

B-VALUED SEMI-CIRCULAR SYSTEM AND FREE POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

HYUGA ITO

ABSTRACT. We characterize B -valued semi-circular system in terms of B -valued free probabilistic analogue of Poincaré inequality. This is a B -valued generalization of Biane’s theorem [B03, Theorem 5.1]. Moreover, we prove that Voiculescu’s conjecture on B -valued free Poincaré inequality in [AIM06] is not in the affirmative as it is.

1. INTRODUCTION

Free probability theory is a non-commutative probability theory based on the notion of free independence, initiated by Voiculescu [V85] in the early 80s. In his work, Voiculescu proved a free probabilistic analogue of central limit theorem (see [V85, Theorem 4.8]). In the theorem, the *semi-circular distribution* $d\sigma_S(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}1_{[-2,2]}(t)\sqrt{4-t^2}dt$ (with mean 0 and variance 1) appears as the limit distribution, where dt is the Lebesgue measure and $1_{[-2,2]}$ is the indicator function on $[-2, 2]$. This implies that the semi-circular distribution plays a role of Gaussian distribution in free probability theory. Then, the semi-circular distribution has been studied by many hands. For example, Voiculescu proved a free probabilistic analogue of Stein’s equation (see [V98, Proposition 3.8]) and Biane characterized the semi-circular distribution by means of (sharp) \mathbb{C} -valued free Poincaré inequality (see [B03, Theorem 5.1]). Note that, in his unpublished note, Voiculescu proved the \mathbb{C} -valued free Poincaré inequality

$$\|P(Y_1, \dots, Y_d) - \tau(P(Y_1, \dots, Y_d))\|_\tau \leq \sqrt{2} \max_{j \in [d]} \{\|Y_j\|\} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^d \|\partial_j P(Y_1, \dots, Y_d)\|_{\tau \otimes \tau},$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ and any non-commutative tuples Y_1, \dots, Y_d of self-adjoint elements in a von Neumann algebra M with a faithful normal tracial state τ , where $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ is the unital $*$ -algebra of all \mathbb{C} -valued non-commutative polynomials in $X_j^* = X_j$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (see e.g. [MiSp17, section 8.1, Theorem 5]). In the above inequality, a non-commutative replacement ∂_j of differential operator called the *free difference quotient* appears, and it was introduced in the study of (non-microstate) free entropy and free Fisher’s information measure (see [V98, section 2]). Voiculescu also proposed a conjecture on a B -valued analogue of free Poincaré inequality in [AIM06], which means that \mathbb{C} -valued non-commutative polynomials are replaced with B -valued non-commutative polynomials. Related to this conjecture, the author [I24] proved a “weak” free Poincaré inequality, in which the L^2 -norm $\|\partial_{X:B}[P(X)]\|_{\tau \otimes \tau}$ was replaced with the projective tensor norm $\|\partial_{X:B}[P(X)]\|_{\widehat{\otimes}}$, to improve Voiculescu’s lemma [V00, Lemma 3.4] about the kernel of the closure of free difference quotient. It is still an open problem whether or not $\ker(\overline{\partial}_{X:B}) = B$, where $\overline{\partial}_{X:B}$ denotes the L^2 -closure of $\partial_{X:B} : L^2(B\langle X \rangle, \tau) \rightarrow L^2(B\langle X \rangle \otimes B\langle X \rangle, \tau \otimes \tau)$ (see [AIM06]).

In the mid 80s, Voiculescu [V95] introduced the notion of *B-free independence*, which arises by the construction of free product with amalgamation over B , where B is a unital algebra

over \mathbb{C} . In his work, Voiculescu proved a B -valued free probabilistic analogue of central limit theorem and called the limit distribution a B -valued semi-circular distribution (see [V95, Definition 8.1 and Theorem 8.4]). Then, Speicher [Sp98] reconstructed the framework of B -valued free probability theory in terms of partitions and also defined the notion of B -valued semi-circular elements in terms of non-crossing partitions (see Definition 2.3).

In this paper, we will generalize Biane's theorem [B03, Theorem 5.1] to the B -valued semi-circular distribution, that is, we will characterize the B -valued semi-circular system in terms of an "appropriate" B -valued free Poincaré inequality. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem. *Let (S_1, \dots, S_d) be a B -free family of self-adjoint non-commutative random variables in a tracial B -valued non-commutative probability space (A, B, τ, E) (see Definition 2.1 below) with mean 0 and variance η_1, \dots, η_d , respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *(S_1, \dots, S_d) is a B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d (see Definition 2.3 below).*
- (2) *We have*

$$\|P(S_1, \dots, S_d) - E[P(S_1, \dots, S_d)]\|_\tau^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \|\text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])\|_{\eta_j}^2$$

for any non-commutative polynomial $P(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ of a certain class $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ (see below).

To prove this, we will introduce two objects: One is a B -valued analogue of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, say $\{U_n^\eta\}_{n=1}^\infty$, which will be called the B -valued Chebyshev family (see Definition 3.1). Its definition is similar to the classical one, but depends on a given linear map $\eta : B \rightarrow B$. The other is the divergence operator ∂_j^* with respect to a B -valued semi-circular element, which is a B -valued (algebraic) analogue of the adjoint $\partial_{X:B}^*$ of $\partial_{X:B}$, which appeared in [V98, Proposition 4.3] (see Definition 5.1). Similarly to the (classical) Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, the B -valued Chebyshev family $\{U_n^\eta\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are orthogonal with respect to the B -valued semi-circular distribution (see Propositions 3.6 and 3.4) and each U_n^η provides an eigenvector of $\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j$ with the eigenvalue n (see Proposition 5.3). Remark that the L^2 -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\eta_j}$ in the above is different from that in Voiculescu's conjecture and also that $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ is, in general, not equal to the all non-commutative polynomials $B_{\langle d \rangle} = B\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ (see Remark 6.2). This means that, in the B -valued situation, the natural free Poincaré inequality holds for only special non-commutative polynomials even in the B -valued semi-circular system.

Moreover, we will give a simple counterexample to Voiculescu's conjecture on B -valued free Poincaré inequality.

This paper consists of 6 sections from 2 to 7 besides this introduction.

In section 2, we will prepare notations, definitions and facts, which will be used later.

In section 3, we will introduce a B -valued generalization of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and study its basic properties.

In section 4, we will prove a B -valued analogue of Stein's equation for the B -free semi-circular system.

In section 5, we will introduce the divergence operator in the B -valued framework and prove that the composition of the free difference quotient and the divergence operator plays a role of number operator.

In section 6, we will prove our main result.

In section 7, we will give a counterexample to Voiculescu's conjecture on B -valued free Poincaré inequality.

Some facts proven in sections 4 and 5 are also seen in [Sh00]. However, since our setting is different from his, we will give precise arguments (by different method from his).

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$. For any $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $I(k, d)$ the set of all maps from $[k]$ to $[d]$. In particular, we set

$$\text{Alt}(I(k, d)) = \{i \in I(k, d) \mid i(j) \neq i(j+1) \text{ for all } j \in [k-1]\}.$$

Moreover, we denote by $I(k, \mathbb{N})$ the set of all maps from $[k]$ to \mathbb{N} . Let $\mathbb{C}\langle d \rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ be the unital algebra of all \mathbb{C} -coefficients non-commutative polynomials in $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$. Let B be a unital algebra over \mathbb{C} . We denote by $B\langle d \rangle = B\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ the (algebraic) free product of B and $\mathbb{C}\langle d \rangle$. If B is a unital $*$ -algebra and $X_j = X_j^*$, $j \in [d]$, then $B\langle d \rangle$ naturally becomes a unital $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} .

Let A be a unital algebra over \mathbb{C} such that B is a unital subalgebra of A , and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$ elements of A . Then, by the universality of free product, there exists a unique algebra homomorphism ev_Y from $B\langle d \rangle$ to A such that $\text{ev}_Y(X_j) = Y_j$, $j \in [d]$, and $\text{ev}_Y(b) = b$ for all $b \in B$. If $B \subset A$ is a unital inclusion of unital $*$ -algebras and $Y_j = Y_j^*$, $j \in [d]$, then ev_Y becomes a $*$ -homomorphism. For any $P(X) = P(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in B\langle d \rangle$, we often write $\text{ev}_Y(P(X)) = P(Y)$.

