

---

# RESEARCH EVOLUTION OF METAL ORGANIC FRAMEWORKS: A SCIENTOMETRIC APPROACH WITH HUMAN-IN-THE-LOOP

---

A PREPRINT

**Xintong Zhao**

Metadata Research Center  
Drexel University  
Philadelphia, PA, 19104  
xintong.zhao@drexel.edu

**Kyle Langlois**

Department of Chemistry  
University of Central Florida  
Orlando, FL, 32816

**Jacob Furst**

Department of Chemistry  
University of Central Florida  
Orlando, FL, 32816

**Yuan An**

Metadata Research Center  
Drexel University  
Philadelphia, PA, 19104

**Xiaohua Hu**

Metadata Research Center  
Drexel University  
Philadelphia, PA, 19104

**Diego Gomez Gualdron**

Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering  
Colorado School of Mines  
Golden, CO, 80401

**Fernando Uribe-Romo**

Department of Chemistry  
University of Central Florida  
Orlando, FL, 32816

**Jane Greenberg**

Metadata Research Center  
Drexel University  
Philadelphia, PA, 19104

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose** This research presents a scientometric analysis bolstered by human-in-the-loop, domain experts, to examine the field of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) research. Scientometric analyses reveal the intellectual landscape of a field. The study engaged MOF scientists in the design and review of our research workflow. MOF materials are an essential component in next-generation renewable energy storage and biomedical technologies. The research approach demonstrates how engaging experts, via human-in-the-loop processes, can help develop a comprehensive view of a field's research trends, influential works, and specialized topics.

**Design/Methodology/Approach** A scientometric analysis was conducted, integrating natural language processing (NLP), topic modeling, and network analysis methods. The analytical approach was enhanced through a human-in-the-loop iterative process involving MOF research scientists at selected intervals. MOF researcher feedback was incorporated into our method. The data sample included 65209 MOF research articles. Python3 and software tool VOSviewer were used to perform the analysis.

**Findings** The findings demonstrate the value of including domain experts in research workflows, refinement, and interpretation of results. At each stage of the analysis, the MOF researchers contributed to interpreting the results and method refinements targeting our focus on MOF research. This study identified influential works and their themes. Our findings also underscore four main MOF research directions and applications.

**Research Limitations** This study is limited by the sample (articles identified and referenced by the Cambridge Structural Database) that informed our analysis.

**Practical Implications** Our findings contribute to addressing the current gap in fully mapping out the comprehensive landscape of MOF research. Additionally, the results will help domain scientists target future research directions.

**Originality/Value** To the best of our knowledge, the number of publications collected for analysis exceeds those of previous studies. This enabled us to explore a more extensive body of MOF research

compared to previous studies. Another contribution of our work is the iterative engagement of domain scientists, who brought in-depth, expert interpretation to the data analysis, helping hone the study.

**Keywords** Scientometrics · Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) · Human-in-the-loop · Network Analysis · Topic Modeling

## 1 Introduction

Life sciences and environmental studies have impacted nearly every sectors of society, with many studies having long-term implications. Recent advancements in environmental science, biomedicine, and computing techniques have motivated an evolving cross-disciplinary field known as "metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)" has garnered significant interest. Due to their unique material characteristics, MOFs materials are often considered as a platform for various next-generation applications, such as renewable energy storage, carbon capture, drug delivery and disease diagnosis, among other applications Wang et al. [2016], Cavka et al. [2008], Cote et al. [2005].

An emerging field, MOFs research developed by integrating a range of synthesis disciplines Kinoshita et al. [1959], Ginsberg [1990], Moulton and Zaworotko [2001] into what now known as Reticular Chemistry Yaghi et al. [2019]. Since the discovery of the first MOF discovery in 1999 Li et al. [1999], research on MOF materials has had a continuous upward trend. Moreover, MOFs research has rapidly expanded into an array of real-life applications.

The wide-spread increase in MOF publications reveal a diversity of scientific approaches and research foci. As a result, researchers faces in manually assessing the vast amount of information associated with this growing discipline. Researchers seek a concise approach to comprehend and engage in this field of study. This is particularly true for new researchers, who need to understand the historical and developing research landscape.

Scientometrics, the "quantitative study of science, communication in science, and science policy" Sengupta [1992], Vinker [1991], Hess [1997], hold a distinct advantage in tackling the mentioned challenge and researchers' needs. The ability to analyze large amounts of scholarly data, identify research trends and latent connections between disparate research topics Swanson [1986], Sebastian et al. [2017] positions scientometric methods as a possible solution. Due to its effectiveness, numerous scientometric analyses were previously conducted in economics and business studies Castillo-Vergara et al. [2018], Merigó and Yang [2017], Bonilla et al. [2015], Ye et al. [2020], social studies De Bakker et al. [2005], Guo et al. [2019], and especially biomedical studies Guo et al. [2020], Krishnamoorthy et al. [2009]: for example, Song et al. Jeong et al. [2020] conducted a literature-based network analysis to examine the drugs and side effects across nearly 170000 publications; Pichika et al. Mak et al. [2022] explored current landscape of AI-driven drug candidates with perspectives for future development.

