

# Spectral extrema of graphs with fixed size: forbidden fan graph, friendship graph or theta graph\*

Shuchao Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Sishu Zhao<sup>1</sup>, and Lantao Zou<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, and Hubei Key Lab–Math. Sci.,  
Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Nonlinear Analysis & Applications (Ministry of Education),  
Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China

September 25, 2024

## Abstract

It is well-known that Brualdi-Hoffman-Turán-type problem asks what is the maximum spectral radius  $\lambda(G)$  of an  $F$ -free graph  $G$  with  $m$  edges? It can be viewed as a spectral characterization on the existence of the subgraph  $F$  in  $G$ . A nice contribution on the above problem is due to Nikiforov (2002), which states that  $\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{2m(1-1/r)}$  for every  $K_{r+1}$ -free graph of size  $m$ . Let  $\theta_{1,p,q}$  be the theta graph, which is obtained by connecting two vertices with 3 internally disjoint paths of lengths 1,  $p$ ,  $q$ , respectively. Let  $F_k$  be the fan graph, i.e., the join of a  $K_1$  and a path  $P_{k-1}$ , and let  $F_{k,3}$  be the friendship graph obtained from  $k$  triangles by sharing a common vertex. In this paper, we use  $k$ -core method and spectral techniques to resolve some spectral extrema of graphs with fixed size. Firstly, we show that, for  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ , if  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free, then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$ , equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . This confirms a conjecture posed by Yu, Li and Peng [29]. Yu-Li-Peng conjecture is much stronger than both Zhai-Lin-Shu's conjecture [31] and Li's conjecture (see [21]). The former conjectures  $K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$  is the unique  $C_{2k+1}$ -free or  $C_{2k+2}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the maximum spectral radius; the latter conjectures  $K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$  is the unique  $\theta_{1,2,2k-1}$ -free or  $\theta_{1,2,2k}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the maximum spectral radius. Secondly, we show that, for  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ , if  $G$  is  $F_{k,3}$ -free of size  $m$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$ , equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . This confirms a conjecture proposed by Li, Lu and Peng [Discrete Math. 346(2023)113680]. Finally, we identify the  $\theta_{1,p,q}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the largest spectral radius, where  $q \geq p \geq 3$  and  $p+q \geq 2k+1$ . Some further research problems are also proposed.

**Keywords:** Spectral radius; Friendship graph; Fan graph; Theta graph; Extremal graph

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C50; 05C35

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only simple and finite graphs. Unless otherwise stated, we follow the traditional notation and terminology (see, for instance, Godsil and Royle [8], West [30]).

---

\*S.L. is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12171190, 11671164) and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges (Grant No. CCNU24JC005)

*Email addresses:* lsmath@ccnu.edu.cn (S.C. Li), 15537204105@163.com (S.S. Zhao), zoulantao123@163.com (L.T. Zou)

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ , where  $n$  and  $m$  are the *order* and *size* of  $G$ , respectively. Let  $A(G)$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . Clearly, it is real symmetric. Hence, its eigenvalues are real and we can arrange them as  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$ . The *spectral radius*,  $\lambda(G)$ , of  $G$  is  $\max\{|\lambda_1(G)|, \dots, |\lambda_n(G)|\}$ . Actually, it is equal to  $\lambda_1(G)$  by Perron Frobenius theorem. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two graphs, define  $G \cup H$  to be their disjoint union. Then  $G \vee H$  is defined to be their *join* obtained from  $G \cup H$  by adding edges to connect each vertex of  $G$  with all vertices of  $H$ .

In 1985, Brualdi and Hoffman [3] initiated the problem on characterizing graphs of given size having maximal spectral radius. In particular, they posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  without isolated vertices. If  $m = \binom{a}{2} + b$  with  $0 \leq b < a$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(K_b \vee (K_{a-b} \cup K_1))$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_b \vee (K_{a-b} \cup K_1)$ .*

Some special cases of Conjecture 1.1 were confirmed by Brualdi and Hoffman [3], Friedland [7] and Stanley [25]. Conjecture 1.1 was completely resolved by Rowlinson [23].

As an analogue of Conjecture 1.1, Bhattacharya, Friedland and Peled [2] posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph of size  $m$  with bipartite sets  $S$  and  $T$ , where  $2 \leq |S| \leq |T|$  and  $0 < m < |S||T|$ . If  $G$  achieves the maximum spectral radius, then  $G$  is obtained from a complete bipartite graph by adding one vertex and a corresponding number of edges.*

Conjecture 1.2 was confirmed for some special cases by Bhattacharya, Friedland and Peled [2], Chen et al. [4], Das et al. [5] and Liu and Weng [20]. For more advances on Conjecture 1.2, we refer the reader to [32, 28].

Let  $H$  be a graph. A graph  $G$  is said to be *H-free*, if it does not contain  $H$  as a subgraph. Let  $\mathcal{G}(m, H)$  be the set of all  $H$ -free graphs such that each of which is of size  $m$ . The following is the well-known Brualdi-Hoffman-Turán-type problem, attracting more and more researchers' attention; See [16, 17].

**Problem 1** (Brualdi-Hoffman-Turán-type problem). *What is the maximum spectral radius  $\lambda(G)$  of an  $H$ -free graph  $G$  with  $m$  edges?*

In general speaking, the study on Problem 1 has a close relationship with a triangle. Note that the triangle can be seen as either a cycle  $C_3$  or a complete graph  $K_3$ . The start point for research on Problem 1 is the triangle. In 1970, Nosal [13] showed that  $\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{m}$  for every graph  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}(m, K_3)$ . Lin, Ning and Wu [20] extended Nosal's result showing that  $\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{m-1}$  for non-bipartite  $C_3$ -free graph  $G$  of size  $m$ , with equality if and only if  $G \cong C_5$ . Under the same condition, Zhai and Shu [33] improved Lin, Ning and Wu's results as  $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(SK_{2, \frac{m-1}{2}})$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong SK_{2, \frac{m-1}{2}}$ , where  $SK_{2, \frac{m-1}{2}}$  is obtained by subdividing an edge of  $K_{2, \frac{m-1}{2}}$ .

The second point on Problem 1 concerns the family of odd cycles containing  $C_3$ . Sun and Li [26] showed that if  $G$  is a non-bipartite  $\{C_3, C_5\}$ -free graphs of given size  $m$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt[4]{\sum_{u \in V_G} d_u^2 - m + 4q + 5}$ , equality holds if and only if  $G \cong C_7$ , where  $q$  denotes the number of 4-cycles in  $G$ . Let  $r(m)$  be the largest root of  $x^4 - x^3 - (m-3)x^2 + (m-4)x + m - 5 = 0$ . Li, Peng [18], and Sun, Li [26], independently, considered the further stability result as below: Let  $G$  be a

non-bipartite  $\{C_3, C_5\}$ -free graph of given size  $m$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq r(m)$ , equality holds if and only if  $G \cong RK_{2, \frac{m-3}{2}}$  and  $m$  is odd, where  $RK_{2, \frac{m-3}{2}}$  is obtained from the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2, \frac{m-3}{2}}$  by replacing one of its edges by  $P_5$ . The even case for  $m$  was solved by Li and Yu [16] recently.

Li, Sun and Yu [15] also showed that, for  $\{C_3, C_5, \dots, C_{2k+1}\}$ -free graph  $G$ , one has  $\lambda_1^{2k} + \lambda_2^{2k} \leq \frac{\text{Tr}(A^{2k}(G))}{2}$ , where  $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$  is the trace of the corresponding matrix. All the corresponding extremal graphs are characterized. Furthermore, if  $G$  is non-bipartite, then

$$\lambda^{2k}(G) \leq \frac{\text{Tr}(A^{2k}(G))}{2} - \left(2 \cos \frac{\pi}{k+2}\right)^{2k}.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $k = 1$  and  $G \cong C_5$ . Clearly, when  $k = 1$ , it coincides with Lin, Ning and Wu's result [20] mentioned as above.

The third point on Problem 1 concerns identifying an edge of a triangle with that of some other cycle, or sharing a vertex of a triangle with those of other cycles. Let  $\theta_{t,p,q}$  be the theta graph, which is obtained by connecting two vertices with 3 internally disjoint paths of length  $t, p, q$ . Sun, Li and Wei [27] established sharp upper bounds on  $\lambda(G)$  for  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,2,3})$  and  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,2,4})$ , respectively. Consequently, it may deduce the graph in  $\mathcal{G}(m, C_5)$  or  $\mathcal{G}(m, C_6)$  having the largest spectral radius (i.e., [31, Theorem 1.2]). Recently, Lu, Lu and Li [22] determined the graph among  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,2,5})$  having the largest spectral radius. Let  $B_{r+1}$  be the  $(r+1)$ -book obtained from  $r+1$  triangles by sharing an edge. Nikiforov [12] determined the graph among  $\mathcal{G}(m, B_{r+1})$  having the largest spectral radius.

In 2021, Zhai, Lin and Shu [31] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.3** ([31]). *Let  $k$  be a fixed positive integer and  $G$  be a graph of sufficiently large size  $m$  without isolated vertices. If  $\lambda(G) \geq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle  $C_t$  for every  $t \leq 2k+2$ , unless  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Zhai, Lin and Shu [31] confirmed Conjecture 1.3 for  $k = 2$ , where the extremal graph  $K_2 \vee \frac{m-1}{2}K_1$  exists only for odd  $m$ . Y. Li proposed the following conjecture (see also [21]):

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $m$  be large enough. If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,2,2k-1}) \cup \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,2,2k})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Very recently, Li, Zhai and Shu [17] confirmed Conjecture 1.4. Consequently, Conjecture 1.3 was also confirmed. It is natural to consider the following problem:

**Problem 2.** *What is the maximum spectral radius of graphs among  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q})$  for  $q \geq p \geq 3$ ?*

Recall that  $F_{k,3}$  is the friendship graph obtained from  $k$  triangles by sharing a common vertex. Li, Lu and Peng [19] showed that the maximum spectral radius of graphs among  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{2,3})$  is  $\frac{1+\sqrt{4m-3}}{2}$  and the corresponding extremal graph is  $K_2 \vee \frac{m-1}{2}K_1$ . Furthermore, they posed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5** ([19]). *Let  $k \geq 3$  be fixed and  $m$  be large enough. If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{k,3})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Let  $F_k = K_1 \vee P_{k-1}$  denote the fan graph on  $k$  vertices and we call the vertex with degree  $k-1$  the central vertex. Recently, Yu, Li and Peng [29] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.6.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be fixed and  $m$  be sufficiently large. If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+1})$  or  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Yu, Li and Peng [29] considered the case  $k = 2$  for  $F_{2k+1}$ -free graphs, whereas Zhang and Wang [34] considered the case  $k = 3$  for  $F_{2k+1}$ -free graphs.

