

Injectivity theorems for higher direct images under proper Kähler morphisms on snc spaces

TSZ ON MARIO CHAN, YOUNG-JUN CHOI, AND SHIN-ICHI MATSUMURA

ABSTRACT. Let X be a complex manifold, and let Y and D be two reduced simple-normal-crossing (snc) divisors on X with no common irreducible components. Given a proper locally Kähler morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ from X to a complex analytic space Δ , we prove Fujino’s conjecture on the injectivity theorem in the relative setting in a generalized form. Specifically, we establish an injectivity result for the higher direct images under π for the lc pairs (X, D) as well as (Y, D_Y) , where $D_Y := D \cap Y$. As an application, this result immediately implies the injectivity theorem on holomorphically convex Kähler manifolds with reduced snc divisors. The main technique in the proof consists of the theory of harmonic integrals together with residue formulae associated with adjoint ideal sheaves, which are developed from our previous work for the absolute case (where Δ is a point and X is compact). Additionally, we make use of the Takegoshi harmonic forms to deal with the non-compactness of X .

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper establishes injectivity theorems for higher direct images under proper *locally Kähler morphisms* on simple-normal-crossing (snc) Kähler spaces by further developing the analytic techniques for handling log-canonical (lc) strata, including the theory of harmonic integrals, analytic adjoint ideal sheaves and the associated residue techniques, introduced in [10]. The main results of this paper (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below) generalize our previous work [10] on the injectivity theorems in *the absolute setting* (for cohomology groups on compact spaces) to *the relative setting* (for higher direct image sheaves under proper morphisms). In fact, we resolve a more general form of Fujino’s conjecture (see [17, Problem 1.8]; also cf. [20, Conj. 2.21] for the absolute setting), which is stated only for projective morphisms on complex manifolds.

We remark that, our proof delves into the complex analytic aspects of the problem, which is in parallel with the mixed-Hodge-structure techniques used in Ambro’s and Fujino’s injectivity theorems (see [1, 2, 16, 18, 19]). The overlapping of the two different approaches demands for further study and comparison, which we are regrettably not able to pursue in this paper.

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Injectivity for higher direct images – the smooth case; Theorems 5.1.1 and 5.2.1). *Let (X, D) be a log smooth and lc pair (i.e. a pair of a complex manifold X and a reduced snc divisor D on X) and $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper locally Kähler morphism to a (not necessarily irreducible or reduced) analytic space Δ . Let F (resp. M) be a line bundle on X with a smooth Hermitian metric h_F (resp. h_M) such that*

$$i\Theta_{h_F}(F) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i\Theta_{h_M}(M) \leq Ci\Theta_{h_F}(F) \quad \text{for some } C > 0.$$

Date: September 24, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32J25 (primary), 32Q15, 14B05 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. L^2 injectivity, adjoint ideal sheaf, multiplier ideal sheaf, log-canonical center.

Consider a section $s \in H^0(X, M)$ whose zero locus $s^{-1}(0)$ contains no lc centers of the pair (X, D) . Then, the multiplication map induced by the tensor product with s between the higher direct image sheaves

$$R^q \pi_* (K_X \otimes D \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\otimes s} R^q \pi_* (K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)$$

is injective for every $q \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2 (Injectivity for higher direct images – the snc case; Theorem 5.3.1). *Under the same notation and assumptions in Theorem 1.1, consider a reduced snc divisor Y in X such that Y and D has no common components and $Y + D$ has only snc. Suppose that the zero locus $s^{-1}(0)$ of the section $s \in H^0(X, M)$ contains no lc centers of the pair $(X, Y + D)$. Let $D_Y := D \cap Y$, $F_Y := F|_Y$, $M_Y := M|_Y$, $s_Y := s|_Y$ and $\pi_Y := \pi|_Y$. Then, the multiplication map induced by the tensor product with s_Y between the higher direct image sheaves*

$$R^q \pi_{Y*} (K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes s_Y} R^q \pi_{Y*} (K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes M_Y)$$

is injective for every $q \geq 0$, where $K_Y := (K_X \otimes Y)|_Y$.

The above results can also be seen as a generalization of results in [10] on compact spaces to holomorphically convex spaces. Any holomorphically convex manifold X admits a proper surjective map $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ to a Stein space. By the Leray spectral sequence and Cartan's Theorem B for Stein spaces, we have the isomorphism

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(\Delta, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F})$$

for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . The functor $H^0(\Delta, \bullet)$ of global sections on Δ is left exact, so we infer the following injectivity theorem on holomorphically convex manifolds, as well as their snc divisors, from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Corollary 1.3 (Injectivity on holomorphically convex Kähler spaces). *Let X be a holomorphically convex Kähler manifold. Under the same notation and assumptions on Y , D , F , M and s in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the multiplication maps induced by the tensor products with s and s_Y between the cohomology groups*

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(X, K_X \otimes D \otimes F) &\xrightarrow{\otimes s} H^q(X, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M) \quad \text{and} \\ H^q(Y, K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y) &\xrightarrow{\otimes s_Y} H^q(Y, K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes M_Y) \end{aligned}$$

are injective for every $q \geq 0$.

All these results can be extended to the case where h_F and h_M are singular (with restricted assumptions on the singularities; see Section 2.1), by handling the singularities using the techniques established already in [8]. The statements will then incorporate the multiplier ideal sheaves of the singular Hermitian metrics. These more general statements are stated and proved in Section 5. Note that we have to use Theorem 1.1 with singular Hermitian metrics to prove even Theorem 1.2 with smooth Hermitian metrics. See the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 for details.

The definition of a locally Kähler morphism on a complex manifold X , compatible with the more general [33, Def. 6.1], is given as follows.

Definition 1.4 (locally Kähler morphisms on smooth X ; cf. [33, Def. 6.1]). A holomorphic map $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ from a complex manifold X to an analytic space Δ is said to be a *locally Kähler morphism* if every point in Δ admits an open neighborhood U whose inverse image $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is a Kähler manifold.

We briefly describe the development of the injectivity theorems in the relative setting prior to our study. For the absolute setting, see [10, Sec. 1] for a concise history. Consider the special case of Theorem 1.1 formulated in the framework of algebraic geometry: $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ being a projective morphism, F a semi-ample line bundle, and M a positive multiple of F . Under the assumption $D = 0$, this special case corresponds to Kollár’s injectivity theorem [23]. Ambro and Fujino generalize Kollár’s theorem to the case $D \neq 0$ using the theory of mixed Hodge structures. (See [30] for a recent advancement in this direction and [32] for a slightly different approach.) On the other hand, building upon Enoki’s work [13] which makes use of the theory of harmonic integrals in the absolute setting, Takegoshi extends Kollár’s theorem (with $D = 0$) to the complex analytic setup, where $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ is a locally Kähler morphism and F is a semi-positive line bundle. Fujino proposes a conjecture (in both the absolute [20, Conj. 2.21] and relative [17, Problem 1.8] settings) that generalizes Enoki’s and Takegoshi’s results in the analytic setup to the case $D \neq 0$ which contains the results of Ambro and Fujino in the algebraic setup. In the absolute setting, partial results on Fujino’s conjecture can be found in [3, 21, 22, 25, 26] for the klt case and [8, 9, 28] for the plt case. Recently, solutions to Fujino’s conjecture in the absolute setting are announced first by Cao–Păun [4], and shortly afterward by us independently in [10]. The method used in [10] has the advantage of being applicable to establish the injectivity theorem on (singular) compact Kähler snc spaces. In this paper, we further develop our method to resolve Fujino’s conjecture in the relative setting completely.

Outline of the proof. Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 with a simple reduction argument via adjoint ideal sheaves (Theorem 5.3.1; see Section 4.1 for a brief review of the adjoint ideal sheaves). We present here an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 with a comparison with the proof in [10] (i.e. the absolute setting, where X is compact). For simplicity, we focus on the proof in the case where D is a prime divisor, which effectively illustrates the essential difficulties that we encountered when studying the relative setting. Once these difficulties are resolved, the case of general D can be handled with adjoint ideal sheaves as in [10].

The claim in Theorem 1.1 is a local statement on Δ , so we can assume that Δ is a relatively compact Stein domain and $X = \pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ is a relatively compact holomorphically convex Kähler domain by shrinking Δ . The Leray spectral sequence implies

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(\Delta, R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F})$$

for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . The key technical difficulty in the relative setting comes from the non-compactness of X . The Dolbeault theory on X only guarantees that cohomology classes with values in a vector bundle twisted by a multiplier ideal sheaf can in general be represented by *locally* L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms (see Section 2.2 or [29, Prop. 2.16]), while we want to make use of harmonic forms which are *globally* L^2 . Readers will notice that most of the modifications to the proof in [10] made here is to ensure certain differential forms (namely, u and δw in this paper) being globally L^2 . The trick here is that, since the question at hand is a local problem, once the locally L^2 differential form is fixed, we can shrink Δ , and therefore X , so that the form becomes globally L^2 . Moreover, since the Bochner-type formulae are needed to apply to harmonic forms while the Kähler metric cannot remain complete after the restriction to a relatively compact subset, we are naturally led to consider the *Takegoshi harmonic spaces* (eq 2.2.4) developed in [33] (see Section 3.2), which fulfill our needs.

The proof is divided into four steps. In Step 1, we consider the long exact sequence of direct images of π induced by the standard exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_X \rightarrow K_X \otimes D \rightarrow K_D \rightarrow 0$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow R^{q-1}\pi_{D*}(K_D \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\tau} R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F) \rightarrow R^q\pi_{D*}(K_D \otimes F) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(where $\pi_D := \pi|_D$). Our goal is to prove that, for any germ $\beta_t \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F)_t$ at an arbitrary $t \in \Delta$ with $s\beta_t = 0 \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)_t$, we have $\beta_t = 0$. From the injectivity theorem in [33] or the more general form in [29] (with multiplier ideal sheaves) on the manifold D , the map $\otimes s|_D: R^q\pi_{D*}(K_D \otimes F) \rightarrow R^q\pi_{D*}(K_D \otimes F \otimes M)$ is injective (note that this result is included in Theorem 5.2.1). Then, by a diagram-chasing argument via the above exact sequence together with the morphism $\otimes s$, we can find a germ $\alpha_t \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F)_t$ such that $\beta_t = \tau(\alpha_t)$. At this point, we fix a choice of α_t and shrink Δ sufficiently such that there is a section $\alpha \in H^0(\Delta, R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F)) \cong H^q(X, K_X \otimes F)$ whose germ at t is α_t and can be viewed as a Dolbeault class which is represented by some *globally* L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form. The problem is thus reduced from handling sections of higher direct image sheaves to handling cohomology classes. We can also consider the harmonic representative of α (by taking the harmonic projection of a globally L^2 representative) even though it does not exist for a general Dolbeault class in $H^q(X, K_X \otimes F)$. It remains to prove that $\alpha \in \ker \tau$ (for technical reasons, only) on some smaller subset $X_c \Subset X$, which is some neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(t)$.

In Step 2, following [10, Step 1 of proof of Thm. 1.2], we seek for an “*optimal*” representative of $\beta = \tau(\alpha)$ by applying the theory of harmonic integrals to obtain a harmonic representative u of α and taking an orthogonal projection to get rid of the component in $\ker \tau$, resulting in a harmonic form u^\perp “representing” β (as $\beta = \tau(u^\perp)$ by a slight abuse of notation). The goal is then to show that $u^\perp = 0$. Recall that δ is the connecting morphism from the long exact sequence above. For the absolute setting in [10], it is the fact u^\perp being orthogonal to $\text{im } \delta (= \ker \tau)$ that is actually being used to prove that $u^\perp = 0$ (more precisely, $\Re(u^\perp) = 0$; see below). However, in the relative setting, even the images of the (classes of) harmonic forms under δ are not guaranteed to have harmonic representatives (as the representatives are only *locally* L^2). This causes some troubles even to define u^\perp properly, not to say to claim the vanishing of u^\perp (or $\Re(u^\perp)$) using orthogonality argument in the harmonic (Hilbert) space.

For this reason, we consider the sublevel sets $X_c := \{\Phi < c\}$ of an appropriately chosen exhaustion psh function $\Phi \geq 0$ on X , for $c \in (0, \infty)$ (and arrange such that $X = X_\infty$). Then, for any given $c > 0$, there is a subspace Γ_c of harmonic forms on $D_c := D \cap X_c$ which are extendable to harmonic forms on some larger spaces $D_{c'}$ for some $c' > c$ (see (eq 5.1.2) or (eq 4.2.3)), such that $\delta\Gamma_c$ contains only (classes of) *globally* L^2 forms on X_c (for a harmonic form w on $D_{c'}$ with $c' > c$, the class δw is represented by *locally* L^2 forms on $X_{c'}$, but their restrictions to $X_c (\Subset X_{c'})$ are *globally* L^2 on X_c). As mentioned before, in order to use the Bochner-type identities, all the harmonic forms that we are dealing with here should come from the *Takegoshi harmonic spaces* $\mathcal{H}^{n,\bullet}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{h_F} = \mathcal{H}^\bullet(X_c; K_X \otimes F; \Phi)_{h_F}$ (eq 2.2.4) (note that the ordinary and the Takegoshi harmonic forms coincide on $X = X_\infty$ by Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.2; see Proposition 3.2.3 for a proof that a Bochner-type formula is valid for Takegoshi harmonic forms on X_c for every $c > 0$). Let $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c$ be the image of the harmonic projection of the space $(-1)^{q-1}\delta\Gamma_c$ (see Theorem 4.2.2 for the reason of the factor $(-1)^{q-1}$). We then replace $\text{im } \delta$ by $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c$ in the definition of u^\perp , that is, we consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$u|_{X_c} =: u^\perp + \mu \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp \oplus \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} = \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{h_F},$$

where $(\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c$ and $\overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c}$ is the closure in the Takegoshi harmonic space $\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{h_F}$. Since $\overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} \subset \ker \tau$ on X_c , it suffices to show that $u^\perp = 0$ (thus $\beta = \tau(\alpha) = \tau(u) = \tau(\mu) = 0$) for the rest of the proof.

Step 3 consists of the same computation in [10, Step 2 of proof of Thm. 1.2] or [10, Step II of proof of Thm. 3.4.1]. Using the assumption $0 = s\beta = s\tau(u^\perp)$ and an explicit formula between the Čech and Dolbeault representatives (see Section 2.3), the squared norm of su^\perp on X_c is rewritten as an inner product on D_c via a residue formula (Proposition 4.1.2), namely,

$$\|su^\perp\|_{X_c}^2 = \langle\langle \bullet, s\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \rangle\rangle_{D_c}.$$

(Indeed, to be precise, the right-hand side should be a limit of inner products. See Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 5.2.1 for details.) The form $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ is computed from the Poincaré residue on D_c of a form derived from u^\perp (see (eq 4.2.1)). The proof is complete if we show that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = 0$ (thus $u^\perp = 0$).

We name $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ as the *harmonic residue* of u^\perp , which has appeared already in [10] (denoted by “ w ” there) and is shown to be harmonic on D_c in [10, Sec. 2.4] (in particular, it is *globally* L^2 on D_c) under the curvature assumption on h_F . It is also a Takegoshi harmonic form in $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(D_c; K_D \otimes F; \Phi)_{h_F}$ by Theorem 4.2.1. We are giving this harmonic residue \mathfrak{R} a slightly more systematic treatment in Section 4.2, as it turns out to have a crucial role in Step 4. Indeed, \mathfrak{R} is an adjoint of $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ (with domain Γ_c) by Theorem 4.2.2. Therefore, for all $w \in \Gamma_c$,

$$\langle\langle w, \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \rangle\rangle_{D_c} = \langle\langle \delta_{\mathcal{H}}w, u^\perp \rangle\rangle_{X_c} = 0.$$

The right-hand side vanishes for $u^\perp \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$. We will obtain $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = 0$ if we can show that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \in \overline{\Gamma_c}$ (closure of Γ_c in $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(D_c; \dots)_{h_F}$). This last claim is proved by using the properties of \mathfrak{R} .

This paper is organized as follows:

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Outline of the proof	3
Acknowledgment	6
2. Preliminary Results	6
2.1. Notation, conventions and assumptions	6
2.2. L^2_{loc} Dolbeault cohomology and L^2 harmonic spaces	8
2.3. A Čech–Dolbeault map with respect to a partition of unity	9
3. Harmonic forms with the Takegoshi property	10
3.1. Various cut-off functions	10
3.2. Takegoshi property for harmonic forms	11
4. Residue formula and harmonic residue	18
4.1. Adjoint ideal sheaves and the residue computation	18
4.2. Harmonic residues	22
5. Proofs of main results	25
5.1. Injectivity on X with D being prime	25
5.2. Injectivity for (X, D) and $(Y, 0)$ in general	29
5.3. Injectivity for (Y, D_Y)	34
References	36

Acknowledgment. This project started to take shape during our visit to Kagoshima University and we would like to thank our host Masaaki Murakami for his invitation and his hospitality during our stay. S.M. wrote this paper during his stay at Westlake University. He would like to thank the faculty members and his host Xin Fu for providing a wonderful environment. S.M. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) #21H00976 from JSPS. Y.C. and M.C. were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korean government (No. 2023R1A2C1007227). Y.C. was partially supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA2201-01

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the following subsections, we fix the notation and lay down the basic assumptions that we use throughout the paper. We also state the known results needed for the proofs.