When $d = 1$, we write $X = X_1$ for the simplicity of our notation. Then, we denote by $\partial = \partial_{X:B}$ the *free difference quotient* with respect to a formal random variable X over B , which was introduced in [V98] and is a unique derivation from $B\langle X \rangle$ to $B\langle X \rangle \otimes B\langle X \rangle$ such that

$$\partial[X] = 1 \otimes 1 \text{ and } B \subset \ker(\partial).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\partial[b_0 X b_1 \cdots X b_k] = \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} b_0 X b_1 \cdots X b_{\ell-1} \otimes b_\ell X b_{\ell+1} \cdots X b_k$$

for any $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$. When $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$, $d \geq 2$, identifying

$$B\langle d \rangle = (B\langle X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_d \rangle) \langle X_j \rangle,$$

we set $\partial_j = \partial_{X_j: B\langle X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_d \rangle}$ for each $j \in [d]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $B \subset A$ be a unital inclusion of unital $*$ -algebras such that B is assumed to be a unital C^* -algebra. A (positive) conditional expectation E (onto B) is a linear map $E: A \rightarrow B$ such that $E[b_1 a b_2] = b_1 E[a] b_2$ for all $a \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and that $E[a^* a] \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$. The triple (A, B, E) is called a B -valued (non-commutative) probability space. An element of A is called a B -valued (non-commutative) random variable. In particular, when there is a faithful tracial state τ on A such that $\tau \circ E = \tau$, we call the tuple (A, B, τ, E) a tracial B -valued (non-commutative) probability space.

Definition 2.2. Let (A, B, E) be a B -valued probability space and a_1, \dots, a_d be B -valued random variables. We say that a_1, \dots, a_n are B -freely independent if

$$E \left[\left(P_1(a_{i(1)}) - E \left[P_1(a_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \cdots \left(P_k(a_{i(k)}) - E \left[P_k(a_{i(k)}) \right] \right) \right] = 0$$

whenever $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_1, \dots, P_k \in B\langle X \rangle$ and $i(\cdot) \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$.

Definition 2.3. Let (A, B, E) be a B -valued probability space and η a completely positive map from B to B . A B -valued random variable $S = S^*$ is called a B -valued semi-circular element with mean 0 and variance η if

$$E[b_0 S b_1 \cdots S b_k] = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(k)} b_0 \eta_\pi(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}) b_k$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k , where η_π is the multiplicative B -valued functional determined from $\eta_{1_2}(b) = \eta(b) = E[SbS]$ for any $b \in B$. (See [Sp98] for details on multiplicative B -valued maps. Also, an explanation on intuitive treatments for multiplicative B -valued maps is given in [ABFN12].) If S_1, \dots, S_d are B -freely independent in (A, B, E) and S_j is a B -valued semi-circular element with mean 0 and variance η_j , which is a completely positive map from B to B , then S_1, \dots, S_d are called a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d .

We have a realization of B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d as follows. Let η_1, \dots, η_d be completely positive maps from B to B . Let \mathcal{F} be the B -valued (algebraic) full Fock space, that is,

$$\mathcal{F} = B \oplus \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i \in I(m, d)}^{\text{alg}} BX_{i(1)} BX_{i(2)} \cdots BX_{i(m)} B$$

with a B -valued pre-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_0 X_{i(1)} b_1 \cdots X_{i(k)} b_k, b'_0 X_{j(1)} b'_1 \cdots X_{j(\ell)} b'_\ell \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \delta_{k, \ell} \delta_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots \delta_{i(k), j(k)} b_k^* \eta_i(k) (b_{k-1}^* \eta_{i(k-1)} (b_{k-2}^* \cdots \eta_{i(2)} (b_1^* \eta_{i(1)} (b_0^* b'_0) b'_1) \cdots b'_{k-2}) b'_{k-1}) b'_k \end{aligned}$$

for any $k, \ell \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and any $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k, b'_0, b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell \in B$.

Let ℓ_j be the linear operator on \mathcal{F} defined by

$$\ell_j [b_0 X_{i(1)} b_1 \cdots X_{i(k)} b_k] = X_j b_0 X_{i(1)} b_1 \cdots X_{i(k)} b_k$$

for any $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, any $i \in I(k, d)$ and any $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$. Namely, ℓ_j is the creation operator with respect to X_j . Also, let ℓ_j^* be the linear operator such that

$$\ell_j^*[b] = 0 \text{ and } \ell_j^* [b_0 X_{i(1)} b_1 \cdots X_{i(k)} b_k] = \delta_{j, i(1)} \eta_j(b_0) b_1 X_{i(2)} b_2 \cdots X_{i(k)} b_k \text{ (} k \geq 1 \text{)}$$

for any $b, b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$. Then, ℓ_j^* is actually the adjoint of ℓ_j with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is,

$$\langle \ell_j[\xi], \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \xi, \ell_j^*[\xi'] \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

for any $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{F}$. Set $S_{\mathcal{F}, j} = \ell_j + \ell_j^*$. Also, every element b of B naturally acts on \mathcal{F} by left multiplication.

Let $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a unital $*$ -subalgebra of the unital algebra of all linear maps on \mathcal{F} generated by $S_{\mathcal{F}, j}$, $j \in [d]$, and B , and $E_{\mathcal{F}}$ a linear map defined by $E_{\mathcal{F}}[T] = \langle 1, T[1] \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ for every $T \in A_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then, we have the following:

Proposition 2.4. *The family $(S_{\mathcal{F}, 1}, \dots, S_{\mathcal{F}, d})$ is a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d in the B -valued probability space $(A_{\mathcal{F}}, B, E_{\mathcal{F}})$.*

See [Sp98, Chapter 4, section 4.6] (and also [Sh99, section 2]) for its details.

3. B-VALUED CHEBYSHEV FAMILY ASSOCIATED WITH η

Let η be a linear map from B to B . The following object plays a central role in this paper:

Definition 3.1. The *B-valued Chebyshev family associated with η* is a family $\{U_n^\eta = U_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of $2n$ -multilinear map $U_n : (B \times B)^n \rightarrow B\langle X \rangle$ defined by $U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b \\ b' \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) := bXb'$, $U_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b'_1 & b'_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) = (b_1Xb'_1)(b_2Xb'_2) - b_1\eta(b'_1b_2)b'_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \\ & := U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) U_{n-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_2 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) - b_1\eta(b'_1b_2)b'_2 U_{n-2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_3 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_3 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\text{ran}(U_n^\eta) = \left\{ U_n^\eta \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_1 & b'_2 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid b_1, \dots, b_n, b'_1, \dots, b'_n \in B \right\}.$$

When $B = \mathbb{C}$ and $\eta = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the above definition recovers the usual Chebyshev polynomials.

Remark 3.2. It is easy to see that U_n is not only a $2n$ -multilinear map, but also a partially B -balanced map in the sense that

$$U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} ab_1 & \dots & b_{j-1} & b_j & \dots & b_n \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_{j-1}b & b'_j & \dots & b'_nc \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) = aU_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{j-1} & b_j & \dots & b_n \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_{j-1} & b'_j & \dots & b'_nc \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X)c$$

for any $a, b, b_i, b'_i, c \in B$ ($i \in [n]$) and any $j \in [n]$.

We have nice formulas of the free difference quotient with the B -valued Chebyshev family as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{X:B} \left[U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] &= b_1 \otimes U_{n-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b'_1b_2 & \dots & b_n \\ b'_2 & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} U_{k-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{k-1} \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_{k-1}b_k \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \otimes U_{n-k} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b'_kb_{k+1} & \dots & b_n \\ b'_{k+1} & \dots & b'_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \\ &+ U_{n-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{n-1} \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_{n-1}b_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \otimes b'_n \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(b_i, b'_i) \in B$ ($i \in [n]$).