Motivated by [17, 29, 34], in this paper we consider the  $F_{2k+2}$ -free graphs and  $F_{k,3}$ -free graphs, respectively, for  $k \geq 3$ . We will give a unified approach to resolve Problem 2, Conjectures 1.5 and 1.6.

Our first main result determines the largest spectral radius of  $F_{2k+2}$ -free graph of size  $m$  for  $k \geq 3$  and the corresponding extremal graph is characterized.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Observe that every  $F_{2k+1}$ -free must be  $F_{2k+2}$ -free, i.e.,  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ , and  $K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1 \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+1})$ . Hence, Conjecture 1.6 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.7.

Our second main result determines the largest spectral radius of  $F_{k,3}$ -free graph of size  $m$  for  $k \geq 3$  and the corresponding extremal graph is identified. By Theorem 1.8 below, Conjecture 1.5 follows immediately.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{k,3})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

Our last main result determines the largest spectral radius of  $\theta_{1,p,q}$ -free graph of size  $m$  for  $q \geq p \geq 3$  and the corresponding extremal graph is also characterized. Consequently, Theorem 1.9 below resolves Problem 2 for  $p + q \geq 7$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q})$  or  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,r,s})$  with  $q \geq p \geq 3, s \geq r \geq 3, p + q = 2k + 1$  and  $r + s = 2k + 2$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ .*

**Organization.** We begin by introducing some notation and some preliminary results in Section 2. In Section 3, we characterize the local structure of the extremal graph in depth, which is the theoretic foundation for the proofs of our main results. In Section 4, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9. Some concluding remarks are given in the last section.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Notation.** For a graph  $G$  with a vertex  $u \in V(G)$ , let  $N_G(u)$  be the neighborhood of  $u$  in  $G$  and  $N_G[u] = N_G(u) \cup \{u\}$ . Let  $e(G) = |E(G)|$  be the size of  $G$  and  $|G| = |V(G)|$  be the order of  $G$ . For two vertex-disjoint subsets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , we denote by  $E_G(S, T)$  the set of edges with one vertex in  $S$  and the other in  $T$  and  $e_G(S, T) = |E_G(S, T)|$ . Moreover, let  $G[S]$  be the subgraph induced by  $S$  and  $E_G(S)$  be the edge set of  $G[S]$ . Similarly, we denote  $e_G(S) = |E_G(S)|$ . For vertex subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $V(G)$ , simplicity, we often use  $N_S(T)$  to denote  $\cup_{u \in T} N(u) \cap S$  and  $N_S[T]$  to denote  $N_S(T) \cup T$ . Further, we also use  $S$  (resp.  $T$ ) to denote  $G[S]$  (resp.  $G[T]$ ). All the subscripts defined here will be omitted if it is clear from the context.

Throughout our text we denote by  $P_n, C_n$  and  $K_n$  the path, cycle and complete graph on  $n$  vertices, respectively. Let  $G - uv$  denote the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting edge  $uv \in E(G)$ , and let  $G + uv$  be obtained from  $G$  by adding edge  $uv \notin E(G)$  (this notation is naturally extended if more than one edge is added or deleted). The symbol  $\sim$  denotes that two vertices in question are adjacent.

Since the adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  is irreducible and nonnegative for a connected graph, by Perron-Frobenius theorem, we know that the largest eigenvalue of  $A(G)$  is equal to the spectral radius  $\lambda(G)$  of  $G$ , and there exists a positive eigenvector  $x$  of  $A(G)$  corresponding to  $\lambda(G)$ . The eigenvector  $x$  mentioned above is the *Perron vector* of  $G$  with coordinate  $x_v$  corresponding to the vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . A vertex  $u^*$  is said to be an *extremal vertex* if  $x_{u^*} = \max_{u \in V(G)} \{x_u\}$ .

Let  $S_{n,k} = K_k \vee (n - k)K_1$  and  $S_{n,k}^+$  be the graph obtained by adding an edge within the independent set of  $S_{n,k}$ . Moreover, let  $M_t$  be the graph on  $t$  vertices consisting of a matching with  $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$  edges and one possible vertex (if  $t$  is odd).

### Some basic lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ([10]). *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be the adjacency matrices of two connected graphs  $G$  and  $G'$  with the same vertex set. Suppose that  $N_G(u) \subsetneq N_{G'}(u)$  for some vertex  $u$ . If the Perron vector  $x$  of  $G$  satisfies  $x^T A' x \geq x^T A x$ , then  $\lambda(G') > \lambda(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** ([31]). *Let  $F$  be a 2-connected graph and  $G$  attain the maximum spectral radius in  $\mathcal{G}(m, F)$ . Then  $G$  is connected. Moreover, if  $u^*$  is an extremal vertex of  $G$ , then there exists no cut vertex in  $G[V(G) \setminus \{u^*\}]$ .*

Note that given a graph  $G$  and a vertex  $u \in V(G)$ , if  $G[N(u)]$  contains a  $P_{2k+1}$ , then we can find an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G$ . Hence, the following result follows immediately.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ . Then for all  $u \in V(G)$ , the graph  $G[N(u)]$  is  $P_{2k+1}$ -free.*

In the following, let  $G^*$  be the graph in  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$  having the maximum spectral radius. By Lemma 2.2, one can see that  $G^*$  is connected. By Perron-Frobenius theorem, there exists a positive eigenvector  $x$  corresponding to  $\lambda(G^*)$  with coordinate  $x_v$  corresponding to the vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . We may assume that  $\lambda(G^*) = \lambda$  and  $x_{u^*} = \max_{u \in V(G^*)} x_u = 1$  for some  $u^* \in V(G^*)$ . Furthermore, we denote  $R = N(u^*)$ ,  $S = V(G^*) \setminus N[u^*]$  and  $d_R(u) = |N_R(u)|$  for each vertex  $u \in V(G^*)$ .

Let  $\gamma = -\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . Note that  $K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1 \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ , it follows that  $\lambda \geq \lambda(K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1)$ . So from then on we may assume that

$$\lambda^2 - (k-1)\lambda \geq m + \gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that  $\lambda = \lambda x_{u^*} = \sum_{u \in R} x_u$ , and

$$\lambda^2 = \lambda^2 x_{u^*} = d_{G^*}(u^*)x_{u^*} + \sum_{u \in R} d_R(u)x_u + \sum_{w \in S} d_R(w)x_w.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda^2 - (k-1)\lambda = d_{G^*}(u^*) + \sum_{u \in R} (d_R(u) - k + 1)x_u + \sum_{w \in S} d_R(w)x_w. \quad (2.2)$$

For an arbitrary subset  $L$  of  $R$ , we define

$$\eta(L) = \sum_{u \in L} (d_L(u) - k + 1)x_u - e(L). \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, if  $L = \emptyset$ , we define  $\eta(L) = 0$ . Together with (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 - (k-1)\lambda &= d_{G^*}(u^*) + \eta(R) + e(R) + \sum_{w \in S} d_R(w)x_w \\ &\leq d_{G^*}(u^*) + \eta(R) + e(R) + e(R, S) \\ &= \eta(R) + m - e(S). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.4) gives us

$$\eta(R) \geq e(S) + \gamma \geq \gamma. \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), one sees if  $\eta(R) = \gamma$ , then  $e(S) = 0$  and  $x_w = 1$  for each  $w \in S$ .

We now recall the terminology of  $k$ -core, which was introduced by Seidman [24] in 1983. A  $k$ -core of a graph  $G$  is the largest induced subgraph of  $G$  such that its minimum degree is at least  $k$ . It is obvious that a  $k$ -core can be obtained iteratively from  $G$  by deleting the vertices of degree at most  $k-1$  until the resulting graph is empty or is of minimum degree at least  $k$ . It is known that  $k$ -core is well-defined, that is, it does not depend on the order of vertex deletion. A graph is referred to as  $(k-1)$ -degenerate if its  $k$ -core is empty. It brings a breakthrough in extremal graph theory (see [1] and [14] for details). Nikiforov [11] was the first to utilize these notions to study spectral extremal graph theory. Now the core of graph is a key tool, which was used to study the spectral graph theory (see [9, 17]).

In the following, we shall introduce a variable on  $R$  as follows. Now we denote by  $L^c$  the vertex set of the  $(k-1)$ -core of  $G^*[L]$ . It is obvious that  $L^c \subseteq L$  for every subset  $L$  of  $R$ . And if  $L = \emptyset$ , then  $L^c = \emptyset$ . We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4** ([17]). *For every subset  $L$  of  $R$ , we have  $\eta(L) \leq \eta(L^c)$  with equality if and only if  $L = L^c$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the family of connected components in  $G^*[R^c]$  and  $|\mathcal{J}|$  be the number of members in  $\mathcal{J}$ . By the definition of  $(k-1)$ -core, we have  $\delta(J) \geq k-1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore, it follows from (2.3) that for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(V(J)) &\leq \sum_{u \in V(J)} (d_J(u) - k + 1) - e(J) \\ &= e(J) - (k-1)|J|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Equality in (2.6) holds if and only if  $x_u = 1$  for each  $u \in V(J)$  with  $d_J(u) \geq k$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{|J|, k-1}$  the family of graphs obtained from  $S_{|J|, k-1}^+$  by deleting an arbitrary edge. By Lemma 2.3,  $J \subseteq G^*[R]$  is  $P_{2k+1}$ -free, then the following lemmas in [17] still hold.

