Lie symmetries, closed-form solutions, and conservation laws of a constitutive equation modeling stress in elastic materials

Rehana Naz^{1*}, Willy Hereman²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, Lahore School of
Economics, Lahore 53200, Pakistan

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Colorado School of Mines,
Golden CO 80401-1887, USA

*Corresponding author: drrehana@lahoreschool.edu.pk

Abstract

The Lie-point symmetry method is used to find some closed-form solutions for a constitutive equation modeling stress in elastic materials. The partial differential equation (PDE), which involves a power law with arbitrary exponent n, was investigated by Mason and his collaborators (Magan et al., Wave Motion, 77, 156-185, 2018). The Lie algebra for the model is five-dimensional for the shearing exponent n > 0, and it includes translations in time, space, and displacement, as well as time-dependent changes in displacement and a scaling symmetry. Several reductions and closed-form solutions for the model are established by utilizing different combinations of Lie symmetries, both for general n and for the specific case where n = 1. Furthermore, it is shown that for general n > 0 the model has interesting conservation laws which are computed with symbolic software using the scaling symmetry of the given PDE.

Key words: Lie-point symmetries, closed-form solutions, conservation laws, symbolic computation

1 Introduction

In this paper we perform a Lie symmetry analysis, compute closed-form solutions, and conservation laws of a constitutive equation investigated by Kannan et al. [1] and Mason et al. [2]. In non-dimensional form the governing partial differential equations (PDEs), which model stress and displacement in elastic materials, read

$$\sigma_y = \chi u_{tt},\tag{1}$$

$$u_y = \frac{1}{\gamma}\sigma(\beta + \sigma^2)^n,\tag{2}$$

where $\sigma(y,t)$ is the shear stress and u(y,t) is displacement. Furthermore, y and t are a spatial variable and time, respectively, and subscripts denote partial derivatives, e.g., $u_{tt} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$. Parameter χ is a real constant and $n \geq 0$ is a shearing exponent which can be an integer or rational number. An auxiliary constant parameter β has been introduced t to make the system scaling homogeneous as explained in Section 4. The reciprocal of χ , i.e., $\frac{1}{\chi} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$, is the displacement gradient which involves two material parameters α and γ . We do not assume that the displacement gradient is small which would allow one to find solutions with a perturbation method as was done in [2]. We also exclude the case n = 0 because we focus on nonlinear models of type (1)-(2).

The dependent variable u (and simultaneously parameter χ) can be eliminated by replacing (1)-(2) with

$$\sigma_{yy} = \left(\sigma(\beta + \sigma^2)^n\right)_{tt},\tag{3}$$

which is a single hyperbolic PDE describing shear stress waves. Due to the presence of an arbitrary exponent n, Magan [3] called (1)-(2) a power-law constitutive equation due to its analogy with constitutive equations in fluid dynamics (see, e.g., [4,5]). Therefore, the methodology used in our paper also applies to fluid dynamics as well as to *nonlinear* wave equations of type (3) wherever they arise.

We use the Lie group methods to establish closed-form solutions and some conservation laws for the coupled non-linear PDEs (1)-(2). The exploration of closed-form solutions through Lie group methods is vast and well-established in the academic literature. Seminal books on the subject include [6,7,8,9,10]. Several symbolic packages are developed to derive the Lie symmetries and handle various tasks related to Lie group methods [11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20].

To compute conservation laws, we use a direct approach based on the scaling symmetry of the original PDE system. As far we know, the conversation laws we have found are new and the most complicated ones might be hard to compute with the multiplier method [21,22,23] or partial Lagrangian technique [24]. Regardless of the method used, computing conservation laws is a non-trivial matter, in particular, for systems involving an arbitrary exponent (n). The computations could likely not have been done without the use of specialized symbolic software packages such as ConservationLawsMD.m [25] developed by Poole and Hereman [26], Cheviakov's Maple code GeM [7,15,27], and the Maple based package SADE [19].

¹ One can set $\beta = 1$ in the results of the computations.

To date, even the most sophisticated codes only work for systems where all variables have fixed exponents. Therefore, some interactive work, insight, and ingenuity are required to find conservation laws for parameter-dependent systems, in particular, those with arbitrary exponents. Once the general forms of the densities and fluxes are established, testing that they satisfy the conservation law is straightforward but can be cumbersome and is prone to errors if done by hand. All conservation laws presented in this paper were verified independently with ConservationLawsMD.m [25] and the ConservedCurrentTest option of the package PDETools developed by Cheb-Terrab and von Bülow [14], now built into Maple.

The research presented in this paper is very much in the spirit of some of the work that Mason has done throughout his illustrious career. Using the Lie symmetry method, Mason and his collaborators have derived closed-form solutions and conservation laws of numerous differential equations (some involving power laws) arising in mechanics and fluid mechanics (see, e.g., [3,4,28,29,30]. However, the method has also been successfully applied to mathematical models in, e.g., economics, epidemiology, and other areas of applied mathematics [31,32,33].

The paper is organized as follows. The Lie-point symmetry generators for (1)-(2) are computed in Section 2. Using different combinations of Lie symmetries, in Section 3 the PDEs are reduced to ODEs for which closed-form solutions are computed. Section 4 covers the computation of conservation laws using the scaling homogeneity approach. The results are briefly discussed in Section 5 where also a few topics for future work are mentioned. Finally, in Section 6 the authors express their gratitude to Prof. David Mason.

2 Lie-point symmetries for system (1)-(2)

In this section we compute the Lie-point symmetries of (1)-(2). To perform a Lie symmetry analysis [6,7,8,9,10] we write the system as

$$E^{1}(y, t, \sigma, u, \sigma_{y}, u_{tt}) = 0, \quad E^{2}(y, t, \sigma, u, u_{y}) = 0,$$
 (4)

where

$$E^{1} = \sigma_{y} - \chi u_{tt}, \quad E^{2} = u_{y} - \frac{1}{\chi} \sigma (1 + \sigma^{2})^{n},$$
 (5)

after setting $\beta = 1$.

A symmetry infinitesimal generator for (1)-(2) is of the form

$$X = \xi^{1}(y, t, \sigma, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \xi^{2}(y, t, \sigma, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta^{1}(y, t, \sigma, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \eta^{2}(y, t, \sigma, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$
(6)

and is derived from the following equations (also known as the invariance conditions [6,7,8,9,10]):

$$\begin{cases} X^{[2]}E^1 \mid_{(E^1=0, E^2=0)} = 0, \\ X^{[2]}E^2 \mid_{(E^1=0, E^2=0)} = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (7)

where $X^{[2]}$ is the second prolongation of generator X, given by

$$X^{[2]} = X + \zeta_y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_y} + \zeta_y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_y} + \zeta_t^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_t} + \zeta_t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} + \zeta_{tt}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} + \zeta_{tt}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} + \zeta_{tt}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} + \zeta_{tt}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} + \zeta_{tt}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{tt}}.$$
(8)

