

Axiomatic characterisation of generalized ψ -estimators

MÁTYÁS BARCZY^{*, \diamond} , ZSOLT PÁLES^{**}

* HUN-REN–SZTE Analysis and Applications Research Group, Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H–6720 Szeged, Hungary.

** Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Pf. 400, H–4002 Debrecen, Hungary.

E-mails: barczy@math.u-szeged.hu (M. Barczy), pales@science.unideb.hu (Zs. Páles).

\diamond Corresponding author.

Abstract

We give axiomatic characterisations of generalized ψ -estimators and (usual) ψ -estimators (also called Z -estimators), respectively. The key properties of estimators that come into play in the characterisation theorems are the symmetry, the (strong) internality and the asymptotic idempotency. In the proofs, a separation theorem for Abelian subsemigroups plays a crucial role.

1 Introduction

In statistics, M -estimators play a fundamental role, and a special subclass, the class of ψ -estimators (also called Z -estimators) is in the heart of investigations as well. In this paper, we address a foundational topic for a generalized version of ψ -estimators (see Definition 1.5), namely, their axiomatic characterisation. This kind of results are important in every branch of mathematics. It will turn out that the key properties of estimators that come into play in the characterisation theorems are the symmetry, (strong) internality and asymptotic idempotency. In the proofs, surprisingly, a separation theorem for Abelian subsemigroups (due to Páles [11, Theorem 1], see also Theorem 2.3) plays a crucial role.

Let (X, \mathcal{X}) be a measurable space, Θ be a Borel subset of \mathbb{R} , and $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that for all $t \in \Theta$, the function $X \ni x \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ is measurable with respect to the sigma-algebras \mathcal{X} and the Borel sigma-algebra on \mathbb{R} . Let $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with values in X such that the distribution of ξ_1 depends on an unknown parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$. For each $n \geq 1$, Huber [5, 6] introduced an

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 62A01, 62F10, 26E60

Key words and phrases: generalized ψ -estimator, Z -estimator, characterisation, symmetry, internality, asymptotic idempotency.

Mátyás Barczy was supported by the project TKP2021-NVA-09. Project no. TKP2021-NVA-09 has been implemented with the support provided by the Ministry of Culture and Innovation of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, financed under the TKP2021-NVA funding scheme. Zsolt Páles is supported by the K-134191 NKFIH Grant.

important estimator of ϑ based on the observations ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n as a solution $\widehat{\vartheta}_n := \widehat{\vartheta}_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ of the equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_2 \varrho(\xi_i, t) = 0, \quad t \in \Theta.$$

In the statistical literature, $\partial_2 \varrho$ is often denoted by ψ , and hence in this case the M -estimator is often called ψ -estimator, while other authors call it a Z -estimator (the letter Z refers to "zero"). For a detailed exposition of M -estimators and ψ -estimators (Z -estimators), see, e.g., Kosorok [8, Sections 2.2.5 and 13] or van der Vaart [14, Section 5].

In our recent paper Barczy and Páles [1], we introduced the notion of weighted generalized ψ -estimators (recalled below in Definition 1.5), and we studied their existence and uniqueness. Among others, given a function $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we derived necessary as well as sufficient conditions under which there exists a unique generalized ψ -estimator based on any observations ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , $n \geq 1$. However, the following question remained open: given an arbitrary estimator for the unknown parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$, can one find a function $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the given estimator coincides with a generalized ψ -estimator based on any possible observations ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , $n \geq 1$? A similar question can be formulated for (usual) ψ -estimators (Z -estimators) as well. This paper is devoted to answer these two questions, namely, to derive axiomatic characterisations of generalized ψ -estimators and (usual) Z -estimators, respectively.

It will turn out that our results are somewhat similar to the well-known characterisation theorem of quasi-arithmetic means (that are generalizations of the sample mean), proved independently of each other by Kolmogorov [7], Nagumo [9, 10], and de Finetti [3] (see also Tikhomirov [13, page 144]). For completeness, we recall this result (in the spirit of Kolmogorov [7]) together with the notion of quasi-arithmetic means. Our axiomatic characterisation of generalized ψ -estimators and (usual) ψ -estimators in Theorems 2.6 and 3.1 are in fact natural counterparts of the characterisation of (strongly) internal means due to Páles [11, Theorem 9], which served us as a motivation.

Throughout this paper, let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}_+ denote the sets of positive integers, non-negative integers, real numbers and non-negative real numbers, respectively. An interval $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ will be called nondegenerate if it contains at least two distinct points. Given a nonempty set S and a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\inf f(S) := \inf\{f(s) : s \in S\}$ and $\sup f(S) := \sup\{f(s) : s \in S\}$.

A classical and well-studied class of means is the class of quasi-arithmetic means (see, e.g., the monograph of Hardy et al. [4]).

1.1 Definition. (Quasi-arithmetic mean) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let I be a nondegenerate interval of \mathbb{R} , and let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and strictly increasing function. The n -variable quasi-arithmetic mean $\mathcal{A}_n^f : I^n \rightarrow I$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_n^f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\right), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in I,$$

where f^{-1} denotes the inverse of f . The function f is called the generator of \mathcal{A}_n^f .