**Lemma 2.5** ([17]). *Let  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid |J| \geq 2k+1\}$ . Then for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_1$ ,*

$$\eta(V(J)) \leq \begin{cases} \gamma + 1, & \text{if } J \cong S_{|J|, k-1}^+; \\ \gamma, & \text{if } J \in \mathcal{L}_{|J|, k-1}; \\ \gamma - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*If  $J \in \mathcal{L}_{|J|, k-1}$  and  $\eta(V(J)) = \gamma$ , then  $x_u = 1$  for each  $u \in V(J)$  with  $d_J(u) \geq k$ .*

In what follows, we consider the members in  $\mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1$ . Recall that  $\delta(J) \geq k - 1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Hence, for each  $J \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1$ , we have  $k \leq |J| \leq 2k$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{J}_2$  be the subfamily of  $\mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1$ , in which every member does not contain any cycle of length large than  $2k - 2$ .

**Lemma 2.6** ([17]). *For every member  $J \in \mathcal{J}_2$ , we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -(k - 1)$ .*

### 3. Characterizing $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3, \mathcal{J}_4$ and $\mathcal{J}_5$

Recall that  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid |J| \geq 2k + 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2$  is the subfamily of  $\mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1$ , in which every member does not contain any cycle of length larger than  $2k - 2$ . So we let  $\mathcal{J}_3$  be the subfamily of  $\mathcal{J} \setminus (\mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2)$ , in which every member does not contain any cycle of length larger than  $2k - 1$ . Then for every member  $\hat{J} \in \mathcal{J}_3$ , it contains a longest cycle of length  $2k - 1$  and  $2k - 1 \leq |\hat{J}| \leq 2k$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_4 = \mathcal{J} \setminus (\mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2 \cup \mathcal{J}_3)$ , that is,  $J$  contains a longest cycle of length  $2k$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ , thus,  $|J| = 2k$  and  $N_R(u) \subseteq V(J)$  for each  $u \in V(J)$ , otherwise, we obtain a  $P_{2k+1}$  in  $G^*[R]$ , a contradiction. Moreover, let  $\mathcal{J}_5$  be the subfamily of  $\mathcal{J}_4$ , in which  $\eta(V(J)) > 0$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ .

In this section, we firstly establish an upper bound on  $\eta(V(J))$  for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$ . Then we determine the cardinalities, respectively, for  $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3$  and  $\mathcal{J}_4$ . In the remaining of our context, the notation  $k$  is always referred to parameter in the forbidden graph  $F_{2k+2}$ .

#### 3.1. Upper bound on $\eta(V(J))$ for $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$ .

In this subsection, we establish an upper bound on  $\eta(V(J))$  for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$ . We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $s \geq 2$  and  $G = K_1 \vee H$ , where graph  $H$  is obtained from  $K_{2s-1}$  by deleting arbitrary  $s$  edges. Then for any  $v \in V(H)$ , there exists a  $P_{2s}$  in  $G$  starting from  $v$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on  $s$ . Obviously, the result is true for  $s = 2$ . Now let  $\ell \geq 3$  and assume the result is true for  $s \leq \ell - 1$ . Let  $G = K_1 \vee H$ , where graph  $H$  is obtained from  $K_{2\ell-1}$  by deleting arbitrary  $\ell$  edges. For any  $v \in V(H)$ , we can find a  $u \in N_H(v)$  such that  $H - v - u$  is obtained from  $K_{2\ell-3}$  by deleting at most  $\ell - 1$  edges. By induction, for any  $w \in N_H(u) \cap (V(H) \setminus \{u, v\})$  there exists a  $P_{2\ell-2}$  in  $G - \{u, v\}$  starting from  $w$  and then we find a  $P_{2\ell}$  in  $G$  starting from  $v$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In order to establish an upper bound on  $\eta(V(J))$  for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$ , we need the following key lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$\mathcal{J}_5$  is empty.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $|\mathcal{J}_5| \geq 1$ . We need the following claims to complete the proof.

**Claim 1.**  *$e(J) > 2k(k - 1)$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $e(J) \leq 2k(k - 1)$  for some  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . By (2.6), we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k - 1)|J| \leq 0$ , contradicting the definition of  $\mathcal{J}_5$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  *$\sum_{v \in V(J)} x_v > 2k - 2$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$  such that  $\sum_{v \in V(J)} x_v \leq 2k - 2$ . By (2.3) and Claim 1, we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) \leq (\Delta(J) - k + 1) \sum_{v \in V(J)} x_v - e(J) < k(2k - 2) - 2k(k - 1) = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 3.**  $|\mathcal{J}_5| \leq \frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $|\mathcal{J}_5| > \frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1$ . Note that for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$  and each  $u \in V(J)$ ,  $N_R(u) \subseteq V(J)$ , and so  $d_R(u) = d_J(u)$ . Next we show

$$\eta(V(J)) \leq k \quad \text{for each } J \in \mathcal{J}_4. \quad (3.7)$$

In fact, one may see that  $|J| = 2k$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ . Then  $\Delta(J) \leq 2k - 1$  and so  $e(J) \leq \frac{2k-1}{2}|J|$ . In view of (2.6), we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k - 1)|J| \leq \frac{1}{2}|J| = k$ , as desired.

Recall that  $e(J) \leq k(2k - 1)$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . By Claim 2 and (3.7), for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - k + 1)(2k - 2) &< (\lambda - k + 1) \sum_{v \in V(J)} x_v \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(J)} (x_{u^*} + \sum_{u \in N_J(v)} x_u + \sum_{w \in N_S(v)} x_w) - \sum_{v \in V(J)} (k - 1)x_v \\ &= |V(J)| + \sum_{v \in V(J)} (d_J(v) - k + 1)x_v + \sum_{v \in V(J)} \sum_{w \in N_S(v)} x_w \\ &\leq 2k + \eta(V(J)) + e(J) + e(J, S) \\ &\leq 2k + 2k^2 + e(J, S). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $e(J, S) > (2k - 2)\lambda - (k - 1)(2k - 2) - 2k - 2k^2 = (2k - 2)\lambda - 4k^2 + 2k - 2$ . Note that  $K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1 \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$ . Hence,  $\lambda \geq \lambda(K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1) = \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2} > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$ . Together with Claim 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq d_{R^c}(u^*) + e(R^c) + e(R^c, S) \\ &\geq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_5} (|J| + e(J) + e(J, S)) \\ &> (\frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1)((2k - 2)\lambda - 4k^2 + 2k - 2 + 2k^2) \\ &> \lambda^2 - (k - 1)\lambda - \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (2.1).  $\square$

Denote  $\hat{\eta} = \max\{\eta(V(J)) \mid J \in \mathcal{J}_5\}$  for simplicity.

**Claim 4.**  $e(S) \leq \hat{\eta}(\frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* We first show

$$\eta(V(J)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for each } J \in \mathcal{J}_3. \quad (3.8)$$

In fact, if  $|J| = 2k - 1$ , then  $e(J) \leq \binom{2k-1}{2}$ . Thus by (2.6), we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) \leq (k - 1)(2k - 1) - (k - 1)(2k - 1) = 0$ . If  $|J| = 2k$ , then assume without loss of generality that  $V(J) = V(C_{2k-1}) \cup \{v\}$  and  $d_J(v) \geq k - 1$ . One sees that  $v$  has just  $k - 1$  neighbors in  $V(C_{2k-1})$ . Otherwise there is a  $C_{2k}$  in  $J$ , contradicting the definition of  $\mathcal{J}_3$ . One may also see that  $G^*[V(C_{2k-1})] \neq K_{2k-1}$ , otherwise combining with  $d_J(v) = k - 1 \geq 2$ , there exists a  $C_{2k}$  in  $J$ , a contradiction. Thus



$e(J) = e(J-v) + d_J(v) \leq (k-1)|J| - 1$ . Together with (2.6), we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k-1)|J| \leq -1$  for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3$  with  $|J| = 2k$ .

By Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and (3.8), we have  $\eta(V(\hat{J})) \leq 0$  for each  $\hat{J} \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_5$ . Thus,  $\eta(R^c) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \eta(V(J)) \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_5} \eta(V(J)) \leq \hat{\eta}|\mathcal{J}_5|$ . Together with (2.5), Lemma 2.4 and Claim 3, we have  $e(S) \leq \eta(R^c) - \gamma \leq \hat{\eta}|\mathcal{J}_5| + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \leq \hat{\eta}(\frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ , as desired.  $\square$

Now we come back to show Lemma 3.2.

By Claim 1, one may assume that, for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ , it is obtained from  $K_{2k}$  by deleting  $t_J$  ( $\leq k-1$ ) edges. So these  $t_J$  edges are incident with at most  $2t_J$  vertices of  $V(J)$ . Therefore, there are at least  $2k - 2t_J$  vertices, say  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}$ , in  $V(J)$  such that  $d_J(v_1) = \dots = d_J(v_{2k-2t_J}) = 2k - 1$  and  $x_{v_1} \geq \dots \geq x_{v_{2k-2t_J}}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $N_S(v_1), \dots, N_S(v_{2k-2t_J})$  and  $\bigcup_{i=2k-2t_J+1}^{2k} N_S(v_i)$  are pairwise disjoint. Otherwise, there exists a copy of  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*$ , a contradiction.

