

# Recent Advancement of Emotion Cognition in Large Language Models

Yuyan Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yanghua Xiao<sup>1</sup> ✉

<sup>1</sup> Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University  
{chenyuyan21@m., shawyh@}fudan.edu.cn

## Abstract

Emotion cognition in large language models (LLMs) is crucial for enhancing performance across various applications, such as social media, human-computer interaction, and mental health assessment. We explore the current landscape of research, which primarily revolves around emotion classification, emotionally rich response generation, and Theory of Mind assessments, while acknowledge the challenges like dependency on annotated data and complexity in emotion processing. In this paper, we present a detailed survey of recent progress in LLMs for emotion cognition. We explore key research studies, methodologies, outcomes, and resources, aligning them with Ulric Neisser’s cognitive stages. Additionally, we outline potential future directions for research in this evolving field, including unsupervised learning approaches and the development of more complex and interpretable emotion cognition LLMs. We also discuss advanced methods such as contrastive learning used to improve LLMs’ emotion cognition capabilities.

## 1 Introduction

In today’s emotion computing field, the significance of emotion cognition in large language models (LLMs) is increasingly recognized (Ren et al., 2024). It offers profound insights into the complex processes of human emotions and cognition. This area involves not only analyzing the emotional states of individuals or groups but also effectively utilizing these emotions in various applications, such as social media analysis (Chen et al., 2024b; Yang et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024e; Jin et al., 2023, 2024b), human-computer interaction (Chen et al., 2023e,c), and mental health assessment (Chen et al., 2024d). Having emotion cognition capabilities enables LLMs to align more closely with human values, thereby enhancing their performance in emotion-related downstream tasks.




Figure 1: The framework of this survey, including the challenges of LLMs’ emotion cognition, Bases and Methods to enhance LLMs’ emotion cognition of LLMs, as well as the future direction in this topic.

Current research in emotion cognition with LLMs focuses on a range of approaches for processing and analyzing emotional data. This includes emotion classification (Zhang et al., 2023), generating emotionally rich responses (Xie et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024g), and Theory of Mind assessments (Sap et al., 2022). The researchers also focus on enhancing LLMs’ emotional capabilities through techniques such as in-context learning (Sun et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024c) and fine-tuning methods (Peng et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023d), etc. However, challenges still exist, including over-reliance on annotated data, difficulty in handling complex emotions, and explaining the decision-making processes of LLMs in emotion cognition. Moreover, emotion cognition is highly relevant to human emotional psychology, requiring not only computational methods and techniques but also a deep understanding and application of psychological theories.

In our review, we emphasize combining a psychological perspective, particularly based on Ulric Neisser’s cognitive psychology theory (Neisser, 2014), to examine the application and research of LLMs in emotion cognition. Neisser, known as the father of cognitive psychology, describing cognition in his book “Cognitive Psychology” as a comprehensive process that includes sensation,

perception, imagination, memory, recall, problem-solving, and thinking. Fig. 1 illustrates the framework of this survey. Specifically, we first delve into three key challenges faced in emotion cognition of LLMs: the uniqueness of emotional problems, the complexity of emotional methodologies, and the diversity of emotional tasks. We then introduce two typical directions in LLMs for emotion cognition: emotion evaluation and emotion enhancement. Drawing upon the cognitive definition by Ulric Neisser, we categorize works in the emotion domain of LLMs into these seven stages. In each stage, we explore more detailed research directions and application scenarios. Additionally, we summarize significant works in emotion cognition, categorized by these seven stages, including their motivation, key methodologies, performance, and available open-source codes and datasets. Lastly, we identify and discuss open questions and potential future directions in the field of emotion cognition of LLMs. The main contributions of our work include:

- We make an in-depth analysis of the main challenges in emotion cognition from the perspectives of problem definition, methodology, and application areas.
- We categorize works in the emotion domain according to the seven stages of cognitive psychology theory, aligning specific tasks better with human cognitive processes.
- We provide an insightful discussion on future research directions in emotion cognition, aiming at inspiring further advancements in the field of emotion computing of LLMs.

## 2 Challenges and Bases

Emotion cognition in LLMs faces key challenges: *i) Uniqueness of the Problem*, which indicates emotions in text are abstract and require deep understanding; *ii) Methodological Complexity*, which indicates emotions extends beyond classification or generation, needing tailored strategies and quantitative evaluations; *iii) Diversity of Tasks*, which indicates emotion tasks like question answering and dialogue need varied methods and effectiveness measures.

We first introduce the bases of emotion cognition, which include evaluations and enhancement for LLMs. Specifically, existing evaluations for

LLMs can be categorized as follows: *i) Discriminative Tasks* include sentiment classification and emotion recognition on various datasets (Zhang et al., 2023; Carneros-Prado et al., 2023; Rathje et al., 2023). *ii) Generation Tasks* assess LLMs’ emotional generation capabilities (Lynch et al., 2023; Xie et al., 2023; NathanKlapach, 2023). *iii) Theory of Mind* focus on LLMs’ abilities to infer and replicate human mental states (Trott et al., 2023a; Sap et al., 2022; Gandhi et al., 2023). *iv) Higher-order Tasks* include role-playing, humor understanding, empathy generation, and decision-making (Jiang et al., 2023; Jentsch and Kersting, 2023; Lee et al., 2022; Jin et al., 2022). Enhancement methods for LLMs are categorized as follows: *i) In-context Learning* incorporates emotional labels and contextual examples to improve emotion recognition (Sun et al., 2023; Lei et al., 2023; Lee et al., 2022). *ii) Fine-tuning* enhance performance in tasks like mental health prediction and sentiment analysis (Xu et al., 2023; Kheiri and Karimi, 2023; Peng et al., 2023; Binz and Schulz, 2023). *iii) Knowledge Enhancement* is adopted in tasks like completing dialogues, predicting emotional distribution, and integrating with knowledge bases enrich LLMs’ emotional content (Zheng et al., 2023; Gagne and Dayan, 2023; Sun et al., 2023; Jeong and Makhmud, 2023; Qian et al., 2023; Shao et al., 2023).

Next, we elaborate three typical emotion cognition problems with more detailed symbolic representations as follows: *i) Emotion classification*. Given a dataset  $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $x_i$  represents the input text and  $y_i$  is the corresponding labeled emotion, the objective is to develop an LLM, denoted as  $LLM_{EAC}$ . This model is tasked with function  $f_{EAC} : X \rightarrow E$ , where  $X$  is the space of input texts and  $E$  is the set of possible emotion classes. The goal is for  $LLM_{EAC}$  to predict the emotion class  $e \in E$  for a new input text  $x \in X$ , using a limited set of labeled examples  $(x_i, y_i)$ . *ii) Emotion generation*. Given a conversational context or narrative  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$ , where  $c_j$  represents the  $j$ -th element of the context, the task is to develop an LLM, denoted as  $LLM_{EIG}$ . The model should implement a function  $f_{EIG} : C \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is the space of possible responses or generated text. The model  $LLM_{EIG}$  is expected to generate a response  $r \in R$  that is contextually and emotionally aligned with the given conversational context  $C$ . *iii) Emotion interpretability*. Given a set of emotional data  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ ,

where each  $e_k$  represents an annotated emotional instance, the objective is to develop an LLM, denoted as  $LLM_{EI}$ . This model should execute a function  $f_{EI} : E \times X \rightarrow I$ , where  $I$  is the set of interpretable explanations. The model  $LLM_{EI}$  is designed to provide an explanation  $i \in I$  for the predicted or generated emotion  $e_k$  corresponding to an input text  $x \in X$ , elucidating the rationale behind its emotional inference or generation.

### 3 Methods and Applications

In this section, drawing parallels between Neisser’s cognitive processes and LLMs’ capabilities, we elaborate emotion cognition of LLMs in the same seven stages. we list representative studies with their motivations, key techniques, results, open-source codes/datasets are shown in Table 1. The enlarged version is shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

#### 3.1 Sensation

Sensation is the notion that LLMs exhibit capabilities akin to human in processing input textual data. Work in this area mainly focuses on the input form. The common input forms contain three categories, including prompt engineering, embeddings representation and knowledge enhancement.

Prompt engineering means adding some instructions to guide LLMs on downstream tasks. For example, [Lynch et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced a structured narrative prompt designed for querying LLMs. The study uses OpenAI’s ChatGPT to generate narratives, then compares the emotional levels in these narratives with real tweets using statistical tests like chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests; [Ratican and Hutson \(2023\)](#) proposed the 6DE model to analyze human emotions in LLM contexts. This model considers multiple dimensions of emotions, such as arousal, valence, dominance, agency, fidelity, and novelty; [Zhang et al. \(2023\)](#) explored four prompt strategies, including zero-shot and few-shot prompts with and without context, demonstrating the good performance of these prompts for LLMs in emotion analysis and recognition tasks comparing with those without any prompting strategy. The study highlights the importance of contextual information in enhancing emotion estimation by LLMs.

Different from using prompts for input, an embeddings representation converts the input text into a vector representation in a high-dimensional space, capturing the semantic information of the vocabulary, which is used for optimizing internal process of the LLM. For example, [Xu et al. \(2023\)](#)

explored instruction tuning to enhance LLM performance in mental health prediction. The fine-tuned models, Mental-Alpaca and Mental-FLAN-T5, notably surpass GPT-3.5 and GPT-4’s performance, despite being significantly smaller in size; [Binz and Schulz \(2023\)](#) investigated psychological experiment data which is used to fine-tune LLMs. This research demonstrates LLMs’ capabilities in accurately mimicking human behavior, and indicating LLMs’ potential in emotion cognition with embeddings representation in the fine-tuning process.

