# On the family discrimination in 331-model

# Katri Huitu,<sup>a</sup> Niko Koivunen,<sup>b</sup> Timo Kärkkäinen,<sup>b</sup> Subhadeep Mondal<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics, University of Helsinki, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

<sup>c</sup>Department of Physics, SEAS, Bennett University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh -201310, India

*E-mail:* niko.koivunen@kbfi.ee, subhadeep.mondal@bennett.edu.in

ABSTRACT: In the so-called 331-models the gauge anomalies cancel only if there are three generations of fermions. This requires one of the quark generations to be in a different representation than the other two. But which generation is treated differently? In this work we study how the choice of differently treated generation effects the quark flavour structure and how the discriminated generation can be deduced from experiments. We study a general model based on  $\beta = -1/\sqrt{3}$ , which contains exotic quarks with same electric charges as SM quarks. We take fully into account the effects from exotic quark mixing with the SM quarks, which is often omitted in literature. We will also pay particular attention to 125 GeV Higgs, and show analytically why its flavour violating couplings between SM quarks are suppressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Laboratory of High Energy and Computational Physics, NICPB, Rävala pst. 10, 10143 Tallinn, Estonia

# Contents

1	Introduction	2				
<b>2</b>	Particle content	4				
	2.1 Fermion representations	4				
	2.2 Scalar sector	5				
	2.3 Gauge sector	6				
3	Scalar masses and eigenstates					
	3.1 CP-odd scalars	7				
	3.2 CP-even scalars	8				
<b>4</b>	Quark Yukawa couplings and masses					
	4.1 Up-type quark Yukawa couplings and masses	10				
	4.2 Down-type quark Yukawa couplings and masses	11				
	4.3 CKM naturality	12				
<b>5</b>	Neutral meson mixing and mediator couplings					
	5.1 Scalar couplings	17				
	5.2 Gauge boson coupling textures	20				
	5.2.1 $Z$ boson textures	20				
	5.2.2 $Z'$ textures	21				
	5.2.3 $X^0$ textures	22				
6	Predictions for different generation assignments					
	6.1 Estimates for neutral meson mixing	23				
	6.2 Collider constraints and benchmark points	25				
7	Conclusions	27				
$\mathbf{A}$	Z- $Z'$ -mixing	28				
в	Gauge boson currents	29				
	B.1 Neutral currents	29				
С	Numerical examples	31				
-	C.1 Benchmark point 1					
	C.2 Benchmark point 2	32				
	C.3 Benchmark point 3	32				
	-					

#### 1 Introduction

The Standard model (SM) extensions based on  $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$  gauge symmetry are called 331-models. The appealing feature of 331-models is their ability to explain the number of fermion families in nature. This follows from cancellation of gauge anomalies, which differs crucially from the SM. In the SM the pure  $SU(2)_L$ -anomaly cancels automatically due to properties of  $SU(2)_L$ -generators,  $\{\sigma^a/2, \sigma^b/2\} = \delta^{ab}/2$ , regardless of the particle content. In the 331-model the pure  $SU(2)_L$ -anomaly is replaced with  $SU(3)_L$ anomaly. The  $SU(3)_L$ -generators do not share the special property of  $SU(2)_L$ -generators, and the pure  $SU(3)_L$ -anomaly does not cancel automatically. Unlike in the SM, the particle content has to be arranged in specific way for  $SU(3)_L$ -anomaly to cancel.

In 331-models the left-handed fermions are traditionally assigned into triplets and antitriplets. The minimal particle content is achieved by demanding only one triplet/antitriplet per SM fermion doublet. In this way there is one new fermion per triplet, compared to SM. With this assumption the  $SU(3)_L$ -anomaly only cancels if the number of fermion triplets is same as the number of antitriplets. This fixes the number of generations to be integer multiple of three. However, the QCD looses asymptotic freedom if the number of generations is larger than four. By demanding asymptotic freedom, therefore, the only remaining possibility is to have three generations. In 331-model the gauge anomalies cancel between generations, instead of within generation, like in SM<sup>1</sup>.

Taking into account the colour charge, there are nine quark triplets/antitriplets and three lepton triplets/antitriplets. One therefore has to have six triplets and six antitriplets for the anomalies to cancel if all the lepton generations are assigned into triplets. Therefore one of the quark generations has to be placed in a triplet and two in antitriplets. One of the quark generations is then treated differently in 331-models. Question is, which generation?

The unavoidable consequence of one of the quark generations being in different representation is appearance of quark flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) at tree-level [3, 4]. All the neutral bosons, except photon and gluon, mediate quark flavour violating processes at tree-level. The lepton generations are in the same representation and there are no flavour violation in the lepton sector. In the SM the neutral current flavour changing processes are forbidden at tree-level due to Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism. Therefore these processes are very sensitive to new physics. The choice of the discriminated quark generation leads to different flavour violating structures for quarks. In this work we study the effect of the choice of the discriminated generation in neutral meson mixing, which provides the most stringent constraints on the flavour violation structure of quarks.

The third generation is sometimes advocated to be the discriminated generation on the grounds of explaining the relative heaviness of the top quark compared to the other SM quarks [5]. This is because the third generation will get its mass from different electroweak scale vacuum expectation value (VEV) than the 1st and 2nd, allowing VEV to be tuned to make top quark heavy. However, this does not explain the hierarchy between other SM

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is possible to construct 331-models where the gauge anomalies cancel within a generation, by allowing for components of SM fermion douplets to be distributed into multiple triplets/antitriplets [1, 2]. In these sequential models the number of generations is not predicted.

quarks, and the bottom quark would also become heavy with this reasoning. The VEVs are not enough to explain the whole mass hierarchy and therefore hierarchy must exist in the Yukawa couplings themselves. The quark family discrimination has been studied in the past in [6–9] and more recently in [10]. The consensus in the literature is that the discriminated third generation yields the smallest contribution to the flavour changing quark processes.

In the context of 331-model, the FCNCs are studied in two different ways in the literature: either assuming that the quark rotation matrices have some hierarchy originating from some preset structure in the quark mass matrices and deriving bound on the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale [8, 9], or by assuming nothing about the quark rotation matrices and deriving the constraints on the quark rotation matrix elements [6, 7, 11]. We opt to follow the former route in this article. Most common ansatz for quark mass matrix structure is the Fritzsch ansatz [12, 13], used in the analysis by [6, 8, 9, 14, 15]. In Fritzsch ansatz the off-diagonal elements of quark rotation matrices U, follow  $U_{ij} \sim (m_i/m_j)^{1/2}$ , which does not fit to measurements of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements any more. Modifications to Fritzsch ansatz in non-331 context are studied in [16]. Other textures are used in 331 context in [15, 17]. These textures take into account only the mixing among SM quarks and are not applicable in the full mass matrix if exotic quarks are mixing with SM ones.

The 331-models have freedom in the definition of the electric charge, due to  $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$  gauge group having one additional diagonal generator compared to the SM. The electric charge corresponds to a linear combination of diagonal generators and in a generic 331-model it is

$$Q = T_3 + \beta T_8 + X, \tag{1.1}$$

where the  $T_3$  and  $T_8$  are the diagonal  $SU(3)_L$  generators, X the  $U_X$ -charge and the parameter  $\beta$  is a free parameter. Most studied models are based on  $\beta = \pm 1/\sqrt{3}$  [18–27] and  $\beta = \pm \sqrt{3}$  [28–32]. The models based on  $\beta = \pm \sqrt{3}$  have a complicated scalar sector as they traditionally include three scalar triplets and a scalar sextet in order to give mass to all of the charged leptons. The scalar sector of the traditional  $\beta = \pm 1/\sqrt{3}$  models consist of only three scalar triplets and is simpler. We will be working with this simpler option. The models based on  $\beta = \pm 1/\sqrt{3}$  contain new quarks (which we dub exotic quarks) which have same electric charges as SM quarks, which in general mix with the SM quarks. The models with  $\beta = -1/\sqrt{3}$ , the value we use in this work, contain one exotic up-type quark and two exotic down-type quarks<sup>2</sup>. As a result of this the most general up-type and down-type quark mass matrices are  $4 \times 4$  and  $5 \times 5$ , respectively, with all elements non-zero. The full mixing between SM quarks and exotic quarks is rarely taken into account in the literature. but considered for example in [33]. We will fully take into account the mixing between SM quarks and exotic quarks by using quark mass matrix textures inspired by the structure that appears in the Froggatt-Nielsen (FN) mechanism [34]. We will, however, remain agnostic about the origin of the mass matrix, and do not assume any underlying flavour symmetries, like in FN mechanism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The models based on  $\beta = 1/\sqrt{3}$  contain two exotic up-type quarks and one exotic down-type quark.

By using these Froggatt-Nielsen inspired quark mass matrix textures, we study the affect of the choice of the discriminated quark generation on the flavour violating couplings, and use the neutral meson mixing to place bounds on the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale. The use of FN-like textures allows us to obtain analytical understanding on the magnitude of the flavour violating couplings, which makes the interpretation transparent. We will also pay particular attention on the flavour changing couplings of 125 GeV Higgs and provide analytical understanding on their suppression, which has been largely omitted in the literature.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we present the particle content of the model. The scalar masses and eigenstates are presented in 3. In Section 4 we present the Yukawa sector of quarks and the assumptions we make about the structure of their mass matrices. In the section 5 we compute the contribution to the neutral meson mass difference and present the order of magnitude estimates of flavour violating couplings for all FCNC messengers, based on the assumptions made in Section 4. We compare the results from different quark generation assignments in Section 6. Finally we conclude in Section 7.

### 2 Particle content

We study 331-model with  $\beta = -1/\sqrt{3}$ , where the beyond the Standard model (BSM) particles don't have electric charges not already present in the SM. The electric charge operator (1.1) then is

$$Q = T_3 + \beta T_8 + X = T_3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}T_8 + X.$$
(2.1)

We assume minimal particle content that provides masses to electrically charged fermions at tree-level, that is three scalar triplets.

#### 2.1 Fermion representations

The left-handed leptons are assigned to  $SU(3)_L$  -triplets and the right-handed charged leptons are assigned to  $SU(3)_L$ -singlets:

$$L_{L,i} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \\ \nu'_i \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 3, -\frac{1}{3}), \quad e_{R,i} \sim (1, 1, -1), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(2.2)

The  $\nu'_{L,i}$  are new leptons with zero electric charge. This neutrino sector is not realistic, as one of the neutrinos is left massless and the other two mass degenerate at tree-level. Loop corrections are required to lift the degeneracy of the neutrinos [20]. The neutrino sector in this type of model requires to be extended to make it compatible with the neutrino data. For example, additional right-handed neutrino singlets have been studied in a similar model [35]. Regardless, the neutrino sector is not related to the problem we are studying in this work and we leave it as it is. Since the charged leptons are all placed in the same

representation, the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonalized simultaneously with the Yukawa matrix. Therefore, there is no charged lepton flavour violation.

Equal number of triplets and antitriplets are required for gauge anomalies to be cancelled. Since all the left-handed leptons are in triplets, one of the quark families has to be placed in a triplet (due to three colours, it corresponds to three triplets), and the other two in antitriplets. We will consider all the three different choices for the discriminated quark generation. Below we present the choice of placing the first generation in the triplet,

$$Q_{L,1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ d_1 \\ U \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3,3,\frac{1}{3}), \quad Q_{L,2} = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 \\ -u_2 \\ D_1 \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad Q_{L,3} = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 \\ -u_3 \\ D_2 \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3,3^*,0). \quad (2.3)$$

Other two choices follow in an obvious way. The right-handed quarks are placed in  $SU(3)_L$  singlets. Their representation is unaffected by the representation choice for left-handed quarks, and is therefore the same for all three cases,

$$u_{R,i} \sim (3, 1, \frac{2}{3}), \quad U_R \sim (3, 1, \frac{2}{3}),$$
(2.4)

$$d_{R,i} \sim (3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}), \quad D_{R,1} \sim (3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}), \quad D_{R,2} \sim (3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (2.5)

The fields  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are new quarks with electric charge -1/3 and field U new quark with electric charge 2/3. These quarks mix with the SM quarks of the same electric charge. We will embrace this and study the mixing of quarks in its fullest. We will not introduce symmetries to forbid mixing between SM quarks and the exotic ones, like is often done in the literature.

#### 2.2 Scalar sector

The minimal scalar sector that provides mass to all charged fermions at tree-level and breaks all the gauge symmetries is:

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \\ \eta^0 \\ {\eta'}^+ \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, \frac{2}{3}), \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^0 \\ \rho^- \\ {\rho'}^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^0 \\ \chi^- \\ {\chi'}^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, -\frac{1}{3}).$$
(2.6)

In principle all the neutral scalars can acquire a non-zero VEV. All the needed masses can be generated when each scalar triplet has one non-zero  $VEV^3$ ,

$$\langle \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\v_{\eta}\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\rho}\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\v_{\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.7)

The breaking pattern,  $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \to SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ , is induced by the VEV  $v_{\chi}$  and the breaking of  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  into electromagnetism is caused by  $v_{\eta}$  and  $v_{\rho}$ . We take  $v_{\eta}$  and  $v_{\rho}$  to be of the order of electroweak scale. We also assume  $v_{\chi} \gg v_{\eta}, v_{\rho}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The inclusion of another non-zero  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV would induce larger flavour violating effects than the current setup.

