

COHOMOLOGICAL SPLITTING CONDITIONS OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$

DAMIAN M MAINGI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we extend the results of Ballico and Malaspina on regularity and splitting conditions on multiprojective spaces $X = \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of regularity of \mathcal{E} a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n which was introduced by Mumford [12] turned out to be a key tool in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra in that it measures the complexity of a sheaf or a module. The regularity of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} is the smallest twist r for which the sheaf is globally generated. It plays a very important role in a number of classic areas for instance moduli problems, vanishing theorems, syzygies, linear systems etc. After almost 60 years many authors have contributed greatly see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and [10, 11, 12, 13] and it still remains a fertile ground for research. The notion of regularity on a multiprojective space $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ was dealt with by Ballico and Malaspina [4] in this paper we extend the splitting criteria for vector bundles on a biprojective space $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$ to a multiprojective space $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$.

Definition 1.1. A coherent sheaf F on $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ is said to be (p_1, \dots, p_s) -regular if, for all $i > 0$,

$$H^i(F(p_1, \dots, p_s) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_1, \dots, k_s)) = 0$$

whenever $k_1 + \cdots + k_s = -i$ and $-n_j \leq k_j \leq 0$ for any $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Theorem 1.2 (Künneth formula). *Let X and Y be projective varieties over a field k . Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be coherent sheaves on X and Y respectively. Let $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}$ denote $p_1^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{G})$ then $H^m(X \times Y, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=m} H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^q(Y, \mathcal{G})$.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let $X = \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ then*

$$H^t(X, \mathcal{O}_X(a_1, \dots, a_s)) \cong \bigoplus_{t=\sum_{i=1}^s t_i} H^{t_1}(\mathbf{P}^{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n_1}}(a_1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{t_s}(\mathbf{P}^{n_s}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n_s}}(a_s))$$

Remark 1.4. (1) *We will often say “regular” instead of “ $(0, \dots, 0)$ -regular”, and “ p -regular” instead of “ (p, \dots, p) -regular”. We define the regularity of F , $\text{Reg}(F)$, as the least integer p such that F is p -regular. We set $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{F}) = -\infty$ if there is no such integer.*

Date: September, 2024.

Key words and phrases. Multiprojective spaces, vector bundles, regularity of bundles.

(2) *The Künneth's formula tells that $\mathcal{O}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is regular if and only if $a_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. In fact*

$$H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}(\mathcal{O}(a_1 - n_1, \dots, a_s - n_s)) = H^{n_1}(\mathcal{O}(a_1 - n_1)) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{n_s}(\mathcal{O}(a_s - n_s)) = 0$$

if and only if $a_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Since

$$H^{n_1}(\mathcal{O}(a_1 - n_1, a_2, \dots, a_s)) \cong H^{n_1}(\mathcal{O}(a_1 - n_1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_s))$$

$$H^{n_2}(\mathcal{O}(a_1, a_2 - n_2, \dots, a_s)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_1)) \otimes H^{n_2}(\mathcal{O}(a_2 - n_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_s))$$

.....

$$H^{n_s}(\mathcal{O}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s - n_s)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{n_s}(\mathcal{O}(a_s - n_s))$$

we see that, if $\mathcal{O}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is regular, then we have $a_1, \dots, a_s \geq 0$. Specifically \mathcal{O} is regular while $\mathcal{O}(-1, \dots, -1)$ is not and so $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$

2. SPLITTING CRITERIA FOR VECTOR BUNDLES ON MULTIPROJECTIVE SPACES

We extend the notion of regularity to $X = \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ in order to prove some splitting criteria of vector bundles. We need the following definition:

Definition 2.1. A vector bundle E on X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (aCM) if, for any $0 < i < \sum_{j=i}^s n_j$ and for any integer t , $H^i(E(t, \dots, t)) = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. (1) *The Künneth's formula gives: $\mathcal{O}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is aCM if and only if $a_i - a_j \geq -n_i$ and $a_j - a_i \geq -n_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.*

(2) *On X we have the following Koszul complexes:*

$$K_1 : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n_1, \dots, -n_s - 1)^{\binom{n_1 + 1}{n_1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, -n_2 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow 0$$

$$K_2 : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, -n_2 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 0, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 0, \dots, -n_s)^{\binom{n_s + 1}{n_s}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

$$K_3 : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n_1, \dots, -n_s - 1)^{\binom{n_1 + 1}{n_1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, -n_s - 1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

