

Upper bounds of nodal sets for Gevrey regular parabolic equations

Guher Camliyurt, Igor Kukavica, and Linfeng Li

ABSTRACT. We consider the size of the nodal set of the solution of the second order parabolic-type equation with Gevrey regular coefficients. We provide an upper bound as a function of time. The dependence agrees with a sharp upper bound when the coefficients are analytic. September 17, 2024

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Gevrey regular parabolic problem and the main result	2
3. Proof of the main theorem	3
Acknowledgments	7
References	7

1. Introduction

We consider the size of the nodal (zero) set to the solution of the parabolic equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = w \cdot \nabla u + vu, \quad (1.1)$$

in $\mathbb{T}^d \times (0, T)$, where $d \geq 1$, with given v and w and the initial data $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Under the assumption that v and w are $\frac{1}{\beta}$ -Gevrey regular, we provide an upper bound on the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the nodal set. Our main result, Theorem 2.1, shows that for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $t \in (0, t_0]$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : u(x, t) = 0\}) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/\beta} \log^{2(1/\beta-1)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending on the Dirichlet quotient of u , and the regularity of v and w .

In [Y], Yau conjectured that the Laplacian eigenfunctions on a compact smooth Riemannian manifold M satisfy

$$C_1 \lambda^{1/2} \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in M : u(x) = 0\}) \leq C_2 \lambda^{1/2}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are some constants. For the eigenfunctions to the Laplacian equation $\Delta u = -\lambda u$, Donnelly and Fefferman established in [DF1, DF2, DF3] the lower and upper bounds on Hausdorff measure of nodal sets on compact Riemannian manifolds, establishing Yau's conjecture for real analytic manifolds with or without boundary. In [K1], the author obtained a sharp upper bound for arbitrary even order real analytic elliptic operator. More recently, Hezari provided in [He] an upper bound for the size of nodal sets of eigenfunctions when the Riemannian manifold has Gevrey or quasianalytic regularity, using a previous result in [IK1] on the size of the zero set for Gevrey functions. Note that the paper [IK1] provides an upper bound for the zero set of a 1D parabolic equation with Gevrey coefficients. Motivated by (1.3), Nadirashvili conjectured in [N] that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in B(0, 1) : u(x) = 0\}) \geq c,$$

where u is a harmonic function in the unit ball $B(0, 1)$ and $c > 0$ depends only on the dimension.

In recent years, Logunov and Malinnikova achieved significant progress towards Yau's conjecture for smooth manifolds [Lo1, Lo2, LoM1]. In [Lo1], Logunov proved Nadirashvili's conjecture and showed the lower bound in

Yau's conjecture for compact C^∞ -smooth Riemannian manifold without boundary. In [LoM1], the authors established further estimates on the nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions; their result refined the upper bound in the Donnelly-Fefferman estimate when $d = 2$ and yielded a lower bound when $d = 3$. We refer the reader to the review of Yau's conjecture in [LoM2] and for further results and references. Also, see [D, Do, HL, HS, L, LZ] for other results on the size of the nodal sets of solutions of partial differential equations.

The study of nodal sets is closely related to the unique continuation for solutions of the parabolic equation. However, functions of Gevrey class in general may not satisfy the strong unique continuation property. Namely, the function may have infinite order of vanishing even if it is not identically equal to zero. In [IK1], the authors gave a quantitative estimate of unique continuation for one-dimensional parabolic equation with Gevrey coefficients. As an application, they provided an upper bound on the number of zeros with a polynomial dependence on the coefficients. The same authors considered in [IK2] a higher order elliptic equation with Gevrey coefficients. They obtained an upper bound on the Hausdorff length of the nodal sets of the solution in a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with a polynomial dependence on the coefficients. In [K3], the author provided an upper bound of the nodal set to the parabolic equation $\partial_t u + \mathcal{A}u = 0$, where \mathcal{A} is strongly elliptic and real-analytic.