Scientometric analysis has been pursued in various material science areas Ho [2014], Lan et al. [2022], Dong et al. [2012], including MOF research. For example, Naseer et al. Naseer et al. [2022] collected 1187 publications and applied counting-based approaches in bibliometric analysis to explore the research trends and intellectual structure in the direction of wastewater decontamination. Ye and Yang Ye and Yang [2022] collected 2353 publications and analyzed MOFs publications discussing the use of MOFs in electrochemistry. Shidiq Shidiq [2023] analyzed 1000 publications in the subarea of nano metal-organic frameworks in medical science to discover occurring phenomena and research themes. And another example is found with Wang and Ho Wang and Ho [2016], who explored the landscape of MOFs in general by extracting terms from title, author index and abstract of collected articles then manually performed the analysis on Microsoft Excel spreadsheet.

These previous investigations offer important insight into the MOF field, although they have several limitations: 1) The majority of the research focuses on a narrow topic of MOF research, so the complete landscape of MOF studies remains unclear; 2) Several of the previously performed analysis are based on small samples and stand as preliminary work, 3) A number of the analyses are conducted manually, relying solely on either human expertise or data perspectives.

Compared to previous related research, our investigation aims to provide a more in-depth analysis and comprehensive view of the MOF community. To meet this research aim, we developed a significantly larger corpus of publication data: our publication data, including citation, article metadata and text, are drawn from 65209 articles, which is more than ten-folds larger than previous studies. We also pursued additional analysis to reveal yet to be explored specific research groups and their topics by applying network analysis and natural language processing techniques. Furthermore, a notable contribution of this study is that we integrated points of view from both data and human experts. Our method addresses a limitation in scientometric analysis Lund [2021]. Many previous studies are either purely based on data or human expertise, which can lead to a range of limitations. Without human expertise, valuable insights and links between different studies that are not apparent to data can be overlooked Zanzotto [2019], and the latter can be limited by relying on human expertise alone (especially when the domain is multidisciplinary). Hence, we designed our data analysis workflow with human in the loop to deliver a comprehensive landscape of MOFs research: we first conducted

data-driven exploratory analysis using scientometric methods, then refined the data analysis by iterative engagement with domain expert scientists.

The four research objectives are to:

1. Identify the most influential research works, journals as well as their latent connections in the area of metal-organic framework materials,
2. Determine major research directions in MOF area, as well as their trends over time,
3. Reveal specific communities that exist in the research network, and
4. Recognize their detailed research topics.

In addition to the above research objectives above, we explored the following question: *Is the most cited study always the most impactful study?* The paper sections that follow present our methods, findings, and discusses the research implications.

## 2 Method

To answer the research questions outlined in the Introduction, we designed our expert knowledge guided scientometric analysis for discovering the landscape of MOF community in three main steps: 1) data collection and processing, 2) bibliometric and network analysis, 3) specific groups detection and topic modeling. Our domain collaborators, who are scientists in the field of metal-organic frameworks, were involved in each phase of the analysis as illustrated in figure 1.




Figure 1: Overall Workflow of the Research Design

First the target articles for data collection were validated by multiple scientists. Second, we confirmed that the scientometric research objective met the domain researchers’ needs. Third, we pursued the initial scientometric data analysis. Finally, the initial results were assessed and refined by domain experts in two ways: the experts enhanced the initial results by adding insights and latent connections that are not apparent in the data, and provided suggestions to remove analysis components that are not helpful to domain researchers. Specifically, the list of organizations conducting different research topics was removed from the analysis, since researchers’ affiliations may change.

### 2.1 Data Collection and Processing

Our data collection and processing process consists of two steps. First, we gather raw data related to MOF scientific publications. This data in raw form may consist of information about citation dependency, abstract and metadata from CrossRef (<https://www.crossref.org>) and Scopus (<https://www.scopus.com/home.uri>) indexing systems. Secondly, we parse this raw data to a structured format. From this structured database, we then construct a research graph network for MOFs.

The data collection process was initiated by gathering MOF crystal structures included in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) Groom et al. [2016], which is a database validated by materials scientists containing a wide range of




Figure 2: Overall Workflow of Data Collection and Processing

crystal material structures. Our search strictly focused on the MOFCSD database Moghadam et al. [2017], which is a subset of the CSD that contain al “true MOFs.” Materials from related field (e.g., 1-d coordination polymers) are not included. We used Digital Object Identifier (DOI) to identify publications associated with each collected MOF structure. Among 10,636 crystal structures in the database, 5,683 unique scientific articles along with their DOI were identified. For each identified publication, we also retrieved DOIs of papers in the reference list (in JSON - a structured text format) using CrossRef API. In total, we found 65209 unique articles. After gathering a complete list of DOIs for articles above, we used these DOI to retrieve and download the metadata (e.g., title, journal, publication year, keyword, authors, affiliation) along with the abstract of each identified MOF article from Scopus in XML format. After parsing XML files collected from Scopus, we found 112,115 unique authors, 9,018 unique affiliations and 60,652 unique indexed keywords closely related to the metal-organic framework studies. We linked the above metadata to their corresponding articles. An overall workflow of this data collection process is illustrated in Figure 2.