Moreover, knowledge enhancement means adding context or knowledge into the input to enhance LLMs’ performance in processing downstream tasks. For example, [Sun et al. \(2023\)](#) focused on enhancing empathetic response generation by incorporating external knowledge. This study introduces a novel approach called CoNECT, which utilizes emotional indicators to assess context relevance and promote empathy reasoning; [Gagne and Dayan \(2023\)](#) explored the sentiment distribution of text generated by LLMs. This approach enables the generation of emotionally rich sentences through the utilization of specific quantiles, demonstrating LLMs’ effectiveness in emotion-related generation, and offers insights into LLMs’ internal mechanism.

In brief, current research in LLMs has achieved notable progress in processing emotional text inputs, *mainly through prompt engineering, embeddings representation, and knowledge enhancement*. These methods have enhanced LLMs’ capabilities to *understand and generate emotion-rich content*. However, there remains room for improvement in *diversifying the modes of receiving and processing text inputs*, including integrating a more nuanced internal emotional cognition within LLMs to better interpret and respond to inputs.

#### 3.2 Perception

Perception involves interpreting and understanding sensory information, processing raw data collected from the senses to form a meaningful understanding of the external world. LLMs’ perception in emotion cognition mainly contains emotion recognition and its interpretability.

Emotion recognition involves recognizing emotions in the context or conversations. For example, [Rathje et al. \(2023\)](#) explored the performance of GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 in detecting various language psychological constructs (emotions, discrete emotions, and aggressiveness), suggesting that LLMs

Stage	Paper	Motivation	Approach	Performance	Venue	Code/Datalink
Sentiment	(Ljuch et al., 2023)	Generating narratives based on simulated agents.	Structured narrative prompt	ChatGPT's sentiment scores are similar to real tweets in 4 out of 44 categories.	Feature Inter- net IAHR arXiv	<a href="https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/xydwv6zb2/">https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/xydwv6zb2/</a>
	(Rattani and Hassan, 2023)	Analyzing human emotions within LLMs from multiple angles.	The Six Emotional Dimension (6DE) model	Performance is improved by integrating 6DE model and reinforcement learning.	arXiv	
	(Zhang et al., 2023)	Using case control to improve emotion recognition.	Prompt engineering	LLMs excel in generating empathetic responses with an accuracy of 53.44%.	arXiv	
	(Xu et al., 2023)	Evaluating and improving LLMs' performance in mental health triage.	Zero-shot prompting, Few-shot prompting, and Instruction fine-tuning	Best-finetuned models outperform GPT-3.5's best prompt by 10.9% in balanced accuracy, and GPT-4 by 4.8%.	arXiv	
Perception	(Blitz and Schulz, 2023)	Investigating transforming LLMs into cognitive models.	Contextual rationality segregation with attention mechanism	CENTaUR achieves -8002.3 negative log-likelihood on the Choices13k dataset and -25968.6 on the Horizon task, beating domain-specific models.	arXiv	
	(Sun et al., 2023)	Making LLMs better reflect human behavior in conversations.	How LLMs represent sentiment and emotions in generated sentences.	LLMs excel in generating empathetic responses with an accuracy of 53.44%.	arXiv	
	(Ratje et al., 2023)	How LLMs represent sentiment and emotions in generated sentences.	Precise sentiment distribution modeling with LLMs	GPT-4 outperforms dictionary-based text analysis ( $r = 0.66-0.75$ vs. $r = 0.20-0.30$ for correlations with manual annotations).	arXiv	
	(Gagne and Deyan, 2023)	Evaluating GPT as a tool for automated psychological text analysis.	Multilingual psychological text analysis with GPT	LLMs predict emotions with less than 1% deviation for valence and 2-7% for other emotions.	PsyArXiv	<a href="https://doi.org/10.6084/m9">https://doi.org/10.6084/m9</a>
Imagination	(Lee et al., 2023)	Exploring the capabilities of GPT-4 to solve tasks related to emotion prediction.	Prompt engineering	LLMs predict emotions with less than 1% deviation for valence and 2-7% for other emotions.	arXiv	
	(Peng et al., 2023)	Improving emotion recognition with LLMs.	Adaptation of LLMs for emotion recognition	LLMs outperform specialized deep models.	arXiv	
	(Kheiri and Karimi, 2023)	Thoroughly examining various GPT methodologies in sentiment analysis	GPT-based sentiment analysis	Achieving over 27% higher F1-scores than the current SOTA methods.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/DSAArUSU/SentimentGPT">https://github.com/DSAArUSU/SentimentGPT</a>
	(Trott et al., 2023b)	Exploring how humans recognize and understand humorous utterances	LLM-based humor comprehension	In the humor comprehension task, GPT-3.5's accuracy is lower for jokes (69.4%) compared with literal statements (expected: 96.2%, straight: 93.1%).	PsyArXiv	
Recall	(Ullman, 2023)	Investigating how minor variations affect LLMs' ToM performance.	Minor task alterations	GPT-3.5's accuracy drops from 99% to below 50% with minor modifications.	arXiv	
	(Kwon et al., 2022)	Exploring methods for representing similarity among emotion concepts.	Emotion representation fusion	GPT-3 shows a correlation much closer to appraisal feature-based similarity compared with word/vec methods.	CoqSci	<a href="https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/62PFFKH">https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/62PFFKH</a>
	(Xie et al., 2023)	Comparing the story generation capacity of LLMs.	Prompt engineering	GPT-4 generates high-quality stories comparable to those written by humans, outperforming SOTA methods.	SEGRAI	
	(Zhang et al., 2023)	Exploring the capabilities of GPT-4 to solve tasks related to emotion prediction.	Emotion prediction and manipulation	Prompting GPT-4 to identify key emotional factors enables it to manipulate the emotional intensity of its stories.	ACTW	
Reasoning	(Lee et al., 2023)	Exploring whether GPT-3 can generate empathic dialogues	Prompt-based in-context learning for empathic dialogue generation	AUHCESC achieves high scores in informativeness, understanding, helpfulness, consistency, and coherence, based on human evaluation, outperforming strong baselines and open-domain dialogues.	COLING	<a href="https://github.com/passing2961/EmagGPT-3">https://github.com/passing2961/EmagGPT-3</a>
	(Zhou et al., 2023)	Evaluating ChatGPT's emotional dialogue capability	Emotional dialogue understanding and generation evaluation method	ChatGPT generally has a performance gap of 3-18% in ERC, 11.95% in CE, and 11-17% in DAC.	arXiv	
	(Chen et al., 2024)	Enhancing LLMs' understanding and generation of humorous responses in dialogues.	Training LMs with a Chinese humor response dataset, chain-of-humor annotations and auxiliary tasks	Improve LMs' humorous response accuracy by up to 30% compared to baseline ones	AAAI 2024	
	(Hentsch and Kersting, 2023)	Enhancing ChatGPT's ability to understand and replicate human humor.	LLM-based humor understanding and generation	ChatGPT 1000 jokes are too similar to 25 specific jokes, and ChatGPT provides invalid explanations for some jokes.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/DLR-SC/okapi/GPT-WASSA23">https://github.com/DLR-SC/okapi/GPT-WASSA23</a>
Problem-Solving	(Topfan, 2023)	Enhancing AI joke generation and develop dialogue with humanlike humor.	Wincrept 3	Wincrept 3's responses to input sentences have 44% jokes.	arXiv	
	(Venkatakrishnan et al., 2023)	Improving LLMs' ability to generate empathetic responses in dialogues.	Human evaluation	RobBERT outperforms GPT-3.5 in emotion detection tasks.	arXiv	
	(Tao et al., 2023)	Enhancing personalized role-playing with LLMs.	Personalized character-driven language modeling	The RoleChat-GLM model excels in specific role knowledge and memory with a score of 0.573 but is slightly less efficient in general instruction response with a score of 0.5385.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/ten2002/RoleChat">https://github.com/ten2002/RoleChat</a>
	(Shao et al., 2023)	Studying how LLMs can embody individuals.	Character-LLM	Simplifying characters and memorizing experiences effectively.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/choosewhats-like/trainable-agents">https://github.com/choosewhats-like/trainable-agents</a>
Thinking	(Huang et al., 2023)	Assessing LLMs' accuracy and consistency in reflecting specific traits.	Personality-aligned LLM generation	Correlate language features with assigned personality types effectively.	arXiv	
	(Nutanakapook, 2023)	Exploring emotional abilities of LLMs.	Emotional analysis of LLMs.	GPT-4 scores the highest at 809.51000, while Bing AI scores the lowest at 427.81000 among the 5 LLMs for emotional capabilities.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/researcharchiveof/rating-school-as">research archive of rating school-as</a>
	(Jia et al., 2023)	Addressing challenges in emotional support conversations.	MODERN	MODERN records the lowest PPL at 14.99, highest BLEU-1 score at 23.19, BLEU-2 at 10.13, BLEU-3 at 5.53, BLEU-4 at 3.39, ROUGE-L at 20.36, METEOR at 9.25, and the highest F1 score at 30.08, outperforming all SOTA baselines on the ESCM dataset.	arXiv	
	(Jong and Mahmud, 2023)	Enhancing relation and consistency of responses from LLMs	Multi-sensory contextual response generation	Model with all components achieves improved performance, scoring 0.118 in METEOR and 0.209 in Sentence BERT.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/saif-samir/InfoRiskBot">https://github.com/saif-samir/InfoRiskBot</a>
Thinking	(Zhang et al., 2023)	Improving long-term memory in LLMs	MemoryBank	Exhibiting strong capability for long-term companionship.	arXiv	
	(Quan et al., 2023)	Exploring the performance of LLMs in generating empathetic responses	Semantically similar in-context learning, two-stage interactive generation, and combination with knowledge base	With ChatGPT using 5-shot in-context learning, the Dist-1 and Dist-2 scores reach 2.96 and 18.29, and BLEU-4 score is 2.65.	arXiv	
	(Wu et al., 2023)	Assessing ChatGPT's performance in recognizing emotions from text.	Emotion recognition with ChatGPT	Emotion datasets vary, indicating potential bias and instability in LLMs.	arXiv	
	(Tu et al., 2023)	Providing emotional support to individuals with diverse personalities.	Persona-driven social support conversation	The results show high effectiveness, with emotional improvement averaging 4.37, problem solving at 5.30, and active engagement at 4.89 on a 5-point scale.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/mecry-CharacterChat">https://github.com/mecry-CharacterChat</a>
Thinking	(Qin et al., 2023)	Identifying cognitive distortions and suicidal thoughts.	Psychological risk classification with LLMs.	The fine-tuned GPT-3.5 achieves an F1 score of 78.45%, an improvement of 11.5% over the base model.	research square	
	(Zhou et al., 2024)	Enhancing LLMs' empathetic understanding to infer users' underlying goals and psychological needs.	Prompt engineering	reaching up to 85% accuracy in inferring users' goals and fundamental psychological needs	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/honghuiQ/SuperEmpyVLLM EfficacyEval">https://github.com/honghuiQ/SuperEmpyVLLM EfficacyEval</a>
	(Sajjan et al., 2023)	Creating an instant assistant for personalized learning in higher education.	AI-enabled Intelligent Assistant (AIA)	The framework, goes enhanced personalized and adaptive learning.	arXiv	
	(Liu et al., 2023)	Addressing the increasing demand for mental health support.	Psy-LLM	The framework generates coherent and relevant answers effectively.	arXiv	
Thinking	(Trott et al., 2023a)	Studying the impact of language exposure on understanding mental states.	Language exposure hypothesis testing	GPT-3.5's predictions are sensitive to characters' knowledge states, and the accuracy reaches 74.5% and 73.4% in two evaluations.	Cognitive Science	<a href="https://doi.org/10.6084/m9">https://doi.org/10.6084/m9</a>
	(Gandhi et al., 2023)	Investigating the ToM reasoning capabilities of LLMs	Canonical template-based evaluation framework	GPT-4 mirrors human ToM, while other LLMs struggle in comparison.	arXiv	
	(Sap et al., 2022)	Exploring social intelligence and ToM in modern NLP systems.	Instruction-tuned, RLHF, neural ToM	GPT-4 performs only 60% accuracy on the ToM questions	arXiv	
	(Lee et al., 2024)	Evaluating LLMs' abilities to generate empathetic responses	N-ToM evaluation	GPT-4 achieves an average empathy score of 4.1 out of 5 LLMs have ToM abilities but lack robust performance.	arXiv	
	(Shapiro et al., 2023)	Evaluating the extent of N-ToM capabilities in LLMs	N-ToM evaluation	LLMs have ToM abilities but lack robust performance.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/salvati/Cleave_Hum_or_N-ToM">https://github.com/salvati/Cleave_Hum_or_N-ToM</a>
	(Zhou et al., 2023)	Evaluating LLMs' ability to link mental states with social actions.	Thinking for Doing (T4D), Zero-shot prompting framework, Free-use and Reflect (FuR)	FuR boosts GPT-4's performance from 50% to 71% on T4D	arXiv	
	(Soria et al., 2023)	Reviewing LLMs' empathy in healthcare.	A literature search on MEDLINE	ChatGPT-3.5 outperforms humans in empathy-related tasks, achieving up to 85%	MedRxiv	
	(Schaffel et al., 2023)	Exploring ChatGPT's ability to understand and express empathy	A series of tests and questionnaires	ChatGPT accurately identified emotions in 91.7% of cases and produced parallel emotional responses in 70.7% of conversations	arXiv	
	(Estroff et al., 2023)	Enhancing LLMs' empathic dialogue speech synthesis	Use ChatGPT to extract context words and train an empathic speech synthesis model.	ChatGPT-4 achieves 3.5 for naturalness and 3.24 for speaking rate similarity	INTERPREEMH 2023	
	(Holtzman and van Deemter, 2023)	Understanding whether ChatGPT-3 and ChatGPT-4 can exhibit ToM	Using six well-known problems that focus on human reasoning, biases and decision-making	ChatGPT-4 provides 30% more correct answers than ChatGPT-3 even if it's based on incorrect assumptions or reasoning.	arXiv	
Thinking	(Jin et al., 2022)	Predicting human moral judgments for AI collaboration and safety.	Moral Chain-of-Thought (MoralCoT) prompting strategy	MoralCoT outperforms existing LLMs by 6.2% F1.	NeurIPS	<a href="https://huggingface.co/datasets/bradford-mayer/MoralRecp-QA">https://huggingface.co/datasets/bradford-mayer/MoralRecp-QA</a>
	(Del Arco et al., 2022)	Enhancing GPT-3's ability to detect empathy in text.	Transfer learning and fine-tuning	Achieve an F1 score of 0.73 on the empathy detection task.	WASSA 2022	