The goal of this paper is to provide an explicit upper bound of the Hausdorff measure for the nodal set of the solution to the parabolic equation (1.1) when v and w are Gevrey regular. Setting the Gevrey exponent $1/\beta$ equal to 1 in (1.2), we provide the same power in $1/t$ as in [K3]. It is worth mentioning that the power in $1/t$ in the bound of [K3] is sharp as it implies the upper bounds in [Do, DF1]. Our result is obtained by using the quantitative estimate of unique continuation for Gevrey parabolic equations (cf. Lemmas 3.1–3.2) and a Gevrey-type energy estimate lemma. The proof of the main result benefits from the ideas used in [IK1].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the parabolic equation and the assumptions, followed by the main result, Theorem 2.1, an upper bound on the size of the nodal set. In Section 3, we recall and prove some preliminary lemmas and provide the proof of the main result.

2. Gevrey regular parabolic problem and the main result

We consider the solution to the parabolic equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = w \cdot \nabla u + vu, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times I, \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ is the d -dimensional torus with side length 2π and $I = (0, t_0]$ is a given time interval. We require that u , v , and w are periodic with respect to \mathbb{T}^d . We assume that u_0 is not identically zero. Suppose that there exist constants $M_0, M_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq M_0 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in I} (\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)}) \leq M_1. \quad (2.4)$$

For any $t \in I$, denote by

$$q_D(t) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega u(x, t)^2 dx} \quad (2.5)$$

the Dirichlet quotient of u , and

$$q_0 = \sup_{t \in I} q_D(t)$$

the uniform upper bound. We identify functions $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with their Fourier series

$$u(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u_j e^{ijx}, \quad u_j \in \mathbb{C}^d, \quad u_{-j} = \bar{u}_j.$$

For $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we define the scalar product

$$(u, v) = (2\pi)^d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u_j \bar{v}_j$$

and the norm $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = (u, u)$. Let $A = -\Delta$. For fixed $t, \beta > 0$, we introduce the Gevrey class

$$D(e^{tA^{\beta/2}}) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \|e^{tA^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{2t|j|^\beta} |u_j|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

From here on, we fix $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and assume that there exist constants $\delta, K_v, K_w > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|(A^d + I)e^{\delta A^{\beta/2}} v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq K_v \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|(A^d + I)e^{\delta A^{\beta/2}} w(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq K_w. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $t \in I = (0, t_0]$. We are interested in the size of nodal set $\{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : u(x, t) = 0\}$ of the nontrivial solution to (2.1) and (2.2) with Gevrey regular v and w . Denote by

$$N(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^d : u(x, t) = 0\}$$

the nodal set, for which we provide the upper bound in the theorem stated next. Throughout this paper, we assume that $t_0 \leq 1/CM_1^2$, where $C \geq 2$ is the constant as in [K2, Lemma 2.5]. We can do so without loss of generality since if t_0 is larger, then we obtain (2.8) below for $t \leq 1/CM_1^2$ and (2.8) with C on the right-hand side otherwise.

The following is the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is the solution to (2.1) and (2.2) on $\mathbb{T}^d \times I$ with $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ not identically zero. Assume that v and w satisfy (2.3)–(2.4) and (2.6)–(2.7). Then, for each $t \in I$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(N(t)) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \log^{2(\frac{1}{\beta}-1)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on q_0, M_0, M_1, K_v and K_w .

The theorem is proven in the next section.

3. Proof of the main theorem

First we recall the following lemma from [K2].

LEMMA 3.1 ([K2]). *Let u be the solution to (2.1) and (2.2) with v and w satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). Then for every $r \in (0, 1]$ and $p \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq \exp(P(t^{-1}, r^{-1}, q_0, M_0, M_1)) \|u(t)\|_{L^2(B_r(p))}^2$$

for all $t \in (0, t_0]$, where P is a nonnegative polynomial.

Since the dependence of P on r and t , encoded in [K2, Lemma 2.6], is important, we recall the following result.

