

# APPROXIMATION OF DIVERGENCE-FREE VECTOR FIELDS VANISHING ON ROUGH PLANAR SETS

GIACOMO DEL NIN, BIAN WU

ABSTRACT. Given any divergence-free vector field of Sobolev class  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  in bounded open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , we are interested in approximating it in  $W^{m,p}$  with divergence-free smooth vector fields compactly supported in  $\Omega$ . We show that this approximation property holds in the following cases. For  $p > 2$ , this holds given that  $\partial\Omega$  has zero Lebesgue measure (a weaker but more technical condition is sufficient); For  $p \leq 2$ , this holds if  $\Omega^c$  can be decomposed into finitely many disjoint closed set, each of which is connected or  $d$ -Ahlfors regular for some  $d \in [0, 2]$ . This has links to the uniqueness of weak solutions to the Stokes equation in  $\Omega$ . For Hölder spaces, we prove this property in general bounded domains.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Background.** Consider the space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  of Sobolev functions supported in an open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the closely related space  $\tilde{W}_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) &:= W^{m,p} - \text{closure of } C_c^\infty(\Omega), \\ \tilde{W}_0^{m,p}(\Omega) &:= \{u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, one has to interpret  $u = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$  up to a set of  $W^{m,p}$ -capacity zero (see Section 2.2 for more details). The equivalence between  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W}_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  has attracted much attention since Sobolev's fundamental paper [19] for smooth domains. This was later studied by Beurling [2], Deny [7] and Burenkov [6], Polking [17], and Hedberg [9, 10]. In 1980, a groundbreaking work of Hedberg [11] proved the equivalence in *general open subdomains* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . For any function  $f \in \tilde{W}_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , Hedberg constructed a cutoff function  $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  which depends on  $\Omega$  and on  $f$ , such that  $\|f - \omega f\|_{W^{m,p}}$  is arbitrarily small (see Theorem 3.1). Later, Netrusov proved the equivalence for general Besov spaces and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces.

**A divergence-free vector-valued analog.** Since the Sobolev spaces of divergence-free vector fields arise in fluid PDEs, the vectorial analog of the above problem on divergence-free vector fields has also received considerable attention, i.e., the relation between  $W_{0,\text{div}}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , defined by

$$W_{0,\text{div}}^{m,p}(\Omega) := W^{m,p} - \text{closure of } C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{\text{div } u = 0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{m,p}(\Omega) := \{u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c, \text{div } u = 0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Of particular importance is the case of  $W^{1,2}$  divergence-free vector fields in a planar domain, as this has a connection to the uniqueness of solutions to the Stokes equation (see Section 1.3 for some more details about this). Surprising examples given by Heywood [12] and Ladyzhenskaya & Solonnikov [14] show that  $W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  are not identical for some unbounded locally smooth domain. The case of bounded domains was studied by

Lions [15], Heywood [12], Ladyzhenskaya & Solonnikov [14], Temam [21]. The most general results along this line are the equivalence for locally Lipschitz domains in dimensions  $n \geq 2$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $p = 2$ . Later, in the case  $n \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , Bogovskiĭ [3, 4] introduced a singular operator inverting the divergence operator, to prove the equivalence in domains with finitely many connected components, each of which is star-shaped. This singular operator is now known as Bogovskiĭ operator. More recently, Wang and Yang [24] showed this coincidence for  $n = 2, 3$ ,  $k = 1$  and  $p = 2$  in bounded domains with boundary satisfying a segment property. For general bounded domains, the equivalence between these two spaces remains an outstanding open problem (see [8, III.7, Section III.4]).

In dimension  $n = 2$ , divergence-free vector fields can be written as the rotated gradient of a scalar potential. Using this observation, for domains whose complement has finitely many connected components, Šverák [23] pointed out that one can deduce the equivalence of  $W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  from Hedberg's result in the scalar case. However, nothing is known for generic domains with complement containing infinitely many connected components in dimension  $n \geq 2$  (see also [8, III.7, Section III.4] for more references about this problem). In this work, we partially fill this gap for rough planar domains, and we also prove the Hölder space counterpart *without any assumption on bounded domain*  $\Omega$ .

## 1.2. Main results.

*The Sobolev case.* Our first result is for Sobolev spaces  $W^{m,p}$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $p > 2$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Divergence-free approximation in  $W^{m,p}$ ,  $p > 2$ ). *Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $p > 2$  and a bounded open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfies  $|S(K)| = 0$ , with  $K := \Omega^c$  and*

$$S(K) = \{x \in \Omega^c \mid x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k, x_k \in K, \quad (1.3)$$

$$x \text{ and } x_k \text{ are not in the same connected component of } K \text{ for each } k\}.$$

*Then for any  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\text{div } u = 0$  and  $D^j u = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$  for any  $0 \leq |j| \leq m - 1$ , there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\}_k \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\text{div } u_k = 0$  and  $\text{supp } u_k \subset \Omega$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{m,p}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In particular, as  $S(\Omega) \subset \partial\Omega$ , the class of bounded open domains  $\Omega$  with  $|S(\Omega^c)| = 0$  contains those whose boundary has Lebesgue measure zero. Here,  $D^j u = 0$  is well-defined, since by the Morrey-Sobolev embedding all derivatives  $D^j u$ ,  $|j| \leq m - 1$  can be assumed to be continuous and thus defined everywhere.

Our second result deals with the case  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $p \leq 2$  for bounded open sets whose complement is locally Ahlfors-regular. We recall that, for a fixed  $d \in [0, n]$ , a set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called  $d$ -regular if there exist  $c, C > 0$  such that

$$cr^d \leq \mathcal{H}^d(K \cap B_r(x)) \leq Cr^d \quad \forall x \in K, r \in (0, \text{diam } K],$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^d$  stands for the  $d$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

**Theorem 1.2** (Divergence-free approximation in  $W^{m,p}$ ,  $p \leq 2$ ). *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded open domain such that  $\Omega^c = K \cup \tilde{K}$ , where  $K, \tilde{K}$  are disjoint closed sets,  $\tilde{K}$  is the only unbounded component of  $\Omega^c$ , and  $K$  is a compact,  $d$ -regular set for some  $d \in [0, 2]$ . Then for any  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , satisfying  $\text{div } u = 0$  and  $D^j u = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$  for every  $0 \leq |j| \leq m - 1$ ,*

there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\}_k \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\operatorname{div} u_k = 0$  and  $\operatorname{supp} u_k \subset \Omega$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{m,p}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Here, since  $u$  has only Sobolev regularity and  $p \leq 2$ , the meaning of “ $D^j u = 0$  on  $K$ ” must be understood up to  $C_{m-|j|,p}$ -capacity null sets (see Section 2.2). As an example, if  $m = 1$  this means the following.

- If  $p > 2$ ,  $u$  is continuous, then  $u = 0$  on  $K$  pointwisely;
- If  $2 - d < p \leq 2$ ,  $u$  admits a representative which is well-defined out of a  $C_{1,p}$ -null set. And  $u$  is zero  $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere on  $K$ ;
- If  $p \leq 2 - d$ , then  $C_{1,p}(K) = 0$ . No information is imposed by  $u = 0$  on  $K$ .

Similar considerations apply to higher-order derivatives if  $m \geq 2$ .

By a simple cutoff argument, we obtain that the same conclusion holds for more general domain  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** *The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds true, if  $\Omega$  is bounded and  $\Omega^c$  admits a decomposition  $\Omega^c = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq I} K_i$  for some  $I \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and a collection of closed sets  $\{K_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq I}$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (i)  $K_i \cap K_\iota = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq \iota$ .
- (ii) For any  $1 \leq i \leq I$ ,  $K_i$  is connected or  $d_i$ -regular for some  $d_i \in [0, 2]$ .

*Remark 1.4* (The role of Ahlfors-regularity). Our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 rely on certain trace theorems for the restriction of Sobolev functions to the set  $K$ . This is the reason why we restrict to sets satisfying  $|S(\Omega^c)| = 0$  in Theorem 1.1 and to Ahlfors-regular sets  $K$  in Theorem 1.2. Indeed, in these cases trace theorems are available. More precisely, when  $K$  is  $d$ -regular the trace of a function in  $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on  $K$  belongs to the Besov space  $B_\beta^{p,p}(K)$ , for  $\beta = \alpha - \frac{n-d}{p}$ . We will recall the relevant theory from the monograph [13] in Section 5.2. We also provide a transparent, alternative proof of a trace theorem in the case  $p > n$ , which is a special case of a result in [18]. Proving trace theorems for more general sets  $K$  likely leads to a proof of the approximation property (namely, the analogue of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3) for the corresponding sets. We also cite [22] for a trace theorem on  $d$ -thick sets (a more general class than  $d$ -regular sets), which however is not sufficient for our purposes, since we need a version for the space  $W^{m,p}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .

*The Hölder case.* In addition to the theorems above, we also prove the corresponding theorem for vector fields with  $C^{m,\gamma}$  regularity. In this case, we are able to treat any  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  for all bounded open domains. Up to our knowledge, this is the first result for proving approximation property of divergence-free vector fields in general bounded domains without any regularity.

We present first the statement for  $C^1$  vector fields separately, and we will give its proof in Section 4. Besides having an interest in itself, this result also provides a ground to present, in a simplified way, the ideas that will be used for the proof of the Sobolev case.

**Theorem 1.5** (Divergence-free approximation in  $C^1$ ). *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be any compact set and  $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ . Suppose that  $u = 0$  and  $\nabla u = 0$  on  $K$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\}_k \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\operatorname{div} u_k = 0$  and  $\operatorname{supp} u_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $C^1$ .*

In order to state the result for general Hölder spaces we introduce the notation for the Hölder seminorm of a function  $f$  on a set  $E$ , namely

$$|f|_{C^{0,\gamma}(E)} := \sup_{\substack{x,y \in E \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}.$$

The full Hölder norm is then given by  $\|f\|_{C^{m,\gamma}(E)} := \|f\|_{C^m(E)} + |\nabla^m f|_{C^{0,\gamma}(E)}$ . Moreover, we denote by  $K_\varepsilon$  the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.6** (Divergence-free approximation in  $C^{m,\gamma}$ ). *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be any compact set and  $u \in C_c^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ . Suppose that*

$$D^j u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in K, 0 \leq |j| \leq m. \quad (1.4)$$

*If  $\gamma > 0$  suppose in addition that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |\nabla^m u|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} = 0.$$

*Then there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\}_k \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\operatorname{div} u_k = 0$  and  $\operatorname{supp} u_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $C^{m,\gamma}$ .*

**1.3. Connection to the Stokes operator.** The Stokes equation in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \nabla p &= f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

We say that  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a weak solution of (1.5) if  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  and for any  $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx.$$

A crucial observation is the following: If  $W_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  defined in (1.1) and (1.2) are not identical, then there exists a nonempty linear subspace  $X \subset \tilde{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\tilde{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega) = X \oplus W_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega). \quad (1.6)$$

Therefore,  $X$  exactly contain all solutions to (1.5) with  $f = 0$ , which also means that the Stokes equation (1.5) always has infinitely many solutions even for  $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . From standard interior estimates for the Stokes operator (see [8, Chapter IV]), one can show that any function in  $X$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega')$  for every open subdomain  $\Omega'$  with  $\bar{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$ . Therefore, applying Riesz representation theorem, Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.7.** *If  $\Omega$  satisfies the condition specified in Corollary 1.3, then (1.5) admits a unique weak solution in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  with  $f = 0$ .*

**1.4. The main challenge and our approach.** The main difficulty is the nonlocality of the divergence-free condition, i.e. the product of a divergence-free vector field and a cutoff function may not be divergence-free. Therefore, contrary to the scalar case considered in Hedberg's works [9, 10, 11], multiplying with cutoff functions is insufficient.

