

A Symbol-Pair Decoder for CSS Codes

Vatsal Pramod Jha¹, Udaya Parampalli^{2*}, Abhay Kumar Singh³

¹Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, Street, West Lafayette, 47906, Indiana, United States.

²School of Computing and Information Systems, The University of Melbourne, Street, Parkville, 3010, Victoria, Australia.

³Department of Mathematics and Computing, IIT(ISM) Dhanbad, Street, Dhanbad, 826004, Jharkhand, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): udaya@unimelb.edu.au;
Contributing authors: jha36@purdue.edu; abhay@iitism.ac.in;

Abstract

The relation between stabilizer codes and binary codes provided by Gottesman and Calderbank et al. is a celebrated result, as it allows the lifting of classical codes to quantum codes. An equivalent way to state this result is that the work allows us to lift decoders for classical codes over the Hamming metric to decoders for stabilizer quantum codes. A natural question to consider: Can we do something similar with decoders for classical codes considered over other metrics? i.e., Can we lift decoders for classical codes over other metrics to obtain decoders for stabilizer quantum codes? In our current work, we answer this question in the affirmative by considering classical codes over the symbol-pair metric. In particular, we present a relation between the symplectic weight and the symbol-pair weight and use it to improve the error correction capability of CSS-codes (a well-studied class of stabilizer codes) obtained from cyclic codes.

Keywords: Quantum Error Correction, Stabilizer Codes, Decoding, Symbol-Pair distance, CSS codes

1 Introduction

Performing reliable quantum computation requires efficient quantum error correcting codes. The last two decades have seen significant theoretical advancements in this area [6], [1], and [3]. The stabilizer formalism introduced in [1] and [3] provided the

theoretical explanation for the existence of CSS codes (see[2], [6]), the Shor's 9-qubit code etc. Specifically, the aforementioned work converted the problem of obtaining stabilizer codes of length n to that of obtaining self-orthogonal binary codes of length $2n$ with respect to the symplectic inner product and symplectic weight. We recall that the symplectic weight of a vector $(a|b) := ((a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})|(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})) \in (\mathbb{F}_2^n)^2$ is defined as:

$$\text{wt}_{\text{symp}}(a|b) := |\{1 \leq i \leq n : (a_i, b_i) \neq (0, 0)\}|.$$

In this paper, we show that the error correctability of CSS codes obtained from classical cyclic codes can be improved by lifting the syndrome decoder for codes over the symbol-pair metric that was mentioned in [13].

The symbol-pair metric was introduced in [5] to abstract the error correction in high-density storage systems with the symbol-pair weight of a vector $x := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ being defined as:

$$\text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x) := |\{i : (x_i, x_{i+1}) \neq (0, 0)\}|,$$

where $i + 1$ is calculated modulo n .

An important reason to consider the symbol-pair metric is that it provides a natural way to treat a length n vector as a length $2n$ vector and also bears an inherent relationship with the symplectic weight which we mention below.

In our previous work [7], we establish the following relation between the symplectic weight and symbol-pair weight and use it to construct stabilizer codes from binary codes. For a vector $c := (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we have:

$$\text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(c) = \text{wt}_{\text{symp}}(c|L(c)), \tag{1}$$

where $L(c) := (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_0)$ is the cyclic left-shift operator on \mathbb{F}_2^n .

The above relation clearly serves as a strong motivation to consider codes over the symbol-pair metric and see its relation to stabilizer codes. Furthermore, the fact that for a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}$:

$$\text{wt}_{\text{H}}(x) + 1 \leq \text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x) \leq 2\text{wt}_{\text{H}}(x),$$

where $\text{wt}_{\text{H}}(x) := \{i : x_i \neq 0\}$ is the Hamming weight of a vector $x := (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$, raises the possibility of improving the error-correction capability of stabilizer codes.

We would like to mention that in [4] the space $(\mathbb{F}_q^n)^2$ has been identified with $(\mathbb{F}_q^2)^n$, which is similar to the symbol-pair abstraction but the paper [4] does not use any result specific to the symbol-pair metric nor does it make any related claims in this regard.

We first present preliminaries on Quantum error correction and a relation between the Symbol-Pair metric and the Hamming metric. Then using this relation, we present syndrome decoding of codes over symbol pair metric. Using these results, we propose a new decoder for CSS codes by using syndrome decoding for codes over the symbol-pair metric. We claim that our decoder improves the error correctability of CSS codes using the syndrome decoder for codes over the symbol-pair metric.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we present the necessary background for Quantum error correction codes, Symbol pair metric and syndrome decoding of Symbol pair codes. In Section III, we present our novel Symbol-pair metric Quantum decoding algorithm. In Section IV we present our conclusions.