Recall that  $S = V(G) \setminus N[u^*]$ . Let  $S_0 = \{w \in S | d_S(w) = 0\}$  and  $S_1 = S \setminus S_0$ . It is clear that  $|S_1| \leq 2e(S)$ . More precisely, there is no vertex in  $S_0$  being the neighbor of  $v_i$  for every  $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k - 2t_J\}$ . Otherwise, there exists a vertex  $w \in N_{S_0}(v_i)$  for some  $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k - 2t_J\}$ , and so  $N_J(w) = \{v_i\}$ . Then  $G = G^* - v_iw + v_1w$  is an  $F_{2k+2}$ -free graph with larger spectral radius than  $G^*$ , a contradiction. That is to say,  $d_S(v_i) = d_{S_1}(v_i)$  for every  $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k - 2t_J\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} &= \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} (x_{u^*} + \sum_{u \in N_J(v_i)} x_u + \sum_{w \in N_S(v_i)} x_w) \\ &\leq (2k - 2t_J - 1) + \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} d_J(v_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} d_{S_1}(v_i) \\ &\leq |S_1| + 2k(2k - 2t_J - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $|S_1| \leq 2e(S)$ . By Claim 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} &\leq \frac{2e(S) + 2k(2k - 2t_J - 1)}{\lambda} \\ &\leq \frac{2(\hat{\eta}(\frac{\lambda}{2k-2} + 1) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}) + 2k(2k - 2t_J - 1)}{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\hat{\eta}}{k-1} + \frac{2\hat{\eta} + 5k^2 - 4kt_J - 3k}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.3) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(V(J)) &= \sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}} (d_J(u) - k + 1)x_u + k \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} - e(J) \\ &\leq e(J) - \sum_{u \in \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}} d_J(u) - (k-1)|V(J) \setminus \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}| + k \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} \\ &\leq \binom{2k}{2} - t_J - (2k-1)(2k-2t_J-1) - (k-1)(2t_J+1) \\ &\quad + k \left( \frac{\hat{\eta}}{k-1} + \frac{2\hat{\eta} + 5k^2 - 4kt_J - 3k}{\lambda} \right) \\ &= (2k-1 - \frac{4k^2}{\lambda})t_J - 2k^2 + 2k + \frac{k}{k-1}\hat{\eta} + \frac{5k^3 - 3k^2 + 2\hat{\eta}k}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Bear in mind that  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$ . So we have

$$\eta(V(J)) < (2k-1 - \frac{4k}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14})t_J - 2k^2 + 2k + (\frac{k}{k-1} + \frac{2}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14})\hat{\eta} + \frac{5k^2 - 3k}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14}. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to complete the proof of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show the following claim.

**Claim 5.** *For each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ , one has  $t_J = k - 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Claim 1,  $t_J \leq k - 1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . Suppose  $0 \leq t_J \leq k - 2$  for some  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . By (3.7), we have  $\hat{\eta} \leq k$ . Combining with (3.9) and  $k \geq 3$ , we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) < \frac{11}{3} + \frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{34k-56}{9k^2+12k+84} - 2k < -1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

By Claim 5, we have  $t_J = k - 1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . Then by (2.6), we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k - 1)|J| \leq 1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_5$ . Thus  $\hat{\eta} \leq 1$ . Together with  $k \geq 3$  and (3.9),  $\eta(V(J)) < \frac{8}{3} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{2k+44}{9k^2+12k+84} - k < 0$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Up to now, we know that for all  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ , one has  $\eta(V(J)) \leq 0$ . Combining with (2.5) and Lemma 2.4, we have  $e(S) \leq \eta(R) - \gamma \leq \eta(R^c) - \gamma \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . Moreover, for every  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{J}$  the subgraph of  $G^*$  induced by  $N_R(V(J))$ , where  $N_R(V(J))$  is the subset of  $R$  in which each vertex has at least one neighbor in  $V(J)$ . For every member  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ , it is clear that  $J \subseteq \tilde{J}$ . Consequently,  $J$  is the  $(k - 1)$ -core of  $\tilde{J}$  and  $V(J) = (V(\tilde{J}))^c$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3$ , we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3$ ,  $J$  contains a longest cycle of length  $2k - 1$  and  $2k - 1 \leq |J| \leq 2k$ . By the proof of (3.8), it suffices to show that our result holds for  $|J| = 2k - 1$ .

We first consider  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \setminus \{K_{2k-1}\}$ . For every such  $J$ , one sees  $e(J) \leq e(K_{2k-1}) - 1 = (k - 1)|J| - 1$ . Thus by (2.6) we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k - 1)|J| \leq -1$ , as desired.

Next we consider  $J = K_{2k-1}$ . If there exists a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  such that  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k + 1)$ , then  $x_v \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{\lambda}$ . By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(V(J)) &\leq \sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v\}} (k - 1)x_u + \frac{k^3 - k}{\lambda} - e(J) \\ &\leq (2k - 2)(k - 1) + \frac{k^3}{\lambda} - (2k - 1)(k - 1) \\ &= -k + 1 + \frac{k^3}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$  and  $k \geq 3$ , we get  $\eta(V(J)) < -k + 1 + \frac{k^3}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14} < -1$ . In what follows, we prove indeed that there exists a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  satisfying  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k + 1)$ .

For convenience, let  $V(J) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2k-1}\}$ ,  $S' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k-1} N_S(v_i)$ ,  $S_0^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k-1} N_{S_0}(v_i)$  and  $S_1^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k-1} N_{S_1}(v_i)$ . Let  $w_1, w_2$  be two different vertices in  $S'$ . We are to show the following.

$$\text{If } N_J(w_1) \cap N_J(w_2) \neq \emptyset, \text{ then either } N_J(w_1) \subseteq N_J(w_2) \text{ or } N_J(w_2) \subseteq N_J(w_1). \quad (3.10)$$

In fact, suppose (3.10) is not true. Then assume  $N_J(w_1) \setminus N_J(w_2) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $N_J(w_2) \setminus N_J(w_1) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $v_1 \in N_J(w_1) \cap N_J(w_2)$ . Consequently,  $G^*[\{u^*, w_1, w_2\} \cup V(J)]$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_1$ , a contradiction.

By (3.10), we can partition  $S'$  as  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} S'_i$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} N_J(S'_i) \subseteq V(J)$  and  $N_J(S'_i) \cap N_J(S'_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$ . Moreover, we can assume that  $w_i \in S'_i$  and  $N_J(w_i) = N_J(S'_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . We proceed by considering the following two possible cases.

**Case 1.**  $d_J(w_i) \geq 3$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

Without loss of generality, we assume  $d_J(w_1) \geq 3$  and let  $N_J(w_1) = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ . Then we have  $d_J(w) = 1$  for any  $w \in S'_1 \setminus \{w_1\}$ . Otherwise, there is a  $w_i \in S'_1 \setminus \{w_1\}$  such that  $N_J(w_i) \subseteq N_J(S'_1)$  and  $d_J(w_i) \geq 2$ . One may assume that  $\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq N_J(w_2)$ . Then there is an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*[\{w_1, w_2, u^*\} \cup V(J)]$ , a contradiction. Assume that  $x_{v_1} \geq \dots \geq x_{v_d}$ . We are to show the following.

$$d_{V(\tilde{J}) \setminus V(J)}(v_i) = 0 \text{ and } d_{S_0^* \setminus \{w_1\}}(v_i) = 0 \text{ for each } 2 \leq i \leq d. \quad (3.11)$$

In fact, if there exists a vertex  $u \in V(\tilde{J}) \setminus V(J)$  with  $u \sim v_i$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there is an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_i$  in  $G^*[\{u^*, u, w_1\} \cup V(J)]$ , a contradiction. If  $d_{S_0^* \setminus \{w_1\}}(v_i) > 0$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq d$ , then  $N_J(w) = \{v_i\}$  for each  $w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_i) \setminus \{w_1\}$ . Let  $G = G^* - \{v_j w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_i) \setminus \{w_1\}\} + \{v_1 w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_i) \setminus \{w_1\}\}$ . Then  $G$  is an  $F_{2k+2}$ -free graph and  $\lambda(G) > \lambda(G^*)$ , a contradiction.

In view of (3.11), we obtain  $N_{S_0^*}(v_d) \subseteq \{w_1\}$  and  $d_{\tilde{J} \setminus J}(v_d) = 0$ . Thus  $d_{G^*}(v_d) \leq 1 + d_R(v_d) + d_{S'}(v_d) \leq 2k + 2e(S) \leq k(k+1)$ , as desired.

**Case 2.**  $d_J(w) \leq 2$  for all  $w \in S'$ .

Recall that  $J = K_{2k-1} \in \mathcal{J}_3$ . Let  $V(J) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2k-1}\}$ . Assume that there exist at least two distinct vertices, say  $v_i, v_j$ , in  $V(J)$  having a common neighbor, say  $v$ , in  $V(\tilde{J}) \setminus V(J)$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $x_{v_i} \geq x_{v_j}$ . We are to show that  $d_{S_0^*}(v_j) = 0$ . If not, since  $G^*$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free, we have  $d_{\tilde{J}}(w) = 1$  for each  $w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j)$ . Let  $G = G^* - \{v_j w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j)\} + \{v_i w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j)\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and  $\lambda(G) > \lambda(G^*)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $d_{G^*}(v_j) \leq k(k+1)$ .

Now we consider that there is at most one vertex, say  $v_{2k-1}$ , in  $V(J)$  such that it is adjacent to a vertex in  $V(\tilde{J}) \setminus V(J)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x_{v_1} = \max\{x_{v_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, 2k-2\}$  and  $x_{v_2} + x_{v_3} = \max\{x_{v_i} + x_{v_j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2k-2, N_S(v_i) \cap N_S(v_j) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $d_{S_0^*}(v_i) = 0$  and so  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq k(k+1)$  for each  $i \in \{4, \dots, 2k-2\}$ . Otherwise, if there exists some  $j \in \{4, \dots, 2k-2\}$  such that  $d_{S_0^*}(v_j) > 0$ , then, since  $G^*$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free, we have  $v_{2k-1} \notin N_J(w)$  for all  $w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j)$ . Let  $G = G^* - \{vw \mid v \in V(J), w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j), v \sim w\} + \{v_2 w, v_3 w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j), d_J(w) = 2\} + \{v_1 w \mid w \in N_{S_0^*}(v_j), d_J(w) = 1\}$ . One sees that  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and  $\lambda(G) > \lambda(G^*)$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every member  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ ,  $J$  is a connected component of  $G^*[R]$  and  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$ .*

*Proof.* According to the definition of  $\mathcal{J}_4$ , one sees that, for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ ,  $J$  contains a cycle  $C_{2k}$ , and one may label the vertices in  $V(J)$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_{2k}$ . If there exists a vertex  $v \in V(\tilde{J}) \setminus V(J)$  such that  $N_J(v) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a  $P_{2k+1}$  in  $G^*[V(J) \cup \{v\}]$ , a contradiction. Thus  $J$  is a connected component of  $G^*[R]$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ . In what follows, we show  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$ .