On taking cohomology of the the above exact sequences we get the isomorphisms:

$$H^{n_s}(\mathcal{O}(0, 0, \dots, -n_s - 1)) \cong H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}(\mathcal{O}(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1))$$

$$H^{n_j}(\mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, -n_j - 1, 0, \dots, 0)) \cong H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}(\mathcal{O}(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1))$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}) \cong H^{n_s}(\mathcal{O}(0 \dots, -n_s - 1))$$

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}) \cong H^{n_j}(\mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, -n_j - 1, 0 \dots, 0))$$

The following results were proved by Ballico and Malaspina [4] for a biprojective space $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$ which we extend to a multi projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ in themain results section.

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 1.3 [3]). *Let E be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) for any $i = 1, \dots, m + n - 1$ and for any integer t ,

$$H^i(E(t, t) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j, k)) = 0$$

whenever $j + k = -i$, $-n \leq j \leq 0$ and $-m \leq k \leq 0$.

(b) There are r integers t_1, \dots, t_r such that $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(t_i, t_i)$.

Lemma 2.4 (Theorem 1.4 [3]). *Let E be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) for any $i = 1, \dots, m + n - 1$ and for any integer t ,

$$H^i(E(t, t) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j, k)) = 0$$

whenever $j + k = -i$, $-n \leq j \leq 0$ and $-m \leq k \leq 0$ but $(j, k) \neq (-n, 0), (0, -m)$.

(b) E is a direct sum of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(1, 0)$ with some balanced twist (t, t) .

Lemma 2.5 (Theorem 3.5, [3]). *Let E be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$ with $\text{Reg}(E) = 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) for any $i = 1, \dots, \min(r, m + n) - 1$ and for any integer t ,

$$H^i(E(-1, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j, k)) = 0$$

whenever $j + k \geq -i$, $-n < j \leq 0$ and $-m < k \leq 0$.

Moreover for any $u = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $H^{n+u}(E(-1, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n, -u - 1)) = 0$ and for any $v = 1, \dots, m - 1$, $H^{m+v}(E(-1, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-v - 1, -m)) = 0$.

(b) E has one of the following bundles as a direct summand: $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(0, 1), \mathcal{O}(1, 0), \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^m}^a(a + 1)$ (where $1 \leq a \leq m - 1$) or $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^a(a + 1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}$ (where $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$).

3. MAIN RESULTS

Generalization of results in [3] to $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) for any $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$ with $d = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ and for any integer t ,

$$H^i(E(t, \dots, t) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_1, \dots, k_s)) = 0$$

whenever $d = -i$, $-n_j \leq k_j \leq 0$.

(b) There are r integers t_1, \dots, t_r such that $E \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(t_i, \dots, t_i)$.

Proof. [3]

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *for any $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ with $d = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ and for any integer t , $H^i(E(t, \dots, t) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_1, \dots, k_s)) = 0$ whenever $d = -i$, $-n_j \leq k_j \leq 0$ but $(k_1, \dots, k_s) \neq (-n_1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, -n_2, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, -n_s)$.*
- (b) *E is a direct sum of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, 1), \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 1, 0), \dots$, and $\mathcal{O}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with some balanced twist (t, \dots, t) .*

Proof. [3] □

Theorem 3.3. *Let E be a rank r vector bundle on $X = \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$ and let $d = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ with $\text{Reg}(E) = 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any $i = 1, \dots, \min(r, d) - 1$,*

$$H^i(E(-1, \dots, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k_1, \dots, k_s)) = 0$$

whenever $d \geq -i$, $-n_j \leq k_j \leq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Moreover for any $a_j = 1, \dots, n_{j-1}$

$$H^{a_1+n_2+n_3+\dots+n_{s-1}+n_s}(E(-1, \dots, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-a_1-1, -n_2, \dots, -n_s)) = 0$$

$$H^{n_1+a_2+n_3+\dots+n_{s-1}+n_s}(E(-1, \dots, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n_1, -a_2-1, \dots, -n_s)) = 0$$

.....

$$H^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_{s-1}+a_s}(E(-1, \dots, -1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_{s-1})) = 0$$

- (2) *E has one of the following bundles as a direct summand:*

(a) \mathcal{O}

(b) $\mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, 1), \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 1, 0), \dots, \mathcal{O}(0, 1, \dots, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$

(c) $\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_i}}^{a_i}(a_i+1)$ where $1 \leq a_i \leq n_i - 1$ or

(d) $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_i}}^{a_i}(a_i+1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}$ where $1 \leq a_i \leq n_i - 1$

Proof. We prove (1) \implies (2).

Since $\text{Reg}(E) = 0$ then E is regular but $E(-1, \dots, -1)$ is not.

Since E is globally generated i.e. a regular coherent sheaf is globally generated and the tensor product of a vector bundle spanned by an ample vector bundle is ample and so we have $a_1, \dots, a_s > 0 \implies E(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is ample.

Suppose $r < d$ by le Potier's vanishing theorem

$$H^i(E^\vee(a_1, \dots, a_s)) = 0$$

for every $a_1, \dots, a_s > 0$ and $0 < i < d$.

So by Serre's duality

$$H^i(E(-n_1-1+a_1, \dots, -n_s-1+a_s)) = 0$$

.....

$$H^{a_j}(E(0, \dots, 0, -a_j)) = 0$$

we have the surjection map ψ_1

$$\begin{array}{c} H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})^\vee(-1 - a_j) \otimes E) \\ \text{surjects} \downarrow \\ H^{n_1 + \dots + a_j + \dots + n_s + n_s}(E(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_j)) \end{array}$$

We also have that

$$H^{n_1 + \dots + a_j + \dots + n_s}(E(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_j - 1)) \cong H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - a_j}(E^\vee(0, \dots, -n_s - 1 + a_j))$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, -n_j + a_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, -n_j + a_j + 1)^{\binom{n_j + 1}{n_j}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\binom{n_j + 1}{a_j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})(a_j + 1) \rightarrow 0$$

Tensor it by E^\vee to get

$$\begin{array}{c} E^\vee(0, \dots, 0, -n_j + a_j) \rightarrow E^\vee(0, \dots, 0, -n_j + a_j + 1)^{\binom{n_j + 1}{n_j}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow E^{\vee \binom{n_j + 1}{a_j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})(a_j + 1) \otimes E^\vee \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since

$$H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - 1}(E(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_{s-1})) =$$

.....

$$H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - n_j + a_j + 1}(E(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_{s-1} - 1, -a_j - 3)) =$$

$$H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - n_j + a_j}(E(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_{s-1} - 1, -a_j - 2)) =$$

by Serre's duality we have $H^1(E^\vee) = \dots =$

$$= H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - 1 - a_j - 1}(E^\vee(0, -n_1 - \dots - n_{s-1} + a_j + 2)) = H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - 1 + a_j}(0, \dots, -n_1 - \dots - n_{s-1} + a_j)$$

we have the surjection map ψ_2

$$\begin{array}{c} H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j) \otimes E^\vee) \\ \text{surjects} \downarrow \\ H^{n_1 + \dots + n_s - 1 + a_j}(E^\vee(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j)) \end{array}$$

From these surjections we have the surjective maps

$$\begin{array}{c}
 H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})^\vee(-1 - a_j) \otimes E) \otimes H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1} - a_j}(E^\vee(0, -n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j)) \\
 \text{surjects} \downarrow \\
 H^{n_1 + \cdots + a_j + \cdots + n_s \cdots + n_s}(E(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_j)) \otimes H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1} - a_j}(E^\vee(0, -n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j))
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}
 H^{n_1 + \cdots + a_j + \cdots + n_s \cdots + n_s}(E(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_j)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j) \otimes E^\vee) \\
 \text{surjects} \downarrow \\
 H^{n_1 + \cdots + a_j + \cdots + n_s \cdots + n_s}(E(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1}, -a_j)) \otimes H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1} + a_j}(E^\vee(-n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j))
 \end{array}$$

Using Yoneda paring we have:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})^\vee(-1 - a_j) \otimes E) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j) \otimes E^\vee) \\
 \downarrow \\
 H^0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C} \\
 \\
 H^0(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})^\vee(-1 - a_j) \otimes E) \otimes H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1} - a_j}(E^\vee(0, -n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j)) \\
 \downarrow \\
 H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1} - a_j}(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j)) \cong \mathbb{C} \\
 \\
 H^{\sum_{i=1}^s n_i + a_j}(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes (\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j})^\vee(-1 - a_j) \otimes E(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s)) \otimes M \\
 \downarrow \\
 H^{n_1 + \cdots + n_s}(\mathcal{O}(-n_1 - 1, \dots, -n_s - 1)) \cong \mathbb{C}
 \end{array}$$

where $M = H^{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} n_i - a_j}(\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j) \otimes E^\vee(0, -n_1, \dots, -n_{s-1} + a_j))$

We can find non-degenerate pairs of maps

$$g_j : \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j) \longrightarrow E$$

and

$$f_j : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j)$$

such that $f_i \circ g_i \neq 0$ and since each $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j)$ is simple for $i, j = 1, \dots, n_{s-1}$ then E is direct summand. Hence E has one of the following vector bundles as direct summands

- (a) \mathcal{O}
- (b) $\mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 0, 1), \mathcal{O}(0, \dots, 1, 0), \dots, \mathcal{O}(0, 1, \dots, 0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$
- (c) $\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_i}}^{a_i}(a_i + 1)$ where $1 \leq a_i \leq n_i - 1$ or
- (d) $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_i}}^{a_i}(a_i + 1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}$ where $1 \leq a_i \leq n_i - 1$

That gives the proof of (1) \implies (2).

Now to prove (1) \implies (2): we need to show that for any $a_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_j - 1\}$, $\mathcal{O} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n_j}}^{a_j}(1 + a_j)$ satisfies the conditions of (1).

For that we consider all the non-zero cohomology groups so that conditions of (1) hold. \square

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my thanks to my colleagues at the Department of Mathematics at the University of Nairobi for granting me leave in order to pursue my research work. Lastly, I am supremely grateful to Melissa, my wife and our 3 kids Amelia, Jerome and Chuksie who are always supportive of my pursuits.

Data Availability statement My manuscript has no associate data.

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arrondo and F. Malaspina, Cohomological characterization of vector bundles on Grassmannians of lines, *J. Algebra* 323 (2010), no. 4, 1098–1106. doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.11.007.
- [2] E. Ballico and F. Malaspina, Q regularity and an extension of Evans-Griffith criterion to vector bundles on quadrics, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 213 (2009), n. 2, 194–202. doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2008.06.002.
- [3] E. Ballico and F. Malaspina, Regularity and Cohomological splitting conditions of vector bundles on multiprojective spaces, *Journal of Algebra* 345 (2011), 137–149. doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.08.015
- [4] J. V. Chipalkatti, A generalization of Castelnuovo regularity to Grassmann varieties, *Manuscripta Math.* 102 (2000), no. 4, 447–464. doi.org/10.1007/s002290070036.
- [5] L. Costa and R. M. Miro-Roig, Cohomological characterization of vector bundles on multiprojective spaces, *J. Algebra* 294 (2005), no. 1, 73–96, with a corrigendum in 319 (2008), no. 3, 1336–1338. doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.08.035.
- [6] L. Costa and R. M. Miro-Roig, m -blocks collections and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in multiprojective spaces, *Nagoya Math. J.* 186 (2007), 119–155. [doi.org:10.1017/S0027763000009387](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000009387)
- [7] L. Costa and R.M. Miro-Roig, Monads and regularity of vector bundles on projective varieties, *Michigan Math. J.* 55 (2007), no. 2, 417–436. doi.org/10.1307/MMJ/2F1187647001
- [8] E.G. Evans and P. Griffith, The syzygy problem, *Ann. of Math.* 114 (1981), no. 2, 323–333. doi.org/10.2307/1971296
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0
- [10] J. W. Hoffman and H. H. Wang, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity in biprojective spaces, *Adv. Geom.* 4 (2004), no. 4, 513–536. doi.org/10.1515/advg.2004.4.4.513
- [11] D. Maclagan and G. Smith, Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 571 (2004), 179–212. [maclaganSmith1.pdf](#)
- [12] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1966. [Annals of Mathematics Studies](#)
- [13] J. Sidman and A. Van Tuyt, Multigraded regularity: syzygies and fat points, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* 47 (2006), no. 1, 67–87. [journals/BAG/vol.47/no.1/b47h1si2.pdf](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SULTAN QABOOS UNIVERSITY, P.O BOX 50, 123 MUSCAT, OMAN,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI, P.O BOX 30197, 00100 NAIROBI, KENYA,
[HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0001-9267-9388](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9267-9388)

Email address: dmaingi@squ.edu.om, dmaingi@uonbi.ac.ke