2 Background

2.1 Quantum Error Correction

It is known that a qu(antum)bit is modelled as a two dimensional complex vector space \mathbb{C}^2 with $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ as an orthonormal basis i.e. every state $|\psi\rangle$ of a qubit can be written as $|\psi\rangle = \lambda_1 |0\rangle + \lambda_2 |1\rangle$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1$. The state space of n -qubits is represented by the space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and has $\{|x\rangle : x \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$ as its orthonormal basis.

The Pauli Group over n qubits P_n is the set:

$$P_n := \{i^\lambda w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n | \lambda \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} w_j \in \{I, X, Y, Z\}\},$$

equipped with the product operation of operators. Here I, X, Y, Z represent the familiar Pauli gates.

The problem of finding stabilizer codes is essentially finding Abelian subgroups of P_n (see [3]) for more details. To elaborate, the code stabilized by an Abelian subgroup S of P_n defined as:

$$C(S) := \{|\psi\rangle : g|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \forall g \in S\}.$$

The above characterization does not provide an efficient way to construct or search for stabilizer codes with good parameters. Now, to circumvent the aforementioned problem it was shown in [3] that the problem of finding Abelian subgroups in P_n is equivalent to the problem of finding self-orthogonal codes in $(\mathbb{F}_2^n)^2$ where the orthogonality is defined with respect to the symplectic inner product i.e.

$$\langle (a|b), (c|d) \rangle_{\text{symp}} = \langle a, d \rangle_{\text{Euc}} - \langle b, c \rangle_{\text{Euc}},$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{Euc}}$ is the Euclidean inner product over \mathbb{F}_2^n .

The entire idea of finding stabilizer codes using codes over the symplectic inner product is captured by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a $n-k$ dimensional self-orthogonal subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_2^n)^2$ considered with respect to the symplectic inner product. If*

$$\min_{x \in S^{\perp_{\text{symp}}} \setminus S} \text{wt}_{\text{symp}}(x) \geq d,$$

where $S^{\perp_{\text{symp}}}$ is the symplectic dual of S , then there exists a stabilizer code with parameters $[[n, k, \geq d]]$.

Proof. Refer to [3]. □

Now, an easier way of characterizing a $[[n, k]]$ stabilizer code by a parity check matrix of the form:

$$[H_X | H_Z],$$

where H_X and H_Z are binary matrices of dimension $(n-k) \times n$ s.t. $H_X H_Z^T = 0$. In this paper, we focus on a specific kind of stabilizer codes known as CSS codes (see [2],[6]). The parity check matrix for a CSS code derived from codes C_1, C_2 with $C_2 \subseteq C_1$ appears in the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{C_1} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{C_2^\perp} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that,

$$H_{C_1} \cdot H_{C_2^\perp}^T = 0.$$

The interesting thing about CSS codes is that their error-correctability can be captured by the underlying classical codes C_1, C_2 . Formally, we put this in the following Theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let C_1, C_2 be binary linear codes. If $[n, k_1, d_H(C_1)]$ and $[n, n - k_2, d_H(C_2^{\perp-Euc})]$ are the parameters of the linear codes C_1 and $C_2^{\perp-Euc}$ then the CSS code obtained from C_1 and C_2 has parameters $[[n, n + k_2 - k_1, \geq \min\{d_H(C_1), d_H(C_2^{\perp-Euc})\}]]$*

In the following section, we review some definitions related to the symbol-pair metric.

2.2 Symbol-Pair metric

In high density data storage systems where the read head has a lower resolution than the write head, the read head is not able to distinguish between the adjacent symbols and hence reads adjacent symbols in every read operation.

Mathematically, we can say that if the original codeword written by the high-resolution read head was $c := (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$ then the low-resolution read head will read it as

$$\pi(c) := ((c_0, c_1), (c_1, c_2), \dots, (c_{n-1}, c_0)).$$

The vector $\pi(c)$ is referred to as the symbol-pair read vector of c . Now, it might happen that while performing the read operation some of the pairs of symbols might be read in error.