As usual, the expressions of the coordinates ζ_y^1 , ζ_t^1 , ζ_{yy}^1 , \cdots , ζ_y^2 , etc., are written as (see, e.g., [6,7,8,9,10])

$$\zeta_{y}^{1} = D_{y}(\eta^{1}) - \sigma_{y}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - \sigma_{t}D_{y}(\xi^{2}), \quad \zeta_{t}^{1} = D_{t}(\eta^{1}) - \sigma_{y}D_{t}(\xi^{1}) - \sigma_{t}D_{t}(\xi^{2}),
\zeta_{y}^{2} = D_{y}(\eta^{2}) - u_{y}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - u_{t}D_{y}(\xi^{2}), \quad \zeta_{t}^{2} = D_{t}(\eta^{2}) - u_{y}D_{t}(\xi^{1}) - u_{t}D_{t}(\xi^{2}),
\zeta_{yy}^{1} = D_{y}(\zeta_{y}^{1}) - \sigma_{yy}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - \sigma_{yt}D_{y}(\xi^{2}), \quad \zeta_{yy}^{2} = D_{y}(\zeta_{y}^{2}) - u_{yy}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - u_{yt}D_{y}(\xi^{2}),
\zeta_{ty}^{1} = D_{y}(\zeta_{t}^{1}) - \sigma_{yt}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - \sigma_{tt}D_{y}(\xi^{2}), \quad \zeta_{ty}^{2} = D_{y}(\zeta_{t}^{2}) - u_{yt}D_{y}(\xi^{1}) - u_{tt}D_{y}(\xi^{2}),
\zeta_{tt}^{1} = D_{t}(\zeta_{t}^{1}) - \sigma_{yt}D_{t}(\xi^{1}) - \sigma_{tt}D_{t}(\xi^{2}), \quad \zeta_{tt}^{2} = D_{t}(\zeta_{t}^{2}) - u_{yt}D_{t}(\xi^{1}) - u_{tt}D_{t}(\xi^{2}). \quad (9)$$

The total derivative operators D_y and D_t are defined by

$$D_{y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sigma_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + u_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sigma_{yy} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{y}} + u_{yy} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}} + \sigma_{yt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{t}} + u_{yt} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}} + \dots$$
 (10)

and

$$D_{t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sigma_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + u_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sigma_{tt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{t}} + u_{tt} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}} + \sigma_{yt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{u}} + u_{yt} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{u}} + \dots, \quad (11)$$

The system (7) is separated according to the derivatives of σ and u to yield an overdetermined system of linear PDEs for the unknown coefficients ξ^1 , ξ^2 , η^1 and η^2 . More efficiently than doing it by hand, the determining equations for the Lie-point symmetries can be computed with symbolic software packages [11,12,17] such as [13,15,19]. We used Maple-based package DESOLV II [20], which works for arbitrary n, to get

$$\xi_t^1 = 0, \quad \xi_\sigma^1 = 0, \quad \xi_u^1 = 0, \quad \xi_u^2 = 0, \quad \xi_\sigma^2 = 0, \quad \xi_u^2 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\xi_{tt}^2 - 2\eta_{ut}^2 = 0$$
, $\eta_{\sigma}^2 = 0$, $\eta_{uu}^2 = 0$, $2\xi_t^2 - \xi_u^1 + \eta_{\sigma}^1 - \eta_u^2 = 0$, (13)

$$\sigma(\sigma^2 + 1)^n \eta_u^1 + \chi \eta_u^1 - \chi^2 \eta_{tt}^2 = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma(1+\sigma^2)^{n+1}(\xi_y^1-\eta_u^2)+(1+\sigma^2)^n(1+(2n+1)\sigma^2)\eta^1-\chi(1+\sigma^2)\eta_y^2=0.$$
 (15)

System (12)-(13) can be solved straightforwardly (by hand or with symbolic software) yielding the following general solution:

$$\xi^{1} = G_{1}(y), \ \xi^{2} = G_{2}(t)$$

$$\eta^{1} = \left(G'_{1}(y) - \frac{3}{2}G'_{2}(t) + G_{3}(y)\right)\sigma + G_{5}(y, t, u),$$

$$\eta^{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}G'_{2}(t) + G_{3}(y)\right)u + G_{4}(y, t),$$
(16)

where $G_1(y)$, $G_2(t)$, $G_3(y)$, $G_4(y,t)$ and $G_5(y,t,u)$ are arbitrary functions. The remaining equations, i.e., (14) and (15), then take the following form

$$G_{5u}(y,t,u)(\sigma^2+1)^n \sigma + \chi \Big(G_1''(y) + G_3'(y)\Big) \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \chi^2 G_2'''(t) u + \chi G_{5u}(y,t,u) - \chi^2 G_{4tt}(y,t) = 0,$$
(17)

and

$$\left(2nG_3(y) + 2(n+1)G_1'(y) - (3n+2)G_2'(t)\right)\sigma^3(\sigma^2 + 1)^n
+ \left((2n+1)G_5(y,t,u)\sigma^2 + 2(G_1'(y) - G_2'(t))\sigma + G_5(y,t,u)\right)(\sigma^2 + 1)^n
- \chi\left(G_{4y}(y,t)\sigma^2 + G_3'(y)\sigma^2u + G_3'(y)u + G_{4y}(y,t)\right) = 0. (18)$$

When (18) is separated with respect to $\sigma^2(\sigma^2+1)^n$, where n is a natural number, it yields $G_5=0$. After substituting $G_5=0$ into equations (17) and (18), it becomes possible to separate those with respect to different combinations of u and σ , allowing one to find $G_1(y)$, $G_2(t)$, $G_3(y)$, and $G_4(y,t)$. Indeed, (17) and (18) lead to

$$G_1''(y) + G_3'(y) = 0, \quad G_2'''(t) = 0, \quad G_{4tt}(y,t) = 0,$$
 (19)

$$n\left(G_3(y) + G_1'(y) - \frac{3}{2}G_2'(t)\right) = 0,$$
 (20)

$$G_1'(y) - G_2'(t) = 0, \quad G_{4y}(y,t) = 0, \quad G_3'(y) = 0.$$
 (21)

Solving (19)-(21) then results in

$$G_1(y) = m_1 + m_5 y$$
, $G_2(t) = m_2 + m_5 t$, $G_3(y) = \frac{1}{2} m_5$, $G_4(y, t) = m_3 + m_4 t$, (22)

where the m_i $(i=1,\dots,5)$ are arbitrary constants. The final expressions for $\xi^1, \, \xi^2, \, \eta^1$ and η^2 then are

$$\xi^1 = m_1 + m_5 y, \ \xi^2 = m_2 + m_5 t, \ \eta^1 = 0, \ \eta^2 = m_3 + m_4 t + m_5 u.$$
 (23)

Hence, the following Lie symmetries are obtained for (1)-(2) for the case when

n is a natural number:

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \ X_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \ X_4 = t\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \ X_5 = y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$
 (24)

The generators X_1 and X_2 represent translations in time y and space t, respectively. The displacement u(y,t) appears linearly in the model, and thus X_3 corresponds to a translation in the variable u.

 X_4 corresponds to time-dependent changes in displacement under the transformation $(y, t, \sigma, u) \rightarrow (y, t, \sigma, u + at)$, where a is an arbitrary constant.

The generator X_5 corresponds to a scaling symmetry and expresses the scaling homogeneity of (1)-(2) under the transformation $(y, t, \sigma, u) \to (\frac{y}{\kappa}, \frac{t}{\kappa}, \sigma, \kappa u)$.

3 Reductions and closed-form solutions

In this section, we establish reductions of (1)-(2) with $\beta = 1$ to systems of ODEs, and, subsequently, derive closed-form solutions by utilizing different combinations of Lie symmetries. The most general symmetry generator is

$$X = a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + a_3 X_3 + a_4 X_4 + a_5 X_5, (25)$$

where a_i ($i = 1, \dots 5$) are arbitrary constants.