2.1. Notation, conventions and assumptions. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper locally Kähler morphism to an analytic space Δ . The desired conclusions in the main results are local on Δ . Thus, by shrinking Δ from the initial space and replacing X with $X := \pi^{-1}(\Delta)$, we assume that

- Δ is a Stein space,
- X is a holomorphically convex Kähler manifold, and
- Δ and X are relatively compact domains in the initial spaces.

For convenience, we write the boundaries of Δ and $X := \pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ in the initial spaces as $\partial\Delta$ and $\partial X := \pi^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$. The following definitions and conventions will be used consistently throughout this paper.

- n is the dimension of X .
- ω is *complete* Kähler metric on X .
- Φ is a smooth, lower-bounded (hence assuming $\Phi \geq 0$), exhaustion psh function on X such that

$$\sup_X \Phi = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_X |d\Phi|_\omega < \infty.$$

The above-mentioned Φ and ω are constructed as follows: Take a smooth exhaustion strictly psh function $\Phi_\Delta \geq 0$ on the Stein space Δ . Replace it with $\frac{1}{C-\Phi_\Delta}$ for $C := \sup_\Delta \Phi_\Delta$ if $C < \infty$, so that we can assume that $\sup_\Delta \Phi_\Delta = \infty$. Then $\Phi := \pi^*\Phi_\Delta$ satisfies the desired properties except possibly for $\sup_X |d\Phi|_\omega < \infty$. This last property is fulfilled by replacing ω with the new complete Kähler metric $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi^2$.

- $X_c := \{\Phi < c\}$ and $X_\infty := X$. Note that $X_c \Subset X_{c'} \Subset X$ for any $0 < c < c' < \infty$, and each X_c is holomorphically convex.
- $h_F = e^{-\varphi_F}$ and $h_M = e^{-\varphi_M}$ are singular Hermitian metrics on F and M respectively, where φ_F and φ_M denote their quasi-plurisubharmonic (quasi-psh) potentials (of their respective curvature currents). Assume that φ_F and φ_M have at worst neat analytic singularities such that the (reduced) varieties $P_F := \varphi_F^{-1}(-\infty)$ and $P_M := \varphi_M^{-1}(-\infty)$ are snc divisors, and so is $P_F + P_M$.
- s is a holomorphic section of M on X such that $\sup_X |s|_{\varphi_M} < +\infty$.
- $D = \sum_{i \in I_D} D_i$ is a (reduced) snc divisor on X such that D and $P_F + P_M$ have no common irreducible component and $D + P_F + P_M$ is an snc divisor. Note that the index set I_D is finite.
- \mathbf{s}_i is a canonical section of the irreducible component D_i .

- $\mathbf{s}_D := \prod_{i \in I_D} \mathbf{s}_i$ is the canonical section of D .
- $\sigma \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- Let $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D)$ be the union of σ -lc centers of (X, D) indexed by I_D^σ , i.e.

$$\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) := \bigcup_{p \in I_D^\sigma} D_p^\sigma,$$

where, under the assumption (X, D) being log-smooth and lc, each σ -lc center D_p^σ is a σ -codimensional irreducible component of an intersection of some irreducible components D_i of D . Set $\text{lc}_X^0(D) := X$ and let I_D^0 be a singleton for convenience. Note that $I_D^1 = I_D$ and $P_F \cup P_M$ does not contain any lc centers of (X, D) .

- $\text{Diff}_p^* D$ is the effective divisor on D_p^σ defined by the adjunction formula

$$K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes \text{Diff}_p^* D = (K_X \otimes D)|_{D_p^\sigma}.$$

- $\mathbf{s}_{(p)} := \prod_{i \in I_D: D_i \not\supset D_p^\sigma} \mathbf{s}_i$. Note that the restriction $\mathbf{s}_{(p)}|_{D_p^\sigma}$ is a canonical section of $\text{Diff}_p^* D$.
- $\phi_D := \log|\mathbf{s}_D|^2$ and $\phi_{(p)} := \log|\mathbf{s}_{(p)}|^2$ are the (psh) potentials on D and $\text{Diff}_p^* D$ induced from their respective canonical sections.
- $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) := \mathcal{I}_X(\varphi)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf of the potential φ on X given at each $x \in X$ by

$$\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_x := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \mid \exists \text{ open set } V_x \ni x, \int_{V_x} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\text{vol}_{V_x} < +\infty \right\},$$

where $d\text{vol}_{V_x}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on the local open set $V_x \Subset X$. Multiplier ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_S(\varphi)$ on any submanifolds $S \subset X$ are defined similarly, but the subscripts will not be omitted.

- $\mathfrak{V} := \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finite open cover of X by Stein admissible open sets induced from a locally finite cover of the initial ambient space (see the below for the definition of admissible open sets).
- $\{\rho^i\}_{i \in I}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\text{supp}(\eta\rho^i) \Subset V_i$ for any cut-off function η on X with $\text{supp} \eta \Subset X$ (for example, the functions η_ν given in (eq 3.1.1)).
- $\tilde{\omega}$ is a complete Kähler metric on $X^\circ := X \setminus (P_F \cup P_M)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\omega} := 2\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial} \frac{1}{\log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|} \geq \omega \quad \text{so that}$$

- $\tilde{\omega} \geq \omega$ holds on X° (after choosing the constant $\ell \gg e$ suitably),
- $\tilde{\omega}$ admits a *bounded* potential locally on X (not only X°).

Here $\psi_{P_F \cup P_M} \leq -1$ is a global potential function defined by a canonical section of the divisor $P_F \cup P_M$. Note that $\frac{1}{\log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|}$ is locally bounded on X (not only X°) and $|d \log(e \log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|)|_{\tilde{\omega}} < +\infty$ (see, for example, [8, §2.2, item (4)]). Notice that $\tilde{\omega}$ is a complete metric on X° but not on $X^\circ \setminus D$ (and the same happens for its restriction to each $\mathring{D}_p^\sigma := D_p^\sigma \cap X^\circ$).

Recall from [10, §2.1] that an open set $V \subset X$ is said to be *admissible* with respect to D if V is biholomorphic to a polydisc centered at the origin under a holomorphic coordinate system (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) such that

$$D = \{z_1 \cdots z_{\sigma_V} = 0\}, \quad \log r_j^2 < 0, \quad \text{and } r_j \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \psi_D > 0 \text{ on } V,$$

where $r_j := |z_j|$ and $\psi_D|_V := (\phi_D - \varphi_D^{\text{sm}})|_V = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma_V} \log|z_j|^2 - \varphi_D^{\text{sm}}|_V$. (The assumption is made so that the residue computation in [10, Prop. 2.3.2] is valid on any admissible open set.) An index $p \in I_D^\sigma$ such that $D_p^\sigma \cap V \neq \emptyset$ is identified with a permutation representing a choice of σ elements from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \sigma_V\}$. Under this identification, we have

$$D_p^\sigma \cap V = \{z_{p(1)} = z_{p(2)} = \dots = z_{p(\sigma)} = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}_{(p)}|_V = z_{p(\sigma+1)} \cdots z_{p(\sigma_V)}$$

(cf. the definition of the set $\mathfrak{C}_\sigma^{\sigma_V}$ in [6, §3.1]).

2.2. L_{loc}^2 Dolbeault cohomology and L^2 harmonic spaces. Let (L, φ_L) denote either (F, φ_F) or $(F \otimes M, \varphi_F + \varphi_M)$ for the remainder of Section 2. We first consider the Fréchet space of L -valued (n, q) -forms that are locally L^2 on X (not only on X°) with respect to φ_L and $\tilde{\omega}$:

$$L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L) := L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}.$$

The $\bar{\partial}$ -operator determines the densely defined closed operator $\bar{\partial}$ with domain

$$(\text{Dom } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q} := (\text{Dom } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}, \varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} := \left\{ \zeta \in L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \mid \bar{\partial}\zeta \in L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q+1}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \right\}.$$

Let $(\ker \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q}$ and $(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q}$ denote the kernel and image of $\bar{\partial}$, respectively, where the superscript and subscript indicate that these are subspaces of $L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)$. Since $\tilde{\omega}$ admits a bounded potential locally on X (not only X°), we obtain the L_{loc}^2 Dolbeault isomorphism on X :

$$(eq\ 2.2.1) \quad H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)) \cong \frac{(\ker \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q}}{(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q}} =: H_{\bar{\partial}, L_{\text{loc}}^2}^{n,q}(X, L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}},$$

where the left-hand side is treated as the Čech cohomology group. Since X is holomorphically convex, the left-hand side is Hausdorff (for example, see [31, Lemma II.1]). This implies that $(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}}^{n,q}$ is closed in $L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)$. (See [29, §2.6] for details of the above isomorphism.)

We now consider the Hilbert space of L -valued (n, q) -forms that are globally L^2 on X with respect to φ_L and $\tilde{\omega}$:

$$L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L) := L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^1 \quad \text{with the } L^2 \text{ norm } \|\cdot\|_{X^\circ} := \|\cdot\|_{X^\circ, \varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}.$$

Then, by [12, Ch. VIII, §1], we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$(eq\ 2.2.2) \quad L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L) = L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} = \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} \oplus \overline{(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{(2)}^{n,q}} \oplus \overline{(\text{im } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2)}^{n,q}},$$

where $\bar{\partial}^*$ denotes the Hilbert space adjoint (ϑ denotes the formal adjoint in this paper), the spaces $(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{(2)}^{n,q}$ and $(\text{im } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2)}^{n,q}$ are the images of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$ in $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)$ respectively, and the space $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ is the space of L^2 harmonic (n, q) -forms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} &:= \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} := \mathcal{H}^q(X; K_X \otimes L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \\ &:= \left\{ u \in L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \mid \bar{\partial}u = 0, \bar{\partial}^*u = 0 \text{ on } X^\circ \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

¹The notation “ $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ ” is not consistent with the one in [8]. In [8], this space is denoted by “ $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X^\circ; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ ”, which emphasizes that φ_L and $\tilde{\omega}$ are smooth on X° and the forms in this space can be approximated by smooth forms with compact support in X° . Although these conditions remain unchanged in the current context, the forms in “ $L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ ” have locally L^2 coefficients not only on X° but also on X . To maintain consistency with other notations in this paper, we use the notation “ $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ ”.

Since $(\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial})_{\operatorname{loc}}^{n,q}$ is closed in $L_{(2)\operatorname{loc}}^{n,q}(X; L)$, we have

$$\overline{(\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial})_{(2)}^{n,q}} \subset \overline{(\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial})_{\operatorname{loc}}^{n,q}} = (\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial})_{\operatorname{loc}}^{n,q}.$$

Thus, by (eq 2.2.1), we obtain the monomorphism

$$(eq\ 2.2.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} \xrightarrow{j} H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)).$$

The injectivity follows from the Takegoshi property (see Proposition 3.2.4), which is consistent with [33, Thm. 4.3 (iv)].

Following [33], we consider the *Takegoshi harmonic space* for every $c \in (0, \infty]$:

$$(eq\ 2.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c) &:= \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; L; \Phi)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \\ &:= \left\{ u \in L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X_c; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} \left| \begin{array}{l} \bar{\partial}u = 0, \vartheta u = 0, \\ (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0 \end{array} \right. \text{ on } X_c^\circ \right\}, \end{aligned}^2$$

where $X_c^\circ := X_c \cap X^\circ$ and ϑ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_{X^\circ} := \|\cdot\|_{X^\circ, \varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$. Since $\tilde{\omega}$ is a complete metric on X° , the formal adjoint ϑ coincides with the Hilbert space adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$. Furthermore, the Takegoshi property $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ is automatically satisfied (see Proposition 3.2.3). Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} := \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}} = \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(\infty) := \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; L; \Phi)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}.$$

On the other hand, since $\tilde{\omega}$ is not complete on X_c when $c < \infty$, the formal adjoint need not coincide with the Hilbert space adjoint a priori. Nevertheless, thanks to the Takegoshi property $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$, the forms in $\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; L; \Phi)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ are genuine harmonic forms in $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X_c; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ (see Theorem 3.2.1).

In the same way as in (eq 2.2.3), we obtain the monomorphism

$$(eq\ 2.2.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c) \xrightarrow{j^c} H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)).$$

Furthermore, the restriction from $X_{c'}$ to X_c also induces the commutative diagram

$$(eq\ 2.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c') & \xrightarrow{j^{c'}} & H^q(X_{c'}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)) \\ j_c^{c'} \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c) & \xrightarrow{j^c} & H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)) \end{array}$$

for any $0 < c < c' \leq \infty$.

2.3. A Čech–Dolbeault map with respect to a partition of unity. Recall that we have the finite Stein cover $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ and the partition of unity $\{\rho^i\}_{i \in I}$ given in Section 2.1. Consider a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form

$$u \in (\ker \bar{\partial})_{(2)}^{n,q} \subset L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}.$$

From the isomorphism $H^q(\mathfrak{V}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)) \cong H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L))$ given by Leray's theorem, together with the L_{loc}^2 Dolbeault isomorphism (eq 2.2.1), we can solve $\bar{\partial}$ -equations derived from u with L^2 estimates successively on various intersections of the Stein open sets in $\mathfrak{V} \cap X^\circ := \{V_i \cap X^\circ\}_{i \in I}$ to obtain a Čech cocycle

$$\{\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I} \in Z^q(\mathfrak{V}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L))$$

(see [27, Prop. 5.5] or [8, Lemma 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.2] for the details of the construction). Such cocycle represents the cohomology class which corresponds to the L^2_{loc} Dolbeault class of u in $H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{S}(\varphi_L))$.

From the above construction, we have, under the Einstein summation convention,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (eq\ 2.3.1) \quad u &= \bar{\partial}v_{(2)} + \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_{q-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_0} \alpha_{i_0 \cdots i_q} \quad (\forall i_q \in I) \\
 &= \bar{\partial}v_{(2)} + \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_{q-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_0} \cdot \rho^{i_q} \alpha_{i_0 \cdots i_q} \\
 &= \bar{\partial}v_{(2)} + (-1)^q \underbrace{\bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_1} \cdot \rho^{i_0}}_{=:(\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_0}} \alpha_{i_0 \cdots i_q},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{(2)}$ is an L -valued $(n, q-1)$ -form that is a priori locally L^2 on X° . Since the cover \mathfrak{U} is finite and u is globally L^2 on X° , both forms

$$v_{(2)} \text{ and } (-1)^q (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_0} \alpha_{i_0 \cdots i_q} \text{ can be chosen to be globally } L^2 \text{ on } X^\circ.$$

Also note that these conclusions still hold true when X is replaced by X_c , D_p^σ or $D_{c,p}^\sigma$.

3. HARMONIC FORMS WITH THE TAKEGOSHI PROPERTY

In order to apply the Bochner-type identities to harmonic forms on X_c° ($c < \infty$) without the completeness of the Kähler metric, we put on an extra condition on the harmonic forms following Takegoshi [33]. We provide a self-contained treatment to the use of such ‘‘Takegoshi harmonic forms’’, with some minor generalizations compared to [33] (see Remark 3.2.2).

3.1. Various cut-off functions. We introduce several cut-off functions to handle the boundaries ∂X and ∂X_c , and the singular loci $P_F \cup P_M$ and D in the computations of the relevant integrals. Take a non-increasing smooth function $\rho: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\rho|_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \equiv 1$, $\rho|_{[1, +\infty)} \equiv 0$, and $|\rho'| \lesssim 1$ on its domain (where ρ' denotes the derivative). For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define η_ν , $\eta_{c,\nu}$, χ_ν , and θ_ε as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (eq\ 3.1.1) \quad \eta_\nu &:= \rho\left(\frac{\Phi}{\nu}\right), \quad \eta_{c,\nu} := \begin{cases} \rho\left(\frac{1}{\nu(c-\Phi)}\right) & \text{on } X_c \\ 0 & \text{on } X \setminus X_c \end{cases} \quad \text{for } c \in (0, \infty), \\
 \chi_\nu &:= \rho\left(\frac{\log(e \log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|)}{\nu}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\varepsilon := 1 - \rho\left(\frac{1}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of Φ , ω , and $\tilde{\omega}$ given in Section 2.1, we can easily verify that

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 \text{supp } \eta_\nu \cap \partial X = \emptyset & \eta_\nu \nearrow 1 & \text{on } X & \\
 \text{supp } \eta_{c,\nu} \cap \partial X_c = \emptyset & \eta_{c,\nu} \nearrow 1 & \text{on } X_c & \text{as } \nu \nearrow +\infty \\
 \text{supp } \chi_\nu \cap (P_F \cup P_M) = \emptyset & \chi_\nu \nearrow 1 & \text{on } X^\circ & \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0 \\
 \text{supp } \theta_\varepsilon \cap D = \emptyset & \theta_\varepsilon \nearrow 1 & \text{on } X \setminus D &
 \end{array}$$

and

$$|d\eta_\nu|_{\tilde{\omega}} \leq |d\eta_\nu|_\omega \lesssim \frac{1}{\nu}, \quad |d\chi_\nu|_{\tilde{\omega}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad d\theta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \frac{\theta'_\varepsilon d\psi_D}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}},$$

where $\theta'_\varepsilon := -\rho' \circ \frac{1}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon} \geq 0$ and the constants involved in \lesssim are independent of ν . Note that $|d\eta_{c,\nu}|_{\tilde{\omega}}$ is not uniformly bounded on X_c .