## 2.2 MOF Research Network Analysis

We analyzed MOF research networks from different angles: 1) impactful research entities, 2) change in topics over time and 3) different research communities in the MOF area. The network analysis is performed by Python3 library NetworkxHagberg et al. [2008] and software tool VOSviewerVan Eck and Waltman [2010].

### 2.2.1 Most Impactful Entities in the Field

In this study, impactful publications include works that are significantly cited by other studies in the same research field. We constructed the MOF research network using Networkx as a directed graph, where each node represents one research publication, edges represent citation dependency. To discover entities (e.g., articles, journals) that produced impactful research output, we used degree centrality scores to quantify the article impact. In Networkx, the degree centrality of a node  $V$  is defined as the number of nodes it connects to (both in and out), then normalized by dividing the maximum possible degree of the network Hagberg et al. [2008].

Based on this definition, a high degree centrality score of a publication indicates a significant connection to other research works. We computed the centrality score for every node in our MOF graph network and sorted scores in descending order. We also list the corresponding number of references of nodes as a comparison.

### 2.2.2 Specific Community Detection

Communities, also called clusters in a graph network, consist of a set of nodes with similar features. The community detection (“clustering”) algorithm used in this paper is developed from a modularity-based algorithmClauaset et al.

[2004] and is implemented by the software VOSviewer Van Eck and Waltman [2010], Clauset et al. [2004], Waltman et al. [2010].

To find out specific research groups in the field of MOFs, we conducted network co-citation analysis, which determines closeness of two publications by the number of times that a third publication cites them together.

### 2.3 Topic Trends and Modeling

As part of our analysis, we pursued text analysis, enhanced by domain experts, to detect clusters representing (1) research topic trends over time and (2) detailed research topics in specific research directions. The former part of analysis is done based on the occurrence of indexed terms and implemented by VOSviewer; terms, both unigram terms and n-gram terms are taken into account. The latter part of analysis is conducted using a noise-reducing topic modeling algorithm Churchill and Singh [2021] developed based on Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA). For each detected specific community, we gathered abstracts of papers belonging to this community, then applied the topic modeling algorithm on the set of abstracts. Candidate topics generated by the algorithm are analyzed by both data and domain experts.

## 3 Results and Findings

As an initial data exploration, we plotted the publication distribution by year and the citation-rank distribution in Figure 3, to see the publication and citation pattern.




Figure 3: Publication Data Exploration in Metal-Organic Framework Area

The publication distribution resembles a Zipf distribution function Egghe [2005], Perc [2010] with a maximum number of publications peaking in 2013 (near 7k publications) Since approximately 2000, the number of MOF publications started to grow exponentially, with a significant amount of research works being published—75% of articles between 2005 to 2020. Pre-2000 publications refer mostly to “coordination polymers.” The term “MOF” emerged in 1999, and refers to those coordination polymers that retain a permanently open porous architecture even after guest/solvent removal Li et al. [1999]. Among these publications, their citation-rank distribution seems to be extremely skewed to the right (Fig 2, inset)—this indicates that only a small percentage of publications receive most citations, whereas many publications remain less cited, consistent with a Pareto distribution. The next questions are: what are the characteristics of these highly cited papers? Are these the most influential in the domain science?

### 3.1 Most Impactful Entities

To identify influential MOF research work, we computed the centrality value of each node inside the constructed research network (each node represents one scientific publication in MOFs area); we sorted the graph nodes based on the centrality value in descending order. The top 20 most influential publications are listed in Table 1 for a quick glance.

By analyzing the top 20 most influential publications above, we find that these research works can be broken down into three categories: (1) technical software programs (crystallography), (2) original synthesis experiments and (3) research reviews, which focuses on general applications and concepts. Technical software papers included those in which