LEMMA 3.2 ([K2]). *Let u be the solution to (2.1) and (2.2) with v and w satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). Then for every $t \in (0, t_0]$ and every $\mu_0 \in (0, 1]$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq C e^{\mu_0^2/4\mu^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(B_{\delta_0})}^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu > 0$ is determined in the following way: Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\mu) = & C \left(t q_0 + M_1^2 + M_1^2 t + M_0^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \right) \log \frac{t}{\mu^2} \\ & + C \left(M_1 t^{1/2} + M_1^2 t + M_0 t + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \right); \end{aligned}$$

then δ is obtained by requiring

$$\frac{1}{\mu^2} \geq \frac{C}{\mu_0^2} \log \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{C(\beta(\mu) + 1)}{\delta_0^2} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$0 < \mu < \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}, \mu_0 \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that for any $\mu_0 \in (0, 1/2]$, we may take

$$\delta = \frac{\mu_0 \sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}}{K \log^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_0}},$$

where $K > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant depending on q_0, M_0 and M_1 , with the assumptions (3.2) and (3.3) on μ satisfied. Thus, from (3.1), it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{\mu_0})^{\frac{1}{t}}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(B(\mu_0))}^2, \quad (3.4)$$

for any $\mu_0 \in (0, 1/2]$ and $t \in (0, t_0]$.

The following lemma provides a Gevrey estimate for the parabolic equation (2.1)–(2.2), combined with the backward uniqueness for (2.1)–(2.2).

LEMMA 3.3. *Denote $A = -\Delta$. Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution to (2.1) with $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Suppose that v and w satisfy (2.3)–(2.4) and (2.6)–(2.7). Then we have*

$$\|e^{\delta A^{\beta/2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C(\delta^{2/(2-\beta)} t^{-\beta/(2-\beta)} + t(K_w^2 + K_v + M_1 + M_0 + q_0)) \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

for any $t \in (0, t_0]$, where $C > 0$ is a constant.

PROOF. Fix $t \in (0, t_0]$. Let $\alpha \in (0, \delta/t]$. By taking the L^2 -inner product of (2.1) with $e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \\ &= (e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} (w \cdot \nabla u), e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u) + \alpha \|A^{\beta/4} e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 + (e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} (vu), e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The term I_1 is estimated as in [FT, Lemma 2.1], leading to

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} (A^d + I) w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + CK_w^2 \phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for some constant $C > 0$, where we denoted $\phi(t) = \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2$. For the term I_2 , we appeal to Young's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \alpha \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |j|^\beta e^{2\alpha t |j|^\beta} |u_j|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |j|^2 e^{2\alpha t |j|^\beta} |u_j|^2 + C\alpha^{2/(2-\beta)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{2\alpha t |j|^\beta} |u_j|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + C\alpha^{2/(2-\beta)} \phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. For any $\tau \geq 0$, we follow the proof of [FT, Lemma 2.1] to obtain

$$|(e^{\tau A^{\beta/2}} (uw), e^{\tau A^{\beta/2}} u)| \leq C \|(A^d + I) e^{\tau A^{\beta/2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|e^{\tau A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|e^{\tau A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \quad (3.8)$$

For the term I_3 , we use (2.6) and (3.8), obtaining

$$I_3 \leq C \|(A^d + I) e^{\delta A^{\beta/2}} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|e^{\alpha t A^{\beta/2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq CK_v \phi(t), \quad (3.9)$$

for some constant $C > 0$, where we used $\alpha t \leq \delta$ in the first inequality. From (3.5)–(3.7) and (3.9) it follows that

$$\phi'(t) \leq C(\alpha^{2/(2-\beta)} + K_w^2 + K_v) \phi(t),$$

from where

$$\phi(t) \leq \exp(Ct(\alpha^{2/(2-\beta)} + K_w^2 + K_v)) \phi(0).$$

Letting $\alpha = \delta/t$, we get

$$\|e^{\delta A^{\beta/2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \exp(Ct(\delta^{2/(2-\beta)} t^{-2/(2-\beta)} + K_w^2 + K_v)) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking the inner product of (2.1) with u and using $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = q_D(t) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$, where $q_D(t)$ is defined in (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + q_D(t) \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\operatorname{div} w) u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} v u^2 dx.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 &\geq -(\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} + q_D(t)) \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \\ &\geq -(M_1 + M_0 + q_0) \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's argument, we arrive at

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \geq \exp(-2t(M_1 + M_0 + q_0)) \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

and the proof is concluded by combining (3.10) and (3.11). \square

Next we provide an upper bound estimate of Hausdorff measure of a set $N \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $r > 0$. Suppose that $N \subset B_{2r}$ is a subset of a countable union of $(d-1)$ -dimensional C^1 graphs. Let $T = \{p_{\pm 1}, \dots, p_{\pm d}\}$, where $p_j \in B_{r/10d}(re_j)$ with the standard basis e_j in \mathbb{R}^d , for $j = \pm 1, \dots, \pm d$. If N has at most n intersections with any line through any $p \in T$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(N) \leq Cnr^{d-1}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of N , n and r .