As an example of their applications, we can make the Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano formula (see [28, Prop. 2.5] or [8, Lemma 2.4.2]) applicable to (a dense set of) forms in $L^2_{(2)}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$ via Friedrichs’ lemma. Indeed, following the standard proof (for example,

see [12, Ch.VIII, Thm. (3.2)]), we can use the cut-off functions $\eta_\nu \chi_\nu$ to construct a sequence of compactly supported approximations of a given L^2 form before applying the smoothing kernels.

With the valid Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano formula on the non-compact X , the same proof as in [13], [28], or [8] guarantees the following result.

Proposition 3.1.1 ([10, Prop. 2.2.2]). *Suppose that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$. Then, we have*

$$\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0 \quad \text{on } X^\circ. \quad ^3$$

Furthermore, if φ_M satisfies $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_M \leq Ci\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F$ for some constant $C > 0$, then we also have $su \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; F \otimes M)_{\varphi_F + \varphi_M, \tilde{\omega}}$.

By using $\eta_\nu \theta_\varepsilon$ in place of θ_ε in the proof of [10, Lemma 2.2.1] and with a little care of the order of taking the limits $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$, we also obtain the following.

Lemma 3.1.2 ([10, Lemma 2.2.1]). *If $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; L)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$, then $s_D u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; D \otimes L)_{\varphi_D + \varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$.*

3.2. Takegoshi property for harmonic forms. In this section, we introduce *the Takegoshi property* and present several of its applications. We begin with a slight generalization of [33, Thm. 3.4 (ii) and 4.3 (ii)].

Theorem 3.2.1 (cf. [33, Thm. 3.4 (ii) and 4.3 (ii)]). *Let Ψ be a smooth function on X such that*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}(C\varphi_F + \Psi) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi > -C \quad \text{on } X \text{ for some constant } C > 0.$$

Suppose that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ holds and $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ satisfies that $\left\| (\partial\Psi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \right\|_{X^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2 < \infty$. Then, the form u is a harmonic form in $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ and satisfies that

$$(\partial\Psi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0 \quad \text{on } X^\circ. \quad ^4$$

Remark 3.2.2. The equations in Theorem 3.2.1 are referred to as *the Takegoshi property* in this paper. Note that the Takegoshi property holds for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ with $\Psi := \Phi$, where Φ is the psh exhaustion function on X given in Section 2.1. Furthermore, Theorem 3.2.1 relaxes the conditions on Ψ compared to [33, Thm. 3.4 (ii) and 4.3 (ii)]. In our case, the function Ψ is neither necessarily psh nor bounded on X° . Although such a generalization is not strictly required for the results of this paper, it is of interest to investigate to what extent the statement can be generalized to any smooth function Ψ such that the harmonicity of an F -valued form depends only on the class $c_1(F)$.

³ Given an (n, q) -form u and a function φ , we define $(\partial\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u$, $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u$ and $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}}$ as raising the (holomorphic) indices of the coefficients (of $\partial\varphi$ or $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$) via $\tilde{\omega}$ and then contracting with the antiholomorphic indices of coefficients of u (and we have $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = \langle (i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u, u \rangle_{\tilde{\omega}}$). The operator $(\partial\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \cdot$ happens to be the adjoint of $\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \cdot$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{\omega}}$, and is sometimes denoted by $(\bar{\partial}\varphi)^*$ or $e(\bar{\partial}\varphi)^*$ (in [33]) and can be computed by $\pm * \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge *$ (where $*$ is the Hodge- $*$ operator with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$). The function $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}}$ can also be denoted by $\langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi, \Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}}]u, u \rangle_{\tilde{\omega}} = \langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}} u, u \rangle_{\tilde{\omega}}$ (for (n, q) -forms) (in [12]). See [8, Remark 2.4.3] for more details. For most of the computations in this paper, the forms of $(1, 0)$ - and $(0, 1)$ -types in a differential form u are handled separately (e.g. u is mostly treated as a “ K_X -valued $(0, q)$ -form” rather than an “ (n, q) -form”). Our choice of notation is intended to make the computations more intuitive and avoid the unnecessary interaction between the two types of forms.

⁴See footnote 3.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $C = 1$. Let ϑ and ϑ_Ψ be the formal adjoints of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to φ_F and $\varphi_F + \Psi$, respectively. By definition, we have $\vartheta_\Psi = \vartheta + (\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner$. From $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ (i.e. $\bar{\partial}u = 0$ and $\vartheta u = 0$) and the assumption $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$, Proposition 3.1.1 guarantees that

$$\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F)^\sim(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0 \quad \text{on } X^\circ.$$

Given the assumptions on Ψ and $\partial\Psi$, we also have

$$\int_{X^\circ} |u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 e^{-\varphi_F - \Psi} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{X^\circ} \left| (\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u \right|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 e^{-\varphi_F - \Psi} \lesssim \int_{X^\circ} \left| (\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u \right|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 e^{-\varphi_F} < \infty.$$

In particular, we have $\|u\|_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}} < \infty$ and $\|\vartheta_\Psi u\|_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}} < \infty$.

Recall from [10, Lemma 2.4.2] that the formula

$$(eq\ 3.2.1) \quad \bar{\partial}((\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u) = (i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u - (\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner (\bar{\partial}u) + (\partial\Psi)^\sim \cdot \nabla^{(0,1)}u$$

holds on X° . Using the cut-off functions given in (eq 3.1.1), we apply $\langle \cdot, \eta_\nu \chi_\nu u \rangle_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$ to both sides, integrate by parts on the left-hand side, and then take the limit $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. We then obtain

$$0 = \left\langle (\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u, \vartheta u \right\rangle_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = \int_{X^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi)^\sim(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}.$$

Using the sequence $\{\eta_\nu \chi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can verify that the Bochner–Kodaira formula with respect to $\varphi_F + \Psi$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is also valid for u . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}u\|_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 + \|\vartheta_\Psi u\|_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 &= \|\nabla^{(0,1)}u\|_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 + \int_{X^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Psi))^\sim(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} e^{-\Psi} \\ &\lesssim \int_{X^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Psi))^\sim(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = \int_{X^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi)^\sim(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\vartheta_\Psi u = 0$ and hence $(\partial\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u = 0$ on X° (cf. [33, Thm. 4.3]). This further implies that $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi)^\sim \lrcorner u = 0$ on X° by (eq 3.2.1). We can see that u lies in the domain of the Hilbert space adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}$ by the standard argument using $\{\eta_\nu \chi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ together with Friedrichs' lemma (for example, see [12, Ch. VIII, Lemma (3.3)]). Consequently, we obtain $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F + \Psi, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}$ as desired. \square

Theorem 3.2.1 assures that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q} = \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X; F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_\infty; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(\infty).$$

Although $\tilde{\omega}$ is not complete on X_c° , the Takegoshi property works as an effective substitute for the completeness of $\tilde{\omega}$ in our context (indeed, the property works like the boundary condition for elements in $(\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2), X_c}^{n,q}$ (see below), but without requiring the smoothness of the boundary ∂X_c). Specifically, it implies the following result.

Proposition 3.2.3 (cf. [33, Thm. 4.3 (i)]). *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c) = \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$. Then, the form u is a genuine harmonic form in $L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X_c; F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$ for every $c > 0$, that is,*

$$u \in (\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2), X_c}^{n,q}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta u = 0,$$

where $(\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2), X_c}^{n,q}$ is the domain of the Hilbert space adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$ of $\bar{\partial}: L_{(2)}^{n,q-1}(X_c; F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \dashrightarrow L_{(2)}^{n,q}(X_c; F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$.

Moreover, the form u satisfies the full Takegoshi property with Φ and the conclusions of Proposition 3.1.1 and Lemma 3.1.2. In particular, we have

$$\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0, \quad (i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0 \quad \text{on } X_c^\circ = X_c \cap X^\circ.$$

Proof. The Takegoshi property (in the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c)$) assures that $(\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ on X_c° by the definition of $\eta_{c,\nu}$ in (eq 3.1.1). Then, for any $\xi \in (\text{Dom } \bar{\partial})_{(2), X_c}^{n,q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle u, \bar{\partial}\xi \rangle\rangle_{X_c^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} &\xleftarrow{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \langle\langle \eta_{c,\nu}u, \bar{\partial}\xi \rangle\rangle_{X_c^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \\ &= - \langle\langle (\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u, \xi \rangle\rangle_{X_c^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \xrightarrow{0} \langle\langle \eta_{c,\nu}\vartheta u, \xi \rangle\rangle_{X_c^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \quad (\because \text{Takegoshi property}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \langle\langle \vartheta u, \xi \rangle\rangle_{X_c^\circ, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \quad (\text{a bounded functional in } \xi). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $u \in (\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}^*)_{(2), X_c}^{n,q}$.

Consider the corresponding statement to Lemma 3.1.2: If $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$, then $\mathfrak{s}_D u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; D \otimes F; \Phi)_{\phi_D + \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$. This statement can be proved by the approach in [10, Lemma 2.2.1], with the additional use of the cut-off function $\eta_{c,\nu}$ explained as above. The proof is left to the reader.

The rest of the claims follow since the Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano formula on X_c with respect to $\varphi_F + \Phi$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is valid for harmonic forms in $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c)$. The proof presented here is essentially the same as the proof in [33, Thm. 4.3 (i)]. For any $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c)$, set $u_{(\nu, \nu')} := \eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}u$ (see (eq 3.1.1) for the cut-off function $\chi_{\nu'}$) and $\vartheta_\Phi := \vartheta + (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \cdot$. Since $u_{(\nu, \nu')}$ is smooth and compactly supported in X_c° and that $e^{-\Phi} \leq 1$, the Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano formula is valid for $u_{(\nu, \nu')}$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X_c^\circ} |\bar{\partial}u_{(\nu, \nu')}|_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 + \int_{X_c^\circ} |\vartheta_\Phi u_{(\nu, \nu')}|_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 \\ &= \int_{X_c^\circ} |\nabla^{(0,1)}u_{(\nu, \nu')}|_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 + \int_{X_c^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Phi))^{\tilde{\omega}}(u_{(\nu, \nu')}, u_{(\nu, \nu')})_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}} \end{aligned}$$

(see, for example, [8, Lemma 2.4.2]). The fact $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c)$ implies that $\bar{\partial}u_{(\nu, \nu')} = \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) \wedge u$ and $\vartheta_\Phi u_{(\nu, \nu')} = -(\partial(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u$ (note that $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ is used here). Also, we have the identities

$$\nabla^{(0,1)}u_{(\nu, \nu')} = \eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}\nabla^{(0,1)}u + \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) \otimes u$$

and

$$|\bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) \otimes u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 = |\bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) \wedge u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 + |(\partial(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 \quad \text{on } X_c^\circ$$

(see [8, footnote 9 on p.33 (arXiv version)] or [15, 1.5.3]). The formula is then reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{X_c^\circ} |\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}\nabla^{(0,1)}u|_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}}^2 + \int_{X_c^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Phi))^{\tilde{\omega}}(u_{(\nu, \nu')}, u_{(\nu, \nu')})_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}} \\ &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{X_c^\circ} \langle \eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}\nabla^{(0,1)}u, \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) \otimes u \rangle_{\varphi_F + \Phi, \tilde{\omega}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\chi_{\nu'}) = \chi_{\nu'}\bar{\partial}\eta_{c,\nu} + \eta_{c,\nu}\bar{\partial}\chi_{\nu'}$ and $\bar{\partial}\chi_{\nu'} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly. By taking the limit as $\nu' \rightarrow \infty$, the above formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{X_c^\circ} |\eta_{c,\nu} \nabla^{(0,1)} u|_{\varphi_{F+\Phi, \tilde{\omega}}}^2 + \int_{X_c^\circ} (i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Phi))^{\tilde{\omega}}(\eta_{c,\nu}u, \eta_{c,\nu}u)_{\varphi_{F+\Phi, \tilde{\omega}}} \\ (\dagger) \quad &+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{X_c^\circ} \underbrace{\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \nabla^{(0,1)} u, \bar{\partial}\eta_{c,\nu} \otimes u \rangle_{\varphi_{F+\Phi, \tilde{\omega}}}}_{= \langle \eta_{c,\nu} (\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \cdot \nabla_{\bullet}^{(0,1)} u, u \rangle_{\varphi_{F+\Phi, \tilde{\omega}}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $\eta_{c,\nu}$ in (eq 3.1.1), we have $\bar{\partial}\eta_{c,\nu} = \frac{\eta'_{c,\nu}}{\nu(c-\Phi)^2} \bar{\partial}\Phi$, where

$$\eta'_{c,\nu} := \rho' \left(\frac{1}{\nu(c-\Phi)} \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } X_c.$$

The formula in [10, Lemma 2.4.2] yields

$$\bar{\partial}((\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u) \xrightarrow{0} (i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u - (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (\bar{\partial}u) \xrightarrow{0} (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \cdot \nabla_{\bullet}^{(0,1)} u.$$

Since Φ is psh, it follows that the term $2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{X_c^\circ} \cdots$ in (\dagger) is

$$-2 \int_{X_c^\circ} \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{\eta'_{c,\nu}}{\nu(c-\Phi)^2} (i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\varphi_{F+\Phi, \tilde{\omega}}} \geq 0.$$

Thus, we can see that each of the integrals in (\dagger) is 0 (even without taking $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$) by noting the fact that φ_F is psh. Considering the integrals involving $\nabla^{(0,1)}u$ and $i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(\varphi_F + \Phi)$ and taking the limit $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ yield $\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0$, $(i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varphi_F)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0$ and $(i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\tilde{\omega}} = 0$ on X_c° .

The proof that $su \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F \otimes M; \Phi)_{\varphi_{F+\varphi_M, \tilde{\omega}}}$ can then be proceeded as in [8, Cor. 3.2.6] (with $D = 0$) together with the argument using the cut-off function $\eta_{c,\nu}$ as above. The proof is left to the readers. \square

The Takegoshi property assures that the homomorphism j in (eq 2.2.3), as well as j^c in (eq 2.2.5), is injective. Recall that $X_\infty = X$, and write $j^\infty := j$ and $\eta_{\infty,\nu} := \eta_\nu$ for convenience.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Suppose that $i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ on X . Then, the homomorphisms*

$$j^c: \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}} \rightarrow H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)) \quad \text{for } c \in (0, \infty]$$

defined as in (eq 2.2.3) and (eq 2.2.5) are all injective.

Proof. The case where $c < \infty$ is proved in [33, Thm. 4.3 (iv)]. The proof below is valid for all $c > 0$, including the case $c = \infty$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}}^{n,q}(c)$ be a harmonic form such that $j^c(u) = 0$, that is, $u = \bar{\partial}\xi$ for some $\xi \in L_{(2)\text{loc}}^{n,q}(X_c; F)_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}}$ by the L_{loc}^2 Dolbeault isomorphism (eq 2.2.1) on X_c . While ξ may not be globally L^2 on X_c° , the form $\eta_{c,\nu}\xi$ is globally L^2 . The Takegoshi property $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ assures that $(\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ on X_c° by the definitions of $\eta_\nu = \eta_{\infty,\nu}$ and $\eta_{c,\nu}$ (see (eq 3.1.1)). The orthogonality between $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}}^{n,q}(c)$ and $\overline{\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}_{(2)}}$ then yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle u, \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}\xi) \rangle_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}} = \langle u, \bar{\partial}\eta_{c,\nu} \wedge \xi + \eta_{c,\nu} \bar{\partial}\xi \rangle_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}} \\ &= \langle (\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u, \xi \rangle_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}} + \langle u, \eta_{c,\nu} u \rangle_{\varphi_{F, \tilde{\omega}}} \quad (\cdot \text{Takegoshi property}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \|u\|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2 .$$

Therefore, we have $u = 0$ and j^c is injective. \square

The vanishing of $\nabla^{(0,1)}u$ in Proposition 3.1.1 or 3.2.3 helps to control the singularities of u along $X \setminus X^\circ = P_F \cup P_M$. Recall the function $\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}$ given in Section 2.1. Also, on any admissible open set $V \subset X$, write $(P_F \cup P_M) \cap V = \{w_1 \cdots w_\mu = 0\}$, where w_1, \dots, w_μ are part of the holomorphic coordinates on V .