1.2 Theorem. (Kolmogorov (1930), Nagumo (1930) and de Finetti (1931)) *Let I be a compact nondegenerate interval of \mathbb{R} and let $M_n : I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of functions. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The sequence $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is quasi-arithmetic, that is, there exists a continuous and strictly monotone function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathcal{A}_n^f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) *The sequence $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ possesses the following properties:*

- *M_n is continuous and strictly increasing in each variable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- *M_n is symmetric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e., $M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for each $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ and each permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$),*
- *$M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x$ whenever $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- *$M_{n+m}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = M_{n+m}(\bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m \in I$, where $\bar{x}_n := M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*

1.3 Remark. (i). The arithmetic, geometric and harmonic mean are quasi-arithmetic means corresponding to the functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$; $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := \ln(x)$, $x > 0$; and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = x^{-1}$, $x > 0$, respectively.

(ii). Two quasi-arithmetic means on I , generated by f and g , are equal (i.e., $\mathcal{A}_n^f = \mathcal{A}_n^g$ on I^n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$) if and only if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = ag(x) + b, \quad x \in I,$$

see, e.g., Hardy et al. [4, Section 3.2, Theorem 83]. As a consequence, the function f in part (i) of Theorem 1.2 can be chosen to be strictly increasing as well.

(iii). The conditions in part (ii) of Theorem 1.2 can be divided into three groups. Namely, the continuity is a regularity-type condition, the strict increasingness can be considered as a condition of functional inequality-type, while the other three conditions are of functional equation-type. \square

In what follows, we recall the basic concepts related to generalized ψ -estimators.

1.4 Definition. Let Θ be a nondegenerate open interval of \mathbb{R} . For a function $f : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the following three level sets

$$\Theta_{f>0} := \{t \in \Theta : f(t) > 0\}, \quad \Theta_{f=0} := \{t \in \Theta : f(t) = 0\}, \quad \Theta_{f<0} := \{t \in \Theta : f(t) < 0\}.$$

We say that $\vartheta \in \Theta$ is a *point of sign change (of decreasing type)* for f if

$$f(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t < \vartheta, \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t > \vartheta.$$

Note that there can exist at most one element $\vartheta \in \Theta$ which is a point of sign change for f . Further, if f is continuous at a point ϑ of sign change, then ϑ is the unique zero of f .

Let X be a nonempty set, Θ be a nondegenerate open interval of \mathbb{R} . Let $\Psi(X, \Theta)$ denote the class of real-valued functions $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $x \in X$, there exist $t_+, t_- \in \Theta$ such that $t_+ < t_-$ and $\psi(x, t_+) > 0 > \psi(x, t_-)$. Roughly speaking, a function $\psi \in \Psi(X, \Theta)$ satisfies the following property: for all $x \in X$, the function $t \in \Theta \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ changes sign (from positive to negative) on the interval Θ at least once.

1.5 Definition. We say that a function $\psi \in \Psi(X, \Theta)$

- (i) *possesses the property [C] (briefly, ψ is a C-function)* if it is continuous in its second variable, i.e., if, for all $x \in X$, the mapping $\Theta \ni t \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ is continuous.
- (ii) *possesses the property [T_n] (briefly, ψ is a T_n-function) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$* if there exists a mapping $\vartheta_{n,\psi} : X^n \rightarrow \Theta$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ and $t \in \Theta$,

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(t) := \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } t < \vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \\ < 0 & \text{if } t > \vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases}$$

that is, for all $\mathbf{x} \in X^n$, the value $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x})$ is a point of sign change for the function $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$. If there is no confusion, instead of $\vartheta_{n,\psi}$ we simply write ϑ_n . We may call $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x})$ as a generalized ψ -estimator for some unknown parameter in Θ based on the realization $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. If, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ψ is a T_n -function, then we say that ψ *possesses the property [T] (briefly, ψ is a T-function)*.

- (iii) *possesses the property [Z_n] (briefly, ψ is a Z_n-function) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$* if it is a T_n -function and

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \vartheta_{n,\psi}(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n.$$

If, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ψ is a Z_n -function, then we say that ψ *possesses the property [Z] (briefly, ψ is a Z-function)*.

It can be seen that if ψ is continuous in its second variable, and, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is a T_n -function, then it also a Z_n -function. Similarly, if ψ possesses the properties [C] and [T], then it possesses the property [Z] as well. Furthermore, if $\psi \in \Psi(X, \Theta)$ is a T_n -function for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\vartheta_{n,\psi}$ is symmetric in the sense that $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and all permutations $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$. (This follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_{\pi(i)}, t)$, $t \in \Theta$.)

In Barczy and Páles [1, Proposition 2.4], we proved that if (X, \mathcal{X}) is a measurable space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in \Psi[Z_n](X, \Theta)$, and ψ is measurable in its first variable, then $\vartheta_{n,\psi} : X^n \rightarrow \Theta$ is measurable with respect to the sigma-algebras \mathcal{X}^n and the Borel sigma-algebra on Θ .