The works [3, 4] deal with divergence-free vector fields in Lipschitz or star-shaped domains using PDE methods, i.e. involve solving divergence equation in some form. This helps to correct the nonzero divergence introduced by using a cutoff function. However, the divergence equation is not always solvable in general open domains.

In this work we take a different approach, inspired by the observation made by Šverak [23]. Instead looking at the vector field, we look at its scalar potential in dimension 2. In the case where  $\Omega^c$  has finitely many connected components, it is straightforward to reduce it to Hedberg's result, as Šverak noted in [23]. In this work, we introduce new ideas in dealing with general open domains. This helps us to resolve the approximation problem completely for Hölder based spaces in Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6. For Sobolev spaces, our approach needs quantitative description on the trace of Sobolev functions onto compact domain. These type of results are only known in limited cases, which is the main obstruction to resolve the setting of Sobolev spaces completely.

**1.5. The outline.** In Section 2 and Section 3, we recall or prove some preliminary results, including Whitney extension theorems, Morse-Sard theorems and Hedberg's theorem. In Section 4, we prove the  $C^1$  case Theorem 1.5 as a *quick tour to our main ideas*. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.6, the trace theorems and related results in Sobolev spaces. Finally, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in Section 6.

**1.6. Notation.** We will denote the Lebesgue measure of a set  $A$  by  $|A|$ , and the  $d$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\mathcal{H}^d(E)$ . The ball of center  $x$  and radius  $r$  is denoted by  $B(x, r)$  or  $B_r(x)$ . We use  $\lesssim$  to denote the inequality  $\leq$  up to a constant only depending on the dimension  $n$ , or on the fixed quantities within the proof.  $C_{s,p}(E)$  refers to the  $(s, p)$ -capacity of a set  $E$  (see Section 2.2). We use  $\|\cdot\|_p$  to denote the  $L^p$ -norm.

## 2. PRELIMINARY TOOLS AND NOTATION

In this section, we introduce some preliminary objects: the Whitney extension procedure, the notion of capacity, and the Morse-Sard theorem.

**2.1. Whitney decomposition, jets and extension.** Referring to [20, Chapter VI], we recall some notation and results concerning Whitney-type extension theorems. We fix a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\{Q_k\}_k$  be a Whitney covering of  $K^c$ , namely a family of closed cubes with disjoint interiors, satisfying:

- (i)  $K^c = \bigcup_k Q_k$ ;
- (ii)  $\sqrt{n}\ell(Q_k) \leq \text{dist}(Q_k, K) \leq 4\sqrt{n}\ell(Q_k)$ ;
- (iii) If the boundaries of two cubes  $Q_k$  and  $Q_{k'}$  intersect, then  $\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\ell(Q_k)}{\ell(Q_{k'})} \leq 4$ ;
- (iv) For a given  $Q_k$  there exist at most  $12^n$  other cubes of the family that touch it.

We also fix an associated partition of unity, namely a family of smooth functions  $\{\varphi_k\}_k$ , with  $\text{supp } \varphi_k$  contained in a small neighbourhood of  $Q_k$  (so that its support intersects

only the cubes that touch  $Q_k$ ), and reference points  $\{y_k\}_k \subset K$  with the property that  $\text{dist}(Q_k, K) = \text{dist}(Q_k, y_k)$ . Here, we also define the index set of the cubes touching  $Q_k$ , i.e. its *neighbors*

$$N(k) = \{k' \mid k' \neq k, Q_{k'} \cap Q_k \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We introduce the space  $J^m(K)$  of  $m$ -th order jets on  $K$ . Every element  $\vec{f}$  of  $J^m(K)$  is a collection  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq m}$ , where  $f^{(j)} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are functions and  $j$  is a multi-index, namely  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{|m'|}$ ,  $m' \leq m$ .

Given a jet  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq m}$ , we define the  $m$ -th order polynomial expansion of  $\vec{f}$  centered at  $y$  by

$$P_y^{(m)} \vec{f}(x) := \sum_{|j| \leq m} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(y) (x - y)^j. \quad (2.2)$$

We define the space  $C^{m, \gamma}(K)$  as the family of all jets  $\vec{f} \in J^m(K)$  for which there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that, defining

$$R_j \vec{f}(x, y) := f^{(j)}(x) - \sum_{|j+l| \leq m} \frac{1}{l!} f^{(j+l)}(y) (x - y)^l,$$

we have

$$|f^{(j)}(x)| \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad |R_j \vec{f}(x, y)| \leq M |x - y|^{m+\gamma-|j|} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in K, |j| \leq m.$$

The  $m$ -th order jet norm of  $\vec{f}$  on  $K$  is given by the smallest constant  $M$  satisfying the conditions above denoted by  $\|\vec{f}\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m, \gamma}(K)}$ . Equivalently,

$$\|\vec{f}\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m, \gamma}(K)} = \sup_{\substack{|j| \leq m \\ x, y \in K, x \neq y}} \max \left\{ |f^{(j)}(x)|, \frac{|R_j \vec{f}(x, y)|}{|x - y|^{m+\gamma-|j|}} \right\}.$$

We also denote  $\|f\|_{C^{m, 0}(K)}$  by  $\|f\|_{C^m(K)}$ . For a given  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ , we define the Hölder seminorm by

$$|f|_{C^{0, \gamma}(K)} := \sup_{x, y \in K, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}. \quad (2.3)$$

Finally, we define the pointwise Whitney extension of the jet  $\vec{f}$  by

$$E^{(m)} \vec{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f^{(0)}(x) & x \in K, \\ \sum_k \varphi_k(x) P_{y_k}^{(m)} \vec{f}(x), & x \notin K. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We can now state the main extension theorems for  $C^m$  and Hölder spaces.

**Theorem 2.1** (Whitney extension in  $C^m$ ). *Given  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  compact,  $m \geq 1$  and  $\vec{f} \in J^m(K)$ , suppose that for every multi-index  $j$  with  $|j| \leq m$  it holds*

$$|f^{(j)}(x)| \leq M, \quad \text{for every } x \in K$$

and

$$\frac{|R_j \vec{f}(x, y)|}{|x - y|^{m-|j|}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x - y| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } x, y \in K. \quad (2.5)$$

Then  $F := E^{(m)} \vec{f} \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $D^j F = f^{(j)}$  on  $K$  for any  $|j| \leq m$ . Furthermore,

$$\|F\|_{C^m} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^m(K)}.$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Whitney extension in  $C^{m,\gamma}$ ). *Given  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  compact,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  and  $\vec{f} \in J^m(K)$ , suppose that  $\|\vec{f}\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m,\gamma}(K)} < \infty$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $M$  such that for every multi-index  $j$  with  $|j| \leq m$  it holds*

$$|f^{(j)}(x)| \leq M, \quad \text{for every } x \in K.$$

and

$$|R_j \vec{f}(x, y)| \leq M|x - y|^{m-|j|+\gamma}, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in K.$$

Then  $F := E^{(m)} \vec{f} \in C^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $D^j F = f^{(j)}$  on  $K$ . Furthermore, we have that

$$\|F\|_{C^{m,\gamma}} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m,\gamma}(K)}.$$

**2.2. Capacity and Hausdorff measure.** We recall some basic notions regarding capacity and its relation with the Hausdorff measure (see [25, Section 2.6]).

Given a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $s > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ , we define the  $(s, p)$ -capacity of  $E$  as

$$C_{s,p}(E) := \inf\{\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} : f * g_s \geq 1 \text{ on } E\},$$

where  $g_s(x)$  is the Bessel kernel, satisfying  $\hat{g}_s(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-s/2}$ .

We will use the following relation between capacity and Hausdorff measure.

**Theorem 2.3** ([25, Theorem 2.6.16]). *Let  $s > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $sp \leq n$ , and  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The following implications hold:*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{H}^{n-sp}(E) < \infty$  then  $C_{s,p}(E) = 0$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $C_{s,p}(E) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{H}^{n-sp+\varepsilon}(E) = 0$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

Putting together [25, Theorem 3.1.4] and [25, Theorem 3.3.3] we have the following.

**Theorem 2.4** (Approximate continuity out of capacity-null sets). *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  satisfy  $mp < n$ , and let  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a set  $E$  with  $C_{m,p}(E) = 0$  such that the limit*

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y) dy$$

*exists for all  $x \in E^c$ . Moreover, for every  $x \in E^c$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |\tilde{u}(x) - u(y)|^p dy = 0.$$

**2.3. Morse-Sard theorem.** Recall that we denote by  $|E|$  the Lebesgue measure of a set  $E$ . The classical Morse-Sard theorem for  $C^2$  functions reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.5** (Morse-Sard in  $C^2$ ). *Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function. Let  $Z_\psi := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \nabla\psi(x) = 0\}$  be the set of its singular points. Then  $|\psi(Z_\psi)| = 0$ .*

We also recall the Sobolev version of Morse-Sard theorem proved in [5]. Following [5], given  $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we define

$$Z_{0\psi} := \Omega \cap \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{Cl}_M(\{x \in \Omega : |\nabla\psi(x)| \leq \varepsilon\})$$

where, for a measurable set  $A$ ,  $\text{Cl}_M(A)$  defined by

$$\text{Cl}_M(A) := \left\{ x : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|A \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} > 0 \right\}$$

is the measure-theoretic closure of  $A$  (Note that  $Z_{0\psi}$  does not depend on the representative chosen for  $\nabla\psi$ ), and

$$Z_{1\psi} := \{x \in \Omega : \psi \text{ is differentiable at } x \text{ and } \nabla\psi(x) = 0\}.$$

We set  $Z_\psi := Z_{0\psi} \cup Z_{1\psi}$ . Recall also that  $\psi$  can be assumed to be continuous (and thus pointwisely defined) by the Morrey-Sobolev embedding. Now we cite the following results.