Table 1: A list of representative papers among each level with motivation, approach, performance, venue, and code/datalink.

are more accurate than dictionary-based methods and fine-tuned machine learning models; Zhang et al. (2023) demonstrated that LLMs can achieve comparable or superior performance in emotion recognition tasks, especially in identifying minority emotional categories; Lei et al. (2023) introduced the InstructERC framework, an effective generative framework employing a combination of a retrieval module and an emotion alignment task for emotion recognition; Venkatakrishnan et al. (2023) emphasized the importance of emotion detection in cross-cultural contexts, examining LLMs' responses to significant events such as the murder of Zhina (Mahsa) Amini in Iran and the earthquake in Turkey and Syria; Rodríguez-Ibáñez et al. (2023) assessed sentiment analysis methods in social networks and their applications in fields like stock market valuation, politics, and online bullying education. The study finds poor performance using LLMs like GPT-3, and GPT-J, requiring domain-specific adjustments; Peng et al. (2023) adopted deep prompt tuning and low-rank adaptation to investigate how well LLMs perform in linguistic emotion recognition. The impressive performance of the adapted LLMs across six widely used datasets highlights their strong transferability and feasibility

in emotion recognition, surpassing other specialized deep models; Kheiri and Karimi (2023) discussed the potential of using LLMs for sentiment analysis, showing that LLMs excel in handling nuances in language for sentiment analysis; Ullman (2023) emphasized GPT-3.5's skill in predicting human emotions, highlighting its capabilities in understanding and interpreting emotional content in text. Carneros-Prado et al. (2023) conducted a comparative analysis between GPT-3.5 and IBM Watson using a dataset of 30,000 tweets related to the Covid-19 pandemic. This study reveals the multifaceted capabilities of LLMs in sentiment analysis and emotion classification. However, they also struggle with fitting textual expressions into defined emotion categories. Moreover, humor is a challenging research field in emotion cognition. Trott et al. (2023b) investigate the ability of GPT-3 to understand verbal humor. Experiments demonstrate that GPT-3 performs above chance in detecting, appreciating, and comprehending jokes, although it does not match human performance. It suggests that while LLMs are adept at grasping humor, language alone is not sufficient for fully getting the joke. Images are also useful.

Interpretability of emotion recognition is to an-



analyze the internal state of LLMs through weight distribution of words, gradient, disturbance. [Kwon et al. \(2022\)](#) investigated methods of representing emotional concepts by comparing performance between appraisal feature-based and word embedding-based similarity calculation methods. It finds that GPT-3 outperforms in word embedding-based similarity calculations but also relies excessively on the valuation of emotional concepts.

In general, recent advancements in LLMs have focused on improving their perception of emotions in text, mirroring human-like understanding of emotional nuances. While LLMs like *GPT-3.5* and *GPT-4* show proficiency in detecting and interpreting emotions across diverse contexts, they still face challenges in fully grasping the context and subtleties of emotions, highlighting the need for further enhancement in their perceptual capabilities for accurate emotion categorization, depth of understanding, domain adaptability, and value alignment.

### 3.3 Imagination

Imagination is the generation of emotionally relevant content, such as emotional stories, poetry, and emotive dialogues, with the aim of creating content that aligns with human values.

For LLMs' generating emotional narratives, [Xie et al. \(2023\)](#) focused on variations in style, register, and story length in crafting stories, revealing a significant superiority of LLMs in generating story content. However, a critical observation is that LLMs tend to replicate real-world stories when dealing with world knowledge. [Yongsatianchot et al. \(2023a\)](#) investigated the GPT-4's proficiency in tasks associated with emotion prediction, showcasing its capability to not only discern and conceptualize emotion theories but also to create emotion-related stories. By prompting GPT-4 to recognize and manipulate key elements of emotional experiences, it demonstrates a nuanced control over the emotional intensity in its narratives.

For generating emotive dialogues, [Zheng et al. \(2023\)](#) adopted LLMs for dialogue augmentation in emotional support conversations. This approach treats dialogue augmentation as a dialogue completion task, where a fine-tuned language model completes dialogues from various topics, followed by heuristic-based postprocessing. [Lee et al. \(2022\)](#) delved into the ability of GPT-3 to generate empathetic dialogues through prompt-based in-context learning. The study introduces innovative

context example selection methods, SITSM and EMOSITSM, which leverages emotional and situational information, revealing that GPT-3 achieves competitive performance against Blender 90M in empathy. [Zhao et al. \(2023\)](#) examined the emotional dialogue capabilities of ChatGPT, assessing ChatGPT's performance in understanding and generating emotional dialogues through a series of downstream tasks. [Guo et al. \(2023\)](#) indicated the emotional effect of ChatGPT in vertical fields such as painting creation. It can provide clearer, more detailed painting instructions, and understand abstract artistic expression and emotion in painting.

We also focus on a more specific aspect: the generation of humor, a complex and inherently human characteristic. For example, [Jentzsch and Kersting \(2023\)](#) critically investigated OpenAI's ChatGPT in terms of its humor generation capabilities, assessing ChatGPT's ability to generate, explain, and detect jokes. ChatGPT is expected to repeat the same process of jokes rather than creating new ones, though it can accurately explain valid jokes. [Toplyn \(2023\)](#) presented an innovative approach to humor generation for LLMs. Witscript 3 employs three joke production mechanisms to generate and select the best comedic responses. It represents a collaboration between LLMs and human expertise, incorporating humor algorithms crafted by professional comedy writers. Notably, Witscript 3's responses are perceived as jokes by human evaluators 44% of the time. [Chen et al. \(2024f\)](#) constructed a Chinese Explainable Humor Response Dataset with chain-of-humor and humor mind map annotations as well as humor-related auxiliary tasks to evaluate and improve PLM and LLMs' humorous response ability of PLMs.

In summary, LLMs have significant *achievements in the imagination of emotionally relevant content, such as stories, dialogues, and humor*. They exhibit capabilities in nuanced control over emotional intensity and empathy in conversations. However, limitations persist in the *originality of content, particularly in humor generation where LLMs tend to replicate existing jokes rather than creating new ones*.

### 3.4 Retention

Retention is the process of encoding and storing knowledge, and creating "memories". Retention in LLMs relates to how they "remember" emotional information and knowledge through their training process, which is crucial for subsequent

emotional data processing and generation, such as role-playing and character simulation.

For example, [Tao et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced an innovative framework aiming at improving personalized role-playing with LLMs. They adopt a detailed emotion classification strategy and annotate emotions within the dialogue dataset, enabling GPT-4 to create character profiles based on emotions in its “memory”. [Shao et al. \(2023\)](#) shifted the focus to training agents based on specific personal profiles, experiences, and emotional states, rather than using limited prompts to guide the ChatGPT’s API. Experimental results indicate that editing and restoring personal profiles contribute to building simulacra for LLMs that are more accurate and emotionally aware, resembling their characters in a more human-like manner. [Jiang et al. \(2023\)](#) delved into the extent to which the behaviors of personalized LLMs reflect specific personality traits. This study uses the Big Five personality model to create distinct LLM personas and evaluates their behavior through various tasks, including a personality test and story writing. The results reveal that LLM personas can consistently exhibit behaviors aligning with their assigned personality profiles. [Wang et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced the RoleLLM framework which is used to enhance LLMs’ role-playing capacities. This framework includes role outline construction, context-based instruction generation, and role-specific knowledge capturing and retention, showcasing competitive results of LLMs through mimicking linguistic styles and utilizing role-specific knowledge based on their memory.

We also investigated LLMs’ capabilities in constructing emotional memory patterns and restoring them. For example, [NathanKlapach \(2023\)](#) delved into the comparative analysis of five widely recognized LLMs, including BingAI <sup>1</sup>, ChatGPT <sup>2</sup>, GoogleBard <sup>3</sup>, and HuggingChat <sup>4</sup>, focusing on their ability to process, mimic, and express emotions. These LLMs are tasked with creating new stories that mirror the tone, style, and emotional impact of the original narratives in order to assess their capabilities in storing emotional aspects of the stories and replicating them effectively. [Russo et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced a novel method that LLMs manage to restore human annotation with an author-reviewer pipeline combat misinformation on so-

cial media platforms by generating emotional responses.

Generally, recent research in LLMs has focused on *enhancing their retention of emotional information, crucial for tasks like role-playing and character simulation*. However, challenges persist in effectively *encoding and storing complex emotional knowledge, being unable to “retain” memory due to context size limitations*.. The field explores ways to improve LLMs’ memory patterns and their capability to retain emotional information accurately and systematically.

### 3.5 Recall

Recall is the retrieval of emotional memories, extracting “memories”. Recall in emotion cognition for LLMs pertains to their capabilities to retrieve emotionally relevant information from their internal or external knowledge in the context of responding emotion-related statement, maintaining consistency of emotional dialogues, etc. The following studies showcase how LLMs effectively utilize emotional memories and histories to enhance decision-making processes.

For example, [Jia et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced knowledge-enhanced memory model for emotional support conversation. This model is adept at perceiving and adapting to the dynamic emotional shifts within different periods of a conversation through extracting rich knowledge from dialogues and commonsense from ConceptNet ([Speer et al., 2017](#)). [Jeong and Makhmud \(2023\)](#) proposed a novel approach that enriches LLMs’ responses by incorporating a diverse set of parameters, including the five senses, attributes, emotional states, relationships with the interlocutor and memories. They underscore the importance of memory in maintaining the continuity and emotional authenticity of conversations. [Zhong et al. \(2023\)](#) introduced a dynamic memory mechanism that makes LLMs utilize past emotional interactions in current decision-making. The mechanism, inspired by the Ebbinghaus Forgetting Curve ([Ebbinghaus, 1885](#)), allows LLMs to selectively recall emotional interactions, therefore acting more as a real human friend. [Qian et al. \(2023\)](#) underscored the importance of LLMs’ capabilities to generate empathetic responses based on historical emotional contexts. They introduce in-context learning and two-stage interactive generation that enable LLMs to process and reflect upon past emotional interactions, thereby making more empathetically informed decisions. In ad-

<sup>1</sup><https://wwbing.wangdu.site/web>

<sup>2</sup><https://chat.openai.com/>

<sup>3</sup><https://bard.google.com/>

<sup>4</sup><https://huggingface.co/chat/>

dition, Wake et al. (2023) investigated the application of emotional history in decision-making. The authors estimate the emotional label of current utterances solely based on the past conversation's history, showcasing the great effect of dataset and emotion label selection on ChatGPT's emotion recognition performance.

In summary, recent studies on recall of LLMs focus on retrieving and utilizing emotional memories for decision-making in emotion-related interactions. These studies *introduce LLMs are adapt to dynamic emotional shifts*, incorporate diverse parameters, and utilize historical emotional contexts for empathetic decision-making. However, challenges remain in *perfecting the recall of complex emotional histories, efficiently retrieving the most relevant knowledge and update it consistently*.

### 3.6 Problem-Solving

Problem-Solving in emotion cognition is to solve emotion-related downstream tasks in various scenarios (Li et al., 2023a; Chen et al., 2024a; Li et al., 2022, 2024b, 2023c; Ni et al., 2024a; Li et al., 2023b, 2024e).

In mental health, for example, Tu et al. (2023) presented the S2Conv framework, tailored for providing personalized support for mental health issues. It integrates personality and memory-based dialogue models with an interpersonal matching plugin, highlighting LLMs' potential in providing social support (Ni et al., 2024b; Li et al., 2024d; Jin et al., 2024a; Li et al., 2024a). Qi et al. (2023) evaluated LLMs' performance in the mental health domain. Zhu et al. (2024) investigated the use of LLMs for performing mental inference tasks, specifically inferring users' underlying goals and fundamental psychological needs. Lai et al. (2023) used LLMs in psychological counseling settings, providing immediate responses and mindfulness activities. Xu et al. (2023) assessed LLMs' performance in mental health prediction tasks, highlighting the need for bias mitigation. In education, Sajja et al. (2023) propose an innovative framework for personalized and adaptive learning.

These diverse applications of LLMs in emotion-related problem-solving highlight their broad potential. However, they also reveal limitations like *gender biases and the need for enhanced interpretability*. Future research directions may include *fine-tuning LLMs to better meet the needs of educational and mental health domains*.

### 3.7 Thinking

Thinking refers to the reflection and review after problem-solving. In the context of emotion cognition in LLMs, it pertains to how emotional Theory of Mind is utilized to solve downstream tasks (Zhou et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024c). Theory of Mind (ToM) (Carlson et al., 2013) is the cognitive capability to understand one's own and others' mental states, including emotions, intentions, expectations, thoughts, beliefs. One can use this theory to predict and interpret various behaviors.

LLMs have shown promising performance in emotion-driven tasks with powerful thinking capability. For example, Trott et al. (2023a) demonstrated LLMs perform well in inferring others' beliefs. Gandhi et al. (2023) introduced a causality-based template methodology for evaluating LLMs' ToM. They find that GPT-4 has human-like reasoning patterns with powerful ToM. Sap et al. (2022) evaluated GPT-3's performance in social reasoning and mental state comprehension, identifying the boundaries of LLMs' ToM. Shapira et al. (2023) conducted extensive experimentation on six tasks with varied detection methods in assessing LLMs' ToM, which are non-robust and reliant on superficial heuristic methods rather than solid reasoning. Holterman and van Deemter (2023) examined the ability of ChatGPT-3 and ChatGPT-4 to exhibit ToM by presenting them with six problems addressing human reasoning biases, finding that ChatGPT-4 provides correct answers more often than chance, albeit sometimes based on incorrect assumptions or flawed reasoning.

In the realm of thinking, the focus extends beyond ToM to behaviors. For example, Zhou et al. (2023) introduced a novel "Thinking for Doing" evaluation paradigm, assessing whether LLMs can discern appropriate actions based on others' mental states, beyond merely responding to questions about these states. The study proposes a zero-shot prompting framework, "Foresee and Reflect", to enhance LLMs in predicting future events and reasoning through action choices. Jin et al. (2022) aimed to evaluate LLMs in comprehending and predicting human moral judgment and decision-making behaviors. They propose a novel moral reasoning chain prompt strategy named MoralCoT based on legal expertise and moral reasoning theories, suggesting that MoralCoT surpasses existing legal models in moral reasoning, complex moral judgments and decisions. Sorin et al. (2023) re-

viewed the capacity of LLMs to demonstrate empathy, exploring how LLMs process and express complex emotional viewpoints and reasoning. [Del Arco et al. \(2022\)](#) acknowledged GPT-3's role in empathy and distress predictions, highlighting its reasoning process in complex emotional forecasting. [Schaaff et al. \(2023\)](#) assessed ChatGPT's empathy levels compared to human standards, comparing the LLMs' understanding and expression of empathy. [Saito et al. \(2023\)](#) demonstrated the comparable performance of the proposed ChatGPT-EDSS in capturing empathy in dialogues, evaluating the LLMs' emotional understanding and expression capabilities. [Lee et al. \(2024\)](#) found that LLMs' responses are more empathetic than humans', comparing the emotional reasoning and expression between models and humans.

Collectively, these studies have focused on LLMs thinking capability. While LLMs like GPT-4 show promise in *understanding and inferring mental states*, challenges exist in their *depth of reasoning and reflection, better utilizing Theory of Mind, and emotion-driven behavior prediction*. The field aims to enhance LLMs' capabilities in retrospectively analyzing emotional tasks for more nuanced and accurate problem-solving.

## 4 Future Research Directions

Emotion cognition is an emerging and rapidly developing research topic. Despite significant progress, many challenges still exist for future research. In this section, we identify and briefly discuss some potential directions.

- **Generalization and transferability.** Current methods in emotion cognition for LLMs primarily rely on labeled data and prompt-based techniques. Notable limitations are the distributional shift between the data used for training and testing, as well as the dependence on the prompter's expertise, which affects the generalization and transferability of these networks ([Chen et al., 2023a](#); [Xiong et al., 2024](#); [Xiao et al., 2023](#)). [Rathje et al. \(2023\)](#) emphasized the challenges posed by the lack of manually annotated datasets in many under-researched languages, limiting the analysis of GPT's accuracy.
- **Explainability.** Developing LLMs that provide clear explanations is essential for improving the reliability and trustworthiness of LLMs ([Chen et al., 2022, 2023b](#); [Weng and](#)

[Wu, 2024c,a](#); [Weng et al., 2024](#); [Weng and Wu, 2024b](#)). For instance, [Kwon et al. \(2022\)](#) delved into how different LLMs perceive and express nuanced human emotions. Additionally, as [Jentsch and Kersting \(2023\)](#) pointed out there is a need for deeper understanding beyond just analyzing system outputs. Integrating theories like emotional cognition, as discussed in ([Yongsatianchot et al., 2023b](#)), can be a step forward in this direction. Furthermore, [Yongsatianchot et al. \(2023a\)](#) suggested that while LLMs contribute significantly, they struggle in modeling emotions in physiological, neural, and cognitive aspects.

- **Diverse emotions and applications.** There is a vast array of complex and mixed emotions as well as different modals that have yet to be thoroughly explored ([Tao et al., 2024](#)). [Trott et al. \(2023b\)](#) highlighted the growing interest in specific emotions like humor, but other emotions, such as anxiety, remain under-researched. In addition, [Xu et al. \(2023\)](#) provided guidelines for enhancing LLMs in mental health prediction tasks. [Sajja et al. \(2023\)](#) explored the potential of LLMs in creating more personalized and adaptive learning environments. Lastly, [Lai et al. \(2023\)](#) outlined the limitations and areas for improvement in mental health support models.

## 5 Conclusion

Our comprehensive review in this paper highlights the growing importance of emotion cognition in LLMs within the field of emotion computing. We first explore the key challenges in emotion cognition. Next, we make a categorization of current studies based on Ulric Neisser's cognitive psychology theory, offering a structured summary of emotion processing in LLMs across various stages. This includes analysis and discussion on emotion classification, generation, interpretability, and the integration of these aspects into LLMs to enhance their empathetic and cognitive capabilities. After that, we summarize motivations, methodologies, results, and available tools of current studies, discussing future directions in emotion cognition of LLMs. Overall, we contribute to the summary of current trends and future work in emotion cognition of LLMs, expecting to make LLMs be more aligned with human emotion and cognition, therefore better solving emotion-related downstream tasks. Explor-



ing the emotion cognition capabilities of LLMs can inform further research by improving emotion recognition and response generation, leading to more accurate sentiment analysis and empathetic interactions. This can enhance personalized user experiences in education, entertainment, and customer service in the future.

## Limitations

While this survey provides a comprehensive overview of recent progress in emotion cognition for LLMs, limitations exist. The primary one is the categorization of research based on Ulric Neisser's cognitive stages may oversimplify the complex nature of emotion cognition in LLMs. Additionally, the focus on key methodologies and outcomes might not capture all nuances of the field. In the future, we could explore more nuanced categorizations beyond Ulric Neisser's cognitive stages to provide a more comprehensive and up-to-date understanding of emotion cognition in LLMs.

## References

- Marcel Binz and Eric Schulz. 2023. Turning large language models into cognitive models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03917*.
- Stephanie M Carlson, Melissa A Koenig, and Madeline B Harms. 2013. Theory of mind. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 4(4):391–402.
- David Carneros-Prado, Laura Villa, Esperanza Johnson, Cosmin C Dobrescu, Alfonso Barragán, and Beatriz García-Martínez. 2023. Comparative study of large language models as emotion and sentiment analysis systems: A case-specific analysis of gpt vs. ibm watson. In *International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing and Ambient Intelligence*, pages 229–239. Springer.
- Yuyan Chen, Qiang Fu, Ge Fan, Lun Du, Jian-Guang Lou, Shi Han, Dongmei Zhang, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2023a. Hadamard adapter: An extreme parameter-efficient adapter tuning method for pre-trained language models. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 276–285.
- Yuyan Chen, Qiang Fu, Yichen Yuan, Zhihao Wen, Ge Fan, Dayiheng Liu, Dongmei Zhang, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2023b. Hallucination detection: Robustly discerning reliable answers in large language models. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 245–255.
- Yuyan Chen, Yueze Li, Songzhou Yan, Sijia Liu, Jiaqing Liang, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024a. Do large language models have problem-solving capability under incomplete information scenarios? In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Yuyan Chen, Zhixu Li, Jiaqing Liang, Yanghua Xiao, Bang Liu, and Yunwen Chen. 2023c. Can pre-trained language models understand chinese humor? In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 465–480.
- Yuyan Chen, Zhihao Wen, Ge Fan, Zhengyu Chen, Wei Wu, Dayiheng Liu, Zhixu Li, Bang Liu, and Yanghua Xiao. 2023d. Mapo: Boosting large language model performance with model-adaptive prompt optimization. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 3279–3304.
- Yuyan Chen, Yanghua Xiao, Zhixu Li, and Bang Liu. 2023e. Xmqas: Constructing complex-modified question-answering dataset for robust question understanding. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*.
- Yuyan Chen, Yanghua Xiao, and Bang Liu. 2022. Grow-and-clip: Informative-yet-concise evidence distillation for answer explanation. In *2022 IEEE 38th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, pages 741–754. IEEE.
- Yuyan Chen, Songzhou Yan, Qingpei Guo, Jiyuan Jia, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024b. Hotvcom: Generating buzzworthy comments for videos. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Yuyan Chen, Songzhou Yan, Panjun Liu, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024c. Dr.academy: A benchmark for evaluating questioning capability in education for large language models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Yuyan Chen, Songzhou Yan, Sijia Liu, Yueze Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024d. Emotionqueen: A benchmark for evaluating empathy of large language models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Yuyan Chen, Songzhou Yan, Zhihong Zhu, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024e. Xmeccap: Meme caption generation with sub-image adaptability. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM Multimedia*.
- Yuyan Chen, Yichen Yuan, Panjun Liu, Dayiheng Liu, Qinghao Guan, Mengfei Guo, Haiming Peng, Bang Liu, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024f. Talk funny! a large-scale humor response dataset with chain-of-humor interpretation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 17826–17834.
- Yuyan Chen, Jin Zhao, Zhihao Wen, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024g. Temporalmed: Advancing medical dialogues with time-aware responses in large