Proposition 3.2.5 (cf. [8, Thm. 2.5.1 and Prop. 3.3.1 and 3.3.2]). *Suppose that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$ for some given $c \in (0, \infty]$. Then, the form $*u$ is holomorphic on X_c , where $*$ is the Hodge $*$ -operator with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$. In particular, on any admissible open set $V \Subset X_c$, we have*

$$\text{coef. of } u \text{ and } (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u s_D \in \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \left[|\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|^\pm, (\log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|)^\pm, \frac{1}{|w_1|}, \dots, \frac{1}{|w_\mu|} \right] \quad \text{on } V .$$

Moreover, the restriction map $j_c^{c'}$ for $c' > c$ in (eq 2.2.6) is injective.

Proof. A refined statement of the hard Lefschetz theorem [28, Thm. 3.3] (see also [8, Thm. 2.5.1]) guarantees, in the case where X is compact, the holomorphicity of $*u$ (more precisely, $*u s_D$). The proof of that statement was complicated by the fact that one started by considering $K_X \otimes D \otimes F$ -valued harmonic forms with respect to $\phi_D + \varphi_F$ and $\tilde{\omega}$. In the current situation (where u is only $K_X \otimes F$ -valued), a simple proof, which works also on the non-compact X_c , can be given as follows. Note that $\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0$ on X_c° according to Proposition 3.1.1 or 3.2.3. From [8, Remark 2.4.3], we have

$$0 = \|\nabla^{(0,1)}u\|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2 = \|*\bar{\partial} * u\|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2 = \|\bar{\partial} * u\|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2 .$$

It follows that $*u$ is holomorphic on X_c° . Furthermore, we have $\|*u\|_{\varphi_F, \omega}^2 \leq \|*u\|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2$, which is a consequence of $\tilde{\omega} \geq \omega$. This inequality implies that $*u$ is locally L^2 everywhere in X_c (not only X_c°) with respect to the unweighted L^2 norm. Consequently, by [11, Lemma 6.9], $*u$ is holomorphic on the entire X_c .

The smoothness of $*u$ on X_c , together with the pointwise identity

$$u \wedge \overline{*u} = |u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 = |*u|_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 \frac{\tilde{\omega}^{\wedge n}}{n!} ,$$

shows that the singularities of u along $X_c \setminus X_c^\circ$ are no worse than those of $\tilde{\omega}^{\wedge n}$, and thus of the form given in the claim (indeed $|\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|$ and $\log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|$ are not needed in the generators of the algebra in this case), as explained in [8, Prop. 3.3.1]. The singularities of $(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u s_D$ along $X_c \setminus X_c^\circ$ are also of the form given in the claim (where $|\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|$ and $\log|\ell\psi_{P_F \cup P_M}|$ are needed in the generators of the algebra in this case) as explained in the proof of [8, Prop. 3.3.2].

To see that $j_c^{c'}$ is injective, suppose $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c')$ and $j_c^{c'}(u) = u|_{X_c} \equiv 0$. However, $*u$ is holomorphic on $X_{c'}$ and X_c is open in $X_{c'}$, so $*u|_{X_c} \equiv 0$ implies that $u = (-1)^{n-q} **u \equiv 0$ on $X_{c'}$ by the identity theorem, as desired. \square

The Takegoshi property with Φ also enables us to apply the twisted Bochner–Kodaira formula (see, for example, [8, Lemma 2.4.2]) to harmonic forms in $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{n,q}(c)$ for all $c \in (0, \infty]$, which results in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2.6 (cf. [8, Prop. 3.2.3, 3.2.8 and 3.3.2]). *Suppose that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^{n,q}(X_c; F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$. Then, we have*

$$\frac{\left| (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \right|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} \in L_{loc}^1(X_c) \quad (\text{not only on } X_c^\circ!) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Furthermore, given the cut-off functions $\eta_{c,\nu}$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ in (eq 3.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_c^\circ} (i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_D^{sm})^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_{X_c^\circ} \frac{\left| (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u \right|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} \\ &= \pi \sum_{b \in I_D^1} \int_{\mathring{D}_{c,b}^1} \left| \mathcal{R}_{D_b^1} \left((\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \right) \right|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \sum_{b \in I_D^1} D_b^1$ with each D_b^1 being an irreducible divisor, $\mathcal{R}_{D_b^1}$ is the Poincaré residue map from X to D_b^1 , and $\mathring{D}_{c,b}^1 := D_b^1 \cap X_c^\circ$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{D_b^1} \left((\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \right)$ is globally L^2 with respect to $\varphi_F|_{D_b^1}$ and $\tilde{\omega}|_{D_b^1}$ on $\mathring{D}_{c,b}^1$.

Proof. The assumptions on φ_F and u imply that

- (i) u is $\bar{\partial}$ - and ϑ -closed and is smooth in X_c° as a harmonic form (by the regularity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator),
- (ii) $\nabla^{(0,1)}u = 0$ and $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F)^{\tilde{\omega}}(u, u)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = 0$ on X_c° by Proposition 3.1.1 or Proposition 3.2.3, and
- (iii) $(\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = 0$ on X_c° (by the Takegoshi property with Φ).

Proposition 3.2.5 provides crucial information about the singularities of u along $X_c \setminus X_c^\circ$. With this knowledge, we can establish our claims by following the proofs presented in [8]. Specifically, we refer to [8, Prop. 3.2.3 and 3.3.2], along with the modification considered in [8, Remark 3.2.4].

We now present a brief outline of the key arguments. On any admissible set V_i in the finite open cover $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ given in Section 2.1 on which

$$D = \left\{ z_1 \cdots z_{\sigma_{V_i}} = 0 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_b^1 \cap V_i = \left\{ z_{b(1)} = 0 \right\} \quad \forall b \in I_D^1 \text{ such that } D_b^1 \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$$

(note also that $\mathfrak{s}_{(b)} = z_{b(2)} \cdots z_{b(\sigma_{V_i})}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u &= \sum_{b \in I_D^1 : D_b^1 \cap V_i \neq \emptyset} \underbrace{\left(\frac{dz_{b(1)}}{z_{b(1)}} \right)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u - (\partial\varphi_D^{sm})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u}_{=: \frac{dz_{b(1)}}{z_{b(1)}} \wedge g_b} \quad \text{on } V_i. \end{aligned}$$

Here g_b is an $(n-1, q-1)$ -form free from the forms $dz_{b(1)}$ and $d\overline{z_{b(1)}}$ such that

$$g_b|_{D_b^1 \cap V_i} = \mathcal{R}_{D_b^1} \left(\left(\frac{dz_{b(1)}}{z_{b(1)}} \right)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u \right) \Big|_{D_b^1 \cap V_i} = \mathcal{R}_{D_b^1} \left((\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u \right) \Big|_{D_b^1 \cap V_i},$$

which is a compactly supported smooth $K_{D_b^1} \otimes F|_{D_b^1}$ -valued $(0, q-1)$ -form on D_b^1 . The form of the singularities of u along $X_c \setminus X_c^\circ$ shown in Proposition 3.2.5 implies that the singularities there and the lc locus D are “independent” of each other in view of

Fubini's theorem. A direct residue computation as in [8, Thm. 2.6.1] then assures that $\frac{|(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X_c)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and gives the equality

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_{X_c^\circ} \frac{|(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} = \pi \sum_{b \in I_D^1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{c,b}^1} \left| \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^1} \left((\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \eta_{c,\nu} u \right) \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2.$$

To show that these integrals converge when $\nu \nearrow +\infty$, we can follow the proof in [8, Prop. 3.2.8 with Lemma 3.3.3] by using the twisted Bochner–Kodaira formula in [8, Lemma 2.4.2].

Write $u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')} := \eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'} u$ for $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$ (see (eq3.1.1) for the cut-off functions $\eta_{c,\nu}$, $\chi_{\nu'}$ and $\theta_{\varepsilon'}$). Then, the twisted Bochner–Kodaira formula in [8, Lemma 2.4.2] is valid for $u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}$ (by (i)) and is read as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_c^\circ} |\bar{\partial} u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 |\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon} + \int_{X_c^\circ} |\vartheta u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 |\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon} - \int_{X_c^\circ} |\nabla^{(0,1)} u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 |\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &= \int_{X_c^\circ} \left(\overset{0}{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F} + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{|\psi_D|} i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_D \right)^{\tilde{\omega}} (u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}, u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')})_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}} |\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &+ \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) \int_{X_c^\circ} \left| (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')} \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 \frac{|\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon}}{|\psi_D|^2} \\ &+ 2(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{Re} \int_{X_c^\circ} \left\langle \vartheta u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}, \frac{(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}}{|\psi_D|} \right\rangle_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}} |\psi_D|^{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

From the equation $\bar{\partial} u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')} = \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}) \wedge u$, together with the analogous equations for $\vartheta u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}$ and $\nabla^{(0,1)} u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}$, and also the identity

$$\left| \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}) \otimes u \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 = \left| \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}) \wedge u \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 + \left| (\partial(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2 \quad \text{on } X_c^\circ$$

(see [8, footnote 9 on p.33 (arXiv version)] or [15, 1.5.3]), the twisted Bochner–Kodaira formula is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(1-\varepsilon) \int_{X_c^\circ} \frac{(i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_D^{\text{sm}})^{\tilde{\omega}}}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon} (u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}, u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')})_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}} \\ (\dagger) \quad &+ \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) \int_{X_c^\circ} \frac{\left| (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')} \right|_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} \\ &- 2(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{Re} \int_{X_c^\circ} \left\langle (\partial(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u, \frac{(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon} \right\rangle_{\varphi_{F,\tilde{\omega}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The limits are taken in the order: $\nu' \nearrow +\infty$, $\varepsilon' \searrow 0$, $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and then $\nu \nearrow +\infty$. First check that the last term (in Gray) converges to 0 in the limit. Note that

$$(\partial(\eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \eta_{c,\nu} (\partial(\chi_{\nu'} \theta_{\varepsilon'}))^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u = \eta_{c,\nu} \theta_{\varepsilon'} (\partial\chi_{\nu'})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u + \eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \frac{\varepsilon' \theta'_{\varepsilon'} (\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon'}}$$

(recall that $\theta'_{\varepsilon'} := -\rho' \circ \frac{1}{|\psi_D|^{\varepsilon'}}$), and the term

$$\int_{X_c^\circ} \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \theta'_{\varepsilon'} (\partial \chi_{\nu'})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u, \frac{(\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon} \right\rangle_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \xrightarrow{\nu' \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \text{for any } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0,$$

as $|\partial \chi_{\nu'}|_{\tilde{\omega}} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on X_c° and $\eta_{c,\nu} \theta'_{\varepsilon'} \frac{(\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon}$ is L^2 in $X_c \setminus D$ with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$. Furthermore,

$$\int_{X_c^\circ} \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \chi_{\nu'} \frac{\varepsilon' \theta'_{\varepsilon'} (\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon'}}, \frac{(\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon')}}{|\psi_D|^\varepsilon} \right\rangle_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} = \varepsilon' \int_{X_c^\circ} \eta_{c,\nu}^2 \chi_{\nu'}^2 \theta_{\varepsilon'} \theta'_{\varepsilon'} \frac{|(\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0^+]{\nu' \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the fact that $\frac{|(\partial \psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u|_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2}{|\psi_D|^{1+\varepsilon}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X_c)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ is used to assure that the limit exists as $\nu' \nearrow +\infty$.

As a result, the term in Gray in (†) goes to 0 after the limits $\nu' \nearrow +\infty$ and $\varepsilon' \searrow 0$. Notice that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_D^{\text{sm}}$ is bounded on X and u is L^2 with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$ on X_c° . Further taking the limits $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and $\nu \nearrow +\infty$ to (†) yields the desired result. \square

4. RESIDUE FORMULA AND HARMONIC RESIDUE

The residue formula with respect to the lc centers of (X, D) and the corresponding harmonic residue are established in [10] (although we coin the name “harmonic residue” only here). In this section, the residue statement is recalled and adapted to the current setup (with non-compact X and singular φ_F and φ_M). The treatment to the singularities of φ_F and φ_M follows the one in [8]. While most statements and techniques used in the proofs in this section come from our previous works, the adjoint relation between the harmonic residue and the connecting morphism for the cohomology groups (Theorem 4.2.2) is new to us.

4.1. Adjoint ideal sheaves and the residue computation. We review below the basics of adjoint ideal sheaves in [6]. Recall that (L, φ_L) is a line bundle on X equipped with a potential φ_L with only *neat analytic singularities*. The adjoint ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) := \mathcal{I}_{X,\sigma}(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$ of index σ is given at each $x \in X$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)_x := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \left| \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ open set } V_x \ni x, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \\ \varepsilon \int_{V_x} \frac{|f|^2 e^{-\varphi_L - \psi_D} d\text{vol}_{V_x}}{|\psi_D|^\sigma (\log|e\psi_D|)^{1+\varepsilon}} < +\infty \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Assume that (X, φ_L, ψ_D) is in the snc configuration and that $\varphi_L^{-1}(-\infty)$ contains no lc centers of (X, D) (both of which hold true when $\varphi_L := \varphi_F$ or $\varphi_F + \varphi_M$ according to the setup given in Section 2.1). Then, [6, Thm. 1.2.3] shows that the adjoint ideal sheaf can be written as

$$\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)} \quad \text{for any } \sigma \geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)}$ is the defining ideal sheaf of $\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)$ in X (with the reduced structure). Furthermore, we have the residue short exact sequence

$$(eq\ 4.1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}(\varphi_L; \psi_D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^\sigma} \mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$, called the *residue sheaf of index σ* , is supported on $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) = \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma$ and given by

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) = \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} (\text{Diff}_p^* D)^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}(\varphi_L) \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) = \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} K_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes L|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}(\varphi_L).$$

The *residue morphism* Res^σ can be given in terms of the Poincaré residue map $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ given in [24, §4.18]. The Poincaré residue map $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ from X to each \mathbb{D}_p^σ is uniquely determined after an orientation on the holomorphic conormal bundle of \mathbb{D}_p^σ in X is fixed. On an admissible open set $V \subset X$ such that $\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V = \{z_{p(1)} = z_{p(2)} = \cdots = z_{p(\sigma)} = 0\}$, a section f of $K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$ on $V \subset X$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma: \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V \neq \emptyset} dz_{p(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{p(\sigma)} \wedge g_p \mathfrak{s}(p) = \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma: \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V \neq \emptyset} \frac{dz_{p(1)}}{z_{p(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{p(\sigma)}}{z_{p(\sigma)}} \wedge g_p \mathfrak{s}_D \quad \text{on } V.$$

Assuming that the orientation on the conormal bundle of \mathbb{D}_p^σ in X on V is given by $(dz_{p(1)}, \dots, dz_{p(\sigma)})$, we see that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \left(\frac{f}{\mathfrak{s}_D} \right) = g_p|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \in K_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes L|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}(\varphi_L) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V.$$

Note that $g_p|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ takes values in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}(\varphi_L)$ according to [6, Thm. 4.1.2 (2)] (or the computation in [5, Prop. 2.2.1] or [7, Prop. 2.2.1]), which says that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap V}^2 &:= \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \|g_p\|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V}^2 := \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \frac{\pi^\sigma}{(\sigma-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V} |g_p|^2 e^{-\varphi_L} \\ &= \lim_{\rho \searrow \mathbb{1}_{\bar{V}}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_{V'} \frac{\rho |f|^2 e^{-\varphi_L - \phi_D}}{|\psi_D|^\sigma (\log|e\psi_D|)^{1+\varepsilon}} \stackrel{\varphi_L \text{ analytically}}{=} \lim_{\rho \searrow \mathbb{1}_{\bar{V}}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_{V'} \frac{\rho |f|^2 e^{-\varphi_L - \phi_D}}{|\psi_D|^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where f is assumed to be defined on a neighborhood V' of the closure \bar{V} of V and $\rho: V' \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a compactly supported smooth function identically equal to 1 on V . The limit $\lim_{\rho \searrow \mathbb{1}_{\bar{V}}}$ refers to the pointwise limit as ρ descends to the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{V}}$ of \bar{V} on X . Such a norm is referred to as the *residue norm on $K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$ on V* , or sometimes simply the residue norm on $\text{lc}_V^\sigma(D) := \text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap V$. The residue morphism Res^σ is then given in [6, §4.2] by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^\sigma} & K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D) = \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} K_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes L|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}(\varphi_L) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ f & \longmapsto & \left(g_p|_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}. \end{array}$$

The above equation of the residue norm works also for f with coefficients in \mathcal{C}_X^∞ . The coefficients of Res^σ (and hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ for any $p \in I_D^\sigma$) can be extended from \mathcal{O}_X to \mathcal{C}_X^∞ (also to $\mathcal{A}_{X^*}^{0,q}$, the sheaf of germs of smooth $(0, q)$ -forms) accordingly. The residue norm is finite when the coefficients of f belong to $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \cdot \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$ on \bar{V} .