3.1 Closed-form solutions using the general symmetry generator

The invariance surface conditions for (25) yield

$$(a_1 + a_5 y)\sigma_y + (a_2 + a_5 t)\sigma_t = 0, (26)$$

$$(a_1 + a_5 y)u_y + (a_2 + a_5 t)u_t = a_3 + a_4 t + a_5 u.$$
(27)

Equations (26)-(27) are solved for $a_5 \neq 0$ and $a_5 = 0$ to establish the group invariant solution for (1)-(2). Without loss of generality, we can set $a_5 = 1$ to establish the following form of a group invariant solution:

$$\sigma(y,t) = F_1(\psi_1),$$

$$u(y,t) = F_2(\psi_1)(a_2+t) + \left(\ln(a_2+t)^{a_4(a_2+t)} + a_2a_4 - a_3\right),$$
(28)

where ψ_1 is the similarity variable defined as

$$\psi_1 = \frac{a_1 + y}{a_2 + t}. (29)$$

Substitution of (28) into (1)-(2) results in the following system of ODEs:

$$\chi \frac{dF_2}{d\psi_1} - \left(1 + F_1^2\right)^n F_1 = 0, \tag{30}$$

$$\chi \psi_1^2 \frac{d^2 F_2}{d\psi_1^2} - \frac{dF_1}{d\psi_1} + \chi a_4 = 0. \tag{31}$$

Differentiating (30) with respect to ψ_1 , yields

$$\chi \frac{d^2 F_2}{d\psi_1^2} = \frac{d}{d\psi_1} \left(\left(1 + F_1^2 \right)^n F_1 \right). \tag{32}$$

Putting (32) into (31), we get

$$\psi_1^2 \frac{d}{d\psi_1} \left(\left(1 + F_1^2 \right)^n F_1 \right) - \frac{dF_1}{d\psi_1} + \chi a_4 = 0.$$
 (33)

The solution of ODE (33) has the unknown function $F_1(\psi_1)$. Next, we insert $F_1(\psi_1)$ into (30) to find $F_2(\psi_1)$. It is important to mention that for $a_4 \neq 0$ the reduction of (1)-(2) to ODEs is possible. However, to successfully derive closed-form solutions we have to set $a_4 = 0$.

3.1.1 Case $a_4 = 0$ and general n

When $a_4 = 0$, (30) and (33) for general n yield

$$F_1(\psi_1) = C_1, \ F_2(\psi_1) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + C_1^2)^n C_1 \psi_1 + C_2,$$
 (34)

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants of integration.

Substituting $F_1(\psi_1)$ and $F_2(\psi_1)$ from (34) into (28), the final expressions for the group invariant solutions for the variables σ and u (for general n) are

$$\sigma(y,t) = C_1,$$

$$u(y,t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + C_1^2)^n C_1 y + C_2 t + \frac{1}{2}a_1 (1 + C_1^2)^n C_1 + C_2 a_2 - a_3.$$
(35)

Here $a_5 = 1$, but a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 can be zero or non-zero.

3.1.2 Case $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1

For $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1, we obtain two additional solutions:

$$F_{1}(\psi_{1}) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\psi_{1}^{2}} - 1},$$

$$F_{2}(\psi_{1}) = \mp \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\psi_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\psi_{1}^{2}} - 1} \mp \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \psi_{1}^{2}}}\right)$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{1 - \psi_{1}^{2}} + C_{3}, \tag{36}$$

where C_3 is an arbitrary constant of integration. Substituting $F_1(\psi_1)$ and $F_2(\psi_1)$ from (36) into (28), the final expressions for the group invariant solutions for σ and u (for n = 1 in (1)-(2)) are

$$\sigma(y,t) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{a_2 + t}{a_1 + y}\right)^2 - 1},$$

$$u(y,t) = (a_2 + t) \left(\mp \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{a_2 + t}{a_1 + y}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{a_2 + t}{a_1 + y}\right)^2 - 1}\right)$$

$$\mp \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{a_1 + y}{a_2 + t}\right)^2}}\right) \pm \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\frac{a_1 + y}{a_2 + t}\right)^2 + C_3\right) - a_3}. (37)$$

Recall that $a_5 = 1$, but a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 can be zero or non-zero.

3.2 Closed-form solutions for $a_5 = 0$

A similar procedure is carried out, for the case $a_5 = 0$ in (25), to establish the following group invariant solutions

$$\sigma(y,t) = F_3(\psi_2),$$

$$u(y,t) = \frac{1}{2a_2}(2a_3 + a_4t)t + F_4(\psi_2),$$
(38)

where ψ_2 is the similarity variable defined as

$$\psi_2 = y - \frac{a_1}{a_2}t,\tag{39}$$

where $a_2 \neq 0$.

Substitution of equation (38) into (1)-(2) then results in the following system of ODEs:

$$\chi \left(\frac{dF_4}{d\psi_2}\right) - \left(1 + F_3^2\right)^n F_3 = 0,$$
 (40)

$$\chi \left(\frac{d^2 F_4}{d\psi_2}\right) a_1^2 - \left(\frac{dF_3}{d\psi_2}\right) a_2^2 + \chi a_2 a_4 = 0. \tag{41}$$

Differentiating (40) with respect to ψ_2 yields

$$\chi \frac{d^2 F_4}{d\psi_2^2} = \frac{d}{d\psi_2} \left(\left(1 + F_3^2 \right)^n F_3 \right). \tag{42}$$

Using equation (42) in (41), we have

$$a_1^2 \frac{d}{d\psi_2} \left(\left(1 + F_3^2 \right)^n F_3 \right) - a_2^2 \left(\frac{dF_3}{d\psi_2} \right) + \chi a_2 a_4 = 0, \tag{43}$$

which, after integration yields with respect to ψ_2 , yields

$$a_1^2 \left(1 + F_3^2\right)^n F_3 - a_2^2 F_3 + \chi a_2 a_4 \psi_2 = C_4, \tag{44}$$

where C_4 is arbitrary constant of integration.

3.2.1 Case $a_4 = 0$ and general n

For $a_4 = 0$, equations (40) and (43) for general n yield

$$F_3(\psi_2) = C_5, \ F_4(\psi_2) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (1 + C_5^2)^n C_5 \psi_2 + C_6,$$
 (45)

where C_5 and C_6 are arbitrary constants of integration.

Substituting $F_3(\psi_2)$ and $F_4(\psi_2)$ from (45) into (38), the group invariant solutions for σ and u are

$$\sigma(y,t) = C_5,$$

$$u(y,t) = \frac{1}{\chi} (1 + C_5^2)^n C_5 (y - \frac{a_1}{a_2} t) + \frac{a_3}{a_2} t + C_6,$$
(46)

where $a_2 \neq 0$, but a_1 and a_3 can be zero or non-zero.

3.2.2 Case $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1

For $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1, we have two additional solutions

$$F_3(\psi_2) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{a_2^2 - a_1^2}}{\sqrt{3}a_1}, \ F_4(\psi_2) = \pm \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\sqrt{a_2^2 - a_1^2}}{3\sqrt{3}a_1^3} (a_2^2 + 2a_1^2)\psi_2 + C_7, \tag{47}$$

where $a_1 \neq 0$ and C_7 is an arbitrary constants of integration. Substituting $F_3(\psi_1)$ and $F_4(\psi_1)$ from (47) into (38), the group invariant solutions for σ and u (still for n = 1) are

$$\sigma(y,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{a_2^2 - a_1^2}}{\sqrt{3}a_1},$$

$$u(y,t) = \pm \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\sqrt{a_2^2 - a_1^2}}{3\sqrt{3}a_1^3} (a_2^2 + 2a_1^2)(y - \frac{a_1}{a_2}t) + \frac{a_3}{a_2}t + C_7,$$
(48)

where $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$ and a_3 can be zero or non-zero.