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.4. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r = 1$ and establish

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(N) \leq Cn, \quad (3.13)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Fix $q \in N$. Note that there are at most n unit normal vectors to N at q . Let $\nu_q = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ be a unit normal vector to N at q and $|\mu_k| = \max\{|\mu_1|, \dots, |\mu_d|\}$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. We claim that there exists some $p \in T$ such that

$$\frac{|(q-p) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q-p|} \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$|q-p| \geq 1/10d. \quad (3.15)$$

Let $p_j = e_j + w_j/10d$, where $w_j \in B_1$, for $j = \pm 1, \dots, \pm d$. If $q \in N \setminus (B_{1/5d}(e_k) \cup B_{1/5d}(e_{-k}))$, we get

$$\frac{|(q-p_k) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q-p_k|} + \frac{|(q-p_{-k}) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q-p_{-k}|} \geq \left| \left(e_k + \frac{w_k - w_{-k}}{20d} \right) \cdot \nu_q \right| \geq |\mu_k| - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{10d} |\mu_j| \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}},$$

from where

$$\max_{p \in \{p_k, p_{-k}\}} \frac{|(q-p) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q-p|} \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}},$$

and

$$\min_{p \in \{p_k, p_{-k}\}} |q-p| \geq 1/10d.$$

If $q \in B_{1/5d}(e_k)$, then $q = e_k + w/5d$ for some $w \in B_1$. Consequently, we have

$$\frac{|(q-p_{-k}) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q-p_{-k}|} \geq \frac{1}{2} |(e_k + w/5d - e_{-k} - w_{-k}/10d) \cdot \nu_q| \geq |\mu_k| - \frac{3}{20d} \sum_{j=1}^d |\mu_j| \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{d}} \quad (3.16)$$

and $|q-p_{-k}| \geq 1/10d$. For the case $q \in B_{1/5d}(e_{-k})$, we proceed analogously as in (3.16) and thus complete the proof of the claim. Thus by continuity, there exists a sufficiently small constant $\epsilon > 0$ independent of q and p such that

$$\frac{|(q'-p) \cdot \nu_q|}{|q'-p|} \geq \frac{1}{8\sqrt{d}},$$

for any $q' \in B_\epsilon(q) \cap N$.

For each $p \in T$, denote by F_p the collection of points $q \in N$ such that there exists a unit normal vector ν_q to N at q with (3.14) and (3.15). Since N has at most n intersections with any line through p , we infer that F_p is comparable to $B_4(p)$ up to a universal constant depending on d . Namely, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(F_p) \leq Cn\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(B_4(p)) \leq Cn,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. Therefore, the proof of (3.13) is completed by applying the above inequality to all $p \in T$ and noting that $N \subset \cup_{p \in T} F_p$. Finally, rescaling (3.13) to a ball of radius $2r$ gives the factor r^{d-1} in (3.12). \square

REMARK 3.5. Note that the minimal number of points needed in T is $d + 1$. Indeed, we use a simple fact that if the determinant of d vectors is nonzero, then these d vectors are non-coplanar. Consequently, the angle between $q - p$ and ν_q in (3.14) is bounded away from zero.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Fix $p \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $t \in (0, t_0]$. Let $r \in (0, 1/2]$ be a small constant to be determined below. For each $j \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm d\}$, there exist a point $q_j \in B_{r/10d}(p + re_j)$ such that

$$|u(q_j)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/10d}(p + re_j))},$$