Given properties $[P_1], \dots, [P_q]$ introduced in Definition 1.5 (where $q \in \mathbb{N}$), the subclass of $\Psi(X, \Theta)$ consisting of elements possessing the properties $[P_1], \dots, [P_q]$ will be denoted by $\Psi[P_1, \dots, P_q](X, \Theta)$.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we provide a characterisation theorem for generalized ψ -estimators, see Theorem 2.6. In the proof, a separation theorem for Abelian subsemigroups (due to Páles [11, Theorem 1]) plays a crucial role, and for completeness, we also recall this result, see Theorem 2.3. In Section 3, we give a characterisation theorem for (usual) ψ -estimators (Z -estimators).

2 Characterisation theorem for generalized ψ -estimators

In this section, we give an axiomatic characterisation of generalized ψ -estimators. First, we recall a separation theorem for Abelian subsemigroups that plays a crucial role in the proof.

Let (S, \oplus) be an Abelian semigroup, and $A \subseteq S$ be a subsemigroup.

2.1 Remark. An Abelian semigroup (S, \oplus) without a neutral element can be embedded into an Abelian semigroup with a neutral element. Indeed, if (S, \oplus) is an Abelian semigroup without a neutral element, then, for any symbol $\Delta \notin S$, one can extend the binary operation \oplus on S to a binary operation \oplus_Δ on $S \cup \{\Delta\}$ by letting $\Delta \oplus_\Delta s := s \oplus_\Delta \Delta := s$, $s \in S \cup \{\Delta\}$. Then $(S \cup \{\Delta\}, \oplus_\Delta)$ is an Abelian semigroup with a neutral element Δ , and (S, \oplus) is embedded into it. As a consequence, in the forthcoming definitions and results, if one prefers, then, without loss of generality, one can assume that an Abelian semigroup admits a neutral element. \square

2.2 Definition. Let (S, \oplus) be an Abelian semigroup, and $A \subseteq S$ be a subsemigroup. The (algebraic) core of A is defined as the subsemigroup

$$\text{cor}(A) := \{a \in A : \forall s \in S \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } na \oplus s \in A\},$$

where $na := a \oplus \dots \oplus a$ with n terms on the right hand side.

One can indeed check that, if A is a subsemigroup of S , then $\text{cor}(A)$ is also a subsemigroup of S , moreover, it also holds that $\text{cor}(A) \oplus A \subseteq \text{cor}(A)$.

Now, we recall a separation theorem for Abelian subsemigroups due to Páles [11, Theorem 1].

2.3 Theorem. (Páles [11, Theorem 1]) *Let (S, \oplus) be an Abelian semigroup, A and B are disjoint subsemigroups of S such that $\text{cor}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{cor}(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a homomorphism $F : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $F(s_1 \oplus s_2) = F(s_1) + F(s_2)$, $s_1, s_2 \in S$) such that*

$$F(a) \geq 0 \geq F(b), \quad a \in A, \quad b \in B,$$

and

$$F(a) > 0 > F(b), \quad a \in \text{cor}(A), \quad b \in \text{cor}(B).$$

2.4 Definition. Let (S, \oplus) be an Abelian semigroup. We say that a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *internal* if, for all $r, s \in S$,

$$\min(f(r), f(s)) \leq f(r \oplus s) \leq \max(f(r), f(s))$$

holds. If both inequalities are strict whenever $r, s \in S$ and $f(r) \neq f(s)$, then f is called *strictly internal*.

If $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an internal function, then f is also idempotent, that is, the equality $f(nr) = f(r)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in S$. (A simple proof can be obtained by induction.) This motivates the following definition.

2.5 Definition. Let (S, \oplus) be an Abelian semigroup. We say that a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *asymptotically idempotent* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(nr \oplus s) = f(r) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in S.$$

A similar notion to the property 'asymptotically idempotent' introduced in Definition 2.5 appears in Ricci [12, Definition 8], where a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of n -variable aggregation functions on an interval $[a, b]$ of \mathbb{R} (see Ricci [12, Definition 1]) is called asymptotically idempotent if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [a, b].$$

Given a function $M : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X^n \rightarrow \Theta$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we will denote by M_m the restriction of M onto X^m . In the next theorem, we give an axiomatic characterisation of generalized ψ -estimators.

2.6 Theorem. Let X be a nonempty set, Θ be a nondegenerate open interval of \mathbb{R} , and $M : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X^n \rightarrow \Theta$ be a function such that $\inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$ and $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) There exists a function $\psi \in \Psi[T](X, \Theta)$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,

$$\vartheta_{n, \psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

(ii) The function M possesses the following properties:

- (a) *Symmetry:* M_n is symmetric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and each permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$,
- (b) *Internality (mean-type property):* for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$, $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in X^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min(M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)) &\leq M_{n+k}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k) \\ &\leq \max(M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)), \end{aligned}$$

(c) *Asymptotic idempotency: for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_k, y \in X$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{kn+1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, y) = M_k(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Proof. First, assume that assertion (i) holds. Then M is symmetric (see the paragraph after Definition 1.5) and is internal (see Theorem 2.1 in Barczy and Páles [2]). The asymptotic idempotency of M follows from Corollary 3.2 in Barczy and Páles [2]. Indeed, with the notation $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$, Corollary 3.2 in Barczy and Páles [2] implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_{kn+1, \psi}(\underbrace{\mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}}_n, y) = \vartheta_{k, \psi}(\mathbf{x}), \quad y \in X.$$

This, together with the symmetry of $\vartheta_{kn+1, \psi}$, yield the asymptotic idempotency of M .

