

A NOTE FOR DOUBLE HÖLDER REGULARITY OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC PRESSURE FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS OF EULER EQUATIONS

SIRAN LI AND YA-GUANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We give an elementary proof for the double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure for weak solutions of the Euler Equations in a bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$; $d \geq 3$. That is, for velocity $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with some $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, we show that the pressure $p \in C^{0,2\gamma}(\Omega)$. This is motivated by the studies of turbulence and anolalous dissipation in mathematical hydrodynamics and, recently, has been established in [L. De Rosa, M. Latocca, and G. Stefani, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2024.3** (2024), 2511–2560] over $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domains by means of pseudodifferential calculus. Our approach involves only standard elliptic PDE techniques, and relies crucially on the modified pressure introduced in [C. W. Bardos, D. W. Boutros, and E. S. Titi, Hölder regularity of the pressure for weak solutions of the 3D Euler equations in bounded domains, arXiv: 2304.01952] and the potential estimates in [L. Silvestre, *unpublished notes*]. The key novel ingredient of our proof is the introduction of two cutoff functions whose localisation parameters are carefully chosen as a power of the distance to $\partial\Omega$.

1. INTRODUCTION

sec: intro

We are concerned with the Euler equations for the motion of incompressible inviscid fluids in a bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) + \nabla p = 0 & \text{and } \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ u \cdot \nu = 0 & & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1) \quad \text{euler}$$

Here, $u : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the velocity and $p : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the hydrodynamic pressure of the flow, and $\nu : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the outward normal vector

Date: September 17, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. (Primary) 35B65, 35Q31, 35Q35; (Secondary) 76B03, 76F40.

Key words and phrases. Euler equations; hydrodynamic pressure; Hölder regularity; turbulence; boundary layer.

field to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. On $\partial\Omega$ the impermeability boundary condition is imposed. The goal of this note is to give an alternative, elementary proof for the double Hölder regularity of p .

1.1. **Main Theorem.** The main result of the paper is as follows:

thm **Theorem 1.1.** *Let (u, p) be a weak solution of the Euler Equation (1.1) in the bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for $d \geq 3$. Assume that u is of Hölder regularity $C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ in the spatial variable for some $0 < \gamma < 1/2$. Then the hydrodynamic pressure p is of double Hölder regularity $C^{0,2\gamma}(\Omega)$ in the spatial variable. Moreover, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ it holds that*

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}, \quad (1.2) \quad \text{thm, est}$$

where the constant C depends only on $d, \gamma, \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}, \Omega$, and $\min_{i=1,2} \text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega)$.

Throughout this note, the time dependence of u and p is immaterial, since the PDE satisfied by the pressure is elliptic and has no explicit dependence on time; see (2.4). Here and hereafter, we suppress the time variable t by writing $u(t, x) \equiv u(x)$, $p(t, x) \equiv p(x)$, and the like. Theorem 1.1 should be understood in the kinematic sense; that is, the inequality (1.2) holds for every $t \in [0, T]$.

rem: geom **Remark 1.1.** *The constant C in the estimate (1.2) can be chosen more precisely as follows: denoting $\kappa = \min_{i=1,2} \text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega)$, one has*

$$C = C(d, \gamma) C_{\text{geom}} \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } |x_1 - x_2| \leq c_{\text{geom}} \kappa, \\ \kappa^{-1+2\gamma} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here C_{geom} and c_{geom} are two geometrical constants, depending only on the C^0 -norm of the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$, the intrinsic diameter of Ω (the infimum of the length of C^1 -curves connecting any two points inside Ω), and the injectivity radius of Ω . Also, in the limiting process $\kappa \searrow 0$,

- C is “locally uniformly” in κ in the sense that for $|x_1 - x_2| \leq c_{\text{geom}} \kappa$, the constant C can be chosen independently of κ ; and
- for general x_1, x_2 , the constant may blow up at a rate of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-1+2\gamma})$ when approaching the boundary.

Theorem 1.1 was first established by Silvestre on the whole space \mathbb{R}^d in the unpublished note [22]. Such regularity results play an important role in the mathematical studies of turbulence theory, especially those pertaining to the Onsager conjecture. See [6, 10, 11, 16] and many of the subsequent works. Notably, the double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure on \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d has been crucially used by Isett to prove the smoothness of (possibly nonunique) trajectories of Euler flows for velocities of below Lipschitz regularity [17], and recently by De Rosa–Isett to establish intermittency results in fully developed turbulence [12].

Bardos and Titi initiated in [3] the project of extending the Onsager conjecture and related results to bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure p in the Euler Equations (1.1) plays a fundamental role in the study of anomalous dissipation on bounded domains [3, 5, 19, 20]. Assuming $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ with $0 < \gamma < 1$ and Ω is a bounded C^3 -domain, Bardos and Titi obtained that $p \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in [4] and, together with Boutros, proved the same result for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ in [2]. Then, utilising among others the techniques developed in [7, 8], De Rosa, Latocca and Stefani [13] established the almost double Hölder regularity in the sense that $p \in C^{1,\min(\alpha, 2\gamma-1)}(\Omega)$ for $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ on $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domains, and $p \in C^{2\gamma-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ with arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ for $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ on $C^{3,\alpha}$ -domains. The same authors later in [14] extended the double Hölder regularity $p \in C^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ to the index range $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ on $C^{2,1}$ -domains. In addition, for the critical index $\gamma = 1/2$, it is proved in [14, Proposition 2.5] that $p \in C^1_\star(\Omega)$, the Calderón–Zygmund space. This improves the log-Lipschitz regularity of p obtained earlier in Constantin [9].

The approach in the recent work [14] by De Rosa, Latocca and Stefani involves a delicate use of the pseudodifferential calculus and the Littlewood–Paley theory. In this note, on a bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d \geq 3}$, we recover the main result in [14], *i.e.*, the double Hölder regularity $p \in C^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ for $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, utilising only elementary techniques of (Neumann) Green functions and integration by parts.