Let  $S' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} N_S(v_i)$ ,  $S_0^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} N_{S_0}(v_i)$  and  $S_1^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} N_{S_1}(v_i)$ . Recall that  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k-1)|J|$  and  $J$  is obtained from  $K_{2k}$  by deleting  $t_J$  edges. If  $t_J \geq k+1$ , then  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k-1)|J| \leq k(2k-1) - k - 1 - 2k(k-1) = -1$ . So we proceed by considering  $0 \leq t_J \leq k$ .

**Case 1.**  $0 \leq t_J \leq k-1$ . In this case, there exist at least  $2k - 2t_J$  vertices, say  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}$ , in  $V(J)$ , such that  $d_J(v_1) = \dots = d_J(v_{2k-2t_J}) = 2k - 1$  and  $x_{v_1} \geq \dots \geq x_{v_{2k-2t_J}}$ . One sees

that  $N_S(v_1), \dots, N_S(v_{2k-2t_J}), \bigcup_{i=2k-2t_J+1}^{2k} N_S(v_i)$  are pairwise disjoint. Otherwise, without loss of generality, assume that there exists a vertex  $w_0 \in N_S(v_1) \cap \bigcup_{i=2k-2t_J+1}^{2k} N_S(v_i)$ . Then by Lemma 3.1,  $G^*[\{u^*, w_0\} \cup V(J)]$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_1$ , a contradiction. We claim  $N_{S_0}(v_i) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $d_S(v_i) = d_{S_1}(v_i)$  for each  $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k-2t_J\}$ . Otherwise, there exists a vertex  $w \in N_{S_0}(v_i)$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq 2k-2t_J$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $G^* - v_i w + v_1 w$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has a larger spectral radius than  $G^*$ , a contradiction. Recall that  $|S_1| \leq 2e(S)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} &= \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} (x_{u^*} + \sum_{u \in N_J(v_i)} x_u + \sum_{w \in N_S(v_i)} x_w) \\ &\leq (2k-2t_J-1) + \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} d_J(v_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} d_S(v_i) \\ &\leq (2k-2t_J-1) + (2k-1)(2k-2t_J-1) + |S_1| \\ &\leq 2e(S) + 2k(2k-2t_J-1) \\ &\leq k(k-1) + 2k(2k-2t_J-1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{As } e(S) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2})$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{2k-2t_J} x_{v_i} \leq \frac{k(k-1) + 2k(2k-2t_J-1)}{\lambda}.$$

Recall that  $J$  is obtained from  $K_{2k}$  by deleting  $t_J$  edges and  $d_J(v_2) = \dots = d_J(v_{2k-2t_J}) = 2k-1$ . Hence, we have  $\sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}} (d_J(u) - k + 1)x_u \leq 2e(J) - \sum_{u \in \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}} d_J(u) - (k-1)(2t_J+1) \leq 2k(2k-1) - 2t_J - (2k-1)(2k-2t_J-1) - (k-1)(2t_J+1) = (2k-2)t_J + k$ . By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(V(J)) &\leq \sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_2, \dots, v_{2k-2t_J}\}} (d_J(u) - k + 1)x_u + \frac{k^2(k-1) + 2k^2(2k-2t_J-1)}{\lambda} - e(J) \\ &\leq (2k-2)t_J + k - k(2k-1) + t_J + \frac{5k^3 - 4k^2t_J - 3k^2}{\lambda} \\ &= (2k-1 - \frac{4k^2}{\lambda})t_J - 2k^2 + 2k + \frac{5k^3 - 3k^2}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $0 \leq t_J \leq k-1$ ,  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$  and  $k \geq 3$ . Consequently,

$$\eta(V(J)) \leq (2k-1 - \frac{4k}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14})(k-1) - 2k^2 + 2k + \frac{5k^2 - 3k}{\frac{3}{2}k^2 + 2k + 14} < -1.$$

**Case 2.**  $t_J = k$ . In this case, by (2.6), we have  $\eta(V(J)) \leq e(J) - (k-1)|J| = k(2k-1) - k - (k-1)2k = 0$ .

If there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(J)$  satisfying  $d_J(v_i) \geq 2k-2$  and  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq k(k+1)$ , we obtain  $x_{v_i} \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{\lambda}$ . Consequently,  $\sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_i\}} (d_J(u) - k + 1)x_u \leq \sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_i\}} d_J(u) - (k-1)(2k-1) \leq 2e(J) - d_J(v_i) - (k-1)(2k-1) \leq 2k^2 - 3k + 1$ . By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(V(J)) &\leq \sum_{u \in V(J) \setminus \{v_i\}} (d_J(u) - k + 1)x_u + \frac{k^3 + k^2}{\lambda} - e(J) \\ &\leq 2k^2 - 3k + 1 + \frac{k^3 + k^2}{\lambda} - k(2k-1) + k \end{aligned}$$

$$= -k + 1 + \frac{k^3 + k^2}{\lambda}.$$

Recall that  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$ . Then  $\eta(V(J)) < -k + 1 + \frac{k^2+k}{\frac{3}{2}k^2+2k+14} < -1$ .

Next, we show that there indeed exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(J)$  such that  $d_J(v_i) \geq 2k - 2$  and  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq k(k+1)$  by considering the following two subcases.

**Subcase 2.1.** There exist at least two distinct vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V(J)$  such that  $d_J(v_i) = d_J(v_j) = 2k - 1$ . In this subcase, assume without loss of generality that  $d_J(v_1) = d_J(v_2) = 2k - 1$  where  $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_2}$ . By a similar discussion as that in Case 1, one sees that  $N_S(v_1), N_S(v_2)$  and  $\bigcup_{i=3}^{2k} N_S(v_i)$  are pairwise disjoint. If  $d_{S_0}(v_2) \neq 0$  and  $w \in N_{S_0}(v_2)$ , then let  $G = G^* - v_2w + v_1w$ . Clearly  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has larger spectral radius than  $G^*$ , a contradiction. Thus  $d_{S_0}(v_2) = 0$ . Recall that  $e(S) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . Then  $d_{G^*}(v_2) \leq 1 + 2k - 1 + 2e(S) \leq k(k+1)$ .

**Subcase 2.2.** There exists at most one vertex  $v \in V(J)$  with  $d_J(v) = 2k - 1$ . In this subcase, we may partition  $V(J)$  as  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $|V_1| = |V_2| = k$ , and  $J[V_1] \cong J[V_2] \cong K_k$ . We proceed by showing the following claims to complete our proof.

**Claim 6.** *All the vertices  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) = 1$  have a common neighbor in  $V(J)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be in  $V(J)$  with  $x_v = \max_{u \in V(J)} x_u$ , and let  $w$  be in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) = 1$ . If  $w \approx v$ , then let  $G = G^* - wv' + wv$ , where  $v'$  is the unique neighbor of  $w$  in  $V(J)$ . Clearly  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has larger spectral radius than  $G^*$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 7.** *All the vertices  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) = 2$  have a common neighborhood in  $V(J)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there are two distinct vertices  $w$  and  $w'$  in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) = d_J(w') = 2$  satisfying  $N_J(w) \neq N_J(w')$ . Assume  $\sum_{v \in N_J(w)} x_v \geq \sum_{v \in N_J(w')} x_v$ . Then let  $G = G^* - \{w'v \mid v \in N_J(w')\} + \{w'v \mid v \in N_J(w)\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has a larger spectral radius, a contradiction.  $\square$

By Claims 6 and 7, we may assume all vertices in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with only one neighbor in  $V(J)$  (if there exists) have common neighbor  $v_{i_1} \in V(J)$ , and all vertices in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with exactly two neighbors in  $V(J)$  (if there exist) have common neighborhood  $\{v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$ . Then for each  $v_i \in V(J) \setminus \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$  and each  $w \in N_{S_0}(v_i)$ , we obtain  $d_J(w) \geq 3$ . Further on we have the following claim.

**Claim 8.** *Let  $v, v'$  be two distinct vertices of  $J$  satisfying  $v \not\sim v'$  and  $d_J(v) = 2k - 2$ . Then, for any distinct vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V(J) \setminus \{v, v'\}$ ,  $G^*[\{u^*\} \cup (V(J) \setminus \{v, v'\})]$  contains a  $v_i v_j$ -path of length  $2k - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $V_1 \cup V_2$  is a partition of  $V(J)$ . Hence one may assume, without loss of generality, that  $v \in V_1$  and  $v' \in V_2$ . By the symmetry of  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , we proceed by considering the following two cases.

- $v_i \in V_1, v_j \in V_2$ . Then  $v_i v_{i'_1} \cdots, v_{i'_k-2} u^* v_{j_{k-2}} \cdots v_{j_1} v_j$  is a desired  $v_i v_j$ -path, where  $v_{i'_1}, \dots, v_{i'_k-2} \in V_1 \setminus \{v, v_i\}$  and  $v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_{k-2}} \in V_2 \setminus \{v', v_j\}$ .

- $v_i, v_j \in V_1$  or  $v_i, v_j \in V_2$ . Here we only consider the former. If  $k = 3$ , then choose  $v_{j_{k-1}} \in V_2 \setminus \{v'\}$  such that  $v_{j_{k-1}} \sim v_j$ . If  $k \geq 4$ , then choose some vertex  $v_{i'_{k-3}} \in V_1 \setminus \{v, v_i, v_j\}$ .

Then  $v_i u^* v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_{k-1}} v_{i'_{k-3}} \cdots v_{i'_1} v_j$  is a desired  $v_i v_j$ -path, where  $v_{i'_1}, \dots, v_{i'_{k-3}} \in V_1 \setminus \{v, v_i, v_j\}$  and  $v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_{k-1}} \in V_2 \setminus \{v'\}$ .  $\square$

Next we come back to continue the proof for Subcase 2.2.

If there is a vertex  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) \geq 3$  and there is a vertex  $v_i \in N_J(w) \setminus \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$  such that  $d_J(v_i) \geq 2k - 2$ , and  $v_i$  is adjacent to at least two vertices in  $N_J(w)$ , then  $w$  is the unique vertex in  $S_0$  satisfying  $w \sim v_i$ . Otherwise, suppose  $w' \in N_{S_0}(v_i) \setminus \{w\}$ , then  $d_J(w') \geq 3$ , and so by Claim 8,  $G^*[\{u^*, w, w'\} \cup N_J[v_i]]$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_i$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $N(v_i) \subseteq \{u^*, w\} \cup V(J) \cup S_1$ , and so  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq k(k+1)$ .