**Theorem 2.6** (Morse-Sard in  $W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  [5, Theorem 4.1]). *If  $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then we have  $|\psi(Z_\psi)| = 0$ .*

**Theorem 2.7** (Image of  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -null sets [5, Corollary 3.2]). *If  $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a set with  $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$ , then  $|\psi(E)| = 0$ .*

Now, we prove the following result, needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Given  $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $p > 1$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a compact set, assume  $\nabla\psi = 0$   $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere on  $K$ . Then we have  $|\psi(K)| = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption and the approximate continuity of  $\nabla\psi$  out of  $C_{1,p}$ -null sets in Theorem 2.4, there exists a set  $G \subset K$  satisfying  $C_{1,p}(K \setminus G) = 0$  (and thus  $\mathcal{H}^1(K \setminus G) = 0$  by Theorem 2.3) and such that for every  $x \in G$  it holds

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |\nabla\psi(y)| dy = 0.$$

We claim that  $G \subset Z_{0\psi}$ . Indeed, if  $x \in G$  then

$$0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |\nabla\psi(y)| dy \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{|B_r(x)|} |\{y \in B_r(x) : |\nabla\psi(y)| \geq \varepsilon\}|$$

which implies that  $x \in \text{Cl}_M(\{x \in \Omega : |\nabla\psi(x)| \leq \varepsilon\})$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , hence the claim follows.

We deduce that

$$|\psi(K)| \leq \mathcal{L}^1(\psi(G)) + |\psi(K \setminus G)| \leq |\psi(Z_{0\psi})| + |\psi(K \setminus G)| = 0,$$

where for the last equality we use Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. □



## 3. HEDBERG'S THEOREM

Our argument needs the following result by Hedberg [11, 1], which constitutes a scalar version of the approximation theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** ([1, Theorem 9.1.3]). *Let  $m$  be a positive integer,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $F \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an arbitrary open set, and denote its complement by  $K$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $D^j F|_K = 0$  for all multi-indices  $j$ ,  $0 \leq |j| \leq m-1$ ;
- (ii)  $F \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ ;
- (iii) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any compact  $E \subset \Omega$  there is a function  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\eta = 1$  on  $E$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ , and  $\|F - \eta F\|_{W^{m,p}} < \varepsilon$ .

We refer the reader to [1] for a proof of above theorem. The same result for  $C^{m,\gamma}$  spaces holds. We include its proof below, as we do not find it in the literature. Recall the definition of Hölder seminorm in (2.3).

**Theorem 3.2** (Approximation in  $C^{m,\gamma}$ ). *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be any compact set and  $F \in C_c^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that*

$$D^j F(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in K, 0 \leq |j| \leq m. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $\gamma > 0$  suppose also that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |\nabla^m F|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{F_k\}_k \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\text{supp } F_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ , such that  $F_k \rightarrow F$  in  $C^{m,\gamma}$ .

*Remark 3.3.* Observe that if  $F \in C^m$  (and thus  $\gamma = 0$ ) then condition (3.2) automatically holds. Instead, if  $\gamma > 0$  then one can not just ask (3.1) to hold, as one can consider  $K = \{0\}$  and construct a function  $F$  such that  $|\nabla^m F|_{C^{0,\gamma}(B_\varepsilon(0))} \geq 1$  for every  $\varepsilon$ . Then every function with support outside  $\{0\}$  has  $C^{m,\gamma}$ -distance at least 1 from  $F$ .

*Proof.* First we rewrite condition (3.2) in the following way: there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega(\cdot)$ , i.e.  $\omega(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$  and  $\omega$  is increasing, such that

$$|\nabla^m F(x) - \nabla^m F(y)| \leq \omega(\varepsilon)|x - y|^\gamma \quad \text{for every } x, y \in K_\varepsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we fix a smooth function  $\rho_\varepsilon$  with  $\rho_\varepsilon = 1$  on  $K_{\varepsilon/4}$ ,  $\rho_\varepsilon = 0$  on  $K_\varepsilon^c$ , and

$$|\nabla^k \rho_\varepsilon| \leq C(k)\varepsilon^{-k} \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

For instance the choice  $\rho_\varepsilon = \chi_{K_{\varepsilon/2}} * \zeta_{\varepsilon/10}$  works, where  $\zeta$  is a bump function concentrated on  $B_1(0)$  and  $\zeta_s(y) := s^{-n}\zeta(y/s)$ . We claim that the approximating family  $F_\varepsilon := F(1 - \rho_\varepsilon)$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

Observe that  $F_\varepsilon$  belongs to  $C^{m,\gamma}$  because  $\rho_\varepsilon$  is smooth away from  $K$ . Moreover, it is supported away from  $K$  by construction. Therefore we just need to check the convergence, namely, that  $\|F_\varepsilon\|_{C^{m,\gamma}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

First we check that for every multi-index  $j$ , with  $|j| \leq m$ , it holds  $\|D^j(F\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$ . By the Leibniz rule, we just need to check that  $\|D^\theta F D^{j-\theta} \rho_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$  for every multi-index  $\theta \subseteq j$ . We now show by (backwards) induction that

$$\|D^\theta F\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \lesssim \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|+\gamma} \quad \text{for every } |\theta| \leq m. \quad (3.5)$$

If  $|\theta| = m$  then given any  $y \in K_\varepsilon$  we can consider a point  $x \in K$  of minimum distance from  $y$ . Thus  $|x - y| \leq \varepsilon$ , and from (3.1) and (3.3) we deduce that

$$|D^\theta F(y)| \leq \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^\gamma.$$

This proves the case  $|\theta| = m$  of (3.5). Now consider  $|\theta| < m$  and suppose by (backwards) induction that (3.5) holds for every multi-index  $\theta'$  with  $m \geq |\theta'| > |\theta|$ . Then for  $x, y \in K_\varepsilon$  such that  $[x, y] \subset K_\varepsilon$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\theta F(y) - D^\theta F(x)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \nabla(D^\theta F(x + t(y-x))) \cdot (x-y) dt \right| \\ &\lesssim \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|-1+\gamma}|x-y|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, by choosing again  $x \in K$  as the point of minimum distance from  $y$ , and using that  $D^\theta F$  vanishes on  $K$ , we obtain

$$|D^\theta F(y)| \lesssim \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|+\gamma}.$$

This proves the induction step and hence (3.5) is verified.

Recalling now also (3.4) it follows that

$$\|D^\theta F D^{j-\theta} \rho_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \lesssim \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|+\gamma}\varepsilon^{-|j|+|\theta|} = \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|j|+\gamma},$$

which is going to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , since  $|j| \leq m$ .

We are now left to prove that  $|\nabla^m(F\rho_\varepsilon)|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$ , or equivalently, for every multi-index  $j$  with  $|j| = m$  and every multi-index  $\theta \subseteq j$  we need to prove that

$$|D^\theta F D^{j-\theta} \rho_\varepsilon|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.7)$$

We claim the following bounds:

- (i)  $\|D^\theta F\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \leq \omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|+\gamma}$ ; this follows directly from (3.5).
- (ii)  $|D^\theta F|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} \lesssim \omega(2\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|}$ ; to prove this, we fix  $x, y \in K_\varepsilon$  and consider two cases: if  $|x - y| > \varepsilon$  then

$$\frac{|D^\theta F(y) - D^\theta F(x)|}{|x-y|^\gamma} \leq \frac{2\|D^\theta F\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon^\gamma} \leq 2\omega(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|}$$

and we are done. If instead  $|x - y| \leq \varepsilon$  then the segment  $[x, y]$  is contained in  $K_{2\varepsilon}$ , and reasoning as in (3.6) we conclude that

$$|D^\theta F(y) - D^\theta F(x)| \lesssim \omega(2\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|-1+\gamma}|x-y|^\gamma \varepsilon^{1-\gamma} = \omega(2\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|\theta|}|x-y|^\gamma.$$

This shows the claimed inequality.

- (iii)  $\|D^{j-\theta} \rho_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(K_\varepsilon)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{|\theta|-|j|}$ ; this is (3.4).

(iv)  $|D^{j-\theta}\rho_\varepsilon|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{|\theta|-|j|-\gamma}$ ; this follows from standard convolution estimates. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_\varepsilon(x) - \rho_\varepsilon(y)| &\leq \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cup B_\varepsilon(y)} \chi_{K_{\varepsilon/2}}(z) |\zeta_{\varepsilon/10}(x-z) - \zeta_{\varepsilon/10}(y-z)| dz \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cup B_\varepsilon(y)} \chi_{K_{\varepsilon/2}}(z) |\zeta|_{C^{0,\gamma}} \left| \frac{x-y}{\varepsilon} \right|^\gamma dz \\ &\lesssim |x-y|^\gamma \varepsilon^{-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the estimate when  $|j| - |\theta| = 0$ , and for the general case one applies the same reasoning to the derivatives of  $\rho_\varepsilon$ , keeping in mind that

$$D^{j-\theta}\rho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-|j|+|\theta|}(D^{j-\theta}\rho)_\varepsilon.$$

We are ready to prove (3.7), also recalling the general bound

$$|fg|_{C^{0,\gamma}} \leq \|f\|_{C^0} |g|_{C^{0,\gamma}} + |f|_{C^{0,\gamma}} \|g\|_{C^0}.$$

Using (i) and (iv) together, and then (ii) and (iii) together, we discover that both products are less than  $\omega(2\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{m-|j|}$ , which goes to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

In conclusion,  $\|F\rho_\varepsilon\|_{C^{m,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$  and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. A QUICK TOUR OF THE MAIN IDEA: A PROOF IN THE $C^1$ CASE

In this section we give a full proof of Theorem 1.5, to showcase the main ideas for Sobolev spaces, namely Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We recall the statement for convenience:

*Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a compact set and  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ . Suppose that  $u = 0$  and  $\nabla u = 0$  on  $K$ . Then there exist  $C^1$  vector fields  $u_k$ , compactly supported on  $K^c$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $C^1$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* We conduct this proof in several steps.