We recall below the residue formula from [10, Prop. 2.3.3] for (L, φ_L) and adapt it to the current setting. For every σ -lc center $\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \subset \text{lc}_X^\sigma(D)$, we write

$$\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_p^\sigma := \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap X^\circ, \quad \mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma := \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap X_c, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma := \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap X_c^\circ.$$

and set

$$(eq\ 4.1.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma, \varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c) := \mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma; K_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \otimes L; \Phi)_{\varphi_L, \tilde{\omega}}$$

as the Takegoshi harmonic space on $\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma$, for convenience.

First, we recall a basic local residue computation. Let $\mathcal{C}_{X_c}^\infty$ denote the sheaf of germs of smooth functions on X with compact support and let the ad hoc notation “ $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm]$ ” temporarily denote the algebra in Proposition 3.2.5 for convenience. Further let “ $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm] * \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)$ ” denote the multiplier ideal sheaf defined in $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm]$ in place of \mathcal{O}_X , i.e. the ideal sheaf of germs of functions in $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm]$ which are locally L^2 with respect to φ_L . Define also “ $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm] * \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_D)$ ” similarly.

Proposition 4.1.1 ([10, Prop. 2.3.2]). *Given any admissible open set $V \subset X$ and any compactly supported section $f \in K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{C}_{X_c}^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm] * \mathcal{I}_1(\varphi_L; \psi_D)(V)$ such that $\text{Res}^1(f) = g = (g_b)_{b \in I_D^1}$, we have, for any $\xi \in K_X \otimes D \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm] * \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)(V)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_V \langle \xi, f \rangle e^{-\phi_D - \varphi_L} e^{-\varepsilon |\psi_D|} &= \sum_{b \in I_D^1} \pi \int_{\mathbb{D}_b^1 \cap V} \left\langle \frac{\tilde{\xi}_b}{\mathfrak{s}(b)}, g_b \right\rangle e^{-\varphi_L} \\ &= \sum_{b \in I_D^1} \pi \int_{\mathbb{D}_b^1 \cap V} \langle \tilde{\xi}_b, g_b \mathfrak{s}(b) \rangle e^{-\phi(b) - \varphi_L} \end{aligned}$$

which is finite, where $\phi(b) := \log |\mathfrak{s}(b)|^2$ and

$$\tilde{\xi}_b := \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^1} \left(\frac{\xi}{\mathfrak{s}_D} \right) \cdot \mathfrak{s}(b) \in K_{\mathbb{D}_b^1} \otimes \text{Diff}_b^* D \otimes L|_{\mathbb{D}_b^1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}_b^1 c}^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm] * \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_b^1}(\varphi_L)(\mathbb{D}_b^1 \cap V).$$

Remarks on the proof. The proof follows the same approach as that in [10, Prop. 2.3.2] with the relaxation of the coefficients of f and ξ from \mathcal{C}_X^∞ to $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty[\tilde{\omega}^\pm]$. This change does not affect the residue computation due to Fubini’s theorem.

Note also that, in [10, Prop. 2.3.2], the coefficients are allowed to be in $\mathcal{C}_{X^*}^\infty$ (locally bounded germs in $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \left[\frac{1}{|s_i|} : i \in I_D \right]$, see [10, §2.3 and footnote 2]). Such coefficients are convenient when handling residues of $\bar{\partial}\psi_D \otimes u$ (see [8, proof of Prop. 3.2.3]), but are not necessary for dealing with residues of $(\partial\psi_D)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u$, as in this paper. \square

All statements made above and in previous sections concerning (X_c, D) for $c \in (0, \infty]$ (where $X_\infty = X$) are equally applicable to $(\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma, \text{Diff}_p^* D)$ for any $p \in I_D^\sigma$.

To adapt the global residue formula in [10, Prop. 2.3.3] to the non-compact setup, an additional assumption is required, namely, the involved harmonic form has to satisfy the Takegoshi property (see Theorem 3.2.1).

Recall from [10, Prop. 2.3.3] that, for any σ -lc center \mathbb{D}_p^σ and $(\sigma + 1)$ -lc center $\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}$ such that $\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1} \subset \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma$, the sign $\text{sgn}(b : p)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} = \text{sgn}(b : p) \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1} | \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1} | \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ denotes the Poincaré residue map from \mathbb{D}_p^σ to $\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}$. The ambient space in the following statement is assumed to be X_c for any $c \in (0, \infty]$.

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let $u_p \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c)$ (with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$) for each $p \in I_D^\sigma$. With the finite cover \mathfrak{V} and partition of unity $\{\rho^i\}_{i \in I}$ given in Section 2.1, let*

$\{\gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}$ be a $K_X \otimes D \otimes F$ -valued Čech $(q-1)$ -cochain with respect to $\mathfrak{V} \cap X_c$ and set, for each $p \in I_D^\sigma$ and $b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}$ (with $\bullet = p, b$ and $D_{c, \bullet} = D_{c, p}^\sigma, D_{c, b}^{\sigma+1}$),

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\bullet; i_1 \dots i_q} := \mathcal{R}_{D, \bullet} \left(\frac{\gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\bullet := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I} \underbrace{\bar{\partial} \rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho^{i_2} \cdot \rho^{i_1}}_{=: (\bar{\partial} \rho)^{i_q \dots i_1}} \tilde{\gamma}_{\bullet; i_1 \dots i_q} \quad \text{on } D_{c, \bullet}.$$

Then, we have, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c, \nu} \frac{\bar{\partial} v_p}{\mathbf{s}(p)}, u_p \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma}, \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} = -\sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c, \nu} \frac{v_b}{\mathbf{s}(b)}, \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \text{sgn}(b : p) \mathcal{R}_{D_b^{\sigma+1} | D_p^\sigma} \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_b^{\sigma+1}},$$

where $\psi_{(p)} := \phi_{(p)} - \varphi_{(p)}^{sm}$ and $\varphi_{(p)}^{sm}$ is some smooth potential on $\text{Diff}_p^* D$, and $\sigma_+ := \max\{1, \sigma\}$. Moreover, the equality also holds when each u_p is replaced by su_p for all $p \in I_D^\sigma$ (and $\{\gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}$ is taken as $K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M$ -valued and the norm is replaced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathring{D}_{\bullet, \varphi_F + \varphi_M, \tilde{\omega}}}$).

Proof. The chain rule and the Takegoshi property (i.e. $(\partial \Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p = 0$) ensure that $(\partial \eta_{c, \nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p = 0$ on $D_{c, p}^\sigma$. Apart from the introduction of the cut-off functions $\eta_{c, \nu}$ into the formula, the proof is the same as that of [10, Prop. 2.3.3]. The treatment below focuses on the arguments involving $\eta_{c, \nu}$ and the description of the residue computation is kept brief. Readers are referred to [10] for details.

Set $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D_{\bullet, \phi(\bullet)}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot e^{-\phi(\bullet)} \rangle_{D_\bullet}$ for $\bullet = p, b$. The smooth form v_p on $D_{c, p}^\sigma$ need not be locally L^2 with respect to the weight $e^{-\phi(p)}$, so an integration by parts is done via the use of Proposition 4.1.1 (with the knowledge of the singularities of u_p along $D_p^\sigma \setminus \mathring{D}_p^\sigma$ by Proposition 3.2.5), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c, \nu} \frac{\bar{\partial} v_p}{\mathbf{s}(p)}, u_p \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma}, \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} = \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c, \nu} \bar{\partial} v_p, u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left(\left\langle \left\langle \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c, \nu} v_p), u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)}, \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} - \left\langle \left\langle v_p, (\partial \eta_{c, \nu})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{0} \\ & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon |\psi(p)} \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c, \nu} v_p), u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left(\left\langle \left\langle \bar{\partial}(e^{-\varepsilon |\psi(p)} \eta_{c, \nu} v_p), u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)}, \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} - \varepsilon \left\langle \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon |\psi(p)} \eta_{c, \nu} v_p, (\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{0} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I, \\ p \in I_D^\sigma}} \varepsilon \left\langle \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon |\psi(p)} \eta_{c, \nu} \tilde{\gamma}_{p; i_1 \dots i_q}, (\partial \rho)^{\tilde{\omega}, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \mathbf{s}(p) \right) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma, \phi(p)} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma} \\ & \xrightarrow{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \\ \text{Prop. 3.2.5} \\ \text{Prop. 4.1.1}}} - \sum_{\substack{p \in I_D^\sigma, \\ i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_{V_{i_1 \dots i_q}} \\ k = \sigma + 1}} \sigma_+ \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c, \nu} \mathcal{R}_{p(k)} \left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{p; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} \right), (\partial \rho)^{\tilde{\omega}, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \mathcal{R}_{p(k)} \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma \cap \{z_{p(k)} = 0\}} \right\rangle_{\mathring{D}_p^\sigma \cap \{z_{p(k)} = 0\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\partial \rho)^{\tilde{\omega}, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \cdot$ is the adjoint of $(\bar{\partial} \rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \cdot$, and $\mathcal{R}_{p(k)}$ denotes the Poincaré residue map from D_p^σ to $D_p^\sigma \cap \{z_{p(k)} = 0\}$, in which (z_1, \dots, z_n) is a holomorphic coordinate system

such that $D_p^\sigma \cap V_{i_1 \dots i_q} = \{z_{p(1)} = \dots = z_{p(\sigma)} = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{(p)} = z_{p(\sigma+1)} \cdots z_{p(\sigma_{V_{i_1 \dots i_q}})}$. Notice the introduction of $\eta_{c,\nu}$ helps to avoid the need to handle the boundary ∂X_c in the local residue computation. Note also the appearance of the coefficient σ_+ comes from the different normalizations of the L^2 norms on various lc centers, namely, $\|\cdot\|_X^2 := \int_X \cdots$ and $\|\cdot\|_{D_p^\sigma}^2 := \frac{\pi^\sigma}{(\sigma-1)!} \int_{D_p^\sigma} \cdots$ for every integer $\sigma \geq 1$.

Following the argument in the proof of [10, Prop. 2.3.3], the $(\sigma+1)$ -lc centers $D_p^\sigma \cap \{z_{p(k)} = 0\}$ for $k = \sigma+1, \dots, \sigma_{V_{i_1 \dots i_q}}$ in $V_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ can be re-indexed in terms of $b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}$ such that

$$D_{p_{b,j}}^\sigma \cap \{z_{b(j)} = 0\} = D_b^{\sigma+1} \cap V_{i_1 \dots i_q} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \sigma+1$$

and the summations transform as $\sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \sum_{k=\sigma+1}^{\sigma_V} \cdots = \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma+1} \cdots$. With such a choice of indexing, we have

$$\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}} := \mathcal{R}_{D_b^{\sigma+1}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right) = \text{sgn}(b : p_{b,j}) \mathcal{R}_{b(j)} \left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{p_{b,j}; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p_{b,j})}} \right)$$

(noticing that $\mathbf{s}_{(p_{b,j})} = z_{b(j)} \mathbf{s}_{(b)}$). As a result, the expression in question becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{\substack{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}, \\ i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma+1} \sigma_+ \left\| \left\langle \text{sgn}(b : p_{b,j}) \frac{\eta_{c,\nu} \tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}}, (\partial \rho)^{\tilde{\omega}, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \mathcal{R}_{b(j)} \left((\partial \psi_{(p_{b,j})})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{p_{b,j}} \right) \right\rangle \right\|_{D_b^{\sigma+1}} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I, \\ b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}}} \sigma_+ \left\| \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{(\bar{\partial} \rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}}, \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma+1} \text{sgn}(b : p_{b,j}) \mathcal{R}_{b(j)} \left((\partial \psi_{(p_{b,j})})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_{p_{b,j}} \right) \right\rangle \right\|_{D_b^{\sigma+1}} \\ &= - \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \left\| \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{v_b}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}}, \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma : D_b^{\sigma+1} \subset D_p^\sigma} \text{sgn}(b : p) \mathcal{R}_{D_b^{\sigma+1} | D_p^\sigma} \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) \right\rangle \right\|_{D_b^{\sigma+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the singularities of su_p along $D_{c,p}^\sigma \setminus \mathring{D}_{c,p}^\sigma$ (and thus those singularities of $(\partial \rho)^{\tilde{\omega}, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \mathcal{R}_{p(k)} \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner su_p \right)$) do not interfere with the residue computation, so the above derivation, and the resulting equality remains valid with su_p in place of u_p . \square

4.2. Harmonic residues. In view of Proposition 4.1.2, given any collection of $u := (u_p)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}$ of harmonic forms $u_p \in \mathcal{H}_{D_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c)$ on $\mathring{D}_{c,p}^\sigma := D_p^\sigma \cap X_c^\circ$ for each $p \in I_D^\sigma$, define

$$(eq 4.2.1) \quad w_b := \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma : D_b^{\sigma+1} \subset D_p^\sigma} \text{sgn}(b : p) \mathcal{R}_{D_b^{\sigma+1} | D_p^\sigma} \left((\partial \psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) \quad \text{on } \mathring{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1} \text{ for each } b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{R}(u) := w := (w_b)_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}},$$

which is referred to as the *harmonic residue* of u . The naming is justified by the following result.

Theorem 4.2.1. *For any $c \in (0, \infty]$, the map \mathfrak{R} is a bounded linear operator between Takegoshi harmonic spaces*

$$\mathfrak{R}: \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}_{D_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \mathcal{H}_{D_b^{\sigma+1}, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{q-1}(c),$$

that is, given any $(u_p)_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \in \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c)$, each w_b in (eq 4.2.1) is a $K_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \otimes F|_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}}$ -valued harmonic $(0, q-1)$ -form with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$ satisfying the Takegoshi property $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner w_b = 0$.

Proof. Let $u := (u_p)_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \in \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c)$ and $w := (w_b)_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} := \mathfrak{R}(u)$. By the local computations in [10, Prop. 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.4.2] (with $(\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma, \mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1})$ in place of (X, D_p) there), under the assumption that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ (hence $\nabla^{(0,1)}u_p = 0$ by Proposition 3.1.1 or Proposition 3.2.3), we have

$$\vartheta w_b = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} w_b = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$$

(note that the computations work on non-compact spaces by their local nature). Since $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{(p)}^{\text{sm}}$ is bounded from above on \mathbb{D}_p^σ , Proposition 3.2.6 with $(\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma, \mathbb{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}, \psi_{(p)}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}|\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}, u_p)$ in place of $(X_c, \mathbb{D}_{c,p}^1, \psi_D, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^1}, u)$ implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}|\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \left((\partial\psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right)$ is L^2 with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$ and is a bounded linear map in u_p . The definition (eq 4.2.1) of w_b then assures that $w_b \in L_{(2)}^{0,q-1} \left(\mathbb{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}; K_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \otimes F \right)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$ and $u \mapsto w = \mathfrak{R}(u)$ is a bounded linear map.

It remains to check that $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner w_b = 0$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$. On any admissible open set $V \subset X$ with $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1} \cap V \subset \mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V$, let z_1 be a coordinate function on V such that dz_1 generates the conormal bundle $N_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}|\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}^*$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1} \cap V$ and write $u_p = dz_1 \wedge \tilde{u}_p$ (where \tilde{u}_p contains no dz_1). Note that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}|\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \left((\partial\psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) = (dz_1)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \tilde{u}_p|_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}}$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1} \cap V$. The Takegoshi property $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p = 0$ therefore implies that

$$0 = (dz_1)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (dz_1 \wedge \tilde{u}_p) = dz_1 \wedge \left((dz_1)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \tilde{u}_p \right) = -dz_1 \wedge \left((\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (dz_1)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \tilde{u}_p \right) \quad \text{on } \mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma \cap V.$$

Since u_p is $K_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma}$ -valued, i.e. it has a top holomorphic form on $\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma \cap V$ as a basis, it follows that $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner (dz_1)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \tilde{u}_p = 0$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma \cap V$, and thus $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}|\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \left((\partial\psi_{(p)})^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner u_p \right) = 0$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1} \cap V$. After considering all admissible open sets V and all σ -lc centers \mathbb{D}_p^σ intersecting $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$, we conclude that $(\partial\Phi)^{\tilde{\omega}} \lrcorner w_b = 0$ on $\mathring{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$. \square

Recall from (eq 2.2.3) or (eq 2.2.5), for any $c \in (0, \infty]$, the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c) &:= \bigoplus_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{q-1}(c) \xrightarrow{j^c} \bigoplus_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} H^{q-1} \left(\mathbb{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}, K_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}}(\varphi_F) \right) \\ &= H^{q-1}(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1}) =: \mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})_c, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1} := \mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1}(\varphi_F; \psi_D) \cong \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma+1}(\varphi_F; \psi_D)}{\mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F; \psi_D)}$. Identifying the image and pre-image of j^c , every $w \in \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$ can be viewed as a class in $\mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})_c$.

