

# Fermion masses and mixings in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape from Intersecting D6-Branes

---

Mudassar Sabir,<sup>a</sup> Adeel Mansha,<sup>b</sup> Tianjun Li,<sup>c,d,e</sup> Zhi-Wei Wang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, 2006 Xiyuan Avenue, Chengdu, China*

<sup>b</sup>*College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, 3688 Nanhai Avenue, Shenzhen, China*

<sup>c</sup>*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 55 Zhong Guan Cun East Road, Beijing, China*

<sup>d</sup>*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1 Yanqihu East Road, Beijing, China*

<sup>e</sup>*School of Physics, Henan Normal University, 46 Jianshe East Road, Xinxiang, China*

*E-mail: [mudassar.sabir@uestc.edu.cn](mailto:mudassar.sabir@uestc.edu.cn), [tli@itp.ac.cn](mailto:tli@itp.ac.cn), [adeelmansha@alumni.itp.ac.cn](mailto:adeelmansha@alumni.itp.ac.cn), [zhiwei.wang@uestc.edu.cn](mailto:zhiwei.wang@uestc.edu.cn)*

**ABSTRACT:** Recently, the complete landscape of three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes on a type IIA  $T^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold has been enumerated consisting of 33 independent models with distinct gauge coupling relations at the string scale. Here, we study the phenomenology of all such models by providing the detailed particle spectra and the analysis of the possible 3-point and the 4-point Yukawa interactions in order to accommodate all standard-model fermion masses and mixings. We find that only 17 models contain viable Yukawa textures to explain quarks masses, charged-leptons' masses, neutrino-masses, quarks' mixings and leptons' mixings. These viable models split into four classes, viz. a single model with 3 Higgs fields from the bulk and sixteen models with either 6, 9 or 12 Higgs from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector. The models perform successively better with the increasing number of Higgs pairs. Remarkably, the class of models with 12 Higgs naturally predicts the Dirac-type neutrino masses in normal ordering consistent with both the experimental constraints as well as the bounds from the swampland program.

**KEYWORDS:** D-Branes, String and Brane Phenomenology, String Models

ARXIV EPRINT: [2409.09110](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.09110)

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Pati-Salam model building from <math>T^6/(Z_2 \times Z_2)</math> orientifold</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Constraints from tadpole cancellation and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry	6
2.2	Particle spectrum	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Yukawa couplings</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Adding a $B$ -field and Wilson lines	11
3.2	O-planes and non-prime intersection numbers	12
3.3	The general formula of Yukawa couplings	13
3.4	Fitting the fermion masses and mixings	14
3.5	4-point Yukawa corrections	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Model with 3 Higgs from the bulk</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	Model 13	18
4.1.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 13	19
4.1.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 13	20
4.1.3	4-point corrections in Model 13	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Models with 6 Higgs from <math>\mathcal{N} = 2</math> sector</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1	Model 14	23
5.1.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 14	23
5.1.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 14	25
5.2	Model 15	25
5.2.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 15	27
5.2.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 15	28
5.2.3	4-point corrections in Model 15	28
5.3	Model 15-dual	30
5.3.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 15-dual	30
5.3.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 15-dual	32
5.3.3	4-point corrections in Model 15-dual	33
5.4	Model 16	35
5.4.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 16	35
5.4.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 16	37
5.4.3	4-point corrections in Model 16	38
5.5	Model 16-dual	40
5.5.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 16-dual	40
5.5.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 16-dual	42
5.5.3	4-point corrections in Model 16-dual	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Models with 9 Higgs from <math>\mathcal{N} = 2</math> sector</b>	<b>44</b>
6.1	Model 17	44
6.1.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 17	45
6.1.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 17	46
6.1.3	4-point corrections in Model 17	47
6.2	Model 17-dual	49