(S1) *Potential.* Since  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , we consider a potential  $\Psi$  for  $u$ , namely,  $\Psi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\nabla^\perp \Psi = u$ . First, we define

$$\Psi^*(x_1, x_2) := \int_0^{x_1} -u_2(t, 0) dt + \int_0^{x_2} u_1(x_1, s) ds.$$

Then we define  $\Psi = \Psi^* - c_0$  for some constant  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\Psi$  has compact support. In particular,  $\nabla \Psi = 0$  and  $\nabla^2 \Psi = 0$  on  $K$  and  $u = \nabla^\perp \Psi$ .

(S2) *Restriction.* We can consider the restriction of  $\Psi$  to  $K$  as a jet of order 2:

**Fact 1.**  $\vec{\psi}$  defines a family of second-order jets at each point by  $\vec{\psi} = \{\psi^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq 2} \in J^2(K)$ , with  $\psi^{(0)} = \Psi|_K$  and the derivatives given by  $\psi^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$ .

(S3) *Morse-Sard.* Theorem 2.5 allows us to conclude the following fact.

**Fact 2.**  $\Psi(K)$  is a compact set with zero measure.

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we cover  $\Psi(K)$  with finitely many open intervals  $I_1^\varepsilon, \dots, I_N^\varepsilon$  with disjoint closures and total measure less than  $\varepsilon$ . Taking the preimages of  $I_i^\varepsilon$ , we find disjoint open sets  $U_1^\varepsilon, \dots, U_N^\varepsilon \subset \Omega$  that are well-separated from one another, and such that  $K \subset U_1^\varepsilon \cup \dots \cup U_N^\varepsilon$ . By shrinking  $U_i^\varepsilon$  for each  $i$  if necessary, we can also assume that  $U^\varepsilon := \bigcup_i U_i^\varepsilon$  is contained in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $K$ .

(S4) *Monotone compression.* We define a compression map  $\eta_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\eta_\varepsilon(t) := \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_i I_i^\varepsilon}(s) ds. \quad (4.1)$$

This map has the following properties:

- (a) There are real numbers  $c_i^\varepsilon$  such that  $\eta_\varepsilon(t) = t - c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $I_i^\varepsilon$ ;
- (b)  $\|\eta_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \leq \varepsilon$ ;
- (c)  $|\eta_\varepsilon(t) - \eta_\varepsilon(s)| \leq |t - s|$  for any  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now we define the compressed function  $\psi_\varepsilon^{(0)} := \eta_\varepsilon \circ \Psi|_K$ . This function satisfies  $\psi_\varepsilon^{(0)} = \psi^{(0)} - c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $K \cap U_i^\varepsilon$ , and  $\|\psi_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{C^0(K)} \leq \varepsilon$ . We also set  $\psi_\varepsilon^{(j)} := \psi^{(j)} = 0$  for  $1 \leq |j| \leq 2$ . We denote  $\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon = \{\psi_\varepsilon^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq 2} \in J^2(K)$ .

(S5) *Estimate on the compressed jets.* We claim the following fact.

**Fact 3.**  $\|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^2(K)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Observe that

$$\|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^2(K)} = \sup_{x, y \in K, x \neq y} \frac{|\psi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x) - \psi_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y)|}{|x - y|^2}.$$

To prove Fact 3, assume by contradiction that it does not hold, then there exists  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}_k$  and  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_k$  such that

$$x_k, y_k \in K, \quad \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

$$\frac{|\psi^{(0)}(x_k) - \psi^{(0)}(y_k)|}{|x_k - y_k|^2} \geq \frac{|\psi_{\varepsilon_k}^{(0)}(x_k) - \psi_{\varepsilon_k}^{(0)}(y_k)|}{|x_k - y_k|^2} \geq \kappa. \quad (4.3)$$

Here we use the properties of  $\eta_{\varepsilon_k}$  in (4.3). It follows from (4.3) and the properties of  $\eta_{\varepsilon_k}$  that

$$|x_k - y_k|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\kappa} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts  $\nabla^2 \Psi = 0$  and  $\nabla \Psi = 0$  on  $K$ . Indeed, denoting by  $[x_k, y_k]$  the segment between  $x_k$  and  $y_k$ , we have

$$|\Psi(x_k) - \Psi(y_k)| \leq \|\nabla^2 \Psi\|_{C^0([x_k, y_k])} |x_k - y_k|^2, \quad (4.4)$$

and the segment  $[x_k, y_k]$  is contained in the  $\varepsilon_k/\kappa$ -neighbourhood of  $K$ , thus

$$\|\nabla^2 \Psi\|_{C^0([x_k, y_k])} \leq \|\nabla u^\perp\|_{C^0(K_{\varepsilon_k/\kappa})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, with  $\psi^{(0)} = \Psi|_K$ , (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) give a contradiction.

(S6) *Extension.* By Whitney's extension theorem we have the following.

**Fact 4.** We can extend  $\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon$  to  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying  $\|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^2(K)} = o(\varepsilon)$ .

First, we need to check the condition (2.1). This follows from  $\nabla^2\Psi = 0$  and  $\nabla\Psi = 0$  on  $K$  and the properties of  $\eta_{\varepsilon_k}$ . Then, we apply Theorem 2.1 to get  $E^{(2)}\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\|E^{(2)}\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^2(K)}$ . Since  $K$  is compact and  $U^\varepsilon$  is bounded, one can multiply  $E^{(2)}\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon$  with a cutoff function to get  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  with compact support and  $\|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^2(K)}$ . Therefore, we have Fact 4.

From (S3) and (S4), we also know that the function  $\Psi - \varphi_\varepsilon$  is constant on each  $K \cap U_i^\varepsilon$ , with value  $c_i^\varepsilon$ .

(S7) *Auxiliary function.* Note that  $\{K \cap U_i^\varepsilon\}_i$  are finitely many disjoint closed sets, hence there exists small  $\rho > 0$  and a function  $h_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $h_\varepsilon = c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $K_\rho \cap U_i^\varepsilon$ . Now  $g_\varepsilon := \Psi - \varphi_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \nabla h_\varepsilon &\subset K^c, \\ g_\varepsilon = 0, \nabla g_\varepsilon = 0, \nabla^2 g_\varepsilon = 0, &\quad \text{on } K. \end{aligned}$$

(S8) *Hedberg's theorem and conclusion.* We invoke Theorem 3.2 to find a sequence  $g_\varepsilon^k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K)$  with  $\|g_\varepsilon^k - g_\varepsilon\|_{C^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and we define the sequence  $u_\varepsilon^k := \nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon)$ . Since from (S7) we have  $\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon) = 0$  on some neighbourhood of  $K$ , we deduce  $u_\varepsilon^k \in C_c^\infty(K^c)$ . Also, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon^k - u\|_{C^1} &= \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon - \Psi)\|_{C^1} \\ &\leq \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon + h_\varepsilon - \Psi)\|_{C^1} + \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon^k)\|_{C^1} \\ &= \|\nabla^\perp\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{C^1} + \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon^k)\|_{C^1} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{C^2} + \|g_\varepsilon^k - g_\varepsilon\|_{C^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the first summand goes to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by Fact 3, while the second summand goes to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by Theorem 3.2. Considering a diagonal sequence we can extract an approximating sequence  $\{u_k\}_k$  converging to  $u$  in  $C^1$ , and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.* We write down the proof in the  $C^1$  case separately, because the proof strategy remains almost the same for Sobolev spaces. To prove the approximation result for Sobolev spaces, we shall replace Facts 1-4 with the corresponding statements for Sobolev spaces. More precisely:

- Fact 1 is replaced by trace theorems for Sobolev functions which shall be addressed in Section 5.
- Fact 2 is replaced by Lemma 2.8, the corresponding Morse-Sard result for Sobolev functions proven by Bourgain, Korobkov and Kristensen [5].
- Fact 3 requires an argument that hinges upon the characterization of traces, with the  $C_{\text{jet}}^2$  norm replaced by the appropriate Besov norm.
- Fact 4 is replaced by a corresponding extension theorem from Besov to Sobolev functions.

## 5. TRACE THEOREMS AND MONOTONE COMPRESSION

In this section we prove or quote trace results for Sobolev spaces. We also present the argument of *monotone compression* for Hölder spaces and Sobolev spaces, analogous to (S4) and (S5) in Section 4. For this purpose, we recall that the compression maps  $\eta_\varepsilon$ : given a compact set  $K$  and a continuous function  $\Psi$  with  $|\Psi(K)| = 0$ , and given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider a finite family of disjoint open intervals  $I_1^\varepsilon, \dots, I_N^\varepsilon$  that cover  $\Psi(K)$  and satisfy  $|I_1^\varepsilon| + \dots + |I_N^\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ . Then we set

$$\eta_\varepsilon(t) := \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\cup_i I_i^\varepsilon}(s) ds. \quad (5.1)$$

We also recall the following two properties from (S4) in Section 4:

$$|\eta_\varepsilon(t) - \eta_\varepsilon(s)| \leq |t - s|, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5.3)$$

**Lemma 5.1** (Monotone compression in Hölder spaces). *Let  $F \in C^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $K$  a compact set satisfying*

$$D^j F(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in K, 1 \leq |j| \leq m. \quad (5.4)$$

*If  $\gamma > 0$  suppose in addition that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |\nabla^m F|_{C^{0,\gamma}(K_\varepsilon)} = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

*Let  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq m}$  be the  $m$ -th order jet given by  $f^{(j)} := D^j F|_K$ . Let  $\eta_\varepsilon$  be the compression map defined in (5.1). Then the family  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon = \{f_\varepsilon^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq m}$  defined by*

$$f_\varepsilon^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \eta_\varepsilon \circ f^{(0)} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*satisfies*

$$\|\vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m,\gamma}(K)} \leq \delta(\varepsilon) \quad (5.6)$$

*for some function  $\delta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that by assumption  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$ , hence we have

$$\|\vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m,\gamma}(K)} := \max \left\{ \|f_\varepsilon^{(0)}\|_{C^0(K)}, \sup_{x,y \in K, x \neq y} \frac{|f_\varepsilon^{(0)}(x) - f_\varepsilon^{(0)}(y)|}{|x - y|^{m+\gamma}} \right\}. \quad (5.7)$$

We assume by contradiction that the conclusion of the lemma does not hold. Since we know  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(K)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the last term in (5.7) does not converge to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This entails the existence of  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}_k$  and  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_k$  such that

$$x_k, y_k \in K, \quad \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.8)$$

$$\frac{|F(x_k) - F(y_k)|}{|x_k - y_k|^{m+\gamma}} \geq \frac{|f_{\varepsilon_k}^{(0)}(x_k) - f_{\varepsilon_k}^{(0)}(y_k)|}{|x_k - y_k|^{m+\gamma}} \geq \kappa. \quad (5.9)$$