3.2.3 Case $a_2 = 0$ and general n

A similar procedure is carried out for the case when $a_5 = 0$ and $a_2 = 0$ in (25), yielding

$$\sigma(y,t) = F_5(t),$$

$$u(y,t) = \frac{1}{a_1}(a_3 + a_4t)y + F_6(t),$$
(49)

where $a_1 \neq 0$. The functions $F_5(t)$ and $F_6(t)$ satisfy

$$\chi(a_3 + a_4 t) - a_1 (1 + F_5^2)^n F_5 = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{d^2F_6}{dt^2} = 0, (51)$$

which yields $F_6(t) = C_8 t + C_9$ where C_8 and C_9 are arbitrary constants of integration. The final form of the group invariant solutions is then

$$\sigma(y,t) = F_5(t),$$

$$u(y,t) = \frac{1}{a_1}(a_3 + a_4t)y + C_8t + C_9,$$
(52)

where $F_5(t)$ satisfies (50).

3.3 Discussion of the exact solutions

Two scenarios were considered: $a_5 = 0$ and $a_5 \neq 0$, where a_5 is the constant in the most general symmetry generator (25) associated with the scaling symmetry. In the first scenario $a_5 \neq 0$, the most general Lie symmetry generator (25) transformed the system of PDEs into a more manageable system

of ODEs (30)-(31). The solution to the reduced system of ODEs is computed for $a_4 = 0$. Getting a solution for $a_4 \neq 0$ seemed currently out of reach. For the general shearing exponent n, a closed-form solution (35) is obtained for the shear stress $\sigma(y,t)$ and the displacement u(y,t). For $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1, two additional closed-form solutions (37) for $\sigma(y,t)$ and u(y,t) are established. The solutions for this scenario are valid when $a_5 \neq 0$ and $a_4 = 0$, while a_1, a_2 , and a_3 can be either zero or non-zero.

For the second scenario, when $a_5 = 0$, system (1)-(2) transforms into the system of ODEs (40)-(41). The closed-form solution for the subcase $a_4 = 0$ and general n is given in (46), which is valid for $a_5 = 0$, $a_4 = 0$, and $a_2 \neq 0$, where again a_1 and a_3 can be either zero or not.

In the subcase where $a_4 = 0$ and n = 1, two additional solutions (48) exist, valid for $a_5 = 0$, $a_4 = 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$, and where a_3 is zero or nonzero in (25). For the subcase corresponding to solution (52), $a_5 = 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 = 0$, and both a_3 and a_4 are either zero or non-zero in (25).

4 Computation of conservation laws using scaling homogeneity

In this section we will show how to compute conservation laws for (1)-(2) using the scaling symmetry approach which originated in work by Kruskal and collaborators [34,35] and was further developed by Hereman and co-workers (see, e.g., [26,36,37]).

4.1 Scaling homogeneity

System (1)-(2) has a scaling (dilation) symmetry,

$$(y, t, \sigma, u, \beta) \to (\kappa^{-(n+1)}y, \kappa^{-1}t, \kappa\sigma, \kappa^n u, \kappa^2 \beta) = (\tilde{y}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\beta}), \tag{53}$$

where $\kappa \neq 0$ is an arbitrary scaling parameter. Notice that if we had not introduced an auxiliary parameter β with an appropriate scale, (1)-(2) would not be scaling homogeneous. To verify that (53) is correct, replace (y, t, σ, u, β) in terms of $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\beta})$, yielding

$$\kappa^{-(n+2)} \,\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{y}} = \kappa^{-(n+2)} \,\chi \tilde{u}_{t\tilde{t}},$$

$$\kappa^{-(2n+1)} \,\tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}} = \kappa^{-(2n+1)} \,\frac{1}{\chi} \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\sigma}^2)^n,$$
(54)

which, after cancellation of the common factors, is the same as (1)-(2).

A quick way to compute (53) is to introduce the notions of weight, rank, and uniformity of rank. The weight, W, of a variable is the exponent of κ that multiplies that variable. With regard to (53), one has W(y) = -(n + 1), W(t) = -1, and

$$W(D_y) = n + 1, W(D_t) = 1, W(\sigma) = 1, W(u) = n, W(b) = 2.$$
 (55)

The rank of a monomial is defined as its total weight. For example, $(\beta + \sigma^2)^n$ has rank 2n. A polynomial or equation is called *uniform in rank* if all its monomials have equal ranks.

If the weights (55) were not known yet, they can be straightforwardly computed as follows: Require that (1) is uniform in rank, yielding

$$W(\sigma) + W(D_u) = W(u) + 2W(D_t). \tag{56}$$

Likewise, (2) is uniform in rank if $W(b) = 2W(\sigma)$ and

$$W(u) + W(D_u) = (2n+1)W(\sigma).$$
 (57)

Hence,

$$W(D_t) = (n+1)W(\sigma) - W(u), \quad W(D_y) = (2n+1)W(\sigma) - W(u), \quad (58)$$

where $W(\sigma)$ and W(u) can be taken at liberty as long as all weights in (56)-(57) are strictly positive and, preferably, small integers. To get the lowest possible weights, we set $W(\sigma) = 1$ and W(u) = n, yielding (55). Using weights to express uniformity in rank, the scaling symmetry (53) of (1)-(2) can be computed using linear algebra.

4.2 Conservation laws

A conservation law for (1)-(2) reads

$$D_y T^y + D_t T^t \doteq 0, \tag{59}$$

where D_y and D_t were defined in (10) and (11). The notation \doteq means that the equality should only hold on solutions $\sigma(y,t)$ and u(y,t) of the system. Since (1)-(2) is an evolution system in variable y, we call T^y a conserved density and T^t the corresponding flux. Both are functions of σ , and u, and their partial derivatives with respect to t. Note that all y-derivatives can be eliminated using the system. The density and flux could also explicitly depend on t, e.g., $T^y = t\sigma$, $T^t = \chi(u - tu_t)$, as discussed below.

Since (59) is *linear* in the densities (and fluxes) a linear combination of densities with constant coefficients is also a density, and vice versa. If a density

has arbitrary coefficients (e.g., powers of parameter β), it can be split into independent densities. In what follows we show that, among others, (1)-(2) has the following conservation laws

$$D_{\nu}(\sigma) + D_{t}(-\chi u_{t}) \doteq 0, \tag{60}$$

$$D_y(t\sigma) + D_t(\chi(u - tu_t)) \doteq 0, \tag{61}$$

$$D_y(u\sigma_t) + D_t \left(-\frac{1}{2(n+1)\chi} \left((\beta + \sigma^2)^{n+1} - (n+1)\chi^2(u_t^2 - 2uu_{tt}) \right) \right) \doteq 0, (62)$$

$$D_y \left((\beta + \sigma^2)^{n+1} + (n+1)\chi^2 u_t^2 \right) + D_t \left(-2\chi(n+1)(\beta + \sigma^2)^n \sigma u_t \right) \doteq 0, (63)$$

$$D_y \left((\beta + \sigma^2)^n u \sigma \sigma_t - \frac{1}{6} \chi^2 u_t^3 \right)$$

$$+D_t\left(-\frac{1}{3\chi}\beta^{2n}\sigma^3 f(t;y) + \frac{1}{2}\chi(\beta + \sigma^2)^n\sigma(u_t^2 - 2uu_{tt})\right) \doteq 0,\tag{64}$$

where $f(t;y) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{3}{2};-2n;\frac{5}{2},-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\beta})$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. Note that y serves as a parameter in f(t;y) which is a solution of the first-order, non-homogenous ordinary differential equation,

$$\sigma f' + 3\sigma_t f = 3\left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\beta}\right)^{2n} \sigma_t, \tag{65}$$

where $f' = \frac{d f(t;y)}{dt}$.