Now we consider  $d_J(w) \geq 3$  for all  $w$  in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  and each vertex  $v_i \in N_J(w) \setminus \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$  with  $d_J(v_i) \geq 2k - 2$  is adjacent to at most one vertex in  $N_J(w)$ . For such vertex  $v_i$ , if  $d_{S_0}(v_i) \leq 1$ , then  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq k(k+1)$ . If  $d_{S_0}(v_i) \geq 2$ , then all vertices in  $N_{S_0}(v_i)$  have a common neighborhood of size\* three including  $v_i$  and the unique vertex, say  $v_{i^*}$ , in  $V(J)$  satisfying  $v_{i^*} \not\sim v_i$ . Otherwise, by Claim 8, there is an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*$  with central vertex  $v_i$ , a contradiction. Take  $w, w' \in N_{S_0}(v_i)$  and let  $N_J(w) = N_J(w') = \{v_i, v_{i^*}, v_{i'}\}$ . If  $v_{i^*} \sim v_{i'}$  and  $d_J(v_{i'}) \geq 2k - 2$ , then by Claim 8, there exists an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*$  with central vertex  $v_{i'}$ , a contradiction. If  $v_{i^*} \not\sim v_{i'}$  or  $d_J(v_{i'}) = 2k - 3$ , then  $N_J(w)$  contains a vertex of degree  $2k - 3$  in  $J$ . In the following, we consider that for all  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $d_J(w) \geq 3$ ,  $N_J(w)$  contains a vertex of degree less than  $2k - 2$  in  $J$ . Then there is a vertex, say  $v_{i_4}$ , of degree  $2k - 1$  in  $J$ , a unique vertex, say  $v_{i_5}$ , of degree less than  $2k - 2$  in  $J$  satisfying  $d_J(v_{i_5}) = 2k - 3$ . If  $N_{S_0}(v_{i_4}) = \emptyset$ , then  $d_{G^*}(v_{i_4}) \leq 1 + 2k - 1 + |S_1| \leq k(k+1)$ . If  $N_{S_0}(v_{i_4}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $v_{i_4} = v_{i_1}$ . Otherwise, by Claim 8,  $G^*$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_{i_4}$ , a contradiction.

Furthermore, we have the following two claims.

**Claim 9.** *Let  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  satisfying  $d_J(w) \geq 3$ . Then either  $d_J(w) = 3$  or  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k+1)$  for some  $v \in V(J)$ .*

*Proof.* If there is a vertex  $w \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  such that  $d_J(w) \geq 4$ , then let  $v_i \in N_J(w) \setminus \{v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}, v_{i_5}\}$ . One sees that  $v_i$  has at least two neighbors in  $N_J(w)$ . If  $d_{S_0}(v_i) \geq 2$ , by Claim 8 there is an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*$  with central vertex  $v_i$ , a contradiction. If  $d_{S_0}(v_i) = 1$ , then  $d_{G^*}(v_i) \leq 1 + 2k - 1 + |S_1| \leq k(k+1)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Claim 10.** *Let  $w, w'$  be two distinct vertices in  $N_{S_0}(V(J))$  satisfying  $d_J(w) = d_J(w') = 3$ . Then either  $N_J(w) = N_J(w')$  or  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k+1)$  for some  $v \in V(J)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $N_J(w) \neq N_J(w')$ . Then we have  $v_{i_5} \in N_J(w) \cap N_J(w')$ . Assume that  $v_i$  is a common vertex of  $N_J(w)$  and  $N_J(w')$  other than  $v_{i_5}$ . Then  $G^*$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  with central vertex  $v_i$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N_J(w) \cap N_J(w') = \{v_{i_5}\}$ . For convenience, let  $N_J(w) = \{v_{i_5}, v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}\}$  and  $N_J(w') = \{v_{i_5}, v_{j_3}, v_{j_4}\}$ . We first consider  $\min\{d_{S_0}(v_{j_i}) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \geq 2$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $x_{v_{j_1}} + x_{v_{j_2}} \geq x_{v_{j_3}} + x_{v_{j_4}}$ . Then let  $G = G^* - \{w'v_{j_3}, w'v_{j_4}\} + \{w'v_{j_1}, w'v_{j_2}\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free, and has a larger spectral radius, a contradiction. The remaining case is  $\min\{d_{S_0}(v_{j_i}) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \leq 1$ . Assume  $d_{S_0}(v_{j_1}) = \min\{d_{S_0}(v_{j_i}) | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then  $d_{G^*}(v_{j_1}) \leq k(k+1)$ , as desired.  $\square$

---

\*Here we use *size* to denote the cardinality of a set

Now we come back to complete the proof for Subcase 2.2.

By Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10, if  $k \geq 4$ , then there is a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  such that  $d_{S_0}(v) \leq 1$ , and so  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k+1)$ . We proceed by considering  $k = 3$ . In this subcase, if there is a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  such that  $d_S(v) = 1$ , then  $d_{S_0}(v) \leq 1$  is obviously true, and so  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k+1)$ . So in what follows, we consider, for each  $v \in V(J)$ ,  $d_S(v) \geq 2$ .

By Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10, we may take  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in N_{S_0}(V(J))$  with  $N_J(w_1) = \{v_{i_1}\}$ ,  $N_J(w_2) = \{v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}\}$  and  $N_J(w_3) = \{v_{i_5}, v_{i_6}, v_{i_7}\}$ . If  $x_{v_{i_2}} + x_{v_{i_3}} \leq x_{v_{i_6}} + x_{v_{i_7}}$ , then we may construct  $G = G^* - \{w_2v_{i_2}, w_2v_{i_3}\} + \{w_2v_{i_6}, w_2v_{i_7}\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has a larger spectral radius than  $G^*$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $x_{v_{i_2}} + x_{v_{i_3}} > x_{v_{i_6}} + x_{v_{i_7}}$ . If  $v_{i_2} \approx v_{i_3}$ , then construct  $G = G^* - \{w'v_{i_6}, w'v_{i_7} \mid w' \in N_{S_0}(v_{i_6})\} + \{w'v_{i_2}, w'v_{i_3} \mid w' \in N_{S_0}(v_{i_6})\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has a larger spectral radius, a contradiction. If  $v_{i_2} \sim v_{i_3}$ , then  $J[\{v_{i_5}, v_{i_6}, v_{i_7}\}]$  contains two edges, and so  $v_{i_5} \sim v_{i_6}, v_{i_5} \sim v_{i_7}$ . Otherwise, there is an  $F_{2k+2}$  in  $G^*$  with central vertex  $v_{i_6}$  or  $v_{i_7}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $v_{i_2} \approx v_{i_5}$  and  $v_{i_3} \approx v_{i_5}$ . Then, construct  $G = G^* - \{w'v_{i_6}, w'v_{i_7} \mid w' \in N_{S_0}(v_{i_6})\} + \{w'v_{i_2}, w'v_{i_3} \mid w' \in N_{S_0}(v_{i_6})\}$ . Also,  $G$  is  $F_{2k+2}$ -free and has a larger spectral radius, a contradiction.

Therefore, we deduce that  $J$  contains a vertex  $v$  satisfying  $d_{G^*}(v) \leq k(k+1)$  for  $k \geq 3$ . Consequently,  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3.2. On the cardinalities of $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3$ and $\mathcal{J}_4$

In this subsection, we determine the cardinalities, respectively, for  $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3$  and  $\mathcal{J}_4$ . For convenience, we give a partition of  $R \setminus R^c$ : Let  $Q = \{u \in R \setminus R^c \mid d_R(u) \leq k-2\}$  and  $P = R \setminus (R^c \cup Q)$ . Denote  $|P| = p$ ,  $|Q| = q$  for simplicity.

**Lemma 3.5.**  $|\mathcal{J}_1| = 1$  and  $|\mathcal{J}_2| = |\mathcal{J}_3| = |\mathcal{J}_4| = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $|\mathcal{J}_1| \geq 2$ , by Lemma 2.5, we obtain  $\eta(R) \leq (\gamma+1)|\mathcal{J}_1| < \gamma$ , contradicting (2.5). Then we suppose that  $|\mathcal{J}_1| = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_2 \cup \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$  and so  $|J| \leq 2k$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Further by Lemmas 2.6, 3.3 and 3.4, we know that  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{J}| \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 2.4,  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) < -\frac{k(k-1)}{2} = \gamma$ , contradicting (2.5). Combine with  $R^c = \cup_{J \in \mathcal{J}} V(J)$ , one has  $|R^c| \leq k^2(k-1)$  and  $e(R^c) \leq (k - \frac{1}{2})|R^c|$ .

By the definition of  $(k-1)$ -core,  $P \cup Q$  admits a vertex ordering  $u_1, \dots, u_{p+q}$  such that  $d_{R_i}(u_i) \leq k-2$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$ , where  $R_1 = R$  and  $R_i = R_{i-1} \setminus \{u_{i-1}\}$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Since the  $k$ -core is well-defined and  $d_R(u) \leq k-2$  for each  $u \in Q$ , we may assume that  $Q = \{u_1, \dots, u_q\}$  and  $P = \{u_{q+1}, \dots, u_{p+q}\}$ . By the definition of  $(k-1)$ -core, one may easily see  $e(P) + e(P, R^c) = \sum_{i=q+1}^{p+q} d_{R_i}(u_i)$ , and so  $e(P) + e(P, R^c) \leq (k-2)p$ .

Observe  $\sum_{u \in P \cup R^c} d_R(u) \leq e(P \cup R^c) + e(R)$ , where  $e(P \cup R^c) = e(P) + e(P, R^c) + e(R^c)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(R) &= \sum_{u \in Q} (d_R(u) - k + 1)x_u + \sum_{u \in P \cup R^c} (d_R(u) - k + 1)x_u - e(R) \\ &\leq - \sum_{u \in Q} x_u + e(P) + e(P, R^c) + e(R^c) - (k-1)(p + |R^c|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\eta(R) \leq - \sum_{u \in Q} x_u - p + \frac{|R^c|}{2}$ . In view of (2.5), we know that  $\eta(R) \geq \gamma = -\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ .

Thus  $\sum_{u \in Q} x_u \leq \frac{k^2 - k}{2} - p + \frac{|R^c|}{2}$ . Recall that  $|R^c| \leq k^2(k-1)$ . Then

$$\lambda = \lambda x_{u^*} = \sum_{u \in Q} x_u + \sum_{u \in P} x_u + \sum_{u \in R^c} x_u \leq \frac{3k^3 - 2k^2 - k}{2},$$

which contradicts  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{J}_1| = 1$ , as desired.

We now prove  $|\mathcal{J}_2| = 0$ . By Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, 3.3 and 3.4, one has  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -(k-1)$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_2$ ,  $\eta(V(J)) \leq \gamma + 1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_1$  and  $\eta(V(J)) \leq -1$  for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_3 \cup \mathcal{J}_4$ . As  $|\mathcal{J}_1| \geq 1$ , if  $|\mathcal{J}_2| \geq 1$ , then  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) \leq \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_1} \eta(V(J)) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_2} \eta(V(J)) < \gamma$ , contradicting (2.5). Thus we obtain  $|\mathcal{J}_2| = 0$ .

Now we show that  $|\mathcal{J}_3| = |\mathcal{J}_4| = 0$ . If  $|\mathcal{J}_3| + |\mathcal{J}_4| \geq 2$ , then combine  $|\mathcal{J}_1| \geq 1$  with Lemmas 2.5, 3.3 and 3.4, one has  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) \leq \gamma - 1 < \gamma$ , a contradiction. If  $|\mathcal{J}_3| + |\mathcal{J}_4| = 1$ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $|\mathcal{J}_3| = 1, |\mathcal{J}_4| = 0$ . Let  $J$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{J}_1$ .

If  $J \not\cong S_{|J|, k-1}^+$ , by Lemma 2.5 we obtain  $\eta(V(J)) \leq \gamma$ . Thus  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) \leq \eta(V(J)) + \sum_{\hat{J} \in \mathcal{J}_3} \eta(V(\hat{J})) \leq \gamma - 1 < \gamma$ , a contradiction.

If  $J \cong S_{|J|, k-1}^+$  and there exists a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  with  $d_J(v) \geq k$  and  $x_v < 1$ , then by (2.3), we have  $\eta(V(J)) < \sum_{u \in V(J)} (d_J(u) - k + 1) - e(J) = \gamma + 1$ . By Lemmas 2.4 and 3.3, we obtain  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) \leq \eta(V(J)) + \sum_{\hat{J} \in \mathcal{J}_3} \eta(V(\hat{J})) < (\gamma + 1) - 1 = \gamma$ , a contradiction.

If  $J \cong S_{|J|, k-1}^+$  and  $x_v = 1$  for each  $v \in V(J)$  with  $d_J(v) \geq k$ , by (2.3) one sees  $\eta(V(J)) = \gamma + 1$ . By Lemma 3.3, we obtain  $\eta(R^c) \leq \eta(V(J)) + \sum_{\hat{J} \in \mathcal{J}_3} \eta(V(\hat{J})) \leq \gamma$ . Recall that  $\eta(R^c) \geq \eta(R) \geq \gamma$ . Then one has  $\eta(R^c) = \eta(R) = \gamma$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.4 one has  $R = R^c$ , and by (2.4) and (2.5), we also have  $e(S) = 0$  and  $x_w = 1$  for each  $w \in S$ . In what follows, we show that  $S = \emptyset$ .

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex  $w \in S$  such that  $x_w = x_{u^*} = 1$ . Combining  $e(S) = 0$ , we have  $N(w) = N(u^*)$ . Thus  $G^*[\{u^*, w\} \cup R]$  contains a  $P_{2k+1} = wv_1v_2u_2v_3 \dots u_{k-1}v_k u^*v_{k+1}$ , where  $v_1 \sim v_2$  and each vertex in  $\{u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$  is of degree  $|J| - 1$  in  $J$ . Then  $F_{2k+2}$  is a subgraph of  $G^*[\{u^*, w\} \cup R]$ , a contradiction. So we obtain  $S = \emptyset$ . Note that there is a vertex  $v \in V(J)$  such that  $d_J(v) = k$ . Thus  $d(v) = 1 + k$ . Together with  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq \frac{3}{2}k^3 + 2k^2 + 14k$  and  $k \geq 3$ , we have  $x_v \leq \frac{k+1}{\lambda} < 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|\mathcal{J}_3| = |\mathcal{J}_4| = 0$ , as desired.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorems 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9, respectively. Theorem 1.7 determines the unique graph among  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$  having the largest spectral radius, which deduces Conjecture 1.6 directly. Theorem 1.8 characterizes the unique graph among  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{k,3})$  having the largest spectral radius, which deduces Conjecture 1.5 directly. Theorem 1.9 identifies the graphs among  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q})$  for  $q \geq p \geq 3$  having the largest spectral radius, which resolves Problem 2 for  $q + p \geq 7$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Recall  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{J \in \mathcal{J} : |J| \geq 2k + 1\}$ . In view of Lemma 3.5,  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{R^c\}$  and then  $|R^c| \geq 2k + 1$ . In the following, we further prove that  $G^*[R^c] \in \mathcal{L}_{|R^c|, k-1}$ .

**Claim 11.**  $G^*[R^c] \in \mathcal{L}_{|R^c|, k-1}$ .



*Proof.* If  $G^*[R^c] \in \mathcal{J}_1 \setminus (\{S_{|R^c|,k-1}^+\} \cup \mathcal{L}_{|R^c|,k-1})$ , then by Lemma 2.5, we have  $\eta(R) < \gamma$ , a contradiction. Now we consider  $G^*[R^c] \cong S_{|R^c|,k-1}^+$ . Let  $R_1 = \{u_1, \dots, u_{|R_1|}\}$  be the set of dominating vertices in  $S_{|R^c|,k-1}^+$ , and  $R_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|R_2|}\}$  be the set  $R^c \setminus R_1$ . It is clear that  $|R_1| = k - 1$  and  $|R_2| \geq k + 2$ . Moreover, let  $v_1 v_2$  be the unique edge within  $R_2$ . Note that  $d_{R^c}(u) = k$  for  $u \in \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $d_{R^c}(u) = k - 1$  for  $u \in R_2 \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$  and  $d_{R^c}(u) = |R^c| - 1$  for  $u \in R_1$ . Since  $\gamma = -\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$  and  $e(R^c) = \binom{k-1}{2} + (k-1)(|R^c| - k + 1) + 1$ , by (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(R^c) &= \sum_{u \in R_1} (d_{R^c}(u) - k + 1)x_u + \sum_{u \in \{v_1, v_2\}} (d_{R^c}(u) - k + 1)x_u - e(R^c) \\ &= (k-1)(|R^c| - k) - (|R^c| - k) \sum_{u \in R_1} (1 - x_u) + x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} - e(R^c) \\ &= \gamma - 1 + x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} - (|R^c| - k)(k - 1 - \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

If  $x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} < 1$ , then by (4.12),  $\eta(R^c) < \gamma$ , and so by Lemma 2.4,  $\eta(R) < \gamma$ , a contradiction to (2.5). In the following, we consider  $x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} \geq 1$ .

Note that both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  have no neighbor in  $R \setminus R^c$ . Otherwise,  $N_{G^*}(u^*)$  contains a path of order  $2k + 1$ , and so  $G^*$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\lambda(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) = x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} + 2x_{u^*} + 2 \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u + \sum_{w \in N_S(\{v_1, v_2\})} d_{\{v_1, v_2\}}(w)x_w$ , and so  $e(S, \{v_1, v_2\}) \geq (\lambda - 1)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) - 2k$ .

Let  $w \in N_S(\{v_1, v_2\})$ . Clearly,  $N_{R_1}(w) = \emptyset$ ; Otherwise,  $G^*$  contains an  $F_{2k+2}$  whose central vertex is in  $N_{R_1}(w)$ , a contradiction. That is to say,  $d_{R_1}(w) = 0$  for all  $w \in N_S(\{v_1, v_2\})$ . Then for all  $w \in N_S(\{v_1, v_2\})$  with  $d_S(w) = 0$ , one has  $\lambda x_w \leq \lambda x_{u^*} - \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u = \lambda - \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u$ , and so  $x_w \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u$ . By (2.5) and (4.12), one has  $e(S) \leq 1$ . Combining with  $e(S, \{v_1, v_2\}) \geq (\lambda - 1)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) - 2k$  and  $x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} \geq 1$  yields  $e(\hat{S}, \{v_1, v_2\}) \geq (\lambda - 1)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) - 2k - 4 \geq (\lambda - 2k - 5)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2})$ , where  $\hat{S} = \{w \in S | d_S(w) = 0\}$ .

Now by (2.4) and (2.5),  $\eta(R) \geq \gamma + \frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda}(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u$ . Combining with (4.12), one has

$$\frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda}(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u \leq -1 + x_{v_1} + x_{v_2} - (|R^c| - k)(k - 1 - \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u),$$

and so

$$\left( \frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda} \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u - 1 \right) (x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) \leq -(|R^c| - k)(k - 1 - \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u) - 1. \quad (4.13)$$

If  $\sum_{u \in R_1} x_u \leq k - \frac{3}{2}$ , then by (4.13), we obtain  $-2 < (\frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda} \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u - 1)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(|R^c| - k) - 1 \leq -\frac{k+3}{2}$ , a contradiction. If  $k - \frac{3}{2} < \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u \leq k - 1$ , then by (4.13), we obtain  $(\frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda} \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u - 1)(x_{v_1} + x_{v_2}) < 0$ , and so  $\frac{\lambda - 2k - 5}{\lambda}(k - \frac{3}{2}) - 1 < 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $G^*[R^c] \in \mathcal{L}_{|R^c|,k-1}$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we come back to show Theorem 1.7. Note that  $V(G) = \{u^*\} \cup R \cup S$ . It suffices to show  $S = \emptyset$  and  $G^*[R] \cong S_{|R|,k-1}$ .