It follows from the properties of  $\eta_{\varepsilon_k}$  that

$$|x_k - y_k|^{m+\gamma} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\kappa} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts with (5.5) and (5.7). Indeed, denoting by  $[x_k, y_k]$  the segment between  $x_k$  and  $y_k$ , we can estimate

$$|F(x_k) - F(y_k)| \leq \|\nabla F\|_{C^0([x_k, y_k])} |x_k - y_k|.$$

Moreover for every  $z \in [x_k, y_k]$ , if  $|j| < m$ , then we have

$$|D^j F(z)| = |D^j F(x_k) - D^j F(z)| \leq C \|\nabla^{|j|+1} F\|_{C^0([x_k, y_k])} |x_k - y_k|.$$

If  $|j| = m$ , then

$$|D^j F(z)| = |D^j F(x_k) - D^j F(z)| \leq \|\nabla^m F\|_{C^{0,\gamma}([x_k, y_k])} |x_k - y_k|^\gamma.$$

Putting together above estimates, we obtain for  $\gamma = 0$  that

$$|f(x_k) - f(y_k)| \lesssim |x_k - y_k|^m \|\nabla^m F\|_{C^0([x_k, y_k])},$$

and for  $\gamma > 0$  that

$$|f(x_k) - f(y_k)| \lesssim |x_k - y_k|^{m+\gamma} \|\nabla^m F\|_{C^{0,\gamma}([x_k, y_k])}.$$

Since the segment  $[x_k, y_k]$  is contained in the  $\varepsilon_k/\kappa$ -neighbourhood of  $K$ , by (5.4) for  $\gamma = 0$  and (5.5) for  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{|f(x_k) - f(y_k)|}{|x_k - y_k|^{m+\gamma}} \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This gives a contradiction, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.6.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.5 apart from the following technical points. Assume that  $u \in C_c^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , with  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ , then the potential  $\Psi$  belongs to  $C^{m+1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We replace Fact 1 and Fact 4 with Whitney's extension given by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Analogous to the proof of Fact 3, one shows that  $\|\vec{\psi}_\varepsilon\|_{C_{\text{jet}}^{m,\gamma}(K)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  (see Lemma 5.1). Finally, in Point (S8) one uses Theorem 3.2 with a similar reasoning.  $\square$

**5.1. Trace theorem in Sobolev spaces with  $p > n$ .** In this subsection, we give a transparent proof of a special case of the trace theorems by Shvartsman [18]. A full trace description has two components, the extension part and the restriction part. We recall the following maximal function for jets defined by Shvartsman,

$$M^{(m)} \vec{f}(x) := \sup_{y, z \in K, y \neq z} \frac{|P_y^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x) - P_z^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x)|}{|x - y|^m + |x - z|^m}, \quad (5.10)$$

and the definition of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function  $M_h f$  for  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$M_h f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| dy.$$

**Theorem 5.2** (Extension in  $W^{m,p}$  for  $p > n$ ). *Given  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  compact,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $p > n$  and  $\vec{f} := \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq m-1} \in J^{m-1}(K)$  with  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for any  $|j| \geq 1$ , suppose*

$$\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}\|_{L^p} < +\infty.$$

Then  $F := E^{(m-1)}\vec{f}$  belongs to  $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and for any  $j$  with  $1 \leq |j| \leq m-1$ , we have  $D^j F|_K = 0$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\|\nabla^m F\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|M^{(m)}\vec{f}\|_{L^p}. \quad (5.11)$$

*Proof.* Define

$$H^{(j)}\vec{f}(x) := \begin{cases} f^{(j)}(x) & x \in K, \\ \sum_{j=l+\theta} D^l \varphi_k(x) D^\theta P_{y_k}^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x), & x \notin K. \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

In this proof, we only work with  $(m-1)$ -jets, so we introduce a short-hand notation  $P_z = P_z^{(m-1)}$ . We would like to prove that, for any  $l$  with  $|l| \leq m-1$ ,

$$\|\nabla H^{(l)}\vec{f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|M^{(m)}\vec{f}\|_{L^p}, \quad (5.13)$$

and  $D^j H^{(l)}\vec{f} = H^{(j+l)}\vec{f}$  when  $|j| = 1$ . It suffices to prove, for fixed  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , any  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < h < \varepsilon_U$ , any  $j, l$  with  $|j| = 1$  and  $|l|, |l+j| \leq m-1$ , we have

$$\|\tau_h H^{(l)}\vec{f} - H^{(l)}\vec{f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim h \|M^{(|l|+1)}\vec{f}\|_{L^p}, \quad \tau_h F(x) := F(x + he_i), \quad (5.14)$$

$$\left| \frac{\tau_h H^{(l)}\vec{f}(x) - H^{(l)}\vec{f}(x)}{h} - H^{(j+l)}\vec{f}(x) \right| \lesssim h M^{(|l|+2)}\vec{f}(x), \quad \text{for any } x \in K. \quad (5.15)$$

Here,  $\varepsilon_U$  is a small universal constant. Indeed, (5.14) implies that  $H^{(l)}\vec{f} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Note that  $H^{(l)}\vec{f}$  is smooth in  $K^c$ , then (5.15) implies the difference quotient at  $x$  in (5.15) converges pointwisely to  $H^{(j+l)}\vec{f}(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By dominated convergence, we have  $D^j H^{(l)}\vec{f} = H^{(j+l)}\vec{f}$  in  $L^p$ . This concludes the proof.

Now we prove (5.14) and (5.15) in four different cases.

**Case 1** ( $x, x + he_i \in K$ ). Taking  $y = x$  and  $z = x + he_i$ . This is obvious from the definitions of the maximal function  $M^{(\cdot)}$  for jets.

**Case 2** ( $x + he_i \in K, x \in K^c$  or  $x \in K, x + he_i \in K^c$ ). We consider the case  $x + he_i \in K, x \in K^c$ . The other one is analogous. Suppose  $x \in Q_{k_0}$ . Hence, for any  $k \in N(k_0)$ ,  $|x - y_k| \lesssim h$ . When  $|l| = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\tau_h F(x) - F(x)}{h} \right| &= \frac{1}{h} \left| F(x + he_i) - \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} \varphi_k(x) f^{(0)}(y_k) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} \varphi_k(x) |f^{(0)}(x + he_i) - f^{(0)}(y_k)| \\ &\lesssim h \min \{M^{(2)}(x), M^{(2)}(x + he_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $|l| \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\tau_h H^{(l)}\vec{f}(x) - H^{(l)}\vec{f}(x)}{h} \right| &= \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} D^l \varphi_k(x) P_{y_k} \vec{f}(x) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} D^l \varphi_k(x) (f^{(0)}(y_k) - f^{(0)}(y_{k_0})) \right| \\ &\lesssim h \min \{M^{(|l|+2)}(x), M^{(|l|+2)}(x + he_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above implies (5.14) and (5.15) with  $H^{(j+l)}\vec{f} = 0$ .



**Case 3** ( $x \in Q_{k_0}$ ,  $x + h \in Q_{k_1}$ , and  $|h| \geq \frac{1}{10} \min\{\ell(Q_{k_0}), \ell(Q_{k_1})\}$ ). As above, for any  $k \in N(k_0)$  and any  $k' \in N(k_1)$ ,  $|x - y_k| + |x - y_{k'}| \lesssim |h|$ . Then for  $|l| = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\tau_h F(x) - F(x)|}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} \varphi_k(x) P_{y_k} \vec{f}(x) - \sum_{k' \in N(k_1)} \varphi_{k'}(x) P_{y_{k'}} \vec{f}(x+h) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \sup_{k \in N(k_0), k' \in N(k_1)} |f^{(0)}(y_k) - f^{(0)}(y_{k'})| \lesssim h M^{(2)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $|l| \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\tau_h H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x) - H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x)|}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in N(k_0)} D^l \varphi_k(x) P_{y_k} \vec{f}(x) - \sum_{k' \in N(k_1)} D^l \varphi_{k'}(x+h) P_{y_{k'}} \vec{f}(x+h) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{h} \sup_{k \in N(k_0), k' \in N(k_1)} \ell(Q_{k_0})^{-|l|} |f^{(0)}(y_k) - f^{(0)}(y_{k'})| \\ &\lesssim h \min \{M^{(|l|+2)}(x), M^{(|l|+2)}(x + h e_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

These give (5.14) and (5.15) with  $H^{(j+l)} \vec{f} = 0$ .

**Case 4** ( $x \in Q_{k_0}$ ,  $x + h \in Q_{k_1}$ , and  $|h| \leq \frac{1}{10} \min\{\ell(Q_{k_0}), \ell(Q_{k_1})\}$ ). For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x + th \in K^c$ , and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x + th)| &= \left| \sum_{k \in N(k_0) \cup N(k_1)} \nabla D^l \varphi_k(x + th) f^{(0)}(y_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k \in N(k_0) \cup N(k_1)} \nabla D^l \varphi_k(x + th) f^{(0)}(y_{k_0}) \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in N(k_0) \cup N(k_1)} |\nabla D^l \varphi_k(x + th)| |f^{(0)}(y_k) - f^{(0)}(y_{k_0})| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k, x+th \in \text{supp } \varphi_k} \ell(Q_{k_0})^{-(|l|+1)} |f^{(0)}(y_k) - f^{(0)}(y_{k_0})| \\ &\lesssim M^{(|l|+1)}(x) \lesssim h M^{(|l|+2)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\tau_h H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x) - H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x) = \int_0^1 h \cdot \nabla H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x + th) dt$ . Then

$$\frac{|\tau_h H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x) - H^{(l)} \vec{f}(x)|}{|h|} \lesssim M^{(|l|+1)}(x) \lesssim h M^{(|l|+2)}(x).$$

□

**Theorem 5.3** (Restriction in  $W^{m,p}$  for  $p > n$ ). *Given  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  compact, for  $F \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define a jet  $\vec{f} \in J^{m-1}(K)$  by  $f^{(j)} = D^j F$  on  $K$  for any  $|j| \leq m-1$ . Then*

$$\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\nabla^m F\|_{L^p}.$$

*Proof.* We recall the following inequality from Mazya [16]. For fixed  $q \in (n, p)$ , for any  $|j| \leq m-1$ , any cube  $Q$  and any  $x, y \in Q$ , we have

$$|D^j F(x) - D^j P_y^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x)| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{m-|j|} \left( \int_Q |\nabla^m F|^q \right)^{1/q}. \quad (5.16)$$

Then for any  $Q$  containing  $y, z \in K$ , we have

$$|D^j P_y^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x) - D^j P_z^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x)| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{m-|j|} \left( \int_Q |\nabla^m F|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Indeed, we can obtain above by applying (5.16) twice for  $x, y$  and  $x, z$  respectively. Now let  $Q$  be centered at  $x$  with radius  $|x - y| + |x - z|$  and  $j = 0$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|D^j P_y^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x) - D^j P_z^{(m-1)} \vec{f}(x)|}{|x - y|^m + |x - z|^m} &\lesssim \left( \int_Q |\nabla^m F|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \left( M_h(|\nabla^m F|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M^{(m)} \vec{f}\|_{L^p}^p &\lesssim \int \left( M_h(|\nabla^m F|^q)(x) \right)^{p/q} dx \\ &\lesssim \|M_h(|\nabla^m F|^q)\|_{L^{p/q}}^{p/q} \lesssim \|\nabla^m F\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we show that the monotone compression (S4) in Section 4 works well in Sobolev space  $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $p > n = 2$ .