Next, assume that assertion (ii) holds. Let $(S(X), \oplus)$ be the free Abelian semigroup generated by the elements of X , i.e., $S(X)$ consists of all the finite (unordered) sequences (or unordered strings) of X having positive lengths, furnished with the string concatenation \oplus as an operation. Note that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and each permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$, the (unordered) sequences $x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$ and $x_{\pi(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus x_{\pi(n)}$ coincide.

Let us introduce the mapping $\mu : S(X) \rightarrow \Theta$ by

$$\mu(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n) := M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

Due to the symmetry of M , the mapping μ is well-defined, since for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$, we have

$$\mu(x_{\pi(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus x_{\pi(n)}) = M(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) = M(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n),$$

i.e., the mapping μ takes the same value on the (unordered) sequences $x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$ and $x_{\pi(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus x_{\pi(n)}$ (that are the same elements of $S(X)$).

Let $t \in \Theta$ be fixed and define

$$A_t := \{s \in S(X) : \mu(s) < t\}, \quad B_t := \{s \in S(X) : \mu(s) > t\}.$$

We verify the conditions of Theorem 2.3 with the choices $S := S(X)$, $A := A_t$ and $B := B_t$.

Since Θ is open, $\inf \mu(X) = \inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$ and $\sup \mu(X) = \sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$, we can see that neither A_t nor B_t is empty.

Using that M is internal, it follows that μ also possesses the following internality property:

$$(2.1) \quad \min(\mu(r), \mu(s)) \leq \mu(r \oplus s) \leq \max(\mu(r), \mu(s)), \quad r, s \in S(X).$$

To prove the left hand side inequality, let $r, s \in S(X)$ be arbitrary. Then, there exist $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k \in X$ such that $r = x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$ and $s = y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_k$. In view of the

internality of M , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\min(\mu(r), \mu(s)) &= \min(\mu(x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n), \mu(y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k)) \\
&= \min(M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)) \\
&\leq M_{n+k}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k) \\
&= \mu(x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n \oplus y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k) \\
&= \mu(r \oplus s).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the right hand side inequality in (2.1) is completely analogous.

If $r, s \in A_t$, then $\mu(r) < t$ and $\mu(s) < t$, and (2.1) yields that $\mu(r \oplus s) < t$, i.e., $r \oplus s \in A_t$. Consequently, A_t is a subsemigroup of $S(X)$. A similar argument shows that B_t is a subsemigroup of $S(X)$ as well.

The subsemigroups A_t and B_t are disjoint, since otherwise, there would be an element $s \in S(X)$ such that $\mu(s) < t < \mu(s)$, leading us to an obvious contradiction.

Finally, applying the asymptotic idempotency of M , we show that $\text{cor}(A_t) = A_t$ and $\text{cor}(B_t) = B_t$, yielding, in particular, that $\text{cor}(A_t) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{cor}(B_t) \neq \emptyset$. To prove that $A_t \subseteq \text{cor}(A_t)$, let $a \in A_t$ and $s \in S(X)$ be arbitrary. Then there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_\ell \in X$ such that $a = x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_k$ and $s = y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus y_\ell$. Then $\mu(a) < t$, and, by the symmetry and the asymptotic idempotency of M , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(na \oplus y_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{kn+1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, y_i) = M_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \mu(a) < t,$$

Therefore, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mu(n_i a \oplus y_i) < t.$$

Using that $(n_1 + \cdots + n_\ell)a \oplus s = (n_1 a \oplus y_1) \oplus (n_2 a \oplus y_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (n_\ell a \oplus y_\ell)$ and the internality property of μ established in (2.1), we can get that

$$\mu((n_1 + \cdots + n_\ell)a \oplus s) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \mu(n_i a \oplus y_i) < t.$$

This implies that $na \oplus s \in A_t$ with $n := n_1 + \cdots + n_\ell$, therefore $a \in \text{cor}(A_t)$, which completes the proof of the inclusion $A_t \subseteq \text{cor}(A_t)$. Using that $\text{cor}(A_t) \subseteq A_t$ also holds (due to the definition of $\text{cor}(A_t)$), we obtain the equality $\text{cor}(A_t) = A_t$, as desired. A similar argument shows that $\text{cor}(B_t) = B_t$.

Applying Theorem 2.3, for all $t \in \Theta$, there exists a homomorphism $F_t : S(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad F_t(a) < 0 < F_t(b), \quad a \in A_t, \quad b \in B_t.$$

Let us define $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the equality $\psi(x, t) := F_t(x)$, $x \in X$, $t \in \Theta$. Using that F_t is a homomorphism, for all $t \in \Theta$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_t(x_i) = F_t(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n).$$

Hence, in view of (2.2), for all $t \in \Theta$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) > 0 \quad \text{if } \mu(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n) > t,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) < 0 \quad \text{if } \mu(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n) < t,$$

yielding that $\psi \in \Psi[T](X, \Theta)$ such that $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n) = M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, as desired. \square

2.7 Remark. (i). Note that for the implication (i) \implies (ii) in Theorem 2.6, the assumptions $\inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$ and $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$ were not needed.