Our arguments make use of ideas from Silvestre [22], Bardos, Boutros and Titi [2], and De Rosa, Latocca and Stefani [13, 14]. As in [2], we introduce a *modified pressure* φ (see §2 for details), which equals $p + (u \cdot \nu)^2$ on $\partial\Omega$ and equals p outside the (2δ) -collar of the boundary, with $\delta > 0$ being a small parameter to be specified. The construction of φ makes use of a cutoff function φ^δ localised in the (2δ) -collar of $\partial\Omega$.

Rather than working directly with φ , we introduce a *second cutoff function* η^δ (see Equation (3.6)) which equals 0 in the $\delta/2$ -collar of $\partial\Omega$ and equals 1 outside the δ -collar. Thus, by working with the elliptic PDE for $\eta^\delta \varphi$ — which is localised to the interior of Ω — we circumvent the issues caused by highly irregular boundary values (see Remark 2.1 and [2, Section 8]). The cost to pay is that the singular terms $|\nabla \eta^\delta| \approx \delta^{-1}$ and $|D^2 \eta^\delta| \approx \delta^{-2}$ enter our estimates. We overcome this issue by carefully adjusting the “boundary layers” induced by cutoff functions. For reasons that will become transparent along the proof, when estimating $|\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)|$ for x_1, x_2 sufficiently close to each other and $|x_1 - x_2| \approx \text{dist}(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}, \partial\Omega)$, we choose

$$\delta \approx |x_1 - x_2|^{\frac{d-2+2\gamma}{d-2}}$$

modulo geometrical constants.

With the above preparations, an adaptation of the representation formula for p in Silvestre [22] (with the integral kernel therein ψ_{x_1, x_2} replaced by $\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}$, where ψ_{x_1, x_2} is the difference between the Neumann Green functions on Ω with singularities at x_1 and x_2) allows us to obtain the double Hölder regularity Theorem 1.1 via direct potential estimates. It is crucial to our arguments that the previous choice of cutoff functions $\varphi^\delta, \eta^\delta$ ensures that the constant in the inequality (1.2) is *locally* uniform in δ up to the boundary. See Remark 2.1 for details.

1.2. Notations. We fix some notations used throughout this paper.

We write $\mathbf{1}_E$ for the indicator function of a set E , $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)$ for the Euclidean ball centred at x of radius ε , and δ_y for the Dirac delta measure supported at y . For each $r > 0$ sufficiently small (*i.e.*, less than the injectivity radius

of Ω , which shall be recalled below), denote

$$\Omega_r := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > r\}.$$

Its complement $\Omega \setminus \Omega_r$ is the “ r -collar” of $\partial\Omega$ in Ω .

A continuous function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is α -Hölder ($0 < \alpha < 1$) if the following seminorm is finite:

$$[u]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} := \sup_{x \neq y \text{ in } \Omega} \left\{ \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \right\}.$$

Its α -Hölder norm is

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} := [u]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{C^0(\Omega)}.$$

Since Ω is a bounded C^2 -domain, its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a compact C^2 -hypersurface with the outward unit normal vector field $\nu \in C^1(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$, given by

$$\text{II} = \nabla\nu : \Gamma(T(\partial\Omega)) \times \Gamma(T(\partial\Omega)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

is of C^0 -regularity; here ∇ is the Euclidean gradient on \mathbb{R}^d . The C^2 -geometry of Ω is determined by the C^0 -norm of the tensor II .

Starting from any $x \in \partial\Omega$, one may flow the point x by the inward unit normal $-\nu(x)$ for some time $\tau(x)$. The supremum of those numbers $\tau > 0$ such that if $\tau(x) \leq \tau$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ then the image of $\partial\Omega$ under the flow has no self-intersections is the *injectivity radius* of Ω , denoted as $\text{injr}(\Omega)$. For the bounded C^2 -domain Ω , one has $\text{injr}(\Omega) > 0$. The distance function $y \mapsto \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)$ lies in $C^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_\delta})$ for any $\delta \in]0, \text{injr}(\Omega)[$.

Einstein’s summation convention is assumed throughout. That is, repeated upper and lower indices are always understood as being summed over. Also, for a constant $C > 0$, we write $C = C(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ to emphasise that C depends only on the parameters a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

1.3. Organisation. In §2 we introduce as in Bardos, Boutros, and Titi [2] the modified pressure \wp , which involves the first cutoff function φ^δ .

The main result, Theorem 1.1 will be proved in §3. A decomposition of \wp into good and bad parts, as well as the estimates for the good part, are

given in §3.1. The key tool for estimating the bad part, namely that the second cutoff function η^δ , is elaborated in §3.2. Estimates for the bad part of \wp occupy §§3.3 & 3.4. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is concluded in §3.5.

Several concluding remarks of the paper are given in §4.

sec: P

2. THE MODIFIED PRESSURE

In this section, as in Bardos, Boutros and Titi [2, (2.17)], we introduce the modified pressure \wp from the problem (1.1).

Let $\phi^\delta : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth non-increasing function such that

$$\phi^\delta(s) \equiv 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq \delta, \quad \phi^\delta(s) \equiv 0 \text{ for } s \geq 2\delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| (\phi^\delta)' \right| \leq \frac{2}{\delta},$$

for an arbitrary small $\delta > 0$. To fix the idea, assume throughout

$$0 < \delta < 10^{-3}.$$

Then set

$$\varphi^\delta(y) := \phi^\delta(\text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)) \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega. \quad (2.1) \quad \text{first cutoff}$$

It is supported in the (2δ) -collar of the boundary, namely that $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}$, and is termed as the *first cutoff function*. As Ω is a C^2 -domain, we have $\varphi^\delta \in C^2(\Omega)$.

Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be an extension in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{10\delta}$ of the outward unit normal vector field ν on $\partial\Omega$, in the way that for any $y \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{10\delta}$, there is a unique point — *i.e.*, the nearest point projection — $y_\star \in \partial\Omega$ such that $|y - y_\star| = \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)$, we set $\tilde{\nu}(y) := \nu(y_\star)$, by noting (for reasons that shall become clear from the later developments) that

$$\text{inrad}(\Omega) \geq 100 \cdot \delta^{\frac{d-2+2\gamma}{d-2}}. \quad (2.2) \quad \text{condition on injrad}$$

Now as in Bardos, Boutros, and Titi [2, (2.17)], define the *modified pressure* as the following one:

$$\wp \equiv \wp^\delta := p + \varphi^\delta (u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2, \quad (2.3) \quad \text{modified pressure}$$

where p is the hydrodynamic pressure in the Euler equation (1.1). For ease of notations, we suppress the dependence of \wp on the cutoff parameter δ .