Table 1: Top 20 Most Influential Publications based on Degree Centrality

Publication Title	Journal Name	Year	Type	Referenced By	Centrality
Single-crystal structure validation with the program PLATON Spek [2003]	Journal of Applied Crystallography	2003	Article	16311	0.0158
Functional porous coordination polymers Kitagawa et al. [2004]	Angewandte Chemie - International Ed.	2004	Review	9724	0.0142
A short history of SHELX Sheldrick [2008]	Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography	2008	Review	80558	0.0123
Reticular synthesis and the design of new materials Yaghi et al. [2003]	Nature	2003	Review	8012	0.0107
Systematic design of pore size and functionality in isorecticular MOFs and their application in methane storage Eddaoudi et al. [2002]	Science	2002	Article	6717	0.0104
Luminescent functional metal-organic frameworks Cui et al. [2012]	Chemical Reviews	2012	Review	4960	0.0102
Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks Li et al. [2009]	Chemical Society Reviews	2009	Article	6936	0.0102
Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks Sumida et al. [2012]	Chemical Reviews	2012	Article	5090	0.0092
Metal-organic frameworks for separations Li et al. [2012]	Chemical Reviews	2012	Review	5284	0.0089
Luminescent metal-organic frameworks Allendorf et al. [2009]	Chemical Society Reviews	2009	Article	4407	0.0086
Metal-organic framework materials as catalysts Lee et al. [2009]	Chemical Society Reviews	2009	Article	6826	0.0083
Metal-organic framework materials as chemical sensors Kreno et al. [2012]	Chemical Reviews	2012	Review	5692	0.0082
Modular chemistry: Secondary building units as a basis for the design of highly porous and robust metal-organic carboxylate frameworks Eddaoudi et al. [2001]	Accounts of Chemical Research	2001	Article	5007	0.0081
From molecules to crystal engineering: Supramolecular isomerism and polymorphism in network solids Moulton and Zaworotko [2001]	Chemical Reviews	2001	Review	6437	0.0079
Hybrid porous solids: Past, present, future Férey [2008]	Chemical Society Reviews	2008	Article	5139	0.0075
Interpenetrating Nets: Ordered, Periodic Entanglement Batten and Robson [1998]	Angew Chem Int Ed Engl	1998	Review	3859	0.007
Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks Murray et al. [2009]	Chemical Society Reviews	2009	Article	3954	0.007
The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks Furukawa et al. [2013]	Science	2013	Review	9023	0.0067
A homochiral metal-organic porous material for enantioselective separation and catalysis Seo et al. [2000]	Nature	2000	Article	3730	0.0065
Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework Li et al. [1999]	Nature	1999	Article	6486	0.0064

crystallography programs are cited. These papers are foundational in that crystal structure elucidation and validation are imperative to the any publication regarding MOFs. These included publications by Spek on single-crystal structure validation with the program PLATON and Sheldrick a short history of SHELIX. From our perspective Spek is cited more than Sheldrick because it is a historical review on SHELX, crystallographic program to solve structures, while PLATON is more impactful because it is used to validate CIF files (stands for Crystallographic Information File Hall et al. [1991], the data exchange standard file format used in materials research) before it can be accepted into the CSD (Cambridge Structural Database, as explained in early section).

It is important to note that Table 1 shows the reference count is not always aligned with the centrality value—for example and that the study conducted by Sheldrick is referenced significantly more than the study from Spek, but the latter study has higher centrality.

Original synthesis foundations include the preparation and discovery of new MOFs. This set includes the works by Li et al. [1999], Eddaoudi et al. [2002], and Seo et al. [2000]. The work by Li presented MOF-5 and introduces the importance of the polyoxometalate clusters in the formation of strong bonds, directionality, and the use of building blocks in forming targeted frameworks. This discovery led to the first demonstration of permanent micro porosity in MOFs and is considered the beginning of the field. Eddaoudi’s two papers introduce the isorecticular principle in the form of isorecticular expansion and functionalization, which represents the first example of chemical control over the topology of a framework. Eddaoudi also emphasizes the importance of the building blocks from a geometric perspective and the ability of the researcher to predict and thus design framework topologies. Seo work presents a homochiral MOF for enantioselective separation and catalysis which embodies the fundamentals laid forth in the topological design of Eddaoudi and solid state synthesis properties achieved by from the topology itself.

This paper is highly influential since not only does it provide the first structure property relationship paper in MOFs but also opens up the door to catalysis a property highly studied and valued property within chemistry. These four fundamental papers integrate the use of the SBUs and the isorecticular principle. This addresses the anomalies found in coordination polymers and zeolites; lack of permanent porosity in coordination polymers, lack of general functionalization in zeolites as well as the inability to predict crystal structures. This paradigm shift highlights the central theorem in MOFs the expansion of solid-state crystal engineering with encoded properties.

Reviews are broken down into either foundation (conceptual) or applications. The foundational review by Yaghi (2003) defines reticular chemistry as a logical approach “to the synthesis of robust materials with pre-designed building blocks, extended structures, and properties.” Here he proposes that MOFs can therefore be considered a subclass of crystal engineering. The review overviews the challenges from the pre-paradigm perspective by proposing two questions.

1. First, of the almost unlimited possible networks, which can be expected to form and how can they be synthesized?

- Second, with few exceptions, MOFs based on M-N linkages in which the vertex of the network is just a single atom have a tendency to form structures which collapse upon removal of 'guest' atoms from the pores, rendering the structure nonporous. How then can we ensure that our structures will be robust?