(ii). Conditions (a), (b) and (c) in part (ii) of Theorem 2.6 are of the type of functional equation, functional inequality and regularity, respectively. These three types of conditions also appeared in the characterisation theorem of quasi-arithmetic means, see Theorem 1.2 and part (iii) of Remark 1.3. \square

3 Characterisation theorem for (usual) ψ -estimators

In this section, we give an axiomatic characterisation of usual ψ -estimators (Z -estimators).

3.1 Theorem. *Let X be a nonempty set, Θ be a nondegenerate open interval of \mathbb{R} , and $M : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X^n \rightarrow \Theta$ be a function such that $\inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$ and $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists a function $\psi \in \Psi[Z, C](X, \Theta)$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,*

$$\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In particular, $M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is nothing else but the Z -estimator based on x_1, \dots, x_n .

(ii) *The function M possesses the following properties:*

(a) *Symmetry: M_n is symmetric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and each permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $(1, \dots, n)$,*

(b) *Strict internality (strict mean-type property):* for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$, $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in X^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min(M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)) &\leq M_{n+k}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k) \\ &\leq \max(M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)), \end{aligned}$$

and if $M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq M_k(y_1, \dots, y_k)$, then both inequalities are strict,

(c) *Asymptotic idempotency:* for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_k, y \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{kn+1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, y) = M_k(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Proof. First, assume that assertion (i) holds. Then, since ψ has the property $[T]$, Theorem 2.6 implies that M possesses the properties symmetry and asymptotic idempotency. Since ψ has the property $[Z]$ as well, the strict internality of M is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 in Barczy and Páles [2].

Next, assume that assertion (ii) holds. We divide the forthcoming proof into several steps.

Step 1. Since the strict internality of M implies the internality of M , in view of Theorem 2.6, we obtain that there exists a function $\psi^* \in \Psi[T](X, \Theta)$ such that, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in X$, the equality $M_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = \vartheta_{\ell, \psi^*}(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ holds. In what follows, we will define a function $\psi \in \Psi(X, \Theta)$, which has the properties $[Z]$ and $[C]$, and the equality $M_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = \vartheta_{\ell, \psi}(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ holds for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in X$.

Step 2. For all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$, let us define the function $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} : \Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(3.1) \quad f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t) := -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, t) + \dots + \psi^*(x_k, t)}{\psi^*(y_1, t) + \dots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t)}, \quad t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}.$$

Since ψ^* has the property $[T]$, we have that $\psi^*(y_1, t) + \dots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in X^\ell$ and $t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}$, and hence $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is well-defined.

In what follows, we are going to verify that, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ with $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$, the function $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ given by (3.1) is positive, increasing and continuous on the open interval $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$.

Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ be fixed such that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$. Since ψ^* has the property $[T]$, we have that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t) > 0$ for $t \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$.

Step 2/(a). We prove that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is increasing on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. Note that in case of $k = \ell = 1$, this property has been established in Barczy and Páles [1, part (iv) of Theorem 2.11]. In case of general $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we give an independent proof, which uses different ideas. To the contrary, assume that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is not increasing on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. Then there exist $s, t \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$ with $s < t$ such that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(s) > f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t) > 0$. Then there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(s) > \frac{m}{n} > f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t),$$

which be written equivalently in the form

$$n \sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, s) + m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, s) < 0 < n \sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, t) + m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, t).$$

Using that ψ^* has the property [T], these inequalities yield that

$$t \leq M_{nk+m\ell}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_m, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_m) \leq s,$$

which contradicts the inequality $s < t$. The contradiction obtained proves that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is increasing on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$.

Step 2/(b). Now we prove that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. To the contrary, assume that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is not continuous at some point of $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. Then, since $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is increasing on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$, there exists a point $t_0 \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$ such that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ has a jump at t_0 meaning that the left limit L of $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ at t_0 is strictly less than the right limit R of $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ at t_0 . Consequently, one can choose $n, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_1 < m_2$ and $L < \frac{m_1}{n} < \frac{m_2}{n} < R$. Then, for all $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < t < t_0 < t' < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$, we have that

$$f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t) \leq L < \frac{m_1}{n} < \frac{m_2}{n} < R \leq f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}(t').$$

Using that $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, t) > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, t') > 0$, by rearranging these inequalities, we obtain that, for $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} n \sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, t) + m_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, t) &> 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), t_0), \\ n \sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, t') + m_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \psi^*(y_j, t') &< 0 \quad \text{for all } t' \in (t_0, M_\ell(\mathbf{y})). \end{aligned}$$