**Proposition 5.4** (Monotone compression for Sobolev spaces,  $p > 2$ ). *Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $p > 1$ , and consider a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  that satisfies  $|S(K)| = 0$ , with*

$$S(K) = \{x \in K \mid x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k, x_k \in K, \tag{5.17}$$

*$x$  and  $x_k$  are not in the same connected component for each  $k$ \}.*

Let  $\vec{f} \in J^{m-1}(K)$  satisfy  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$  and  $\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}\|_{L^p} < \infty$ , and let  $\eta_\varepsilon$  be defined by (5.1), thus satisfying (5.2) and (5.3). Then the family  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon = \{f_\varepsilon^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k}$  defined by

$$f_\varepsilon^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \eta_\varepsilon \circ f^{(0)} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \delta(\varepsilon) \tag{5.18}$$

for some function  $\delta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m > 2$ ,  $\nabla E^{(m-1)} \vec{f} = 0$  pointwisely. Here, we apply Theorem 5.2 to extend  $\vec{f}$ . Therefore,  $f^{(0)}$  is constant on each connected component.

From the properties of  $\eta_\varepsilon$ , it is easy to see

$$M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon(x) \leq M^{(m)} \vec{f}(x), \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon \text{ and any } x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{5.19}$$

Fix any  $x \in K^c$ ,  $\text{dist}(x, K) > 0$  and hence  $M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $x \notin S(K) \cup K^c$ , we prove  $M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by contradiction. Indeed, if there exists  $c > 0$  with  $M^{(m)} \vec{f}_{\varepsilon_k}(x) > c$  for  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\{(y_k, z_k)\}_k$  such that

$$\frac{|\eta_{\varepsilon_k} \circ f^{(0)}(y_k) - \eta_{\varepsilon_k} \circ f^{(0)}(z_k)|}{|x - y_k|^m + |x - z_k|^m} > \frac{c}{2}. \tag{5.20}$$

Due to  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\lim_k y_k = \lim_k z_k = x$ . Since  $x \notin S(K) \cup K^c$  and  $f^{(0)}$  is component-wise constant, we have  $|\eta_{\varepsilon_k} \circ f^{(0)}(y_k) - \eta_{\varepsilon_k} \circ f^{(0)}(z_k)| = 0$  for  $k$  large enough, which leads to a contradiction with (5.20).

Note that  $\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}\|_{L^p} < \infty$  and  $|S(K)| = 0$ . Combining the information above, we have for any  $x \notin S(K)$ ,  $M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Using that fact  $|S(K)| = 0$ , by dominated convergence, we have  $\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Trace theorem in Sobolev spaces with  $p \leq n$ .** Given a compact,  $d$ -regular set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we recall from [13] the notion of Besov space  $B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$ . As shown in [13], they describe precisely the traces of Sobolev spaces onto compact,  $d$ -regular sets. We use  $\mu$  to denote a fixed  $d$ -regular measure on  $K$ , i.e.  $\mu := \mathcal{H}^d|_K$ .

**Definition 5.5** ([13, Chapter V, § 2.3, Definition 2]). Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $k < \beta \leq k + 1$ , with  $k$  integer. The collection  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k}$  belongs to the Besov space  $B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$  if and only if there is a sequence of families  $\{f_\nu^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq [\beta]}$ , indexed by  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f_\nu^{(j)} \in L^p(\mu)$ , and a sequence  $(a_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N})$  such that for every  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- a)  $\|f^{(j)} - f_\nu^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\nu(\beta-|j|)} a_\nu$  for  $|j| \leq k$ ;
- b)  $\|f_\nu^{(j)} - f_{\nu+1}^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\nu(\beta-|j|)} a_\nu = a_\nu$  if  $\beta = k + 1$  and  $|j| = k + 1$ ;
- c)

$$\left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |R_{j\nu}(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-\nu(\beta-|j|)} a_\nu$$

for  $|j| \leq [\beta]$ , where

$$R_{j\nu}(x, y) := f_\nu^{(j)}(x) - \sum_{|j+l| \leq [\beta]} \frac{f_\nu^{(j+l)}(y)}{l!} (x-y)^l;$$

- d)  $\|f_0^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq a_0$  for  $|j| \leq [\beta]$ .

The norm of  $\vec{f}$  in  $B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$ , denoted by  $\|\vec{f}\|_{B_\beta^{p,q}(K)}$ , is given by the infimum of  $(\sum_\nu a_\nu^q)^{1/q}$  among all sequences  $(a_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  and families  $\{f_\nu^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k}$  satisfying a)-d) above.

We also recall from [13] the trace and extension theorems for Sobolev/Besov spaces. This constitutes the replacement for Fact 1 and Fact 4 in the case  $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $p \leq 2$ .

**Theorem 5.6** (Trace of Sobolev functions [13, Chapter VII, Theorem 1]). *Let  $K$  be a compact  $d$ -regular set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < d < n$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $\beta = \alpha - (n-d)/p > 0$ . Then*

$$W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)|_K = B_\beta^{p,p}(K).$$

*More precisely:*

- (i) **Restriction.** *If  $F \in W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then for every multi-index  $j$  with  $|j| \leq \beta$ , the functions  $f^{(j)} := D^j F$  are defined (and approximately continuous)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere, and satisfy the conditions a)-d) of Definition 5.5.*

(ii) **Extension.** Let  $k < \beta \leq k+1$ , with  $k$  integer. There exists an extension operator  $E : B_\beta^{p,p}(K) \rightarrow W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that, if  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k} \in B_\beta^{p,p}(K)$ , then  $\|Ef\|_{W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{B_\beta^{p,p}(K)}$ , and  $D^j F = f^{(j)}$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

We also need a replacement for the compression procedure, Fact 3. For this purpose, we first prove some properties of Besov functions whose derivatives vanish.

Consider  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k} \in B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$  satisfying

$$f^{(j)} = 0 \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere, for every } |j| \geq 1. \quad (5.21)$$

By the definition of Besov space, we know that there are approximating sequences  $\{f_\nu^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a sequence  $(a_\nu)_\nu \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N})$ , with  $\|\vec{f}\|_{B_\beta^{p,q}} \sim (\sum_\nu a_\nu^q)^{1/q}$ . A priori, the approximating sequence might not satisfy the same property (5.21). However, the following lemma shows that we can also enforce  $f_\nu^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$  and for every  $\nu$ , obtaining an equivalent norm. This result is actually formally equivalent to [13, Chapter V, § 2.3, Remark 3], but we include the proof for completeness.

**Lemma 5.7** (Zero derivatives). Let  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k} \in B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$ , and suppose that  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for every  $|j| \geq 1$ . Let  $\{f_\nu^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq [\beta]}$  be an approximating sequence satisfying a)-d) of Definition 5.5 with some constants  $a_\nu$ , satisfying  $\sum a_\nu^q < \infty$ . Then the modified sequence

$$\tilde{f}_\nu^{(j)} := \begin{cases} f_\nu^{(0)} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } |j| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

satisfies a)-d) with some sequence  $\tilde{a}_\nu$  for which  $\sum_\nu \tilde{a}_\nu^q \leq C \sum_\nu a_\nu^q$ , where  $C$  only depends on  $\beta, p, q, \mu$ .

*Proof.* For  $|j| \geq 1$ , it is clear that all assumptions a)-d) are trivially satisfied. Indeed the remainders  $\tilde{R}_{j\nu}$  for  $\tilde{f}_\nu^{(j)}$ , defined in Definition 5.5, are all zero and the other quantities at the left-hand sides of a)-d) are all zero. We thus need to show a)-d) for  $j = 0$ .

Assumption a) remains valid for  $\tilde{f}_\nu^{(0)}$ , since

$$\|f^{(0)} - \tilde{f}_\nu^{(0)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \|f^{(0)} - f_\nu^{(0)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\beta\nu} a_\nu.$$

Assumption b) is satisfied. Indeed, for  $\beta < k+1$ , this condition is empty. For  $\beta = k+1$ , if  $\beta > 1$ , the left-hand side is zero, and if  $\beta \leq 1$ , the left-hand side equals the one for  $f_\nu$ .