Most general scalar potential is

$$V = \mu_1^2 \eta^{\dagger} \eta + \mu_2^2 \rho^{\dagger} \rho + \mu_3^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi + (\mu_4^2 \rho^{\dagger} \chi + \text{h.c.}) + \lambda_1 (\eta^{\dagger} \eta)^2 + \lambda_2 (\rho^{\dagger} \rho)^2 + \lambda_3 (\chi^{\dagger} \chi)^2 + \lambda_{12} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta) (\rho^{\dagger} \rho) + \lambda_{13} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta) (\chi^{\dagger} \chi) + \lambda_{23} (\rho^{\dagger} \rho) (\chi^{\dagger} \chi) + (\lambda_{1123} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta) (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) + \text{h.c.}) + (\lambda_{2223} (\rho^{\dagger} \rho) (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) + \text{h.c.}) + (\lambda_{3323} (\chi^{\dagger} \chi) (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) + \text{h.c.}) + (\lambda_{2323} (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) + \text{h.c.})$$
(2.8)  
+  $\tilde{\lambda}_{12} (\eta^{\dagger} \rho) (\rho^{\dagger} \eta) + \tilde{\lambda}_{13} (\eta^{\dagger} \chi) (\chi^{\dagger} \eta) + \tilde{\lambda}_{23} (\rho^{\dagger} \chi) (\chi^{\dagger} \rho) + (\tilde{\lambda}_{1231} (\eta^{\dagger} \rho) (\chi^{\dagger} \eta) + \text{h.c.}) + \sqrt{2} f(\epsilon_{ijk} \eta^{i} \rho^{j} \chi^{k} + \text{h.c.}).$ 

The parameter f has a mass dimension of one. We will assume  $f \sim v_{\chi}$ , in order to avoid the introduction of additional scales.

#### 2.3 Gauge sector

The gauge sector of the 331-model contains 5 additional gauge bosons compared to the SM. The covariant derivative operating on a  $SU(3)_L$ -triplet is:

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_3 \sum_{a=1}^{8} T_a W_{a\mu} - ig_x X B_{\mu},$$

where  $g_3$  and  $g_x$  are the  $SU(3)_L$  and  $U(1)_X$  gauge couplings, respectively. The  $T_a = \lambda_a/2$  are the  $SU(3)_L$  generators. The  $SU(3)_L$  gauge bosons are:

$$\sum_{a=1}^{8} T_a W_{a\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} W_{3\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} W_{8\mu} & W_{\mu}^+ & X_{\mu}^0 \\ W_{\mu}^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} W_{3\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} W_{8\mu} & V_{\mu}^- \\ X_{\mu}^{0*} & V_{\mu}^+ & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} W_{8\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we have denoted,

$$W_{\mu}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{1\mu} \mp i W_{2\mu}), \quad V_{\mu}^{\mp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{6\mu} \mp i W_{7\mu}), \quad X_{\mu}^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_{4\mu} - i W_{5\mu}).$$

The electrically neutral fields  $W_{3\mu}$ ,  $W_{8\mu}$  and  $B_{\mu}$  form photon, Z-boson and new heavy gauge boson Z'. The vacuum structure (2.7) contains only one  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV. Due to this the fields  $W_{4\mu}$  and  $W_{5\mu}$  do not mix with the other neutral gauge bosons. They have the same mass and are identified as a *physical neutral non-hermitian gauge boson*  $X^0_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_{4\mu} - iW_{5\mu})$ . The off-diagonal gauge bosons  $W^{\pm}_{\mu}$  and  $V^{\pm}_{\mu}$  also don't mix, due to vacuum structure containing only one  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV. The  $W^{\pm}_{\mu}$  is identified with the corresponding SM gauge boson and the  $V^{\pm}_{\mu}$  as a new heavy charged gauge boson. The masses of the new gauge bosons are proportional to the  $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ -breaking VEV  $v_{\chi}$  and have their masses at TeV scale or higher.

The SM gauge boson masses are

$$m_W^2 = \frac{g_3^2}{4} (v_\eta^2 + v_\rho^2)$$
 and  $m_Z^2 = \frac{g_3^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W} (v_\eta^2 + v_\rho^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ ,

with  $\delta = v/v_{\chi}$ , where v = 246 GeV is the SM Higgs VEV. The Weinberg angle is defined as

$$\cos^2 \theta_W = \frac{3g_3^2 + g_x^2}{3g_3^2 + 4g_x^2}.$$
(2.9)

At low energies the  $g_3$  is identified with the SM  $SU(2)_L$  gauge coupling. The electroweak breaking VEVs  $v_{\eta}$  and  $v_{\rho}$  are related to the SM Higgs VEV through the relation

$$v_{\eta}^{2} + v_{\rho}^{2} = v^{2}, \qquad (2.10)$$

in order the  $m_W$  and  $m_Z$  to agree with the SM. The heavy gauge boson masses are

$$m_V^2 = \frac{g_3^2}{4} (v_\chi^2 + v_\eta^2), \quad m_{Z'}^2 = \frac{g_x^2}{3} \frac{v_\chi^2}{\tan^2 \theta_W} + \mathcal{O}\left(\delta^2\right), \quad m_{X^0}^2 = \frac{g_3^2}{4} (v_\chi^2 + v_\rho^2). \tag{2.11}$$

The neutral states Z, Z' and  $X^0$  mediate quark FCNCs at tree-level.

### 3 Scalar masses and eigenstates

We will pay special attention to neutral scalars and pseudo-scalars as they all mediate FCNCs. We are particularly interested in the 125 GeV Higgs. It is potentially dangerous mediator of FCNCs due to its relative lightness. We will show that there is a natural suppression mechanism for FCNCs mediated by 125 GeV Higgs. The neutral scalars are divided to real and imaginary parts as:

$$\eta^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{1} + i\xi_{1}), \quad \rho^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{2} + i\xi_{2}), \quad \rho'^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{5} + i\xi_{5}), \quad (3.1)$$
$$\chi^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{4} + i\xi_{4}), \quad \chi'^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{3} + i\xi_{3}).$$

We assume that all the parameters of the scalar potential are real and therefore there is no mixing between real and imaginary parts of scalars. One of the five CP-even scalars is a would-be-Goldstone, giving mass to  $X^0/X^{0*}$ . Three of the five CP-odd scalars are would-be-Goldstones, providing the mass for Z, Z' and  $X^0/X^{0*}$ .

#### 3.1 CP-odd scalars

The CP-odd scalar mass term is,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} A^T M_{\text{CP-odd}}^2 A, \quad \text{where} \quad A^T = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4, \xi_5) \quad \text{and},$$

$$M_{cp-odd}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} f \frac{v_{\rho}v_{\chi}}{v_{\eta}} & fv_{\chi} & fv_{\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ fv_{\chi} & f \frac{v_{\eta}v_{\chi}}{v_{\rho}} & fv_{\eta} & 0 & 0 \\ fv_{\rho} & fv_{\eta} & f \frac{v_{\rho}v_{\eta}}{v_{\chi}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{23}}{2} - \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\rho}^{2} + f \frac{v_{\rho}v_{\eta}}{v_{\chi}} & \left(\frac{-\tilde{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\eta}v_{\chi} - fv_{\eta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{-\tilde{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\eta}v_{\chi} - fv_{\eta} & \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{23}}{2} - \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\chi}^{2} + f \frac{v_{\chi}v_{\eta}}{v_{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has three zero eigenvalues corresponding to the Goldstone bosons that give masses to Z, Z' and the neutral non-Hermitian gauge boson  $X^0_{\mu}$ . The two non-zero eigenvalues correspond to physical CP-odd scalars  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . The pseudo-scalar mass matrix is diagonalized as:

$$U^{A\dagger} M^2_{\text{CP-odd}} U^A = M^2_{\text{A}} = \text{diag}(m^2_{A_1}, m^2_{A_2}, 0, 0, 0), \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$m_{A_1}^2 = fu\left(\frac{v_{\rho}}{v_{\eta}} + \frac{v_{\eta}}{v_{\rho}} + \frac{v_{\rho}v_{\eta}}{v_{\chi}^2}\right), \quad m_{A_2}^2 = (v_{\chi}^2 + v_{\rho}^2)\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{23}}{2} - \lambda_{2323} + \frac{fv_{\eta}}{v_{\chi}v_{\rho}}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

The CP-odd mass eigenstates are defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ G_1^0 \\ G_2^0 \\ G_3^0 \end{pmatrix} = U^{A\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \\ \xi_4 \\ \xi_5 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.4)

where  $G_i^0$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are massless Goldstones. The eigenvectors corresponding to  $A_1$  and  $A_2 \text{ are}^4$ 

$$\bar{X}_{A_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11}^{A} \\ U_{21}^{A} \\ U_{31}^{A} \\ U_{41}^{A} \\ U_{51}^{A} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{v_{\eta}^{2}v_{\rho}^{2}}{v^{2}v_{\chi}^{2}}}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_{\rho}}{v} \\ \frac{v_{\eta}}{v} \\ \frac{v_{\eta}v_{\rho}}{vv_{\chi}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad \bar{X}_{A_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{A}^{A} \\ U_{22}^{A} \\ U_{A2}^{A} \\ U_{A2}^{A} \\ U_{A2}^{A} \\ U_{A2}^{A} \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{v_{\rho}^{2}}{v_{\chi}^{2}}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{v_{\rho}}{v_{\chi}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

The pseudo-scalar  $A_2$  will couple quite weakly to the SM quarks due to multiple zeros in the eigenvector<sup>5</sup>. The structure of first two elements of  $A_1$  is also important in cancellation of flavour violating effects, as we will see in next subsection.

#### $\mathbf{3.2}$ **CP-even** scalars

The CP-even scalar mass term is,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} H^T M_{cp-even}^2 H$$
, where  $H^T = (h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5)$  and,

$$M_{cp-even}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_{1}v_{\eta}^{2} + f\frac{v_{\rho}v_{\chi}}{v_{\eta}} & \lambda_{12}v_{\eta}v_{\rho} - fv_{\chi} & \lambda_{13}v_{\eta}v_{\chi} - fv_{\rho} & \lambda_{1123}v_{\eta}v_{\rho} & \lambda_{1123}v_{\eta}v_{\chi} \\ \lambda_{12}v_{\eta}v_{\rho} - fv_{\chi} & 2\lambda_{2}v_{\rho}^{2} + f\frac{v_{\eta}v_{\chi}}{v_{\rho}} & \lambda_{23}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} - fv_{\eta} & \lambda_{2223}v_{\rho}^{2} & \lambda_{2223}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} \\ \lambda_{13}v_{\eta}v_{\chi} - fv_{\rho} & \lambda_{23}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} - fv_{\eta} & 2\lambda_{3}v_{\chi}^{2} + f\frac{v_{\eta}v_{\rho}}{v_{\chi}} & \lambda_{3323}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} & \lambda_{3323}v_{\chi}^{2} \\ \lambda_{1123}v_{\rho}v_{\eta} & \lambda_{2223}v_{\rho}^{2} & \lambda_{3323}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} & \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\rho}^{2} + f\frac{v_{\eta}v_{\rho}}{v_{\chi}} & \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\rho}v_{\chi} + fv_{\eta} \\ \lambda_{1123}v_{\eta}v_{\chi} & \lambda_{2223}v_{\rho}v_{\chi} & \lambda_{3323}v_{\chi}^{2} & \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\rho}v_{\chi} + fv_{\eta} & \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{23}}{2} + \lambda_{2323}\right)v_{\chi}^{2} + f\frac{v_{\eta}v_{\chi}}{v_{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix is diagonalized as:

$$U^{H\dagger}M^{2}_{CP-even}U^{H} = M^{2}_{\rm H} = \text{diag}(0, m^{2}_{h}, m^{2}_{H_{1}}, m^{2}_{H_{2}}, m^{2}_{H_{3}}).$$
(3.6)

This matrix has one zero eigenvalue, corresponding to the Goldstone boson that gives mass to the neutral non-Hermitian gauge boson  $X^0$  and four non-zero eigenvalues corresponding to four physical CP-even scalars  $h, H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$ . One of the non-zero eigenvalues is  $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ 

 $<sup>{}^{4}</sup>M^{2}_{cp-odd}\bar{X}_{A_{i}} = m^{2}_{A_{i}}\bar{X}_{A_{i}}, i = 1, 2.$ <sup>5</sup>And in fact does not couple to charged leptons at tree-level at all!

and is identified with the 125 GeV Higgs boson h of the SM and therefore  $m_h^2 = (125 \text{ GeV})^2$ . The three of the eigenvalues are  $\mathcal{O}(v_\chi^2)$  and therefore very heavy.