In order to correct against the symbol-pair errors the notion of symbol-pair weight was introduced in [5], which for a vector $x := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is defined as:

$$\text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x) := |\{0 \leq i \leq n-1 : (x_i, x_{i+1}) \neq (0, 0)\}|.$$

Now, consider the metrics $d_H(x, y) := \text{wt}_H(x - y)$, $d_{\text{sp}}(x, y) := \text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x - y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ defined by the two weight functions. For brevity, the functions wt_H , wt_{sp} will interchangeably be used to denote both the weight functions and the corresponding metrics as well. Moreover, the Hamming distance and symbol-pair distance of a linear code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(C) &:= \min\{\text{wt}_H(x) : x \in C, x \neq 0\} \\ d_{\text{sp}}(C) &:= \min\{\text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x) : x \in C, x \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two metrics wt_H and wt_{sp} bear an interesting relation with one another and is stated in the following lemma:

Lemma 1. (cf. [5]) For binary vectors $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with $0 < \text{wt}_H(x) < n$ the following relations hold

$$\text{wt}_H(x) + 1 \leq \text{wt}_{\text{sp}}(x) \leq 2\text{wt}_H(x)$$

and,

$$d_H(x) + 1 \leq d_{\text{sp}}(x) \leq 2d_H(x).$$

The reason to consider wt_{sp} rather than wt_H is essentially captured by the above inequality.

There have been several interesting results in terms of constructions and bounds pertaining to the symbol-pair metric like [8], [9], [10] etc.

2.2.1 Syndrome decoding for codes over the Symbol-Pair Metric

In [13], a syndrome decoding algorithm for codes over the symbol-pair metric was presented. The idea was based on “identifying” a symbol-pair error using a pair of syndromes. We recall the syndrome decoding algorithm given in [13] in the current subsection. Let

$$H := \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ h_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

be the parity-check matrix for a given classical code C with parameters $[n, k, d_p]$. The symbol-pair parity check matrix for H , $\pi(H)$, is defined as:

$$\pi(H) := \begin{bmatrix} \pi(h_1) \\ \pi(h_2) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \pi(h_{n-k}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The symbol-pair syndrome corresponding to a vector $u := ((\langle u_0, \triangleright u_0 \rangle), \dots, (\langle u_{n-1}, \triangleright u_{n-1} \rangle))$ is defined as:

$$s^p := u\pi(H)^T,$$

where the inner product between vectors

$u := ((\langle u_0, \triangleright u_0 \rangle), (\langle u_2, \triangleright u_2 \rangle), \dots, (\langle u_{n-1}, \triangleright u_{n-1} \rangle))$ and

$v := ((\langle v_0, \triangleright v_0 \rangle), \dots, (\langle v_{n-1}, \triangleright v_{n-1} \rangle))$ defined as:

$$u.v := ((\langle u_0 \triangleleft v_0, \triangleright u_0 \triangleright v_0 \rangle), \dots, (\langle u_{n-1} \triangleleft v_{n-1}, \triangleright u_{n-1} \triangleright v_{n-1} \rangle)).$$

Another syndrome which can be defined for a vector $u := ((\langle u_0, \triangleright u_0 \rangle), \dots, (\langle u_{n-1}, \triangleright u_{n-1} \rangle))$ is the neighbour-symbol syndrome defined as:

$$s^n := (\langle u_0 + \triangleright u_{n-1}, \langle u_1 + \triangleright u_0, \dots, \langle u_{n-1} + \triangleright u_{n-2} \rangle).$$

Using the symbol-pair syndrome and nearest neighbour syndrome, the symbol-pair errors e with weight $wt_p(e) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_p-1}{2} \rfloor$ can be corrected as given by the following theorem mentioned in [13].

Theorem 3. *For a binary code C which can correct $\leq t_p$ errors, the pair of symbol-pair syndrome and neighbour pair syndrome (s^p, s^n) is unique for each error vector e with $wt_p(e) \leq t_p$.*

In the following section, we will improve the error-correctability for a particular class of CSS codes.

3 Quantum Decoding Algorithm

3.1 Decoding CSS codes using symbol-pair syndrome decoder

As already mentioned, the parity check matrix for CSS codes derived from codes C_1, C_2 with $C_2 \subseteq C_1$ looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{C_1} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{C_2^\perp} \end{bmatrix}$$

s.t.

$$H_{C_1} \cdot H_{C_2^\perp}^T = 0$$

For simplicity, we will consider codes s.t. $C_2^\perp = C_1$ and $C_1^{\perp Euc} \subseteq C_1$.