Using that ψ^* has the property [T], these inequalities imply that

$$\vartheta_{nk+\ell m_\alpha, \psi^*}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_\alpha}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_\alpha}) = t_0, \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Since $\vartheta_{r, \psi^*}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = M_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_r \in X$, we have

$$M_{nk+\ell m_\alpha}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_\alpha}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_\alpha}) = t_0, \quad \alpha \in \{1, 2\},$$

yielding that

$$\begin{aligned} &M_{nk+\ell m_1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_1}) \\ (3.2) \quad &= M_{nk+\ell m_2}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_2}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_2}) \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y})). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we prove that (3.2) cannot be valid. Recall, that in view of the symmetry and internality of M , we have

$$M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) = M_{\ell(m_2-m_1)}(\underbrace{\mathbf{y}, \dots, \mathbf{y}}_{m_2-m_1}) = M_{\ell(m_2-m_1)}(\underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_2-m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_2-m_1}).$$

Consequently, using the inclusion in (3.2) and the symmetry and strict internality of M , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{nk+\ell m_1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_1}) \\ &= \min \left(M_{nk+\ell m_1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_1}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) \right) \\ &= \min \left(M_{nk+\ell m_1}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. M_{\ell(m_2-m_1)}(\underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_2-m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_2-m_1}) \right) \\ &< M_{nk+\ell m_2}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_n, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m_2}, \dots, \underbrace{y_\ell, \dots, y_\ell}_{m_2}), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the equality in (3.2). The contradiction obtained proves the continuity of $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$.

Step 3. We show that, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ with $M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$, the function $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ given by (3.1) is decreasing and continuous on the open interval $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$. In what follows, let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ be fixed such that $M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$. By Step 2, the function $f_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}}$ is positive, increasing and continuous on $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$. Further, on the interval $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$, we have that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} = 1/f_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}}$, which shows that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is decreasing and continuous on $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$.

Step 4. We show that, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ with $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$, the function $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ given by (3.1) is continuous on its entire domain $\Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}$. In Step 2, we have already proved that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. In what follows, let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ be fixed such that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$.

Step 4/(a). We show that $f_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$. To show this, choose an element $z \in X$ such that $M_1(z) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$. Since $\inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$, $M_k(\mathbf{x}) \in \Theta$, and Θ is open, such an element $z \in X$ can be indeed chosen. Then, by the strict internality of M , we have that $M_1(z) < M_{k+1}(z, \mathbf{x}) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$. Hence, by Step 2, we get that $f_{z, \mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_1(z), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$, and $f_{(z, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_{k+1}(z, \mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$. In particular, $f_{z, \mathbf{y}}$ and

$f_{(z,\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y}}$ are continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$. Using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(t) &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, t)}{\psi^*(y_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t)} = -\frac{(\psi^*(z, t) + \psi^*(x_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, t)) - \psi^*(z, t)}{\psi^*(y_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t)} \\ &= f_{(z,\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y}}(t) - f_{z,\mathbf{y}}(t), \quad t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the facts that $f_{(z,\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y}}$ and $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ are continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is also continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$.

Step 4/(b). We show that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at t , where $t < M_k(\mathbf{x})$ and $t \in \Theta$. Let $t \in \Theta$ be fixed such that $t < M_k(\mathbf{x})$. Since Θ is open and $\inf M_1(X) = \inf \Theta$, we can choose an element $z \in X$ such that $M_1(z) < t$. Due to the fact that $M_1(z) < t < M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$, by Step 2, we get that $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_1(z), M_\ell(\mathbf{y}))$ and $f_{z,\mathbf{x}}$ is continuous on $(M_1(z), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$. In particular, $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ and $f_{z,\mathbf{x}}$ are continuous at t . Since $\psi^*(z, s) < 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, s) > 0$ for $s \in (M_1(z), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$, we can consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(s) &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, s)}{\psi^*(y_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, s)} \\ &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, s)}{\psi^*(z, s)} \cdot \frac{\psi^*(z, s)}{\psi^*(y_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, s)} \\ &= -\frac{f_{z,\mathbf{y}}(s)}{f_{z,\mathbf{x}}(s)}, \quad s \in (M_1(z), M_k(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $t \in (M_1(z), M_k(\mathbf{x}))$, this implies that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at t .

Step 4/(c). Finally, we show that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at t , where $t > M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$ and $t \in \Theta$. Let $t \in \Theta$ be fixed such that $t > M_\ell(\mathbf{y})$. Since Θ is open and $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$, we can choose an element $z \in X$ such that $M_1(z) > t$. Due to the fact that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) < t < M_1(z)$, by Step 2, we get that $f_{\mathbf{x},z}$ is continuous on $(M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_1(z))$ and $f_{\mathbf{y},z}$ is continuous on $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_1(z))$. In particular, $f_{\mathbf{x},z}$ and $f_{\mathbf{y},z}$ are continuous at t . Since $\psi^*(z, s) > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\ell \psi^*(y_j, s) < 0$ for $s \in (M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_1(z))$, we can consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(s) &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, s)}{\psi^*(y_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, s)} \\ &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, s)}{\psi^*(z, s)} \cdot \frac{\psi^*(z, s)}{\psi^*(y_1, s) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, s)} \\ &= -\frac{f_{\mathbf{x},z}(s)}{f_{\mathbf{y},z}(s)}, \quad s \in (M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_1(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $t \in (M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_1(z))$, this implies that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at t .

Step 5. We show that, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ with $M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$, the function $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ given by (3.1) is continuous on its entire domain $\Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}$. In what follows, let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell) \in X^\ell$ be fixed such that $M_\ell(\mathbf{y}) < M_k(\mathbf{x})$.

Step 5/(a). We show that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$. To show this, choose an element $z \in X$ such that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_1(z)$. Since $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$, $M_k(\mathbf{x}) \in \Theta$, and Θ is open, such an element $z \in X$ can be indeed chosen. Then, by the strict internality of M , we have that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}, z) < M_1(z)$. Hence, by Step 3, we get that $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_1(z))$, and $f_{(\mathbf{x},z),\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $(M_\ell(\mathbf{y}), M_{k+1}(z, \mathbf{x}))$. In particular, $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ and $f_{(\mathbf{x},z),\mathbf{y}}$ are continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$. Using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(t) &= -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, t)}{\psi^*(y_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t)} = -\frac{(\psi^*(z, t) + \psi^*(x_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(x_k, t)) - \psi^*(z, t)}{\psi^*(y_1, t) + \cdots + \psi^*(y_\ell, t)} \\ &= f_{(z,\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y}}(t) - f_{z,\mathbf{y}}(t), \quad t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the facts that $f_{(\mathbf{x},z),\mathbf{y}} = f_{(z,\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{y}}$ and that $f_{(\mathbf{x},z),\mathbf{y}}$ and $f_{z,\mathbf{y}}$ are continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is also continuous at $M_k(\mathbf{x})$.

Step 5/(b). We show that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $\Theta \setminus \{M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{y})\}$. By Step 4, the function $f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}}$ is continuous on $\Theta \setminus \{M_k(\mathbf{x})\}$. Since

$$f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(t) = \frac{1}{f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}}(t)}, \quad t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{x})\},$$

we can conclude that $f_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ is continuous on $\Theta \setminus \{M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_\ell(\mathbf{x})\}$.

Step 6. Let us choose $u, v \in X$ such that $M_1(u) \neq M_1(v)$. Since, by the assumptions, M_1 is not a constant function, such elements u and v can be chosen.

Let $\psi : X \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\psi(x, t) := \frac{\psi^*(x, t)}{|\psi^*(u, t)| + |\psi^*(v, t)|}, \quad x \in X, \quad t \in \Theta.$$

Then ψ is well-defined, since ψ^* has the property $[T]$ and hence if $t \in \Theta$ is such that $t \neq M_1(u)$, then $|\psi^*(u, t)| > 0$ and if $t = M_1(u)$, then $|\psi^*(v, t)| > 0$ (due to the fact that $M_1(u) \neq M_1(v)$).

Step 7. We show that $\psi \in \Psi[Z, C](X, \Theta)$, and that the equality $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Step 7/(a). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, t) = \frac{1}{|\psi^*(u, t)| + |\psi^*(v, t)|} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi^*(x_i, t), \quad t \in \Theta.$$

Using that $|\psi^*(u, t)| + |\psi^*(v, t)| > 0$, $t \in \Theta$, and ψ^* has the property $[T]$ (see Step 1), it follows that ψ has the property $[T]$ as well, and $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \vartheta_{n,\psi^*}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, yielding that $\vartheta_{n,\psi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, as desired.

Step 7/(b). We show that ψ has the properties $[Z]$ and $[C]$. Since ψ has the property $[T]$ (see Step 7/(a)), it is enough to check that ψ has the property $[C]$.

If $t \in \Theta$ is such that $t \neq M_1(u)$, then $\psi^*(u, t) \neq 0$ and we can write

$$\psi(x, t) := \frac{\frac{\psi^*(x, t)}{|\psi^*(u, t)|}}{1 + \frac{|\psi^*(v, t)|}{|\psi^*(u, t)|}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_{x,u}(t)}{1 + |f_{v,u}(t)|} & \text{if } t < M_1(u), \\ \frac{f_{x,u}(t)}{1 + |f_{v,u}(t)|} & \text{if } t > M_1(u), \end{cases} \quad x \in X.$$

This shows that, for all $x \in X$, the map $t \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ is continuous on the set $\Theta \setminus \{M_1(u)\}$.

Similarly, if $t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_1(v)\}$, then $\psi^*(v, t) \neq 0$ and we can write

$$\psi(x, t) := \frac{\frac{\psi^*(x, t)}{|\psi^*(v, t)|}}{\frac{|\psi^*(u, t)|}{|\psi^*(v, t)|} + 1} = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_{x,v}(t)}{|f_{u,v}(t)| + 1} & \text{if } t < M_1(v), \\ \frac{f_{x,v}(t)}{|f_{u,v}(t)| + 1} & \text{if } t > M_1(v), \end{cases} \quad x \in X.$$

This shows that, for all $x \in X$, the map $t \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ is continuous on the set $\Theta \setminus \{M_1(v)\}$.

The above two continuity properties and the fact that $M_1(u) \neq M_1(v)$ imply that the map $t \mapsto \psi(x, t)$ is continuous on $(\Theta \setminus \{M_1(u)\}) \cup (\Theta \setminus \{M_1(v)\}) = \Theta$, and hence ψ possesses the property [C]. \square

In the remark below, we point out that, in the proof of Theorem 3.1, when we verify that part (ii) implies part (i), one can directly show that the function ψ^* appearing in Step 1 (in the proof of Theorem 3.1) has the property [Z]. However, we call the attention that, in general, we cannot prove that ψ^* has the property [C] not even when we know that it has the property [Z]. This explains the reason for introducing a modified version of ψ^* in Step 6 in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.2 Remark. We give a direct proof of the fact that the function ψ^* appearing in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.1 possesses the property [Z]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ be fixed. Taking into account that ψ^* has the property [T] and $\vartheta_{k, \psi^*}(\mathbf{x}) = M_k(\mathbf{x})$, we need to verify that $\sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, M_k(\mathbf{x})) = 0$. Since $\sup M_1(X) = \sup \Theta$, $M_k(\mathbf{x}) \in \Theta$ and Θ is open, one can choose an element $y \in X$ such that $M_k(\mathbf{x}) < M_1(y)$. Consider the function $f_{\mathbf{x}, y} : \Theta \setminus \{M_1(y)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given in (3.1):

$$f_{\mathbf{x}, y}(t) = -\frac{\psi^*(x_1, t) + \dots + \psi^*(x_k, t)}{\psi^*(y, t)}, \quad t \in \Theta \setminus \{M_1(y)\}.$$

Using that $\sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, t) > 0$ and $\psi^*(y, t) > 0$ for $t \in (\inf \Theta, M_k(\mathbf{x}))$, we have that

$$(3.3) \quad f_{\mathbf{x}, y}(t) < 0, \quad t \in (\inf \Theta, M_k(\mathbf{x})).$$

Similarly, since $\sum_{i=1}^k \psi^*(x_i, t) < 0$ and $\psi^*(y, t) > 0$ for $t \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_1(y))$, we have that

$$(3.4) \quad f_{\mathbf{x}, y}(t) > 0, \quad t \in (M_k(\mathbf{x}), M_1(y)).$$

By Step 4 in the proof of Theorem 3.1, $f_{\mathbf{x},y}$ is continuous (on $\Theta \setminus \{M_1(y)\}$), and hence the limits

$$\lim_{t \uparrow M_k(\mathbf{x})} f_{\mathbf{x},y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \downarrow M_k(\mathbf{x})} f_{\mathbf{x},y}(t)$$

exist and coincide with $f_{\mathbf{x},y}(M_k(\mathbf{x}))$. By (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$f_{\mathbf{x},y}(M_k(\mathbf{x})) = \lim_{t \uparrow M_k(\mathbf{x})} f_{\mathbf{x},y}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{x},y}(M_k(\mathbf{x})) = \lim_{t \downarrow M_k(\mathbf{x})} f_{\mathbf{x},y}(t) \geq 0.$$

Consequently, $f_{\mathbf{x},y}(M_k(\mathbf{x})) = 0$, which yields that $\psi^*(\mathbf{x}, M_k(\mathbf{x})) = 0$, as desired. \square

References

- [1] M. Barczy and Zs. Páles. Existence and uniqueness of weighted generalized ψ -estimators. arXiv 2211.06026, 2022.
- [2] M. Barczy and Zs. Páles. Basic properties of generalized ψ -estimators. To appear in *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 2025+. Available also at arXiv 2401.16127.
- [3] B. de Finetti. Sul concetto di media. *G. Ist. Ital. Attuari*, 2:369–396, 1931.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya. *Inequalities*. Cambridge, at the University Press, 2nd edition, 1952.
- [5] P. J. Huber. Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 35:73–101, 1964.
- [6] P. J. Huber. The behavior of maximum likelihood estimates under nonstandard conditions. In *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66)*, Vol. I: *Statistics*, pages 221–233. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967.
- [7] A. Kolmogorov. Sur la notion de la moyenne. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend., VI. Ser.*, 12:388–391, 1930.
- [8] M. R. Kosorok. *Introduction to Empirical Processes and Semiparametric Inference*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [9] M. Nagumo. Über eine Klasse der Mittelwerte. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 7:71–79, 1930.
- [10] M. Nagumo. On mean values. *Tokyo Buturigakko-Zassi*, 40:520–527, 1931.
- [11] Zs. Páles. A Hahn-Banach theorem for separation of semigroups and its applications. *Aequationes Math.*, 37(2-3):141–161, 1989.
- [12] R. G. Ricci. Asymptotically idempotent aggregation operators. *Internat. J. Uncertain. Fuzziness Knowledge-Based Systems*, 17(5):611–631, 2009.

- [13] V. M. Tikhomirov. *Selected Works of A. N. Kolmogorov. Vol. I*, volume 25 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991. Translated from the Russian original by V. M. Volosov, Edited and with a preface, foreword and brief biography by V. M. Tikhomirov.
- [14] A. W. van der Vaart. *Asymptotic Statistics*, volume 3 of *Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.