6.2.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">17-dual</a>	50
6.2.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">17-dual</a>	51
6.2.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">17-dual</a>	51
6.3	Model <a href="#">18</a>	53
6.3.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">18</a>	54
6.3.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">18</a>	55
6.3.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">18</a>	56
6.4	Model <a href="#">18-dual</a>	58
6.4.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">18-dual</a>	58
6.4.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">18-dual</a>	59
6.4.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">18-dual</a>	60
6.5	Model <a href="#">19</a>	62
6.5.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">19</a>	63
6.5.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">19</a>	64
6.5.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">19</a>	65
6.6	Model <a href="#">19-dual</a>	66
6.6.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">19-dual</a>	67
6.6.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">19-dual</a>	68
6.6.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">19-dual</a>	69
6.7	Model <a href="#">20</a>	71
6.7.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">20</a>	72
6.7.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">20</a>	73
6.7.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">20</a>	73
6.8	Model <a href="#">20-dual</a>	75
6.8.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">20-dual</a>	75
6.8.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">20-dual</a>	77
6.8.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">20-dual</a>	78
<b>7</b>	<b>Models with 12 Higgs from <math>\mathcal{N} = 2</math> sector</b>	<b>80</b>
7.1	Model <a href="#">21</a>	80
7.1.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">21</a>	80
7.1.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">21</a>	81
7.2	Model <a href="#">22</a>	82
7.2.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">22</a>	83
7.2.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">22</a>	84
7.2.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">22</a>	85
7.3	Model <a href="#">22-dual</a>	87
7.3.1	3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model <a href="#">22-dual</a>	88
7.3.2	Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model <a href="#">22-dual</a>	89
7.3.3	4-point corrections in Model <a href="#">22-dual</a>	90
7.4	Prediction of Dirac-Neutrino Masses from 12-Higgs models	92
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Independent Supersymmetric Pati-Salam Models</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Particle spectra</b>	<b>105</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

Standard Model (SM) fermions appear in chiral representations of the gauge group  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ . Intersecting D6-branes in type IIA string theory provide a natural mechanism to realize chiral fermions at D6-brane intersections [1]. D6-branes fill the four-dimensional spacetime and have three extra dimensions along the compactified directions in IIA string theory. As the latter three extra dimensions are exactly equal to half of the number of the compactified dimensions, thus two generic D6-branes intersect at one point of the extra dimensions. This intersection is where the fields arising from open strings stretched between two different D6-branes live. Family replication results from multiple intersections of D6-branes that fill four-dimensional spacetime and extend into three compact dimensions. The volumes of the cycles wrapped by D-branes determine the four-dimensional gauge couplings, while the total internal volume yields the gravitational coupling. Yukawa couplings arise from open world-sheet instantons, specifically the triangular worldsheets stretched between intersections where fields involved in the cubic coupling reside. These instanton effects are suppressed by  $\exp(-A_{ijk}T)$ , where  $A_{ijk}$  is the area of the triangle bounded by intersections  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $T$  is the string tension [2]. This exponential suppression explains the fermion mass hierarchies and mixings. However, embedding the Standard Model (SM) in a Calabi-Yau compactification with three families of chiral fermions and achieving correct fermion mass hierarchies and mixings in a positively curved (de Sitter) universe with stabilized moduli has been a challenge.

In intersecting D6-brane constructions, we usually relax the requirement of stable de Sitter and impose minimal supersymmetry. It turns out that realistic Yukawa textures with three families favors products of unitary gauge groups over the simple unitary group. And the K-theory conditions [3, 4], being mod 4, are more easily satisfied for  $U(2N)$  with  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For instance, in trinification models, no viable three-family model meeting the stringent constraints of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry, tadpole cancellation, and K-theory constraints has been found [5]. Consequently, the left-right symmetric Pati-Salam group,  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ , emerges as the most promising choice for realistic models. The rules to construct supersymmetric Pati-Salam models on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold from intersecting D6-branes with the requirement of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry, tadpole cancellation and the K-theory constraints were outlined in [6–8]. Similar construction is employed in recent works [9–13]. Recently, the complete landscape of consistent three-family  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold in IIA string theory has been mapped, comprising of only 33 distinct models [14].

In the typical toroidal orientifold compactifications, not all of the fermions sit on the localized intersections on the same torus which results in the rank-1 problem of the Yukawa mass matrices. The viable models with rank-3 Yukawa matrices split into four classes with 3, 6, 9 or 12 Higgs fields. Note that the two light Higgs mass eigenstates arise from the linear combination of the VEVs  $v_{u,d}^i = \langle H_{u,d}^i \rangle$  of the available Higgs fields present in the model [15, 16]. There is a single model with 3 bulk Higgs fields while the other three classes consist of five models with 6 Higgs, eight models with 9 Higgs and three models with 12 Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector. We systematically compute the possible three-point and

four-point Yukawa couplings to accommodate the masses of up-type quarks, down-type quarks, charged leptons, and Dirac-type neutrinos<sup>1</sup>, as well as quarks' (CKM) mixings and leptons' (PMNS) mixings for all viable models in the landscape where Wilson fluxes are set to zero. The results of the analysis of soft terms from the supersymmetry breaking will be presented elsewhere in [18].

The model with three Higgs fields from the bulk that can accommodate the masses of up-type quarks, down-type quarks, and charged leptons, but cannot account for quarks' (CKM) or leptons' (PMNS) mixings. Among the five 6-Higgs models, one model lacks viable four-point couplings, which prevents it from precisely accommodating the masses of down-type quarks, though it can approximately explain CKM mixings. The remaining four 6-Higgs models can precisely match the masses of up-type quarks, down-type quarks, and charged leptons, but the CKM mixings are only approximately matched. The class of eight models with 9-Higgs can precisely match the masses of up-type quarks, down-type quarks, and charged leptons, as well as the CKM mixings. However, none of these models can explain the Dirac-neutrino masses or the PMNS mixings. In the class of three 12-Higgs models, one model lacks viable four-point couplings, but using only the three-point couplings, it can exactly reproduce the correct masses of all fermions—up-type quarks, down-type quarks, charged leptons, Dirac neutrinos—and the CKM mixings, except for the leptons' mixings that remain unexplained. Remarkably, the other two models in this class can accommodate precise PMNS mixings, along with all fermion masses, by incorporating corrections from the four-point couplings.

In refs. [19, 20] the tiny Yukawa couplings originating from the bulk Higgs fields to explain small neutrino masses are argued to be related to the infinite distance limit [21] in the moduli space where a light of tower states, dubbed gonions [1], appears signalling the decompactification of one or two compact dimensions. It was also noted in [20] that unlike the Yukawas from the bulk Higgs fields, the Yukawa couplings associated with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector are not exponentially suppressed by four-dimensional dilaton which typically signals the infinite distance limit. Thus, the Yukawas arising from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector are insensitive to bulk moduli and as a result, the issue of decompactification of extra dimensions does not arise.

Recent evidence from the swampland program [22], particularly from the non-SUSY AdS instability conjecture [23] and the light fermion conjecture [24, 25], building on the earlier work of [26, 27] suggests that without additional chiral fermions with tiny masses, neutrinos must be of Dirac-type together with a bound on the lightest neutrino mass given by the cosmological constant scale as,  $m_\nu^{\text{lightest}} \lesssim \Lambda^{1/4}$ . The 3D Casimir energy of the SM compactified on a circle receives a positive contribution from the lightest neutrino, which is necessary to avoid unstable non-supersymmetric AdS vacua. This constraint is only satisfied for Dirac neutrinos, which carry 4 degrees of freedom, unlike Majorana neutrinos, which only have 2 and cannot compensate for the 4 bosonic degrees of freedom from the photon and the graviton. This also avoids the inevitable lepton-number violations in the

---

<sup>1</sup>Majorana neutrino masses can be trivially generated via the type-I seesaw mechanism, as discussed in [17], where the Dirac-neutrino mass matrix is input into a seesaw mechanism to produce a Majorana mass matrix.

Majorana case. Hence, it is crucial in string theory to generate tiny Dirac Yukawa couplings while keeping the other Yukawa couplings and SM gauge couplings unsuppressed. Previous attempts to generate Dirac neutrino masses in intersecting D-branes primarily focused on Euclidean D2-brane instantons within local models [28–30]. For a recent survey on this issue, see Ref. [20].

We show that the problem of obtaining tiny Dirac-neutrino Yukawa couplings while keeping the other Yukawa couplings and SM gauge couplings unsuppressed requires at least twelve Higgs fields from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector, which is the maximum available in the landscape, specifically in Models 21, 22, and 22-dual (corresponding to Models 19, 21, and 12 respectively in [14]). The class of models with twelve Higgs naturally predicts Dirac-type neutrino masses with the normal ordering  $\sim (50.4, 10.5, 6.1)$  meV consistent with both the experimental constraints and the bounds from the swampland program based on the AdS instability conjecture [31].

This rest of the paper is organized as follows. We review the model building rules for constructing supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from stacks of intersecting D6-branes on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold in section 2. In section 3 we describe the calculation of three-point and four-point Yukawa couplings in intersecting D6-brane models. We then proceed to systematically compute all possible three-point and four-point functions in all viable models in sections 4, 5, 6 and 7. Finally, we conclude in section 8.

## 2 Pati-Salam model building from $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ orientifold

In the orientifold  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $\mathbb{T}^6$  is a product of three 2-tori with the orbifold group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has the generators  $\theta$  and  $\omega$  which are respectively associated with the twist vectors  $(1/2, -1/2, 0)$  and  $(0, 1/2, -1/2)$  such that their action on complex coordinates  $z_i$  is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta : (z_1, z_2, z_3) &\rightarrow (-z_1, -z_2, z_3), \\ \omega : (z_1, z_2, z_3) &\rightarrow (z_1, -z_2, -z_3).\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Orientifold projection is the gauged  $\Omega\mathcal{R}$  symmetry, where  $\Omega$  is world-sheet parity that interchanges the left- and right-moving sectors of a closed string and swaps the two ends of an open string as,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Closed : } \Omega : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &\mapsto (2\pi - \sigma_1, \sigma_2), \\ \text{Open : } \Omega : (\tau, \sigma) &\mapsto (\tau, \pi - \sigma),\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

and  $\mathcal{R}$  acts as complex conjugation on coordinates  $z_i$ . This results in four different kinds of orientifold 6-planes (O6-planes) corresponding to  $\Omega\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta$ ,  $\Omega\mathcal{R}\omega$ , and  $\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta\omega$  respectively. These orientifold projections are only consistent with either the rectangular or the tilted complex structures of the factorized 2-tori. Denoting the wrapping numbers for the rectangular and tilted tori as  $n_a^i[a_i] + m_a^i[b_i]$  and  $n_a^i[a'_i] + m_a^i[b_i]$  respectively, where  $[a'_i] = [a_i] + \frac{1}{2}[b_i]$ . Then a generic 1-cycle  $(n_a^i, l_a^i)$  satisfies  $l_a^i \equiv m_a^i$  for the rectangular 2-torus and  $l_a^i \equiv 2\tilde{m}_a^i = 2m_a^i + n_a^i$  for the tilted 2-torus such that  $l_a^i - n_a^i$  is even for the tilted tori.

The two different basis  $(n^i, m^i)$  and  $(n^i, l^i)$  are related as,

$$l^i = 2^{\beta_i} \left( m^i + \frac{\beta_i}{2} n^i \right), \quad \beta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{rectangular } \mathbb{T}^2, \\ 1 & \text{tilted } \mathbb{T}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

We use the basis  $(n^i, l^i)$  to specify the model wrapping numbers in appendix A while the basis  $(n^i, m^i)$  is convenient to sketch the Yukawa textures in sections 4, 5, 6 and 7.

The homology cycles for a stack  $a$  of  $N_a$  D6-branes along the cycle  $(n_a^i, l_a^i)$  and their  $\Omega\mathcal{R}$  images  $a'$  stack of  $N_a$  D6-branes with cycles  $(n_a^i, -l_a^i)$  are respectively given as,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi_a] &= \prod_{i=1}^3 \left( n_a^i [a_i] + 2^{-\beta_i} l_a^i [b_i] \right), \\ [\Pi_{a'}] &= \prod_{i=1}^3 \left( n_a^i [a_i] - 2^{-\beta_i} l_a^i [b_i] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The homology three-cycles, which are wrapped by the four O6-planes, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\mathcal{R} : \quad [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}}] &= 2^3 [a_1] \times [a_2] \times [a_3], \\ \Omega\mathcal{R}\omega : \quad [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\omega}] &= -2^{3-\beta_2-\beta_3} [a_1] \times [b_2] \times [b_3], \\ \Omega\mathcal{R}\theta\omega : \quad [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta\omega}] &= -2^{3-\beta_1-\beta_3} [b_1] \times [a_2] \times [b_3], \\ \Omega\mathcal{R}\theta : \quad [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta}] &= -2^{3-\beta_1-\beta_2} [b_1] \times [b_2] \times [a_3]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The intersection numbers can be calculated in terms of wrapping numbers as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab} &= [\Pi_a] [\Pi_b] = 2^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^3 (n_a^i l_b^i - n_b^i l_a^i), \\ I_{ab'} &= [\Pi_a] [\Pi_{b'}] = -2^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^3 (n_a^i l_b^i + n_b^i l_a^i), \\ I_{aa'} &= [\Pi_a] [\Pi_{a'}] = -2^{3-k} \prod_{i=1}^3 (n_a^i l_a^i), \\ I_{aO6} &= [\Pi_a] [\Pi_{O6}] = 2^{3-k} (-l_a^1 l_a^2 l_a^3 + l_a^1 n_a^2 n_a^3 + n_a^1 l_a^2 n_a^3 + n_a^1 n_a^2 l_a^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $k = \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i$  and  $[\Pi_{O6}] = [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}}] + [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\omega}] + [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta\omega}] + [\Pi_{\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta}]$ .

In order to have three families of the left chiral and right chiral standard model fields, the intersection numbers must satisfy

$$I_{ab} + I_{ab'} = 3, \quad I_{ac} = -3, \quad I_{ac'} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

## 2.1 Constraints from tadpole cancellation and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry

Since D6-branes and O6-orientifold planes are the sources of Ramond-Ramond charges they are constrained by the Gauss's law in compact space implying the sum of D-brane and cross-cap RR-charges must vanishes [32]

Orientifold action	O6-plane	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$
$\Omega\mathcal{R}$	1	$(2^{\beta_1}, 0) \times (2^{\beta_2}, 0) \times (2^{\beta_3}, 0)$
$\Omega\mathcal{R}\omega$	2	$(2^{\beta_1}, 0) \times (0, -2^{\beta_2}) \times (0, 2^{\beta_3})$
$\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta\omega$	3	$(0, -2^{\beta_1}) \times (2^{\beta_2}, 0) \times (0, 2^{\beta_3})$
$\Omega\mathcal{R}\theta$	4	$(0, -2^{\beta_1}) \times (0, 2^{\beta_2}) \times (2^{\beta_3}, 0)$

**Table 1.** The wrapping numbers for four O6-planes.

$$\sum_a N_a [\Pi_a] + \sum_a N_a [\Pi_{a'}] - 4[\Pi_{O6}] = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where the last terms arise from the O6-planes, which have  $-4$  RR charges in D6-brane charge units. RR tadpole constraint is sufficient to cancel the  $SU(N_a)^3$  cubic non-Abelian anomaly while U(1) mixed gauge and gravitational anomaly or  $[SU(N_a)]^2 U(1)$  gauge anomaly can be cancelled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism, mediated by untwisted RR fields [33].

Let us define the following products of wrapping numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} A_a &\equiv -n_a^1 n_a^2 n_a^3, & B_a &\equiv n_a^1 l_a^2 l_a^3, & C_a &\equiv l_a^1 n_a^2 l_a^3, & D_a &\equiv l_a^1 l_a^2 n_a^3, \\ \tilde{A}_a &\equiv -l_a^1 l_a^2 l_a^3, & \tilde{B}_a &\equiv l_a^1 n_a^2 n_a^3, & \tilde{C}_a &\equiv n_a^1 l_a^2 n_a^3, & \tilde{D}_a &\equiv n_a^1 n_a^2 l_a^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Cancellation of RR tadpoles requires introducing a number of orientifold planes also called “filler branes” that trivially satisfy the four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry conditions. The no-tadpole condition is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} -2^k N^{(1)} + \sum_a N_a A_a &= -2^k N^{(2)} + \sum_a N_a B_a = \\ -2^k N^{(3)} + \sum_a N_a C_a &= -2^k N^{(4)} + \sum_a N_a D_a = -16, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $2N^{(i)}$  is the number of filler branes wrapping along the  $i^{\text{th}}$  O6-plane. The filler branes belong to the hidden sector USp group and carry the same wrapping numbers as one of the O6-planes as shown in table 1. USp group is hence referred with respect to the non-zero  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  or  $D$ -type.

Preserving  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry in four dimensions after compactification from ten-dimensions restricts the rotation angle of any D6-brane with respect to the orientifold plane to be an element of  $SU(3)$ , i.e.

$$\theta_1^a + \theta_2^a + \theta_3^a = 0 \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (2.11)$$

with  $\theta_j^a = \arctan(2^{-\beta_j} \chi_j l_j^a / n_j^a)$ .  $\theta_i$  is the angle between the D6-brane and orientifold-plane in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  2-torus and  $\chi_i = R_i^2 / R_i^1$  are the complex structure moduli for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  2-torus.

Sector	Representation
$aa$	$U(N_a/2)$ vector multiplet
	3 adjoint chiral multiplets
$ab + ba$	$\mathcal{M}(\frac{N_a}{2}, \frac{N_b}{2}) = I_{ab}(\square_a, \bar{\square}_b)$
$ab' + b'a$	$\mathcal{M}(\frac{N_a}{2}, \frac{N_b}{2}) = I_{ab'}(\square_a, \square_b)$
$aa' + a'a$	$\mathcal{M}(a_S) = \frac{1}{2}(I_{aa'} - \frac{1}{2}I_{aO6}) \square\square$
	$\mathcal{M}(a_A) = \frac{1}{2}(I_{aa'} + \frac{1}{2}I_{aO6}) \begin{array}{ c } \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}$

**Table 2.** General spectrum for intersecting D6-branes at generic angles, where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the multiplicity, and  $a_S$  and  $a_A$  denote respectively the symmetric and antisymmetric representations of  $U(N_a/2)$ . Positive intersection numbers in our convention refer to the left-handed chiral supermultiplets.

$\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry conditions are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_A \tilde{A}_a + x_B \tilde{B}_a + x_C \tilde{C}_a + x_D \tilde{D}_a &= 0, \\
\frac{A_a}{x_A} + \frac{B_a}{x_B} + \frac{C_a}{x_C} + \frac{D_a}{x_D} &< 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where  $x_A = \lambda$ ,  $x_B = 2^{\beta_2 + \beta_3} \cdot \lambda / \chi_2 \chi_3$ ,  $x_C = 2^{\beta_1 + \beta_3} \cdot \lambda / \chi_1 \chi_3$ ,  $x_D = 2^{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdot \lambda / \chi_1 \chi_2$ .

Orientifolds also have discrete D-brane RR charges classified by the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  K-theory groups, which are subtle and invisible by the ordinary homology [3, 34–36], which should also be taken into account [4]. The K-theory conditions are,

$$\sum_a \tilde{A}_a = \sum_a N_a \tilde{B}_a = \sum_a N_a \tilde{C}_a = \sum_a N_a \tilde{D}_a = 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{2.13}$$


In our case, we avoid the nonvanishing torsion charges by taking an even number of D-branes, *i.e.*,  $N_a \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2.2 Particle spectrum

To have three families of the SM fermions, we need one torus to be tilted, which is chosen to be the third torus. So we have  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  and  $\beta_3 = 1$ . Placing the  $a'$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  stacks of D6-branes on the top of each other on the third 2-torus results in additional vector-like particles from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsectors [6]. The anomalies from three global  $U(1)$ s of  $U(4)_C$ ,  $U(2)_L$  and  $U(2)_R$  are cancelled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism, and the gauge fields of these  $U(1)$ s obtain masses via the linear  $B \wedge F$  couplings. Thus, the effective gauge symmetry is  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ .

Pati-Salam gauge group  $SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  is higgsed down to the standard model gauge group  $SU(3)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(1)_{I3R} \times U(1)_{B-L}$  by assigning vacuum expectation values to the adjoint scalars which arise as open-string moduli associated to the stacks  $a$  and  $c$ , see figure 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
a &\rightarrow a_1 + a_2, \\
c &\rightarrow c_1 + c_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$



**Figure 1.** Pati-Salam gauge group  $SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  is broken down to the standard model gauge group  $SU(3)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(1)_{I_{3R}} \times U(1)_{B-L}$  via the process of brane splitting that corresponds to assigning VEVs to the adjoint scalars, which arise as open-string moduli associated with the positions of stacks  $a$  and  $c$  in the internal space.

Moreover, the  $U(1)_{I_{3R}} \times U(1)_{B-L}$  gauge symmetry may be broken to  $U(1)_Y$  by giving vacuum expectation values (VEVs) to the vector-like particles with the quantum numbers  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}/2, -1)$  and  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{1})$  under the  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{I_{3R}} \times U(1)_{B-L}$  gauge symmetry from  $a_2 c'_1$  intersections [6, 37].