The first conservation law is (1) itself. The second one arises after multiplication (1) by t and integration by parts of tu_{tt} . They can be computed with ConservationLawsMD.m by setting $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ which will return (60) and (61). They can also be computed with the multiplier approach [10,23,38,39] using the Maple code GeM, which also has to be run for specific values of n. The goal is to compute multipliers, Λ_1 and Λ_2 , such that

$$\Lambda_1 E^1 + \Lambda_2 E^2 \doteq D_y T^y + D_t T^t,$$
 (66)

with E^1 and E^2 in (5). To do so, one must make an assumption about the arguments of the multipliers. Then, compute and solve the determining PDEs for them. Next, substitute Λ_1 and Λ_2 into (66) and, finally, compute T^y and T^t (see [40,41] for worked examples). For the first three conservation laws we took $\Lambda_1(y,t,\sigma,u,\sigma_t,u_t)$ and the same dependencies for Λ_2 . Using GeM, one gets simple PDEs for the multipliers which can be readily solved. For (60) the multipliers are $\Lambda_1 = 1$ and $\Lambda_2 = 0$. Conservation laws (61) and (62) correspond to $\Lambda_1 = t$, $\Lambda_2 = 0$, and $\Lambda_1 = -u_t$, $\Lambda_2 = \sigma_t$, respectively.

Clearly, (62)-(64) are complicated because they depend on the exponent n. Deriving them requires a computational strategy [26,36,37] and the use of codes like InvariantsSymmetries.m [16] or ConservationLawsMD.m [25]. In the latter two packages, a *scaling symmetry method* is implemented. The key idea of the algorithm is that the densities and fluxes are uniform in rank and so

is the entire conservation law. For example, the density and flux in (60) have ranks 1 and n+1, respectively, and each of the two terms in the conservation law itself has rank n+2.

When working with ConservationLawsMD.m, one only needs to give the ranks of the densities one wants to compute and specify whether or not they should explicitly depend on t and y (and, if applicable, also the highest degree in t). Using (55), the ranks of the corresponding fluxes and the conservation laws then follow from

$$\operatorname{rank} T^t = n + \operatorname{rank} T^y, \tag{67}$$

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{D}_{y}T^{y} + \mathrm{D}_{t}T^{t}\right) = n + 1 + \operatorname{rank}T^{y} = 1 + \operatorname{rank}T^{t},\tag{68}$$

and both can be used for verification purposes.

For (61) through (64), the respective ranks of the densities are 0, n+2, 2n+2, and 3n+3. That homogeneity in rank is due to the fact that the defining equation (59) must be evaluated on solutions of (1)-(2). Consequently, densities, fluxes, and conservation laws themselves inherit (or adopt) the scaling homogeneity of that system (and all its other continuous and discrete symmetries).

As far as we know, there is no symbolic code available to compute conservation laws for (1)-(2) with undetermined exponent n. Based on the conservation laws computed with ConservationLawsMD for n=1,2, and 3 (and larger values, if needed), it is often straightforward to guess the density for arbitrary n and compute the matching flux. In some cases, it is easier to recognize the expression of the flux for general n and then compute the density.

4.3 Strategy to compute (63)

Now, we first show how to compute (63) for n=1 with ConservationLawsMD.m. Note that for n=1 the density in (63) has rank 4 and does not explicitly depend on t. We provide this information together with $W(\sigma) = W(u) = n = 1$, and specify that β should be treated as a parameter with weight. Without further intervention of the user, the computation proceeds in four steps:

Step 1: The code constructs candidate densities T^y as a linear combination of scaling homogenous monomials involving β , σ , and u and their t-derivatives so that each monomial has rank 4. Each candidate is free of constant terms and monomials that are t-derivatives because the latter can be moved into T^t . To have the shortest possible densities, monomials that differ by a t-derivative are also removed.

Splitting them according to their weights (using (58) again but without selecting values for $W(\sigma)$ and W(u)), the code produces seven independent candidate densities, including

$$T^{y} = c_{1}\beta\sigma^{2} + c_{2}\sigma^{4} + c_{3}u\sigma\sigma_{t} + c_{4}u_{t}^{2}, \tag{69}$$

which has weight $W(\sigma)$ and where c_1 through c_4 are undetermined coefficients. This is the only candidate among the seven that eventually leads to a valid conservation law. Therefore, our discussion continues with (69). Further details on how densities are constructed algorithmically can be found in [18,26,36].

Step 2: To compute the undetermined coefficients, the code computes

$$D_y T^y = (2\beta c_1 \sigma + 4c_2 \sigma^3 + c_3 u \sigma_t) \sigma_y + c_3 \sigma \sigma_t u_y + c_3 u \sigma \sigma_{ty} + 2c_4 u_t u_{ty}$$
 (70)

and, using (1)-(2), replaces σ_y , u_y , $\sigma_{ty} = \sigma_{yt}$, and u_{ty} , to get

$$E = \frac{1}{\chi}c_3(\beta + \sigma^2)\sigma^2\sigma_t + \frac{2}{\chi}c_4(\beta + 3\sigma^2)\sigma_t u_t + \chi(2\beta c_1\sigma + 4c_2\sigma^3 + c_3u\sigma_t)u_{tt} + \chi c_3\sigma u u_{ttt}.$$
(71)

Now, $E = D_y T^y$ must match $-D_t T^t$ for some flux T^t (computed in Step 3 below). Since E must be *exact*, i.e., a *total t*-derivative of some expression, the Euler operator (variational derivative) [37,42] for each of the dependent variables ² applied to E must be zero. The code applies the Euler operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{K} (-D_t)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{kt}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} - D_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_t} + D_t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} - D_t^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ttt}} + \dots,$$
(72)

for $\sigma(t)$ to E where K = 1 is the order of σ in t. Explicitly, for E in (71),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(t)}E = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}E - D_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_t}E$$

$$= \frac{2}{\chi}\beta(\chi^2 c_1 - c_4)u_{tt} - \chi c_3 u_t u_{tt} + \frac{6}{\chi}(3\chi^2 c_2 - c_4)\sigma^2 u_{tt}.$$
(73)

Next, the Euler operator for u(t) is applied to E which has a second-order term u_{tt} (K = 2). Hence,

 $[\]overline{{}^2}$ At this point, y is a parameter in the dependent variables. Suppressing y, we write $\sigma(t)$ and u(t).

$$\mathcal{L}_{u(t)}E = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}E - D_t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t}E + D_t^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{tt}}E$$

$$= \frac{2}{\chi}\beta(\chi^2 c_1 - c_4)\sigma_{tt} - \chi c_3(\sigma_t u_{tt} - u_t \sigma_{tt}) + \frac{6}{\chi}(2\chi^2 c_2 - c_4)\sigma(2\sigma_t^2 + \sigma\sigma_{tt}).(74)$$

Both expressions must vanish identically on the jet space where all monomials in σ , u, σ_t , u_t , σ_{tt} , u_{tt} , etc., are treated as independent. $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma(t)}E \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{u(t)}E \equiv 0$ yield the linear system $\chi^2 c_1 - c_4 = 0$, $c_3 = 0$, and $2\chi^2 c_2 - c_4 = 0$, where c_4 is arbitrary (confirming that any scalar multiple of T^y is still a density). To avoid fractions, the code takes $c_4 = 2\chi^2$, and substitutes the solution $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 0$, $c_4 = 2\chi^2$ into (69), yielding

$$T^{y} = 2\beta\sigma^{2} + \sigma^{4} + 2\chi^{2}u_{t}^{2}.$$
 (75)

Adding the constant term β^2 to above T^y (returned by the software) allows us to complete the square. Hence,

$$T^{y} = (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{2} + 2\chi^{2}u_{t}^{2}, \tag{76}$$

which matches T^y in (63) for n = 1.