The first question answered by considering the geometries of the SBUs (secondary building units, key components in MOF structure) and linkers (connections between molecules, components in MOF structure) as well as the symmetry of the structure and the number of edges and vertices contained within the structure. This a fundamentally important concept called minimal transitivity principal and governs the default structures that are formed. The second question is discussed by over-viewing rigidity and directionality of the polycarboxylate SBUs, their adopted geometries, as well as the ability of the reactants to retain structure under synthesis conditions. An important aspect of the review addressed was pore size capacity in relation to Zeolites. Overall, Yaghi discusses complexity in terms of non-default structures and provides a conceptual approach to aid the designer in MOF synthesis.

The second most impactful conceptual review is written by Furukawa et al. [2013]. MOFs at this time had existed for over ten years and this review provided possible one of the second scientometric analysis of MOFs by reporting the number of MOF structures over time. The review also compared the progress in the synthesis of ultrahigh porosity of MOFs overtime. Post-synthetic modification strategies, multivariate approach, and topological design which has aided in the selectivity, complexity, and synergistic effects in MOFs were highlighted. The resulting applications from this design space such as gas storage, separation, catalysis, fuel cells, super capacitors, membrane, and thin film applications were over-viewed. Thus, marking the significance and evolution of the field to that date. Other foundational reviews include Kitagawa Kitagawa et al. [2004], Moulton Moulton and Zaworotko [2001], Férey Férey [2008], and Batten Batten and Robson [1998]; Batten's review is on coordination polymers, Kitagawa on MOF design containing the scientometric analysis of number of publications containing coordination polymer by year from 1990 to 2002, Moulton's on polymorphism with the distinction between crystal engineering versus crystal structure prediction, and Férey's distinction between SBUs in zeolites versus highly porous MOFs. These reviews provide the foundational understanding required to access various nets in MOFs. The reviews containing applications are Cui Cui et al. [2012] on Luminescent functional metal organic frameworks, Li's selective gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks Li et al. [2009], Lee Lee et al. [2009] metal organic framework materials as catalysts, Sumida Sumida et al. [2012] carbon dioxide capture in metal organic frameworks, Li Li et al. [2012] metal-organic frameworks for separations, Allendorf Allendorf et al. [2009] luminescent metal organic frameworks, Kreno Kreno et al. [2012] metal organic framework material as chemical sensors, and hydrogen storage in metal organic frameworks.



Figure 4: Journals Where Highly Impactful Research Were Published

The journals where impactful MOF studies are published are listed in Figure 4. We find that most impactful journals in MOFs are domain-specific (e.g., CrystEngComm, Crystal Growth and Design), instead of high-impact journals in general (e.g., Science, Nature).




Figure 5: Keywords Extracted from MOF Research Articles and Potential Communities

Table 2: Example Terms from the Above Four Main Research Communities

Properties	Biomedicine	Data Processing & Modeling	Synthesis Experiment
Catalyst	Cell	Data	Ligand
Uptake capacity	Cytotoxicity	Program	Hydrothermal condition
Chemical stability	Inhibitor	Processing	Single Crystal X-ray diffraction
Permanent porosity	Mutation	Software	Elemental analysis
Durability	Protein	Interface	Topology
Low cost	Treatment	Model	Solvothermal reaction

### 3.2 Topic Trend Over Time

We extracted research key terms from abstracts then constructed a keyword network. Figure 5 is an illustration of the constructed keyword network - each node represents one term, and its size represents its occurrence. Colored nodes are used to represent articles in the same community.

Based on the keyword network above, four main communities are detected. By analyzing terms inside each community, we found that the four detected communities point to four main MOF research topics: 1) MOF synthesis, 2) properties/applications of MOF materials, 3) use of MOF in biomedicine and 4) MOF data processing and modeling. A list of example terms in each community is provided in table 2.

We analyzed the publication year of extracted research key terms to understand research trends in the MOF field. As shown in Figure 6, each key term in the network is colored by its average publication year. The result suggests that MOF data modeling and using MOF materials in biomedicine have attracted researchers' attention since early years; more recently, their research trends seem to move to discover effective synthesis strategies of MOF materials, as well as the property and potential application of synthesized MOFs around the year of 2014.






Figure 6: Trend of Research Topics Over Time

### 3.3 Document Co-Citation Analysis and Topic Modeling for Research Communities

We used co-citation analysis to further explore specific groups in the MOF area, then used topic modeling techniques and indexed terms to unveil research interests of each specific group. To construct a co-citation network, we limited the minimum number of citations of a cited reference to 5 and kept the largest connected component. The resulting network is reported in Figure 7. Like the high-level topic trend analysis reported above, we detected 8 distinct specific groups in the network.

To take a closer look at specific research topics, we applied noise-reducing LDA-based topic modeling algorithms Churchill and Singh [2021] to identify topics at every specific group. Meanwhile, indexed terms in each article are also taken into account. We analyzed identified topics from each specific group. Finally, the result shows that there are at least eight specific MOF research directions. These eight specific interests can be summarized as follows: 1) drug delivery, 2) zeolitic imidazolate frameworks, 3) Carbon capture and storage, 4) Catalytic materials, 5) homochiral MOFs and asymmetric catalysis, 6) magnetic MOF materials, 7) self-assembly MOFs and 8) study on the electrical properties of MOFs.