Denote by

$$\mathcal{Q} := \varphi^\delta (u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2.$$

Note that $\mathbf{supp} \mathcal{Q} \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \equiv (u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta$.

remark: key

Remark 2.1. *It is crucial to notice that \mathcal{Q} does not have a well-defined normal derivative on the boundary, cf. [2, Section 8]. A divergence-free $C^{0,\gamma}$ -vector field u is constructed therein such that*

$$u \cdot \nabla (u \cdot \nu) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \notin \mathcal{D}'(\partial\Omega).$$

Nevertheless, although $\partial_\nu \mathcal{Q} \Big|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\partial_\nu p \Big|_{\partial\Omega}$ fail to be well-defined by themselves in general, $\partial_\nu \wp \Big|_{\partial\Omega}$ is a good quantity. This is because

$$\partial_\nu p = \nabla \tilde{\nu} : (u \otimes u) - \partial_\nu (u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2 - \partial_\tau [(u \cdot \tau)(u \cdot \tilde{\nu})] - \partial_t (u \cdot \tilde{\nu}),$$

where τ denotes vector fields tangent to $\partial\Omega$, and $u \cdot \tilde{\nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = u \cdot \nu \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. See [2, pp.2–3]. This indeed is the motivation for the introduction of \wp in [2].

With the notations above, we deduce from the Euler equations (1.1) the following Neumann boundary value problem for the modified pressure:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \wp = \Delta \mathcal{Q} + \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} (u \otimes u) & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu \wp = \operatorname{II} (u^\top, u^\top) & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.4) \quad \text{key PDE for wp}$$

Here II is the second fundamental form of the surface $\partial\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ which maps a pair of tangential vector fields along $\partial\Omega$ to a scalar. One has that $\operatorname{II} (u^\top, u^\top) \equiv \nabla \nu (u \otimes u)$, where the superscript $^\top$ denotes the projection of a vector field to the tangential direction of $\partial\Omega$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

subsec: dpromp

3.1. Decomposition of the modified pressure. Let us decompose

$$\wp := \wp_{\text{good}} + \wp_{\text{bad}},$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \wp_{\text{good}} = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu \wp_{\text{good}} = \Pi(u^\top, u^\top) & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1) \quad \boxed{\text{pgood eq}}$$

and that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \wp_{\text{bad}} = \Delta \mathcal{Q} + \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu \wp_{\text{bad}} = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.2) \quad \boxed{\text{pbad eq}}$$

When Ω is a C^2 -domain, the right-hand side of the boundary condition given in (3.1) is in $C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$. By the standard elliptic PDE theory, $\wp_{\text{good}} \in C^{2,\gamma}(\Omega)$. In fact, if u is known to be $C^{0,\gamma}$ up to the boundary, then $\wp_{\text{good}} \in C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume the normalisation conditions:

$$\int_{\Omega} \wp_{\text{good}}(y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \wp_{\text{bad}}(y) \, dy = 0.$$

Indeed, the solutions \wp_{good} , \wp_{bad} may differ from the ones with average zero by addition of some function whose gradient is a harmonic vector field, which is nontrivial on non-simply-connected Ω . But such a function is in $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, hence does not affect the double Hölder regularity of \wp .

subsec: ed

3.2. The second cutoff function. It now remains to bound the $C^{0,2\gamma}(\Omega)$ -norm of \wp_{bad} from the homogeneous Neumann problem (3.2).

We begin with controlling $|\wp(x_1) - \wp(x_2)|$ for $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ satisfying $|x_1 - x_2| \ll 1$. Let us consider any x_1 and x_2 in Ω satisfying that

$$\begin{cases} \text{the segment } [x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\delta^\beta} \text{ and } c_\Omega \delta \leq |x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta, \\ \text{where } \beta := \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma} \in]0, 1[\text{ and } c_\Omega > 0 \text{ is a geometrical constant.} \end{cases} \quad (3.3) \quad \boxed{\text{new, choice of } x_1, x_2}$$

See Remark 1.1 for the notion of geometrical constants. Such a choice of x_1 and x_2 is possible because Ω , as a bounded C^2 -domain, satisfies the uniform interior sphere condition. That is, there exists a uniform constant $r_0 > 0$ depending only on the C^2 -geometry of Ω such that for each $x \in \partial\Omega$, the open ball of radius r_0 tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at x lies entirely in Ω .

The reason for choosing $\beta := \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma}$ will become transparent later (see the formula (3.8) in the proof of Lemma 3.1). The further restriction $|x_1 - x_2| \geq$

$c_\Omega \delta$ in (3.3) will not be invoked until Step 4 of the proof of Lemma 3.2. This restriction is only temporary and shall be removed in the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To resume, let ψ_{x_1, x_2} be the distributional solution to the following Neumann problem, subject to $\int_\Omega \psi_{x_1, x_2} dy = 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} = \delta_{x_1} - \delta_{x_2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu \psi_{x_1, x_2} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.4) \quad \boxed{\text{ppsi def}}$$

Equivalently, we take

$$\psi_{x_1, x_2}(x) = \mathcal{K}_N(x - x_1) - \mathcal{K}_N(x - x_2) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$

where \mathcal{K}_N is the *Neumann Green function* on Ω . It is known that

$$\left| D^\ell \mathcal{K}_N(z) \right| \lesssim |z|^{-d+2-\ell} \quad \text{for each } \ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}. \quad (3.5) \quad \boxed{\text{kernel estimate}}$$

modulo a uniform constant depending only on the dimension d and the geometry of Ω .

For the claim above, when Ω is a bounded $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domain in \mathbb{R}^d for any $\alpha > 0$, see [13, Appendix C] for a detailed proof. Now let Ω be a bounded C^2 -domain. By standard boundary straightening via C^2 -diffeomorphisms in local charts covering the boundary $\partial\Omega$, as well as an even extension of the solution across the boundary after straightening, the question is transformed to the pointwise estimates for the *Dirichlet* Green function up to the second derivatives for uniformly elliptic operators with C^2 -coefficients. In this case, the estimates of the form (3.5) for the Dirichlet Green function hold on C^2 -domains; *e.g.*, by adapting the arguments for [18, p.120, Theorem 6.25].