- language models. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 116–124.
- Flor Miriam Del Arco, Jaime Collado-Montañez, L Alfonso Ureña, and María-Teresa Martín-Valdivia. 2022. Empathy and distress prediction using transformer multi-output regression and emotion analysis with an ensemble of supervised and zero-shot learning models. In *Proceedings of the 12th workshop on computational approaches to subjectivity, sentiment & social media analysis*, pages 239–244.
- Hermann Ebbinghaus. 1885. *Über das gedächtnis: untersuchungen zur experimentellen psychologie*. Duncker & Humblot.
- Chris Gagne and Peter Dayan. 2023. The inner sentiments of a thought. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01784*.
- Kanishk Gandhi, Jan-Philipp Fränken, Tobias Gerstenberg, and Noah D Goodman. 2023. Understanding social reasoning in language models with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15448*.
- Chao Guo, Yue Lu, Yong Dou, and Fei-Yue Wang. 2023. Can chatgpt boost artistic creation: The need of imaginative intelligence for parallel art. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 10(4):835–838.
- Bart Holterman and Kees van Deemter. 2023. Does chatgpt have theory of mind? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14020*.
- Sophie Jentsch and Kristian Kersting. 2023. Chatgpt is fun, but it is not funny! humor is still challenging large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04563*.
- Seokhoon Jeong and Assentay Makhmud. 2023. Chatbot is not all you need: Information-rich prompting for more realistic responses. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16233*.
- Mengzhao Jia, Qianglong Chen, Liqiang Jing, Dawei Fu, and Renyu Li. 2023. Knowledge-enhanced memory model for emotional support conversation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07700*.
- Hang Jiang, Xiajie Zhang, Xubo Cao, and Jad Kabbara. 2023. Personallm: Investigating the ability of large language models to express big five personality traits. *arXiv preprint arXiv*, 2305.
- Yiqiao Jin, Mohit Chandra, Gaurav Verma, Yibo Hu, Munmun De Choudhury, and Srijan Kumar. 2024a. Better to ask in english: Cross-lingual evaluation of large language models for healthcare queries. In *Web Conference*.
- Yiqiao Jin, Minje Choi, Gaurav Verma, Jindong Wang, and Srijan Kumar. 2024b. Mm-soc: Benchmarking multimodal large language models in social media platforms. In *ACL*.
- Yiqiao Jin, Yeon-Chang Lee, Kartik Sharma, Meng Ye, Karan Sikka, Ajay Divakaran, and Srijan Kumar. 2023. Predicting information pathways across online communities. In *KDD*.
- Zhijing Jin, Sydney Levine, Fernando Gonzalez Aduato, Ojasv Kamal, Maarten Sap, Mrinmaya Sachan, Rada Mihalcea, Josh Tenenbaum, and Bernhard Schölkopf. 2022. When to make exceptions: Exploring language models as accounts of human moral judgment. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:28458–28473.
- Kiana Kheiri and Hamid Karimi. 2023. Sentimentgpt: Exploiting gpt for advanced sentiment analysis and its departure from current machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10234*.
- Mijin Kwon, Tor Wager, and Jonathan Phillips. 2022. Representations of emotion concepts: Comparison across pairwise, appraisal feature-based, and word embedding-based similarity spaces. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, volume 44.
- Tin Lai, Yukun Shi, Zicong Du, Jiajie Wu, Ken Fu, Yichao Dou, and Ziqi Wang. 2023. Psy-llm: Scaling up global mental health psychological services with ai-based large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.11991*.
- Yoon Kyung Lee, Jina Suh, Hongli Zhan, Junyi Jessy Li, and Desmond C Ong. 2024. Large language models produce responses perceived to be empathic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18148*.
- Young-Jun Lee, Chae-Gyun Lim, and Ho-Jin Choi. 2022. Does gpt-3 generate empathetic dialogues? a novel in-context example selection method and automatic evaluation metric for empathetic dialogue generation. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 669–683.
- Shanglin Lei, Guanting Dong, Xiaoping Wang, Keheng Wang, and Sirui Wang. 2023. Instructorc: Reforming emotion recognition in conversation with a retrieval multi-task llms framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11911*.
- Panfeng Li, Mohamed Abouelenien, Rada Mihalcea, Zhicheng Ding, Qikai Yang, and Yiming Zhou. 2024a. Deception detection from linguistic and physiological data streams using bimodal convolutional neural networks. In *2024 5th International Conference on Information Science, Parallel and Distributed Systems (ISPDS)*, pages 263–267. IEEE.
- Panfeng Li, Qikai Yang, Xieming Geng, Wenjing Zhou, Zhicheng Ding, and Yi Nian. 2024b. Exploring diverse methods in visual question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13565*.
- Xinjin Li, Jinghao Chang, Tiexin Li, Wenhan Fan, Yu Ma, and Haowei Ni. 2024c. A vehicle classification method based on machine learning. *Preprints*.

- Xinjin Li, Yuanzhe Yang, Yixiao Yuan, Yu Ma, Yangchen Huang, and Haowei Ni. 2024d. [Intelligent vehicle classification system based on deep learning and multi-sensor fusion](#). *Preprints*.
- Yuchen Li, Haoyi Xiong, Linghe Kong, Jiang Bian, Shuaiqiang Wang, Guihai Chen, and Dawei Yin. 2024e. Gs2p: a generative pre-trained learning to rank model with over-parameterization for web-scale search. *Machine Learning*, pages 1–19.
- Yuchen Li, Haoyi Xiong, Linghe Kong, Qingzhong Wang, Shuaiqiang Wang, Guihai Chen, and Dawei Yin. 2023a. S2phere: Semi-supervised pre-training for web search over heterogeneous learning to rank data. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 4437–4448.
- Yuchen Li, Haoyi Xiong, Linghe Kong, Shuaiqiang Wang, Zeyi Sun, Hongyang Chen, Guihai Chen, and Dawei Yin. 2023b. Ltrgcn: Large-scale graph convolutional networks-based learning to rank for web search. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 635–651. Springer.
- Yuchen Li, Haoyi Xiong, Linghe Kong, Rui Zhang, Dejing Dou, and Guihai Chen. 2022. Meta hierarchical reinforced learning to rank for recommendation: a comprehensive study in moocs. In *Joint European conference on machine learning and knowledge discovery in databases*, pages 302–317. Springer.
- Yuchen Li, Haoyi Xiong, Linghe Kong, Rui Zhang, Fanqin Xu, Guihai Chen, and Minglu Li. 2023c. Mhrr: Moocs recommender service with meta hierarchical reinforced ranking. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*.
- Christopher J Lynch, Erik J Jensen, Virginia Zamponi, Kevin O'Brien, Erika Frydenlund, and Ross Gore. 2023. A structured narrative prompt for prompting narratives from large language models: Sentiment assessment of chatgpt-generated narratives and real tweets. *Future Internet*, 15(12):375.
- NathanKlapach. 2023. The comparative emotional capabilities of five popular large language models. *research archive of rising scholars*.
- Ulric Neisser. 2014. *Cognitive psychology: Classic edition*. Psychology press.
- Haowei Ni, Shuchen Meng, Xupeng Chen, Ziqing Zhao, Andi Chen, Panfeng Li, Shiyao Zhang, Qifu Yin, Yuanqing Wang, and Yuxi Chan. 2024a. Harnessing earnings reports for stock predictions: A qora-enhanced llm approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06634*.
- Haowei Ni, Shuchen Meng, Xieming Geng, Panfeng Li, Zhuoying Li, Xupeng Chen, Xiaotong Wang, and Shiyao Zhang. 2024b. Time series modeling for heart rate prediction: From arima to transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.12199*.
- Liyizhe Peng, Zixing Zhang, Tao Pang, Jing Han, Huan Zhao, Hao Chen, and Björn W Schuller. 2023. Customising general large language models for specialised emotion recognition tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14225*.
- Hongzhi Qi, Qing Zhao, Jianqiang Li, Changwei Song, Wei Zhai, Luo Dan, Shuo Liu, Yi Jing Yu, Fan Wang, Huijing Zou, et al. 2023. Supervised learning and large language model benchmarks on mental health datasets: Cognitive distortions and suicidal risks in chinese social media.
- Yushan Qian, Wei-Nan Zhang, and Ting Liu. 2023. Harnessing the power of large language models for empathetic response generation: Empirical investigations and improvements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05140*.
- Steve Rathje, Dan-Mircea Mirea, Ilia Sucholutsky, Raja Marjeh, Claire Robertson, and Jay J Van Bavel. 2023. Gpt is an effective tool for multilingual psychological text analysis.
- Jay Ratican and James Hutson. 2023. The six emotional dimension (6de) model: A multidimensional approach to analyzing human emotions and unlocking the potential of emotionally intelligent artificial intelligence (ai) via large language models (llm). *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics*, 1(1).
- Qingyang Ren, Zilin Jiang, Jinghan Cao, Sijia Li, Chiqu Li, Yiyang Liu, Shuning Huo, and Tiange He. 2024. A survey on fairness of large language models in e-commerce: progress, application, and challenge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13025*.
- Margarita Rodríguez-Ibáñez, Antonio Casáñez-Ventura, Félix Castejón-Mateos, and Pedro-Manuel Cuenca-Jiménez. 2023. A review on sentiment analysis from social media platforms. *Expert Systems with Applications*, page 119862.
- Daniel Russo, Shane Peter Kaszefski-Yaschuk, Jacopo Staiano, and Marco Guerini. 2023. Countering misinformation via emotional response generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10587*.
- Yuki Saito, Shinnosuke Takamichi, Eiji Imori, Kentaro Tachibana, and Hiroshi Saruwatari. 2023. Chatgpt-edss: Empathetic dialogue speech synthesis trained from chatgpt-derived context word embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13724*.
- Ramteja Sajja, Yusuf Sermet, Muhammed Cikmaz, David Cwiertny, and Ibrahim Demir. 2023. Artificial intelligence-enabled intelligent assistant for personalized and adaptive learning in higher education. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10892*.
- Maarten Sap, Ronan LeBras, Daniel Fried, and Yejin Choi. 2022. Neural theory-of-mind? on the limits of social intelligence in large lms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13312*.