To prepare for the computation of the flux (in the next step), the constants are also substituted into (71), yielding

$$E = 4\chi \left((\beta + 3\sigma^2)\sigma_t u_t + (\beta + \sigma^2)\sigma u_{tt} \right). \tag{77}$$

Step 3: Since $E = D_y T^y = -D_t T^t$, to compute the flux T^t the code must integrate (77) with respect to t and reverse the sign. For this simple example, Mathematica does this flawless and returns

$$T^{t} = -4\chi(\beta + \sigma^{2})\sigma u_{t}, \tag{78}$$

which matches T^t in (63) for n = 1.

For expressions more complicated than (77), Mathematica often fails this task. Hence, ConservationLawsMD does not relay on Mathematica's built-in (blackbox) routines for integration by parts. Instead, it uses a sophisticated way to reduce the integration with respect to t to a one-dimensional integral with respect to scaling parameter using the so-called homotopy operator. This is a tool from differential geometry [10, p. 372] to carry out integration by parts on the jet space. It is usually presented in the language of differential forms but can translated in standard calculus and, as such, has been used effectively for the computation of conservation laws (see, [18,26,37,42,43,44]).

Application of the homotopy operator requires the computation of two integrands (one for σ , the other for u), followed by a simple scaling of the dependent variables (and their derivatives), and finally, a one-dimensional integral

with respect to the scaling parameter (λ) . In terms of the homotopy operator,

$$T^{t} = -\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{u}(t)}E = -\int_{0}^{1} (I_{\sigma}E + I_{u}E)[\lambda \mathbf{u}] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}, \tag{79}$$

where $\mathbf{u}(t) = (\sigma(t), u(t))$ and $[\lambda \mathbf{u}]$ means that in the integrands one must replace σ by $\lambda \sigma$, u by λu , σ_t by $\lambda \sigma_t$, u_t by λu_t , etc.

The integrand for σ is given [26,37,43] by

$$I_{\sigma}E = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma_{it} (-D_{t})^{k-(i+1)} \right) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma_{kt}}$$

$$= (\sigma I) \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma_{t}} \right) + (\sigma_{t} I - \sigma D_{t}) \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma_{tt}} \right) + \dots,$$
(80)

where I is the identity operator. For (77) where K=1, the software readily computes

$$I_{\sigma}E = (\sigma I)(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma_t}) = 4\chi(\beta + 3\sigma^2)\sigma u_t.$$
 (81)

With a formula similar to (80) for u and K=2,

$$I_u E = (u I)(\frac{\partial E}{\partial u_t}) + (u_t I - u D_t)(\frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{tt}}) = 4\chi(\beta + \sigma^2)\sigma u_t.$$
 (82)

Finally, using (79),

$$T^{t} = -8\chi \int_{0}^{1} \left((\beta + 2\sigma^{2})\sigma u_{t} \right) \left[\lambda \mathbf{u} \right] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = -8\chi \int_{0}^{1} (\beta \lambda + 2\lambda^{3}\sigma^{2})\sigma u_{t} d\lambda$$
$$= -4\chi (\beta + \sigma^{2})\sigma u_{t}, \tag{83}$$

which is exactly the flux in (78).

Step 4: Finally, once the density (76) and flux (83) are computed the code verifies that they indeed satisfy (59).

In the fifth step we show how to get the density and flux for arbitrary n.

Step 5: To determine (63) for general n, it suffices to compute the conservation laws for n = 2 and n = 3 for (1)-(2) and do some pattern matching.

For n = 2, requesting a density of rank 6, ConservationLawsMD returns

$$T^{y} = 3\beta^{2}\sigma^{2} + 3\beta\sigma^{4} + \sigma^{6} + 3\chi^{2}u_{t}^{2}, \tag{84}$$

$$T^{t} = -6\chi(\beta + \sigma^{2})^{2}\sigma u_{t}. \tag{85}$$

For n = 3, asking for a density of rank 8, the code produces

$$T^{y} = 4\beta^{3}\sigma^{2} + 6\beta^{2}\sigma^{4} + 4\beta\sigma^{6} + \sigma^{8} + 4\chi^{2}u_{t}^{2},$$
(86)

$$T^{t} = -8\chi(\beta + \sigma^{2})^{3}\sigma u_{t}. \tag{87}$$

The density for general n is then easy to recognize: After adding β^3 and β^4 to T^y in (84) and (86), respectively, the squares can be completed and the respective densities match (63). Inspecting (85) and (87), the expression for the flux for general n in (63) becomes obvious.

Use of ConservationLawsMD requires n to be positive integer. However, once (63) is established, it is also valid for rational values of n > 0, provided the conservation law can be validated on solutions of (1)-(2). Testing for $n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n = \frac{1}{3}$ confirmed that (63) is indeed valid. Therefore, our results apply to model equations involving, e.g., square roots and cubic roots.

4.4 Computation of conservation law (64)

Using ConservationLawsMD for n = 1, 2, and 3, a systematic search for conserved densities of high rank, generated the following results:

$$T^{y} = (\beta + \sigma^{2})u\sigma\sigma_{t} - \frac{1}{6}\chi^{2}u_{t}^{3}, \tag{88}$$

$$T^{t} = -\frac{1}{\chi} \left(\frac{1}{3} \beta^{2} \sigma^{3} + \frac{2}{5} \beta \sigma^{5} + \frac{1}{7} \sigma^{7} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \chi (\beta + \sigma^{2}) \sigma (u_{t}^{2} - 2u u_{tt}), \tag{89}$$

for n = 1 with density of rank 6,

$$T^{y} = (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{2} u \sigma \sigma_{t} - \frac{1}{6} \chi^{2} u_{t}^{3}, \tag{90}$$

$$T^{t} = -\frac{1}{\chi} \left(\frac{1}{3} \beta^{4} \sigma^{3} + \frac{4}{5} \beta^{3} \sigma^{5} + \frac{6}{7} \beta^{2} \sigma^{7} + \frac{4}{9} \beta \sigma^{9} + \frac{1}{11} \sigma^{11} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \chi (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{2} \sigma (u_{t}^{2} - 2u u_{tt}),$$

$$(91)$$

for n=2 and density of rank 9, and

$$T^{y} = (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{3} u \sigma \sigma_{t} - \frac{1}{6} \chi^{2} u_{t}^{3},$$

$$T^{t} = -\frac{1}{\chi} \left(\frac{1}{3} \beta^{6} \sigma^{3} + \frac{6}{5} \beta^{5} \sigma^{5} + \frac{15}{7} \beta^{4} \sigma^{7} + \frac{20}{9} \beta^{3} \sigma^{9} + \frac{15}{11} \beta^{2} \sigma^{11} + \frac{6}{13} \beta \sigma^{13} + \frac{1}{15} \sigma^{15} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \chi (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{3} \sigma (u_{t}^{2} - 2u u_{tt}),$$

$$(92)$$

for n=3 and density of rank 12.

Inspecting (88), (90), and (92), the form

$$T^{y} = (\beta + \sigma^{2})^{n} u \sigma \sigma_{t} - \frac{1}{6} \chi^{2} u_{t}^{3}, \tag{93}$$

for general n is obvious. Comparing (89), (91), and (92), the pattern of the last term is also clear but the first term requires further investigation. Noticing the common factor σ^3 with coefficient $-\frac{1}{3\chi}\beta^{2n}$, we assume

$$T^{t} = -\frac{1}{3\chi}\beta^{2n}\sigma^{3}F(y,t) + \frac{1}{2}\chi(\beta + \sigma^{2})^{n}\sigma(u_{t}^{2} - 2uu_{tt}), \tag{94}$$

and compute the equation for the unknown function F(y,t). Substituting (93) and (94) into (59) yields

$$\sigma F_t + 3\sigma_t F = 3\left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\beta}\right)^{2n} \sigma_t. \tag{95}$$

which is an ODE for $F(y,t) \equiv f(t;y)$ which matches (65). Asking Mathematica to solve the ODE yields

$$F(y,t) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{3}{2}; -2n; \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\beta}), \tag{96}$$

confirming the result in (64).