Assuming  $v_{\chi}, f \gg v_{\eta}, v_{\rho}$ , the upper left 2 × 2-block in CP-even mass matrix are large compared to the surrounding elements. From this block one obtains the leading order contribution to the 125 GeV Higgs and  $H_1$  eigenvectors. The lower right 3 × 3-block provides major contribution of the eigenvectors for  $H_2, H_3$  and Goldstone  $G_1^0$ . The CPeven mass eigenstates are defined as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_1^0 \\ h \\ H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{pmatrix} = U^{H\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \\ h_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.7)

The diagonalization matrix is

$$U^{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{v_{\eta}}{v} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \frac{v_{\rho}}{v} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) \\ 0 & \frac{v_{\rho}}{v} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & -\frac{v_{\eta}}{v} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + v_{\rho}^{2}/v_{\chi}^{2}}} & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{3}) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(\delta) \\ \frac{v_{\rho}/v_{\chi}}{\sqrt{1 + v_{\rho}^{2}/v_{\chi}^{2}}} & \mathcal{O}(\delta) & \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2}) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.8)

where the columns correspond to the eigenvectors, according to Eq. (3.6). The first column is exact and corresponds to the Goldstone. Pay particular attention to the second column (125 GeV Higgs) and the third column ( $H_1$ ). The leading order of first and second terms have simple expressions. More accurate formula for these elements are,

$$U_{12}^{H} \approx \frac{v_{\eta}}{v} - \frac{v_{\rho}^{3} v_{\eta}^{2} (v_{\eta}^{2} (2\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{12}) + v_{\rho}^{2} (\lambda_{12} - 2\lambda_{2}))}{f v_{\chi} v^{5}},$$
(3.9)

$$U_{22}^{H} \approx \frac{v_{\rho}}{v} + \frac{v_{\rho}^{2} v_{\eta}^{3} (v_{\eta}^{2} (2\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{12}) + v_{\rho}^{2} (\lambda_{12} - 2\lambda_{2}))}{f v_{\chi} v^{5}}.$$
(3.10)

For the 125 GeV Higgs the cancellation between these terms causes major suppression for flavour violating couplings between SM quark, as we will see in Section 5. For the  $H_1$  the cancellation takes place, not with itself, but with the  $A_1$ . The mass of  $H_1$  is

$$m_{H_1}^2 \approx f v_\chi \left(\frac{v_\rho}{v_\eta} + \frac{v_\eta}{v_\rho}\right) + \frac{2v_\rho^2 v_\eta^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_{12})}{v^2},$$
 (3.11)

and by comparing to Eq. (3.3), one can see that states  $H_1$  and  $A_1$  are almost mass degenerate. This is important for the cancellation of  $H_1$  and  $A_1$  contributions in neutral meson mixing. We return to this point later in Section 5.

### 4 Quark Yukawa couplings and masses

In the SM only the Yukawa couplings after the diagonalization are known and determined by the fermion masses. The underlying Yukawa couplings before flavour rotation are unknown. In the SM the quark diagonalization matrices identically cancel for all neutral

mediators when moving from gauge eigenstate to mass eigenstate. The only place where the quark rotation matrices do not cancel is the W coupling to quarks. The resulting CKM matrix is the only observable giving information about the structure of the left-handed rotation matrices:  $V_{\text{CKM}}^{\text{SM}} = U_L^u U_L^{d\dagger}$ . Therefore CKM is the only observable shedding some light to underlying Yukawa couplings, but the Yukawa couplings cannot still be determined from CKM. In the SM this is not a problem, as the fundamental Yukawa couplings don't appear anywhere after the flavour rotation and all the physical Yukawa couplings can be written in terms of the fermion masses. In 331-models this is, however, no longer the case: quark mass matrices are not diagonalized simultaneously with the Yukawa coupling matrices of multiple scalars. The quark rotation matrix elements become separately observable due to tree-level FCNCs. The structure of quark Yukawa couplings is essential in the attempts to predict the strength of the FCNCs, as the quark rotation matrices ultimately determine the strength of the flavour violating couplings. Some assumptions of the underlying quark Yukawa structure has to be made in order to proceed. Before going into the hierarchies, we present the general structure of Yukawa couplings for all the scalars and mass matrices, for each generation assignment.

#### 4.1 Up-type quark Yukawa couplings and masses

All the scalar triplets couple to the quarks. The up-type Yukawa couplings for the case where the generation  $\mathcal{G}$  is in triplet are :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm up} = \sum_{\alpha \neq \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{4} (y^u_{\eta^*})_{\alpha\gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\alpha} \eta^* \ u'_{R,\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{4} (y^u_{\rho})_{\mathcal{G}\gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\mathcal{G}} \rho \ u'_{R,\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{4} (y^u_{\chi})_{\mathcal{G}\gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\mathcal{G}} \chi \ u'_{R,\gamma} + \text{h.c.},$$

where  $u'_R = (u'_{R,1}, u'_{R,2}, u'_{R,3}, U'_R)$  and the  $\alpha$  labels the two generations that are in antitriplet. The Yukawa couplings of up-type quarks to scalars and pseudo-scalars before flavour rotation are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm up} = \sum_{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}'_L(\Gamma'^u_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}) u'_R \phi + i \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}'_L(\Gamma'^u_{A_i,\mathcal{G}}) u'_R A_i + h.c.$$
(4.1)

where the primes denote gauge eigenstates and the coupling matrices for  $\Gamma'^{u}_{\phi,1st}$ ,  $\Gamma'^{u}_{\phi,2nd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^{u}_{\phi,3rd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^{u}_{A_{i},1st}$ ,  $\Gamma'^{u}_{A_{i},2nd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^{u}_{A_{i},3rd}$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ -U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} + U_{3\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{2\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} + U_{3\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{2\gamma} + U_{\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{2\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{2\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} + U_{3i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} + U_{3i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{1a}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{2\gamma} + U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{3\gamma} + U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{3\gamma} + U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively and  $U^H$  and  $U^A$  are defined in Eqs. (3.2) and (3.6). The  $\phi = 2, 3, 4, 5$  corresponds to  $h, H_1, H_2, H_3$ , respectively. The  $\gamma$  runs from 1 to 4 to give  $4 \times 4$  matrix.

The up-type quark masses are generated by the terms in the Eq. (4.1). The up-quark mass matrix in the basis,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{up-mass}} = \bar{u}'_L m_{\mathcal{G}}^u u'_R + h.c., \qquad (4.2)$$

where  $m_{1st}^u$ ,  $m_{2nd}^u$ ,  $m_{3rd}^u$  are,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\rho}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{1\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{2\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ -v_{\eta}(y_{\eta^{*}}^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho}^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi}^{u})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

respectively. We have written the mass matrix in column form for the sake of brevity.

#### 4.2 Down-type quark Yukawa couplings and masses

The down-type quark Yukawa couplings are written similarly to the up-type couplings. For the case where generation  $\mathcal{G}$  is in triplet are,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{down}} = \sum_{\alpha \neq \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{5} (y_{\rho^*}^d)_{\alpha \gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\alpha} \rho^* d'_{R,\gamma} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{5} (y_{\chi^*}^d)_{\alpha \gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\alpha} \chi^* d'_{R,\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{5} (y_{\eta}^d)_{\mathcal{G}\gamma} \bar{Q}'_{L,\mathcal{G}} \eta d'_{R,\gamma} + h.c.,$$

$$(4.4)$$

where  $d'_R = (d'_{R,1}, d'_{R,2}, d'_{R,3}, D'_{R,1}, D'_{R,2})$ . The  $\alpha$  labels the quark generations that are in antitriplet. The Yukawa couplings before flavour rotation are,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{down}} = \sum_{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}'_L(\Gamma'^d_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}) d'_R \phi + i \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}'_L(\Gamma'^d_{A_i,\mathcal{G}}) d'_R A_i + h.c., \quad (4.5)$$

where the primes denote gauge eigenstates and the coupling matrices for  $\Gamma'^d_{\phi,1st}$ ,  $\Gamma'^d_{\phi,2nd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^d_{\phi,3rd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^d_{A_i,1st}$ ,  $\Gamma'^d_{A_i,2nd}$ ,  $\Gamma'^d_{A_i,3rd}$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{1\phi}^{H}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} + U_{3\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} + U_{3\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} + U_{3\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ U_{5\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{4i}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2i}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{4i}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{3i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{3i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{Ai}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{Ai}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{Ai}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} + U_{4\phi}^{H}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{1i}^{H}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{Ai}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{1i}^{H}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ -U_{5i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{3\gamma} - U_{Ai}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{1\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ -U_{2i}^{A}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{1i}^{H}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} - U_{4i}^{A}(y_{\chi}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{H}(y_{\rho}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ U_{2\phi}^{$$

,

respectively. The  $\phi = 2, 3, 4, 5$  corresponds to  $h, H_1, H_2, H_3$ , respectively. The  $\gamma$  runs from 1 to 5 to give  $5 \times 5$  matrix.

The down-type quark masses are generated by the terms in Eq. (4.4). The down-quark mass matrix in the basis:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{down-mass}} = \bar{d}'_L m_{\mathcal{G}}^d d'_R + h.c., \qquad (4.6)$$

where  $m_{1st}^d$ ,  $m_{2nd}^d$ ,  $m_{3rd}^d$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\eta}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\eta}(y_{\eta}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\rho}(y_{\rho^{*}}^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y_{\chi^{*}}^{d})_{3\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

respectively. The quark mass matrices,  $m^u$  and  $m^d$  are diagonalized through biunitary transformation:

$$m_{\text{diag}}^u = U_L^u m^u U_R^{u\dagger}, \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\text{diag}}^d = U_L^d m^d U_R^{d\dagger}.$$
 (4.8)

#### 4.3 CKM naturality

The constraints on the quark Yukawa couplings come from SM quark masses and the elements of the CKM matrix. We assume that Yukawa couplings have hierarchical structure, such that the quark masses are generated without miraculous cancellation between parameters, while remaining agnostic about the origin of this hierarchy. The second assumption we make is that the CKM matrix is produced without significant cancellations between upand down-quark rotation matrix elements.

Due to exotic quarks the CKM matrix is not a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, but a  $4 \times 5$  matrix,

$$V_{\rm CKM}^{331} = U_L^u \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} U_L^{d\dagger},$$
(4.9)

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CKM} = \frac{g_3}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \gamma^{\mu} V_{\rm CKM}^{331} d_L W^+_{\mu} + h.c., \qquad (4.10)$$

and  $u_L = (u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ U)_L^T$ ,  $d_L = (d_1 \ d_2 \ d_3 \ D_1 \ D_2)_L^T$ . The upper-left  $3 \times 3$  - block of  $V_{\text{CKM}}^{331}$  corresponds to the SM CKM matrix. The CKM matrix exhibits distinct hierarchy [36],

$$|V_{\rm CKM}^{\rm SM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97420 \pm 0.00021 & 0.2243 \pm 0.0005 & (3.94 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3} \\ 0.218 \pm 0.004 & 0.997 \pm 0.017 & (42.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3} \\ (8.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3} & (39.4 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3} & 1.019 \pm 0.025 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.11)

The magnitude of the CKM matrix elements are roughly powers of the sine of Cabibbo angle  $\epsilon \simeq 0.23$ ,

$$V_{\rm CKM}^{\rm SM} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon^3 \\ \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon^2 \\ \epsilon^3 & \epsilon^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.12)

This structure is obtained in upper  $3 \times 3$  block of  $V_{\text{CKM}}^{331}$ , without miraculous cancellations, when the  $U_L^u$  and  $U_L^d$  have the same texture in their upper  $3 \times 3$  block corresponding to SM quarks. This is the approach we adopt here. We shall assume that the Yukawa couplings are such that this is naturally realized. We dub this *CKM-naturality*. This is achieved by having preset hierarchy in the Yukawa couplings. The hierarchy of rows sets the structure of the left-handed rotation matrices and hierarchy of columns sets the structure of the right-handed rotation matrices. We write the Yukawa couplings in the following manner to emphasize the effect of the individual Yukawa couplings on the structure of rotation matrices,

$$(y^q)_{ij} = c^q_{ij} \epsilon^{L^q_i + R^q_j}, (4.13)$$

where  $c_{ij}^q$  is an order one coupling and i, j run from 1 to 4 for up-type quarks and from 1 to 5 for down. The powers  $L_i^q$  and  $R_j^q$  determine the structure of the rotation matrices: the values of  $L_i^q$  determine the left-handed rotation matrix and  $R_j^q$  that of the right-handed. This is reminiscent of notation used in the context of the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism [34]. Difference is that now, the powers  $L_i^q$  and  $R_j^q$  are not related to any underlying flavour symmetry, like in the FN models. We will use this as a book keeping device and to make the hierarchical structure of Yukawa couplings more transparent. The powers of  $\epsilon$  are larger for lighter generations, making the corresponding entries smaller, and vice versa.