Proof. For any $b, b' \in B$, we have $\partial_{X:B} [U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b \\ b' \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X)] = b \otimes b'$. Next, for any $(b_1, b'_1), (b_2, b'_2) \in B \times B$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{X:B} \left[U_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b'_1 & b'_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] &= \partial_{X:B} \left[U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) - b_1\eta(b'_1b_2)b'_2 \right] \\ &= b_1 \otimes b'_1 U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) + U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) b_2 \otimes b'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we have shown the desired formulas in the case of $1 \leq k \leq n$ with an arbitrary $n \geq 2$. For any $(b_i, b'_i) \in B \times B$ ($i \in [n+1]$), we observe, using the recursion formula in Definition 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{X:B} \left[U_{n+1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_{n+1} \\ b'_1 & \dots & b'_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] \\ &= \partial_{X:B} \left[U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 & \dots & b_{n+1} \\ b'_2 & \dots & b'_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] - \partial_{X:B} \left[b_1\eta(b'_1b_2)b'_2 \cdot U_{n-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_3 & \dots & b_{n+1} \\ b'_3 & \dots & b'_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] \\ &= b_1 \otimes b'_1 U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 & \dots & b_{n+1} \\ b'_2 & \dots & b'_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) + \left(U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \otimes 1 \right) \partial_{X:B} \left[U_n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b_2 & \dots & b_{n+1} \\ b'_2 & \dots & b'_{n+1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) (X) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + U_n \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n \\ b'_n b_{n+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \otimes b'_{n+1} \\
& = b_1 \otimes U_n \left(\begin{matrix} b'_1 b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{n+1} \\ b'_{n+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^n U_{k-1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{k-1} \\ b'_{k-1} b_k \end{matrix} \right) (X) \otimes U_{n+1-k} \left(\begin{matrix} b'_k b_{k+1} \\ b'_{k+1} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{n+1} \\ b'_{n+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \\
& + U_n \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n \\ b'_n b_{n+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \otimes b'_{n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the induction hypothesis was used in the second equality and the recursion formula in Definition 3.1 was used in the third equality. Thus, the proof has been completed by induction. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let B be a unital C^* -algebra and η_1, \dots, η_d completely positive maps from B to B , and $(A_{\mathcal{F}}, B, E_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $(S_{\mathcal{F},i})_{i \in [d]}$ the realization of B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d (see Proposition 2.4). Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n^{(1)} \\ b_n^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F},i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n^{(k)} \\ b_n^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F},i(k)})[1] \\
& = ((b_1^{(1)} X_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'}) \cdots (b_n^{(1)} X_{i(1)} b_n^{(1)'})) \cdots ((b_1^{(k)} X_{i(k)} b_1^{(k)'}) \cdots (b_n^{(k)} X_{i(k)} b_n^{(k)'}))
\end{aligned}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, any $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$ and $(b_\ell^{(j)}, b_\ell^{(j)'}) \in B \times B$ ($j \in [k]$ and $\ell \in [n(j)]$).

Proof. We will give the proof only in the case of $k = 1$. The other general cases can also be treated similarly to the discussion below (n.b., the assumption of $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$, that is, $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$, is essential in the general cases). By the definition of $S_{\mathcal{F}}$, it is easily seen that $U_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} b \\ b' \end{smallmatrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] = bXb'$ for all $b, b' \in B$. Moreover, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] & = (b_1 S_{\mathcal{F}} b'_1) (b_2 S_{\mathcal{F}} b'_2) [1] - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 [1] \\
& = b_1 S_{\mathcal{F}} b'_1 [b_2 X b'_2] - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 \\
& = b_1 (\ell + \ell^*) [b'_1 b_2 X b'_2] - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 \\
& = b_1 X b'_1 b_2 X b'_2 + b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 \\
& = (b_1 X b'_1) (b_2 X b'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $b_1, b'_1, b_2, b'_2 \in B$. Assume that we have proved the desired formula in the case of $n \in [k]$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then, we observe, using the recursion formula in Definition 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_{k+1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{k+1} \\ b'_{k+1} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] \\
& = U_1 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}}) U_k \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{k+1} \\ b'_{k+1} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 U_{k-1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{k+1} \\ b'_{k+1} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] \\
& = b_1 S_{\mathcal{F}} b'_1 [(b_2 X b'_2) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1})] - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 (b_3 X b'_3) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1}) \\
& = (b_1 X b'_1) (b_2 X b'_2) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1}) + b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 (b_3 X b'_3) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1}) \\
& \quad - b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_2) b'_2 (b_3 X b'_3) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1}) \\
& = (b_1 X b'_1) (b_2 X b'_2) \cdots (b_{k+1} X b'_{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof has been completed by induction. \square

Lemma 3.5. *The space $B\langle X \rangle$ is spanned by B and the B -valued Chebyshev family $\{U_n^\eta\}_{n \geq 1}$ associated with η as a B -bimodule.*

Proof. It is clear that $B \oplus BXB = \text{span}\{B, \text{ran}(U_1)\}$, where $BXB = \text{span}\{bXb' \mid b, b' \in B\}$. Assume that we have shown that $B \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq m} B(XB)^k = \text{span}\{B, \text{ran}(U_1), \dots, \text{ran}(U_m)\}$ for any $1 \leq m \leq N$. Then, we have to see that

$$(b_1 X b'_1) \cdots (b_{N+1} X b'_{N+1}) \in \text{span}\{B, \text{ran}(U_1), \dots, \text{ran}(U_{N+1})\}$$

for any $b_1, b'_1, \dots, b_{N+1}, b'_{N+1} \in B$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist $j_1, \dots, j_m \in [N]$ (with $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m$) and families $(b_s^{(\ell)}; 1 \leq s \leq j_\ell)$, $\ell \in [m]$, of elements of B such that

$$(b_2 X b'_2) \cdots (b_{N+1} X b'_{N+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq m} U_{j_\ell} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X).$$

By $b_1 X b'_1 = U_1 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b_1' \end{matrix} \right) (X)$ and the recursion formula in Definition 3.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & (b_1 X b'_1)(b_2 X b'_2) \cdots (b_{N+1} X b'_{N+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq m} U_1 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b_1' \end{matrix} \right) (X) U_{j_\ell} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq m} U_{j_\ell+1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 & b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1' & b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)} \\ & b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X) + b_1 \eta(b'_1 b_1^{(\ell)}) b_1^{(\ell)'} U_{j_\ell-1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2^{(\ell)} \\ b_2^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{j_\ell}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is in $\text{span}\{B, \text{ran}(U_1), \dots, \text{ran}(U_{N+1})\}$, since $j_1, \dots, j_m \in [N]$, and hence the proof has been completed by induction. \square

By Propositions 2.4 and 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.6. *Let (A, B, E) be a B -valued probability space with completely positive maps η_1, \dots, η_d from B to B . Let S_1, \dots, S_d be self-adjoint B -valued random variables with mean 0 and variance η_1, \dots, η_d , respectively, in (A, B, E) . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $S = (S_1, \dots, S_d)$ is a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d .
- (2) We have

$$E \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] = 0$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, any $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$ and any $(b_\ell^{(j)}, b_\ell^{(j)'}) \in B \times B$ ($j \in [k]$ and $\ell \in [n(j)]$).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose (1), that is, let $S = (S_1, \dots, S_d)$ be a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d in A . Then, we have $E[P(S)] = E_{\mathcal{F}}[P(S_{\mathcal{F}})] = \langle 1, P(S_{\mathcal{F}})[1] \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ for any $P(X) \in B\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] \\ &= \left\langle 1, U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(k)})[1] \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, any $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$ and any $(b_\ell^{(j)}, b_\ell^{(j)'}) \in B \times B$ ($j \in [k]$ and $\ell \in [n(j)]$). By Propositions 3.4 and the definition of the B -valued pre-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$, the right-hand side is equal to

$$\left\langle 1, ((b_1^{(1)} X_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'}) \cdots (b_{n(1)}^{(1)} X_{i(1)} b_{n(1)}^{(1)'})) \cdots ((b_1^{(k)} X_{i(k)} b_1^{(k)'}) \cdots (b_{n(k)}^{(k)} X_{i(k)} b_{n(k)}^{(k)'})) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = 0,$$

and hence we have obtained that

$$E \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \cdots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \cdots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \right] = 0.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose (2). By Lemma 3.5, for any $P(X_j) \in B\langle X_j \rangle$, $j \in [d]$, there exists $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$ and elements $b, (b_{i_j}^{(j)}, b_{i_j}^{(j)'}) \mid j \in [k], i_j \in [n(j)]$ of B such that

$$P(X_j) = b + \sum_{j=1}^k U_{n(j)}^{\eta_j} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(j)} \\ b_1^{(j)'}; \cdots; b_{n(j)}^{(j)} \\ b_{n(j)}^{(j)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_j).$$

By condition (2), we have

$$E[P(S_j)] = b + \sum_{j=1}^k E \left[U_{n(j)} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(j)} \\ b_1^{(j)'}; \cdots; b_{n(j)}^{(j)} \\ b_{n(j)}^{(j)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_j) \right] = b.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.4, we also have

$$E_{\mathcal{F}}[P(S_{\mathcal{F},j})] = b + \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle 1, U_{n(j)} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(j)} \\ b_1^{(j)'}; \cdots; b_{n(j)}^{(j)} \\ b_{n(j)}^{(j)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{\mathcal{F},j})[1] \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = b,$$

that is, $E[P(S_j)] = E_{\mathcal{F}}[P(S_{\mathcal{F},j})]$ for all $P(X_j) \in B\langle X_j \rangle$. Since $S_{\mathcal{F},j}$ is a B -valued semi-circular element, so is S_j , $j \in [d]$. The rest is to show the B -freeness of $(S_j)_{j \in [d]}$.