By Lemma 11, we have  $G^*[R^c] \in \mathcal{L}_{|R^c|,k-1}$ . By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we get  $\eta(R) \leq \eta(R^c) \leq \gamma$ . By (2.5), we know that  $\eta(R) \geq e(S) + \gamma$ . Thus,  $e(S) = 0$  and  $\eta(R) = \eta(R^c) = \gamma$ . By Lemmas 2.4

and 2.5, one has  $R = R^c$ , and  $x_u = 1$  for each  $u \in R$  with  $d_R(u) \geq k$ . Also, combining with  $\eta(R) = \gamma$  and (2.4), (2.5), we have  $x_w = 1$  for each  $w \in S$ .

Next we show  $S = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, let  $w_0$  be in  $S$ . Then  $x_{w_0} = 1 = x_{u^*}$ . Since  $e(S) = 0$ , we have  $N(w_0) \subseteq N(u^*)$ , and so  $N(w_0) = N(u^*)$ . Note that  $G^*[R] \in \mathcal{L}_{|R|,k-1}$ , that is,  $G^*[R]$  is obtained from  $S_{|R|,k-1}^+$  by deleting some edge  $e^*$ . One may still partition  $R$  into  $R_1 \cup R_2$ , where  $R_1 = \{u_1, \dots, u_{|R_1|}\}$  and  $R_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|R_2|}\}$ .

- $k = 3$  and  $e^* = u_1u_2$ . If  $|S| = 1$ , then  $S = \{w_0\}$  and  $e^* = u_1u_2$ . So we have  $\lambda = \lambda x_{v_1} \leq 5$ , which contradicts  $\lambda > \sqrt{m} \geq 100$ . If  $|S| \geq 2$ , then there is an  $F_8$  in  $G^*$ , a contradiction.

- $k = 3, e^* \neq u_1u_2$ , or  $k \geq 4$ . No matter how  $e^*$  is chosen, there always exists a vertex subset  $R'_2 \subseteq R_2$  of size  $(k + 2)$  such that  $G^*[\{u^*, w_0\} \cup R_1 \cup R'_2]$  contains a spanning subgraph being isomorphic to  $F_{2k+2}$ , a contradiction.

Now, we show  $G^*[R] \cong S_{|R|,k-1}$ , and more precisely, we show that  $e^* = v_1v_2$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $e^* \neq v_1v_2$ . Then there must exist an  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $d_R(v_i) = k$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $d_R(v_1) = k$ . Recall that  $x_u = 1 = x_{u^*}$  for each  $u \in R$  with  $d_R(u) \geq k$ . Consequently,  $N_{G^*}[u] = N_{G^*}[u^*]$  for each  $u \in R$  with  $d_R(u) \geq k$ . Hence,  $N_{G^*}[v_1] = N_{G^*}[u^*]$ , which implies  $v_1$  is adjacent to each vertex of  $R_2$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $R_2$ . Therefore,  $e^* = v_1v_2$  and so  $G^*[R] \cong S_{|R|,k-1}$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Recall that  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{G}(m, F_{k,3}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$  and there is no  $F_{k,3}$  in  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . By Theorem 1.7, we obtain that if  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, F_{k,3})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . This completes the proof. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** Recall that  $k \geq 3$  and  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ . When  $q \geq p \geq 3, s \geq r \geq 3, p + q = 2k + 1$  and  $r + s = 2k + 2$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q}) \cup \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,s,t}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(m, F_{2k+2})$  and there is no  $\theta_{1,p,q}$  or  $\theta_{1,s,t}$  in  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . By Theorem 1.7, we obtain that if  $G \in \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q}) \cup \mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,r,s})$ , then  $\lambda(G) \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{4m-k^2+1}}{2}$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_k \vee (\frac{m}{k} - \frac{k-1}{2})K_1$ . This completes the proof. □

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we mainly consider some spectral extrema of graphs with fixed size. On the one hand, we characterize the  $F_{2k+2}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the largest spectral radius (see Theorem 1.7). On the other hand, we also determine the  $F_{k,3}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the largest spectral radius. It confirms Conjecture 1.5. One may see the following fact:  $C_{2k+1} \subseteq \theta_{1,2,2k-1} \subseteq F_{2k+1}, C_{2k+2} \subseteq \theta_{1,2,2k} \subseteq F_{2k+2}$  and  $F_{2k+1} \subseteq F_{2k+2}$ . Hence, Conjecture 1.3 can be implied by Conjecture 1.4, and Conjecture 1.4 can also be derived by Conjecture 1.6. It is easy to see that Theorem 1.7 is stronger than Conjecture 1.6 for  $k \geq 3$ . Consequently, the main results [17, Theorems 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6] are direct consequences of Theorem 1.7 when  $m \geq \frac{9}{4}k^6 + 6k^5 + 46k^4 + 56k^3 + 196k^2$ .

It is interesting to see that we identify the  $\theta_{1,p,q}$ -free graph of size  $m$  having the largest spectral radius, where  $q \geq p \geq 3$  and  $p + q \geq 7$ , which resolves Problem 2 for  $p + q \geq 7$ . Together with [12, 17, 22, 27], all the graphs in  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,p,q}) \setminus \{\theta_{1,3,3}\}$  having the largest spectral radius were

determined, where  $q \geq p \geq 2$ . Hence, we propose the following three natural and interesting problems.

**Problem 3.** *How can we characterize the graphs among  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{1,3,3})$  having the largest spectral radius?*

**Problem 4.** *How can we characterize the graphs among  $\mathcal{G}(m, \theta_{r,p,q})$  having the largest spectral radius for  $q \geq p \geq r \geq 2$ ?*

## References

- [1] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov, Turán numbers of bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type questions, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 12 (2003) 477-494.
- [2] A. Bhattacharya, S. Friedland, U.N. Peled, On the first eigenvalue of bipartite graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 15 (1) (2008) Paper 144, 23 pp.
- [3] R.A. Brualdi, A.J. Hoffman, On the spectral radius of (0,1)-matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 65 (1985) 133-146.
- [4] Y.F. Chen, H.L. Fu, I.J. Kim, E. Stehr, B. Watts, On the largest eigenvalues of bipartite graphs which are nearly complete, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010) 606-614.
- [5] K.C. Das, I.N. Cangul, A.D. Maden, A.S. Cevik, On the spectral radius of bipartite graphs which are nearly complete, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013, 2013:121.
- [6] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 10 (1959) 337-356.
- [7] S. Friedland, The maximal eigenvalue of 0-1 matrices with prescribed number of ones, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 69 (1985) 33-69.
- [8] C. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, vol. 207 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [9] X.C. He, L.H. Feng, D. Stevanović, The maximum spectral radius of graph with a large core, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 39 (2023) 78-89.
- [10] V. Nikiforov, The maximum spectral radius of  $C_4$ -free graphs of given order and size, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (11-12) (2009) 2898-2905.
- [11] V. Nikiforov, Maxima of the  $Q$ -index: Degenerate graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 27 (2014) 250-257.
- [12] V. Nikiforov, On a theorem of Nosal, arXiv: 2104.12171.
- [13] E. Nosal, *Eigenvalues of graphs* (Master's thesis), University of Calgary, 1970.
- [14] C. Lee, Ramsey numbers of degenerate graphs. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 185 (3) (2017) 791-829.
- [15] S.C. Li, W.T. Sun, Y.T. Yu, Adjacency eigenvalues of graphs without short odd cycles, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112633
- [16] S.C. Li, Y.T. Yu, Spectral extrema of graphs with fixed size: forbidden triangles and pentagons, *Discrete Math.* 347 (2024) 114151
- [17] X. Li, M.Q. Zhai, J.L. Shu, A Brualdi-Hoffman-Turán problem on cycles, *European J. Combin.* 120 (2024) 103966.
- [18] Y.T. Li, Y.J. Peng, The maximum spectral radius of non-bipartite graphs for bidding short odd cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 29 (4) (2022), # P4.2.
- [19] Y.T. Li, L. Lu, Y.J. Peng, Spectral extremal graphs for the bowtie, *Discrete Math.* 346 (2023) 113680.
- [20] H.Q. Lin, B. Ning, B.Y.D.R. Wu, Eigenvalues and triangles in graphs, *Comb. Probab. Comput.* 30 (2) (2021) 258-270.
- [21] Z.Z. Lou, L. Lu, X.Y. Huang, Spectral radius of graphs with given size and odd girth, *Electron. J. Combin.* 31 (1) (2024), #P1.58.
- [22] J.Y. Lu, L. Lu, Y.T. Li, Spectral radius of graphs forbidden  $C_7$  or  $C_6^\Delta$ , *Discrete Math.* 347 (2) (2024) 113781.
- [23] P. Rowlinson, On the maximal index of graphs with a prescribed number of edges, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 110 (1988) 43-53.
- [24] S.B. Seidman, Network structure and minimum degree. *Social Netw.* 5 (1983) 269-287.

- [25] R.P. Stanley, A bound on the spectral radius of graphs with  $e$  edges. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 87 (1987) 267-269.
- [26] W.T. Sun, S.C. Li, The maximum spectral radius of  $\{C_3, C_5\}$ -free graphs of given size, *Discrete Math.* 346 (2023) 113440.
- [27] W.T. Sun, S.C. Li, W. Wei, Extensions on spectral extrema of  $C_5/C_6$ -free graphs with given size, *Discrete Math.* 346 (2023) 113591.
- [28] Y.T. Yu, X.Y. Geng, S.C. Li, An  $A_\alpha$ -spectral version of the Bhattacharya-Friedland-Peled conjecture, submitted to E-JC.
- [29] L.J. Yu, Y.T. Li, Y.J. Peng, Spectral extremal graphs for fan graphs, arXiv:2404.03423v1.
- [30] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.
- [31] M.Q. Zhai, H.Q. Lin, J.L. Shu, Spectral extrema of graphs with fixed size: cycles and complete bipartite graphs, *European J. Combin.* 95 (2021) 103322.
- [32] M.Q. Zhai, H.Q. Lin, Y.H. Zhao, Maximize the  $Q$ -index of graphs with fixed order and size, *Discrete Math.* 345 (1) (2022) 112669.
- [33] M.Q. Zhai, J.L. Shu, A spectral version of Mantel's theorem, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112630.
- [34] Y.T. Zhang, L.G. Wang, On the spectral radius of graphs without a gem, *Discrete Math.* 347 (2024) 114171