Assumption d) is trivially satisfied, since

$$\|\tilde{f}_0^{(0)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \|f_0^{(0)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq a_0.$$

Now we are left with checking c). The remainder simplifies to

$$\tilde{R}_{0\nu}(x, y) = \tilde{f}_\nu^{(0)}(x) - \tilde{f}_\nu^{(0)}(y),$$

because all the higher-order terms are zero. The remainder for  $\vec{f}_\nu$  is

$$R_{0\nu}(x, y) = f_\nu^{(0)}(x) - f_\nu^{(0)}(y) - \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \frac{f_\nu^{(l)}(y)}{l!} (x - y)^l.$$

By triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( 2^{d\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |\tilde{R}_{0\nu}(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( 2^{d\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |R_{0\nu}(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad + \left( 2^{d\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |R_{0\nu}(x, y) - \tilde{R}_{0\nu}(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is clearly bounded by  $2^{-\nu\beta} a_\nu$  by assumption a) for  $\vec{f}_\nu$ . Regarding the second term, we estimate every term in the Taylor expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \left( 2^{d\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} \left| \frac{f_\nu^{(l)}(y)}{l!} (x-y)^l \right|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \quad (5.22) \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \left( 2^{d\nu} \frac{1}{(l!)^p} 2^{-\nu|l|p} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_\nu^{(l)}(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \frac{1}{(l!)^p} 2^{-\nu|l|} \left( 2^{d\nu} \int |f_\nu^{(l)}(y)|^p \mu(B(y, 2^{-\nu})) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} 2^{-\nu|l|} \left( 2^{d\nu} \int |f_\nu^{(l)}(y)|^p 2^{-d\nu} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} 2^{-\nu|l|} \|f_\nu^{(l)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \quad (5.23) \end{aligned}$$

Now from b) and d) (in the case  $|j| = \beta$ ) or from a) and d) (in the case  $|j| \leq k$ ) it follows that  $\|f_\nu^{(l)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2 \sum_{i \leq \nu} a_i$  (cf. [13, Remark 2]). We deduce that the last term in (5.23) is bounded by

$$C \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} 2^{-\nu|l|} 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} a_i =: \tilde{a}_\nu.$$

By Hardy's inequality<sup>1</sup>, we have

$$\sum_{\nu} \tilde{a}_\nu^q \leq C \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \sum_{\nu} 2^{-\nu|l|q} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} a_i \right)^q \leq C_2 \sum_{1 \leq |l| \leq \beta} \sum_{\nu} 2^{-\nu q|l|} a_\nu^q \leq C_3 \sum_{\nu} a_\nu^q.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

We now consider any  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k} \in B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$  satisfying  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$ . We write for simplicity  $f = f^{(0)}$ . Using Lemma 5.7, this implies the existence of a sequence  $(a_\nu)_\nu \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N})$  and an approximating sequence  $f_\nu$  of  $L^p(\mu)$  functions (corresponding to  $f_\nu^{(0)}$ ) satisfying the following simplified conditions analogous to a)-d) in Definition 5.5,

a')  $\|f - f_\nu\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\beta\nu} a_\nu$ ;

b') This condition is empty (the minimum admissible value for  $|j|$  is 1, for which all functions are zero);

<sup>1</sup>  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{a\nu} (\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} a_i)^q \leq c \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{a\nu} a_\nu^q$  for  $a < 0$ .

c')

$$\left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_\nu(x) - f_\nu(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-2\nu} a_\nu;$$

d')  $\|f_0\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq a_0.$

With above observation, we are ready to prove the compression property for Besov spaces on  $K$ .

**Proposition 5.8** (Monotone compression in Besov spaces). *Consider the map  $\eta_\varepsilon$  from (5.1) satisfying (5.2) and (5.3). Let  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k} \in B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$  satisfy  $f^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| \geq 1$ . Then the family  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon = \{f_\varepsilon^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq k}$  defined by*

$$f_\varepsilon^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \eta_\varepsilon \circ f^{(0)} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

also belongs to  $B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$ . Moreover, it satisfies

$$\|\vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{B_\beta^{p,q}(K)} \leq \delta(\varepsilon) \tag{5.24}$$

for some function  $\delta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

*Proof.* We claim that the sequence  $f_{\varepsilon,\nu} := \eta_\varepsilon \circ f_{\varepsilon,\nu}$  is a valid approximating sequence for  $f_\varepsilon$ , i.e. satisfying all the conditions specified in Definition 5.5. Indeed, observe that, by the compression property,  $|f_{\varepsilon,\nu}^{(j)} - f_{\varepsilon,\nu+1}^{(j)}| \leq |f_\nu^{(j)} - f_{\nu+1}^{(j)}|$  and  $|f_\varepsilon^{(j)} - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}^{(j)}| \leq |f^{(j)} - f_\nu^{(j)}|$  pointwisely. It follows that  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon \in B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$ , since the left-hand sides in a)-d) do not increase after composing with  $\eta_\varepsilon$ . In particular this shows that  $(a_\nu)_\nu$  is a valid sequence also for the estimates on  $f_\varepsilon$  specified in Definition 5.5.

Next we use the smallness assumption on the  $C^0$  norm of  $\eta_\varepsilon$  to show (5.24), by estimating each term in a)-d) of Definition 5.5.

Regarding the term in a), we have that  $\|f_\varepsilon - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq 2\varepsilon\mu(K)^{1/p}$ , due to  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\|\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ . By the compression property,  $\|f_\varepsilon - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq 2^{-2\nu} a_\nu$ . It follows that

$$2^{2\nu} \|f_\varepsilon - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq \min\{2^{2\nu+1}\varepsilon\mu(K)^{1/p}, a_\nu\}.$$

The condition b) is empty, since the minimum admissible value for  $|j|$  is 1, for which all  $f_{\varepsilon,\nu}^{(j)}$  are zero.

The term in d) is similar to a) above, i.e.  $\|f_{\varepsilon,0}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq \min\{\varepsilon\mu(K)^{1/p}, a_0\}$ .

Regarding the term in c), we have two estimates: on the one hand by the compression property

$$\left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_{\varepsilon,\nu}(x) - \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,\nu}(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-2\nu} a_\nu. \tag{5.25}$$

On the other hand, since  $\|f_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_{\varepsilon,\nu}(x) - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( 2^{2\nu} (2\varepsilon)^p \int d\mu(x) \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon (2^{2\nu} \mu(K) 2^{-2\nu})^{1/p} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the upper regularity of the measure  $\mu$  to deduce that  $\mu(B(y, 2^{-\nu})) \leq C2^{-d\nu}$ . In conclusion, the left-hand side of (5.25) is bounded by  $\min\{2^{-2\nu} a_\nu, C\varepsilon\}$ .

With these observations, we define the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\varepsilon,0} &:= \min\{a_0, \varepsilon\mu(K)^{1/p}\}, \\ a_{\varepsilon,\nu} &:= \max\left\{\min\{a_\nu, C2^{2\nu}\varepsilon\}, \min\{2^{2\nu+1}\varepsilon\mu(K)^{1/p}, a_\nu\}\right\}, \quad \nu \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

- a')  $\|f_\varepsilon - f_{\varepsilon,\nu}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq 2^{-2\nu} a_{\varepsilon,\nu}$
- b')  $\|f_{\varepsilon,0}\|_{L_\mu^p(K)} \leq \tilde{a}_{\varepsilon,0}$
- d')

$$\left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_{\varepsilon,\nu}(x) - \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon,\nu}(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-2\nu} \tilde{a}_{\varepsilon,\nu}.$$

This shows that  $a_{\varepsilon,\nu}$  is a valid sequence to bound the estimates for  $f_\varepsilon$  in Definition 5.5, as it satisfies conditions a)-d). It remains to see that, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\left( \sum_\nu a_{\varepsilon,\nu}^q \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the last sum estimate from above the  $B_\beta^{p,q}(K)$  norm of  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon$  up to some uniform constant, this concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THE MAIN APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

In this section we prove the main results for Sobolev spaces, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The strategy is the same as the one adopted in Section 4 for the  $C^1$  case. However, we now use the results proven in the previous section as replacements for Facts 1-4. We will treat the cases  $p > 2$  and  $p \leq 2$  in parallel. Case 1 refers to  $p > 2$ , Case 2 refers to  $p \leq 2$ , with Subcase 2.I being  $2 - p < d \leq 2$  and Subcase 2.II being  $d \leq 2 - p$ .

Moreover, as already anticipated, we will give the full proof of the case  $m = 2$  only (corresponding to the initial vector field  $u$  belonging to  $W^{1,p}$ ). The proof for general  $m$  is virtually identical, and we comment on this in Remark 6.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for  $m = 1$ .* We follow the steps from Section 4, commenting on the required modifications.

(S1) *Potential.* Since  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , we can consider a potential  $F$  for  $u$ , namely,  $F \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\nabla^\perp F = u$ . By the Morrey-Sobolev embedding theorem,  $F$  admits a continuous representative, and we assume that  $F$  coincides with this representative. Therefore,  $F$  is well-defined at every point. Moreover, since  $\nabla F = u^\perp = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$ , without loss of generality, we assume  $F$  is compactly supported.

*Case 1* ( $p > 2$ ). By the Morrey-Sobolev embedding  $\nabla F$  is continuous, and we have that  $\nabla F = 0$  pointwisely on  $K$ .

*Case 2* ( $p \leq 2$ ). In this case,  $\nabla F = 0$   $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere on  $K$ .

*Subcase 2.I* ( $2 - p < d \leq 2$ ). By Theorem 2.3 we have that  $\nabla F = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $K$ .

*Subcase 2.II* ( $d \leq 2 - p$ ). In this case we have no restriction on  $\nabla F$ .

(S2) *Restriction.*

*Case 1* ( $p > 2$ ). We apply Theorem 5.3 to obtain the restriction  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq 1}$  to the set  $K$ . Observe that  $F$  and  $\nabla F$  are continuous by the Morrey-Sobolev embedding. In particular, all the  $f^{(j)}$  are continuous.

*Case 2* ( $p \leq 2$ ). We apply the restriction part in Theorem 5.6 with  $\alpha = 2$ .

*Subcase 2.I* ( $2 - p < d \leq 2$ ). In this case  $\beta = 2 - \frac{2-d}{p} \in (1, 2]$ , and the restriction  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq 1} := \{(D^j F)|_K\}_{|j| \leq 1}$  belongs to  $B_\beta^{p,p}(K)$ . Moreover  $f^{(j)} = 0$   $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere for  $|j| = 1$ , and thus also  $\mu$ -almost everywhere by Theorem 2.3. According to Definition 5.5 with  $k = 1$ , we have that  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(j)}\}_{|j| \leq 1}$  satisfies a)-d) of Definition 5.5, namely there exist sequences  $(f_\nu^{(j)})_\nu \subset L^p(\mu)$ ,  $|j| \leq 1$ , and  $(a_\nu)_\nu \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

- (a)  $\|f^{(j)} - f_\nu^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\nu\beta} a_\nu$ ;
- (b)  $\|f_\nu^{(j)} - f_{\nu+1}^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq 2^{-\nu\beta} a_\nu$  if  $\beta = 2$  and  $|j| = 2$ ;
- (c)

$$\left( 2^{2\nu} \int \int_{|x-y| < 2^{-\nu}} |f_\nu^{(0)}(x) - f_\nu^{(0)}(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-\nu\beta}.$$

Here due to Lemma 5.7, we also choose without loss of generality  $f_\nu^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| = 1$ .

- (d)  $\|f_0^{(j)}\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq a_0$  for  $|j| \leq [\beta]$ .

*Subcase 2.II* ( $d \leq 2 - p$ ). In this case  $\beta = 2 - \frac{2-d}{p} \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore, due to Theorem 5.6, the restriction jet is given by  $\vec{f} = \{f^{(0)}\} := \{F|_K\}$ , satisfying the same conditions above.