Write $\mathcal{I}_\sigma := \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F; \psi_D)$ for convenience. For $q \geq 1$, let

$$\delta := \delta^{q-1}: H^{q-1}(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1}) \rightarrow H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{R}_\sigma)$$

be a connecting morphism in the long exact sequence induced from the short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{\sigma+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{\sigma+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1} & & \mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1} & & \mathcal{I}_\sigma \\ & & \text{Res}^\sigma \downarrow \cong & & & & \cong \downarrow \text{Res}^{\sigma+1} \\ & & \mathcal{R}_\sigma & & & & \mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1} \end{array}$$

The image δw plays an important role in the proof of the injectivity theorem (see [10, Proof of Thm. 3.4.1, Step IV] or Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 5.1.1). It can be computed via the Čech representative, where we take δ to be induced from the Čech coboundary operator. For the purpose of this paper, it suffices to consider only the images of $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$.

For each $w = (w_b)_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \in \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$, write

$$(eq\ 4.2.2) \quad w_b \stackrel{(eq\ 2.3.1)}{=} \bar{\partial} v_{b;(2)} + (-1)^{q-1} \frac{v_{b;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(b)} \quad \text{on } \mathring{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1},$$

where

$$\frac{v_{b;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(b)} := (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_1} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(b)} := (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_1} \alpha_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q} \quad \text{on } D_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$$

such that $v_{b;(2)} \in L_{(2)}^{0,q-2}(D_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}; K_{D_b^{\sigma+1}} \otimes F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$ and $\{\alpha_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}$ is a Čech $(q-1)$ -cocycle with respect to the cover $\mathfrak{V} \cap X_c$ representing the Dolbeault class of w_b (each $\alpha_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q}$ is holomorphic and is globally L^2 with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$ on $D_{c,b}^{\sigma+1} \cap V_{i_1 \cdots i_q}$). (Write $\alpha_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q} := \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(b)}$ just to maintain consistency with Proposition 4.1.2.) Take $\gamma_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \in K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X, \sigma+1}(V_{i_1 \cdots i_q})$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{D_b^{\sigma+1}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{b; i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(b)}, \quad \text{and then set} \quad \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{p; i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} := \mathcal{R}_{D_p^\sigma} \left(\frac{\gamma_{i_1 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right).$$

We see that the Čech cocycle $\left\{ \frac{(\delta \tilde{\gamma}_p)_{i_0 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} \right\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I}$ represents the component of the image δw on $D_{c,p}^\sigma$, where $\delta \tilde{\gamma}_p$ denotes the image of the cochain $\{\tilde{\gamma}_{p; i_1 \cdots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}$ under the Čech coboundary operator. In view of the Čech–Dolbeault map (eq 2.3.1), the image δw is then represented by $\left(-\frac{\bar{\partial} v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} \right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}$, where

$$-\frac{\bar{\partial} v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} := -\frac{\bar{\partial} \left((\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_1} \tilde{\gamma}_{p; i_1 \cdots i_q} \right)}{\mathbf{s}(p)} = (-1)^q (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \cdots i_0} \frac{(\delta \tilde{\gamma}_p)_{i_0 \cdots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}(p)} \quad \text{on } D_{c,p}^\sigma,$$

(see also [10, Proof of Thm. 3.4.1, Step IV]).

Note that $-\frac{\bar{\partial} v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)}$ is in general only locally, but not necessarily globally, L^2 (with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$) on $D_{c,p}^\sigma$. However, if w is in the image of the restriction map

$$j_c' : \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c') \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$$

given in (eq 2.2.6) for some $c' > c$, then the corresponding $-\frac{\bar{\partial} v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)}$ is locally L^2 in $D_{c',p}^\sigma = D_p^\sigma \cap X_{c'}$, thus globally L^2 in $D_{c,p}^\sigma = D_p^\sigma \cap X_c$. In view of this observation, define

$$(eq\ 4.2.3) \quad \Gamma_c := \bigcup_{c' \in (c, \infty]} j_c' \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c') \subset \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c).$$

Notice that each $\mathcal{H}_{D_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(c)$ forms a closed subspace in the Hilbert space $L_{(2)}^{0,q}(D_{c,p}^\sigma; K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}$. For every $w \in \Gamma_c$, write $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}w$ to mean the projection of $\left((-1)^q \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)}\right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}$, a representative of $(-1)^{q-1}\delta w$, to $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$.⁵ Proposition 4.1.2 can now be translated to the following adjoint relation between $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ and the harmonic residue map \mathfrak{R} . Note that the factor $(-1)^{q-1}$ in the definition of $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ is there just to express this relation more neatly.

Theorem 4.2.2. *For any $c \in (0, \infty)$ (excluding the case $c = \infty$), any $w \in \Gamma_c \subset \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$ and any $u \in \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$, we have*

$$\langle \delta_{\mathcal{H}}w, u \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^c} = \sigma_+ \langle w, \mathfrak{R}(u) \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D) \cap X_c^c},$$

where the inner products are given by the residue norms on their respective sets of lc centers with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$, and $\sigma_+ := \max\{1, \sigma\}$.

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{R}(u) =: (\mathfrak{R}(u)_b)_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}}$ and abuse δw to mean its representative $\left(-\frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)}\right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}$.

The conclusion of Proposition 4.1.2 can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta_{c,\nu}(-1)^{q-1}\delta w, u \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D)} &= \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu}(-1)^q \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(p)}, u_p \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{D}_p^\sigma} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4.1.2}}{=} \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu}(-1)^{q-1} \frac{v_{b;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}(b)}, \mathfrak{R}(u)_b \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{(eq 4.2.2)}}{=} \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \langle \eta_{c,\nu}(w_b - \bar{\partial}v_{b;(2)}), \mathfrak{R}(u)_b \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm. 4.2.1}}{=} \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \langle \eta_{c,\nu}w_b - \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu}v_{b;(2)}), \mathfrak{R}(u)_b \rangle_{\mathfrak{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm. 4.2.1}}{\mathfrak{R}(u) \text{ harmonic}}{=} \sigma_+ \langle \eta_{c,\nu}w, \mathfrak{R}(u) \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. It can be seen that all involved entities, in particular δw with $w \in \Gamma_c$, are L^2 (with respect to φ_F and $\tilde{\omega}$) on $\text{lc}_X^\bullet(D) \cap X_c^c$ for $\bullet = \sigma$ or $\sigma + 1$, so it is legitimate to take the limit $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ on both sides. The desired result follows by noting that $\langle (-1)^{q-1}\delta w, u \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^c} = \langle \delta_{\mathcal{H}}w, u \rangle_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^c}$ since $u \in \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$. \square

Remark 4.2.3. When X is compact, we have to consider only the case $c = \infty$ (as $X = X_\infty$). Since the representatives of δw for any $w \in \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(\infty)$ are L^2 on $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D)$, we can choose $\Gamma_\infty := \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(\infty) \cong \mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})$ and the adjoint relation still holds true.

5. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

5.1. Injectivity on X with D being prime. In this section, we prove a special case of Theorem 1.1 under the assumption that X is a Kähler manifold and D is a prime divisor. This will help illustrate the main ideas of the proof in the general case. Specifically, we prove the following theorem.

⁵The image $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}w$ of $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ is well-defined because a different choice of the Čech representative of w or a different choice of the lifting $\{\gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I}$ results in the representative of δw being altered by an element in $(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{\text{loc}} \subset \bigoplus_p L_{(2)}^{0,q}(\text{D}_{c',p}^\sigma; \cdot)$ for some $c' > c$, hence in $(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{(2)} \subset \bigoplus_p L_{(2)}^{0,q}(\text{D}_{c,p}^\sigma; \cdot)$ under the restriction map, which is orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$.

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let (X, D) be a log smooth pair where D is a prime divisor and let $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper locally Kähler morphism to an analytic space Δ . Let F (resp. M) be a line bundle on X with a smooth potential φ_F (resp. φ_M) such that*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_M \leq Ci\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \quad \text{for some } C > 0.$$

Consider a section $s \in H^0(X, M)$ such that $s^{-1}(0) \not\supseteq D$. Then, the multiplication map induced by the tensor product with s between the higher direct image sheaves

$$R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\otimes s} R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)$$

is injective for every $q \geq 0$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for a fixed $t \in \Delta$, the germ $\beta_t \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F)_t$ vanishes if $s\beta_t = 0$ in $R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)_t$. The proof consists of the following steps.

Step 1 (Reduction step). The standard exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_X \rightarrow K_X \otimes D \rightarrow K_D \rightarrow 0$ induces the following diagram.

$$(eq\ 5.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s} & R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F \otimes M) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \\ R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s} & R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^q\pi_*(K_D \otimes F|_D) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s|_D} & R^q\pi_*(K_D \otimes (F \otimes M)|_D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

The assumption on $s^{-1}(0)$ and the curvature assumption is still satisfied after restricting F and M to D . Hence, by [29], the map $\otimes s|_D$ on the bottom row is injective. An easy diagram chasing implies that there exists an element $\alpha_t \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F)_t$ such that $\tau_t(\alpha_t) = \beta_t$. Since the problem is local on Δ , by shrinking Δ to a relatively compact Stein open set, we may assume that

- φ_F and φ_M are smooth up to the boundary ∂X ,
- the function $|s|_{\varphi_M}$ is globally bounded on X .

As X is holomorphically convex after the shrinking, we may further assume that there exists a representative $\alpha \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F)(\Delta) \cong H^q(X, K_X \otimes F)$ of $\alpha_t \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes F)_t$ satisfying

- $0 = s\tau(\alpha) \in R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)(\Delta)$, and
- α is the restriction of a class on a neighborhood of the compact \bar{X} (the closure of X).

In what follows, we will show that $\tau(\alpha|_{X_c}) = 0$ in $H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F)$ for some $c \in (0, \infty)$, which implies the desired conclusion.

Step 2 (Takegoshi harmonic representative of α and its orthogonal projection u^\perp to $(\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$). The L^2_{loc} Dolbeault isomorphism (see Section 2.2) asserts that α can be represented by a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed locally L^2 form on X . Since α is defined across the boundary ∂X , the class α can be represented by a *globally* L^2 form (with respect to φ_F) on X . Fix a complete metric ω on X as described in Section 2.1. After taking a projection to the harmonic space (see (eq 2.2.2)) and taking into account Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.2, we see that α

is represented by a Takegoshi harmonic form $u \in \mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(\infty) := \mathcal{H}^q(X; K_X \otimes F; \Phi)_{\varphi_F, \omega}$, i.e. u is a harmonic form with respect to φ_F, ω on X satisfying $(\partial\Phi)^\omega \lrcorner u = 0$ on X (or see (eq 2.2.4) and (eq 4.1.2) for the definition). Notice that, for any $c \in (0, \infty]$, the restriction $u|_{X_c}$ is still a Takegoshi harmonic form in $\mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c)$ such that $j^c(u|_{X_c}) = \alpha|_{X_c}$ (see (eq 2.2.6)).

For any fixed $c \in (0, \infty)$, consider the subspace

$$(eq 5.1.2) \quad \Gamma_c := \bigcup_{c' \in (c, \infty]} j_c^{c'} \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(c') \subset \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c)$$

(cf. (eq 4.2.3)) and the map

$$\delta_{\mathcal{H}}: \Gamma_c \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c)$$

induced from the connecting morphism δ in the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{q-1}(D_c, K_D \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes F) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where $D_c := D \cap X_c$, as discussed in Section 4.2. Then u can be orthogonally decomposed as

$$u|_{X_c} = u^\perp + \mu \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp \oplus \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} = \mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c).$$

From the long exact sequence above, we see that $\mu \in \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} \subset \ker \tau$ (the map j^c is made implicit). Therefore, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that $u^\perp = 0$ on X_c .

Step 3 ($\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ as an obstruction of $\|su^\perp\|_{X_c}^2 = 0$). We make use of the assumption $[s\tau(u)] = [s\tau(u^\perp)] = 0$ in $H^q(X, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)$ and the Čech–Dolbeault map in Section 2.3 to re-express $\|su^\perp\|_{X_c}^2$ as follows.

- Given the finite Stein open cover $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ and the partition of unity $\{\rho^i\}_{i \in I}$ subordinate to \mathfrak{V} given in Section 2.1, it follows from the discussion in Section 2.3 that there exist a Čech cocycle $\{\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp\}_{i_0 \dots i_q \in I}$ representing the class of u^\perp via the L_{loc}^2 Dolbeault isomorphism on X_c and a globally L^2 section $v_{(2)}$ of $K_X \otimes F$ on X_c with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{X_c} := \|\cdot\|_{X_c, \varphi_F, \omega}$ such that

$$(*) \quad u^\perp = \bar{\partial}v_{(2)} + (-1)^q \underbrace{\bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_1} \cdot \rho^{i_0}}_{=: (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_0}} \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp.$$

Note that each $\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp \in H^0(V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c, K_X \otimes F)$ is globally L^2 on the open set $V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c$.

- The fact $[s\tau(u^\perp)] = 0$ in $H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)$ guarantees the existence of $\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q} \in H^0(V_{i_1 \dots i_q} \cap X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M)$ for $i_1, \dots, i_q \in I$ such that (note that τ is given by $\otimes s_D$)

$$s\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp s_D = (\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q} \quad \text{on } V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c,$$

where δ is Čech coboundary operator. Thanks to this identity, the second term of RHS in (*), after applying $\otimes s_D$, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^q (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_0} s\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp s_D &= (-1)^q \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_1} \cdot \rho^{i_0} (\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q} \\ &= (-1)^q \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_1} \cdot \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q} \\ &= -\bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_2} \rho^{i_1} \cdot \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}) \\ &= -\bar{\partial}\left((\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_q}\right) =: -\bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{(\infty)}$ is a smooth section of $K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M$, which is globally L^2 with respect to $\varphi_D^{\text{sm}} + \varphi_F + \varphi_M$ (not to ϕ_D) on X_c . Thus, we have

$$su^\perp = \bar{\partial}(sv_{(2)}) - \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_D}.$$

Since u^\perp is harmonic with respect to φ_F on X_c and we also have $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0$ and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_M \leq Ci\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F$ on X for some constant $C > 0$ by assumption, Proposition 3.1.1 and Lemma 3.1.2 guarantee that su^\perp and $su^\perp\mathbf{s}_D$ are harmonic with respect to $\varphi_F + \varphi_M$ and $\phi_D + \varphi_F + \varphi_M$, respectively, on X_c . Then $\|su^\perp\|_{X_c}^2$ can be computed using the Takegoshi property and the residue computation in Proposition 4.1.1, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|su^\perp\|_{X_c}^2 &= \left\langle \bar{\partial}(sv_{(2)}) - \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_D}, su^\perp \right\rangle_{X_c} \stackrel{(\text{im } \bar{\partial})_{(2)}^\perp su^\perp}{=} - \left\langle \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_D}, su^\perp \right\rangle_{X_c} \\ &\stackrel{\nu \rightarrow +\infty}{\leftarrow} - \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_D}, su^\perp \right\rangle_{X_c} = - \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \bar{\partial}v_{(\infty)}, su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} \\ &= - \left\langle \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu} v_{(\infty)}), su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} + \left\langle v_{(\infty)}, (\partial\eta_{c,\nu})^\omega \lrcorner su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} \\ &\stackrel{(\because \text{Takegoshi property})}{\rightarrow} 0 \\ &\stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}{\leftarrow} - \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon|\psi_D|} \bar{\partial}(\eta_{c,\nu} v_{(\infty)}), su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} \\ &= - \left\langle \bar{\partial}(e^{-\varepsilon|\psi_D|} \eta_{c,\nu} v_{(\infty)}), su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} + \varepsilon \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon|\psi_D|} \eta_{c,\nu} v_{(\infty)}, (\partial\psi_D)^\omega \lrcorner su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} \\ &\stackrel{(\because u^\perp \text{ harmonic, Lemma 3.1.2 or Proposition 3.2.3})}{\rightarrow} 0 \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_q \in I} \varepsilon \left\langle e^{-\varepsilon|\psi_D|} \eta_{c,\nu} \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}, (\partial\rho)^{\omega, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner (\partial\psi_D)^\omega \lrcorner su^\perp \mathbf{s}_D \right\rangle_{X_c, \phi_D} \\ &\stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}{\text{Prop. 4.1.1}} \rightarrow \sum_{i_1 \dots i_q \in I} \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \mathcal{R}_D \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right), (\partial\rho)^{\omega, i_1 \dots i_q} \lrcorner \mathcal{R}_D((\partial\psi_D)^\omega \lrcorner su^\perp) \right\rangle_{D_c} \\ &= \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} v_{b;(\infty)}, s \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \right\rangle_{D_c}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_{b;(\infty)} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I} (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \tilde{\lambda}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q} := \mathcal{R}_D \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = \mathcal{R}_D((\partial\psi_D)^\omega \lrcorner u^\perp).$$

Note that the notation here follows those used in Proposition 4.1.2 (with $I_D^1 = \{b\}$, a singleton, here) and Section 4.2. It is shown below that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ is actually 0 on D_c , which will then conclude the proof.