## 4 Discussion

As an emerging type of material, Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are garnering significant interest from the scientific community, driven by their exceptional potential and unique material characteristics. Scientometric methods play a crucial role to not only better understand the current knowledge structure, but also advance the study of metal-organic frameworks. MOFs research, which intersects chemistry, materials science, medicine and engineering, produces a vast body of literature in different scientific domains. Scientometric analysis is uniquely positioned to handle this complexity, by using data-driven quantitative methods to dissect and comprehend the extensive volume of research.

While general methods supporting scientometric analysis, such as network analysis and NLP, can reveal important information, an exclusively data-driven approach lacks human expert feedback. Research shows that engaging domain experts provides greater insight and enriches the data analysis Zanzotto [2019], Wu et al. [2022], Xin et al. [2018]. A significant contribution of the research presented in this paper is the iterative engagement of the domain experts, specifically research scientists, who helped reveal a more comprehensive view of the MOF research intellectual




Figure 7: Potential specific Research Groups Recognized by Network Analysis

landscape. Human experts bring domain knowledge to the study allowing greater depth of analysis. In our analysis we integrated their knowledge about historical developments, current bottlenecks and future implications. In addition, given the complexity of scientific study such as MOFs, we found that some connections between disparate pieces of research could be overlooked by purely data-based analysis. Similarly, subtle aspects often lost when they are not immediately apparent in raw data. Domain experts involvement allowed us to mitigate the above limitations and also supported a more in-depth interpretation on top of data analysis result by evaluating the quality and significance of specific pieces of studies. They also provided insights on how different studies, even from various disciplines, could enrich each other. Finally, human scientists can suggest innovative methodologies as well as future research direction based on the initial data analysis result, including those that are not obvious from data alone.

## 5 Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrate the value of scientometric methods empowered by human expertise as a means for understanding the evolution of the field of MOFs. we incorporated both data and human aspects while discovering the big picture of MOFs research. We first conducted data-driven scientometric analysis, such as bibliometric, network and text analysis, then we enhanced the initial result by domain expertise from human researchers to deliver a well-presented and comprehensive intellectual landscape of metal-organic frameworks studies.

Specifically, by integrating the result of scientometric analysis and expertise from domain scientists, (1) we identified most impactful journal, research works along with their connections in the MOF area; (2) we determined the major research directions and their trending over time by clustering algorithm; (3) we discovered specific research groups and topics in the MOF research network.

Compared to previous studies, our work greatly improved the intellectual landscape of MOFs study in the following ways: to the best of our knowledge, (1) the number of publications we collected for our analysis exceeds the volume gathered in other studies by more than tenfold, which enabled us to understand detailed landscape; (2) we introduced network analysis and natural language processing techniques to obtain data-driven exploration result, and (3) we further enhanced the data exploration result by human expertise to identify nuances overlooked by data alone.

As a future step, we plan to conduct further analysis on specific research topics we detected in this paper. For example, we are interested in extracting information from scientific literature to understand potential connections between synthesis routes and resulted material characteristics.