- Kristina Schaaff, Caroline Reinig, and Tim Schlippe. 2023. Exploring chatgpt’s empathic abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03527*.
- Yunfan Shao, Linyang Li, Junqi Dai, and Xipeng Qiu. 2023. Character-llm: A trainable agent for role-playing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10158*.
- Natalie Shapira, Mosh Levy, Seyed Hossein Alavi, Xuhui Zhou, Yejin Choi, Yoav Goldberg, Maarten Sap, and Vered Shwartz. 2023. Clever hans or neural theory of mind? stress testing social reasoning in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14763*.
- Vera Sorin, Danna Brin, Yiftach Barash, Eli Konen, Alexander Charney, Girish Nadkarni, and Eyal Klang. 2023. Large language models (llms) and empathy-a systematic review. *medRxiv*, pages 2023–08.
- Robyn Speer, Joshua Chin, and Catherine Havasi. 2017. Conceptnet 5.5: An open multilingual graph of general knowledge. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 31.
- Linzhuang Sun, Nan Xu, Jingxuan Wei, Bihui Yu, Liping Bu, and Yin Luo. 2023. Rational sensibility: Llm enhanced empathetic response generation guided by self-presentation theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08702*.
- Meiling Tao, Xuechen Liang, Tianyu Shi, Lei Yu, and Yiting Xie. 2023. Rolecraft-glm: Advancing personalized role-playing in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09432*.
- Yiyi Tao, Zhuoyue Wang, Hang Zhang, and Lun Wang. 2024. Nevlp: Noise-robust framework for efficient vision-language pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.09582*.
- Joe Toplyn. 2023. Witscript 3: A hybrid ai system for improvising jokes in a conversation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02695*.
- Sean Trott, Cameron Jones, Tyler Chang, James Michaelov, and Benjamin Bergen. 2023a. Do large language models know what humans know? *Cognitive Science*, 47(7):e13309.
- Sean Trott, Drew Ellen Walker, and Seana Coulson. 2023b. Turing jest: Do large language models have a sense of humor?
- Quan Tu, Chuanqi Chen, Jinpeng Li, Yanran Li, Shuo Shang, Dongyan Zhao, Ran Wang, and Rui Yan. 2023. Characterchat: Learning towards conversational ai with personalized social support. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10278*.
- Tomer Ullman. 2023. Large language models fail on trivial alterations to theory-of-mind tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08399*.
- Radhakrishnan Venkatakrishnan, Mahsa Goodarzi, and M Abdullah Canbaz. 2023. Exploring large language models’ emotion detection abilities: Use cases from the middle east. In *2023 IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence (CAI)*, pages 241–244. IEEE.
- Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. 2023. Bias in emotion recognition with chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.11753*.
- Zekun Moore Wang, Zhongyuan Peng, Haoran Que, Jiaheng Liu, Wangchunshu Zhou, Yuhan Wu, Hongcheng Guo, Ruitong Gan, Zehao Ni, Man Zhang, et al. 2023. Rolellm: Benchmarking, eliciting, and enhancing role-playing abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00746*.
- Yijie Weng, Yongnian Cao, Meng Li, and Xuechun Yang. 2024. The application of big data and ai in risk control models: Safeguarding user security. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering Technology*, 6(3).
- Yijie Weng and Jianhao Wu. 2024a. Big data and machine learning in defence. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, 16(2).
- Yijie Weng and Jianhao Wu. 2024b. Fortifying the global data fortress: a multidimensional examination of cyber security indexes and data protection measures across 193 nations. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering Technology*, 6(2).
- Yijie Weng and Jianhao Wu. 2024c. Leveraging artificial intelligence to enhance data security and combat cyber attacks. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence General science (JAIGS) ISSN: 3006-4023*, 5(1):392–399.
- Yijia Xiao, Yiqiao Jin, Yushi Bai, Yue Wu, Xianjun Yang, Xiao Luo, Wenchao Yu, Xujiang Zhao, Yanchi Liu, Haifeng Chen, et al. 2023. Large language models can be good privacy protection learners. *arXiv:2310.02469*.
- Zhuohan Xie, Trevor Cohn, and Jey Han Lau. 2023. The next chapter: A study of large language models in storytelling. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Natural Language Generation Conference*, pages 323–351.
- Siheng Xiong, Ali Payani, Ramana Kompella, and Faramarz Fekri. 2024. Large language models can learn temporal reasoning. *Preprint*, arXiv:2401.06853.
- Xuhai Xu, Bingshen Yao, Yuanzhe Dong, Hong Yu, James Hendler, Anind K Dey, and Dakuo Wang. 2023. Leveraging large language models for mental health prediction via online text data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.14385*.
- Qikai Yang, Panfeng Li, Xinhe Xu, Zhicheng Ding, Wenjing Zhou, and Yi Nian. 2024. A comparative study on enhancing prediction in social network



advertisement through data augmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13812*.

Nutchanon Yongsatianchot, Tobias Thejll-Madsen, and Stacy Marsella. 2023a. What's next in affective modeling? large language models. In *2023 11th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction Workshops and Demos (ACIIW)*, pages 1–7. IEEE.

Nutchanon Yongsatianchot, Parisa Ghanad Torshizi, and Stacy Marsella. 2023b. Investigating large language models' perception of emotion using appraisal theory. In *2023 11th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction Workshops and Demos (ACIIW)*, pages 1–8. IEEE.

Zixing Zhang, Liyizhe Peng, Tao Pang, Jing Han, Huan Zhao, and Bjorn W Schuller. 2023. Refashioning emotion recognition modelling: The advent of generalised large models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11578*.

Weixiang Zhao, Yanyan Zhao, Xin Lu, Shilong Wang, Yanpeng Tong, and Bing Qin. 2023. Is chatgpt equipped with emotional dialogue capabilities? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.09582*.

Chujie Zheng, Sahand Sabour, Jiabin Wen, Zheng Zhang, and Minlie Huang. 2023. Augesc: Dialogue augmentation with large language models for emotional support conversation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 1552–1568.

Wanjuan Zhong, Lianghong Guo, Qiqi Gao, and Yanlin Wang. 2023. Memorybank: Enhancing large language models with long-term memory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10250*.

Pei Zhou, Aman Madaan, Srividya Pranavi Potharaju, Aditya Gupta, Kevin R McKee, Ari Holtzman, Jay Pujara, Xiang Ren, Swaroop Mishra, Aida Nematzadeh, et al. 2023. How far are large language models from agents with theory-of-mind? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03051*.

Yiming Zhou, Zixuan Zeng, Andi Chen, Xiaofan Zhou, Haowei Ni, Shiyao Zhang, Panfeng Li, Liangxi Liu, Mengyao Zheng, and Xupeng Chen. 2024. Evaluating modern approaches in 3d scene reconstruction: Nerf vs gaussian-based methods. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.04268*.

Qihao Zhu, Leah Chong, Maria Yang, and Jianxi Luo. 2024. Reading users' minds from what they say: An investigation into llm-based empathic mental inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.13301*.

Stage	Paper	Motivation	Approach	Performance	Venue	Code/Datalink	
Sensation	(Lynch et al., 2023)	Generating narratives based on simulated agents.	Structured narrative prompt	ChatGPT’s sentiment scores are similar to real tweets in 4 out of 44 categories.	Future Internet	<a href="https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/nyxndvfwfsh/2">https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/nyxndvfwfsh/2</a>	
	(Ratican and Hutson, 2023)	Analyzing human emotions within LLMs from multiple angles.	The Six Emotional Dimension (6DE) model	Performance is improved by integrating 6DE model and reinforcement learning.	IJAIRR		
	(Zhang et al., 2023)	Exploring the potential of LLMs for emotion recognition.	Prompt engineering	LLMs use context to improve emotion estimation.	arXiv		
	(Xu et al., 2023)	Evaluating and improving LLMs’ performance in mental health.	Zero-shot prompting, Few-shot prompting, and Instruction fine-tuning	Best-finetuned models outperform GPT-3.5’s best prompt by 10.9% in balanced accuracy, and GPT-4 by 4.8%.	arXiv		
	(Binz and Schulz, 2023)	Investigating transforming LLMs into cognitive models.	Fine-tuning (on data from psychological experiments)	CENTAUR achieves -48002.3 negative log-likelihood on the Choices13k dataset and -25968.6 on the Horizon task, beating domain-specific models.	arXiv		
	(Sun et al., 2023)	Making LLMs better reflect human behavior in conversations.	Contextual rationality segregation with attention mechanism	Lamb excels in generating empathetic responses with an accuracy of 53.44%.	arXiv		
	(Rathje et al., 2023)	How LLMs represent sentiments and emotions in generated sentences.	Precise sentiment distribution modeling with LLMs	GPT-4 outperforms dictionary-based text analysis ( $r = 0.66-0.75$ vs. $r = 0.20-0.30$ for correlations with manual annotations).	arXiv		
Perception	(Gagne and Dayan, 2023)	Evaluating GPT as a tool for automated psychological text analysis.	Multilingual psychological text analysis with GPT	LLMs predict emotions with less than 1% deviation for valence and 2-7% for other emotions.	PsyArXiv	<a href="https://osf.io/6pnb2">https://osf.io/6pnb2</a>	
	(Lei et al., 2023)	Enhancing LLMs’ emotion recognition in the conversations.	InstructERC	InstructERC outperforms UniMSE, SACL-LSTM, and EmotionIC on the IEMOCAP, MELD, and EmoryNLP datasets, respectively, by margins of 0.73%, 2.70%, and 1.36%.	arXiv		
	(Peng et al., 2023)	Improving emotion recognition with LLMs.	Adaptation of LLMs for emotion recognition	LLMs outperform specialized deep models.	arXiv		
	(Kheiri and Karimi, 2023)	Thoroughly examining various GPT methodologies in sentiment analysis	GPT-based sentiment analysis	Achieving over 22% higher F1-score than the current SOTA methods.	arXiv		<a href="https://github.com/DSAA-tUSU/SentimentGPT">https://github.com/DSAA-tUSU/SentimentGPT</a>
	(Trott et al., 2023b)	Exploring how humans recognize and understand humorous utterances	LLM-based humor comprehension	In the humor comprehension task, GPT-3’s accuracy is lower for jokes (69.4%) compared with literal statements (expected: 96.2%, straight: 93.1%).	PsyArXiv		
	(Ullman, 2023)	Investigating how minor variations affect LLMs’ ToM performance.	Minor task alterations	GPT-3.5’s accuracy drops from 99% to below 50% with minor modifications	arXiv		<a href="https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/6DPPKH">https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/6DPPKH</a>
	(Kwon et al., 2022)	Exploring methods for representing similarity among emotion concepts.	Emotion representation fusion	GPT-3 shows a correlation much closer to appraisal feature-based similarity compared with word2vec models.	CogSci		
Imagination	(Xie et al., 2023)	Comparing the story generation capacity of LLMs	Prompt engineering	GPT-3 generates high-quality stories comparable to those written by humans, outperforming SOTA methods.	SIGDIAL	<a href="https://github.com/passing2961/EmpGPT-3">https://github.com/passing2961/EmpGPT-3</a>	
	(Yongsatianchot et al., 2023a)	Exploring the capabilities of GPT-4 to solve tasks related to emotion prediction	Emotion prediction and manipulation	Prompting GPT-4 to identify key emotional factors enables it to manipulate the emotional intensity of its stories.	ACIIW		
	(Zheng et al., 2023)	Expanding emotional supported dialogue corpora with LLMs.	Language-model augmented dialogue completion	AUGESC achieves high scores in informativeness, understanding, helpfulness, consistency, and coherence, based on human evaluation, outperforming strong baselines and crowd-sourced dialogues.	ACL		
	(Lee et al., 2022)	Exploring whether GPT-3 can generate empathetic dialogues	Prompt-based in-context learning for empathetic dialogue generation	INTENTACC 82.5%, EMOACC 34.2% in single-turn setting, and INTENTACC 81.3%, EMOACC 33.7% in multi-turn setting.	COLING		
	(Zhao et al., 2023)	Evaluating ChatGPT’s emotional dialogue capability	Emotional dialogue understanding and generation evaluation method	ChatGPT generally has a performance gap of 3-18% in ERC, 11.95% in CEE, and 11-17% in DAC.	arXiv		
	(Chen et al., 2024f)	Enhancing LLMs’ understanding and generation of humorous responses in dialogues.	Training LMs with a Chinese humor response dataset, chain-of-humor annotations and auxiliary tasks	Improve LMs’ humorous response accuracy by up to 30% compared to baseline ones	AAAI 2024		
	(Jentsch and Kersting, 2023)	Evaluating ChatGPT’s ability to understand and replicate human humor.	LLM-based humor understanding and generation	ChatGPT’s 1008 jokes are too similar to 25 specific jokes, and ChatGPT provides invalid explanations for some jokes.	arXiv		<a href="https://github.com/DLR-SC/JokeGPT-WASSA23">https://github.com/DLR-SC/JokeGPT-WASSA23</a>
	(Toplyn, 2023)	Enhancing AI joke generation and develop chatbot with humanlike humor.	Witscript 3	Witscript 3’s responses to input sentences have 44% jokes.	arXiv		
	(Venkatakrishnan et al., 2023)	Exploring using transformer models for emotion detection.	Emotion detection task	RoBERTa beats GPT3.5 in emotion detection with fine-tuning.	CAI		