where e_j is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d . Thus, from Lemma 3.1 and (3.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} &\leq C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(B_{r/10d}(p + re_j))} \\ &\leq r^{\frac{d}{2}} C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(B_{r/10d}(p + re_j))} \leq 2r^{\frac{d}{2}} C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} |u(q_j)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Fix $j \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm d\}$ and a line l through q_j . We assume that u has n zeros in the line segment $l \cap B_{2r}(p)$, counting the multiplicity. We rotate the coordinate so that u is a function of a single variable and we use $u^{(m)}$ to denote the m -th derivative of u . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be k distinct zeros in $l \cap B_{2r}(p)$ with multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 1$ and $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$. By the Hermite interpolation theorem, there exists a unique interpolation polynomial P_{n-1} of u , of degree less than or equal to $n - 1$, satisfying

$$P_{n-1}^{(m)}(x_l) = u^{(m)}(x_l), \quad 0 \leq m \leq m_l - 1, \quad l = 1, \dots, k. \quad (3.18)$$

Moreover, for any $x \in l \cap B_{2r}(p)$, there exists some $\xi \in l \cap B_{2r}(p)$ depending on x such that

$$u(x) - P_{n-1}(x) = \frac{(x - x_1)^{m_1} \cdots (x - x_k)^{m_k}}{n!} u^{(n)}(\xi),$$

from where

$$\|u - P_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))} \leq \frac{\sup_{x \in l \cap B_{2r}(p)} |(x - x_1)^{m_1} \cdots (x - x_k)^{m_k}|}{n!} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))}.$$

From (3.18) and the uniqueness it follows that $P_{n-1} \equiv 0$. Consequently, we get

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))} \leq \|u - P_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))} + \|P_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))} \leq \frac{C^n r^n}{n!} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. From (3.17) and (3.19) it follows that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2r^{\frac{d}{2}} C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))} \leq \frac{C^n r^{n + \frac{d}{2}}}{n!} C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(l \cap B_{2r}(p))},$$

since $q_j \in l \cap B_{2r}(p)$. Appealing to the Sobolev inequality and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} &\leq \frac{C^n r^{n + \frac{d}{2}}}{n!} C^{K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} \|(A^d + I)A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ &\leq C_0^{n + K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}} + \delta^{2/(2-\beta)} t^{-\beta/(2-\beta)} + t(K_w^2 + K_v + M_1 + M_0 + q_0)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{r^{n + \frac{d}{2}}}{n!} (n + 2d)!^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$. Denote by $C_1 := K_w^2 + K_v + M_1 + M_0 + q_0$ and $C_2 := \delta^{2/(2-\beta)}$. In order for the inequality (3.20) to hold, it is necessary that

$$C_0^{n + K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r})^{\frac{1}{\tau}} + C_1 t + C_2 t^{-\beta/(2-\beta)}} \frac{r^{n + \frac{d}{2}}}{n!} (n + 2d)!^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \geq 1. \quad (3.21)$$

Note that by Stirling formula there exists a universal constant $C_3 > 0$ such that $C_3^n n^{1/2+n} < n! \leq n^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking the logarithm of (3.21) and appealing to the Stirling formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(n + \frac{K^2}{t} \log \frac{1}{r} + C_1 t + C_2 t^{-\beta/(2-\beta)} \right) \log C_0 \\ & + \left(n + \frac{d}{2} \right) \log r - \left(\frac{1}{2} + n \right) \log n - n \log C_3 + \frac{n+2d}{\beta} \log(n+2d) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Let n_0 be the largest integer less than or equal to $2K^2 \log(\frac{1}{r}) \frac{1}{t} + 2d + 1$. In the left side of (3.22) we replace n with n_0 , and the resulting quantity is bounded from above by

$$\left(C_1 t + C_2 t^{-\frac{\beta}{2-\beta}} \right) \log C_0 + n_0 \log \left(\frac{C_0^2 r^2 \frac{1}{t} n_0^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{C_3} \right) + \frac{2d}{\beta} \log(2n_0). \quad (3.23)$$

Let

$$r = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}{\log^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}(\frac{1}{t})M}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $M \geq 1$ is a sufficiently large constant depending on C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 and K . Then the quantity in (3.23) is less than 0 for all $t \in (0, t_0]$, since $\beta/(2-\beta) \leq 1$. Consequently, the value $n = n_0$ does not satisfy (3.22) and thus does not satisfy (3.20). We infer that u has less than n_0 zeros in $l \cap B_{2r}(p)$ for fixed $r > 0$ as in (3.24).

