

Blow-up of solutions to the Keller-Segel model with tensorial flux in high dimensions

Valeria Cuentas, Elio Espejo *, and Takashi Suzuki

Abstract

Over the course of the last decade, there has been a significant level of interest in the analysis of Keller-Segel models incorporating tensorial flux. Despite this interest, the question of whether finite-time blowup solutions exist remains a topic of ongoing research. Our study provides evidence that solutions of this nature are indeed possible in dimensions $n \geq 3$, when utilizing a tensorial flux expressed in the form of $A\nabla v$, where A denotes a matrix with constant components.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K15, 35K55, 35Q60; Secondary 78A35

For access to the published version of this paper in *Applied Mathematics Letters*, Volume 154, August 2024, please refer to the following link: [Journal version available here.](#)

1 Introduction

Chemotaxis is an intriguing biological phenomenon that plays a crucial role in enabling the aggregation and distribution of various species. It is a process that involves the movement of cells or organisms towards a chemical gradient, which is a concentration of molecules that stimulates the cells or organisms to move in a particular direction. Chemotaxis is an essential mechanism in many biological processes, including the immune response, wound healing, and embryonic development. It is also a critical factor in the behavior of microorganisms, such as bacteria, which use chemotaxis to locate nutrients and avoid toxins. Thus, the study of chemotaxis is essential to understanding the behavior and interactions of living organisms at the molecular level. This process involves the movement of organisms in response to a concentration gradient of chemicals. The model developed by Keller and Segel is widely recognized as a seminal contribution to the field of chemotaxis. It provides a mathematical framework for understanding the mechanisms underlying this complex biological process (e.g [6]). This model can be simplified by

$$u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v), \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon v_t = \Delta v - v + u, \quad (1)$$

where $u(x, t)$ denotes the density and $v(x, t)$ the chemical concentration at a given point x and time t .

The model (1) can exhibit interesting variations, particularly when the migration is not parallel to the signal gradient. A notable example of this phenomenon is exhibited by peritrichously flagellated bacteria when swimming in close proximity to surfaces. In such cases, the density of bacteria evolves according to the equation

$$u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (uA(x, u, v)\nabla v),$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$ and $A(x, u, v)$ is a $n \times n$ matrix. Over the last decade, several studies have been conducted on the global existence and asymptotic behavior of this type of model with tensorial chemotaxis,

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: valeria.cuentasrodriguez@nottingham.edu.cn (V. Cuentas), elio-eduardo-espejo.arenas@nottingham.edu.cn (E. Espejo), suzuki@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp (T. Suzuki)

including references to ([4, 8, 3]). Despite this progress, it remains unclear whether solutions may experience blow up in finite time when the chemoattractant is produced by the cells.

We aim to prove the possibility of having solutions blowing-up in a finite time for system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &= \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (u A \nabla v), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ -\Delta v &= u, \quad v(x, t) = \frac{1}{n(n-2)|B_1(0)|} \int u(y, t) |x-y|^{2-n} dy & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $A := (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,n} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ represents a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix with constant components satisfying $x^T \left((AA^T)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} Ax > 0$ for all non-zero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here the symbol $\sqrt{AA^T}$ stands for the positive-definite square root of the matrix AA^T , whose existence and uniqueness is well-established in mathematics (c.f. [7, Corollary 7.3.3]). Examples of matrices satisfying this hypothesis include the set of positive-definite matrices and, in the three in the three-dimensional case, orthogonal matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Our approach to proving blow-up involves decomposing matrix A into its polar components and employing a modified version of the second moments technique. In contrast to the nontensorial Keller-Segel model, where the evolution of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t) |x|^2 dx$ is fundamental, we reveal that the tensorial attraction makes $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t) (x^T B x) dx$ crucial, where the matrix B , with constant component, is meticulously chosen to yield the desired outcome of blow-up.

2 Local existence, regularity, uniqueness, mass conservation and non-negativity for arbitrary matrices

Proposition 1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and suppose that the initial data $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-negative. Then, there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, +\infty]$ and a non-negative*

$$u \in C^0([0, T_{\max}); BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^0([0, T_{\max}); L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_{\max})),$$

*such that writing $v(\cdot, t) = \mathbf{K}_n(x) * u(\cdot, t)$, $t \in (0, T_{\max})$, with $\mathbf{K}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n(n-2)|B_1(0)|} |x|^{2-n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. we obtain $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_{\max}))$, $\nabla v \in L_{loc}^\infty([0, T_{\max}); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$, and that (u, v) forms a classical solution of (2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_{\max})$. We also have the next extensibility criterion,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } T_{\max} < +\infty, \text{ then both } \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= +\infty \\ \text{and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This solution is uniquely determined in the sense that if $T \in (0, T_{\max})$, and if (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) is a classical solution of (2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_{\max})$ fulfilling $\hat{u} \in C^0([0, T]; BUC(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^0([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))$ and $\hat{v} \in C^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))$ as well as $\nabla \hat{v} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\hat{u} \equiv u$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 dx =: M \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3)$$

Proof. See [8, Proposition 1.1]. ■

3 Blow-up

Our methodology to establish blow-up in high dimensions hinges upon the technique recently proposed for the analysis of blow-up for two-dimensional Keller-Segel type systems with tensorial flux, cf. [3]. This

methodology can be outlined in two key steps: firstly, leveraging the polar decomposition of the tensor A and secondly, examining the evolution of the quantity $\int u(x^T Bx) dx$ using a strategically chosen matrix B with constant components.

Theorem 2 (Blow-up) *Given $n \geq 3$, consider a non-negative classical solution u of system (2) with non-negative initial data $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_0 |x|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose also that $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a nonsingular matrix with constant components satisfying*

$$x^T \left((AA^T)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} Ax > 0 \text{ for all non-zero } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4)$$

Let $[0, T_{\max})$ be the maximal interval of local existence of the solution guaranteed by Proposition 1. If the integral $m_0 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 |x|^2 dx$ is small enough compared to the mass M , more precisely, if for a constant $C_{Bl} := C(A, \chi, n) > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 |x|^2 dx \leq C_{Bl} M^{\frac{n}{n-2}}, \quad (5)$$

then $T_{\max} < +\infty$.

Proof. To facilitate the presentation, we conduct a formal calculation of the evolution of moments, assuming the solution u is suitably regular and decay sufficiently fast at infinity. We start by decomposing the nonsingular matrix A into the polar form $A = PU$, where $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1,n} := (AA^T)^{1/2}$ is positive-definite and $U := P^{-1}A$ is orthogonal (cf. [7, Corollary 7.3.3.]). Next, we proceed to modify the second-moment blow-up technique by multiplying the equation for the cell density u by the quadratic form $x \cdot Bx$, where B is a positive definite matrix to be determined. Integrating the product, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot Bx) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x \cdot Bx) \Delta u dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x \cdot Bx) \nabla \cdot (uPU \nabla v) dx.$$

Integration by parts leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot Bx) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta(x \cdot Bx) u dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla(x \cdot Bx) \cdot (uPU \nabla v) dx.$$

Considering the symmetry of the matrix B , the formula $\nabla(x \cdot Bx) = 2Bx$ holds, and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot Bx) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta(x \cdot Bx) u dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2Bx \cdot (uPU \nabla v) dx.$$

Utilizing again the symmetry of the matrix B , the last integral can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2Bx \cdot (uPU \nabla v) dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (BPU \nabla v) u dx.$$

Consequently, we choose $B = P^{-1}$ to simplify the subsequent calculations. This leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot P^{-1}x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta(x \cdot P^{-1}x) u dx + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (U \nabla v) u dx.$$

Direct computations yield $\Delta(x \cdot P^{-1}x) = 2Tr(P^{-1})$. Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot P^{-1}x) dx = 2Tr(P^{-1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u dx + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (U \nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)) u dx.$$

This expression can be further simplified using the mass conservation property (3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot P^{-1}x) dx &= 2Tr(P^{-1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 dx + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (U \nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)) u dx \\ &= 2Tr(P^{-1})M + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (U \nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)) u dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to show that the orthogonality of matrix U allows for a significant reduction of the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (uU\nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)) dx$. First, we explicitly write the convolution $\nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot P^{-1}x) dx \\
&= 2Tr(P^{-1})M + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot (U\nabla(\mathbf{K}_n * u)) u dx \\
&= 2Tr(P^{-1})M + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x \cdot U \left(\frac{-1}{n|B_1(0)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(y, t) dy \right) u(x, t) dx \\
&= 2Tr(P^{-1})M - \frac{2\chi}{n|B_1(0)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(x \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx dy. \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

We interchange x and y in the last integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(x \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx dy \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(y \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(x \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left((x-y) \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the identity (6) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x \cdot P^{-1}x) dx \\
&= 2Tr(P^{-1})M - \frac{\chi}{n|B_1(0)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left((x-y) \cdot U \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(x, t) u(y, t) dy \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that since U is an orthogonal matrix, there is an orthogonal matrix Q and a block diagonal matrix D such that

$$QUQ^T = D = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & R_k & & \mathbf{0} \\ & & & \lambda_1 & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda_p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where all the R_j represent a 2×2 rotation matrix (cf. [7, Corollary 2.5.14. (c)]), that is a matrix of the form

$$R_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_j & -\sin \alpha_j \\ \sin \alpha_j & \cos \alpha_j \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha_j \in (-\pi, \pi],$$

and each λ_j can take solely the values 1 or -1 . Moreover, the hypothesis that $0 < x^T \left((AA^T)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} Ax = x^T P^{-1}Ax = x^T Ux$ for all non-zero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, readily implies that $\lambda_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, p$, and $\cos \alpha_j > 0, j =$

existence of a global in time nonnegative solution. Finally, observing the inequality $w(t) \leq \lambda_{\max} m(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &\leq 2\text{Tr}(P^{-1})M\lambda_{\max}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}m^{\frac{n}{2}-1} - \frac{2^{1-\frac{n}{2}}\chi \min_{j=1,\dots,k}\{\cos \alpha_j, 1\}M^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{n|B_1(0)|}(\lambda_{\min})^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \\ &=: h(m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition on the initial moment $h(m(0)) < 0$ or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, 0) |x|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{2^{1-\frac{n}{2}}\chi \min_{j=1,\dots,k}\{\cos \alpha_j, 1\}}{2\text{Tr}(P^{-1})\lambda_{\max}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}n|B_1(0)|} (\lambda_{\min})^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} M^{\frac{n}{n-2}},$$

implies that $T_{\max} < \infty$. ■

Remark 3 For all $M > 0$ (even arbitrarily small), there exists an initial data u_0 with mass M such that the condition (5) is satisfied. Indeed, it is sufficient to consider non-negative, smooth, compactly supported data u_0 with mass M and second moment m_0 . By rescaling it with $\varepsilon^{-n}u_0\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ (specifically, $\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{C_{BI}M^{\frac{n}{n-2}}}{m_0}$), the desired condition is achieved. In other words, blow-up is still possible for arbitrarily small initial mass, which contrasts with the two-dimensional case [3].

4 Global existence

Theorem 4 (Global existence) Let $A := (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a matrix with constant components. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ with the property that if $\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \leq \delta$, for any non-negative $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the solution u of the system (2) is global and for some constant $C > 0$, we have that $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$ for all $t > 0$.

Proof. By multiplying the equation for u by u^{p-1} and integrating over \mathbb{R}^n , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^p dx \\ &= -\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(u^{p/2} \right) \right|^2 dx - \frac{\chi(p-1)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p (\nabla \cdot A \nabla v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^p (\nabla \cdot A \nabla v)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \|\nabla \cdot A \nabla v\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \sum_{i,j=1,n} |a_{ij}| \|\partial_{ij} \mathbf{K}_n * u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \|A\|_{\max} \sum_{i,j=1,n} \|\partial_{ij} \mathbf{K}_n * u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we recall the following Calderón–Zygmund inequality (See for instance [5, Section 6.4.2.]): For all $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist a constant $C_{CZI}^{(q,n)} = C(q, n)$, $1 < q < \infty$, such that

$$\|\partial_{ij} \mathbf{K}_n * g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{CZI}^{(q,n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (12)$$

Taking $g = u$ and $q = p + 1$, we deduce

$$\|u^p (\nabla \cdot A \nabla v)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 4 \|A\|_{\max} C_{CZI}^{(p+1,n)} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p+1}.$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(p-1)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x,t)|^p dx \\ & \leq -\frac{4}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(u^{p/2} \right) \right|^2 dx + 4\chi \|A\|_{\max} C_{CZI}^{(p+1,n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we obtain that for any $\frac{n}{2} \leq p+1 \leq \frac{pn}{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{p+1} dx & \leq \|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{n-2}}}^p = \|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\| u^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \\ & \leq C_{GNS}^2 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \nabla \left(u^{p/2} \right) \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining (13) and (14), we get for any $p \geq \max\{1, \frac{n}{2} - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(p-1)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x,t)|^p dx \\ & \leq \left(4\chi \|A\|_{\max} C_{CZI}^{(p+1,n)} C_{GNS}^2 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{4}{p} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \sqrt{u}|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Notice that for $p = \frac{n}{2}$ in (15), the inequality $4\chi \|A\|_{\max} C_{CZI}^{(n/2+1,n)} C_{GNS}^2 \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{8}{n} \leq 0$ implies that $\|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}}$ decreases for $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. As a consequence the condition

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{\chi \|A\|_{\max} C_{GNS}^2} \min \left\{ \frac{2}{nC_{CZI}^{(n/2+1,n)}}, \frac{1}{pC_{CZI}^{(p+1,n)}} \right\} =: \delta(p, n),$$

for $p \geq \max\{1, \frac{n}{2} - 1\}$ implies that the function $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x,t)|^p dx$ decreases for $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

We fix any $q > n$, and let $\delta := \delta(q, n)$. Then, assuming that $\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \leq \delta$, we obtain from (15) that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_1 \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (16)$$

We recall now the following $L^q - L^p$ estimates of heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$. For any $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, there holds

$$\|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (17)$$

$$\|\nabla \cdot e^{t\Delta} F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|F\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (18)$$

where $C = C(p, q, n)$ is a constant depending only on p, q and n . These inequalities are a consequences of Young's inequality for the convolution (For example, see [5, Subsection 4.1.2. p. 145]).

Let us define

$$N(T) := \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \text{ for } T \in (0, T_{\max}).$$

Using the Duhamel integral equation, we get

$$u(t) = e^{(t-t_0)\Delta} u(t_0) - \chi \int_{t_0}^t \nabla \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} (u(s) A \nabla v(s)) ds,$$

with

$$t_0 = \begin{cases} t-1, & \text{if } t \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (17) and (18), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \left\| e^{(t-t_0)\Delta} u(t_0) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \chi \left\| \int_{t_0}^t \nabla \cdot e^{(t-s)\Delta} (u(s) A \nabla v(s)) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq (4\pi(t-t_0))^{\frac{-n}{2q}} \|u(t_0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& + c_2 \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} \|u(s) A \nabla v(s)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds \\
& \leq (4\pi(t-t_0))^{\frac{-n}{2q}} \|u(t_0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& + c_2 \|A\|_{\max} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} \|u(s)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Notice that for any $\gamma > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla v(x, s)| \\
& = |\nabla \mathbf{K}_n(x) * u(x, s)| \\
& = \left| \frac{-1}{n |B_1(0)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} u(y, s) dy \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n |B_1(0)|} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq \gamma} \frac{u(y, s)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy + \int_{|x-y| > \gamma} \frac{u(y, s)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\|u(x, s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{n |B_1(0)|} \int_{|z| \leq \gamma} |z|^{1-n} dz + \frac{\gamma^{1-n} \|u(x, s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{n |B_1(0)|} \\
& = \gamma \|u(x, s)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{\gamma^{1-n} M}{n |B_1(0)|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Therefore, from (16) and (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq c_1 (4\pi)^{\frac{-n}{2q}} \\
& + c_1 c_2 \|A\|_{\max} \left(\gamma N(T) + \frac{\gamma^{1-n} M}{n |B_1(0)|} \right) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} ds & = \int_0^{t-t_0} \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} d\tau \\
& \leq \int_0^1 \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2q}} d\tau \\
& = \frac{2q}{q-n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Taking

$$\frac{2q c_1 c_2 \|A\|_{\max} \gamma}{q-n} = \frac{1}{2},$$

we conclude from (20) and (21) that there exists a constant

$$c_3 := c_1 (4\pi)^{\frac{-n}{2q}} + c_1 c_2 \|A\|_{\max} \frac{\gamma^{1-n} M}{n |B_1(0)|} > 0,$$

such that

$$N(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(T) + c_3 \text{ for all } T < T_{\max},$$

and hence

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2c_3 \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

as $T \in (0, T_{\max})$ was arbitrary. Taking into account the extensibility criterion in Proposition 1, the last inequality implies global existence. ■

Remark 5 *Applying the inequality that compares the $L^{\frac{n}{2}}$ -norm, the mass M , and the second moment m_0 of a non-negative function u_0 (See [1, Remark 2.6]):*

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \geq C_n M \left(\frac{M}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad (6.21)$$

where $C_n = C(n)$ is a constant depending only on n , we find that the condition (5) in Theorem 2 implies:

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} \geq C_n (C_{Bl})^{\frac{2-n}{2}}.$$

Conversely, the smallness assumption on $\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}}$ in Theorem 4 implies:

$$m_0 \geq \left(\frac{C_n}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} M^{\frac{n}{n-2}},$$

which shows the compatibility of both results.

References

- [1] Biler, P., & Karch, G. (2010). Blowup of solutions to generalized Keller–Segel model. *Journal of Evolution equations*, 10, 247-262.
- [2] Biler, Piotr & Espejo Arenas, Elio & Guerra, Ignacio. (2013). Blowup in higher dimensional two species chemotactic systems. *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*. 12. 10.3934/cpaa.2013.12.89.
- [3] Cuentas, V.& Espejo, E. (2024). A note on the blow-up of solutions to the two-dimensional Keller-Segel model with tensorial flux Finite-time blow-up and boundedness in a 2D Keller–Segel system with rotation. Submitted.
- [4] Espejo, E., & Wu, H. (2020). Optimal critical mass for the two-dimensional Keller–Segel model with rotational flux terms. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 18(2), 379-394.
- [5] Giga, M. H., Giga, Y., & Saal, J. (2010). *Nonlinear partial differential equations: Asymptotic behavior of solutions and self-similar solutions* (Vol. 79). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [6] Keller, E. F., & Segel, L. A. (1970). Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 26(3), 399-415.
- [7] Roger, A. Horn, & Charles, R. J. (1990). *MATRIX ANALYSIS. CORR.* Cambridge university press.
- [8] Winkler, M. (2023). Classical solutions to Cauchy problems for parabolic–elliptic systems of Keller–Segel type. *Open Mathematics*, 21(1), 20220578. <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2022-0578>.