Let us write the up and down quark mass matrices in a form that is the same for all generation assignments (we have suppressed for brevity the scalar labels present in the previous subsections 4.1 and 4.2):

$$m^{u} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\eta}(y^{u})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\eta}(y^{u})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\eta}(y^{u})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y^{u})_{4\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{v_{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (c^{u})_{1\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{1}^{u} + R_{\gamma}^{u}} \\ (c^{u})_{2\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{2}^{u} + R_{\gamma}^{u}} \\ (c^{u})_{3\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{3}^{u} + R_{\gamma}^{u}} \\ (c^{u})_{4\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{4}^{u} + R_{\gamma}^{u}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.14)$$

$$m^{d} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{\eta}(y^{d})_{1\gamma} \\ v_{\eta}(y^{d})_{2\gamma} \\ v_{\eta}(y^{d})_{3\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y^{d})_{4\gamma} \\ v_{\chi}(y^{d})_{5\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{v_{\eta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (c^{d})_{1\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{1}^{d} + R_{\gamma}^{d}} \\ (c^{d})_{2\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{2}^{d} + R_{\gamma}^{d}} \\ (c^{d})_{3\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{3}^{d} + R_{\gamma}^{d}} \\ (c^{d})_{4\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{4}^{d} + R_{\gamma}^{d}} \\ (c^{d})_{5\gamma} \epsilon^{\tilde{L}_{5}^{d} + R_{\gamma}^{d}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.15)

where we have defined  $\tilde{L}_i^q \equiv L_i^q$ , for i = 1, 2, 3 and absorbed the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV into the left-handed powers of exotic quarks,

$$\widetilde{L}_{4}^{u} = L_{U} + \frac{\log(v_{\chi}/v_{\eta})}{\log(\epsilon)}, \quad \widetilde{L}_{4}^{d} = L_{D_{1}} + \frac{\log(v_{\chi}/v_{\eta})}{\log(\epsilon)}, \quad \widetilde{L}_{5}^{d} = L_{D_{2}} + \frac{\log(v_{\chi}/v_{\eta})}{\log(\epsilon)}, \quad (4.16)$$

with  $L_4^u \equiv L_U$ ,  $L_4^d \equiv L_{D_1}$  and  $L_5^d \equiv L_{D_2}$ . The order-one couplings  $c_{ij}^q$  are different for each generation assignment, and obtained by comparing mass matrices in the Eqs. (4.3) and (4.7) to the above. The mass matrix elements have equal magnitude for all generation assignment. As long as  $\widetilde{L}_1^q \geq \widetilde{L}_2^q \dots$  and  $R_1^q \geq R_2^q \dots$ , the rotation matrix elements will have the following order of magnitude in rotation matrix elements,

$$(U_L^q)_{ij} \sim \epsilon^{|\tilde{L}_i^q - \tilde{L}_j^q|} \quad \text{and} \quad (U_R^q)_{ij} \sim \epsilon^{|R_i^q - R_j^q|}, \tag{4.17}$$

and masses  $m_i^q \sim v_\eta \epsilon^{\widetilde{L}_i^q + R_i^q}$  [34]. We assume the following hierarchies in the quark mass matrices:  $m_{1j}^u \leq m_{2j}^u \leq m_{3j}^u \leq m_{4j}^u$  and  $m_{1j}^d \leq m_{2j}^d \leq m_{3j}^d \leq m_{4j}^d \leq m_{5j}^d$ . This will generate CKM-like hierarchy when left-handed powers are properly chosen. We also assume the hierarchy  $m_{i1}^u \leq m_{i2}^u \leq m_{i3}^u \leq m_{i4}^u$  and  $m_{i1}^d \leq m_{i2}^d \leq m_{i3}^d \leq m_{i4}^d \leq m_{i5}^d$ , which will affect the right-handed rotation matrix. The right-handed rotation matrix is, however, not relevant here.

We choose  $L_1 \equiv L_1^u = L_1^d$ ,  $L_2 \equiv L_2^u = L_2^d$  and  $L_3 \equiv L_3^u = L_3^d$ . The  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $L_3$  are fixed by the CKM-naturality, up to an additive constant. The CKM-structure of (4.12) is obtained by choosing  $L_1 = 3$ ,  $L_2 = 2$  and  $L_3 = 0$ . The  $L_U$ ,  $L_{D_1}$ ,  $L_{D_2}$  are free parameters, whose main function is in determining the exotic elements of the left-handed rotation matrices. The exotic elements of the quark rotation matrices receive additional suppression from large difference between electroweak scale and the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale. The logarithmic terms act as effective left-handed "charges", if one uses analogy to the FN-mechanism. The mass matrices exhibit hierarchy between exotic quarks and SM quarks, due to  $v_{\chi} \gg v_{\eta}, v_{\rho}$ . We assume that the exotic rows in the quark mass matrices are larger than those in the third row in the same columns. This is a necessary requirement as otherwise the exotic quarks would be too light. This restricts the  $L_U$ ,  $L_{D_1}$  and  $L_{D_2}$  not to be too large. The freedom in choosing right-handed charges is used to give correct masses for SM quarks.

The order of magnitude of left-handed rotation matrices is

$$U_L^u \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon^3 & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_U} \\ \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon^2 & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_U} \\ \epsilon^3 & \epsilon^2 & 1 & \delta \epsilon^{-L_U} \\ \delta \epsilon^{3-L_U} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_U} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_U} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.18)

$$U_{L}^{d} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon^{3} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_{1}}} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_{2}}} \\ \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon^{2} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_{1}}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_{2}}} \\ \epsilon^{3} & \epsilon^{2} & 1 & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_{1}}} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_{2}}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_{1}}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_{1}}} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_{1}}} & 1 & \epsilon^{L_{D_{1}}-L_{D_{2}}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_{2}}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_{2}}} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_{2}}} & \delta \epsilon^{L_{D_{1}}-L_{D_{2}}} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.19)

which are independent of the right-handed powers. One notices that the mixing of exotic quarks with the SM quarks is suppressed by  $\delta$ . This suppression is due to exotic quark mass terms being proportional to  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV,  $v_{\chi}$ . With the above rotation textures the CKM matrix texture is:

$$V_{\rm CKM}^{331} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon & \epsilon^3 & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_1}} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{D_2}} \\ \epsilon & 1 & \epsilon^2 & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_1}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{D_2}} \\ \epsilon^3 & \epsilon^2 & 1 & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_1}} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{D_2}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{3-L_U} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_U} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_U} & \delta^2 \epsilon^{-L_{D_1}-L_U} & \delta^2 \epsilon^{-L_{D_2}-L_U} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.20)

The upper-left  $3 \times 3$  block corresponds to SM CKM matrix. The other element involving mixing with exotic quarks are suppressed by  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale.

The outcome of the CKM naturality is that the off-diagonal elements of the quark rotation matrices are suppressed. If the left-handed quark rotation matrices were to have democratic texture, that is, elements of order one throughout the matrix, there would have to be accidental cancellations between elements of  $U_L^u$  and  $U_L^{d\dagger}$  in order to produce CKM matrix. We deem this unnatural. Democratic rotation matrices would also lead into large flavour violating effects. The CKM naturality (rotation matrices have CKM texture) helps to minimize the magnitude of rotation matrix elements, and therefore the flavour violating effects as well.

### 5 Neutral meson mixing and mediator couplings

The neutral mesons composed of quarks with different flavour form two eigenstates dubbed long-lived and short-lived. These states have slightly different masses. The current experimental measurements for neutral meson mass differences are consistent with the prediction from the SM<sup>6</sup>. In the SM the leading contribution to operators contributing to the neutral meson mass difference is generated at 1-loop level by  $W^{\pm}$ -box diagrams. In 331-model the BSM contribution is however generated already at the tree-level. This imposes stringent bounds on the model parameters. We take into account all possible mediators of neutral meson mixing and analyze in detail the magnitude of their contribution to neutral meson mass difference in order to shed some light to inner workings of the flavour violating effects that take place in 331-model of this type.

We follow [40] for the computation of neutral meson mass difference. The effective Hamiltonian for neutral kaon mixing at tree-level is

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{K^0 - \bar{K}^0} = C_1^{ds} (\bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu d_L)^2 + C_2^{ds} (\bar{s}_R d_L)^2 + \tilde{C}_2^{ds} (\bar{s}_L d_R)^2 + C_4^{ds} (\bar{s}_R d_L) (\bar{s}_L d_R), \tag{5.1}$$

where the Wilson coefficients are,

$$C_{1,\mathcal{G}}^{ds} = \frac{(\lambda_{Z,\mathcal{G}}^d)_{sd}^2}{2m_Z^2} + \frac{(\lambda_{Z',\mathcal{G}}^d)_{sd}^2}{2m_{Z'}^2} + \frac{(\lambda_{X^0,\mathcal{G}}^d)_{sd}(\lambda_{X^0,\mathcal{G}}^{d*})_{ds}}{m_{X^0}^2},$$
(5.2)

$$C_{2,\mathcal{G}}^{ds} = -\sum_{\phi} \frac{(\Gamma_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}^{d*})_{ds}^2}{4m_{\phi}^2} + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{(\Gamma_{A_i,\mathcal{G}}^{d*})_{ds}^2}{4m_{A_i}^2},$$
(5.3)

$$\widetilde{C}_{2,\mathcal{G}}^{ds} = -\sum_{\phi} \frac{(\Gamma_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}^d)_{sd}^2}{4m_{\phi}^2} + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{(\Gamma_{A_i,\mathcal{G}}^d)_{sd}^2}{4m_{A_i}^2},$$
(5.4)

$$C_{4,\mathcal{G}}^{ds} = -\sum_{\phi} \frac{(\Gamma_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}^{d*})_{ds}(\Gamma_{\phi}^{d})_{sd}}{2m_{\phi}^2} - \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{(\Gamma_{A_i,\mathcal{G}}^{d*})_{ds}(\Gamma_{A_i}^{d})_{sd}}{2m_{A_i}^2}.$$
(5.5)

<sup>6</sup>The SM computation of neutral meson mass difference has large uncertainties due to QCD corrections [37–39]

Meson	$K^0$	$B_d$	$B_s$	D
Mass (MeV)	497.611	5279.66	5366.92	1864.84
Decay constant $(MeV)$	155.6	190.9	227.2	211.9

Table 1: Selected neutral meson masses and decay constants [36].

The neutral gauge boson interactions are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \bar{u}_L(\lambda_{Z,\mathcal{G}}^u)\gamma^{\mu}u_L Z_{\mu} + \bar{d}_L(\lambda_{Z,\mathcal{G}}^d)\gamma^{\mu}d_L Z_{\mu} + \bar{u}_L(\lambda_{Z',\mathcal{G}}^u)\gamma^{\mu}u_L Z'_{\mu}$$
(5.6)  
+  $\bar{d}_L(\lambda_{Z',\mathcal{G}}^d)\gamma^{\mu}d_L Z'_{\mu} + (\bar{u}_L(\lambda_{X^0,\mathcal{G}}^u)\gamma^{\mu}u_L X^0_{\mu} + \bar{d}_L(\lambda_{X^0,\mathcal{G}}^d)\gamma^{\mu}d_L X^0_{\mu} + \text{h.c.}),$ 

where the couplings  $\lambda_{Z,Z',X^0,\mathcal{G}}^q$  are give in Appendix B.1. The right-handed quarks are in the same representation and therefore they don't have flavour changing couplings with neutral gauge bosons. The scalar and pseudo-scalar Yukawa couplings are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{physical Yukawa}} = \sum_{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \Gamma_h^u u_R \phi + \sum_{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}_L \Gamma_h^d d_R \phi \qquad (5.7)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L \Gamma_{A_\alpha}^u u_R A_i + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}_L \Gamma_{A_\alpha}^d d_R A_i + \text{h.c.},$$

where couplings are obtained from Eqs (4.1) and (4.5) by rotating the primed gauge eigenstate quark fields into mass primeless eigenstates:  $\Gamma_h^u = U_L^u(\Gamma_h^{\prime u})U_R^{u\dagger}$  and  $\Gamma_h^d = U_L^d(\Gamma_h^{\prime d})U_R^{d\dagger}$ . The effective Hamiltonian and Wilson coefficients for other neutral mesons are obtained from Eq. (5.5), by replacing quark flavour indices<sup>7</sup>.

The mass difference for neutral kaon is

$$\Delta m_{K^0} = 2|M_{12}|, \text{ where } M_{12} = \langle K^0 | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{K^0 - \bar{K}^0} | \bar{K}^0 \rangle.$$
 (5.8)

Using the hadronic matrix elements found in [40], the mass difference becomes

$$\Delta m_{K^0} = \frac{2}{3} m_K f_K^2 \left| C_1^{ds} - (C_2^{ds} + \tilde{C}_2^{ds}) \frac{5}{8} \left( \frac{m_K}{m_d + m_s} \right)^2 + C_4^{ds} \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{m_K}{m_d + m_s} \right)^2 \right) \right|. \tag{5.9}$$

The other meson mass differences follow analogously. We use neutral meson masses and decay constants presented in Table 1. We study next in detail the coupling structure of all the mediators for each generation assignment. We will first look into scalar couplings, paying particular attention to the 125 GeV Higgs, which on the first glance seems dangerous scalar mediator due to its relative lightness. After the scalar coupling the gauge boson couplings are investigated. We present the order of magnitude estimates for all the couplings related to the tree-level neutral meson mixing mediators. These estimates are based on the assumption of CKM-naturality, presented in previous section, and use the quark rotation matrix textures of Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The neutral mesons composed of quarks with different flavour have the following quark content:  $K^0 = d\bar{s}$ ,  $B^0_d = d\bar{b}$ ,  $B^0_s = s\bar{b}$ ,  $D^0 = c\bar{u}$ .

#### 5.1 Scalar couplings

We will first present the exact analytical forms of the scalar coupling, after which we look into order of magnitude estimates based on the quark rotation matrix textures in Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19). The physical Yukawa couplings of mass eigenstate scalars and pseudo-scalars are obtained from Eq. (4.1) and Eq. (4.5) by redefining the quark fields according to Eq. (4.8). For CP-even scalars the physical Yukawa couplings for up type quarks can be written as:

$$(\Gamma_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}^{u})_{ij} = \sqrt{2}m_{j} \left\{ \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \delta_{ij} + \left( \frac{U_{2\phi}^{H}}{v_{\rho}} - \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \right) (U_{L}^{u})_{i\mathcal{G}} (U_{L}^{u\dagger})_{\mathcal{G}j} + \left( \frac{U_{3\phi}^{H}}{v_{\chi}} - \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \right) (U_{L}^{u})_{i4} (U_{L}^{u\dagger})_{4j} + \left( \frac{U_{5\phi}^{H}}{v_{\rho}} \right) (U_{L}^{u})_{i4} (U_{L}^{u\dagger})_{\mathcal{G}j} \right\},$$

$$(5.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the discriminated generation and  $\phi = 2, 3, 4, 5$  correspond to  $h, H_1, H_2, H_3$  respectively. For the pseudo-scalars the Yukawa couplings to up are

$$(\Gamma_{A_{\alpha},\mathcal{G}}^{u})_{ij} = -\sqrt{2}m_{j}\left\{\frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}}\delta_{ij} + \left(\frac{U_{2\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\rho}} + \frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}}\right)(U_{L}^{u})_{i\mathcal{G}}(U_{L}^{u^{\dagger}})_{\mathcal{G}j} + \left(\frac{U_{3\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\chi}} + \frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}}\right)(U_{L}^{u})_{i4}(U_{L}^{u^{\dagger}})_{4j} + \left[\frac{U_{4\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\rho}}\right](U_{L}^{u})_{i\mathcal{G}}(U_{L}^{u^{\dagger}})_{\mathcal{G}j}\right\},$$

$$(5.11)$$

where  $\alpha = 1, 2$  denotes the pseudo-scalar in question.

Here we have used  $m_{\text{diag}}^u = U_L^u m^u U_R^{d\dagger}$  to eliminate the  $U_R^u$  and expressed it only in terms of  $U_L^u$ . This makes the structure more pleasant, as only the left-handed rotation matrices have observable effects in the form of CKM matrix. We are mainly interested in flavour violating couplings between SM quarks. From above equations, the term containing only SM quark rotation matrix elements is the second terms on the first line. This seems to be the largest contribution to SM quark off-diagonal couplings as the SM block in the (4.18) is not suppressed with  $\delta$ , like the elements involving exotic quarks. The other terms in (5.10) and (5.11) contain quark rotation matrix elements involving exotic quarks and are therefore suppressed by at least one factor of  $\delta$ . For h,  $H_1$  and  $A_1$  the specific forms of scalar and pseudo-scalar rotation matrix elements, (3.5) and (3.7), are crucial in first lines for major cancellations of flavour violating effect. We will go into details regarding this later.

The down-type quark Yukawa couplings for scalars and pseudo-scalars are analogously to up type quarks

$$(\Gamma_{\phi,\mathcal{G}}^{d})_{ij} = \sqrt{2}m_{j} \left\{ \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \delta_{ij} + \left( \frac{U_{2\phi}^{H}}{v_{\rho}} - \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \right) \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}j} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{U_{3\phi}^{H}}{v_{\chi}} - \frac{U_{1\phi}^{H}}{v_{\eta}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i4} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{4j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i5} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{5j} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{U_{4\phi}^{H}}{v_{\chi}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{4j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{5j} \right] + \left[ \frac{U_{5\phi}^{H}}{v_{\rho}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i4} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1j}} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i5} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}j} \right] \right\},$$

$$(5.12)$$

and

$$(\Gamma_{A_{\alpha},\mathcal{G}}^{d})_{ij} = \sqrt{2}m_{j} \left\{ \frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}} \delta_{ij} + \left( -\frac{U_{2\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\rho}} - \frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}} \right) \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}j} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \left[ -\frac{U_{3\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\chi}} - \frac{U_{1\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\eta}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i4} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{4j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i5} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{5j} \right]$$
(5.13)  
$$\left. - \left[ \frac{U_{4\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\chi}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{4j} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2}} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{5j} \right] - \left[ \frac{U_{5\alpha}^{A}}{v_{\rho}} \right] \left[ (U_{L}^{d})_{i4} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{1j}} + (U_{L}^{d})_{i5} (U_{L}^{d\dagger})_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{2j}} \right] \right\}.$$

Here the  $\mathcal{G}$  is the discriminated generation in triplet, and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_2$  are the generations in antitriplet where the former is the lighter generation and the latter the heavier. The down-type quarks have similar structure of their couplings compared to the up-type quark case in (5.10). The down-type quarks are slightly more relevant, as they form three neutral mesons, as opposed to up-type quarks, who form only one neutral meson composed of quarks of different flavour.

The 125 GeV Higgs is potentially dangerous FCNC mediator due to its relative lightness: it is at least order of magnitude lighter than the BSM FCNC mediators. We will next estimate the order of magnitude of scalar and pseudo-scalar mediator flavour violating couplings. We obtain the order of magnitude estimates for its couplings to up- and down-type quarks from (5.10) and (5.12) by using 125 GeV Higgs eigenvector components from Eq. (3.8) with quark rotation matrix textures (4.18) and (4.19). The up-type coupling matrix is

$$\Gamma_{h,\mathcal{G}}^{u} \sim \begin{pmatrix} y_{u} \ y_{c} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}} & y_{t} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}} & \frac{m_{U}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{3-L_{u}} \\ \times & y_{c} & y_{t} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{2(1-L_{u})} & \frac{m_{U}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{2-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & y_{t} & \frac{m_{U}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & \times & \frac{m_{U}}{v_{\chi}} \delta \epsilon^{-2L_{u}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.14)

where  $a_{1st} = a_{2nd} = 1$ ,  $a_{3rd} = 5 - 2L_u$ ,  $b_{1st} = b_{2nd} = 3$ ,  $b_{3rd} = 3 - 2L_u$ . The order of magnitude estimate is the same in the cases of discriminates 1st and 2nd generation. The down-type coupling matrix is

$$\Gamma_{h,\mathcal{G}}^{d} \sim \begin{pmatrix} y_{d} \ y_{s} \delta^{2} \epsilon \ y_{b} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{3} \ \frac{m_{D_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{3-L_{D}} \ \frac{m_{D_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{3-L_{D}} \\ \times \ y_{s} \ y_{b} \delta^{2} \epsilon^{2} \ \frac{m_{D_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{2-L_{D}} \ \frac{m_{D_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{2-L_{D}} \\ \times \ \times \ y_{b} \ \frac{m_{D_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{-L_{D}} \ \frac{m_{D_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{-L_{D}} \\ \times \ \times \ \frac{m_{D_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} \delta \ \frac{m_{D_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} \epsilon^{-2L_{D}} \\ \times \ \times \ \frac{m_{D_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} \delta \epsilon^{-2L_{D}} \ \frac{m_{D_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} \delta \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.15)

which is the same for all generation assignments. We have omitted the terms below the diagonal as they are proportional to smaller Yukawa couplings than above the diagonal as can be easily seen from Eqs (5.10) and (5.12).

For the down-sector all relevant flavour violating couplings for all generation assignments are the same, at least in their order of magnitude. This mediator cannot be used to distinguish between generation assignments in meson mixing. For the up-sector the only relevant coupling in meson mixing is between up and charm, where the discriminated third generation differs from other assignments.

For all generation assignments the diagonal couplings of SM quarks are those of the SM, up to miniscule corrections and the flavour violating couplings amongst the SM quarks are heavily suppressed by  $\delta^2$ , yielding to their decoupling if  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale is large. The diagonal couplings of exotic quarks U,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  also decouple with large  $v_{\chi}$ . This is desirable, as this way the exotic quarks do not contribute to the 125 GeV Higgs production in hadron colliders through gluon-gluon fusion. The flavour violating couplings of U to SM quarks, however, are potentially large and do not experience decoupling. This could potentially yield to interesting signals at the LHC or future hadron colliders.

The 125 GeV Higgs and other heavier scalars and pseudo-scalars do not give strong contribution to neutral meson mixing. This is due to their flavour violating couplings among SM quarks being subject to suppression from multiple sources:

- 125 GeV Higgs: The 125 GeV Higgs couplings are suppressed due to almost total cancellation of  $U_{12}^H$  and  $U_{22}^H$ , as seen in equations (5.10) and (5.12) with Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), leading into  $\delta^2$ -suppression<sup>8</sup>. This suppression takes place without any tuning of parameters. On top of that, additional suppression is provided small SM Yukawa couplings and powers of  $\epsilon$ , that originate from pure SM element in left-handed quark rotation matrices. In total the contribution from 125 GeV Higgs to the Wilson coefficients is:  $C_2^h \sim y_q^2 \delta^2 \epsilon^p / v_\chi^2$ , where p is small positive integer.
- $\mathbf{H_1}$ - $\mathbf{A_1}$  cancellation: The contributions from heavy scalar  $H_1$  and heavy pseudoscalar  $A_1$  almost completely cancel:  $H_1$  and  $A_1$  are nearly mass degenerate, as seen from Eqs. (3.3) and (3.11). Their couplings are also almost identical in magnitude, which can be seen by using (3.5) and (3.8) in Eqs. (5.10), (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13). There is a sign difference between their contributions due to pseudo-scalar nature of  $A_1$ . This leads into almost complete cancellation between their contributions. The combined contribution from them is  $C_2^{H_1} + C_2^{A_1} \sim y_a^2 \delta^2 \epsilon^p / v_{\chi}^2$ .
- Small U<sup>H</sup> and U<sup>A</sup> elements: The flavour violating couplings of  $H_2$ ,  $H_3$  and  $A_2$  to SM quarks are heavily suppressed. This can be seen from scalar (3.8) and pseudoscalar eigenvectors (3.5): The main contribution to pure SM flavour violating couplings originates from second line in Eqs. (5.10), (5.11), (5.10), (5.13). The relevant scalar eigenvector components to  $H_2$  and  $H_3$  are suppressed by  $\delta$  and  $\delta^2$  respectively, yielding into heavy suppression when their heavy mass is taken into account. The contribution from pseudo-scalar  $A_2$  is completely negligible as the would-be main contributions to pure SM flavour violation vanish, due to many of its eigenvector components being zero.

All in all the scalar and pseudo-scalar contributions to neutral meson mixing is heavily suppressed due to structure of the scalar mass matrices. These effects are always present without any tuning of parameters. These suppression effects are independent of the chosen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>In [41] the flavour violating couplings between SM quarks and Higgs were found to be suppressed only by  $\delta$ . This is due to the presence of two  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEVs.

texture in the quark mass matrices, and are therefore present if different textures are used in the quark sector.

### 5.2 Gauge boson coupling textures

#### 5.2.1 Z boson textures

We can obtain estimates for the flavour violating quark couplings of Z by using quark rotation matrix textures (4.18) and (4.19) (see Appendix B.1 for details). Below we present the only the off-diagonal couplings for each generation assignment,

$$\lambda_{Z,\mathcal{G}}^{u} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{5-2L_{u}} + \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}} \theta & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{3-2L_{u}} + \epsilon^{3} \theta & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{u}} \\ \times & * & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{2-2L_{u}} + \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}} \theta & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & * & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & \times & * \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.16)

where  $a_{1st} = a_{2nd} = 1$ ,  $a_{3rd} = 5$ ,  $b_{1st} = 4$ ,  $b_{2nd} = b_{3rd} = 2$ . The coupling matrices are symmetric and the elements below the diagonal are presented by crosses. We are only interested in flavour violating effects, and the diagonal couplings that are represented by an asterisk. We employ the same notation for the other gauge boson coupling textures as well. For the meson mixing only the coupling between up and charm quarks is relevant. It is essentially the same for all generation assignments and cannot therefore be used to distinguish the discriminated generation for Z-mediation.

In the case of down-type quarks we assume for simplicity that  $L_{D_1} = L_{D_2} \equiv L_D$ . The  $L_{D_1}$  and  $L_{D_2}$  mainly affect the mixing between SM quarks and exotic quarks, and are therefore not relevant when considering the BSM contribution to neutral meson mass difference<sup>9</sup>. In this case the coupling textures are,

$$\lambda_{Z,\mathcal{G}}^{d} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \epsilon(\delta^{2}\epsilon^{4-2L_{d}} + \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}}\theta) & \epsilon^{3}(\delta^{2}\epsilon^{-2L_{d}} + \theta) & \delta\epsilon^{3-L_{d}} & \delta\epsilon^{3-L_{d}} \\ \times & * & \epsilon^{2}(\delta^{2}\epsilon^{-2L_{d}} + \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}}\theta) & \delta\epsilon^{2-L_{d}} & \delta\epsilon^{2-L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & * & \delta\epsilon^{-L_{d}} & \delta\epsilon^{-L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & \times & * & \delta^{2}\epsilon^{-2L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & * & \star \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.17)$$

where  $a_{1st} = a_{2nd} = 0$ ,  $a_{3rd} = 4$ ,  $b_{1st} = 2$ ,  $b_{2nd} = b_{3rd} = 0$ . For the mesons composed of down-type quarks all the generation assignments predict essentially the same contribution for neutral meson mass difference from Z boson mediation. Also similarly to up-type quarks, the mixing between SM quarks is essentially the same for all generation assignments.

The contribution to Wilson coefficients, relevant to neutral meson mass difference, from Z boson is heavily suppressed. The Z boson has two sources of flavour violation that are visible in coupling matrices before flavour rotation in Eqs. (B.3) and (B.4): the SM quark couplings in the diagonal are mostly the same, except for the small extra term in the element corresponding to the discriminated generation. This term originates from Z-Z'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The 1-loop contributions to neutral meson mixing coming from exotic quarks was studied in detail in [41].

mixing and is proportional to  $\theta$ . Second contribution is from exotic quark mixing with the SM quarks: the exotic quark couplings in Eqs. (B.3) and (B.4) are different compared to SM couplings in the diagonal. When performing the flavour rotation into physical couplings, the quark rotation matrix elements away from the SM  $3 \times 3$ -block pick out this difference. As a result the contribution from the mixing of SM quark and exotic quarks is proportional to  $\delta^2$ . These contributions are clearly visible in Eq. (5.16), for the Z-coupling order of magnitude estimates. The contribution from the Z to the Wilson coefficients is  $C_1^Z \sim g_3^2 \delta^2 \epsilon^p / v_{\chi}^2$  (p is non-negative integer), which is slightly larger than that of 125 GeV Higgs due to gauge couplings being larger than relevant Yukawa couplings (top does not hadronize).

### 5.2.2 Z' textures

The order of magnitude estimates for Z' couplings to up- and down-type quarks are

$$\lambda_{Z',\mathcal{G}}^{u} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}} & \epsilon^{3} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{u}} \\ \times & * & \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & * & \delta^{-L_{u}} \\ \times & \times & \times & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{Z',\mathcal{G}}^{d} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}} & \epsilon^{3} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{3-L_{d}} \\ \times & * & \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{2-L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & * & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{-L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & \times & * & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{-2L_{d}} \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $a_{1st} = a_{2nd} = 1$ ,  $a_{3rd} = 5$ ,  $b_{1st} = 4$ ,  $b_{2nd} = b_{3rd} = 2$ . There are clear differences between different generation assignments, as opposed to Z-mediation. For both SM up and down quarks the flavour violating couplings are not suppressed by  $\delta$ , like for all the scalars and the Z. The origin of this is in that the discriminated generation has different coupling compared to other generations before flavour rotation, as elaborated in the Appendix B. The contribution to the Wilson coefficient from Z' is:  $C_1^{Z'} \sim g_3^2 \epsilon^q / v_{\chi}^2$ . The scalars and Z have a factor of  $\delta^2$  suppression compared to this, making Z' dominant, except at low  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale  $\leq 10$  TeV, where Z can dominate for certain generation assignments and mesons.

For neutral kaon mixing (the 12-element in down-type coupling), we find that the discriminated third generation provides significant suppression by the factor  $\sim \epsilon^4$  compared to the other generation assignments. This seems very appealing choice for model building when one's aim is to avoid neutral meson mixing constraints, as the kaon bounds are the most stringent. For  $B_d$  meson mixing all the generation assignments produce the same contribution. Similar to down sector, the discriminated third generation professes suppression in 12-element for up-type coupling compared to other generation assignments. The 13- and 23-elements are the same for all generation assignments in the case of up, which is, however, not that relevant as the top quark does not hadronize. All generation assignments predict essentially the same couplings between SM quarks and exotic quarks. One also notes that the couplings of SM quarks to exotic quarks are suppressed by factor  $\delta$  and are therefore small. It is therefore unlikely that these couplings could be utilized to distinguish 331-Z' from a generic Z' in future colliders.

## **5.2.3** $X^0$ textures

The order of magnitude of the up-type quark couplings to  $X^0$  are,

$$\lambda_{X^{0},\mathcal{G}}^{u} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \delta \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & \delta \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & * & \delta \epsilon^{c_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & \epsilon^{c_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-L_{u}} & * & \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}} \\ \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-2L_{u}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-2L_{u}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{u}-2L_{u}} & * \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.19)

and for down-type quarks,

$$\lambda_{X^{0},\mathcal{G}}^{d} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{a_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & * & \delta \epsilon^{\tilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{\tilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} \\ \delta \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{c_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & * & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} & \delta^{2} \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-2L_{d}} \\ \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & \epsilon^{c_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & * & \delta \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} \\ \epsilon^{b_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & \epsilon^{c_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}} & \delta \epsilon^{d_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}-L_{d}} & * \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$(5.20)$$

The powers of  $\epsilon$  are given in Table 2. We have included all the couplings as the neutral meson mixing involves couplings from both sides of the diagonal.

${\cal G}$	$a^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$b^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$c^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$d^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$\widetilde{a}^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$\widetilde{b}^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$\widetilde{c}^u_{\mathcal{G}}$	$a_{\mathcal{G}}^d$	$b_{\mathcal{G}}^d$	$c_{\mathcal{G}}^d$	$d^d$	$\widetilde{a}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}$	$\widetilde{b}_{\mathcal{G}}^d$	$\widetilde{c}_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}$
1st	2	0	1	3	4	6	5	3	1	0	0	3	3	2
2nd	3	1	0	2	3	5	4	2	0	1	0	4	3	2
3rd	5	3	2	0	5	3	2	2	0	0	2	3	5	4

**Table 2**: The powers for different generation assignments in Eqs. (5.19) and (5.20)

The flavour violating couplings between SM quarks are suppressed by a factor of  $\delta$ . This originates from  $X^0$ -couplings before the flavour rotation, that can be seen in Eqs. (B.13) and (B.14), where  $X^0$  SM quarks couple only exotic quarks and not to themselves. The couplings between SM quarks are generated form the exotic edges rotation matrices (4.18) and (4.19). The contribution to the Wilson coefficient from  $X^0$  is:  $C_1^{X^0} \sim g_3^2 (\epsilon^q \delta)^2 / v_{\chi}^2$ . Even though it seems at the first glance that the  $X^0$  contribution is always smaller than that of Z', the  $X^0$  can dominate neutral meson mass difference for certain mesons and generation assignments at low values of  $v_{\chi}$ . This is due to involvement of different rotation matrix elements in different cases. We will elaborate on this in the next section.

### 6 Predictions for different generation assignments

In the previous section we derived the order of magnitude estimates for the quark flavour violating couplings. In this section we compute the BSM contribution to neutral meson mass difference and estimate the how low the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale can be for different generation assignments. We also look into LHC bounds in the case of low BSM scale.

#### 6.1 Estimates for neutral meson mixing

In the previous section we assumed that both up- and down-sector left-handed rotation matrix elements have the same order of magnitude as CKM matrix, in order to get handle on the magnitude of the physical couplings. However, even though the quark mass matrices respect the CKM-naturality, outlined in Section 4.3, the rotation matrix entries can deviate significantly from the rough estimates of Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19). Small rotation matrix elements would allow for a smaller  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale, providing better opportunities for collider searches. This is attractive, but there is a caveat: the CKM matrix links the up- and down-sectors together and therefore both up- and down-sector rotation matrices cannot have small entries in the off-diagonal simultaneously, as in this case CKM matrix would not be realized. By small off-diagonal entries we here mean smaller than estimates of Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19).

In order to explore the effect of smaller rotation matrix elements we parametrize the rotation matrices as:

$$|U_L^u| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 \epsilon & \alpha_2 \epsilon^3 & \delta \epsilon^4 \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_1 \epsilon & 1 & \alpha_3 \epsilon^2 & \delta \epsilon^3 \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_2 \epsilon^3 & \widetilde{\alpha}_3 \epsilon^2 & 1 & \delta \epsilon \\ \delta \epsilon^4 & \delta \epsilon^3 & \delta \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |U_L^d| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \epsilon & \beta \epsilon^3 & \beta \delta \epsilon^4 & \beta \delta \epsilon^4 \\ \beta \epsilon & 1 & \beta \epsilon^2 & \beta \delta \epsilon^3 & \beta \delta \epsilon^3 \\ \beta \epsilon^3 & \beta \epsilon^2 & 1 & \beta \delta \epsilon & \beta \delta \epsilon \\ \beta \delta \epsilon^4 & \beta \delta \epsilon^4 & \beta \delta \epsilon & 1 & 1 \\ \beta \delta \epsilon^4 & \beta \delta \epsilon^3 & \beta \delta \epsilon & \delta & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\beta$  are free parameters. We fix the parameter  $\beta$  and solve for  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  so the experimental values for CKM matrix are realized. We present three different cases. In the case **a** we use the rotation matrices of Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19). This gives us a rough estimate for contribution to the meson mixing for large flavour violating couplings. In the cases **b** and **c** we use rotation matrices of Eq. (6.1) with  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\beta = 0.01$  respectively. These provide smaller entries in the off diagonal for the down sector, while  $U_L^u$  remains CKM-like. The contribution to neutral meson mass differences in case **a** is presented in Figure 1 and in Figure 2 for cases **b** and **c**. We take into account only the neutral gauge boson mediators and ignore the scalars and pseudo-scalars as their contribution is orders of magnitude smaller than from gauge bosons.

The case **a** represents larger flavour violating couplings as both  $U_L^u$  and  $U_L^d$  are close to CKM. One can see in Figure 1 the difference between the generation assignments, which can also be seen in Eqs. (5.18), (5.19) and (5.20). Taking into account all neutral mesons, the discriminated third generation can be realized with the lowest  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale. In the case **a** the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale is pushed over 100 TeV in the case of discriminated third generation and close to  $10^4$  TeV for discriminated first and second generation. Even the more hopeful case of discriminated third generation would be beyond the reach of currently planned future colliders.

The cases **b** and **c** show how the required  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale can be lowered if the down sector rotation matrix has lower off-diagonal entries than the CKM. As seen in the Figure 2 the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale can be brought as low as ~ 10 TeV if the off-diagonal entries in the down sector rotation matrix are suppressed by the factor of ~ 0.1 compared to corresponding entries in the CKM. When the down sector rotation matrix is brought



Figure 1: The estimates of contribution to neutral meson mass difference as a function of  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV, using quark rotation matrices of Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19) with parameters  $v_{\eta} = v_{\rho} = v/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $L_u = 1$ ,  $L_{D_1} = L_{D_2} = -1$ . The blue curve corresponds to discriminated first generation, red to second and black to third. The vertical line is the  $2\sigma$  bound on corresponding neutral meson mass difference, area above that is excluded.

closer to unit matrix, the contribution to  $\Delta m_K$ ,  $\Delta m_{B_d}$  and  $\Delta m_{B_s}$  is reduced, but the contribution to  $\Delta m_D$  is not. The discriminated third generation has suppression in the couplings relevant to D-meson, so the BSM contribution is low and it doesn't impose strong bound. It seems that in order to have the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale within the collider reach, the third generation has to be the discriminated one.

For all cases **a**, **b** and **c**, Z' dominates as a mediator for  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scales  $\geq 10$ TeV, but for lower BSM scale Z and  $X^0$  can dominate in certain cases. These cases can be seen as a steeper slope in Figure 1. In the case of D-meson it is the Z that dominates at low  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale for the third generation. This is due to large suppression in



Figure 2: The estimates of contribution to neutral meson mass difference as a function of  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV, using quark rotation matrices of Eq. (6.1) with parameters  $v_{\eta} = v_{\rho} = v/\sqrt{2}, f = v_{\chi}, L_u = 1, L_{D_1} = L_{D_2} = -1$  for all three possible generation assignments. Cases **b** and **c** correspond to  $\beta = 0.1$  and  $\beta = 0.01$  respectively The vertical line is the  $2\sigma$  bound on corresponding neutral meson mass difference, area above that is excluded.

terms of powers of  $\epsilon$  for Z' when compared to Z, even though Z is suppressed by a factor of  $\delta^2$  compared to Z'. In a similar manner  $X^0$  briefly dominates in the case of discriminated third generation for kaon, for second generation in  $B_d$  meson and for first generation in  $B_s$  meson.

#### 6.2 Collider constraints and benchmark points

The neutral meson mixing allows the  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale to be as low as ~ 10 TeV for discriminated third generation. It will then be probably observable at the LHC. We present

here three numerical benchmark scenarios with  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking VEV  $v_{\chi} = 15$  TeV and electroweak scale VEVs  $v_{\eta} = v_{\rho} = v/\sqrt{2}$ . The parameters of the Yukawa sector are given in Appendix C. Here we check that the benchmark scenarios are safe from the current constraints on the production exotic up-type quark (U), and the two exotic down-type quarks ( $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ) in addition to the exotic gauge bosons  $V^{\pm}$ , Z' and the non-hermitian boson  $X^{0,10}$  For our benchmarks the exotic gauge boson masses are  $m_{Z'} = 5.751$  TeV and  $m_X = m_V = 4.724$  TeV, and the masses of the exotic quarks are given in Table 3. All the benchmarks pass the bounds from neutral meson mixing only for discriminated third generation. The down-type quark rotation matrices  $U_L^d$  for these benchmarks resemble those of cases **b** and **c** of previous subsection, allowing them to have low BSM contribution to neutral meson mass difference<sup>11</sup>.

	BP1	BP2	BP3
$m_U/{ m TeV}$	1.362	2.368	1.829
$m_{D1}/{ m TeV}$	2.150	6.931	9.076
$m_{D2}/\text{TeV}$	16.007	36.369	26.922

**Table 3**: The masses of exotic gauge bosons for the benchmark points. The Yukawasector parameters are given in Appendix C.

Given the coupling structure, the exotic up-type quark (U) has three dominant twobody decay modes. The decay branching ratios are comparable in top quark (t) - SM Higgs (h) and bottom quark (b) - W boson (W) states. These are the two most dominant decay modes of U followed by a third channel comprising of top quark and Z boson (Z). Direct search of such exotic quarks in the context of the LHC [42, 43] puts a strong constraint on the chosen mass of U. The CMS collaboration reports a stringent limit on the U mass,  $m_U > 1.5$  TeV from 13 TeV data at a luminosity of 138 fb<sup>-1</sup>, assuming the particle being produced through gluon-gluon initial state decays 50% of the time into bW exclusively with the other 50% distributed uniformly between th and tZ channels [42]. The results do not change significantly if the U state decays uniformly into th and tZ states only. On the other hand if U decays entirely into a bW final state, the limit slightly improves,  $m_U > 1.6$  TeV [43]. Although our benchmark points do not produce exactly same branching ratios as assumed in these simplified scenarios, we keep  $m_U > 1.5$  TeV as a conservative choice. We have further cross-checked with the obtained branching ratios in our benchmark points that the resultant rate of the leptonic final states studied at the LHC remains below the observed rate and thus safe from the constraint.

Similarly, for the down-type exotic quarks the experimental study concentrate on three decay modes, namely, tW, bh and bZ [42, 43]. Limit on the masses of such particles are strongest when the dominant decay mode of the down-type quark is tW with a 50 % branching ratio with the other 50 % being shared uniformly by the two other decay modes, bh and bZ. In such cases, the mass limit extends to nearly 1.5 TeV. In contrast, if the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>We concentrate here on the exotic quarks and gauge bosons as they are relevant for the neutral meson mixing and further the scalar sector. The 125 GeV Higgs is very much SM-like as seen in Section 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The rotation matrices for benchmarks are presented in C.1, C.2 and C.3.

particle is assumed to decay uniformly into only bh and bZ states, the mass limit on the down-type quarks come down to ~ 1.1 TeV [42]. In our present scenario, however, we require  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  to be heavier than some new bosons  $(V^{\pm}, X^0)$  and therefore, can decay via these gauge bosons as well associated with light quarks. This in fact happens to be the dominant scenario. The new bosonic states further decays into SM particles resulting in higher jet multiplicity in the final states arising from pair production of the exotic quarks. A detailed collider analysis is needed to ascertain the LHC sensitivity to such scenarios. However, in all our benchmark points,  $D_2$  is outside the reach of LHC energy whereas masses of  $D_1$  in two of the benchmark points are outside LHC reach and one of them has  $m_{D_1} \sim 2150$  GeV which is safely above the existing limit.

In the present scenario, the heavy  $V^{\pm}$  almost entirely (~ 90-95%) decays through the exotic quarks in association with light quarks while the rest of the time it decays into SM quarks. In such cases, the limit on  $V^{\pm}$  masses are weaker, ~ 3-3.3 TeV [44]. The Z' decays through exotic quarks ~ 30-40% of the time and the rest of the time it decays through SM quark pairs. For the cases when a Z' decays through vector-like quarks, the limit on the Z' production cross-section is in the pb order [45, 46]. For our benchmark points this cross-section is ~ fb, which makes them unobservable with the existing LHC data. As a result of the combined effect of low branching ratios into SM quarks and small production cross-section, the Z' masses in our benchmark points can be explored only at high luminosity LHC [47, 48]. The  $X^0$ -boson again mostly decays through exotic quarks and the masses chosen for our benchmark points can only be explored at high luminosity LHC.

### 7 Conclusions

We found that the choice of discriminated quark generation greatly affects the flavour violating couplings in a generic 331-model with  $\beta = -1/\sqrt{3}$ . We took into account all the tree-level mediators of neutral meson mixing. The flavour violating couplings depend crucially on the diagonalization matrices of quarks, whose structure is determined by the mass matrices. We made the natural ansatz for the structure of the quark mass matrices which produces the CKM matrix hierarchy without fine-tuning.

We kept all the terms in the scalar potential and studied in detail the 125 GeV Higgs Yukawa couplings to the SM quarks in detail and traced the source of their suppression to the cancellation between terms in 125 GeV Higgs eigenvectors. This effect takes place regardless of the quark mass matrices and is not dependent on the chosen quark mass matrix texture. We also found that for all generation assignments the coupling between the SM quarks and exotic quarks with 125 GeV Higgs are not suppressed by the  $SU(3)_L$ breaking scale. The coupling of the third generation and exotic quarks can be particularly large, even order one. This comes out naturally, as the Yukawa couplings affecting top mass have to be close to one, as the top mass is at the electroweak scale. Also the coupling between bottom quark and exotic down quarks can be significant, but not as large as in the case of top. We also computed analytically all the other scalars and pseudo-scalar flavour violating couplings and found that they are insignificant, independently of parameter values in the scalar of quark sector.

We took fully into account the mixing between SM and exotic quarks and found that it affects the flavour violating couplings between the SM quarks with Z and  $X^0$ , but not with Z' and 125 GeV Higgs. We find that Z' dominates neutral meson mixing mediation at  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale  $\geq 10$  TeV for all generation assignments. The Z and  $X^0$  bosons can give significant contribution to meson mixing at  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale  $\leq 10$  TeV for D-meson and kaon if third generation is discriminated, for  $B_s$ -meson if first generation is discriminated and for  $B_d$ -meson in the case of discriminated second generation.

BSM scale can be as low as ~ 10 TeV from meson mixing and our numerical bench marks show that 15 TeV passes the collider bounds. The general 331 model with  $\beta = -1/\sqrt{3}$  can therefore be probed at the LHC and especially at the currently discussed future colliders, but only if the third generation is the discriminated one. For discriminated first and second generation the neutral meson mixing imposes  $SU(3)_L$ -breaking scale has to be too high for that. We conclude that if the heavier 331 gauge bosons are discovered in the future colliders, the third generation is the discriminated one.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Estonian Research Council grants PRG803, PRG1677, and the CoE program TK202 "Fundamental Universe".

## A Z-Z'-mixing

The neutral gauge boson mass matrix in basis  $(W_3, W_8, B)$  is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{g_3^2}{4}(v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2) & \frac{g_3^2}{4\sqrt{3}}(v_\rho^2 - v_\eta^2) & -\frac{g_3g_x}{6}(v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) \\ \frac{g_3^2}{4\sqrt{3}}(v_\rho^2 - v_\eta^2) & \frac{g_3^2}{12}(4u^2 + v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2) & \frac{g_3g_x}{6\sqrt{3}}(2u^2 - v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) \\ -\frac{g_3g_x}{6}(v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) & \frac{g_3g_x}{6\sqrt{3}}(2u^2 - v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) & \frac{g_x^2}{9}(v_\chi^2 + v_\rho^2 + 4v_\eta^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A.1)

It has one zero eigenvalue corresponding to photon. The photon can be separated from the other states that will become Z and Z' by writing the above mass matrix in a basis that uses the following basis vectors:

$$A_{\mu} = \sin \theta_W W_{3\mu} + \cos \theta_W \left( -\frac{\tan \theta_W}{\sqrt{3}} W_{8\mu} + \frac{g_3}{g_x} \tan \theta_W B_{\mu} \right),$$
  

$$\widetilde{Z}_{\mu} = \cos \theta_W W_{3\mu} - \sin \theta_W \left( -\frac{\tan \theta_W}{\sqrt{3}} W_{8\mu} + \frac{g_3}{g_x} \tan \theta_W B_{\mu} \right),$$
  

$$\widetilde{Z}'_{\mu} = -\frac{g_3}{g_x} \tan \theta_W W_{8\mu} - \frac{\tan \theta_W}{\sqrt{3}} B_{\mu},$$
  
(A.2)

where  $A_{\mu}$  is the photon mass eigenstate. The states  $\widetilde{Z}_{\mu}$  and  $\widetilde{Z}'_{\mu}$  are not mass eigenstates.

By writing the mass matrix A.1 in basis  $(A, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}')$ , the photon drops out and we are left with a 2 × 2-block in  $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}')$ -basis:

$$M_{Z-Z'}^2 = \tan^2 \theta_W \begin{pmatrix} a \ c \\ c \ b \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$a = \frac{g_3^2 (v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2)}{4\sin^2 \theta_W},$$
(A.4)

$$b = \frac{\left[9g_3^4(4u^2 + v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2) + 12g_3^2g_x^2(2u^2 - v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) + 4g_x^4(v_\chi^2 + v_\rho^2 + 4v_\eta^2)\right]}{108g_x^2}, \quad (A.5)$$

$$c = -\frac{g_3 \left[ 3g_3^2 (v_\rho^2 - v_\eta^2) - 2g_x^2 (v_\rho^2 + 2v_\eta^2) \right]}{12\sqrt{3}g_x \sin \theta_W}.$$
(A.6)

This is diagonalized as:

$$U^{T}M_{Z-Z'}^{2}U = \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z}^{2} & 0\\ 0 & m_{Z'}^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.7}$$

where the Z - Z' mixing angle is:

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{g_3\sqrt{3}\sin\theta_W \left[ (2\sin^2\theta_W - 1)v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2 \right]}{g_x \left[ 2\cos^4\theta_W v_\chi^2 + (2\sin^4\theta_W - 1)v_\rho^2 + (2\sin^2\theta_W - 1)v_\eta^2 \right]}.$$
 (A.8)

### **B** Gauge boson currents

### **B.1** Neutral currents

The physical gauge boson couplings are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \bar{u}_L U_L^u \lambda'_Z^u U_L^{u\dagger} \gamma^\mu u_L Z_\mu + \bar{d}_L U_L^d \lambda'_Z^d U_L^{d\dagger} \gamma^\mu d_L Z_\mu + \bar{u}_L U_L^u \lambda'_{Z'}^u U_L^{u\dagger} \gamma^\mu u_L Z'_\mu \quad (B.1) + \bar{d}_L U_L^d \lambda'_{Z'}^d U_L^{d\dagger} \gamma^\mu d_L Z'_\mu + (\bar{u}_L U_L^u \lambda'_{X^0}^u U_L^{u\dagger} \gamma^\mu u_L X_\mu^0 + \bar{d}_L U_L^d \lambda'_{X^0}^d U_L^{d\dagger} \gamma^\mu d_L X_\mu^0 + \text{h.c.}).$$

The gauge boson couplings in Eq. (5.6) are related to quark flavour rotation as:

$$\lambda^{q}_{Z,Z',X^{0},\mathcal{G}} = U^{q}_{L}(\lambda'^{q}_{Z,Z',X^{0},\mathcal{G}})U^{q\dagger}_{L}, \qquad q = u, d.$$
(B.2)

The non-rotated couplings matrices in the case of discriminated first generation for Z and Z' are

$$(\lambda_{Z,Z',1st}^{\prime u}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{Z,Z'}^{u} + b_{Z,Z'}^{u} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{u} + c_{Z,Z'}^{u} \end{pmatrix},$$
(B.3)  
$$(\lambda_{Z,Z',1st}^{\prime d}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{Z,Z'}^{d} + b_{Z,Z'}^{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{d} + c_{Z,Z'}^{d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{Z,Z'}^{d} + c_{Z,Z'}^{d} \end{pmatrix},$$
(B.4)

where

$$a_Z^u = \frac{g_3^2 \sin \theta_W (3g_3 \sin \theta_W \cos \theta - \sqrt{3}g_x \sin \theta)}{6g_x^2 \cos \theta_W}, \quad b_Z^u = \frac{g_x \sin \theta}{\sqrt{3} \tan \theta_W}, \tag{B.5}$$

$$c_{Z}^{u} = \frac{-9g_{3}g_{x}\cos\theta + \sqrt{3}(-3g_{3}^{2} + 2g_{x}^{2})\sin\theta_{W}\sin\theta}{18g_{x}\cos\theta_{W}},$$
(B.6)

$$a_Z^d = -\frac{g_3(g_x(1+2\cos^2\theta_W)\cos\theta + \sqrt{3}g_3\sin\theta_W\sin\theta)}{6g_x\cos\theta_W}, \quad b_Z^d = b_Z^u, \tag{B.7}$$

$$c_Z^d = \frac{g_3(g_x \cos\theta + \sqrt{3}g_3 \sin\theta_W \sin\theta)}{2g_x \cos\theta_W},\tag{B.8}$$

$$a_{Z'}^u = \frac{g_3^2 \sin \theta_W (3g_3 \sin \theta_W \sin \theta + \sqrt{3}g_x \cos \theta)}{6g_x^2 \cos \theta_W}, \quad b_{Z'}^u = -\frac{g_x \cos \theta}{\sqrt{3} \tan \theta_W}, \tag{B.9}$$

$$c_{Z'}^{u} = \frac{-9g_3g_x\sin\theta + \sqrt{3}(3g_3^2 - 2g_x^2)\sin\theta_W\cos\theta}{18g_x\cos\theta_W},$$
(B.10)

$$a_{Z'}^{d} = \frac{g_3(-g_x(1+2\cos^2\theta_W)\sin\theta + \sqrt{3}g_3\sin\theta_W\cos\theta)}{6g_x\cos\theta_W}, \quad b_{Z'}^{d} = b_{Z'}^{u},$$
(B.11)

$$c_{Z'}^d = \frac{g_3(g_x \sin \theta - \sqrt{3}g_3 \sin \theta_W \cos \theta)}{2g_x \cos \theta_W}.$$
(B.12)

The non-rotated coupling matrices for discriminated second and third generation are obtained from Eqs. (B.3) and (B.4) by moving term  $b_{Z,Z'}^q$  to second and third diagonal term, respectively. In Eqs. (B.3) and (B.4) we have separated the part proportional to unit matrix. As the quark rotation matrices will pass through  $a_{Z,Z'}^u$  terms due to unitarity, only the terms  $b_{Z,Z'}^u$  and  $c_{Z,Z'}^u$  end up contributing to the off-diagonal couplings.

The  $X^0$  non-rotated up-type coupling matrices  $(\lambda'^u_{X^0,1st}), (\lambda'^u_{X^0,2nd}), (\lambda'^u_{X^0,3rd})$  are

respectively and the  $X^0$  non-rotated down-type coupling matrices  $(\lambda'^d_{X^0,1st})$ ,  $(\lambda'^d_{X^0,2nd})$ ,  $(\lambda'^d_{X^0,3rd})$  are

respectively. As the non-zero elements are located off-diagonal, the resulting physical couplings after flavour rotation will have large flavour violating couplings.