For $t \in (0, t_0]$, since the order of vanishing for $u(x, t)$ is finite for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we infer from [M, Claim 2] that $N(t)$ is contained in a union of $(d-1)$ -dimensional C^1 graphs. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the union is taken on a countable set, as otherwise we consider any countable subset of the uncountable union and take the supremum. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(N(t) \cap B_{2r}(p)) \leq C n_0 r^{d-1}.$$

We cover \mathbb{T}^d using a finite number of balls $B_{2r}(p)$ to get

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(N(t)) \leq C n_0 r^{d-1} \frac{1}{r^d} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \log^{2(\frac{1}{\beta}-1)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a sufficiently large constant depending on q_0, M_0, M_1, K_v and K_w . The proof of (2.8) is thus completed. \square

Acknowledgments

IK was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-2205493.

References

- [D] R.T. Dong, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemann surfaces*, University of California, San Diego, 1990.
- [Do] H. Donnelly, *Nodal sets for sums of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), no. 3, 967–973.
- [DF1] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 1, 161–183.
- [DF2] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets for eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 333–353.
- [DF3] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions: Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Analysis, et cetera, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 251–262.
- [FT] C. Foias and R. Temam, *Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **87** (1989), no. 2, 359–369.
- [HL] Q. Han and F.-H. Lin, *On the geometric measure of nodal sets of solutions*, J. Partial Differential Equations **7** (1994), no. 2, 111–131.
- [HS] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 2, 505–522.
- [He] H. Hezari, *Upper bounds on the size of nodal sets for Gevrey and quasianalytic Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **404** (2023), no. 3, 1341–1359.
- [IK1] M. Ignatova and I. Kukavica, *Unique continuation and complexity of solutions to parabolic partial differential equations with Gevrey coefficients*, Adv. Differential Equations **15** (2010), no. 9-10, 953–975.

- [IK2] M. Ignatova and I. Kukavica, *Strong unique continuation for higher order elliptic equations with Gevrey coefficients*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 4, 2983–3000.
- [K1] I. Kukavica, *Nodal volumes for eigenfunctions of analytic regular elliptic problems*, J. Anal. Math. **67** (1995), 269–280.
- [K2] I. Kukavica, *Length of vorticity nodal sets for solutions of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **28** (2003), no. 3-4, 771–793.
- [K3] I. Kukavica, *Hausdorff measure of level sets for solutions of parabolic equations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995), no. 13, 671–682.
- [L] F.-H. Lin, *Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), no. 3, 287–308.
- [Lo1] A. Logunov, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: polynomial upper estimates of the Hausdorff measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), no. 1, 221–239.
- [Lo2] A. Logunov, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: proof of Nadirashvili’s conjecture and of the lower bound in Yau’s conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), no. 1, 241–262.
- [LoM1] A. Logunov and E. Malinnikova, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: estimates of the Hausdorff measure in dimensions two and three, 50 years with Hardy spaces*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 261, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 333–344.
- [LoM2] A. Logunov and E. Malinnikova, *Review of Yau’s conjecture on zero sets of Laplace eigenfunctions. Current developments in mathematics 2018*, 179-212. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2020.
- [LZ] F. Lin and J. Zhu, *Upper bounds of nodal sets for eigenfunctions of eigenvalue problems*, Math. Ann. **382** (2022), no. 3-4, 1957–1984.
- [M] B.S. Mityagin, *The zero set of a real analytic function*, Mat. Zametki **107** (2020), no. 3, 473–475.
- [N] N. Nadirashvili, *Geometry of nodal sets and multiplicity of eigenvalues*, Current Developments in Mathematics, 1997, 231–235.
- [Y] S.T. Yau (ed.), *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. No. 102, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982, Papers presented at seminars held during the academic year 1979–1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VA 24061
Email address: gcamliyurt@vt.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90089
Email address: kukavica@usc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095
Email address: lli265@math.ucla.edu