Step 4 (Vanishing of $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ from $u^\perp \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$ and the adjoint relation between $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ and \mathfrak{R}). It follows from Theorem 4.2.1 that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \in \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(c)$ and from Theorem 4.2.2 that

$$0 \stackrel{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c^\perp u^\perp}{=} \left\langle \delta_{\mathcal{H}} w, u^\perp \right\rangle_{X_c} = \left\langle w, \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \right\rangle_{D_c} \quad \text{for all } w \in \Gamma_c.$$

It thus suffices to show that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \in \overline{\Gamma_c}$ (the closure of Γ_c in $\mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(c)$), which will imply that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = 0$.

Recall that $u^\perp = u|_{X_c} - \mu$. We have $\mathfrak{R}(u) \in \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(\infty)$ by Theorem 4.2.1, so $\mathfrak{R}(u|_{X_c}) = \mathfrak{R}(u)|_{X_c} \in \Gamma_c$ by the definition (eq 4.2.3) of Γ_c . Furthermore, by $\mu \in \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c}$, there is a sequence $\{w_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$w_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(c_\nu) \quad \text{for some } c_\nu > c \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\mathcal{H}}w_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \mu \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c).$$

Recall from the discussion in Section 4.2 that, although the representatives of δw_ν may not be globally L^2 on X_{c_ν} , they are globally L^2 on the smaller space $X_{c_\nu^-}$ for any $c_\nu^- \in (c, c_\nu)$. This means that we have $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}w_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \omega}^q(c_\nu^-)$. (All the maps j^c and $j_c^{c'}$ are made implicit here for clarity.) Theorem 4.2.1 again guarantees that $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_{\mathcal{H}}w_\nu) \in \mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \omega}^{q-1}(c_\nu^-) \subset \Gamma_c$. Since \mathfrak{R} is a bounded linear operator, this clearly implies that $\mathfrak{R}(\mu) \in \overline{\Gamma_c}$. As a result, we obtain $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = \mathfrak{R}(u)|_{X_c} - \mathfrak{R}(\mu) \in \overline{\Gamma_c}$, which completes the proof. \square

5.2. Injectivity for (X, D) and $(Y, 0)$ in general. In this section, we prove a special case of Theorem 1.2 on Y (with $D_Y = 0$) and Theorem 1.1 on X with a generalization such that the potentials φ_F and φ_M are allowed to be singular. These will be used to prove the full version of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.3.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let (X, D) be a Kähler log smooth lc pair, Y be a reduced snc divisor with the defining ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Y on X and $\pi: X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper locally Kähler morphism to an analytic space Δ . Let F (resp. M) be a line bundle on X equipped with a potential φ_F (resp. φ_M) such that it has the analytic singularities described as in Section 2.1 and satisfies*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -C\omega \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_M \leq Ci\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_F \quad \text{for some } C > 0.$$

Consider a section $s \in H^0(X, M)$ such that its zero locus $s^{-1}(0)$ contains no lc centers of the pairs (X, D) and (X, Y) , and that the function $|s|_{\varphi_M}$ is locally bounded on X . Write π_Y, F_Y, M_Y and s_Y as the pullback of π, F, M and s to Y respectively. Then, the multiplication maps induced by $\otimes s$ and $\otimes s_Y$ between the higher direct image sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)) &\xrightarrow{\otimes s} R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M)) \\ R^q\pi_{Y*}\left(K_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y}\right) &\xrightarrow{\otimes s_Y} R^q\pi_{Y*}\left(K_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes M_Y \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y}\right) \end{aligned}$$

are injective for every $q \geq 0$, where $K_Y := (K_X \otimes Y)|_Y = K_X \otimes Y \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{I}_Y}$.

Remark 5.2.2. By the snc assumption on $\varphi_L := \varphi_F$ or $\varphi_F + \varphi_M$, the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf, and the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_L)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y}$ has the structure of an \mathcal{O}_Y -sheaf. It follows that $K_X \otimes Y \otimes F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y} = K_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y}$.

Proof. The outline of the proof is the same as the one in [10, §3.4] with some adjustments.

Step 1 (Reduction via induction on lc centers and the local nature of the problem). Set

$$\mathcal{I}_{-1}(\varphi_F; \psi_D) := \mathcal{I}_{-1}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M; \psi_D) := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_0(\varphi_F; \psi_D) := D^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\sigma &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F; \psi_D) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)}, \\ \mathcal{R}_\sigma &:= \mathcal{R}_\sigma(\varphi_F; \psi_D) \cong \frac{\mathcal{I}_\sigma}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}, \\ \mathcal{I}_\sigma^M &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F + \varphi_M; \psi_D) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{F}) &:= R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for any sheaf } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the inclusions between adjoint ideal sheaves induce the short exact sequences

$$(eq\ 5.2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma'.$$

They, together with the multiplication map $\otimes s$, induce the commutative diagrams

$$(eq\ 5.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \right) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\sigma-1} & \searrow \mu_{\sigma-1} & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\sigma}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_0-1}^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \mu_{\sigma} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\sigma}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes s} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma'}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \nu_{\sigma} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

for $\sigma = \sigma_0, \sigma_0 + 1, \dots, \sigma'$. Here the columns are exact, and μ_{σ} (resp. ν_{σ}) is the composition of ι_{σ} (resp. τ_{σ}) with the map induced from $\otimes s$. Note that $\mu_{\sigma_0} = \nu_{\sigma_0}$ and $\iota_{\sigma_0} = \tau_{\sigma_0}$.

For any $t \in \Delta$ and any neighborhood $U_t \in \Delta$ of t , there exists the smallest positive integer $\sigma_{\text{mlc}} \leq n$ (dependent on U_t) such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_{\text{mlc}}-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_{\text{mlc}}} = \mathcal{I}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \quad \text{on } \pi^{-1}(U_t) \quad \text{for all integers } \sigma \geq \sigma_{\text{mlc}}$$

by the strong Noetherian property of increasing sequences of coherent sheaves.⁶ Since $\iota_{\sigma} = \text{id}$ (the identity map) at t for any σ and σ' such that $\sigma' \geq \sigma \geq \sigma_{\text{mlc}}$ (hence $\mu_{\sigma} = \mu_{\sigma_{\text{mlc}}} = \otimes s$ at t), both injectivity statements in the claim at t are proved if we put in the diagram (eq 5.2.2)

- $\sigma' := n$ and $\sigma_0 := 0$ (for the map $\otimes s$)
- $\sigma' := n$, $\sigma_0 := 1$ and $D := Y$ (for the map \otimes_{s_Y} , note that $\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}}{\mathcal{I}_0}$ has an \mathcal{O}_Y -sheaf structure as explained in Remark 5.2.2)

and show that $(\ker \mu_n)_t = (\ker \iota_n)_t (= 0)$. Following the argument in [8, Thm. 1.3.2], since $(\ker \mu_{\sigma-1})_t = (\ker \iota_{\sigma-1})_t$ and $(\ker \nu_{\sigma})_t = (\ker \tau_{\sigma})_t$ together imply $(\ker \mu_{\sigma})_t = (\ker \iota_{\sigma})_t$ via a diagram-chasing argument, to prove the injectivity of the map in the claim, it suffices to show that

$$(\ker \nu_{\sigma})_t = (\ker \tau_{\sigma})_t \quad \text{for all } \sigma = \begin{cases} 0, 1, \dots, n & \text{(for } \otimes s) \\ 1, \dots, n & \text{(for } \otimes_{s_Y}) \end{cases} \quad \text{and for all } t \in \Delta.$$

Note that we obviously have $\ker \tau_{\sigma} \subset \ker \nu_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \geq 0$ (on Δ). The remainder of the proof is devoted to proving the reverse inclusions for all $t \in \Delta$.

⁶Indeed σ_{mlc} is the codimension of the mlc's of $(\pi^{-1}(U_t), D)$, as $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_{\text{mlc}}-1} = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma_{\text{mlc}}}(D)} \neq \mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)$.

At this point, fix any point $t \in \Delta$ and any integer $\sigma = (0,) 1, \dots, n$. Pick any germ

$$\alpha_t \in (\ker \nu_\sigma)_t \subset \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_t .$$

It suffices to prove that $\alpha_t \in (\ker \tau_\sigma)_t$.

As the problem is local on Δ , we can shrink Δ to a (sufficiently small) relatively compact Stein open neighborhood of $t \in \Delta$ such that α_t is lifted to a section $\alpha \in \ker \nu_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$ on Δ which is defined even across the boundary $\partial\Delta$. The manifold X is shrunk accordingly and becomes a *holomorphically convex manifold*. Thanks to such shrinking, we can therefore assume that

- the potentials φ_F and φ_M are smooth on their regular loci across the boundary ∂X ,
- the function $|s|_{\varphi_M}$ is globally bounded on X ,
- X admits a *finite* Stein open covering $\mathfrak{V} := \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$

as in the assumptions stated in Section 2.1. Furthermore, construct the smooth exhaustion psh function Φ and the *complete* Kähler metric on X described in Section 2.1. For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_c := \{\Phi < c\}$ for $c \in (0, \infty]$, we have the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{F})_c := H^q(X_c, K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cong R^q \pi_*(\mathcal{F})(\Delta_c) ,$$

thanks to the Leray spectral sequence and Cartan's Theorem B on the Stein space $\Delta_c := \{\Phi_\Delta < c\}$. Therefore, the section

$$\alpha \in \ker \nu_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_\infty ,$$

is abused to mean the corresponding class in $\mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_\infty$ on X (supported on $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D)$) which is *the restriction of a class on a neighborhood of \bar{X}* (the closure of X). Abusing also $\ker \nu_\sigma$ and $\ker \tau_\sigma$ to mean their corresponding subspaces in $\mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_\infty$, we are going to prove that $\alpha|_{X_c} \in \ker \tau_\sigma|_{X_c} \subset \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_c$ for some $c > 0$, which will complete the proof.

Step 2 (Takegoshi harmonic representative of $\alpha|_{X_c}$ and its orthogonal projection u^\perp to $(\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$). We first show that $\alpha|_{X_c}$ can be associated to a Takegoshi harmonic form via the map $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c) \xrightarrow{j^c} \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_c$ given in Sections 2.2 and 4.2. Write $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) = \bigcup_{p \in I_D^\sigma} D_p^\sigma$ as the union of σ -lc centers D_p^σ of (X, D) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the complete Kähler metric on $X^\circ := X \setminus (P_F \cup P_M)$ as described in Section 2.1. The kind of maps $j = j^\infty$ in (eq 2.2.3) induces the monomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}^q(D_p^\sigma; K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \xrightarrow{j^\infty} \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} H^q(D_p^\sigma, K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}_{D_p^\sigma}(\varphi_F)) = \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_\infty .$$

The L^2_{loc} Dolbeault isomorphism (see Section 2.2) asserts that the component of α on each σ -lc center D_p^σ can be represented by a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed locally L^2 form with respect to φ_F on D_p^σ . Since α is defined across the boundary ∂X , the component of α on D_p^σ can be represented by a *globally* L^2 form on D_p^σ . After taking a projection to the harmonic space (see (eq 2.2.2)) and taking into account Theorem 3.2.1 and Remark 3.2.2, we see that α is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} u := (u_p)_{p \in I_D^\sigma} &\in \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}^q(D_p^\sigma; K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F)_{\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm. 3.2.1}}{=} \bigoplus_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \mathcal{H}^q_{D_p^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}(\infty) =: \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(\infty) , \end{aligned}$$

where $u = (u_p)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}$ is a collection of Takegoshi harmonic forms (see (eq 2.2.4) for the definition), i.e. each u_p is a harmonic form with respect to $\varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}$ on D_p^σ satisfying $(\partial\Phi) \lrcorner u_p =$

0 on $\mathring{D}_p^\sigma := D_p^\sigma \cap X^\circ$. Notice that, for any $c \in (0, \infty]$, the restriction $u|_{X_c}$ is still a collection of Takegoshi harmonic forms in $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$ such that $j^c(u|_{X_c}) = \alpha|_{X_c}$ (see (eq 2.2.6)).

Now fix any positive $c < \infty$. Recall from (eq 4.2.3) the subspace Γ_c of $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$ and the map

$$\delta_{\mathcal{H}}: \Gamma_c \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$$

induced from the connecting homomorphism $\delta: \mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})_c \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_c$, as discussed in Section 4.2. Recall also that we take the (squared) residue norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ}^2 = \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \|\cdot\|_{\mathring{D}_{c,p}^\sigma, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^2$ as the L^2 norm on $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c)$ (see Section 4.1). Then, we decompose u orthogonally as

$$u|_{X_c} = u^\perp + \mu \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp \oplus \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} = \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(c).$$

The short exact sequences in (eq 5.2.1) induce the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})_c & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_c & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^q\left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma+1}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}\right)_c \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \tau_{\sigma+1} & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{q-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_n}{\mathcal{I}_\sigma}\right)_c & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)_c & \xrightarrow{\tau_\sigma} & \mathbb{H}^q\left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_n}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}\right)_c \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

in which the rows are exact. This shows that $\mu \in \overline{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c} \subset \ker \tau_\sigma \subset \ker \nu_\sigma$ (the map j^c is made implicit). Therefore, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that $u^\perp = 0$ on $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ$.

Step 3 ($\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ as an obstruction of $\|su^\perp\|_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ}^2 = 0$). We show below that the harmonic residue $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ is an obstruction to our desired vanishing $u^\perp = 0$ on $\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ$ by rewriting $\|su^\perp\|_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ}^2$ using the assumption $u^\perp \in \ker \nu_\sigma$ and the Čech–Dolbeault map (eq 2.3.1). First note that both $u|_{X_c}$ and μ belong to $\ker \nu_\sigma$ on X_c , so $u^\perp \in \ker \nu_\sigma$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{V} := \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the finite Stein cover of X and $\{\rho^i\}_{i \in I}$ is the partition of unity subordinate to \mathfrak{V} as described in Section 2.1. Through the Čech–Dolbeault map (eq 2.3.1), the cohomology class of the component u_p^\perp of u^\perp on each $D_{c,p}^\sigma$ is represented by a Čech q -cocycle $\left\{ \alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp \right\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I}$ such that

$$(eq 5.2.3) \quad u_p^\perp = \bar{\partial} v_{p;(2)} + (-1)^q \underbrace{\bar{\partial} \rho^{i_q} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial} \rho^{i_1} \cdot \rho^{i_0}}_{=:(\bar{\partial} \rho)^{i_q \dots i_0}} \alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp,$$

where $v_{p;(2)}$ is a $K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F|_{D_p^\sigma}$ -valued $(0, q-1)$ -form on $\mathring{D}_{c,p}^\sigma$ with L^2 coefficients with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathring{D}_{c,p}^\sigma}$ and $\alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp \in K_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes F|_{D_p^\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{D_p^\sigma}(\varphi_F)$ on $D_{c,p}^\sigma \cap V_{i_0 \dots i_q} := D_{c,p}^\sigma \cap V_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap V_{i_q}$. By the residue exact sequence (eq 4.1.1), for each choice of the multi-indices (i_0, \dots, i_q) , there exists a section $f_{i_0, \dots, i_q} \in K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{I}_\sigma$ on the Stein open set $V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c$ such that

$$\text{Res}^\sigma(f_{i_0 \dots i_q}) = \left(\alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp \right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}.$$

Considering the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ and multiplying the cochain by the section s , we see that the image $\nu_\sigma(u^\perp)$ is represented by the Čech q -cocycle $\left\{ [sf_{i_0 \dots i_q}] \right\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I}$, in which

$$[sf_{i_0 \dots i_q}] := (sf_{i_0 \dots i_q} \bmod \mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M) \in K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_n^M}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M} \quad \text{on } V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c.$$

The assumption $u^\perp \in \ker \nu_\sigma$ implies that this cocycle is a coboundary, that is, there exists $\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q} \in K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M \otimes \mathcal{I}_n^M$ on $V_{i_1 \dots i_q} \cap X_c$ for each (i_1, \dots, i_q) such that

$$\{[sf_{i_0 \dots i_q}]\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I} = \delta\{[\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}]\}_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I} = \left\{ [(\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q}] \right\}_{i_0, \dots, i_q \in I},$$

where $(\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ is given by the usual formula of Čech coboundary operator $(\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q} := \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^k \lambda_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_q}$. Note that $\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ need *not* take values in \mathcal{I}_σ^M . Since $sf_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ and $(\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q}$ differ by an element in $K_X \otimes D \otimes F \otimes M \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M$ on $V_{i_0 \dots i_q} \cap X_c$, we see that

$$\text{Res}^\sigma((\delta\lambda)_{i_0 \dots i_q}) = \text{Res}^\sigma(sf_{i_0 \dots i_q}) = \left(s\alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp \right)_{p \in I_D^\sigma}.$$

Following the notation in Proposition 4.1.2, set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_{p; i_1 \dots i_q} &:= \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_p^\sigma} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{(p)} \quad \text{for each } p \in I_D^\sigma \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q} &:= \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{D}_b^{\sigma+1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_D} \right) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{(b)} \quad \text{for each } b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have $\frac{(\delta\tilde{\lambda}_p)_{i_0 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}} = s\alpha_{p; i_0 \dots i_q}^\perp$ on $\mathbb{D}_{c,p}^\sigma$ for each $p \in I_D^\sigma$. Together with (eq 5.2.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} su_p^\perp - \bar{\partial}(sv_{p;(2)}) &= (-1)^q (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_0} \frac{(\delta\tilde{\lambda}_p)_{i_0 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}} \\ &= (-1)^q \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_q} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho^{i_1} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{p; i_1 \dots i_q}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}} \\ &= - \frac{\bar{\partial}\left((\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \tilde{\lambda}_{p; i_1 \dots i_q} \right)}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}} =: - \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting also $v_{b;(\infty)} := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q \in I} (\bar{\partial}\rho)^{i_q \dots i_1} \tilde{\lambda}_{b; i_1 \dots i_q}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}$, Proposition 4.1.2 then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|su^\perp\|_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ}^2 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 3.1.1}}{=} \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle su_p^\perp - \bar{\partial}(sv_{p;(2)}), su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma}, \left\langle \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}, su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma} \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{\nu \rightarrow \infty}{\leftarrow} - \sum_{p \in I_D^\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}, su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma}, \left\langle \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}, su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma} \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4.1.2}}{=} \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{v_{b;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}}, s \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)_b \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}}, \left\langle \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}, su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma} \right\rangle \\ &\stackrel{\nu \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_+ \sum_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}} \left\langle \left\langle \eta_{c,\nu} \frac{v_{b;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(b)}}, s \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)_b \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,b}^{\sigma+1}}, \left\langle \frac{\bar{\partial}v_{p;(\infty)}}{\mathbf{s}_{(p)}}, su_p^\perp \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{c,p}^\sigma} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = (\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)_b)_{b \in I_D^{\sigma+1}}$ is the harmonic residue of u^\perp defined in Section 4.2. Therefore, if we show that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = 0$ on $\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D) \cap X_c^\circ$, then $su^\perp = 0$ and hence $u^\perp = 0$, which will conclude the proof.