## References

- Chenghong Wang, Xinlei Liu, Nilay Keser Demir, J Paul Chen, and Kang Li. Applications of water stable metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 45(18):5107–5134, 2016.
- Jasmina Hafizovic Cavka, Søren Jakobsen, Unni Olsbye, Nathalie Guillou, Carlo Lamberti, Silvia Bordiga, and Karl Petter Lillerud. A new zirconium inorganic building brick forming metal organic frameworks with exceptional stability. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 130(42):13850–13851, 2008.
- Adrien P Cote, Annabelle I Benin, Nathan W Ockwig, Michael O’Keeffe, Adam J Matzger, and Omar M Yaghi. Porous, crystalline, covalent organic frameworks. *science*, 310(5751):1166–1170, 2005.
- Yukio Kinoshita, Ikuo Matsubara, and Yoshihiko Saito. The crystal structure of bis (succinonitrilo) copper (i) nitrate. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan*, 32(7):741–747, 1959.
- AP Ginsberg. Early transition metal polyoxoanions. *Inorganic Syntheses*, 71:27, 1990.
- Brian Moulton and Michael J Zaworotko. From molecules to crystal engineering: supramolecular isomerism and polymorphism in network solids. *Chemical reviews*, 101(6):1629–1658, 2001.
- Omar M Yaghi, Markus J Kalmutzki, and Christian S Diercks. *Introduction to reticular chemistry: metal-organic frameworks and covalent organic frameworks*. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.
- Hailian Li, Mohamed Eddaoudi, Michael O’Keeffe, and Omar M Yaghi. Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework. *nature*, 402(6759):276–279, 1999.
- Indranil N Sengupta. Bibliometrics, informetrics, scientometrics and librametrics: an overview. 1992.
- P Vinker. Possible main criteria of the impact of publications of science. *Dr I. N. Sengupta’s International Festschrift Volume (communicated)*, 1991.
- David J Hess. *Science studies: An advanced introduction*. NYU press, 1997.
- Don R. Swanson. Undiscovered public knowledge. *The Library Quarterly: Information, Community, Policy*, 56(2): 103–118, 1986. ISSN 00242519, 1549652X. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4307965>.
- Yakub Sebastian, Eu-Gene Siew, and Sylvester O. Orimaye. Emerging approaches in literature-based discovery: techniques and performance review, 2017. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0269888917000042>.
- Mauricio Castillo-Vergara, Alejandro Alvarez-Marin, and Dario Placencio-Hidalgo. A bibliometric analysis of creativity in the field of business economics. *Journal of business research*, 85:1–9, 2018.
- José M Merigó and Jian-Bo Yang. A bibliometric analysis of operations research and management science. *Omega*, 73: 37–48, 2017.
- Claudio A Bonilla, José M Merigó, and Carolina Torres-Abad. Economics in latin america: a bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics*, 105:1239–1252, 2015.
- Nan Ye, Tung-Boon Kueh, Lisong Hou, Yongxin Liu, and Hang Yu. A bibliometric analysis of corporate social responsibility in sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 272:122679, 2020.
- Frank GA De Bakker, Peter Groenewegen, and Frank Den Hond. A bibliometric analysis of 30 years of research and theory on corporate social responsibility and corporate social performance. *Business & society*, 44(3):283–317, 2005.
- Yi-Ming Guo, Zhen-Ling Huang, Ji Guo, Hua Li, Xing-Rong Guo, and Mpeoane Judith Nkeli. Bibliometric analysis on smart cities research. *Sustainability*, 11(13):3606, 2019.
- Yuqi Guo, Zhichao Hao, Shichong Zhao, Jiaqi Gong, and Fan Yang. Artificial intelligence in health care: bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(7):e18228, 2020.
- G Krishnamoorthy, J Ramakrishnan, and S Devi. Bibliometric analysis of literature on diabetes (1995–2004). 2009.
- Yoo Kyung Jeong, Qing Xie, Erjia Yan, and Min Song. Examining drug and side effect relation using author–entity pair bipartite networks, February 2020. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2019.100999>.
- Kit-Kay Mak, Madhu Katyayani Balijepalli, and Mallikarjuna Rao Pichika. Success stories of ai in drug discovery-where do things stand? *Expert opinion on drug discovery*, 17(1):79–92, 2022.
- Yuh-Shan Ho. A bibliometric analysis of highly cited articles in materials science. *Current Science*, pages 1565–1572, 2014.

- Jiamei Lan, Ruichao Wei, Shenshi Huang, Dongping Li, Chen Zhao, Liang Yin, and Jian Wang. In-depth bibliometric analysis on research trends in fault diagnosis of lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 54:105275, 2022.
- Bensi Dong, Guoqiang Xu, Xiang Luo, Yi Cai, and Wei Gao. A bibliometric analysis of solar power research from 1991 to 2010. *Scientometrics*, 93(3):1101–1117, 2012.
- Muhammad Nihal Naseer, Juhana Jaafar, Hazlina Junoh, Asad A Zaidi, Mahesh Kumar, Ali Alqahtany, Rehan Jamil, Saleh H Alyami, and Naief A Aldossary. Metal-organic frameworks for wastewater decontamination: Discovering intellectual structure and research trends. *Materials*, 15(14):5053, 2022.
- Weimin Ye and Wang Yang. Exploring metal-organic frameworks in electrochemistry by a bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 109:68–78, 2022.
- Andika Purnama Shidiq. A bibliometric analysis of nano metal-organic frameworks synthesis research in medical science using vosviewer. *ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering*, 3(1):31–38, 2023.
- Chong-Chen Wang and Yuh-Shan Ho. Research trend of metal-organic frameworks: a bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics*, 109:481–513, 2016.
- Brady D Lund. How to select better topics and design better bibliometrics and scientometrics studies: A perspective. *Journal of Scientometric Research*, 10(3):348–351, 2021.
- Fabio Massimo Zanzotto. Human-in-the-loop artificial intelligence. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 64:243–252, 2019.
- Colin R. Groom, Ian J. Bruno, Matthew P. Lightfoot, and Suzanna C. Ward. The cambridge structural database, April 2016. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S2052520616003954>.
- Peyman Z. Moghadam, Aurelia Li, Seth B. Wiggin, Andi Tao, Andrew G. P. Maloney, Peter A. Wood, Suzanna C. Ward, and David Fairen-Jimenez. Development of a cambridge structural database subset: A collection of metal-organic frameworks for past, present, and future, March 2017. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00441>.
- Aric Hagberg, Pieter Swart, and Daniel S Chult. Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using networkx. Technical report, Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States), 2008.
- Nees Van Eck and Ludo Waltman. Software survey: Vosviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *scientometrics*, 84(2):523–538, 2010.
- Aaron Clauset, Mark EJ Newman, and Cristopher Moore. Finding community structure in very large networks. *Physical review E*, 70(6):066111, 2004.
- Ludo Waltman, Nees Jan Van Eck, and Ed CM Noyons. A unified approach to mapping and clustering of bibliometric networks. *Journal of informetrics*, 4(4):629–635, 2010.
- Rob Churchill and Lisa Singh. Topic-noise models: Modeling topic and noise distributions in social media post collections. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, pages 71–80. IEEE, 2021.
- Leo Egghe. The power of power laws and an interpretation of lotkaian informetric systems as self-similar fractals. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 56(7):669–675, 2005.
- Matjaž Perc. Zipf’s law and log-normal distributions in measures of scientific output across fields and institutions: 40 years of slovenia’s research as an example. *Journal of Informetrics*, 4(3):358–364, 2010.
- ALJ Spek. Single-crystal structure validation with the program platon. *Journal of applied crystallography*, 36(1):7–13, 2003.
- Susumu Kitagawa, Ryo Kitaura, and Shin-ichiro Noro. Functional porous coordination polymers. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 43(18):2334–2375, 2004.
- George M Sheldrick. A short history of shelx. *Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography*, 64(1):112–122, 2008.
- Omar M Yaghi, Michael O’Keeffe, Nathan W Ockwig, Hee K Chae, Mohamed Eddaoudi, and Jaheon Kim. Reticular synthesis and the design of new materials. *Nature*, 423(6941):705–714, 2003.
- Mohamed Eddaoudi, Jaheon Kim, Nathaniel Rosi, David Vodak, Joseph Wachter, Michael O’Keeffe, and Omar M Yaghi. Systematic design of pore size and functionality in isorecticular mofs and their application in methane storage. *Science*, 295(5554):469–472, 2002.
- Yuanjing Cui, Yanfeng Yue, Guodong Qian, and Banglin Chen. Luminescent functional metal-organic frameworks. *Chemical reviews*, 112(2):1126–1162, 2012.