# C Numerical examples

The mass matrices used in the benchmarks are parametrized as:

$$m_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_{\eta}c_{11}^{u}\epsilon^{8} & -v_{\eta}c_{12}^{u}\epsilon^{5} & -v_{\eta}c_{13}^{u}\epsilon^{3} & -v_{\eta}c_{14}^{u}\epsilon^{3} \\ -v_{\eta}c_{21}^{u}\epsilon^{7} & -v_{\eta}c_{22}^{u}\epsilon^{4} & -v_{\eta}c_{23}^{u}\epsilon^{2} & -v_{\eta}c_{24}^{u}\epsilon^{2} \\ v_{\rho}c_{31}^{u}\epsilon^{5} & v_{\rho}c_{32}^{u}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\rho}c_{33}^{u}\epsilon^{0} & v_{\rho}c_{34}^{u}\epsilon^{0} \\ v_{\chi}c_{41}^{u}\epsilon^{7} & v_{\chi}c_{42}^{u}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\chi}c_{43}^{u}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\chi}c_{44}^{u}\epsilon^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(C.1)

$$m_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{7} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{6} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{5} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{4} \\ v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{6} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{5} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{5} & v_{\rho}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{4} \\ v_{\eta}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{4} & v_{\eta}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{3} & v_{\eta}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{3} & v_{\eta}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\eta}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{1} \\ v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{3} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{1} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{0} \\ v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{3} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{2} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{1} & v_{\chi}c_{11}^{d}\epsilon^{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(C.2)

For all three benchmarks we set  $v_{\rho} = v_{\eta} = 246/\sqrt{2} \text{GeV}$  and  $v_{\chi} = 15$  TeV.

### C.1 Benchmark point 1

The used order-one couplings of Eqs. (4.14) and (4.15) are:

$$c^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 4.97073 & 2.7245 & 4.01503 \ 1.67706 \\ 5.87 \times 10^{-11} & 4.46721 & 3.50154 \ 2.32508 \\ 20.2741 & 3.78 \times 10^{-14} \ 2.71875 \ 2.17965 \\ 1.76 \times 10^{-10} & 2.08492 & 2.25081 \ 0.56448 \end{pmatrix},$$
(C.3)  
$$c^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.75467 & 1.68135 \ 1.66029 & 2.60774 & 2.1004 \\ 1.18195 & 3.50899 \ 4.06419 & 4.20559 & 3.5717 \\ 0.000107515 & 1.988 & 5.62861 & 4.19889 & 3.08267 \\ 2.55278 & 4.9599 & 3.28512 & 0.0314777 & 0.682893 \\ 1.61006 & 3.29462 \ 2.93614 & 1.09371 & 1.27827 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(C.4)

The left-handed rotation matrices are

$$U_L^u = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & -0.0085 & 0.0050 & 0.0033 \\ -0.0082 & 1.0 & -0.050 & -0.0048 \\ 0.0042 & 0.047 & -0.96 & 0.29 \\ -0.0048 & -0.019 & 0.29 & 0.96 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (C.5)

$$U_L^d = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97 & -0.24 & 0.00023 & -0.000021 & 0.000051 \\ -0.24 & -0.97 & -0.0053 & -0.00031 & 0.00060 \\ 0.0015 & 0.0052 & -1.0 & -0.0054 & 0.0094 \\ 0.000076 & 0.00051 & 0.0092 & -0.87 & 0.48 \\ 0.000046 & 0.00034 & 0.0056 & 0.48 & 0.87 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C.6)

# C.2 Benchmark point 2

$$c^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 31.7597 & 3.08122 & 4.39011 & 1.44231 \\ 9.43 \times 10^{-7} & 2.83497 & 2.19622 & 2.85768 \\ 135.587 & 6.3701 & 1.81001 & 2.72798 \\ 0.0000741971 & 2.54977 & 3.56919 & 2.1502 \end{pmatrix},$$
(C.7)  
$$c^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.75324 & 1.34124 & 2.52898 & 2.26037 & 1.46415 \\ 3.14726 & 0.694873 & 3.7127 & 4.30212 & 1.8983 \\ 1.31444 & 0.0522147 & 3.00486 & 0.128547 & 0.223748 \\ 5.2971 & 2.41595 & 3.84795 & 4.1146 & 3.26315 \\ 6.10452 & 0.874773 & 2.86149 & 3.12481 & 0.178137 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C.8)

The left-handed rotation matrices are

$$U_L^u = \begin{pmatrix} -0.97 & -0.23 & -0.0029 & -0.0045\\ 0.23 & -0.97 & -0.049 & -0.00077\\ -0.0092 & 0.047 & -0.99 & 0.16\\ -0.0028 & -0.0093 & 0.16 & 0.99 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (C.9)

$$U_L^d = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.0079 & -0.0017 & -0.000015 & -5.6 \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.0079 & 1.0 & 0.0054 & -0.000078 & -0.000091 \\ -0.0017 & 0.0054 & -1.0 & 0.00018 & -0.000015 \\ 3.2 \times 10^{-6} & 0.000081 & -0.000036 & -0.12 & 0.99 \\ -0.000015 & -0.000087 & 0.00018 & -0.99 & -0.12 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C.10)

# C.3 Benchmark point 3

$$c^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.75271 & 4.80535 & 0.552645 \\ 4.00015 & 4.45161 & 0.713134 & 1.34193 \\ 7.4217 & 2.89162 & 2.54457 & 2.40413 \\ 0.389465 & 3.35982 & 3.00134 & 1.04204 \end{pmatrix},$$
(C.11)

$$c^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.12673 & 3.43606 & 0.324718 & 3.19138 & 1.1927 \\ 4.19815 & 1.28651 & 0.538586 & 3.50963 & 4.9621 \\ 0.115547 & 2.35043 & 2.84841 & 2.55706 & 0.0722823 \\ 2.92591 & 0.378945 & 0.189045 & 0.773807 & 2.23766 \\ 2.62046 & 4.31457 & 0.266703 & 4.46023 & 1.0141 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(C.12)

The left-handed rotation matrices are

$$U_L^u = \begin{pmatrix} 0.96 & 0.28 & 0.0021 & 0.0045 \\ 0.28 & -0.96 & -0.039 & 0.0057 \\ -0.0010 & 0.038 & -0.96 & 0.22 \\ -0.0038 & -0.0041 & 0.22 & 0.98 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (C.13)

$$U_L^d = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.056 & -0.00052 & -0.000024 & -0.000025 \\ 0.056 & -1.0 & 0.0036 & 0.00029 & 0.000052 \\ -0.00032 & 0.0036 & -1.0 & -0.00066 & 0.0016 \\ 0.000016 & -0.000090 & 0.0018 & -0.50 & 0.87 \\ -0.000017 & -0.00028 & -0.00024 & -0.87 & -0.50 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C.14)

#### References

- F. F. Deppisch, C. Hati, S. Patra, U. Sarkar, and J. W. F. Valle, 331 Models and Grand Unification: From Minimal SU(5) to Minimal SU(6), Phys. Lett. B 762 (2016) 432–440, [arXiv:1608.05334].
- [2] L. A. Sanchez, W. A. Ponce, and R. Martinez, SU(3) (c) x SU(3) (l) x U(1) (X) as an E(6) subgroup, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 075013, [hep-ph/0103244].
- [3] S. L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, Natural Conservation Laws for Neutral Currents, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1958.
- [4] E. A. Paschos, Diagonal Neutral Currents, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1966.
- P. H. Frampton, The Third family is different, in Particles, Strings, and Cosmology (PASCOS 94), pp. 0063-80, 9, 1994. hep-ph/9409331.
- [6] D. Gomez Dumm, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Flavor changing neutral currents in SU(3) x U(1) models, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994) 1609–1615, [hep-ph/9307265].
- J. T. Liu, Generation nonuniversality and flavor changing neutral currents in the 331 model, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 542–547, [hep-ph/9312312].
- [8] H. N. Long and V. T. Van, Quark family discrimination and flavor changing neutral currents in the SU(3)(C) x SU(3)(L) x U(1) model with right-handed neutrinos, J. Phys. G 25 (1999) 2319–2324, [hep-ph/9909302].
- [9] J. A. Rodriguez and M. Sher, FCNC and rare B decays in 3-3-1 models, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 117702, [hep-ph/0407248].
- [10] V. Oliveira and C. A. d. S. Pires, Flavor Changing Neutral Current processes and family discrimination in 3-3-1 models, arXiv: 2208.00420.
- [11] C. Promberger, S. Schatt, and F. Schwab, Flavor Changing Neutral Current Effects and CP Violation in the Minimal 3-3-1 Model, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 115007, [hep-ph/0702169].
- [12] H. Fritzsch, Weak Interaction Mixing in the Six Quark Theory, Phys. Lett. B 73 (1978) 317–322.
- [13] H. Fritzsch, Quark Masses and Flavor Mixing, Nucl. Phys. B 155 (1979) 189–207.
- [14] J. M. Cabarcas, D. Gomez Dumm, and R. Martinez, Constraints on economical 331 models from mixing of K, Bd and B<sub>s</sub> neutral mesons, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 036002, [arXiv:0711.2467].
- [15] R. Martinez and F. Ochoa, Mass-matrix ansatz and constraints on B0(s) anti-B0(s) mixing in 331 models, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065012, [arXiv:0802.0309].
- [16] B. Belfatto and Z. Berezhiani, Minimally modified Fritzsch texture for quark masses and CKM mixing, arXiv:2305.00069.
- [17] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, Z and Z' decays with and without FCNC in 331 models, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 035007, [hep-ph/0510421].
- [18] H. Georgi and A. Pais, Generalization of Gim: Horizontal and Vertical Flavor Mixing, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 2746.
- [19] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle, and J. Schechter, Canonical Neutral Current Predictions From the Weak Electromagnetic Gauge Group SU(3) X u(1), Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 738.

- [20] J. W. F. Valle and M. Singer, Lepton Number Violation With Quasi Dirac Neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 540.
- [21] J. C. Montero, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Neutral currents and GIM mechanism in SU(3)-L x U(1)-N models for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2918–2929, [hep-ph/9212271].
- [22] R. Foot, H. N. Long, and T. A. Tran,  $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$  and  $SU(4)_L \otimes U(1)_N$  gauge models with right-handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994), no. 1 R34–R38, [hep-ph/9402243].
- [23] H. N. Long, The 331 model with right handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 437–445, [hep-ph/9504274].
- [24] H. N. Long, SU(3)-L x U(1)-N model for right-handed neutrino neutral currents, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 4691–4693, [hep-ph/9607439].
- [25] V. Pleitez, New fermions and a vector like third generation in SU(3) (C) x SU(3) (L) x U(1) (N) models, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 514–526, [hep-ph/9412304].
- [26] H. N. Long, Scalar sector of the 3 3 1 model with three Higgs triplets, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 13 (1998) 1865–1874, [hep-ph/9711204].
- [27] P. V. Dong, T. P. Nguyen, and D. V. Soa, 3-3-1 model with inert scalar triplet, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013), no. 9 095014, [arXiv:1308.4097].
- [28] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, An SU(3) x U(1) model for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 410–417, [hep-ph/9206242].
- [29] P. H. Frampton, Chiral dilepton model and the flavor question, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2889–2891.
- [30] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Lepton masses in an SU(3)-L x U(1)-N gauge model, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4158-4161, [hep-ph/9207264].
- [31] M. D. Tonasse, The Scalar sector of 3-3-1 models, Phys. Lett. B 381 (1996) 191-201, [hep-ph/9605230].
- [32] T. A. Nguyen, N. A. Ky, and H. N. Long, The Higgs sector of the minimal 3 3 1 model revisited, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 283–305, [hep-ph/9810273].
- [33] R. H. Benavides, Y. Giraldo, and W. A. Ponce, FCNC in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 113009, [arXiv:0911.3568].
- [34] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, *Hierarchy of Quark Masses, Cabibbo Angles and CP Violation, Nucl. Phys. B* 147 (1979) 277–298.
- [35] K. Huitu, N. Koivunen, and T. J. Kärkkäinen, Natural neutrino sector in a 331-model with Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism, JHEP 02 (2020) 162, [arXiv:1908.09384].
- [36] Particle Data Group Collaboration, R. L. Workman et al., Review of Particle Physics, PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01.
- [37] B. Wang, Calculation of the  $K_L K_S$  mass difference for physical quark masses, PoS LATTICE2019 (2019) 093, [arXiv:2001.06374].
- [38] B. Wang, Calculating  $\Delta m_K$  with lattice QCD, PoS LATTICE2021 (2022) 141, [arXiv:2301.01387].
- [39] K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer, E. Malami, and P. van Vliet, New physics in  $B_q^{0}$  mixing:

present challenges, prospects, and implications for, J. Phys. G 50 (2023), no. 4 045003, [arXiv:2208.14910].

- [40] F. Gabbiani, E. Gabrielli, A. Masiero, and L. Silvestrini, A Complete analysis of FCNC and CP constraints in general SUSY extensions of the standard model, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 321–352, [hep-ph/9604387].
- [41] K. Huitu and N. Koivunen, Suppression of scalar mediated FCNCs in a  $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ -model, JHEP **10** (2019) 065, [arXiv:1905.05278].
- [42] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan et al., Search for pair production of vector-like quarks in leptonic final states in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, JHEP **07** (2023) 020, [arXiv:2209.07327].
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Search for pair-production of vector-like quarks in lepton+jets final states containing at least one b-tagged jet using the Run 2 data from the ATLAS experiment, Phys. Lett. B 854 (2024) 138743, [arXiv:2401.17165].
- [44] **CMS** Collaboration, A. Tumasyan et al., Search for a W' boson decaying to a vector-like quark and a top or bottom quark in the all-jets final state at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, JHEP **09** (2022) 088, [arXiv:2202.12988].
- [45] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., Search for a heavy resonance decaying to a top quark and a vector-like top quark at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, JHEP **09** (2017) 053, [arXiv:1703.06352].
- [46] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., Search for a heavy resonance decaying to a top quark and a vector-like top quark in the lepton+jets final state in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019), no. 3 208, [arXiv:1812.06489].
- [47] **CMS** Collaboration, Model-agnostic search for dijet resonances with anomalous jet substructure in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, .
- [48] **ATLAS** Collaboration, Prospects for searches for heavy Z' and W' bosons in fermionic final states with the ATLAS experiment at the HL-LHC, .