation in the supercritical case $p < \frac{N+1}{N-3}$ (Theorem 2.5): which is the asymptotic behavior of the partially symmetric solutions as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ or when $p \rightarrow \frac{N+1}{N-3}$?

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual Variational Methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., **14**, (1973), 349 - 381.
- [2] M. Badiale, E. Serra, *Multiplicity results for the supercritical Hénon equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **4**, (2004), No. 4, 453–467.
- [3] D. Bonheure, E. Dos Santos, M. Ramos, *Symmetry and symmetry breaking for ground state solutions of some strongly coupled elliptic systems*, Journal of Functional Analysis **264**, Issue 1, (2013) 62–96.
- [4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **36**, (1983), 437–477.
- [5] J. Byeon, Z.-Q. Wang, *On the Hénon equation: asymptotic profile of ground states. I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **23**, (2006), 803–828.
- [6] J. Byeon, Z.-Q. Wang, *On the Hénon equation: asymptotic profile of ground states. II*, J. Differential Equations, **216**, (2005), 78–108.
- [7] M. Calanchi, B. Ruf, *Radial and non radial solutions for Hardy-Hénon type elliptic systems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **38** (2010), no. 1-2, 111–133,
- [8] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math., **53**, (1984), 259–275.
- [9] D. Cao, S. Peng, *The asymptotic behaviour of the ground state solutions for Hénon equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **278**, (2003), 1–17.
- [10] D. Cao, S. Peng, S. Yan, *Asymptotic behaviour of ground state solutions for the Hénon equation*, IMA J. Appl. Math. **74** (2009), no. 3, 468–480.
- [11] P. Clément, B. de Pagter, G. Sweers, F. de Thélin, *Existence of solutions to a semilinear elliptic system through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Mediterr. J. Math., **1** (2004), no. 3, 241–267.

- [12] D.G. de Figueiredo, P. Felmer, *On Superquadratic Elliptic Systems*, Trans. American Math. Soc., **343**, no. 1, (1994), 99–116.
- [13] D.G. de Figueiredo, J.M. do Ó, B. Ruf, *An Orlicz-space approach to superlinear elliptic systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **224** (2005), no. 2, 471–496.
- [14] D.G. de Figueiredo, I. Peral, J. D. Rossi, *The critical hyperbola for a Hamiltonian system with weights*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **187** (2008), no. 3, 531–545.
- [15] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **47** (1974), 324–353.
- [16] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle*, Commun. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209–243.
- [17] H. He, J. Yang, *The Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions for Hénon systems with nearly critical exponent*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **347** (2008), 459–471.
- [18] M. Hénon, *Numerical experiments on the stability of spheriocal stellar systems*, Astronomy and Astrophysics, **24** (1973), 229–238.
- [19] J. Hulshof, R. Van der Vorst, *Differential systems with strongly indefinite variational structure*, J. Funct. Anal. **114** (1993), 32–58.
- [20] J. Hulshof, R. Van der Vorst, *Asymptotic behaviour of Ground States*, Proceed. Amer. Math. Soc. , **124** (1996), 2423–2431.
- [21] E.H. Lieb, *Sharp Constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Related Inequalities*, Annals of Mathematics, **118**, 1983, 349–374.
- [22] Lin, Chang Shou, *Interpolation inequalities with weights*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 14, 1515–1538.
- [23] P-L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. Part I*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985), 145–201.
- [24] F. Liu, J. Yang, *Nontrivial solutions of Hardy-Hénon type elliptic systems*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **27** (2007), no. 4, 673–688.

- [25] E. Mitidieri, *A Rellich type identity and applications*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **18**, (1993), 125–151.
- [26] W. M. Ni, *A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **31** (1982), 801–807.
- [27] B. Opic, A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, **219**, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1990.
- [28] F. Pacella, *Symmetry results for solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with convex nonlinearities*, J. Funct. Anal. **192** (2002), no. 1, 271–282.
- [29] F. Pacella, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations and related eigenvalue problems*, Milan J. Math. **73** (2005), 221–236.
- [30] R. S. Palais, C.L. Terng, *Critical point theory and submanifold geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1353. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1988).
- [31] S. Peng, *Multiple boundary concentrating solutions to Dirichlet problem of Hénon equation*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., **22**, (2006), 137–162.
- [32] E. Serra, *Non radial positive solutions for the Hénon equation with critical growth*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **23** (2005), No. 3, 301–326.
- [33] D. Smets, J. Su, M. Willem, *Non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, **4**, no. 3 (2002), 467–480.
- [34] D. Smets, M. Willem, *Partial symmetry and asymptotic behavior for some elliptic variational problems*, Cal. Var. , **18** (2005), 57–75.
- [35] W.A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys., **55**, (1977), 149–162.
- [36] M. Struwe, *Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*. Third edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2000).
- [37] B. Xuan, *The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **62** (2005), **4**, 703–725.

- [38] Xu-Jia Wang, *Sharp constant in a Sobolev inequality*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, **20**, No. 3, (1993), 261–268.
- [39] Yajing Zhang, Jianghao Hao *The asymptotic behavior of the ground state solutions for biharmonic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, Vol. **74** (2011), 2739–2749.