- Jian-Rong Li, Ryan J Kuppler, and Hong-Cai Zhou. Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(5):1477–1504, 2009.
- Kenji Sumida, David L Rogow, Jarad A Mason, Thomas M McDonald, Eric D Bloch, Zoey R Herm, Tae-Hyun Bae, and Jeffrey R Long. Carbon dioxide capture in metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical reviews*, 112(2):724–781, 2012.
- Jian-Rong Li, Julian Sculley, and Hong-Cai Zhou. Metal–organic frameworks for separations. *Chemical reviews*, 112(2):869–932, 2012.
- Mark D Allendorf, Christina A Bauer, RK Bhakta, and RJT Houk. Luminescent metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(5):1330–1352, 2009.
- JeongYong Lee, Omar K Farha, John Roberts, Karl A Scheidt, SonBinh T Nguyen, and Joseph T Hupp. Metal–organic framework materials as catalysts. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(5):1450–1459, 2009.
- Lauren E Kreno, Kirsty Leong, Omar K Farha, Mark Allendorf, Richard P Van Duyne, and Joseph T Hupp. Metal–organic framework materials as chemical sensors. *Chemical reviews*, 112(2):1105–1125, 2012.
- Mohamed Eddaoudi, David B Moler, Hailian Li, Banglin Chen, Theresa M Reineke, Michael O’keeffe, and Omar M Yaghi. Modular chemistry: secondary building units as a basis for the design of highly porous and robust metal–organic carboxylate frameworks. *Accounts of chemical research*, 34(4):319–330, 2001.
- G rard F rey. Hybrid porous solids: past, present, future. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 37(1):191–214, 2008.
- Stuart R Batten and Richard Robson. Interpenetrating nets: ordered, periodic entanglement. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 37(11):1460–1494, 1998.
- Leslie J Murray, Mircea Dinc , and Jeffrey R Long. Hydrogen storage in metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(5):1294–1314, 2009.
- Hiroyasu Furukawa, Kyle E Cordova, Michael O’Keeffe, and Omar M Yaghi. The chemistry and applications of metal–organic frameworks. *Science*, 341(6149):1230444, 2013.
- Jung Soo Seo, Dongmok Whang, Hyoyoung Lee, Sung Im Jun, Jinho Oh, Young Jin Jeon, and Kimoon Kim. A homochiral metal–organic porous material for enantioselective separation and catalysis. *Nature*, 404(6781):982–986, 2000.
- Sydney R Hall, Frank H Allen, and I David Brown. The crystallographic information file (cif): a new standard archive file for crystallography. *Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography*, 47(6):655–685, 1991.
- Xingjiao Wu, Luwei Xiao, Yixuan Sun, Junhang Zhang, Tianlong Ma, and Liang He. A survey of human-in-the-loop for machine learning. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 135:364–381, 2022.
- Doris Xin, Litian Ma, Jialin Liu, Stephen Macke, Shuchen Song, and Aditya Parameswaran. Accelerating human-in-the-loop machine learning: Challenges and opportunities. In *Proceedings of the second workshop on data management for end-to-end machine learning*, pages 1–4, 2018.