Let $P_1(X_{i(1)}), \dots, P_k(X_{i(k)})$ be elements in $B_{\langle d \rangle}$ such that $E[P_j(S_{i(j)})] = 0$ with $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ ($j \in [k-1]$), that is, $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$. What we have shown above says that

$$P_j(X_{i(j)}) = \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq N(j)} U_{n_j(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(j)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell,j)} \\ b_1^{(\ell,j)'}; \cdots; b_{n_j(\ell)}^{(\ell,j)} \\ b_{n_j(\ell)}^{(\ell,j)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(j)})$$

for some $N \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, some $n_j(\cdot) \in I(N(j), \mathbb{N})$ with $n_j(\ell) \leq \deg(P_j)$ ($\ell \in [N(j)]$) and elements $\{b_t^{(\ell,j)} \mid j \in [k], \ell \in [N(j)], t \in [n_j(\ell)]\}$ of B . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P_1(X_{i(1)}) \cdots P_k(X_{i(k)}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 \leq N(1)} U_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell_1,1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell_1,1)'}; \cdots; b_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{(\ell_1,1)} \\ b_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{(\ell_1,1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell,k)} \\ b_1^{(\ell,k)'}; \cdots; b_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{(\ell,k)} \\ b_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{(\ell,k)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(k)}) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad 1 \leq \ell_k \leq N(k) \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_1(\ell_1), \dots, n_k(\ell_k) \geq 1$ and $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[P_1(S_{i(1)}) \cdots P_k(S_{i(k)})] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 \leq N(1)} E \left[U_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell_1,1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell_1,1)'}; \cdots; b_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{(\ell_1,1)} \\ b_{n_1(\ell_1)}^{(\ell_1,1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell,k)} \\ b_1^{(\ell,k)'}; \cdots; b_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{(\ell,k)} \\ b_{n_k(\ell_k)}^{(\ell,k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \right] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad 1 \leq \ell_k \leq N(k) \end{aligned}$$

= 0

by assumption (2). Therefore, $(S_j)_{j \in [d]}$ are B -freely independent. \square

4. THE CONJUGATE VARIABLE ASSOCIATED WITH η OF B -VALUED SEMI-CIRCULAR ELEMENT

In the rest of this paper, we will work in the following setting: Let $B \subset A$ be a unital inclusion of unital C^* -algebras with a faithful tracial state τ on A and a τ -preserving conditional expectation E from A onto B , which are denoted by (A, B, τ, E) . Let η be a completely positive map from B to B .

Here, we will give some new notations. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\tau$ the inner product on A defined by $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_\tau = \tau(a_1^* a_2)$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $\|a\|_\tau = \langle a, a \rangle_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $a \in A$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\eta$ the pre-inner product on $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$ given by

$$\langle a_1 \otimes a_2, a_3 \otimes a_4 \rangle_\eta = \tau(a_2^* \eta(E[a_1^* a_3]) a_4)$$

for any $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in A$ and $\|\xi\|_\eta = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $\xi \in A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$. (The positivity of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\eta$ follows from the complete positivity of η .) Its Hilbert space separation is also denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\eta$.

The following proposition is a B -valued semi-circular analogue of Stein's equation. This is essentially same as [Sh00, Proposition 3.10], but we will give a different proof as a warm-up exercises of the use of the B -valued Chebyshev family.

Proposition 4.1. *Let η_1, \dots, η_d be completely positive maps from B to B . Let (S_1, \dots, S_d) be a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d in (A, B, E, τ) . Then, we have*

$$\langle S_j, P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rangle_\tau = \langle 1 \otimes 1, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

for any $j \in [d]$ and $P(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in B\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to see the case when

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_d) = U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(1)'} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(k)'} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(k)})$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$ and $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle S_j, P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rangle_\tau \\ &= \tau \left(U_1^{\eta_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (S_j) U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(1)'} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(k)'} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $k \geq 2$ or $n(1) \geq 2$. If $j \neq i(1)$, then the right-hand side is equal to 0 by Proposition 3.6. If $j = i(1)$, then the recursion formula in Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.6 enable us to confirm that the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \left(U_{n(1)+1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1^{(1)} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ & 1 & & \\ & b_1^{(1)'} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(k)'} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \right) \\ &+ \tau \left(\eta_{i(1)} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)'} U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{(1)} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_2^{(1)'} & & b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ & \cdots & \\ b_1^{(k)'} & & b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\langle S_j, P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rangle_\tau = 0$ when $k \geq 2$ or $n(1) \geq 2$. If $k = 1$ and $n(1) = 1$, then we observe that

$$\left\langle S_j, U_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_\tau = \tau \left(S_j U_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right) = \delta_{j,i(1)} \tau \left(\eta_{i(1)} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

by B -free independence. (Here, note that the variance of $S_{i(1)}$ is given by $\eta_{i(1)}$.) Consequently, we have obtained that

$$\langle S_j, P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rangle_\tau = \begin{cases} 0; & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{ or } n(1) \geq 2, \\ \delta_{j,i(1)} \tau \left(\eta_{i(1)} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right); & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.3, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \delta_{j,i(\ell)} \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, \left(U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell-1)}) \otimes 1 \right\rangle \\ & \quad \times \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_j \left[U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix} \right] (S_{i(\ell)}) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left\langle 1 \otimes U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \delta_{j,i(\ell)} \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell-1)}) b_1^{(\ell)} \\ & \quad \otimes U_{n(\ell)-1}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell)'} b_2^{(\ell)} \\ b_2^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}) U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell+1)}) \\ & \quad \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \delta_{j,i(\ell)} \sum_{m=2}^{n(\ell)-1} \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \\ & \quad \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell-1)}) U_{m-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{m-1}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{m-1}^{(\ell)'} b_m^{(\ell+1)} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}) \\ & \quad \otimes U_{n(\ell)-m}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_m^{(\ell)'} b_{m+1}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{m+1}^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}) U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell+1)}) \\ & \quad \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \delta_{j,i(\ell)} \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \\ & \quad \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell-1)}) U_{n(\ell)-1}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(\ell)-1}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)-1}^{(\ell)'} b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \end{pmatrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\otimes b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell')} U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \cdots; \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \end{array} \right) (S_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \cdots; \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \end{array} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \Bigg\rangle_{\eta_j}.$$

When $k \geq 2$ or $n(1) \geq 2$, all terms of the (most) right-hand side above are equal to 0 by Proposition 3.6. If $k = 1$ and $n(1) = 1$, then the right-hand side is equal to

$$\delta_{j,i(i)} \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, b_1^{(1)} \otimes b_1^{(1)'} \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} = \delta_{j,i(1)} \tau \left(\eta_{i(1)} \left(b_1^{(1)} \right) b_1^{(1)'} \right).$$

Thus, we have obtained that

$$\left\langle 1 \otimes 1, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} = \begin{cases} 0; & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{ or } n(1) \geq 2, \\ \delta_{j,i(1)} \tau \left(\eta_{i(1)} \left(b_1^{(1)} \right) b_1^{(1)'} \right); & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have proved that

$$\langle S_j, P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rangle_{\tau} = \left\langle 1 \otimes 1, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\rangle_{\eta_j},$$

where

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_d) = U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \cdots; \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \cdots; \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \end{array} \right) (X_{i(k)})$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, any $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$ and $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$. \square

5. THE DIVERGENCE OPERATOR WITH RESPECT TO B -VALUED SEMI-CIRCULAR ELEMENT

Let (A, B, τ, E) be the tuple introduced in the previous section, that is, $B \subset A$ is a unital inclusion of unital C^* -algebras with a faithful tracial state τ and a τ -preserving conditional expectation E , and η_1, \dots, η_d completely positive maps from B to B . Let (S_1, \dots, S_d) be a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d in (A, B, τ, E) .

Definition 5.1. Let ∂_j^* be a linear map from $B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$ to $B_{\langle d \rangle}$ defined by

$$\partial_j^* = m_{X_j} - \#_{1,1} \circ \left(\text{id}_{B_{\langle d \rangle}} \otimes (\eta_j \circ E \circ \text{ev}_S) \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\langle d \rangle}} \right) \circ \left(\text{id}_{B_{\langle d \rangle}} \otimes \partial_j + \partial_j \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\langle d \rangle}} \right),$$

where $m_{X_j} : B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle} \rightarrow B_{\langle d \rangle}$ is defined by $m_{X_j}(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = \xi_1 X_j \xi_2$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$ and $\#_{1,1} : B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle} \rightarrow B_{\langle d \rangle}$ given by $\#_{1,1}(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$. We call ∂_j^* the *divergence operator* with respect to B -valued semi-circular element S_j .

The following lemma can be confirmed by direct calculation.

Lemma 5.2. For any $\Xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$ and $a \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$, we have

$$\partial_j^*[(a \otimes 1)\Xi] = a \cdot \partial_j^*[\Xi] - (\partial_j[a], \Xi)_j \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_j^*[\Xi(1 \otimes a)] = \partial_j^*[\Xi] \cdot a - (\Xi, \partial_j[a])_j,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_j$ denotes a $B_{\langle d \rangle}$ -valued bilinear map on $B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$ such that

$$(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \xi_3 \otimes \xi_4)_j = \xi_1 \eta_j(E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_2 \xi_3)]) \xi_4$$

for any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4 \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$.

The next proposition says that $\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j$ is the number operator with respect to the B -valued Chebyshev family.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(U_n^{\eta_j})_n$ be the B -valued Chebyshev family associated with η_j , $j \in [d]$. Then, we have*

$$(\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j) \left[U_n^{\eta_j} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n \\ b'_n \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] = n \cdot U_n^{\eta_j} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_n \\ b'_n \end{matrix} \right) (X_j)$$

for any $(b_i, b'_i) \in B \times B$ ($i \in [n]$).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $j = 1$. The case when $n = 1$ is clear by definition.

Suppose that we have shown the case when $1 \leq n \leq N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Take arbitrary elements $(b_i, b'_i) \in B \times B$ ($i \in [N+1]$). Using the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{N+1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \\ &= U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) - b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \\ &= U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) - U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_1 \left[U_{N+1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \\ &= \partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] - \partial_1 \left[U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \\ &= \partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \left(1 \otimes U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \otimes 1 \right) \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \\ &\quad - \partial_1 \left[U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_1^* \circ \partial_1) \left[U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \\ &= (N-1) \cdot U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \\ &= (N-1) \cdot b_1 \eta_1 (b'_1 b_2) b'_2 U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2 and the induction hypothesis, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_1^* \left[\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \left(1 \otimes U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right) \right] \\ &= \partial_1^* \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \right) \cdot U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \\ &\quad - \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right], \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \right)_1 \\ &= U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \\ &\quad - \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right], \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \right)_1 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_1^* \left[\left(U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \otimes 1 \right) \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \right] \\ &= U_1^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \cdot \partial_1^* \left(\partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{matrix} \right) (X_1) \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right], \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right] \right)_1 \\
& = N \cdot U_1^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix} (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& - \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right], \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right] \right)_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right] \\
& = b_2 \otimes U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& + \sum_{j=2}^{N-1} U_{j-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_j \\ b'_j b_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \otimes U_{N-j}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b'_{j+1} b_{j+2} \\ b'_{j+2} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& + U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_N \\ b'_N b_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \otimes b'_{N+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_1 \left[U_1^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right], \partial_1 \left[U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right] \right)_1 \\
& = \left(b_1 \otimes b'_1, b_2 \otimes U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b'_2 b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right)_1 \\
& + \sum_{j=2}^{N-1} \left(b_1 \otimes b'_1, U_{j-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_j \\ b'_j b_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \otimes U_{N-j}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b'_{j+1} b_{j+2} \\ b'_{j+2} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right)_1 \\
& + \left(b_1 \otimes b'_1, U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_N \\ b'_N b_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \otimes b'_{N+1} \right)_1 \\
& = b_1 \eta_1 (E [b'_1 b_2]) b'_2 U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& + \sum_{j=2}^{N-1} b_1 \eta_1 \left(E \left[b'_1 U_{j-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_j \\ b'_j b_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} (S_1) \right] \right) U_{N-j}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b'_{j+1} b_{j+2} \\ b'_{j+2} \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& + b_1 \eta_1 \left(E \left[b'_1 U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_N \\ b'_N b_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (S_1) \right] \right) b'_{N+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E \left[U_n^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_n \\ b'_n \end{pmatrix} (S_1) \right] = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 3.6, the second and the third terms of the above equality vanish. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_1^* \circ \partial_1) \left[U_{N+1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \right] \\
& = (N+1) \cdot U_1^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix} (X_1) U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& - (N+1) \cdot b_1 \eta_1 (E [b'_1 b_2]) b'_2 U_{N-1}^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 \\ b'_3 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1) \\
& = (N+1) \cdot U_N^{\eta_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_1 \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{N+1} \\ b'_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} (X_1)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 5.4. *We have*

$$(\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j) \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_{1(1)}' \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_{1(k)}' \end{pmatrix}; \dots; \begin{pmatrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(k)}) \right]$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i(\ell)=j} n(\ell) \right) \cdot U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)})$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$ and any $b_n^{(\ell)} \in B$.

Proof. We have known the case when $k = 1$ by Proposition 5.3. In the sequel, we will treat the case when $k \geq 2$. Let us set

$$W = U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}).$$

Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_j \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i(\ell)=j} \left(U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell-1)}) \otimes 1 \right) \\ & \quad \times \partial_j \left[U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left(1 \otimes U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j) \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i(\ell)=j} \partial_j^* \left[\left(U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell-1)}) \otimes 1 \right) \times \partial_j \left[U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(1 \otimes U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i(\ell)=j} U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell-1)}) \\ & \quad \times (\partial_j^* \circ \partial_j) \left[U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] \\ & \quad \times U_{n(\ell+1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_{n(\ell+1)}^{(\ell+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{i(\ell)=j} \left(\partial_j \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell-1)}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'}; \dots; b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_{n(\ell-1)}^{(\ell-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell-1)}) \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \partial_j \left[U_{n^{(\ell)}}^{\eta_j} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_n^{(\ell)} \\ b_n^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] \right]_j \\
& \times U_{n^{(\ell+1)}}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \dots; b_n^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_n^{(\ell+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n^{(k)}}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_n^{(k)} \\ b_n^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \\
& - \sum_{i(\ell)=j} U_{n^{(1)}}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_n^{(1)} \\ b_n^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n^{(\ell-1)}}^{\eta_{i(\ell-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell-1)'}; \dots; b_n^{(\ell-1)} \\ b_n^{(\ell-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell-1)}) \\
& \times \left(\partial_j \left[U_{n^{(\ell)}}^{\eta_j} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell)} \\ b_1^{(\ell)'}; \dots; b_n^{(\ell)} \\ b_n^{(\ell)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_j) \right] \right), \\
& \left. \partial_j \left[U_{n^{(\ell+1)}}^{\eta_{i(\ell+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_1^{(\ell+1)'}; \dots; b_n^{(\ell+1)} \\ b_n^{(\ell+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell+1)}) \cdots U_{n^{(k)}}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_n^{(k)} \\ b_n^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right] \right]_j.
\end{aligned}$$

If $k = 2$, then the second and the third sums in the above right-hand side are equal to 0, since $i \in \text{Alt}(I(2, d))$ (that is, $i(\ell - 1) \neq i(\ell) (= j)$) and $B\langle X_i \rangle \subset \ker(\partial_j)$ for each $i \in [d] \setminus \{j\}$. Even if $k > 2$, then they are also equal to 0 by Proposition 3.6 and the definition of the bilinear map $(\cdot, \cdot)_j$. By Proposition 3.4, the first term in the above right-hand side is equal to

$$\left(\sum_{i(\ell)=j} n^{(\ell)} \right) \cdot U_{n^{(1)}}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'}; \dots; b_n^{(1)} \\ b_n^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n^{(k)}}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'}; \dots; b_n^{(k)} \\ b_n^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}),$$

and hence the proof has been completed. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a singleton of a formal variable (i.e., the case of $d = 1$). Let B be a unital C^* -algebra and η a completely positive map on B . Then, we have the following direct sum decomposition:*

$$B\langle X \rangle = B \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}^{\text{alg}} \text{span}\{\text{ran}(U_n^\eta)\},$$

where

$$\text{ran}(U_n^\eta) = \left\{ U_n^\eta \left(\begin{matrix} b_1 \\ b_1'; \dots; b_n \\ b_n' \end{matrix} \right) \mid b_1, \dots, b_n, b_1', \dots, b_n' \in B \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $P(X) \in B\langle X \rangle$ satisfy that $P(X) \in \text{span}\{\text{ran}(U_n^\eta)\} \cap \text{span}\{\text{ran}(U_m^\eta)\}$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$. By Proposition 5.3, we have $n \cdot P(X) = m \cdot P(X)$, and thus $(m - n) \cdot P(X) = 0$. Since $n \neq m$, we have $P(X) = 0$. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Let $j \in [d]$. Assume that*

$$\tau(\eta_j(b_1)b_2) = \tau(b_1\eta_j(b_2))$$

for any $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and that, with an element $\Xi = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_{1,i} \otimes \xi_{2,i} \in B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$, we have

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

for any $\xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$. Then, for any $a \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$, we have

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[(a \otimes 1)\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((a \otimes 1)\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

and

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[\Xi(1 \otimes a)]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi(1 \otimes a)), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

for any $\xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $j = 1$. It suffices to consider the case when a and ξ are monomials in $B_{\langle d \rangle}$. Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((a \otimes 1)\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_1[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \sum_{\xi=\xi(1)X_1\xi(2)} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle (\text{ev}_S(a\xi_{i,1}) \otimes \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}), \text{ev}_S(\xi(1)) \otimes \text{ev}_S(\xi(2))) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \sum_{\xi=\xi(1)X_1\xi(2)} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2})^* \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1})^* \text{ev}_S(a)^* \text{ev}_S(\xi(1))]) \text{ev}_S(\xi(2))) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((a^* \otimes 1)\partial_1[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((\partial_1[a^*\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_1} - \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((\partial_1[a^*](1 \otimes \xi)) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(a^*\xi) \rangle_{\tau} - \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \langle \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}) \otimes \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}), \text{ev}_S(a_2^*) \otimes \text{ev}_S(a_1^*\xi) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(a)\text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau} - \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \tau(\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*) \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*) \text{ev}_S(a_2^*)]) \text{ev}_S(a_1^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi)) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(a \cdot \partial_1^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau} - \left\langle \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \text{ev}_S(a_1) \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(a_2) \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1})]) \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \right\rangle_{\tau} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(a \cdot \partial_1^*[\Xi]) - \text{ev}_S((\partial_1[a], \Xi)_1), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[(a \otimes 1)\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired, where the assumption for Ξ was used in the fifth equality. Note that, in this observation, we did not use the assumption of a certain tracial property of η_1 . Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi(1 \otimes a)), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_1[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \sum_{\xi=\xi(1)X_1\xi(2)} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\text{ev}_S(a^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*) \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi(1)) \text{ev}_S(\xi(2))])) \\
&= \sum_{\xi=\xi(1)X_1\xi(2)} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*) \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi(1)) \text{ev}_S(\xi(2)) \text{ev}_S(a^*)])) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_1[\xi](1 \otimes a^*)) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_1[\xi a^*]) \rangle_{\eta_1} - \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}((\xi \otimes 1)\partial_1[a^*]) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \text{ev}_S(a^*) \rangle_{\tau} - \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S(\xi a_2^*) \otimes \text{ev}_S(a_1^*) \rangle_{\eta_1} \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi]) \text{ev}_S(a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau} - \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*) \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi) \text{ev}_S(a_2^*)]) \text{ev}_S(a_1^*)) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_{\tau} - \sum_{a=a_1X_1a_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(E[\text{ev}_S(a_1^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*)] \eta_1 (E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*) \text{ev}_S(\xi) \text{ev}_S(a_2^*)]))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau - \sum_{a=a_1 X_1 a_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\eta_1(E[\text{ev}_S(a_1^*)\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*)])E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*)\text{ev}_S(\xi)\text{ev}_S(a_2^*)]) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau - \sum_{a=a_1 X_1 a_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(\text{ev}_S(a_2^*)\eta(E[\text{ev}_S(a_1^*)\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2}^*)])\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1}^*)\text{ev}_S(\xi)) \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau - \left\langle \sum_{a=a_1 X_1 a_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,1})\eta_1(E[\text{ev}_S(\xi_{i,2})\text{ev}_S(a_1)])\text{ev}_S(a_2), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \right\rangle_\tau \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a) - \text{ev}_S((\Xi, \partial_1[a])_1), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau \\
&= \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_1^*[\Xi] \cdot a), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau
\end{aligned}$$

as desired, where the trace property of τ was used in the second, the seventh and the ninth equalities, the assumption for Ξ was used in the fifth equality, the τ -preserving property of E were used in the seventh and the ninth equalities and the assumption for η_1 is used in the eighth equality. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let $j \in [d]$. Assume that*

$$\tau(\eta_j(b_1)b_2) = \tau(b_1\eta_j(b_2))$$

for any $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then, we have

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

for any $\xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$ and $\Xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$.

Proof. With the divergence operator ∂_j^* , the formula of Proposition 4.1 can be written as follows.

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[1 \otimes 1]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle 1 \otimes 1, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}, \quad \xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}.$$

Choose an arbitrary $\Xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$ and fix an arbitrary expression $\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_{i,1} \otimes \xi_{i,2}$ with $\xi_{i,1}, \xi_{i,2} \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$. By Propositions 4.1 and 5.6, we have, for any $i \in [N]$,

$$\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(1 \otimes \xi_{i,2}), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j} = \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[1 \otimes \xi_{i,2}]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau, \quad \xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}.$$

Moreover, using Proposition 5.6 again, we have

$$\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\xi_{i,1} \otimes \xi_{i,2}), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j} = \langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[\xi_{i,1} \otimes \xi_{i,2}]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau.$$

By linearity, we obtain that

$$\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^*[\Xi]), \text{ev}_S(\xi) \rangle_\tau = \langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\Xi), \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j[\xi]) \rangle_{\eta_j}$$

for any $\xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle}$ and $\Xi \in B_{\langle d \rangle} \otimes B_{\langle d \rangle}$. \square

6. A CHARACTERIZATION OF B -VALUED SEMI-CIRCULAR ELEMENT BY POINCARÉ TYPE INEQUALITY

Let $B \subset A$ be a unital inclusion of unital C^* -algebras with a faithful tracial state τ on A and a τ -preserving conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. Let also η_1, \dots, η_d be completely positive maps from B to B such that each η_j , $j \in [d]$, satisfies $\tau(\eta_j(b)b') = \tau(b\eta_j(b'))$ for any $b, b' \in B$.

We denote by $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ the following set:

$$\left\{ b + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \dots + n(\ell) = N}} U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell)}) \right. \\ \left. \left| N \in \mathbb{N}, b, b_s^{(k, \ell, t; i)}, b_s^{(k, \ell, t; i)'} \in B \right. \right\}.$$

Remark 6.1. The set $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ is not, in general, a linear space (see Remark 6.2). If we call

$$U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} (\cdot; \dots; \cdot) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} (\cdot; \dots; \cdot) (X_{i(\ell)})$$

a (k, ℓ, n, i) -term ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$, $i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))$ and $n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N})$), then the above definition of $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ means that *every element in $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ admits an expression such that each (k, ℓ, n, i) -term ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$, $i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))$ and $n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N})$) can appear at most only once.* For example,

$$\mathcal{TB}_{\langle 1 \rangle} = \left\{ b + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} U_k^\eta \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \dots; \begin{matrix} b_k^{(k)} \\ b_k^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X) \left| N \in \mathbb{N}, b, b_s^{(j)}, b_s^{(j)'} \in B \right. \right\}$$

in the case of $d = 1$.

Remark 6.2. When $B = \mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathcal{TC}_{\langle d \rangle} = \mathbb{C}_{\langle d \rangle}$. However, $\mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle} \neq B_{\langle d \rangle}$ in general as follows. Let $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ be the non-unital C^* -algebra of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of complex number such that $|x_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim$ the unitalization of $c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Define $P_n(X) \in (c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim) \langle X \rangle$ to be $P_n(X) = \sum_{k=1}^n k \delta_k X \delta_k$, where $\delta_k = (\delta_{k,n})_{n=1}^\infty$. Then, we have $P_n(X) \notin \mathcal{T}(c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim) \langle X \rangle$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if we had $P_n(X) \in \mathcal{T}(c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim) \langle X \rangle$, then there would exist elements $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty, a' \in c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim$ such that $P_n(X) = a X a'$ by Corollary 5.5. Let $b, c, b', c' \in c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim$ be $a = b + c, a' = b' + c'$ and $b, b' \in \text{span}\{\delta_k \mid k \in [n]\}, c, c' \in \text{span}\{e_j \mid j > n\}$. Applying $\partial_{X: c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim}$ to both sides of $P_n(X) = a X a'$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n k \delta_k \otimes \delta_k = a \otimes a'$, and hence, taking multiplication of both sides above, $a_k a'_k = k$ for any $k \leq n$ and $a_j a'_j = 0$ for any $j > n$, that is, $cc' = 0$. Multiplying $1 \otimes \delta_j$ ($j > n$) and $1 \otimes \delta_1$ to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \delta_k \otimes \delta_k = b X b' + b X c' + c X b' + c X c'$$

from left and right, respectively, we have $0 = a_1 a'_j \delta_1 X \delta_j$, that is, $a'_j = 0$ (since $a_1 \neq 0$). Similarly, we can see $a_j = 0$ ($j > n$). Hence, we have $a = b$ and $a' = b'$. Thus, we observe that

$$\sum_{\substack{j, k \in [n] \\ j \neq k}} a_j a'_k \delta_j X \delta_k = P_n(X) - b X b' = 0.$$

Since $\delta_j X \delta_k$, $j \neq k \in [n]$, are linearly independent in $(c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim) \langle X \rangle$, it follows that $a_j a'_k = 0$ for any $j, k \in [n]$ with $j \neq k$, that is, $a_j = 0$ or $a'_k = 0$. However, this is a contradiction for $a_k a'_k = k$ ($k \in [n]$).

The following theorem is a B -valued analogue of Biane's theorem [B03, Theorem 5.1]:

Theorem 6.3. *Let (S_1, \dots, S_d) be a B -free family of self-adjoint B -valued non-commutative random variables in (A, B, τ, E) with mean 0 and variance η_1, \dots, η_d , respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (S_1, \dots, S_d) is a B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d .
- (2) We have

$$\|P(S_1, \dots, S_d) - E[P(S_1, \dots, S_d)]\|_\tau^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \|\text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])\|_{\eta_j}^2$$

for any $P(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in \mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let (S_1, \dots, S_d) be a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d . Choose an arbitrary $P(X_1, \dots, X_d) \in \mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$ and fix an expression of $P(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ as follows.

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_d) = b + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \dots + n(\ell) = k}} U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell)}).$$

Moreover, we may assume that $E[P(S_1, \dots, S_d)] = 0$, that is, $b = 0$ without loss of generality. Then, by Corollaries 5.7 and 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d \|\text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2}(\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])\|_{\eta_j}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left\langle \text{ev}_S(\partial_j^* (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])), P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\rangle_\tau \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \dots + n(\ell) = k}} \left\langle \text{ev}_S \left(\partial_j^* \left[\partial_j \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(\ell)}) \right] \right] \right), P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\rangle_\tau \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \dots + n(\ell) = k}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i(t)=j} n(t) \right) \\ &\quad \left\langle U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(k, \ell, 1; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_1^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} ; \dots ; \begin{matrix} b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)} \\ b_{n(\ell)}^{(k, \ell, \ell; i)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}), P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\rangle_\tau \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N k \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \dots + n(\ell) = k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(k,\ell,1;i)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(k,\ell,1;i)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \right. \\ \left. \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(b_1^{(k,\ell,\ell;i)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(\ell)}^{(k,\ell,\ell;i)} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}), P(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\rangle_{\tau}.$$

Since (S_1, \dots, S_d) is a B -free B -valued semi-circular system associated with η_1, \dots, η_d , Propositions 3.4 and 3.6 enable us to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^d \|\text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])\|_{\eta_j}^2 - \|P(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|_{\tau}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^N (k-1) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k \\ i \in \text{Alt}(I(\ell, d))}} \sum_{\substack{n \in I(\ell, \mathbb{N}) \\ n(1) + \cdots + n(\ell) = k}} \\ & \left\| U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(k,\ell,1;i)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(k,\ell,1;i)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(\ell)}^{\eta_{i(\ell)}} \left(b_1^{(k,\ell,\ell;i)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(\ell)}^{(k,\ell,\ell;i)} \right) (S_{i(\ell)}) \right\|_{\tau}^2 \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the feature of the expression of $P(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ (that is, each (k, ℓ, n, i) -term can appear at most one time) was used in the equality (see Remark 6.1). Thus, we obtain

$$\|P(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|_{\tau}^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \|\text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [P(X_1, \dots, X_d)])\|_{\eta_j}^2$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{TB}_{\langle d \rangle}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): By Proposition 3.6, it suffices to see that

$$E \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] = 0 \quad (*)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$, any $i \in \text{Alt}(I(k, d))$ and any $(b_{\ell}^{(j)}, b_{\ell}^{(j)'}) \in B \times B$ ($j \in [k]$ and $\ell \in [n(j)]$). The case when $n(1) + \cdots + n(k) \leq 2$ immediately follows from the assumption that the mean of S_j is 0 and S_1, \dots, S_d are B -freely independent.

Suppose that we have already shown the desired (*) when $n(1) + \cdots + n(k) \leq N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$. Then, we have to see that

$$E \left[U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] = 0$$

for any $n \in I(k, \mathbb{N})$ with $n(1) + \cdots + n(k) = N + 1$. The proof is divided into the following three cases: **(I)** $n(1) \geq 3$, **(II)** $n(1) = 1$, **(III)** $n(1) = 2$.

(I) If $n(1) \geq 3$, then, using the recursion formula in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{n(1)}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \\ &= U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_2^{(1)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - b_1^{(1)} \eta_{i(1)} \left(b_1^{(1)'} b_2^{(1)} \right) b_2^{(1)'} \\
& \times U_{n(1)-2}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_3^{(1)} ; \dots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \dots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(n(1) - 2) + n(2) + \dots + n(k) = N - 1 \leq N$, we have

$$E \left[U_{n(1)-2}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_3^{(1)} ; \dots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \dots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] = 0.$$

Therefore, we have to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_2^{(1)} ; \dots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \dots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (S_{i(k)}) \right] \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$W(X_1, \dots, X_d) := U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_2^{(1)} ; \dots ; b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(b_1^{(k)} ; \dots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \right) (X_{i(k)})$$

and

$$\tilde{b} := E \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right].$$

Consider

$$\left\| a \cdot U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) + W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\|_{\tau},$$

where a is an arbitrary complex number. Then, by assumption (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| a \cdot U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) + W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\|_{\tau}^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_j \left[a \cdot U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (X_{i(1)}) + W(X_1, \dots, X_d) \right] \right) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a|^2 \cdot \left\| U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \right\|_{\tau}^2 + \|W(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|_{\tau}^2 \\
& + 2\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^d \left(|a|^2 \cdot \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_j \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2 \right. \\
& + \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2 \\
& \left. + 2\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_j \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |a|^2 \cdot \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\|_{\eta_{i(1)}}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\|_{\tau}^2 &= \left\langle \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} S_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'}, \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} S_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'} \right\rangle_{\tau} \\
&= \tau \left((b_1^{(1)'})^* S_{i(1)} (b_1^{(1)})^* \tilde{b} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} S_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'} \right) \\
&= \tau \left(E \left[(b_1^{(1)'})^* S_{i(1)} (b_1^{(1)})^* \tilde{b} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} S_{i(1)} b_1^{(1)'} \right] \right) \\
&= \tau \left((b_1^{(1)'})^* \eta_{i(1)} \left((b_1^{(1)})^* \tilde{b} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \right) b_1^{(1)'} \right) \\
&= \left\langle \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \otimes b_1^{(1)'}, \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \otimes b_1^{(1)'} \right\rangle_{\eta_j} \\
&= \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\|_{\eta_{i(1)}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|W(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|_{\tau}^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption (2), we also have

$$\|W(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|_{\tau}^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_j [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)]) \right\|_{\eta_j}^2,$$

and hence, for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \right) \\
&\leq \text{Re} \left(a \cdot \left\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the cases of $a = 1$ and $a = -1$, we have

$$\text{Re} \left(\left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left(\left\langle \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \right).$$

Considering the cases of $a = i$ and $a = -i$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Im} \left(\left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\left\langle \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \quad (1)$$

$$= \left\langle \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}}. \quad (**)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \left(\tilde{b}^* \tilde{b} \right) \\ &= \tau \left(E \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right] \right) \\ &= \tau \left(U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right) \\ &= \tau \left(W(S_1, \dots, S_d) U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right) \\ &= \left\langle W(S_1, \dots, S_d)^*, U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(1)}) \right\rangle_{\tau} \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} \left(\partial_{i(1)} \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \right) \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \otimes b_1^{(1)'} \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the τ -preserving property of E , the tracial property of τ and the formula (**) were used in the second, the third and the fifth equalities, respectively. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)] \\ &= \partial_{i(1)} \left[U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{(1)} & & b_n^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} & \cdots & b_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left(1 \otimes U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(2)} & & b_n^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} & \cdots & b_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(2)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} & & b_n^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} & \cdots & b_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(k)}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{2 \leq m \leq k \\ i(m)=i(1)}} \left(U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{(1)} & & b_n^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} & \cdots & b_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(2)} & & b_n^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} & \cdots & b_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(2)}) \cdots \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdots U_{n(m-1)}^{\eta_{i(m-1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(m-1)} \\ b_1^{(m-1)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(m-1)}^{(m-1)} \\ b_{n(m-1)}^{(m-1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(m-1)}) \otimes 1 \\
& \times \partial_{i(1)} \left[U_{n(m)}^{\eta_{i(m)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(m)} \\ b_1^{(m)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(m)}^{(m)} \\ b_{n(m)}^{(m)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(m)}) \right] \\
& \times \left(1 \otimes U_{n(m+1)}^{\eta_{i(m+1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(m+1)} \\ b_1^{(m+1)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(m+1)}^{(m+1)} \\ b_{n(m+1)}^{(m+1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(m+1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3 and the induction hypothesis, it easily follows that

$$\tau \left(\tilde{b}^* \tilde{b} \right) = \left\langle \text{ev}_S^{\otimes 2} (\partial_{i(1)} [W(X_1, \dots, X_d)])^*, \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \otimes b_1^{(1)'} \right\rangle_{\eta_{i(1)}} = 0.$$

By the faithfulness, we obtain

$$E \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right] = 0$$

as desired, where

$$W(X_1, \dots, X_d) = U_{n(1)-1}^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)}).$$

Thus, the proof when $n(1) \geq 3$ has been completed by induction.

(II) Assume $n(1) = 1$. Let us set

$$Z(X_1, \dots, X_d) = U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(2)}^{(2)} \\ b_{n(2)}^{(2)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(2)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(k)})$$

and

$$\tilde{c} = E \left[U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) Z(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right].$$

Then, we have to see that $\tilde{c} = 0$. This follows from the argument of (I) with replacing \tilde{b} and

$$\left\| a \cdot U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} \tilde{b}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) + W(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\|_{\tau}$$

with \tilde{c} and

$$\left\| a \cdot U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} \tilde{c}^* b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) + Z(S_1, \dots, S_d) \right\|_{\tau},$$

respectively.

(III) The proof in the case of $n(1) = 2$ is similar to that of the case when $n(1) \geq 3$, since

$$U_2^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} b_2^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) = U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_2^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (X_{i(1)}) - b_1^{(1)} \eta \left(b_1^{(1)'} b_2^{(1)} \right) b_1^{(1)'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_2^{\eta_{i(1)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(1)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(1)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(1)}) U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(1)}^{(2)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(2)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(2)}) \cdots \\
& \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \left(\begin{matrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} \end{matrix}; \cdots; \begin{matrix} b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{matrix} \right) (S_{i(k)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) U_1^{\eta_{i(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_2^{(1)} \\ b_2^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} (X_{i(1)}) U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(2)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(2)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(2)}) \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}) \\
&- b_1^{(1)} \eta \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)'} b_2^{(1)} \\ b_1^{(1)'} \end{pmatrix} b_1^{(1)'} U_{n(2)}^{\eta_{i(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(2)} \\ b_1^{(2)'} ; \cdots ; b_{n(1)}^{(2)} \\ b_{n(1)}^{(2)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(2)}) \cdots U_{n(k)}^{\eta_{i(k)}} \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(k)} \\ b_1^{(k)'} ; \cdots ; b_{n(k)}^{(k)} \\ b_{n(k)}^{(k)'} \end{pmatrix} (S_{i(k)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is completed in all the cases. \square

Remark 6.4. In the case of $B = \mathbb{C}$ and $\eta_1(1) = \cdots = \eta_d(1) = 1$, Theorem 6.3 is nothing but Biane's theorem [B03, Theorem 5.1].

7. A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO VOICULESCU'S CONJECTURE ON B -VALUED FREE POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

In this section, we will give a counterexample to Voiculescu's conjecture on B -valued free Poincaré inequality (see [AIM06, section 0.1]).

Let $A \supset B$ be a unital inclusion of unital C^* -algebras (resp. W^* -algebras) and (A, B, τ, E) be a tracial B -valued C^* -probability space (resp. W^* -probability space). Assume that there exists a family $(e_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of projections in B such that $e_n e_m = \delta_{n,m} e_n$ and $\tau(e_n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{n^2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (This happens if, for example, B is diffuse, or $B = c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim$ with a faithful tracial state $\tau(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x_n}{n^2}$, $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0(\mathbb{N})^\sim$.) Let also a be a self-adjoint B -valued random variable with mean 0 and variance $\eta : B \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta(b) = b$, $b \in B$.

The next lemma is probably well known, but we do give it explicitly for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 7.1. *We have $ab = ba$ for any $b \in B$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary element $b \in B$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau((ab - ba)^*(ab - ba)) &= \tau(b^*aab - b^*aba - ab^*ab + ab^*ba) \\
&= \tau(b^*E[a1a]b - b^*E[aba] - E[ab^*a]b^* + E[ab^*ba]) \\
&= \tau(b^*\eta(1)b - b^*\eta(b) - \eta(b^*)b + \eta(b^*b)) \\
&= \tau(b^*b - b^*b - b^*b + b^*b) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the τ -preserving property of E was used in the second equality. By the faithfulness of τ , we have $ab = ba$ for any $b \in B$. \square

Let $P_n(X)$ be the element of the algebraic free product $B\langle X \rangle$ of B and $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ defined by

$$P_n(X) = e_1 X e_1 + 2e_2 X e_2 + \cdots + ne_n X e_n.$$

Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{ev}_a(P_n(X))\|_\tau^2 &= \tau(P_n(a)^* P_n(a)) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \tau(je_j a e_j \cdot ke_k a e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \tau(e_k E[a^2] e_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \tau(e_k \eta(1) e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \tau(e_k) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where Lemma 7.1 (i.e., $\overline{e_k a} = \overline{a e_k}$) was used in the third equality, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathrm{ev}_a^{\otimes 2}(\partial_{X:B} P_n(X))\|_{\tau \otimes \tau}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k e_k \otimes e_k \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\tau \otimes \tau)((j e_j \otimes e_j) \cdot (k e_k \otimes e_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 (\tau \otimes \tau)(e_k \otimes e_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \cdot \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \leq \frac{6}{\pi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we are arriving at the following:

Theorem 7.2. *Let (A, B, τ, E) be a tracial B -valued C^* -probability space (resp. W^* -probability space) and a be a self-adjoint B -valued random variable with mean 0 and variance $\eta : B \rightarrow B$ such that $\eta(b) = b$, $b \in B$. Assume that there exist a family $(e_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of projections in B such that $e_n e_m = \delta_{n,m} e_n$ and $\tau(e_n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{n^2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is no universal constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|P(a) - E[P(a)]\|_\tau \leq C \|\mathrm{ev}_a(\partial_{X:B}[P(X)])\|_{\tau \otimes \tau}$$

holds for any $P(X) \in B\langle X \rangle$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges his supervisor, Professor Yoshimichi Ueda, for conversations and editorial support on this paper. The author also would like to thank Dr. Akihiro Miyagawa and Dr. Ryosuke Sato for discussions on divergence operators.

This work was financially supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2125. The author would like to take this opportunity to thank the ‘‘THERS Make New Standards Program for the Next Generation Researchers’’.

REFERENCES

- [ABFN12] M. Anshelevich, S. T. Belinschi, M. Fevrier and A. Nica, Convolution powers in the operator-valued framework, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol 365, Number 4, 2012, pp2063-2097.
- [B03] P. Biane, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, matrix models and free entropy, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 19 (2003), no. 3, 497-506.
- [I24] H. Ito, An operator-valued free Poincaré inequality, *Prob. Math. Stat.*, Vol.43, Fasc.2, pp241-246, 2024.
- [MaSp21] T. Mai & R. Speicher, A note on free and cyclic differential calculus, *Journal of Operator Theory* 85, No.1 (2021): 183-215.
- [MiSp17] J. Mingo, R. Speicher, *Free Probability and Random Matrices*, Fields Institute Monographs, Springer, 2017.
- [Sp98] R. Speicher, Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1998; Volume 132, Number 627.
- [Sh99] D. Shlyakhtenko, A -valued semicircular systems, *J. Func. Aanal.*, 166, pp1-47 (1999).
- [Sh00] D. Shlyakhtenko, Free entropy with respect to a completely positive map, *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol.122, No.1 (Feb., 2000), pp.45-81.
- [V85] D. Voiculescu, Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras, *Operator Algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132 (Springer-Verlag,1985), pp.556–588.
- [V95] D. Voiculescu, Operations on certain non-commutative operator-valued random variables, *Astérisque*, tome 232 (1995), p.243-275.
- [V98] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory, V: Noncommutative Hilbert transforms, *Invent. Math.* 132(1998), pp.189–227.

- [V00] D. Voiculescu, The coalgebra of the free difference quotient and free probability, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, Issue 2, 2000, pp. 79–106.
- [AIM06] Problems posed in workshop “Free Analysis” at American Institute of Mathematics, 2006:
<https://aimath.org/WWN/freeanalysis/freeanalysis.pdf>

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, 464-8602,
JAPAN

Email address: `hyuga.ito.e6@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`