Alternatively, T^t can be computed as follows. Substituting

$$T^{t} = G(y, t) + \frac{1}{2}\chi(\beta + \sigma^{2})^{n}\sigma(u_{t}^{2} - 2uu_{tt}), \tag{97}$$

into (59) requires

$$G_t + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\beta + \sigma^2)^{2n} \sigma_t = 0. \tag{98}$$

Hence,

$$G = -\frac{1}{\chi} \int (\beta + \sigma^2)^{2n} \sigma_t dt = -\frac{1}{3\chi} \beta^{2n} \sigma_2^3 F_1(\frac{3}{2}; -2n; \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sigma^2}{\beta}). \tag{99}$$

Parenthetically, Maple fails to evaluate this integral. Substitution of G into (97) yields the flux in (64).

For $n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n = \frac{1}{4}$, (64) simplifies into

$$D_y \left(\sqrt{(\beta + \sigma^2)} u \sigma \sigma_t - \frac{1}{6} \chi^2 u_t^3 \right) + D_t \left(-\frac{1}{15\chi} \sigma^3 (5\beta + 3\sigma^2) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \chi \sqrt{(\beta + \sigma^2)} \sigma (u_t^2 - 2u u_{tt}) \stackrel{.}{=} 0,$$

$$(100)$$

and

$$D_{y}\left(\sqrt[4]{(\beta+\sigma^{2})}u\sigma\sigma_{t} - \frac{1}{6}\chi^{2}u_{t}^{3}\right) + D_{t}\left(\frac{1}{8\chi}\left(\beta^{2}\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right)\right) - \sqrt{(\beta+\sigma^{2})}\sigma(\beta+2\sigma^{2})\right) + \frac{1}{2}\chi\sqrt[4]{(\beta+\sigma^{2})}\sigma(u_{t}^{2}-2uu_{tt})\right) \doteq 0.$$
 (101)

Notice that for fractional values of n, the conservation laws are no longer polynomial and that the last one involves the inverse of hyperbolic function. For $n = \frac{1}{3}$, Mathematica replaces $f(t;y) = {}_2F_1(\frac{3}{2}; -\frac{2}{3}; \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sigma^2}{\beta})$ in (64) by ${}_2F_1(-\frac{2}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sigma^2}{\beta})$ but does not further simplify that hypergeometric function.

5 Conclusions and future work

In this paper, Lie-point symmetries, closed-form solutions, and conservation laws are derived for a constitutive equation modeling stress in elastic materials, governed by a system of nonlinear coupled PDEs (1)-(2). We have determined that the Lie algebra for the model is five-dimensional for the shearing exponent n > 1. There are five types of Lie symmetries: translations in time, space, and displacement, as well as time-dependent displacement changes and a scaling symmetry.

In the second part of the paper, closed-form solutions are computed using combinations of Lie symmetries. To do so, we used the most general Lie symmetry generator by combining all five Lie symmetries. Some of the closed-form solutions involving both variables y and t might help better understand the physics of the model. In future research, one could consider appropriate initial and boundary conditions to further explore the properties of these models in the context of power-law fluids.

In this paper we also have reported five polynomial conservation laws for system (1)-(2) which most likely has several more, if not infinitely many conservation laws. From past experiences, we know that PDEs with conserved densities of increasing higher ranks usually have other interesting "integrability" properties (see, e.g., [18]). This prompted us to run the Painlevé test to verify if (1)-(2) has the Painlevé property meaning that its solutions have no worse singularities than movable poles. System (1)-(2) fails the Painlevé test. We also searched for higher-order (generalized) symmetries and a recursion operator that would connect them. We found some polynomial generalized symmetries but no recursion operator. These results are premature and require additional research. In addition, we searched for special solutions in terms of the hyperbolic functions tanh and sech, as well as the Jacobi elliptic functions on and sn, without any success. Nevertheless, we believe that (1)-(2) is worth further investigation. In particular, searching for conservation laws with various other methods (e.g., the multiplier technique and the partial Lagrangian approach) and establishing their relationship with generalized symmetries would be worthwhile.

6 Dedication

This paper is dedicated to Prof. David Mason at the occasion of his 80th birthday and in honor of his long career in mathematics. WH is grateful to Prof. David Mason for his significant contributions to the applied sciences, in particular, in the areas of symmetry analysis, theoretical mechanics, and fluid dynamics. Like diamonds from South Africa, his mathematical papers are gems with excellent (mathematical) weight, multiple facets, and great clarity. They are cut and polished by a craftsman with skill and care. Equally important, through his dedication, kindness, and humanity, Prof. Mason had set an example for his students, collaborators, and for all of us, teachers and researchers alike.

RN would like to express her deepest gratitude to her PhD advisor, Prof. David Mason, for his invaluable support and guidance throughout her academic journey. From assisting with presentation slides to reviewing her research papers, Prof. Mason was always there, generously offering his time and expertise. His commitment to providing timely feedback, engaging in thorough discussions, and giving personal attention had a profound impact on her development.

Through his mentorship, Prof. Mason played a pivotal role in shaping RN into the academic she is today, refining her abilities as a teacher, researcher, and mentor. With his guidance, RN secured research funding from the National Research Foundation (NRF) for her PhD studies and a short-term postdoctoral fellowship. His support also helped her earn the Best Tutor Award during her time as a tutor for his honors students. Under his mentorship, RN not only honed her teaching skills but also grew as a mentor to her own students. Prof. Mason's approach to student interactions shaped her understanding of how to inspire and nurture young minds, fostering a supportive and engaging learning environment.

Even after completing her PhD, Prof. Mason has remained a guiding force, always available to offer advice on teaching, research, and academic endeavors. His warmth and generosity were further exemplified when he personally welcomed RN during her visit to South Africa in 2014, where she attended a conference celebrating his 70th birthday.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

[1] Kannan K, Rajagopal KR, Saccomandi G. Unsteady motions of a new class of elastic solids. *Wave Motion* 2014; 51(5): 833-843.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wavemoti.2014.02.004
```

[2] Magan AB, Mason DP, Harley C. Two-dimensional nonlinear stress and displacement waves for a new class of constitutive equations. *Wave Motion* 2018; 77: 156-185.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wavemoti.2017.12.003
```

[3] Magan AB. Nonlinear Elastic Waves in Materials Described by a Subclass of Implicit Constitutive Equations. PhD. Thesis, School Comp Sci Appl Math. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 2017.

```
https://hdl.handle.net/10539/25870
```

[4] Magan AB, Mason DP, Mahomed FM. Analytical solution in parametric form for the two-dimensional free jet of a power-law fluid. *Int J Non-Lin Mech.* 2016: 85: 94-108.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2016.06.005
```

[5] Magan AB, Mason DP, Mahomed FM. Analytical solution in parametric form for the two-dimensional liquid jet of a power-law fluid. *Int J Non-Lin Mech.* 2017; 93: 53-64.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2017.05.001
```

- [6] Bluman GW, Kumei S. Symmetries and Differential Equations. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer; 1989.
- [7] Bluman GW, Cheviakov AF, Anco SC. Applications of Symmetry Methods to Partial Differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 168. New York, NY: Springer; 2010.
- [8] Ibragimov NH, editor. CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations. Vols. 1-3. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1994-1996.
- [9] Ovsiannikov LV. Group Analysis of Differential Equations. New York, NY: Academic Press; 1982.
- [10] Olver PJ. Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations. New York, NY: Springer Verlag; 1993, pp. 435-458.