Step 4 (Vanishing of $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp)$ from $u^\perp \in (\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\Gamma_c)^\perp$ and the adjoint relation between $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ and \mathfrak{R}). It follows from Theorem 4.2.1 that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \in \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$ and from Theorem 4.2.2

that

$$0 \stackrel{\delta_{\mathcal{H}} \Gamma_c \perp u^\perp}{=} \llbracket \delta_{\mathcal{H}} w, u^\perp \rrbracket_{\text{lc}_X^\sigma(D) \cap X_c^\circ} = \sigma_+ \llbracket w, \mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \rrbracket_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D) \cap X_c^\circ} \quad \text{for all } w \in \Gamma_c .$$

It thus suffices to show that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) \in \overline{\Gamma}_c$ (the closure of Γ_c in $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(c)$), which will imply that $\mathfrak{R}(u^\perp) = 0$.

The rest of the proof is the same as in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 5.1.1, mutatis mutandis (with $\mathcal{H}_{D, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^{q-1}(\bullet)$ there replaced by $\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma+1})(\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{X, \varphi_F, \tilde{\omega}}^q(\bullet)$ by $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)(\bullet)$). The proof is then completed. \square

5.3. Injectivity for (Y, D_Y) . The full version of Theorem 1.2, together with a generalization allowing singularities on φ_F and φ_M , is given below.

Theorem 5.3.1 (Theorem 1.2 with singular Hermitian metrics). *Using the notation and assumptions in Theorem 5.2.1, assume further that the divisor Y and D has no common irreducible components, the divisor $Y + D$ is snc and $s^{-1}(0)$ contains no lc centers of $(X, Y + D)$. Let $D_Y := D \cap Y$. Then, Theorem 5.2.1 implies that the multiplication map induced by $\otimes_{\mathbf{s}_Y}$ between the higher direct image sheaves*

$$R^q \pi_{Y*} \left(K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y} \right) \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathbf{s}_Y}} R^q \pi_{Y*} \left(K_Y \otimes D_Y \otimes F_Y \otimes M_Y \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y} \right)$$

is injective for every $q \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\phi_Y := \log |\mathbf{s}_Y|^2$ be the potential on (the line bundle associated with) Y induced from a canonical section \mathbf{s}_Y . Define $\psi_Y := \phi_Y - \varphi_Y^{\text{sm}} \leq -1$, where φ_Y^{sm} is some smooth potential on Y , and set $\psi_{Y+D} := \psi_Y + \psi_D$.

Let $\varphi_L := \varphi_F$ or $\varphi_F + \varphi_M$. Recall that the divisors P_L (the polar locus of φ_L), D and Y have only snc against each other, and there are no common components among any two of them, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L + \phi_Y; \psi_D) &= \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L + \phi_Y) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(D)} , \\ \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_{Y+D}) &= \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(Y+D)} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_Y) &= \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{lc}_X^{\sigma+1}(Y)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\sigma \geq 0$. It also follows from $\mathcal{I}(\varphi_L + \phi_Y) \cdot \mathcal{I}_D = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}_D = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_{Y+D}$ that

$$\mathcal{I}_0(\varphi_L + \phi_Y; \psi_D) = \mathcal{I}_0(\varphi_L; \psi_{Y+D}) .$$

Moreover, for $\sigma \gg 0$, say, when $\sigma = n$, all increasing sequences of adjoint ideal sheaves above stabilize such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n(\varphi_L + \phi_Y; \psi_D) &= \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L + \phi_Y) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y \quad (= \mathcal{I}_0(\varphi_L; \psi_Y)) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{I}_n(\varphi_L; \psi_{Y+D}) &= \mathcal{I}_n(\varphi_L; \psi_Y) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi_L) . \end{aligned}$$

Note also that

$$(\dagger) \quad \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_{Y+D}) \subset \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_L; \psi_Y) \quad \text{on } X \text{ for all } \sigma \geq 0 .^7$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\sigma; D}^Y &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F + \phi_Y; \psi_D) , & \mathcal{I}_{\sigma; D}^{M, Y} &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F + \varphi_M + \phi_Y; \psi_D) , \\ \mathcal{I}_\sigma &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F; \psi_{Y+D}) , & \mathcal{I}_\sigma^M &:= \mathcal{I}_\sigma(\varphi_F + \varphi_M; \psi_{Y+D}) , \end{aligned}$$

⁷This can be seen by noticing that $|\psi_{Y+D}| = |\psi_Y| + |\psi_D| \geq |\psi_Y| \geq 1$ and the expression $e^{-|\psi|} |\psi|^\sigma (\log |e\psi|)^{1+\varepsilon}$ is decreasing in $|\psi|$ (≥ 1) as soon as, say, $|\psi| \geq \sigma + 1 + \varepsilon$.

$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma;Y} := \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}(\varphi_F; \psi_Y), \quad \mathcal{I}_{\sigma;Y}^M := \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}(\varphi_F + \varphi_M; \psi_Y)$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{F}) := R^q\pi_*(K_X \otimes Y \otimes D \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for any sheaf } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } X$$

for convenience. The above consideration shows that we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;D}^Y}{\mathcal{I}_{0;D}^Y} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_n}{\mathcal{I}_0} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}}{\mathcal{I}_{0;Y}} \longrightarrow 0, \\ \parallel \\ \frac{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F)}{\mathcal{I}(\varphi_F) \cdot \mathcal{I}_Y}$$

which induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_n}{\mathcal{I}_0} \right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}}{\mathcal{I}_{0;Y}} \right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;D}^Y}{\mathcal{I}_{0;D}^Y} \right) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \otimes_{s_{Y+D}} & \searrow \mu_n & \downarrow \otimes_{s_Y} & & \downarrow \otimes_{s_D} \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_n^M}{\mathcal{I}_0^M} \right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{0;Y}^M} \right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;D}^{M,Y}}{\mathcal{I}_{0;D}^{M,Y}} \right) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact, and all columns are induced from $\otimes s$. The proof is finished if we show that the map \otimes_{s_Y} is injective.

By applying Theorem 5.2.1 (with $(F \otimes Y, \varphi_F + \phi_Y)$ in place of (F, φ_F) there) to the pair (X, D) and using the fact that $\varphi_F + \phi_Y$ is psh, the map \otimes_{s_D} is injective. By a diagram-chasing argument, to prove that the map \otimes_{s_Y} is injective, it suffices to show that $\ker \mu_n = \ker \iota_n$.

The short exact sequence of adjoint ideal sheaves of the form (eq 5.2.1) and the inclusion (†) induce the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}{\mathcal{I}_0} \right) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}}{\mathcal{I}_0} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{s_Y}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M}{\mathcal{I}_0^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\sigma-1} & \searrow \mu_{\sigma-1} & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}}{\mathcal{I}_0} \right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\sigma}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}}{\mathcal{I}_{0;Y}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{s_Y}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{0;Y}^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \mu_{\sigma} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^q(\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\sigma}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}} \right) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{s_Y}} & \mathbb{R}^q \left(M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}^M}{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma-1}^M} \right) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \nu_{\sigma} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

for $\sigma = 1, \dots, n$, analogous to (eq 5.2.2). From the exactness of the columns and the commutativity of the diagram, it follows from a diagram-chasing argument that $\ker \mu_{\sigma-1} = \ker \iota_{\sigma-1}$ and $\ker \nu_{\sigma} = \ker \tau_{\sigma}$ implies $\ker \mu_{\sigma} = \ker \iota_{\sigma}$ for any $\sigma \geq 1$. Since $\mu_1 = \nu_1$ and $\iota_1 = \tau_1$, we again have to show that

$$(\ker \nu_{\sigma})_t = (\ker \tau_{\sigma})_t \quad \text{for all } \sigma = 1, \dots, n \text{ and for all } t \in \Delta .$$

The proof of this equality follows from the proof of Theorem 5.2.1, mutatis mutandis (with, at worst, a replacement of “ \mathcal{I}_n^M ” by “ $\mathcal{I}_{n;Y}^M$ ” in Step 3 of the proof). This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Ambro, *Quasi-log varieties*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003), no. Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebrы, 220–239; English transl., Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **1(240)** (2003), 214–233. MR1993751
- [2] F. Ambro, *An injectivity theorem*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 6, 999–1023, DOI [10.1112/S0010437X13007768](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007768). MR3223880
- [3] J. Cao, J.-P. Demailly, and S. Matsumura, *A general extension theorem for cohomology classes on non reduced analytic subspaces*, Sci. China Math. **60** (2017), no. 6, 949–962, DOI [10.1007/s11425-017-9066-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-017-9066-0). MR3647124
- [4] J. Cao and M. Păun, *$\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemmas and a conjecture of O. Fujino* (2023), arXiv version at [arXiv:2303.16239](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.16239) [math.AG].
- [5] T. O. M. Chan, *On an L^2 extension theorem from log-canonical centres with log-canonical measures*, Math. Z. **301** (2022), no. 2, 1695–1717, DOI [10.1007/s00209-021-02890-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-021-02890-9), available at <https://rdcu.be/cFDPA>, arXiv version at [arXiv:2008.03019](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03019) [math.CV]. Numbering of cited sections and theorems follows the arXiv version. MR4418335
- [6] ———, *A new definition of analytic adjoint ideal sheaves via the residue functions of log-canonical measures I*, J. Geom. Anal. **33** (2023), no. 9, Paper No. 279, 68 pp., DOI [10.1007/s12220-023-01314-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-023-01314-w), available at <https://rdcu.be/deUDt>, arXiv version at [arXiv:2111.05006](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.05006) [math.CV].
- [7] T. O. M. Chan and Y.-J. Choi, *Extension with log-canonical measures and an improvement to the plt extension of Demailly-Hacon-Păun*, Math. Ann. **383** (2022), no. 3-4, 943–997, DOI [10.1007/s00208-021-02152-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-021-02152-3), available at <https://rdcu.be/cn5N6>, arXiv version at [arXiv:1912.08076](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.08076) [math.CV]. MR4458394
- [8] ———, *On an injectivity theorem for log-canonical pairs with analytic adjoint ideal sheaves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), 8337–8381, DOI [10.1090/tran/8935](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8935), arXiv version at [arXiv:2205.06954](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.06954) [math.CV].
- [9] ———, *An application of adjoint ideal sheaves to injectivity and extension theorems* (2023), arXiv version at [arXiv:2306.00670](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.00670) [math.CV].
- [10] T. O. M. Chan, Y.-J. Choi, and S. Matsumura, *An injectivity theorem on snc compact Kähler spaces: an application of the theory of harmonic integrals on log-canonical centers via adjoint ideal sheaves* (2023), arXiv version at [arXiv:2307.12025](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.12025) [math.CV].
- [11] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l’opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d’un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d’une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), no. 3, 457–511 (French). MR690650
- [12] ———, *Complex analytic and differential geometry* (2012), <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>. OpenContent Book.
- [13] I. Enoki, *Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for compact Kähler manifolds*, Einstein metrics and Yang-Mills connections (Sanda, 1990), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 145, Dekker, New York, 1993, pp. 59–68. MR1215279
- [14] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR1193913
- [15] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969. MR0257325
- [16] O. Fujino, *Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 3, 727–789, DOI [10.2977/PRIMS/50](https://doi.org/10.2977/PRIMS/50). MR2832805

- [17] ———, *A transcendental approach to Kollár’s injectivity theorem II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **681** (2013), 149–174, DOI [10.1515/crelle-2012-0036](https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0036). MR3181493
- [18] ———, *Vanishing theorems*, Minimal models and extremal rays (Kyoto, 2011), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 70, Math. Soc. Japan, [Tokyo], 2016, pp. 299–321, DOI [10.2969/aspm/07010299](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/07010299). MR3618264
- [19] ———, *Injectivity theorems*, Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 74, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017, pp. 131–157, DOI [10.2969/aspm/07410131](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/07410131). MR3791211
- [20] ———, *On semipositivity, injectivity and vanishing theorems*, Hodge theory and L^2 -analysis, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 39, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2017, pp. 245–282. MR3751293
- [21] O. Fujino and S. Matsumura, *Injectivity theorem for pseudo-effective line bundles and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **8** (2021), 849–884, DOI [10.1090/btran/86](https://doi.org/10.1090/btran/86), arXiv version at [arXiv:1605.02284](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.02284) [math.CV]. MR4324359
- [22] Y. Gongyo and S. Matsumura, *Versions of injectivity and extension theorems*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **50** (2017), no. 2, 479–502, DOI [10.24033/asens.2325](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2325), arXiv version at [arXiv:1406.6132](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.6132) [math.AG] (English, with English and French summaries). MR3621435
- [23] J. Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 1, 11–42, DOI [10.2307/1971351](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971351). MR825838
- [24] ———, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. MR3057950
- [25] S. Matsumura, *Injectivity theorems with multiplier ideal sheaves and their applications*, Complex analysis and geometry, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 144, Springer, Tokyo, 2015, pp. 241–255, DOI [10.1007/978-4-431-55744-9_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-55744-9_18). MR3446761
- [26] ———, *Some applications of the theory of harmonic integrals*, Complex Manifolds **2** (2015), no. 1, 16–25, DOI [10.1515/coma-2015-0003](https://doi.org/10.1515/coma-2015-0003). MR3370350
- [27] ———, *An injectivity theorem with multiplier ideal sheaves of singular metrics with transcendental singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **27** (2018), no. 2, 305–337, DOI [10.1090/jag/687](https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/687), arXiv version at [arXiv:1308.2033](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2033) [math.CV]. MR3764278
- [28] ———, *A transcendental approach to injectivity theorem for log canonical pairs*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **19** (2019), no. 1, 311–334. MR3923849
- [29] ———, *Injectivity theorems with multiplier ideal sheaves for higher direct images under Kähler morphisms*, Algebr. Geom. **9** (2022), no. 2, 122–158, DOI [10.14231/ag-2022-005](https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2022-005), arXiv version at [arXiv:1607.05554v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.05554v2) [math.CV]. MR4429015
- [30] T. Murayama, *Injectivity theorems and cubical descent for schemes, stacks, and analytic spaces* (2024), arXiv version at [arXiv:2406.10800v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.10800v1) [math.AG].
- [31] D. Prill, *The divisor class groups of some rings of holomorphic functions*, Math. Z. **121** (1971), 58–80, DOI [10.1007/BF01110367](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01110367). MR0296350
- [32] J. Shentu and C. Zhao, *Kollár’s Package for Twisted Saito’s S -sheaves* (2022), arXiv version at [arXiv:2210.04131v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.04131v1) [math.AG].
- [33] K. Takegoshi, *Higher direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with semi-positive vector bundles by proper Kähler morphisms*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 3, 389–416, DOI [10.1007/BF01460997](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01460997). MR1354997

Email address: mariochan@pusan.ac.kr

Email address: youngjun.choi@pusan.ac.kr

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND INST. OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
BUSAN 46241, SOUTH KOREA

Email address: mshinichi0@gmail.com, mshinichi-math@tohoku.ac.jp

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 6-3, ARAMAKI AZA-AOBA, AOBA-KU, SENDAI
980-8578, JAPAN