(S3) *Sard.* By Lemma 2.8, we have that  $F(K)$  is a compact set with zero measure. As in the  $C^1$  case, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can therefore find finitely many intervals  $\{I_i^\varepsilon\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  of total measure at most  $\varepsilon$  and the well-separated open sets  $\{U_i^\varepsilon\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  whose union contains  $\Omega^c$ . Here, note that  $F$  is constant on  $\tilde{K}$  in Case 2.



(S4) *Monotone compression.* There is no essential modification compared to the  $C^1$  case. We define the compression map  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon := \eta_\varepsilon \circ \vec{f}$ , where  $\eta_\varepsilon$  is defined as in (4.1). This means that  $f_\varepsilon^{(0)} = \eta_\varepsilon \circ f^{(0)}$  and  $f_\varepsilon^{(j)} = 0$  for  $|j| = 1$ .

(S5) *Estimate on the compressed jets.*

*Case 1* ( $p > 2$ ). With  $|S(\Omega^c)| = 0$  and Proposition 5.4, we deduce that the compressed jets  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon$  satisfy  $\|M^{(m)} \vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ , where  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

*Case 2* ( $p \leq 2$ ). We apply Proposition 5.8 to deduce that the compressed jets  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon$  satisfies  $\|\vec{f}_\varepsilon\|_{B_\beta^{p,p}(K)} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ , where  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . This is true both in Subcase 2.I and in Subcase 2.II.

(S6) *Extension.*

*Case 1* ( $p > 2$ ). We first apply Theorem 5.2 with the compact set given by  $\Omega^c \cap \bar{B}_R(0)$  with sufficiently large  $R > 0$  such that  $\bar{\Omega} \subset B_R(0)$ , thus we extend  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon$  to a function  $F_\varepsilon \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\nabla F_\varepsilon = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$ . From the definition of the Whitney extension operator,  $F_\varepsilon$  is constant on each connected component of  $\Omega^c$ . By the estimates in (S5) and in Theorem 5.2, we have  $\|\nabla^2 F_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \delta(\varepsilon)$ .

*Case 2* ( $p \leq 2$ ). Recall that the bounded domain  $\Omega$  admits the decomposition  $\Omega^c = K \cup \tilde{K}$ , with  $\tilde{K}$  being the unbounded component and  $K$  being  $d$ -regular. We fix a cutoff function  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\chi$  is supported on  $\tilde{K}^c$  and such that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $K$ .

We apply the extension part in Theorem 5.6 to extend  $\vec{f}_\varepsilon$  to a function  $F_\varepsilon^* \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Denote  $F_\varepsilon = \chi F_\varepsilon^*$ . From the estimates in (S5), we have  $\|F_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim C(\chi)\delta(\varepsilon)$ . Here,  $C(\chi) > 0$  is a constant depending on  $\chi$  and independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

In Subcase 2.I,  $F_\varepsilon$  satisfies  $D^j F_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^{(j)}$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $K$ , for every  $|j| \leq 1$ . However, since  $F_\varepsilon$  belongs to  $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  it is also continuous, hence we conclude that  $F_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^{(0)}$  everywhere on  $K$ .

In Subcase 2.II, the function satisfies  $F_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^{(0)}$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $K$ . However, for the same reason above  $F_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^{(0)}$  everywhere on  $K$ .

(S7) *Auxiliary function.*

In this step we construct an auxiliary function  $h_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with

$$\text{supp } \nabla h_\varepsilon \subset \Omega, \quad (6.1)$$

$$g_\varepsilon := F - F_\varepsilon - h_\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{on } \Omega^c. \quad (6.2)$$

*Case 1* ( $p > 2$ ). Due to the definitions of  $\eta_\varepsilon$ ,  $U_i^\varepsilon$ , and the same reason as the  $C^1$  case in Section 4,  $F - F_\varepsilon = c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $\Omega^c \cap U_i^\varepsilon$  for any  $i$ .  $\{\Omega^c \cap U_i^\varepsilon\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  are finitely many disjoint closed sets, hence we can find  $h_\varepsilon \in C^\infty$  such that  $h_\varepsilon = c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $U_i^\varepsilon$  and (6.1), (6.2) hold.

Furthermore, we have  $\nabla g_\varepsilon = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$ .

*Case 2* ( $p \leq 2$ ). For the same reason above,  $F - F_\varepsilon = c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $K \cap U_i^\varepsilon$  for any  $i$ . Moreover,  $F - F_\varepsilon = \tilde{c}$  on  $\tilde{K}$ . Similarly, we can find  $h_\varepsilon \in C^\infty$  such that  $h_\varepsilon = c_i^\varepsilon$  on  $U_i^\varepsilon$ ,  $h_\varepsilon = \tilde{c}$  on  $\tilde{K}$  and (6.1), (6.2) hold.

In Subcase 2.I, we also have  $\nabla g_\varepsilon = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $K$ , and thus by Theorem 2.3 also  $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere on  $K$ .

(S8) *Hedberg's theorem and conclusion.* Now we apply Theorem 3.1 to find a sequence  $g_\varepsilon^k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\|g_\varepsilon^k - g_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,p}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and we define the sequence  $u_\varepsilon^k := \nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon)$ . Since  $\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon) = 0$  on some neighbourhood of  $\Omega^c$ , we have  $u_\varepsilon^k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . Also, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon^k - u\|_{W^{1,p}} &= \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k + h_\varepsilon - F)\|_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\leq \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon + h_\varepsilon - F)\|_{W^{1,p}} + \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon^k)\|_{W^{1,p}} \\ &= \|\nabla^\perp F_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}} + \|\nabla^\perp(g_\varepsilon^k - g_\varepsilon)\|_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\leq \|F_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,p}} + \|g_\varepsilon^k - g_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the first summand goes to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by Step (6), while the second summand goes to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by the conclusion of Theorem 3.1. Taking a diagonal sequence, we can extract an approximating sequence of divergence-free vector fields  $\{u_k\}_k$  converging to  $u$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.1* (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for  $m \geq 2$ ). If in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2,  $u$  is assumed to be of class  $W^{m,p}$ , then the potential  $F$  belongs to  $W_{\text{loc}}^{m+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and virtually the same proof applies. The only changes are the order of the derivatives that we are considering in each step. In particular, by the Morrey-Sobolev embedding all derivatives of  $F$  up to order  $m - 1$  are continuous. The role that  $\nabla F$  has in the proof above is now taken by  $\nabla^m F$ .

In Case 1 ( $p > 2$ ),  $\nabla^m F$  is continuous. In Case 2 ( $p \leq 2$ ), we have  $\nabla^m F = 0$   $C_{1,p}$ -quasi everywhere. As a consequence we have  $\nabla^m F = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. in Subcase 2.I ( $2 - p < d \leq 2$ ), while in Subcase 2.II ( $d \leq 2 - p$ ) we have no restriction on  $\nabla^m F$ .

Regarding the trace theorems (Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 for  $p > 2$ , and Theorem 5.6 for  $p \leq 2$ ), they are stated for Sobolev spaces of arbitrary integer order. The trace space becomes the Besov space  $B_\beta^{p,p}$  with  $\beta = m - \frac{2-d}{p} \in (m - 1, m + 1]$ . Similarly, the monotone compression procedure of Proposition 5.8 works for arbitrary order.

Finally, Hedberg's result also holds for Sobolev spaces of any order, concluding the proof for  $m \geq 2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] David R. Adams and Lars Inge Hedberg. *Function spaces and potential theory*, volume 314 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] Arne Beurling. Sur les spectres des fonctions. In *Analyse Harmonique*, volume no. 15 of *Colloq. Internat. CNRS*, pages 9–29. CNRS, Paris, 1949.
- [3] M. E. Bogovskiĭ. Solution of the first boundary value problem for an equation of continuity of an incompressible medium. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 248(5):1037–1040, 1979.
- [4] M. E. Bogovskiĭ. Solutions of some problems of vector analysis, associated with the operators div and grad. In *Theory of cubature formulas and the application of functional analysis to problems of mathematical physics*, volume No. 1, 1980 of *Proc. Sobolev Sem.*, pages 5–40, 149. Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 1980.

- [5] Jean Bourgain, Mikhail Korobkov, and Jan Kristensen. On the Morse-Sard property and level sets of Sobolev and BV functions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 29(1):1–23, 2013.
- [6] V. I. Burenkov. The approximation of functions in the space  $W_p^r(\Omega)$  by compactly supported functions for an arbitrary open set  $\Omega$ . *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 131:51–63, 245, 1974.
- [7] Jacques Deny. Les potentiels d'énergie finie. *Acta Math.*, 82:107–183, 1950.
- [8] G. P. Galdi. *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011. Steady-state problems.
- [9] Lars Inge Hedberg. Approximation in the mean by solutions of elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.*, 40:9–16, 1973.
- [10] Lars Inge Hedberg. Two approximation problems in function spaces. *Ark. Mat.*, 16(1):51–81, 1978.
- [11] Lars Inge Hedberg. Spectral synthesis in Sobolev spaces, and uniqueness of solutions of the Dirichlet problem. *Acta Math.*, 147(3-4):237–264, 1981.
- [12] John G. Heywood. On uniqueness questions in the theory of viscous flow. *Acta Math.*, 136(1-2):61–102, 1976.
- [13] Alf Jonsson and Hans Wallin. Function spaces on subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *Math. Rep.*, 2(1):xiv+221, 1984.
- [14] O. A. Ladyženskaja and V. A. Solonnikov. Some problems of vector analysis, and generalized formulations of boundary value problems for the Navier-Stokes equation. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 59:81–116, 256, 1976.
- [15] J.-L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, Paris; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [16] Vladimir Maz'ya. *Sobolev spaces with applications to elliptic partial differential equations*, volume 342 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, augmented edition, 2011.
- [17] John C. Polking. Approximation in  $L^p$  by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 94:1231–1244, 1972.
- [18] Pavel Shvartsman. Whitney-type extension theorems for jets generated by sobolev functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 313:379–469, 2017.
- [19] S. L. Sobolev. On a boundary value problem for polyharmonic equations. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)*, 33:1–40, 1963.
- [20] Elias M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, volume No. 30 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [21] Roger Temam. *Navier–Stokes equations: theory and numerical analysis*, volume 343. American Mathematical Society, 2024.
- [22] S. K. Vodopyanov and A. I. Tyulenev. Sobolev  $W_p^1$ -spaces on  $d$ -thick closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Mat. Sb.*, 211(6):40–94, 2020.
- [23] Vladimír Šverák. On optimal shape design. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 315(5):545–549, 1992.
- [24] Gengsheng Wang and Donghui Yang. Decomposition of vector-valued divergence free Sobolev functions and shape optimization for stationary Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(1-3):429–449, 2008.
- [25] William P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions*, volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation.