

On the uniqueness of solutions to quadratic BSDEs with non-convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions: the certain exponential moment case^{*}

Yan Wang Yaqi Zhang Shengjun Fan^{*}

School of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, 221116, PR China

Abstract

With the terminal value $|\xi|$ admitting some given exponential moment, we put forward and prove several existence and uniqueness results for the unbounded solutions of quadratic backward stochastic differential equations whose generators may be represented as a uniformly continuous (not necessarily locally Lipschitz continuous) perturbation of some convex (concave) function with quadratic growth. These results generalize those posed in [5] and [8] to some extent. The critical case is also tackled, which strengthens the main result of [6].

Keywords: Backward stochastic differential equation, Existence and uniqueness, Unbounded solution, Quadratic growth, Non-convex generator.

2021 MSC: 60H10

1. Introduction

In the whole paper, let us fix a positive integer d and a positive real number $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space carrying a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the completed natural σ -algebra generated by $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{F}_T := \mathcal{F}$. We consider the following one-dimensional backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE in short):

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g(s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

where T is the terminal time, and the terminal value ξ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable unbounded random variable. The generator

$$g(\omega, t, z) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$$

is (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which is continuous in z . BSDE with parameters (ξ, T, g) is usually denoted by BSDE (ξ, T, g) . When g has a quadratic growth in z , we call BSDE

^{*}This work is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171471), the Postgraduate Research and Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (No. KYCX23_2652), and the Graduate Innovation Program of China University of Mining and Technology (No. 2023WLJRCZL140).

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangyan_shuxue@163.com(Y. Wang), TS22080028A31@cumt.edu.cn(Y. Zhang), shengjunfan@cumt.edu.cn(S. Fan).

(1.1) a quadratic BSDE. By a solution to BSDE (1.1), we mean a pair of (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable processes $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ valued in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, the function $t \rightarrow Y_t$ is continuous, $t \rightarrow Z_t$ is square-integrable, $t \rightarrow g(t, Z_t)$ is integrable, and (Y, Z) verifies (1.1).

Since the seminar paper [15], backward stochastic differential equations have become an active domain of research, and many applications have been found in various fields such as mathematical finance, partial differential equations (PDEs in short), stochastic control, nonlinear mathematical expectation and so on. In particular, a lot of efforts have been made in order to study the well-posedness of BSDEs, see for example [1, 10, 11]. It should be noted that since it is widely used in the field of PDEs and financial mathematics, quadratic BSDEs have attracted much attention and are the subject of this paper. Interested readers are referred to for example [2–9, 12–14, 16–18] for further details.

We would like to especially mention the following works related closely to ours. Kobylanski [14] first studied the bounded solution of a quadratic BSDE with a bounded terminal value, and established a rather general existence and uniqueness result. The authors in [2] obtained the first existence result for the unbounded solution of quadratic BSDEs with the terminal value $|\xi|$ admitting a certain exponential moment by applying the so-called localization method. Subsequently, uniqueness of the unbounded solution of quadratic BSDEs was established in [3] when $|\xi|$ possesses every exponential moments and the generator g is Lipschitz continuous in y , and either convex or concave with quadratic growth in z (see assumption (A1) in Section 2). Based on the Legendre-Fenchel transform of convex functions, this result was further strengthened in [5]. The main contribution of [5] is to establish an existence and uniqueness result of the unbounded solution for a quadratic BSDE under the assumption that $|\xi|$ only admits a certain exponential moment. Furthermore, the critical case was addressed in [6], but an additional assumption that the generator g is strongly convex in z (see assumption (A3) in Section 2) was required. We would like to especially mention that in all these articles mentioned above, uniqueness of the unbounded solution for a quadratic BSDE is obtained only when the generator g is convex (concave) in z . The case of a non-convex generator was tackled in [16] and [4], but more assumptions are required on the terminal value ξ than the exponential integrability. Recently, with $|\xi|$ possessing every exponential moments, the authors in [8] proved a uniqueness result of the unbounded solution for a quadratic BSDE whose generator g may be non-convex (non-concave) in z , and instead satisfies a strictly quadratic condition (see assumption (A2) in Section 2) and an extended convexity (concavity) condition which holds typically for a locally Lipschitz perturbation of some convex (concave) function. Then, a question is naturally asked: when the terminal value $|\xi|$ only admits a certain exponential moment, and the quadratic growth generator g may be represented as a locally Lipschitz perturbation of some convex (concave) function, does the uniqueness for the unbounded solution of quadratic BSDEs hold still? The present paper gives some affirmative answers. Roughly speaking, it is verified in this paper that the uniqueness holds still even though the locally Lipschitz perturbation is replaced with a uniformly continuous (not necessarily locally Lipschitz continuous) one.

More specifically, this paper is devoted to studying the existence and uniqueness of the unbounded solution to a quadratic BSDE with non-convex (non-concave) generator in some certain exponential moment case on the terminal value. We always assume that the generator $g(\omega, t, z) = g_1(\omega, t, z) +$

$g_2(\omega, t, z)$, where g_1 is convex and has a quadratic growth in z (see assumption (A1) in Section 2), and g_2 is only uniformly continuous (not necessarily locally Lipschitz continuous) in z (see assumption (B) in Section 2). With the terminal value $|\xi|$ admitting some given exponential moment, we put forward and prove several existence and uniqueness results, which strengthen those established in [5] and [8] to some extent by relaxing the requirement of integrability on the terminal value and the convexity condition of the generator g in z . Moreover, under an additional assumption that g_1 is strongly convex, we also verify that the uniqueness for the unbounded solution of quadratic BSDEs still holds in the critical exponential moment case, which generalizes the main result obtained in [6].

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic notations, definitions, assumptions, lemmas, and propositions used later. The main results of this paper (Theorems 3.1 and 3.3) are stated in Section 3. We work with the terminal value $|\xi|$ admitting an exponential moment of order p with $p > \gamma$ or just the critical case $p = \gamma$, where the constant $\gamma > 0$ is defined in assumption (A1) of Section 2. With g_1 being convex in z , in (i) of Theorem 3.1 we prove the uniqueness for the unbounded solution of BSDE (1.1) in the case of g_2 being bounded in z , while in (ii) of Theorem 3.1, the boundedness of g_2 in z is relaxed to be of sub-linear growth, but g_1 needs to additionally satisfy the strictly quadratic condition in z . And, in (iii) of Theorem 3.1, g_2 only needs to be of linear growth, but g_1 is supposed to be strongly convex in z . Then, in Theorem 3.3 we deal with the critical case where g_1 is strongly convex and g_2 is bounded in z . Furthermore, several examples to which Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 but no existing results applies are provided in Example 3.5. We remark that our results also address quadratic BSDEs with non-concave generators, see (ii) of Remark 3.4 for more details. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3. To do this, we borrow some ideas from [5, 6, 8], and systematically utilize some innovative techniques, including the Legendre-Fenchel transform of convex functions, Girsanov's theorem, the de La Vallée Poussin lemma and Fenchel's inequality. The existence and uniqueness on the L^1 solution of BSDEs together with the comparison theorem posed in [7] also plays an important role in our proof.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will first introduce some notations and spaces used in this paper, as well as the definition of L^p ($p \geq 1$) solutions of BSDEs. Then, we will present an existence result for unbounded solutions of BSDE (1.1), an existence and uniqueness for the L^1 solution, a comparison theorem and a useful lemma.

The following are some notations that will be used later. Let $x \cdot y$ denote the Euclidean inner product for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Denote by $\mathbb{1}_A$ the indicator of set A , by y^\top the transpose of vector y , and $\text{sgn}(x) := \mathbb{1}_{x>0} - \mathbb{1}_{x \leq 0}$. Let $a \wedge b$ be the minimum of a and b , $a^- := -(a \wedge 0)$ and $a^+ := (-a)^-$. For each $p > 0$, $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ represents the set of \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variables $|\xi|$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|\xi|^p] < +\infty$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$, and $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0]$. We denote by ∂f the subdifferential of a convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the subdifferential of f at $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the non-empty convex compact set of elements $u \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that

$$f(z) - f(z_0) \geq u(z - z_0), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, for any (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ -valued process $(q_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that $\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds < +\infty$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}(q)$ the Doléans-Dade exponential

$$\left(\exp \left(\int_0^t q_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |q_s|^2 ds \right) \right)_{t \in [0, T]}.$$

Let us recall the following Fenchel's inequality

$$xy \leq \exp(x) + y(\ln y - 1), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty).$$

Then for each $p > 0$ we have

$$xy = px \frac{y}{p} \leq \exp(px) + \frac{y}{p}(\ln y - \ln p - 1).$$

Furthermore, we define the following two spaces of processes, where $p > 0$.

• $\mathcal{S}^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ is the set of all (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable and continuous real-valued processes $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfying

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^p \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1} < +\infty.$$

We set $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{p > 1} \mathcal{S}^p$.

• $\mathcal{M}^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of all (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes $(Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfying

$$\|Z\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} := \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p} \wedge 1} < +\infty.$$

Recall that an (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable real-valued process $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to class (D) if the family of random variables $\{Y_\tau : \tau \in \Sigma_T\}$ is uniformly integrable where Σ_T represents the set of all (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping times τ valued in $[0, T]$. Throughout this paper, all equalities and inequalities between random variables are understood to hold $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, and we are always given two (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable \mathbb{R}_+ -valued processes $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\bar{\alpha}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ together with two constants $0 < \bar{\gamma} \leq \gamma$. For convenience, we recall the definition concerning the $L^p(p \geq 1)$ solutions of BSDE (1.1).

Definition 2.1. *Assume that (Y, Z) is a solution of BSDE (1.1). If $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{M}^p$ for some $p > 1$, then it is called an L^p solution; if $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}^\beta \times \mathcal{M}^\beta$ for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and Y belongs to class (D) , then an L^1 solution.*

Next, we will propose an existence and uniqueness result for the unbounded solution of BSDE (1.1).

The following assumptions will be used in it, which come from [2, 3, 5, 8, 14] and [6].

(A1). (i) g has a quadratic growth in z , i.e., $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|g(\omega, t, z)| \leq \alpha_t(\omega) + \frac{\gamma}{2}|z|^2.$$

(ii) g is convex in z , i.e., $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, $g(\omega, t, \cdot)$ is convex.

(A2). g satisfies a strictly quadratic condition in z , i.e., $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that

$$g(\omega, t, z) \geq \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}|z|^2 - \alpha_t(\omega).$$

(A3). g is strongly convex in z , i.e., g satisfies (ii) of assumption (A1), and there exist two constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c \geq 0$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for each $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \partial g(\omega, t, z')$,

$$g(\omega, t, z) - g(\omega, t, z') - u(z - z') \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|z - z'|^2 - c.$$

Remark 2.2. We now make two remarks on the above assumptions.

(i) Thanks to Remark 1 in [6], we know that if g is a C^2 function, then assumption (A3) is equivalent to the assumption: there exist $R \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|z| > R$, we have $g''(\omega, t, z) \geq \varepsilon I_d$, where I_d is a d -dimensional identity matrix.

(ii) It can be verified that assumption (A3) is strictly stronger than assumption (A2) provided that there exists a process $u_t(\omega) \in \partial g(\omega, t, 0)$ satisfying that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$,

$$|u_t(\omega)|^2 + |g(\omega, t, 0)| \leq 2\alpha_t(\omega).$$

In fact, by letting $z' = 0$ in (A3), and combining Young's inequality, we have for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega, t, z) &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|z|^2 - c + g(\omega, t, 0) + u_t(\omega)z \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|z|^2 - c - \left(|g(\omega, t, 0)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|u_t(\omega)|^2\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}|z|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}|z|^2 - c - \left(2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\alpha_t(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that assumption (A3) is stronger than assumption (A2). Now, we can give an example to show that (A2) is strictly weaker than (A3). Define for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\tilde{g}(z) := (2k - 1)|z| - k(k - 1), \quad k - 1 \leq |z| < k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is not hard to check that $|z|^2 \leq \tilde{g}(z) \leq 1 + |z|^2$ for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and then $\tilde{g}(z)$ satisfies assumption (A2), but by the equivalent condition of (A3) mentioned in (i), we can easily deduce that $\tilde{g}(z)$ does not satisfy assumption (A3).

The following proposition collects some main results posed in [5, 6] and [8] on existence and uniqueness of the unbounded solution of quadratic BSDEs when the terminal value has a certain exponential moment.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that ξ is a terminal value, and the generator g satisfies (i) of assumption (A1).*

(i) *If $\mathbb{E}[\exp(p(|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt))] < +\infty$ for some $p > \gamma$, then BSDE (1.1) admits a solution (Y, Z) such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(p \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds\right)\right)\right] < +\infty, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.2)$$

and $Z \in \mathcal{M}^2$. Moreover, if g also satisfies (A2), then for any solution (Y, Z) of BSDE (1.1) satisfying (2.2), there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ depending only on $(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, T, p)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\eta \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds\right)\right] < +\infty. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, for each $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \int_0^T |Z_s| ds\right)\right] < +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, if g further satisfies (ii) of assumption (A1), then the solution (Y, Z) of BSDE (1.1) satisfying (2.2) is unique.

- (ii) If $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\gamma(|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt))] < +\infty$, then BSDE (1.1) admits a solution (Y, Z) such that the process $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to class (D). Moreover, if g also satisfies (A3), then the solution (Y, Z) of BSDE (1.1) satisfying $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belonging to class (D) is unique.

Proof. The existence result in Proposition 2.3 (i) is a direct consequence of the known existence result for the unbounded solutions of quadratic BSDEs in [8], and the uniqueness can be obtained by Theorem 3.3 in [5]. The existence result in the Proposition 2.3 (ii) can be obtained by Proposition 1 of [8], and the uniqueness can be obtained by Theorem 4.1 in [6]. The details are omitted here. \square

Now, we introduce an existence and uniqueness result for the L^1 solution of BSDE (1.1), which is a direct corollary of Theorem 6.5 in [7].

Proposition 2.4. Assume that the terminal value $\xi + \int_0^T \bar{\alpha}_t dt \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$, and the generator g satisfies the following assumption:

- (B). (i) g is uniformly continuous in z , i.e., there exists a continuous, nondecreasing and linear-growing function $\phi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$,

$$|g(\omega, t, z_1) - g(\omega, t, z_2)| \leq \phi(|z_1 - z_2|), \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- (ii) There exist two nonnegative constants a and b such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $0 \leq \phi(x) \leq ax^\theta + b$, with $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

- (iii) $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, $|g(\omega, t, 0)| \leq \bar{\alpha}_t(\omega)$.

If $a = 0$ or $\theta \in [0, 1)$, then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique L^1 solution.

Furthermore, we present a comparison theorem that plays a key role later. This result corresponds to the known comparison results in Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 of [7], and we omit its proof here.

Proposition 2.5. Assume that ξ and ξ' are two terminal values, g and g' are two generators, and (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') are, respectively, a solution of BSDE (ξ, T, g) and BSDE (ξ', T, g') .

- (i) If g' satisfies (i) of assumption (B), $(Y - Y')^+ \in \mathcal{S}$, $\xi \leq \xi' \mathbb{P} - a.s.$, and $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t > Y'_t\}} (g(t, Z_t) - g'(t, Z_t)) \leq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

then for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have $Y_t \leq Y'_t \mathbb{P} - a.s.$

- (ii) Assume further that $\xi, \xi' \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$, and (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') are, respectively, an L^1 solution of BSDE (ξ, T, g) and BSDE (ξ', T, g') . If g' satisfies (i) and (ii) of assumption (B) with $\theta \in [0, 1)$, (2.5) holds, and $\xi \leq \xi' \mathbb{P} - a.s.$, then for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have $Y_t \leq Y'_t \mathbb{P} - a.s.$

Let us close this section with the following lemma reported in [6].

Lemma 2.6. *The family of random variables $\{e^{\gamma X} | X \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if there exists a strictly increasing function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $k(0) = \gamma$, $k(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$, and*

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}[K(X^+)] < +\infty,$$

with $K(x) = \int_0^x k(t)e^{\gamma t} dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

3. Statement of the main results

This section will state several existence and uniqueness results for unbounded solutions of BSDE (1.1). To do this, we always assume that the generator

$$g(\omega, t, z) := g_1(\omega, t, z) + g_2(\omega, t, z),$$

where g_1 satisfies the assumption (A1), and g_2 satisfies the assumption (B). Without loss of generality, here and henceforth we can always assume that $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$.

The following two theorems (Theorems 3.1 and 3.3) establish several existence and uniqueness results for the unbounded solution of BSDE (1.1), which are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that ξ is a terminal value, $g := g_1 + g_2$ is a generator such that g_1 satisfies (A1) and g_2 satisfies (B) with $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$. Suppose further that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(p(|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt))] < +\infty$ for some $p > \gamma$.*

- (i) *If $a = 0$ in (B), then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2), and $Z \in \mathcal{M}^2$. Moreover, we have $Y = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{q \in \mathcal{A}} Y^q$, and there exists $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt$ - a.e., $Y = Y^{q^*}$, where (Y^q, Z^q) is the unique L^1 solution of the following BSDE (3.1) under the probability measure \mathbb{Q}_q :*

$$Y_t^q = \xi + \int_t^T (f_1(s, q_s) - g_2(s, Z_s^q)) ds + \int_t^T Z_s^q \cdot dB_s^q, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.1)$$

with $B_t^q := B_t - \int_0^t q_s^\top ds$, $t \in [0, T]$ being a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q}_q , the function f_1 being defined by

$$f_1(\omega, t, q) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} (qz - g_1(\omega, t, z)), \quad \forall (\omega, t, q) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}, \quad (3.2)$$

and the admissible control set \mathcal{A} being defined by:

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ (q_s)_{s \in [0, T]} \text{ is an } (\mathcal{F}_t)\text{-progressively measurable } \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}\text{-valued process :} \right. \\ \left. \int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds < +\infty \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] < +\infty, \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[|\xi| + \int_0^T |f_1(s, q_s)| ds \right] < +\infty, \text{ with } M_t^q := \exp \left(\int_0^t q_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |q_s|^2 ds \right), t \in [0, T] \right. \\ \left. \text{being a uniformly integrable martingale, and } \frac{d\mathbb{Q}_q}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_T^q \right\}.$$

- (ii) If g_1 also satisfies (A2), and $\theta \in [0, 1)$ in (B), then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) for some $\eta > 0$ depending only on $(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, T, p)$ and each $\lambda > 0$. Similar to (i), we have $Y = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{q \in \mathcal{A}} Y^q$, and there exists $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, $Y = Y^{q^*}$.
- (iii) If g_1 also satisfies (A3), and there exists process $u_t(\omega) \in \partial g_1(\omega, t, 0)$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, $|u_t(\omega)|^2 \leq \alpha_t(\omega)$, then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) for some $\eta > 0$ depending only on $(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, T, p)$ and each $\lambda > 0$.

Remark 3.2. We make the following comments with respect to Theorem 3.1.

- (i) In (i) of Theorem 3.1, g_2 is supposed to be bounded in z , while in (ii) of Theorem 3.1, it is weakened to be of sub-linear growth, but g_1 needs to satisfy an additional strictly quadratic condition in z . And, in (iii) of Theorem 3.1, the requirement of g_2 in z can be further weakened to be of linear growth, but g_1 needs to satisfy a stronger assumption, i.e., g_1 is strongly convex in z . In addition, we would like to remark that in the case of (iii) of Theorem 3.1, the explicit expression of $Y = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{q \in \mathcal{A}} Y^q$ does not hold any longer since the L^1 solution (Y^q, Z^q) of BSDE (3.1) under \mathbb{Q}_q can not be well defined.
- (ii) According to Theorem 3.3 of [5], we know that under the condition that $|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt$ possesses an exponential moment of order p ($p > \gamma$), if the generator g is convex with quadratic growth in z , i.e., roughly speaking, $g_2 \equiv 0$ in (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1, then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2). However, in (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1, g_2 can be bounded or uniformly continuous in z , not $g_2 \equiv 0$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem 3.3 of [5] to some extent.
- (iii) According to Theorem 5 of [8], we know that under the condition that $|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt$ possesses every exponential moments, if the generator g is a locally Lipschitz perturbation of some convex function with quadratic growth, i.e., roughly speaking, g_2 in Theorem 3.1 is locally Lipschitz continuous in z , then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) such that (2.2) holds for each $p > \gamma$. However, in Theorem 3.1, g_2 can be only uniformly continuous in z , not necessarily locally Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 strengthens Theorem 5 of [8] to some extent.

In Theorem 3.1, we show that the uniqueness of unbounded solution for BSDE (1.1) holds among solutions (Y, Z) such that (2.2) holds for some $p > \gamma$. Now, we consider the critical case: $p = \gamma$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that ξ is a terminal value, $g := g_1 + g_2$ is a generator, and $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\gamma(|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt))] < +\infty$. If g_1 satisfies (A1) and (A3), and g_2 satisfies (B) with $a = 0$ and $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$, then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to the class (D).

Remark 3.4. We have the following comments.

- (i) According to Theorem 4.1 of [6], we know that under the conditions that $|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt$ possesses an exponential moment of order γ , if the generator g is strongly convex with quadratic growth in z , i.e., roughly speaking, $g_2 \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.3, then BSDE (1.1) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to the class (D). However, in Theorem 3.3, g_2 can be

uniformly continuous and bounded in z , not $g_2 \equiv 0$. Therefore, Theorem 3.3 strengthens Theorem 4.1 of [6].

- (ii) It is clear that (Y, Z) is a solution of BSDE (ξ, T, g) if and only if $(-Y, -Z)$ is a solution of BSDE $(-\xi, T, \bar{g})$, where $\bar{g}(t, z) := -g(t, -z)$, and that g is convex in z if and only if \bar{g} is concave in z . Consequently, from this point of view, Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 also address quadratic BSDEs with non-concave generators.
- (iii) In Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, the generator g is supposed to be independent of the state variable y . More general case that g can depend on y will be further tackled in recent future.

Example 3.5. We give several examples to which Theorems 3.1 or 3.3 but no existing result applies.

- (i) For each $(\omega, t, z) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$g(\omega, t, z) := |B_t(\omega)| + (1 + \sin t)|z|^2 + \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq |z| \leq 1} \sqrt[4]{|z|} + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > 1}.$$

It is easy to check that g is non-convex with respect to z , but $g_1(\omega, t, z) := |B_t(\omega)| + (1 + \sin t)|z|^2$ satisfies (A1), and $g_2(\omega, t, z) := \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq |z| \leq 1} \sqrt[4]{|z|} + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > 1}$ satisfies (B) with $\alpha \equiv |B \cdot|, \bar{\alpha} \equiv 0, \gamma = 4, a = 0, b = 1$ and $\phi(u) = \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \sqrt[4]{u} + \mathbb{1}_{u > 1}$. It then follows from (i) of Theorem 3.1 that for each ξ such that $|\xi|$ admits an exponential moment of order p with $p > 4$, BSDE (ξ, T, g) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2).

- (ii) For each $(\omega, t, z) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$g(\omega, t, z) := \sqrt{|B_t(\omega)|} + \tilde{g}(z) - \sqrt{|z|},$$

where $\tilde{g}(z)$ is defined in (2.1). Clearly, g is non-convex with respect to z , but $g_1(\omega, t, z) := \sqrt{|B_t(\omega)|} + \tilde{g}(z)$ satisfies (A1) and (A2), and $g_2(\omega, t, z) := -\sqrt{|z|}$ satisfies (B) with $\alpha \equiv \sqrt{|B \cdot|} + 1, \bar{\alpha} \equiv 0, \gamma = 2, \bar{\gamma} = 2, a = 1, b = 1, \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(u) = \sqrt{u}$. It then follows from (ii) of Theorem 3.1 that for each ξ such that $|\xi|$ admits an exponential moment of order p with $p > 2$, BSDE (ξ, T, g) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2).

- (iii) For each $(\omega, t, z) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$g(\omega, t, z) := \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 + \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq |z| \leq 1} \sqrt[3]{|z|^2} + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > 1}|z|.$$

It is easy to check that g is non-convex with respect to z , but $g_1(\omega, t, z) := \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$ satisfies (A1) and (A3), and $g_2(\omega, t, z) := \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq |z| \leq 1} \sqrt[3]{|z|^2} + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > 1}|z|$ satisfies (B) with $\alpha \equiv 0, \bar{\alpha} \equiv 0, \gamma = 1, \varepsilon = 1, c = 0, a = 1, b = 1, \theta = 1$ and $\phi(u) = \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \sqrt[3]{u^2} + \mathbb{1}_{|u| > 1}u$. It then follows from (iii) of Theorem 3.1 that for each ξ such that $|\xi|$ admits an exponential moment of order p with $p > 1$, BSDE (ξ, T, g) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2).

- (iv) For each $(\omega, t, z) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$g(\omega, t, z) := \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - |z| + \mathbb{1}_{|z| \leq \varepsilon}|z| \ln |z| + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > \varepsilon} \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ being small enough. It is easy to check that g is non-convex in z , but $g_1(\omega, t, z) := \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - |z|$ satisfies (A1) and (A3), and $g_2(\omega, t, z) := \mathbb{1}_{|z| \leq \varepsilon} |z| \ln |z| + \mathbb{1}_{|z| > \varepsilon} \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$ satisfies (B) with $\alpha. \equiv 1, \bar{\alpha}. \equiv 0, \gamma = 1, \varepsilon = 1, a = 0, b = 2, c = 1$ and $\phi(u) = \mathbb{1}_{u \leq \varepsilon} u |\ln u| + \mathbb{1}_{u > \varepsilon} \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|$. It then follows from Theorem 3.3 that for each ξ such that $|\xi|$ admits an exponential moment of order 1, BSDE (ξ, T, g) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $(e^{Y_t})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to class (D).

We would like to especially mention that all of g_2 in these examples are only uniformly continuous in z , but not locally Lipschitz continuous.

4. Proof of the main results

This section contains two subsections. The first one is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1, and the last one gives the proof of Theorem 3.3.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first clarify the following two basic facts. Firstly, since g_1 satisfies (A1), f_1 defined in (3.2) is a continuous and convex function valued in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, and

$$d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e., \quad f_1(\omega, t, q) \geq -\alpha_t(\omega) + \frac{1}{2\gamma}|q|^2, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}. \quad (4.1)$$

Secondly, let q be in \mathcal{A} , if this set is not empty. In view of assumption (B) of g_2 and the definition of \mathcal{A} , thanks to Girsanov's theorem and Proposition 2.4, we know that if $a = 0$ or $\theta \in [0, 1)$, then BSDE (3.1) admits a unique L^1 solution (Y^q, Z^q) under \mathbb{Q}_q .

In the sequel, we prove Theorem 3.1 with the above observation.

Proof of (i) of Theorem 3.1. Since g_1 satisfies (i) of (A1), and g_2 satisfies (B) with $\bar{\alpha}. \equiv 0$ and $a = 0$, then it is not hard to verify that $g = g_1 + g_2$ also satisfies (i) of (A1), hence the existence result has been given in (i) of Proposition 2.3. That is to say, BSDE (1.1) admits a solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2), and $Z \in \mathcal{M}^2$. Now, we divide the following proof into three steps to show the uniqueness.

First step. Let us start by showing $Y \leq Y^q$ for any $q \in \mathcal{A}$. Thanks to Girsanov's theorem, BSDE (1.1) can be equivalently written as follows:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T (q_s Z_s - g(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s^q, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t > Y_t^q\}} \left(q_s Z_s - g(s, Z_s) - (f_1(s, q_s) - g_2(s, Z_s)) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t > Y_t^q\}} \left(q_s Z_s - g_1(s, Z_s) - g_2(s, Z_s) - f_1(s, q_s) + g_2(s, Z_s) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t > Y_t^q\}} \left(q_s Z_s - g_1(s, Z_s) - f_1(s, q_s) \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Since g_2 satisfies (i) and (ii) of assumption (B), then the generator $f_1(s, q_s) - g_2(s, \cdot)$ in BSDE (3.1) also satisfies (i) and (ii) of (B). Thanks to (i) of Proposition 2.5, it suffices to prove that $(Y - Y^q)^+ \in \mathcal{S}$

under \mathbb{Q}_q . Next, we will prove that $(Y - Y^q)^+$ is a bounded process. For each $t \in [0, T]$ and each integer $m \geq 1$, we set

$$\tau_m^t := \inf \left\{ s \geq t : \int_t^s |Z_u|^2 du + \int_t^s |Z_u^q|^2 du \geq m \right\} \wedge T$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. In view of g_2 satisfying (B) with $a = 0$, applying Itô-Tanaka's formula to $(Y_s - Y_s^q)^+$ and using (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(Y_s - Y_s^q)^+ &\geq \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (g(s, Z_s) - q_s Z_s + f_1(s, q_s) - g_2(s, Z_s^q)) ds - \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (Z_s - Z_s^q) \cdot dB_s^q \\ &\geq \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (g_2(s, Z_s) - g_2(s, Z_s^q)) ds - \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (Z_s - Z_s^q) \cdot dB_s^q \\ &\geq -\phi(|Z_s - Z_s^q|) \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} ds - \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (Z_s - Z_s^q) \cdot dB_s^q \\ &\geq -b ds - \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (Z_s - Z_s^q) \cdot dB_s^q, \quad s \in [t, \tau_m^t]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(Y_t - Y_t^q)^+ \leq (Y_{\tau_m^t} - Y_{\tau_m^t}^q)^+ + bT + \int_t^{\tau_m^t} \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s - Y_s^q > 0\}} (Z_s - Z_s^q) \cdot dB_s^q.$$

It then follows that

$$(Y_t - Y_t^q)^+ \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} [(Y_{\tau_m^t} - Y_{\tau_m^t}^q)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] + bT. \quad (4.3)$$

In view of $Y_{\tau_m^t} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|$, the Fenchel's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[M_T^q \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(p \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right) \right] + \frac{1}{p} \mathbb{E} \left[M_T^q \left(\ln M_T^q - \ln p - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(p \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right) \right] + \frac{1}{p} \mathbb{E} \left[M_T^q \ln M_T^q \right] - \frac{1}{p} (\ln p + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Some uncomplicated calculations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [M_T^q \ln M_T^q] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} [\ln M_T^q] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T q_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T q_s dB_s^q + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The calculation similar to (4.4) will be used several times later, and this calculation process will not be repeated in detail. For ease of notations, we denote the sum of constants by C_p , then we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right] \leq C_p + \frac{1}{2p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] < +\infty.$$

Furthermore, since (Y^q, Z^q) is an L^1 solution under \mathbb{Q}_q , we know that Y^q belongs to class (D) under \mathbb{Q}_q . Then letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.3) gives

$$(Y_t - Y_t^q)^+ \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} [(Y_T - Y_T^q)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] + bT = bT,$$

which naturally yields that $(Y - Y^q)^+ \in \mathcal{S}$ under \mathbb{Q}_q . Hence, in view of (i) of Proposition 2.5, we can conclude that for each $t \in [0, T]$, we have $Y_t \leq Y_t^q \mathbb{P} - a.s.$

Second step. Set $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$. Then we have

$$f_1(s, q_s^*) = q_s^* Z_s - g_1(s, Z_s), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Consequently, if $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$, then by BSDEs (1.1) and (3.1) together with the uniqueness of the L^1 solution of BSDE (3.1) under \mathbb{Q}_{q^*} , we can conclude that $Y = Y^{q^*}$.

Third step. We conclude the proof by verifying $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$. Thanks to (4.1) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(s, Z_s) &= q_s^* Z_s - f_1(s, q_s^*) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\gamma} |q_s^*|^2 + 2\gamma |Z_s|^2 \right) + \alpha_s - \frac{1}{2\gamma} |q_s^*|^2 \\ &= \gamma |Z_s|^2 + \alpha_s - \frac{1}{4\gamma} |q_s^*|^2; \\ \frac{1}{4\gamma} |q_s^*|^2 &\leq -g_1(s, Z_s) + \gamma |Z_s|^2 + \alpha_s, \quad s \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

which implies that $\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds < +\infty$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. For each $n \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^* &:= \exp \left(\int_0^t q_s^* dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds \right), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \tau_n &:= \inf \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds + \int_0^t |Z_s|^2 ds \geq n \right\} \wedge T, \quad \frac{d\mathbb{Q}_n^*}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_{\tau_n}^*. \end{aligned}$$

We set $B_t^{q^*} := B_t - \int_0^t (q_s^*)^\top ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, then $(B_t^{q^*})_{t \in [0, \tau_n]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure \mathbb{Q}_n^* for each $n \geq 1$. Now, let us further show that $(M_{\tau_n}^*)_n$ is uniformly integrable.

Lemma 4.1. $(M_{\tau_n}^*)_n$ is uniformly integrable.

Proof. In view of (2.2) and the Fenchel's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[M_{\tau_n}^* \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) \right] \\ &\leq C_p + \frac{1}{2p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1(s, Z_s) = q_s^* Z_s - f_1(s, q_s^*)$, by BSDE (1.1) we have

$$Y_0 = Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*}.$$

By virtue of assumption (B) with $a = 0$, we obtain that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$,

$$|g_2(\omega, t, z_1) - g_2(\omega, t, z_2)| \leq \phi(|z_1 - z_2|) \leq b, \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which combining (iii) of (B) and $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$ gives that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|g_2(\omega, t, z)| \leq b$. Hence, in view of (4.1) and the fact that $Y_{\tau_n} \geq -|Y_{\tau_n}|$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\geq -|Y_{\tau_n}| - \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds - \int_0^{\tau_n} b ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq - \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds - bT + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &\geq -\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] - bT \\ &\geq -(C_p + bT) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Since $p > \gamma$, then $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p} \right) > 0$. Hence, in view of (4.4) and (4.6), we obtain

$$2\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^*] = 2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*}[\ln M_{\tau_n}^*] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] \leq C_{p, \gamma, T, b}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $C_{p, \gamma, T, b}$ is a positive constant independent of n . Hence, we obtain $\sup \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^*] < +\infty$. Then $(M_{\tau_n}^*)_n$ is uniformly integrable by the de La Vallée Poussin lemma, and $\mathbb{E}[M_T^*] = 1$, which implies that $\mathcal{E}(q^*) := (M_t^*)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* by $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_T^*$. The proof of Lemma 4.1 is then complete. \square

Moreover, applying Fatou's lemma in (4.7), we have

$$2\mathbb{E}[M_T^* \ln M_T^*] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] < +\infty.$$

Thus, it remains to show that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |f_1(s, q_s^*)| ds \right] < +\infty. \quad (4.8)$$

In view of (4.1), we know that

$$f_1^-(s, q_s^*) \leq \alpha_s,$$

so, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds \right] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T \alpha_s ds \right] < +\infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, thanks to BSDE (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\xi + \int_t^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\xi + \int_0^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[-|\xi| + \int_0^T f_1^+(s, q_s^*) ds - \int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds - \int_0^T g_2(s, Z_s) ds \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[-|\xi| + \int_0^T f_1^+(s, q_s^*) ds - \int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds \right] - bT. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T f_1^+(s, q_s^*) ds \right] \leq Y_0 + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} [|\xi|] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds \right] + bT < +\infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10) yields (4.8). Thus, we have shown that $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$ is optimal for the stochastic control problem, i.e., $Y = Y^{q^*} = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{q \in \mathcal{A}} Y^q$, which naturally yields the uniqueness result. The proof of (i) of Theorem 3.1 is then complete. \square

Proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.1. Since g_1 satisfies the strictly quadratic condition (A2), and g_2 satisfies the sub-linear growth condition (B) with $\theta \in [0, 1)$, then obviously, the generator $g := g_1 + g_2$ of BSDE (1.1) also satisfies assumption (A2). Hence, in view of g also satisfying (i) of assumption (A1), the existence result has been shown in (i) of Proposition 2.3. That is to say, BSDE (1.1) admits a solution (Y, Z) satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). For the uniqueness, we will fit the proof of (i) of Theorem 3.1 to this situation. The following proof will be divided into three steps.

First step. Let us start with showing $Y \leq Y^q$ for any $q \in \mathcal{A}$. Thanks to Girsanov's theorem, BSDE (1.1) can be equivalently written as follows:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T (q_s Z_s - g(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s^q, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.11)$$

In view of (2.2) and Fenchel's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[M_T^q \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t| \right] \leq C_p + \frac{1}{2p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] < +\infty,$$

and in the same manner, by virtue of (2.3) we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 ds \right] \leq C_\eta + \frac{1}{2\eta} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \left[\int_0^T |q_s|^2 ds \right] < +\infty.$$

Consequently, (Y, Z) is an L^1 solution of BSDE (4.11) under \mathbb{Q}_q . On the other hand, note that g_2 satisfies assumption (B) with $\theta \in [0, 1)$, (Y^q, Z^q) is an L^1 solution of BSDE (3.1) under \mathbb{Q}_q and (4.2) still holds. We apply (ii) of Proposition 2.5 to obtain that for each $t \in [0, T]$, $Y_t \leq Y_t^q$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$

Second step. Set $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$. Then we have

$$f_1(s, q_s^*) = q_s^* Z_s - g_1(s, Z_s), \quad s \in [0, T]. \quad (4.12)$$

Consequently, if $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$, then by BSDEs (1.1) and (3.1) together with the uniqueness of the L^1 solution of BSDE (3.1) under \mathbb{Q}_{q^*} , we can conclude that $Y = Y^{q^*}$.

Third step. We conclude the proof by verifying $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$. By (4.5) we have that $\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds < +\infty$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. For each $n \geq 1$, let us define

$$M_t^* := \exp \left(\int_0^t q_s^* dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds \right), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$\tau_n := \inf \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds + \int_0^t |Z_s|^2 ds \geq n \right\} \wedge T, \quad \frac{d\mathbb{Q}_n^*}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_{\tau_n}^*.$$

We set $B_t^{q^*} := B_t - \int_0^t (q_s^*)^\top ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, then $(B_t^{q^*})_{t \in [0, \tau_n]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure \mathbb{Q}_n^* for each $n \geq 1$. Let us further show that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] < +\infty$, and $(M_t^*)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale. To do this, we will show that Lemma 4.1 still holds in such case.