Observe also that ψ_{x_1, x_2} is regular away from x_1 and x_2 ; more precisely, it is C^2 in the (2δ) -collar of the boundary, *i.e.*, $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}$.

A natural adaptation of the arguments in [22] would be testing the equation (3.2) against ψ_{x_1, x_2} . However, integration by parts formally yields that $\int_\Omega (\Delta \mathcal{Q}) \psi_{x_1, x_2} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_{x_1, x_2} (\partial_\nu \mathcal{Q}) d\Sigma$, while the right-hand side may be undefined in view of Remark 2.1!

The key novel ingredient of this note is the introduction of a second cut-off function, which effectively circumvents the above issue. Let $\varpi^\delta : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth non-decreasing function such that

$$\varpi^\delta(s) \equiv 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \varpi^\delta(s) \equiv 1 \text{ for } s \geq \delta, \quad \text{and } (\varpi^\delta)' \leq \frac{4}{\delta},$$

for an arbitrary positive number δ . Then set

$$\eta^\delta(y) := \varpi^\delta(\text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)) \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega. \quad (3.6) \quad \boxed{\text{second cutoff}}$$

As Ω is a C^2 -domain, we have $\eta^\delta \in C^2(\Omega)$.

Noticing that $\text{supp}(\eta^\delta) \subset \overline{\Omega_{\delta/2}}$, we obtain via integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \mathcal{Q})(y) (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q}(y) \Delta (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q}(y) \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2}(\Delta \eta^\delta) + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta + \eta^\delta \Delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} \right\} (y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{Q}\eta^\delta$ is continuous (indeed, $C^{0,\gamma}$) and $\eta^\delta \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_\delta \supset \Omega_{10\delta\beta} \supset [x_1, x_2]$ (recall the condition (3.3)), so

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q}\eta^\delta \Delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} \, dy = (\mathcal{Q}\eta^\delta)(x_1) - (\mathcal{Q}\eta^\delta)(x_2) = \mathcal{Q}(x_1) - \mathcal{Q}(x_2),$$

where we have used the definition of ψ_{x_1, x_2} given in (3.4). But by construction $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q} \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}$, disjoint from $\Omega_{10\delta\beta}$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{Q}(x_1) = \mathcal{Q}(x_2) = 0.$$

Thus, testing the equation (3.2) against $(\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \varphi_{\text{bad}}) (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}) \, dy &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q} \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} [\text{div div } (u \otimes u)] (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

As u is divergence-free, we have

$$[\text{div div } (u \otimes u)](y) = \text{div div } [(u(y) - u(x_1))(u(y) - u(x_2))].$$

See Silvestre [22]. A further integration by parts applied to the right-most term yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \wp_{\text{bad}}) (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}) \, dy \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q} \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} \, dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)) (u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)) \partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} [(u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2] \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} \, dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)) (u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)) \partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy,
\end{aligned}$$

by noting that the derivatives of η^δ are supported in the annulus $\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2}} \setminus \Omega_\delta$. The Einstein summation convention is adopted here. Finally, for the left-most term, we proceed as for the term involving \mathcal{Q} to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \wp_{\text{bad}}) (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}) \, dy \\
&= \wp_{\text{bad}}(x_1) - \wp_{\text{bad}}(x_2) + \int_{\Omega} \wp_{\text{bad}} \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} \, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the presence of the second cutoff function η^δ ensures that no boundary term arises from the integration by parts.

Summarising the above two identities, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wp_{\text{bad}}(x_1) - \wp_{\text{bad}}(x_2) \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} [(u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2 - \wp_{\text{bad}}] \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} \, dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)) (u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)) \partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy \\
&=: I_1 + I_2. \tag{3.7} \quad \boxed{\text{pbad key eq}}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the starting point of our analysis below.

subsec: x

3.3. Estimate for I_1 . Let us first bound I_1 , the integral term over the annulus $\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta$. We introduce the shorthand notation:

$$M := (u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2 - \wp_{\text{bad}} \quad \text{on } \Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta.$$

It has been established in [13] that $M \in C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta})$. In fact, this can be seen from the simple argument below: clearly $(u \cdot \tilde{\nu})^2 \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ by the assumption on u ; on the other hand, \wp_{bad} satisfies the Neumann problem (3.2) of the form $\Delta \wp_{\text{bad}} = D^2 F$, where $F \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ is quadratic in u . Thus, *away from the boundary* $\partial\Omega$, we have $\wp_{\text{bad}} \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega_{\delta/2})$. We shall only utilise $M \in C^0(\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta})$ in the sequel.

lemma: I1

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ be as in condition (3.3). The term*

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} M(y) \left\{ \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta + 2 \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \right\} (y) \, dy$$

satisfies the Hölder estimate:

$$|I_1| \leq C |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma},$$

where the constant C depends only on the dimension d and $\|M\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta})}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We split $I_1 = I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1} &:= \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} M \psi_{x_1, x_2} \Delta \eta^\delta \, dy, \\ I_{1,2} &:= 2 \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} M \nabla \psi_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nabla \eta^\delta \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_{1,1}$, we have the following pointwise estimates for the kernel function $\psi_{x_1, x_2}(y) \equiv \mathcal{K}_N(y - x_1) - \mathcal{K}_N(y - x_2)$ with $y \in \Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta$:

$$|\psi_{x_1, x_2}(y)| \leq C_1 \left\{ |y - x_1|^{2-d} + |y - x_2|^{2-d} \right\} \leq C_2 \delta^{\beta(2-d)}$$

and

$$|\psi_{x_1, x_2}(y)| \leq \left(\sup_{\xi \in [x_1, x_2]} |\nabla \mathcal{K}_N(y - \xi)| \right) |x_1 - x_2| \leq C_3 \delta^{\beta(1-d)} |x_1 - x_2|,$$

where C_i are dimensional constants and $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ are as in condition (3.3). In the above we used the Taylor expansion, the inequalities $|y - \xi| \geq |\xi - y| \geq 10\delta^\beta - \delta/2 \geq 9\delta^\beta$, and the estimate (3.5) for the Neumann Green function.

Combining the two estimates above, we may bound

$$|\psi_{x_1, x_2}(y)| \leq C_4 \left\{ \delta^{\beta(2-d)} \right\}^{1-2\gamma} \cdot \left\{ \delta^{\beta(1-d)} |x_1 - x_2| \right\}^{2\gamma}$$

$$= C_4 \delta^{\beta(2-d-2\gamma)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}$$

for some $C_4 = C_4(d)$. Hence, noting that $|\Delta\eta^\delta(y)| \lesssim \delta^{-2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1,1}| &\leq C_5 \text{Volume}(\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta) \cdot \delta^{-2} \cdot \delta^{\beta(2-d-2\gamma)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma} \\ &\leq C_6 \delta^{\beta(2-d-2\gamma)+d-2} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_5 and C_6 depend only on d and $\|M\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta})}$. Substituting in the choice of parameter

$$\beta := \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma}, \quad (3.8) \quad \boxed{3.8}$$

we arrive at

$$|I_{1,1}| \leq C_6 |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}. \quad (3.9) \quad \boxed{I11}$$

In particular, C_6 is uniform in δ .

Next let us estimate $I_{1,2}$. For $y \in \Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta$, it holds that

$$|\nabla\psi_{x_1,x_2}(y)| \leq C_7 \left\{ |y - x_1|^{1-d} + |y - x_2|^{1-d} \right\} \leq C_8 \delta^{\beta(1-d)},$$

as well as that

$$|\nabla\psi_{x_1,x_2}(y)| \leq \left(\sup_{\xi \in [x_1, x_2]} |D^2\mathcal{K}_N(y - \xi)| \right) |x_1 - x_2| \leq C_9 \delta^{-\beta d} |x_1 - x_2|$$

for $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ as in condition (3.3). As before, we used here the Taylor expansion, the inequalities $|y - \xi| \geq 9\delta^\beta$, and the estimate (3.5). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\psi_{x_1,x_2}(y)| &\leq C_{10} \left\{ \delta^{\beta(1-d)} \right\}^{1-2\gamma} \cdot \left\{ \delta^{-\beta d} |x_1 - x_2| \right\}^{2\gamma} \\ &= C_{10} \delta^{\beta(1-d-2\gamma)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

The above constants C_k ($7 \leq k \leq 10$) are dimensional. In view of the choice of β given in (3.8) and that $|\nabla\eta^\delta(y)| \lesssim \delta^{-1}$, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1,2}| &\leq C_{11} \text{Volume}(\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta) \cdot \delta^{-1} \cdot \delta^{\beta(1-d-2\gamma)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma} \\ &\leq C_{12} \delta^{\beta(1-d-2\gamma)+d-1} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma} \\ &= C_{12} \delta^{d-1-\frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma} \cdot (d-1+2\gamma)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10) \quad \boxed{I12}$$

Here C_{11} and C_{12} depend on d and $\|M\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_{\delta}})}$ only. The index $d - 1 - \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma} \cdot (d - 1 + 2\gamma)$ on the right-most term of (3.10) is strictly positive. The assertion given in Lemma 3.1 follows immediately from the inequalities (3.9) and (3.10). \square

subsec: y

3.4. **Estimate for I_2 .** For this purpose, we establish the following

lemma: I2

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ be as in condition (3.3). The term*

$$I_2 := \int_{\Omega} (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1))(u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)) \partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y) \, dy \quad (3.11)$$

I2, expression

satisfies the Hölder estimate:

$$|I_2| \leq C |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma},$$

where C depends only on $d, \gamma, \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}$, and the C^2 -geometry of Ω .

This lemma follows essentially from Silvestre [22, Section 1.2]. Some new estimates are needed to deal with the technicalities brought about by the second cutoff function η^δ .

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We divide our arguments into five steps below.

1. We first remark that the expression (3.11) for I_2 makes sense, despite that $\partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})$ has singularities at $y = x_1$ and x_2 . Indeed, one may write the integral in the principal value meaning:

$$\text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \{\dots\} \, dy = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus (\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x_1) \cup \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x_2))} \{\dots\} \, dy.$$

Near x_1 we have that $|u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)| \lesssim |y - x_1|^\gamma$ and $|\partial_i \partial_j (\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2})(y)| \lesssim |y - x_1|^{-d}$, thanks to (3.5). Thus, their product is controlled by $|y - x_1|^{-d+\gamma}$, which is locally integrable in $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x_1)$ and hence vanishes in the limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. The argument near x_2 is completely parallel.

2. Denote

$$\bar{x} := \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = |x_1 - x_2|,$$

and also set

$$\Omega_{\text{far}} := \{y \in \Omega : |y - \bar{x}| > 5\rho\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\text{near}} := \{y \in \Omega : |y - \bar{x}| \leq 5\rho\}.$$

We split I_2 into

$$I_2 = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}$$

$$:= \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} + \int_{\Omega_{\text{far}}} \right\} (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1))(u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)) \partial_i \partial_j \left(\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} \right) (y) \, dy.$$

3. For $I_{2,1}$, we proceed in a way similar to that given in [22, the end of p.2].

For any $y \in \Omega_{\text{near}}$, we have $|y - \bar{x}| \leq 5\rho \leq 5c_\Omega \delta^\beta$. (Recall from condition (3.3) that $\rho = |x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta$ for $\beta = \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma}$, and that the segment $[x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\delta^\beta}$.) The triangle inequality yields that so $y \in \overline{\Omega_{5\delta^\beta}}$. In particular, y is not in the annulus $\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2}} \setminus \overline{\Omega_\delta}$, which contains the support of derivatives of η^δ (see (3.6)). We thus have the identity

$$D^2 \left(\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} \right) \equiv \eta^\delta D^2 \psi_{x_1, x_2} \quad \text{on } \Omega_{\text{near}},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D^2 \left(\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2} \right) (y) \right| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} (y) &\leq |D^2 \psi_{x_1, x_2} (y)| \\ &\leq |D^2 \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_1)| + |D^2 \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

So, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{2,1}| &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} |u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)| |u^j(y) - u^j(x_2)| \\ &\quad \times \left\{ |\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_1)| + |\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_2)| \right\} \, dy \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)} \rho^\gamma \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} |u(y) - u(x_1)| |D^2 \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_1)| \, dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} |u(y) - u(x_2)| |D^2 \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_2)| \, dy \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a universal constant. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} |u(y) - u(x_1)| |D^2 \mathcal{K}_N (y - x_1)| \, dy \\ \leq C [u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} \frac{1}{|y - x_1|^{d-\gamma}} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

for some $C = C(d)$. Thanks to the triangle inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} \frac{1}{|y - x_1|^{d-\gamma}} dy &\leq \int_{\mathbf{B}_{5.5\rho}(x_1)} \frac{1}{|y - x_1|^{d-\gamma}} dy \\ &= C\rho^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for another dimensional constant $C = C(d)$. The estimate for the term $\int_{\Omega_{\text{near}}} |u(y) - u(x_2)| |D^2\mathcal{K}_N(y - x_2)|$ is completely parallel.

Therefore, collecting the estimates above, we arrive at

$$|I_{2,1}| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}, \quad (3.12) \quad \boxed{\text{I21 estimate}}$$

where C depends on d and the Hölder norm $\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}$.

4. Finally we turn to $I_{2,2}$. This is the most technical term, since on Ω_{far} the derivatives of the second cutoff function η^δ are not everywhere vanishing. Our treatment is reminiscent of the proof of Lemma 3.1.

For this purpose, we write

$$D^2(\eta^\delta \psi_{x_1, x_2}) = (D^2\eta^\delta) \psi_{x_1, x_2} + 2\nabla\eta^\delta \otimes \nabla\psi_{x_1, x_2} + \eta^\delta D^2\psi_{x_1, x_2} \quad \text{on } \Omega_{\text{far}}.$$

Utilising Taylor expansion, triangle inequality, and the estimate (3.5) for the Neumann Green function, we deduce that

$$\left| D^\ell \psi_{x_1, x_2}(y) \right| \leq C \frac{\rho}{|y - \bar{x}|^{d+\ell-1}} \quad \text{for } \ell \in \{0, 1, 2\},$$

where $C = C(d, \ell)$. This together with $|D^\ell \eta^\delta| \lesssim \delta^{-\ell}$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{2,2}| &\leq C[u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega_{\text{far}}} |y - x_1|^\gamma |y - x_2|^\gamma \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\rho}{|y - \bar{x}|^{d+1}} + \left(\frac{\rho}{\delta|y - \bar{x}|^d} + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2|y - \bar{x}|^{d-1}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} \right\} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13) \quad \boxed{\text{I22 estimate}}$$

Here we recall from (3.3) that $\rho = |x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta$.

The integral $\int_{\Omega_{\text{far}}} |y - x_1|^\gamma |y - x_2|^\gamma \frac{\rho}{|y - \bar{x}|^{d+1}} dy$ can be treated similarly as in [22, Section 1.2]. In view of the triangle inequality and $0 < \gamma < 1$, we have

$$|y - x_1|^\gamma \leq |y - \bar{x}|^\gamma + \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^\gamma$$

$$\leq |y - \bar{x}|^\gamma + \left(\frac{|y - \bar{x}|}{10} \right)^\gamma \leq 2|y - \bar{x}|^\gamma \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega_{\text{far}},$$

and analogously $|y - x_2|^\gamma \leq 2|y - \bar{x}|^\gamma$. Thus, for any $R > 0$ so large that $\Omega \subset \mathbf{B}_R(\bar{x})$, one may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\text{far}}} |y - x_1|^\gamma |y - x_2|^\gamma \frac{\rho}{|y - \bar{x}|^{d+1}} \, dy \\ & \leq C\rho \int_{\mathbf{B}_R(\bar{x}) \setminus \mathbf{B}_{5\rho}(\bar{x})} \frac{1}{|y - \bar{x}|^{d+1-2\gamma}} \, dy \\ & = C(d, \gamma)\rho \left[-s^{-1+2\gamma} \right]_{5\rho}^R \\ & \leq C(d, \gamma)\rho^{2\gamma}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14} \quad \boxed{\text{xxx1}}$$

by noting $0 < \gamma < 1/2$.

Now we proceed to the control for

$$\int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} |y - x_1|^\gamma |y - x_2|^\gamma \left\{ \frac{\rho}{\delta|y - \bar{x}|^d} + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2|y - \bar{x}|^{d-1}} \right\} \, dy.$$

Notice here that $\overline{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} \subset \Omega_{\text{far}}$. As in the previous paragraph, this is bounded by

$$C \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} \left\{ \frac{\rho}{\delta|y - \bar{x}|^{d-2\gamma}} + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2|y - \bar{x}|^{d-1-2\gamma}} \right\} \, dy,$$

where C is a universal constant. Then, making use of $|y - \bar{x}| \geq 5\rho$ (recall the definition of Ω_{far}) and $\text{Volume}(\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta) \leq C(d) \cdot \delta^d$, we may further bound the above expression by

$$C(d)\rho^{2\gamma} \left\{ \rho^{-d+1} \cdot \delta^{d-1} + \rho^{-d+2} \cdot \delta^{d-2} \right\}.$$

As $\rho \geq c_\Omega \delta$ by condition (3.3), this is less than or equal to

$$C(d, \Omega)\rho^{2\gamma}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \Omega_\delta} |y - x_1|^\gamma |y - x_2|^\gamma \left\{ \frac{\rho}{\delta|y - \bar{x}|^d} + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2|y - \bar{x}|^{d-1}} \right\} \, dy \leq C(d, \Omega)\rho^{2\gamma}. \tag{3.15} \quad \boxed{\text{xxx2}}$$

5. We complete the proof of Lemma 3.2 by putting together the estimates obtained in (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15) respectively. \square

subsec: z

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We proceed in two steps.

1. **The case** $|x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta$. First, from the equation (3.7) and Lemmas 3.1 & 3.2, we deduce

$$|\wp_{\text{bad}}(x_1) - \wp_{\text{bad}}(x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma},$$

provided that the condition (3.3) is verified. That is, the above estimate holds when $[x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\cdot\delta^\beta}$ and $c_\Omega \delta \leq |x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta$, where $0 < \beta < 1$ is a fixed number given in (3.8), and $c_\Omega > 0$ is geometrical.

This together with the estimate for \wp_{good} at the end of §3.1 yields that

$$|\wp(x_1) - \wp(x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma},$$

where C depends only on $d, \gamma, \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}$, and the C^2 -geometry of Ω .

Now, in view of the definition of \wp (see (2.3)), we have $\wp = p$ in $\Omega \setminus \text{supp}(\varphi^\delta)$, where p is the hydrodynamical pressure we need to estimate. But $\text{supp}(\varphi^\delta) \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}$ while $[x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\cdot\delta^\beta}$, so $x_1, x_2 \notin \text{supp}(\varphi^\delta)$. We thus obtain the desired estimate (1.2) given in Theorem 1.1, for those x_1, x_2 satisfying the condition (3.3).

The restriction $|x_1 - x_2| \geq c_\Omega \delta$ in the above arguments can be easily removed. That is, we may easily extend to the case where $|x_1 - x_2|$ is as small as we want. Indeed, for any x_1, x_2 such that $[x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\cdot\delta^\beta}$ but $|x_1 - x_2| < c_\Omega \delta$, let us pick a point x_\star such that

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_\star] \cup [x_\star, x_2] &\subset \Omega_{10\cdot\delta^\beta}, \\ c_\Omega \delta \leq |x_1 - x_\star| = |x_\star - x_2| &\leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, we may choose x_\star to be any point on the $(d-2)$ -dimensional submanifold

$$\partial \mathbf{B}_{c_\Omega \cdot \delta^{(1+\beta)/2}}(x_1) \cap \partial \mathbf{B}_{c_\Omega \cdot \delta^{(1+\beta)/2}}(x_2) \cap \Omega_{10\cdot\delta^\beta}.$$

The above arguments then yield that

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_\star)| \leq C_0|x_1 - x_\star|^{2\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad |p(x_\star) - p(x_2)| \leq C_0|x_2 - x_\star|^{2\gamma},$$

for a constant C_0 depending only on d , γ , $\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}$, and the C^2 -geometry of Ω . Thus, from the triangle inequality we deduce that

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| \leq 2^{1-2\gamma} C_0 |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}.$$

This proves the estimate (1.2) in Theorem 1.1 for any x_1, x_2 such that $[x_1, x_2] \subset \Omega_{10\delta^\beta}$ and $|x_1 - x_2| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta$.

2. The general case. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. Recall that $\beta = \beta(d, \gamma) := \frac{d-2}{d-2+2\gamma}$ given in (3.8). Let us take

$$\delta := \min \left\{ 10^{-3}, \left[10^{-2} \cdot \min_{i=1,2} \text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega) \right]^{1/\beta}, 10^{-2} \cdot \text{inrad}(\Omega) \right\}. \quad (3.16) \quad \boxed{\text{delta, final}}$$

See condition (2.2) for the dependency on $\text{inrad}(\Omega)$ of δ . Such a choice of δ ensures that there is a piecewise affine curve inside $\Omega_{10\delta^\beta}$ whose endpoints are $\{x_1, x_2\}$, and whose nodes $\{z_0 = x_1, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N = x_2\}$ satisfies

$$c_\Omega \delta \leq |z_j - z_{j-1}| \leq c_\Omega \delta^\beta \quad \text{for each } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

for the geometrical constant c_Ω as before, as well as

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |z_j - z_{j-1}| \approx |x_1 - x_2|$$

modulo another geometrical constant. Indeed, we may first find a geodesic \mathbf{c} in Ω connecting x_1 and x_2 whose length is comparable to $|x_1 - x_2|$ modulo a geometrical constant, and then construct a polygonal approximation of \mathbf{c} .

With the above preparations, we may apply the arguments in the earlier parts of this subsection to obtain that

$$|p(z_j) - p(z_{j-1})| \leq C_0 |z_j - z_{j-1}|^{2\gamma} \quad \text{for each } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Again, C_0 depends only on d , γ , $\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}$, and the C^2 -geometry of Ω . By the triangle and Jensen's inequalities we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |p(x_1) - p(x_2)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N |p(z_j) - p(z_{j-1})| \\ &\leq C_0 \sum_{j=1}^N (|z_j - z_{j-1}|^{2\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_0 N^{1-2\gamma} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |z_j - z_{j-1}| \right)^{2\gamma} \\
&\leq C_1 N^{1-2\gamma} |x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17} \quad \boxed{\text{yyy}}$$

where C_1 has the same dependency as C_0 above.

Finally, observe that

$$N \leq \frac{\text{the intrinsic diameter of } \Omega}{c_\Omega \delta^\beta}. \tag{3.18} \quad \boxed{\text{N}}$$

Theorem 1.1 (and the ensuing Remark 1.1) now follows immediately from (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18).

sec: concl

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this notes, we have given an alternative, elementary proof for the double Hölder regularity of the Euler pressure p in bounded C^2 -domains in dimension greater than or equal to 3. We conclude by the following remarks.

4.1. Two-dimensional case. The proof of Theorem 1.1 above requires $d \geq 3$. In dimension 2, the Neumann Green function satisfies $|D^\ell \mathcal{K}_N(z)| \lesssim |D^\ell \log z|$ for $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, as opposed to the bound (3.5). An adaptation of the arguments in this note should also lead to the same statement of Theorem 1.1 with $d = 2$.

4.2. Regularity up to the boundary? Theorem 1.1 establishes the double Hölder regularity of p in the interior, namely that $p \in C^{0,2\gamma}(\Omega)$, whenever $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ for bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d \geq 3}$. It has also been established in De Rosa, Latocca and Stefani [13, Theorem 1.1] that if Ω is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domain for any $\alpha > 0$ and if $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, then p is continuous up to the boundary; *i.e.*, $p \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

However, the approach taken in this note does not yield the double Hölder regularity up to the boundary; that is, we cannot obtain $p \in C^{0,2\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})$. Instead, we may only deduce that p has no “small-scale double Hölder creations” near the boundary in the following sense:

$$\limsup_{\kappa \searrow 0} \left(\sup \left\{ \frac{|p(x_1) - p(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^{2\gamma}} : |x_1 - x_2| \lesssim \min_{i \in \{1,2\}} \text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega) \approx \kappa \right\} \right) < \infty,$$

where the constants involved in \lesssim and \approx are purely geometrical.

At the moment we are unable to obtain the analogous estimate uniformly in κ for $|x_1 - x_2|$ large but $\kappa \searrow 0$. But in this case an upper bound $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-1+2\gamma})$ for the blowup rate of the double Hölder norm of p has been obtained.

4.3. Unbounded domains. It would be interesting to investigate the case for an unbounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We expect that the double Hölder regularity for p remains valid for unbounded domains *with bounded geometry* in the sense of Schick [21]:

- the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has a uniform geodesic r -collar for some $r > 0$;
- the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has positive injectivity radius;
- $\Omega_{r/3}$ in the interior has positive injectivity radius;
- the second fundamental form II of $\partial\Omega$ has uniform C^ℓ -bounds for every $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$.

See also Disconzi, Shao and Simonett [15] for an equivalent characterisation.

In fact, we expect that this is valid when the last condition is replaced by the weaker condition: “ II has a uniform C^0 -bounds over $\partial\Omega$ ”. In this case, one may say that the unbounded domain Ω has *bounded C^2 -geometry*.

The proof should follow from the existence of a “tame” partition of unity consisting of boundary charts of comparable diameters, in which the second fundamental forms has bounded C^0 -norms all comparable to each other. See Ammann, Große and Nistor [1] for details.

Acknowledgement. This research was partially supported by NSFC under Grant No. 12331008, and the Shanghai Frontier Research Institute for Modern Analysis. The research of SL was also partially supported by NSFC under Grant Nos. 12201399 and 12411530065, Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST 2023QNRC001, and National Key Research and Development Program 2023YFA1010900. The research of YGW was also partially supported by NSFC under Grant Nos. 12171317, 12250710674 and 12161141004, and Shanghai Municipal Education Commission under Grant No. 2021-01-07-00-02-E00087. SL thanks Professors Linhan Li and Lihe Wang for insightful discussions on Green functions.

Competing interests statement. Both authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- agn** [1] B. Ammann, N. Große, and V. Nistor, Well-posedness of the Laplacian on manifolds with boundary and bounded geometry, *Math. Nachr.* **292** (2019), 1213–1237.
- bbt** [2] C. W. Bardos, D. W. Boutros, and E. S. Titi, Hölder regularity of the pressure for weak solutions of the 3D Euler equations in bounded domains, *ArXiv preprint* (2023), arXiv: 2304.01952.
- bt0** [3] C. W. Bardos and E. S. Titi, Onsager’s conjecture for the incompressible Euler equations in bounded domains, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **228** (2018), 197–207.
- bt** [4] C. W. Bardos and E. S. Titi, $C^{0,\alpha}$ boundary regularity for the pressure in weak solutions of the 2D Euler equations, *Phil. Tran. Royal Soc. A*, **380** (2022): 20210073.
- btw** [5] C. W. Bardos, E. S. Titi, and E. Wiedemann, Onsager’s conjecture with physical boundaries and an application to the vanishing viscosity limit, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **370** (2019), 291–310.
- turb1** [6] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, Jr., and V. Vicol, Onsager’s conjecture for admissible weak solutions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **72** (2019), 229–274.
- cd** [7] M. Colombo and L. De Rosa, Regularity in time of Hölder solutions of Euler and Hypodissipative Navier–Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **52** (2020), 221–238.
- cdf** [8] M. Colombo, L. De Rosa, and L. Forcella, Regularity results for rough solutions of the incompressible Euler equations via interpolation methods, *Nonlinearity* **33** (2020), 4818–36.
- c** [9] P. Constantin, Local formulas for hydrodynamic pressure and their applications, Russian, with Russian summary, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* **69**, (2014), 3–26; English transl., *Russian Math. Surveys* **69** (2014), 395–418.
- turb2** [10] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Dissipative continuous Euler flows, *Invent. Math.* **193** (2013), 377–407.
- turb3** [11] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi, Jr., Dissipative Euler flows and Onsager’s conjecture, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **16** (2014), 1467–1505.
- di** [12] L. De Rosa and P. Isett, Intermittency and lower dimensional dissipation in incompressible fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **248** (2024), Paper No. 11, 37 pp.
- dls1** [13] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca, and G. Stefani, On double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure in bounded domains, *Calc. Var. PDE* **62** (2023), 85.
- dls2** [14] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca, and G. Stefani, Full double Hölder regularity of the pressure in bounded domains, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2024.3** (2024), 2511–2560.
- dss** [15] M. Disconzi, Y. Shao, and G. Simonett, Some remarks on uniformly regular Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Nachr.* **289** (2016), 232–242.

- turb4** [16] P. Isett, A proof of Onsager’s conjecture, *Ann. Math.* **188** (2018), 871–963.
- i** [17] P. Isett, Regularity in time along the coarse scale flow for the incompressible Euler equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376** (2023), 6927–6987.
- gtbook** [18] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Classics Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, xiv+517pp.
- rrs1** [19] J. C. Robinson, J. L. Rodrigo, and J. W. D. Skipper, Energy conservation for the Euler equations on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ for weak solutions defined without reference to the pressure, *Asymptot. Anal.* **110**, (2018), 185–202.
- rrs2** [20] J. C. Robinson, J. L. Rodrigo, and J. W. D. Skipper, Energy conservation in the 3D Euler equation on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, pp.224–251 in: *Partial Differential Equations in Fluid Mechanics*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **452**. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018.
- sch** [21] T. Schick, Manifolds with boundary and of bounded geometry, *Math. Nachr.* **223** (2001), 103–120.
- note** [22] L. Silvestre, A non obvious estimate for the pressure, *unpublished notes*, accessible from <https://math.uchicago.edu/~luis/preprints.html>.

SIRAN LI: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND CMA-SHANGHAI, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA (200240)

Email address: `siran.li@sjtu.edu.cn`

YA-GUANG WANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, MOE-LSC, AND SHL-MAC, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA (200240)

Email address: `ygwang@sjtu.edu.cn`