Table 2: A list of representative papers among each level with motivation, approach, performance, venue, and code/datalink.

Stage	Paper	Motivation	Approach	Performance	Venue	Code/DataLink
Retention	(Tao et al., 2023)	Enhancing personalized role-playing with LLMs	Personalized character-driven language modeling	The RoleCraft-GLM model excels in specific role knowledge and memory with a score of 0.3573 but is slightly less efficient in general instruction response with a score of 0.5385.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/tmi2002/RoleCraft">https://github.com/tmi2002/RoleCraft</a>
	(Shao et al., 2023)	Studying how LLMs can embody individuals.	Character-LLM	Simulating characters and memorizing experiences effectively.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/choose-whatulike/trainable-agents">https://github.com/choose-whatulike/trainable-agents</a>
	(Jiang et al., 2023)	Assessing LLMs' accuracy and consistency in reflecting specific traits.	Personality-aligned LLM generation	Correlate language features with assigned personality types effectively.	arXiv	
	(NathanKlapach, 2023)	Exploring emotional abilities of LLMs.	Emotional analysis of LLMs	GPT4 scores the highest at 889.5/1000, while Bing AI scores the lowest at 427.8/1000 among the 5 LLMs for emotional capabilities.	research archive of rising scholars	
Recall	(Jia et al., 2023)	Addressing challenges in emotional support conversations.	MODERN	MODERN records the lowest PPL at 14.99, highest BLEU-1 score at 23.19, BLEU-2 at 10.13, BLEU-3 at 5.53, BLEU-4 at 3.39, ROUGE-L at 20.86, METEOR at 9.26, and the highest CIDEr score at 30.08, outperforming all SOTA baselines on the ESConv dataset.	arXiv	
	(Jeong and Makhmud, 2023)	Enhancing realism and consistency of responses from LLMs	Multi-sensory contextual response generation	Model with all components achieves improved performance, scoring 0.118 in METEOR and 0.209 in Sentence BERT.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/srafsasm/InfoRichBot">https://github.com/srafsasm/InfoRichBot</a>
	(Zhong et al., 2023)	Improving long-term memory in LLMs	MemoryBank	Exhibiting strong capability for long-term companionship.	arXiv	
	(Qian et al., 2023)	Exploring the performance of LLMs in generating empathetic responses	Semantically similar in-context learning, two-stage interactive generation, and combination with knowledge base	With ChatGPT using 5-shot in-context learning, the Dist-1 and Dist-2 scores reach 2.96 and 18.29, and BLEU-4 score is 2.65.	arXiv	
	(Wake et al., 2023)	Assessing ChatGPT's performance in recognizing emotions from text.	Emotion recognition with ChatGPT	Emotion datasets vary, indicating potential bias and instability in LLMs.	arXiv	
Problem-Solving	(Tu et al., 2023)	Providing emotional support to individuals with diverse personalities	Persona-driven social support conversation	The results show high effectiveness, with emotional improvement averaging 4.37, problem solving at 3.30, and active engagement at 4.89 on a 5-point scale.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/morecry/CharacterChat">https://github.com/morecry/CharacterChat</a>
	(Qi et al., 2023)	Identifying cognitive distortions and suicidal thoughts.	Psychological risk classification with LLMs	The fine-tuned GPT-3.5 achieves an F1 score of 78.45%, an improvement of 11.5% over the base model.	research square	<a href="https://github.com/hongzhiQ/SupervisedVs-LLM-EfficacyEval">https://github.com/hongzhiQ/SupervisedVs-LLM-EfficacyEval</a>
	(Zhu et al., 2024)	Enhancing LLMs' empathetic understanding to infer users' underlying goals and psychological needs.	Prompt engineering.	reaching up to 85% accuracy in inferring users' goals and fundamental psychological needs	arXiv	
	(Sajja et al., 2023)	Creating a smart assistant for personalized learning in higher education.	AI-enabled Intelligent Assistant (AIIA)	The framework shows enhanced personalized and adaptive learning.	arXiv	
	(Lai et al., 2023)	Addressing the increasing demand for mental health support.	Psy-LLM	The framework generates coherent and relevant answers effectively.	arXiv	
Thinking	(Trott et al., 2023a)	Studying the impact of language exposure on understanding mental states.	Language exposure hypothesis testing	GPT-3's predictions are sensitive to characters' knowledge states, and the accuracy reaches 74.5% and 73.4% in two evaluations.	Cognitive Science	<a href="https://osf.io/hu865/">https://osf.io/hu865/</a>
	(Gandhi et al., 2023)	Investigate the ToM reasoning capabilities of LLMs	Causal template-based evaluation framework	GPT4 mirrors human ToM, while other LLMs struggle in comparison.	arXiv	<a href="https://osf.io/qxj2s">https://osf.io/qxj2s</a>
	(Sap et al., 2022)	Exploring social intelligence and ToM in modern NLP systems.	Instruction-tuned, RLFH, neural ToM	GPT-4 performs only 60% accuracy on the ToM questions	arXiv	
	(Lee et al., 2024)	Investigating LLMs' abilities to generate empathetic responses	Human evaluation	GPT-4 achieves an average empathy score of 4.1 out of 5	arXiv	
	(Shapira et al., 2023)	Evaluating the extent of N-ToM capabilities in LLMs	N-ToM evaluation	LLMs have ToM abilities but lack robust performance.	arXiv	<a href="https://github.com/salavi/Clever_Hans_or_N-ToM">https://github.com/salavi/Clever_Hans_or_N-ToM</a>
	(Zhou et al., 2023)	Evaluating LLMs' ability to link mental states with social actions.	Thinking for Doing (T4D), Zero-shot prompting framework, Foresee and Reflect (FaR)	FaR boosts GPT-4's performance from 50% to 71% on T4D	arXiv	
	(Sorin et al., 2023)	Reviewing LLMs' empathy in healthcare.	A literature search on MEDLINE	ChatGPT-3.5 outperforms humans in empathy-related tasks, achieving up to 85%	MedRxiv	
	(Schaaff et al., 2023)	Exploring ChatGPT's ability to understand and express empathy	A series of tests and questionnaires	ChatGPT accurately identified emotions in 91.7% of cases and produced parallel emotional responses in 70.7% of conversations	arXiv	
	(Saito et al., 2023)	Enhancing LLMs' empathetic dialogue speech synthesis	Use ChatGPT to extract context words and train an empathetic speech synthesis model.	ChatGPT-EDSS achieves 3.52 for naturalness and 3.24 for speaking style similarity	INTERSPEECH 2023	
	(Holterman and van Deemter, 2023)	understand whether ChatGPT-3 and ChatGPT-4 can exhibit ToM.	Using six well-known problems that focus on human reasoning biases and decision-making	ChatGPT-4 provides 30% more correct answers than ChatGPT-3 even if it's based on incorrect assumptions or reasoning.	arXiv	
	(Jin et al., 2022)	Predicting human moral judgments for AI collaboration and safety.	Moral Chain-of-Thought (MoralCoT) prompting strategy	MoralCoT outperforms existing LLMs by 6.2% F1.	NeurIPS	<a href="https://huggingface.co/datasets/feradauto/MoralExceptQA">https://huggingface.co/datasets/feradauto/MoralExceptQA</a>
	(Del Arco et al., 2022)	Enhancing GPT-3' ability to detect empathy in text.	Transfer learning and fine-tuning	Achieve an F1 score of 0.73 on the empathy detection task.	WASSA 2022	

Table 3: A list of representative papers among each level with motivation, approach, performance, venue, and code/datalink.