As discussed in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) \right] \leq C_p + \frac{1}{2p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

Moreover, due to $\theta \in [0, 1)$, it is easy to verify that

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds \leq T + \int_0^T |Z_s| ds.$$

Taking (2.4) and (4.4) into consideration, for each $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[M_{\tau_n}^* \int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} [M_{\tau_n}^* (\ln M_{\tau_n}^* - \ln \lambda - 1)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(T\lambda + \lambda \int_0^T |Z_s| ds \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} [M_{\tau_n}^* (\ln M_{\tau_n}^* - \ln \lambda - 1)] \\ &\leq C_{T, \lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $C_{T, \lambda}$ is a positive constant depending only on (T, λ) . By virtue of assumption (B) with $\theta \in [0, 1)$, we obtain that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$,

$$|g_2(\omega, t, z_1) - g_2(\omega, t, z_2)| \leq \phi(|z_1 - z_2|) \leq a|z_1 - z_2|^\theta + b, \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which combining (iii) of assumption (B) and $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$ gives that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|g_2(\omega, t, z)| \leq a|z|^\theta + b.$$

Thus, in view of (1.1), (4.12), (4.1) and the fact that $Y_{\tau_n} \geq -|Y_{\tau_n}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\geq -|Y_{\tau_n}| - \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds - \int_0^{\tau_n} (a|Z_s|^\theta + b) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\geq - \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds - a \int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds - bT + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that, in view of (4.13) and (4.14),

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &\geq -\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] - a \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |Z_s|^\theta ds \right] - bT \\ &\geq -C_p - aC_{T, \lambda} - bT + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{a}{\lambda} \right) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p > \gamma$, setting $\lambda > \frac{ap\gamma}{p-\gamma}$, then we have $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{a}{\lambda} \right) > 0$. Hence,

$$2\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^*] = 2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} [\ln M_{\tau_n}^*] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] < C_{p, \gamma, T, a, b, \lambda}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $C_{p, \gamma, T, a, b, \lambda} > 0$ is a constant independent of n . Thus, $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^*] < +\infty$. Thanks to the de La Vallée Poussin lemma, we obtain that $(M_{\tau_n}^*)_n$ is uniformly integrable, and $\mathbb{E}[M_T^*] = 1$, which implies that $\mathcal{E}(q^*) := (M_t^*)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* by $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_T^*$. That is to say, Lemma 4.1 holds still in this case.

Moreover, applying Fatou's lemma in (4.15), we have

$$2\mathbb{E}[M_T^* \ln M_T^*] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] < +\infty. \quad (4.16)$$

Hence, it remains to show that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |f_1(s, q_s^*)| ds \right] < +\infty$. In view of (4.1), we deduce that

$$f_1^-(s, q_s^*) \leq \alpha_s,$$

which means that (4.9) still holds. Moreover, thanks to BSDE (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\xi + \int_t^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (B), it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\xi + \int_0^T (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[-|\xi| + \int_0^T f_1^+(s, q_s^*) ds - \int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds - a \int_0^T |Z_s|^\theta ds - bT \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Fenchel's inequality, (2.4), (4.9) and (4.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T f_1^+(s, q_s^*) ds \right] &\leq Y_0 + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} [|\xi|] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T f_1^-(s, q_s^*) ds \right] \\ &\quad + a\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |Z_s| ds \right] + (a+b)T < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.9) and the last inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |f_1(s, q_s^*)| ds \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T (f_1^+(s, q_s^*) + f_1^-(s, q_s^*)) ds \right] < +\infty.$$

Thus, we have shown that $q^* \in \mathcal{A}$ is optimal, i.e., $Y = Y^{q^*} = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{q \in \mathcal{A}} Y^q$, which naturally yields the uniqueness result. The proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.1 is then complete. \square

Proof of (iii) of Theorem 3.1. Since g_1 satisfies assumption (A3), $|g_1(\omega, t, 0)| \leq \alpha_t(\omega)$ by (i) of (A1) and there exists $u_t(\omega) \in \partial g_1(\omega, t, 0)$ such that $d\mathbb{P} \times dt - a.e.$, $|u_t(\omega)|^2 \leq \alpha_t(\omega)$, according to (ii) of Remark 2.2, we know that g_1 also satisfies assumption (A2). Furthermore, in view of g_1 satisfying (i) of assumption (A1) and g_2 satisfying assumption (B), it is not hard to check that the generator $g := g_1 + g_2$ of BSDE (1.1) also satisfies (i) of (A1) and (A2). Hence, the existence result has been shown in (i) of Proposition 2.3.

For the uniqueness, we first present the following proposition concerning a uniform integrability of the solution. It can be proved identically as in the third step of the proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.1. Here we omit the details.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $g := g_1 + g_2$ is a generator such that g_1 satisfies (A1) and (A2), and g_2 satisfies (B) with $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$. Let (Y, Z) be a solution of BSDE (1.1) such that (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) hold for some $\eta > 0$ depending only on $(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, T, p)$ and each $\lambda > 0$. Then, for all (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable*

process $(q_s^*)_{s \in [0, T]}$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and such that $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$ for all $s \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{E}(q^*)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and defines a probability measure $\mathbb{Q}^* \sim \mathbb{P}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] < +\infty. \quad (4.17)$$

Next, let (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') be two solutions of BSDE (1.1) such that both (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') satisfy (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) for $\eta > 0$ depending only on $(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, T, p)$ and each $\lambda > 0$. In order to verify the uniqueness, by a symmetry argument it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, $Y_t \geq Y'_t$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. For $t \in [0, T]$, let us denote $A := \{Y_t < Y'_t\}$, and set the stopping time $\tau := \inf\{s \geq t | Y_s \geq Y'_s\}$. Then for each $s \in [t, \tau]$, we have $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, $Y_s \mathbb{1}_A \leq Y'_s \mathbb{1}_A$ and $Y_\tau \mathbb{1}_A = Y'_\tau \mathbb{1}_A$ since $t \rightarrow Y_t$ is continuous $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. It implies that $((Y_s - Y'_s) \mathbb{1}_A)_{s \in [t, \tau]}$ is a non-positive process. In the sequel, we will first prove that it is a bounded process.

Let us consider an (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable process $(q_s^*)_{s \in [0, T]}$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and such that $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$ for all $s \in [0, T]$. According to Proposition 4.2 and Girsanov's theorem, we know that $\mathcal{E}(q^*)$ defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* and $B_t^{q^*} := B_t - \int_0^t (q_s^*)^\top ds$, $t \in [0, T]$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* . Hence, in view of (B) of g_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Y_s - Y'_s) &= \left(g(s, Z_s) - g(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) \right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\leq \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + \phi(|Z_s - Z'_s|) \right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\leq \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + a|Z_s - Z'_s| + b \right) ds \\ &\quad - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^{q^*}, \quad s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Let $q_s^a := \frac{a(Z_s - Z'_s)}{|Z_s - Z'_s|} \mathbb{1}_{|Z_s - Z'_s| \neq 0}$, and define the probability measure \mathbb{Q}^a equivalent to \mathbb{Q}^* by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^a}{d\mathbb{Q}^*} := \exp \left(\int_0^T q_s^a \cdot dB_s^{q^*} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |q_s^a|^2 ds \right).$$

We set $B_t^a := B_t^{q^*} - \int_0^t q_s^a ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, then Girsanov's theorem gives that $(B_t^a)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q}^a . It then follows from (4.18) that

$$d(Y_s - Y'_s) \leq \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + b \right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^a, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Recall that c and ε are defined in assumption (A3) of g_1 , and set $\bar{c} := c + b$. Applying Itô's formula to $e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A}$ and using the last inequality we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} de^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A} &\leq \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_A e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A} \left[-\bar{c} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + b \right) \right] ds \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_A e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A} (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^a, \quad s \in [t, \tau]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by virtue of assumption (A3) of g_1 , we have

$$-\bar{c} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 + \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + b \right) \leq 0,$$

which means that

$$de^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A} \leq -\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_A e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s) \mathbb{1}_A} (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^a, \quad s \in [t, \tau]. \quad (4.19)$$