We improve the error correctability of CSS codes in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *For a binary cyclic code C of length n with $C^{\perp Euc} \subseteq C$, the CSS code defined by $C, C^{\perp Euc}$ can correct every error $e = (a|b) \in (\mathbb{F}_2^n)^2$ with $wt_{symp}(a|b) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_p-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $wt_H(b + R(a)) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_H-1}{2} \rfloor$, where $d_H := d_H(C)$ and $d_p := d_p(C)$.*

Proof. As already mentioned above, the parity check matrix for CSS code derived from C, C^\perp will be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_C & 0 \\ 0 & H_C \end{bmatrix},$$

where H_C is generated by the codeword $c \in C^{\perp Euc}$ and its cyclic shifts. Let the rows of H_C are denoted by c_1, \dots, c_{n-k} , where $k = \dim(C)$, dimensional of code C .

Now, consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_C & L(H_C) \\ 0 & H_C \end{bmatrix},$$

where $L(H_C)$ is nothing but the matrix obtained by shifting rows of H_C to the left. Interestingly, due to the fact that C is cyclic, the above matrix also happens to be

a parity check matrix for the CSS code generated by $C, C^{\perp_{Euc}}$. This is because the latter matrix can be obtained from the original parity-check matrix by a sequence of elementary row operations. As the null-space i.e. the vectors that get mapped to the zero vector by a linear map, remain unchanged under elementary row-operations, hence the new matrix also serves as a parity-check matrix for the CSS codes obtained from a cyclic code C .

Now, consider an error $(a|b)$ with $wt_{symp}(a|b) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_p-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $wt_H(b+R(a)) \leq d_H/2$.

Let the syndrome corresponding to $e_{flip} = (b|a)$ be denoted by $s := (s_1, \dots, s_{2(n-k)})$. This implies,

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_C & L(H_C) \\ 0 & H_C \end{bmatrix} . e_{flip}^T = s^T,$$

Now consider the matrix multiplication corresponding to the submatrix:

$$[H_C \ L(H_C)] (e_{flip})^T = (s')^T. \quad (2)$$

For every row $((c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}), (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_0))$ in the transformed parity-check matrix, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &< (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_0), (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) > \\ &= c_0(b_0 + a_{n-1}) + c_1(b_1 + a_0) + \dots + c_{n-1}(b_{n-1} + a_{n-2}) \\ &= c.(b + R(a)) = s'_i \end{aligned}$$

As $wt_H(b + R(a)) \leq d_H/2$, hence the maximum likelihood decoding gives us $b + R(a)$.

Now, consider the matrix multiplication corresponding to the submatrix:

$$[0 \ H_C] . e_{flip}^T = s''$$

From this, we basically obtain:

$$H_C . a = s'' \quad (3)$$

As $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle R(x), R(y) \rangle$ for $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, hence we have

$$R(H_C) . R(a) = s''.$$

Using the fact C is a cyclic code and equations 2 and 3 along with Gaussian elimination on the rows, we can obtain the symbol-pair syndrome and the neighbour-symbol syndrome corresponding to $(b|a)$ w.r.t the code C . Hence by Theorem 3 we get our result. □

The above result can easily be extended to the binary cyclic codes C_1, C_2 with $C_1^\perp \subseteq C_2 \subseteq C_1$. The error-correctability of the CSS codes then would be $\geq d_p(C_1)/2$.

We will now show that our decoding scheme indeed substantially improves upon the known decoding scheme. We first show that every error $(x|z)$ with $wt_{symp}(x|z) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_H(C)-1}{2} \rfloor$ satisfies the conditions mentioned in Theorem 3.

To show this, we will need the following well-known theorem for quantum error-correction:

Theorem 5. (folklore) *Consider a quantum error-correcting code C on n -qubits. If C can correct bit-flip and phase-flip errors on $\leq t$ qubits, then it can correct arbitrary errors on $\leq t$ qubits, i.e. C can correct errors $X(a)Z(b)$ where $wt_{symp}(a|b) \leq t$.*

Now, consider a bit flip error $(x|0)$ with $wt_{symp}(x|0) = wt_H(x) \leq d_H(C)/2$. As $d_H(C) \leq d_p(C)$ hence, $wt_{symp}(x|0) \leq d_p(C)/2$. Also, $wt_H(0 + R(x)) = wt_H(x) \leq d_H(C)/2$. Hence, every bit flip error $(x|0)$ on the first n -qubits with $wt_H(x) \leq d_H(C)/2$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3. A similar observation holds for phase flip errors of the form $(0|z)$ with $wt_H(z) \leq d_H(C)/2$.