[11] Butcher J, Carminati J, Vu KT. A comparative study of some computer algebra packages which determine the Lie point symmetries of differential equations. *Comput Phys Commun.* 2003; 155(2): 92-114.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(03)00348-5

[12] Carminati J, Vu K. Symbolic computation and differential equations: Lie symmetries. *J Symb Comp.* 2000; 29(1): 95-116.

https://doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1999.0299

[13] Champagne B, Hereman W, Winternitz P. The computer calculation of Lie point symmetries of large systems of differential equations, *Comput Phys Commun.* 1991; 66(2-3): 319-340.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(91)90080-5

[14] Cheb-Terrab ES, von Bülow K. A computational approach for the analytical solving of partial differential equations. *Comput Phys Commun.* 1995; 90(1): 102-116.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(95)00083-R

[15] Cheviakov AF. GeM software package for computation of symmetries and conservation laws of differential equations. *Comput Phys Commun.* 2007; 176(1): 48-61.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2006.08.001

[16] Göktaş Ü, Hereman W. InvariantsSymmetries.m: A Mathematica integrability package for the computation of invariants and symmetries of nonlinear systems of partial differential equations and differential-difference equations [computer software]. Dept Appl Math Stat. Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, 1997.

https://people.mines.edu/whereman. Also available from MathSource (Item: 0208-932, Applications/Mathematics).

[17] Hereman W. Review of symbolic software for Lie symmetry analysis. *Mathl Comput Modelling*. 1997; 25(8-9): 115-132.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177(97)00063-0

[18] Hereman W, Göktaş Ü. Using symmetries to investigate the complete integrability, solitary wave solutions and solitons of the Gardner equation, Special Issue "Symmetry Methods for Solving Differential Equations" Pakdemirli M (ed). *Math Comp Appl.* 2024; 34 pp, in press.

Available at https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.07973 and https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202407.2297.v1.

[19] Rocha Filho TM, Figueiredo A. SADE: A Maple package for the symmetry analysis of differential equations. *Comput Phys Commun.* 2011; 182(2): 467-476.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.09.021

- [20] Vu KT, Butcher J, Carminati J. Similarity solutions of partial differential equations using DESOLV. Comput Phys Commun. 2007; 176(11-12): 682-693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2007.03.005
- [21] Cheviakov AF, Zhao P. Analytical Properties of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations with Applications to Shallow Water Models. CMS/CAIMS Books in Mathematics, Vol. 10. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Nature; 2024.
- [22] Mubai E, Mason DP. Two-dimensional turbulent thermal free jet: Conservation laws, associated Lie symmetry and invariant solutions. Symmetry 2022; 14(8): 1727.

https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14081727

[23] Naz R, Mahomed FM, Mason DP. Comparison of different approaches to conservation laws for some partial differential equations in fluid mechanics. *Appl Math Comput.* 2008; 205(1): 212-230.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.06.042

[24] Naz R, Mahomed FM, Mason DP. Conservation laws via the partial Lagrangian and group invariant solutions for radial and two-dimensional free jets. *Nonl Anal Real World Appl.* 2009; 10(6): 3457-3465.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2008.09.027

[25] Poole D, Hereman W. ConservationLawsMD.m: A *Mathematica* package for the symbolic computation of conservation laws of polynomial systems of nonlinear PDEs in multiple space dimensions [computer software]. Dept Appl Math Stat. Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, 2009.

https://people.mines.edu/whereman

[26] Poole D, Hereman W. Symbolic computation of conservation laws for nonlinear partial differential equations in multiple space dimensions. J Symb Comp. 2011; 46(12): 1355-1377.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2011.08.014

[27] Cheviakov AF. Symbolic computation of nonlocal symmetries and nonlocal conservation laws of partial differential equations using the GeM package for Maple. In: Ganghoffer J-F, Mladenov I, eds. Similarity and Symmetry Methods: Applications in Elasticity and Mechanics of Materials. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer; 2014: 165-184.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08296-7_3

[28] Fareo AG, Mason DP. Group invariant solution for a pre-existing fracture driven by a power-law fluid in impermeable rock. *Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul.* 2013; 18(12): 3298-3316.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2013.04.019

[29] Fareo AG, Mason DP. Group invariant solution for a pre-existing fracture driven by a power-law fluid in permeable rock. *Int J Mod Phys B.* 2016; 30(28-29): 1640010.

```
https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979216400105
```

[30] Hutchinson AJ, Mason DP, Mahomed FM. Solutions for the turbulent classical wake using Lie symmetry methods. *Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul.* 2015; 23(1-3): 51-70.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2014.10.006
```

[31] Chaudhry A, Naz R. The closed-form solutions for a model with technology diffusion via Lie symmetries. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst S.* 2024, in press.

```
https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2024133
```

[32] Freire IL, Torrisi M. Similarity solutions for systems arising from an Aedes aegypti model. Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul. 2014; 19(4): 872-879.

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2013.08.006
```

[33] Irum S, Naeem I. On the solutions of natural resource-based economy. *Math Meth Appl Sci.* 2024; 47(4): 2190-2204.

```
https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.9741
```

[34] Gardner CS, Kruskal MD, Miura R. Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. II. Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion. J Math Phys. 1968; 9(8): 1204-1209.

```
https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1664701
```

[35] Kruskal MD, Miura RM, Gardner CS, Zabusky NJ. Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. V. Uniqueness and nonexistence of polynomial conservation laws. *J Math Phys.* 1970; 11(3): 952-960.

```
https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665232
```

[36] Göktaş Ü, Hereman W. Symbolic computation of conserved densities for systems of nonlinear evolution equations. J Symbolic Comp. 1997; 24(5): 591-621.

```
https://doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1997.0154
```

- [37] Hereman W, Adams PJ, Eklund HL, Hickman MS, Herbst BM. Direct methods and symbolic software for conservation laws of nonlinear equations. In: Yan Z, ed. Advances in Nonlinear Waves and Symbolic Computation. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers; 2009; Ch 2: 19-79.
- [38] Anco SC, Bluman GW. Direct construction method for conservation laws of partial differential equations. Part I: Examples of conservation law classification. Eur J Appl Math. 2002; 13(5): 545-566.

```
https://doi.org/10.1017/S095679250100465X
```

[39] Steudel H. Über die Zuordnung zwischen Invarianzeigenschaften und Erhaltungssätzen. Z Naturforsch A. 1962; 17(2): 129-132.

```
https://doi.org/10.1515/zna-1962-0204
```

[40] Naz R, Mason DP, Conservation laws for heated laminar radial liquid and free jets. J Nonl Math Phys. 2009; 16(3): 299–309.

```
https://doi.org/10.1142/S1402925109000248
```

[41] Naz R, Mason DP, Mahomed FM. Conservation laws and conserved quantities for laminar two-dimensional and radial jets. *Nonl Anal Real World Appl.* 2009; 10(5): 2641-2651.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2008.07.003

- [42] Hereman W, Colagrosso M, Sayers R, Ringler A, Deconinck B, Nivala M, Hickman MS. Continuous and discrete homotopy operators with applications in integrability testing. In: Differential Equations with Symbolic Computation. Wang D, Zheng Z (eds). Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland, 2005; Ch. 15: 255-290.
- [43] Hereman W, Deconinck B, Poole LD. Continuous and discrete homotopy operators: A theoretical approach made concrete. *Math Comput Simul.* 2007: 74(4-5): 352-360.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2006.10.012

[44] Poole D, Hereman W. The homotopy operator method for symbolic integration by parts and inversion of divergences with applications. *Applicable Analysis* 2010; 89(4): 433-455.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00036810903208155