Define

$$\sigma_m^t := \inf \left\{ s \geq t : \int_t^s |Z_u|^2 du + \int_t^s |Z'_u|^2 du \geq m \right\} \wedge \tau.$$

It follows from (4.19) that

$$e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s)} \mathbb{1}_A \geq e^{\varepsilon(Y_{\sigma_m^t} - Y'_{\sigma_m^t} - \bar{c}\sigma_m^t)} \mathbb{1}_A + \int_s^{\sigma_m^t} \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_A e^{\varepsilon(Y_u - Y'_u - \bar{c}u)} \mathbb{1}_A (Z_u - Z'_u) \cdot dB_u^a, \quad s \in [t, \tau].$$

Hence,

$$e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s)} \mathbb{1}_A \geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^a} \left[e^{\varepsilon(Y_{\sigma_m^t} - Y'_{\sigma_m^t} - \bar{c}\sigma_m^t)} \mathbb{1}_A \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right], \quad s \in [t, \tau].$$

Note that $((Y_s - Y'_s) \mathbb{1}_A)_{s \in [t, \tau]}$ is a non-positive process. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to the last inequality yields

$$e^{\varepsilon(Y_s - Y'_s - \bar{c}s)} \mathbb{1}_A \geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^a} \left[e^{\varepsilon(Y_\tau - Y'_\tau - \bar{c}\tau)} \mathbb{1}_A \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] \geq e^{-\varepsilon \bar{c}T}, \quad s \in [t, \tau].$$

Thus, we have

$$(Y_s - Y'_s) \mathbb{1}_A \geq \bar{c}s - \bar{c}T \geq -(c+b)T, \quad s \in [t, \tau],$$

which implies that $((Y_s - Y'_s) \mathbb{1}_A)_{s \in [t, \tau]}$ is a bounded non-positive process.

Furthermore, according to Lemma 4 of [10], in view of assumptions of the function $\phi(\cdot)$ in (B), we can conclude that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and each $n \geq 1$, it holds that $\phi(x) \leq (n+2a+2b)x + \phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right)$. In view of $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$, we have

$$g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) \leq 0,$$

which combining (4.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(Y_s - Y'_s) &\leq \left(g_1(s, Z_s) - g_1(s, Z'_s) - q_s^*(Z_s - Z'_s) + \phi(|Z_s - Z'_s|) \right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &\leq \left((n+2a+2b)|Z_s - Z'_s| + \phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right) \right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^{q^*}, \quad s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, set $q_s^n := \frac{(n+2a+2b)(Z_s - Z'_s)}{|Z_s - Z'_s|} \mathbb{1}_{|Z_s - Z'_s| \neq 0}$, $s \in [0, T]$, and define the probability measure \mathbb{Q}^n equivalent to \mathbb{Q}^* by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^n}{d\mathbb{Q}^*} := \exp \left(\int_0^T q_s^n \cdot dB_s^{q^*} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |q_s^n|^2 ds \right).$$

We set $B_s^n := B_s^{q^*} - \int_0^s q_u^n du$, $s \in [0, T]$. By Girsanov's theorem we know that $(B_s^n)_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q}^n for each $n \geq 1$. It then follows from (4.20) that

$$d(Y_s - Y'_s) \leq \phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right) ds - (Z_s - Z'_s) \cdot dB_s^n, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Define

$$\tau_m^t := \inf \left\{ s \geq t : \int_t^s |Z_u - Z'_u|^2 du \geq m \right\} \wedge \tau.$$

Taking integral from t to τ_m^t and then the conditional expectation under \mathbb{Q}^n in the last inequality, we can obtain that for each $m, n \geq 1$,

$$(Y_t - Y'_t) \mathbb{1}_A \geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^n} \left[(Y_{\tau_m^t} - Y'_{\tau_m^t}) \mathbb{1}_A \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] - \phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right) T \mathbb{1}_A. \quad (4.21)$$

Since $((Y_s - Y'_s)\mathbb{1}_A)_{s \in [t, \tau]}$ is a bounded non-positive process and $Y_\tau \mathbb{1}_A = Y'_\tau \mathbb{1}_A$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.21) and applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem yields that for each $n \geq 1$,

$$(Y_t - Y'_t)\mathbb{1}_A \geq -\phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right)T\mathbb{1}_A.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives that $\phi\left(\frac{2a+2b}{n+2a+2b}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then, from the last inequality we deduce that $(Y_t - Y'_t)\mathbb{1}_A \geq 0$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. Finally, in view of the definition of A , we know that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ and then $Y_t \geq Y'_t$ $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. The proof of (iii) of Theorem 3.1 is then complete. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.3

In this subsection, we will give the proof of Theorem 3.3. Before that, let us first prove the following uniform integrability result.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that ξ is a terminal value, $g = g_1 + g_2$ is a generator such that g_1 satisfies (A1) and (A3), g_2 satisfies (B) with $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$ and $a = 0$, and $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\gamma(|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha_t dt))] < +\infty$. Let (Y, Z) be a solution of BSDE (1.1) such that $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to class (D). Then, for all (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable process $(q_s^*)_{s \in [0, T]}$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and such that $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$ for all $s \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{E}(q^*)$ is a uniformly integrable process and defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* equivalent to \mathbb{P} .*

Proof. Since (Y, Z) is a solution of BSDE (1.1) such that $(e^{\gamma(|Y_t| + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to class (D), according to Lemma 2.6 we know that there exists a strictly increasing function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $k(0) = \gamma$, $k(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$, and

$$\sup_{\tau \in \Sigma_T} \mathbb{E}\left[K\left(|Y_\tau| + \int_0^\tau \alpha_s ds\right)\right] < +\infty \quad (4.22)$$

with $K(x) = \int_0^x k(t)e^{\gamma t} dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Define

$$\Psi(x) = \int_0^x k(u)(e^{\gamma u} - 1)du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Since Ψ is a convex function on \mathbb{R}_+ , we know that the dual function of Ψ is $\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \Phi'(u)du$ with Φ' being the inverse function of Ψ' . We consider an (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable process $(q_s^*)_{s \in [0, T]}$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and such that $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$ for all $s \in [0, T]$. Firstly, we have to show that $\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds < +\infty$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. In fact, since assumption (A1) holds for g_1 , we can define f_1 as in (3.2), and (4.1) holds. It then follows from (4.1) and Young's inequality that $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$,

$$\begin{aligned} |q_s^*|^2 &\leq 2\gamma(f_1(s, q_s^*) + \alpha_s) = 2\gamma(q_s^* Z_s - g_1(s, Z_s) + \alpha_s) \\ &\leq 2\gamma\left(\frac{1}{4\gamma}|q_s^*|^2 + \gamma|Z_s|^2 - g_1(s, Z_s) + \alpha_s\right), \quad s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^T |q_s^*|^2 ds \leq 4\gamma \int_0^T \left(\gamma|Z_s|^2 - g_1(s, Z_s) + \alpha_s\right) ds < +\infty.$$

Now, let us show that $\mathcal{E}(q^*)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale. For each $n \geq 1$, define the following stopping time

$$\tau_n := \inf\left\{t \in [0, T] : \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds + \int_0^t |Z_s|^2 ds \geq n\right\} \wedge T$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$, and the probability measure \mathbb{Q}_n^* by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}_n^*}{d\mathbb{P}} := M_{\tau_n}^* \quad \text{with} \quad M_t^* = \exp\left(\int_0^t q_s^* dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |q_s^*|^2 ds\right), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Set $B_t^{q^*} := B_t - \int_0^t (q_s^*)^\top ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, then $(B_t^{q^*})_{t \in [0, \tau_n]}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion under the probability \mathbb{Q}_n^* for each $n \geq 1$. Now, we verify that $(M_{\tau_n}^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable which is sufficient to conclude the desired result. In view of $q_s^* \in \partial g_1(s, Z_s)$, thanks to BSDE (1.1) and Girsanov's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= Y_{\tau_n} - \int_0^{\tau_n} g(s, Z_s) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s \\ &= Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (q_s^* Z_s - g(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &= Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau_n} Z_s \cdot dB_s^{q^*} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[Y_{\tau_n} + \int_0^{\tau_n} (f_1(s, q_s^*) - g_2(s, Z_s)) ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