Hence, by Theorem 5, every bit flip, and phase flip error acting on $\leq d_H(C)/2$ qubits can be corrected by our proposed decoding scheme. Thus, every error on $\leq d_H(C)/2$ qubits can be corrected by our proposed decoding scheme.

To show that our decoding scheme makes a substantial improvement, we invoke the following result concerning the symbol-pair distance of cyclic codes.

Theorem 6. ([8]) *For every linear and cyclic code C , we have:*

$$d_p(C) \geq \frac{3d_H(C)}{2}.$$

As an illustration for the claimed improvement in error correctability of CSS codes, consider the binary cyclic code C generated by $g(x) := 1 + x + x^3 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x] / \langle x^7 - 1 \rangle$. The generator polynomial for its Euclidean dual is $h(x) := 1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 = g(x)(1+x)$. Hence $C^\perp \subseteq C$. The parameters of C with respect to the Hamming metric is $[7, 4, 3]$ while with respect to the symbol-pair metric it is $[7, 4, 5]$. Now, consider the error $(x|y) = (1100000|0100000)$. What we can observe is that $wt_{symp}(x|y) = 2 \leq \frac{d_p(C)-1}{2}$ and $wt_H(R(x) + y) = 1 \leq d_H(C)/2$, hence by Theorem 4 the error $(x|y)$ can be corrected by our proposed decoding scheme but could not have been corrected by the previous decoding scheme for CSS codes as $wt_{symp}(x|y) = 2 > d_H(C)/2 = 1$.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we showed a possible connection between the symbol-pair metric and symplectic weight. This observation helped us in devising a new error correction scheme for CSS codes obtained from cyclic codes C satisfying the dual-containing property i.e. $C^{\perp_{Euc}} \subseteq C$. The new error correction scheme proposed in Theorem 4 was derived from the syndrome decoding of codes over the symbol-pair metric while the improvisation was established by using Theorem 6 from [8]. What will be interesting to see is can we generalise Theorem 4 to more general errors or more general codes as

compared to cyclic codes? Also, is it possible to extend our decoding scheme for CSS codes to a bigger family of stabilizer codes?

References

- [1] D. Gottesman, "Stabilizer codes and quantum error-correction," Ph.D. dissertation, Caltech, 1997.
- [2] A.M. Steane, "Error correcting codes in quantum theory," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 77 no. 5, p.793, 1996.
- [3] A.R. Calderbank, E.M. Rains, P.W. Shor and N.J. Sloane, "Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no.4, pp. 1369-1387, 1998.
- [4] M. Grassl, "Algebraic quantum codes: linking quantum mechanics and discrete mathematics," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics: Computer Systems Theory*, pp.1-17, 2020.
- [5] Y.Cassuto and M.Blaum, "Codes for symbol-pair read channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 8011-8020, 2011.
- [6] A.R. Calderbank and P.W. Shor, "Good quantum error-correcting codes exist," *Physical Review A*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 1098-1106, 1996.
- [7] V. Pramod Jha, U. Parampalli and A. K. Singh, "Stabilizer codes and Symbol-Pair Metric are Related," 2022 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Espoo, Finland, 2022, pp. 2969-2973, doi: 10.1109/ISIT50566.2022.9834877.
- [8] E. Yaakobi, J. Bruck, and P.H. Siegel, "Decoding of cyclic codes over symbol-pair read channels," *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings*, pp. 2891-2895, 2012.
- [9] O. Elishco, R. Gabrys, and E. Yaakobi, "Bounds and constructions of codes over symbol-pair read channels", *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp.1385-1395, 2019.
- [10] Y.M. Chee, L. Ji, H.M. Kiah, C. Wang and J. Yin, "Maximum distance separable codes for symbol-pair read channels," *IEEE transactions on information theory*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp.7259-7267, 2013.
- [11] A.M. Steane, "Enlargement of calderbank-shor-steane quantum codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp.2492-2495, 1999.
- [12] G. Cohen, S. Encheva and S. Litsyn, "On binary constructions of quantum codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp.2495-2498, 1999.

- [13] M. Hiroto, M. Takita and M. Morii, "Syndrome decoding of symbol-pair codes," In 2014 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW 2014), pp. 162-166, IEEE.