According to assumption (B) of g_2 with $\bar{\alpha} \equiv 0$ and $a = 0$, we can deduce that

$$|g_2(s, Z_s)| \leq |g_2(s, 0)| + \phi(|Z_s|) \leq b, \quad s \in [0, T],$$

which combining the fact that $Y_{\tau_n} \geq -|Y_{\tau_n}|$, (4.23) and (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &\geq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[-|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \left(-\alpha_s + \frac{1}{2\gamma} |q_s^*|^2 - b \right) ds \right] \\ &\geq -\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right] + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] - bT. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Since Ψ and Φ are dual convex functions, Young's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right] &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\left(|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right) M_{\tau_n}^* \right] \\ &\geq -\mathbb{E} \left[\Psi \left(|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right) \right] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(M_{\tau_n}^*)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

By virtue of (4.22) together with the definitions of $K(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$, we have

$$-\mathbb{E} \left[\Psi \left(|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right) \right] \geq -\mathbb{E} \left[K \left(|Y_{\tau_n}| + \int_0^{\tau_n} \alpha_s ds \right) \right] \geq -C, \quad (4.26)$$

where C is a constant independent of n . Moreover, a simple calculus gives

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_n^*} \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} |q_s^*|^2 ds \right] = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^* \right]. \quad (4.27)$$

By putting (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) into (4.24), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &\geq -C - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(M_{\tau_n}^*)] + \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[M_{\tau_n}^* \ln M_{\tau_n}^* \right] - bT \\ &= -C - bT + \mathbb{E}[\Lambda(M_{\tau_n}^*)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda(x) := \frac{1}{\gamma} x \ln x - \Phi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[\Lambda(M_{\tau_n}^*)] < +\infty, \quad (4.28)$$

Furthermore, we have the following proposition similar to Proposition 2 of [6]. Its proof is given here for readers' convenience.

Proposition 4.4. *The function $\Lambda(x)$ satisfies*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Lambda(x)}{x} = +\infty.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\Lambda'(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln x + \frac{1}{\gamma} - \Phi'(x)$ is increasing and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda'(x) = +\infty$. Firstly, let us show that $\Psi''(\Phi'(x)) \geq \gamma(x + \gamma)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\Psi''(x) = k'(x)(e^{\gamma x} - 1) + \gamma k(x)e^{\gamma x} \geq \gamma k(x)(e^{\gamma x} - 1) + \gamma k(x) \geq \gamma \Psi'(x) + \gamma^2,$$

so we have

$$\Psi''(\Phi'(x)) \geq \gamma \Psi'(\Phi'(x)) + \gamma^2 = \gamma(x + \gamma).$$

Thus, in view of $(\Psi'(\Phi'(x)))' = \Psi''(\Phi'(x))\Phi''(x) = 1$, we can deduce that

$$\Phi''(x) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma(x + \gamma)}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\Lambda''(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma x} - \Phi''(x) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma x} - \frac{1}{\gamma(x + \gamma)} > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

which means that Λ' is an increasing function.

To conclude we will prove by contradiction that Λ' is an unbounded function: let us assume that there exists a constant W such that $\Lambda' \leq W$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = \Psi'(\Phi'(x)) &= k(\Phi'(x))(e^{\gamma \Phi'(x)} - 1) = k(\Phi'(x))(e^{\gamma(\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln x + \frac{1}{\gamma} - \Lambda'(x))} - 1) \\ &\geq k(\Phi'(x))(e^{\ln x + 1} e^{-\gamma W} - 1) = k(\Phi'(x))(x e^{1 - \gamma W} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get for x big enough,

$$k(\Phi'(x)) \leq \frac{x}{x e^{1 - \gamma W} - 1} \leq C_{\gamma, W},$$

where $C_{\gamma, W}$ is a positive constant depending only on γ and W . Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi'(x) = +\infty$, the last inequality implies that $k(\cdot)$ is a bounded function, which is a contradiction. \square

Finally, according to de La Vallée Poussin lemma together with (4.28) and Proposition 4.4, the conclusion of Proposition 4.3 follows immediately. \square

Based on Proposition 4.3, we can prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Since g_1 satisfies (i) of (A1), and g_2 satisfies (B) with $\bar{a} \equiv 0$ and $a = 0$, it is not hard to verify that the generator $g := g_1 + g_2$ of BSDE (1.1) satisfies (i) of (A1). Thus, the existence result in Theorem 3.3 has been given in (ii) of Proposition 2.3. Now, we are committed to proving the uniqueness. In fact, with Proposition 4.3 in hand and in view of g_1 satisfying (A1) and (A3), and g_2 satisfying (B) with $\bar{a} \equiv 0$ and $a = 0$, by an identical argument as that in the proof of (iii) of Theorem 3.1 we can verify the desired assertion on the uniqueness. The only difference lies in $a = 0$, $q^a \equiv 0$ and $B^a \equiv B^{q^*}$ here. The proof is then complete. \square

References

- [1] P. Briand, B. Delyon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux, L. Stoica, L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **108** (2003) 109-129.
- [2] P. Briand, Y. Hu, BSDE with quadratic growth and unbounded terminal value, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **136** (2006) 604-618.
- [3] P. Briand, Y. Hu, Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **141** (2008) 543-567.
- [4] P. Briand, A. Richou, On the uniqueness of solutions to quadratic BSDEs with non-convex generators, *Frontiers in Stochastic Analysis-BSDEs, SPDEs and their Applications* **289** (2019) 89-107.
- [5] F. Delbaen, Y. Hu, A. Richou, On the uniqueness of solutions to quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **47** (2011) 559-574.
- [6] F. Delbaen, Y. Hu, A. Richou, On the uniqueness of solutions to quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions: the critical case, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35** (2015) 5273-5283.
- [7] S. Fan, Bounded solutions, $L^p(p > 1)$ solutions and L^1 solutions for one-dimensional BSDEs under general assumptions, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **126** (2016) 1511-1552.
- [8] S. Fan, Y. Hu, S. Tang, On the uniqueness of solutions to quadratic BSDEs with non-convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (2020) 227-235.
- [9] S. Fan, Y. Hu, S. Tang, Multi-dimensional backward stochastic differential equations of diagonally quadratic generators: the general result, *J. Differ. Equations* **368** (2023) 105-140.
- [10] S. Fan, L. Jiang, Existence and uniqueness result for a backward stochastic differential equation whose generator is Lipschitz continuous in y and uniformly continuous in z , *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **36** (2011) 1-10.
- [11] S. Fan, L. Jiang, D. Tian, One-dimensional BSDEs with finite and infinite time horizons, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **121** (2011) 427-440.
- [12] Y. Hu, P. Imkeller, M. Muller, Utility maximization in incomplete markets, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15** (2005) 1691-1712.
- [13] Y. Hu, S. Tang, F. Wang, Quadratic G -BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **153** (2022) 363-390.
- [14] M. Kobylanski, Backward stochastic differential equations and partial differential equations with quadratic growth, *Ann. Probab.* **28** (2000) 558-602.
- [15] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, *Syst. Control Lett.* **14** (1990) 55-61.
- [16] A. Richou, Markovian quadratic and superquadratic BSDEs with an unbounded terminal condition, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **122** (2012) 3173-3208.
- [17] R. Rouge, N. El Karoui, Pricing via utility maximization and entropy, *Math. Finance* **10** (2000) 259-276.
- [18] D. Tian, Pricing Principle via Tsallis Relative Entropy in Incomplete Markets, *SIAM J. Financial Math.* **14** (2023) 250-278.