This brane-splitting results in standard model quarks and leptons as [38],

$$\begin{aligned} F_L(Q_L, L_L) &\rightarrow Q_L + L, \\ F_R(Q_R, L_R) &\rightarrow U_R + D_R + E_R + N_R. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The additional exotic particles must be made superheavy to ensure gauge coupling unification at the string-scale. Similar to Refs. [39, 40] we can decouple the additional exotic particles except the charged chiral multiplets under  $SU(4)_C$  anti-symmetric representation. These charged chiral multiplets can be decoupled via instanton effects in principle [41–43].

Three-point Yukawa couplings for the quarks and the charged leptons can be read from the following superpotential,

$$\mathcal{W}_3 \sim Y_{ijk}^u Q_i U_j^c H_k^u + Y_{ijk}^d Q_i D_j^c H_k^d + Y_{ijk}^\nu L_i N_j^c H_k^u + Y_{ijk}^e L_i E_j^c H_k^d, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $Y_{ijk}^u$ ,  $Y_{ijk}^d$ ,  $Y_{ijk}^\nu$  and  $Y_{ijk}^e$  are Yukawa couplings, and  $Q_i$ ,  $U_i^c$ ,  $D_i^c$ ,  $L_i$ ,  $N_i^c$ , and  $E_i^c$  are the left-handed quark doublet, right-handed up-type quarks, right-handed down-type quarks, left-handed lepton doublet, right-handed neutrinos, and right-handed leptons, respectively. The superpotential including the four-point interactions is

$$\mathcal{W}_4 \sim \frac{1}{M_S} \left( Y_{ijkl}^{tu} Q_i U_j^c H_k^{tu} S_l^L + Y_{ijkl}^{td} Q_i D_j^c H_k^{td} S_l^L + Y_{ijkl}^{t\nu} L_i N_j^c H_k^{t\nu} S_l^L + Y_{ijkl}^{te} L_i E_j^c H_k^{te} S_l^L \right), \quad (2.17)$$

where  $Y_{ijkl}^{tu}$ ,  $Y_{ijkl}^{td}$ ,  $Y_{ijkl}^{t\nu}$ , and  $Y_{ijkl}^{te}$  are Yukawa couplings of the four-point functions, and  $M_S$  is the string scale.

### 3 Yukawa couplings

Yukawa couplings arise from open string world-sheet instantons that connect three D-brane intersections [1]. Intersecting D6-branes at angles wrap 3-cycles on the compact space  $\mathbb{T}^6 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ . For instance in the case of three stacks of D-branes wrapping on a  $\mathbb{T}^2$  the 3-cycles can be represented by the wrapping numbers in a vector form as:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi_a] &= (n_a, l_a) \rightarrow z_a = R(n_a + \tau l_a) \cdot x_a, \\ [\Pi_b] &= (n_b, l_b) \rightarrow z_b = R(n_b + \tau l_b) \cdot x_b, \\ [\Pi_c] &= (n_c, l_c) \rightarrow z_c = R(n_c + \tau l_c) \cdot x_c, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\tau$  is the complex structure parameter,  $(n_a, l_a) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the wrapped 1-cycle,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z_a \in \mathbb{C}$  respective to the brane  $a$ . The triangles bounded by the triplet of D-branes  $(z_a, z_b, z_c)$  will contribute to the Yukawa couplings [2]. A *closer* condition,

$$z_a + z_b + z_c = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

ensures that triangles are actually formed by the three branes. The Diophantine equation (3.1) together with the closer condition can be solved to get the following solution:

$$\begin{aligned} x_a &= \frac{I_{bc}}{d} x, \\ x_b &= \frac{I_{ca}}{d} x, \quad x = x_0 + l, \\ x_c &= \frac{I_{ab}}{d} x, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $I_{ab}$  is the intersection number,  $d = g.c.d.(I_{ab}, I_{bc}, I_{ca})$  is the greatest common divisor of the intersection numbers,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  arises from triangles connecting different points in the covering space  $\mathbb{T}^6$  but the same points under the lattice  $\mathbb{T}^2$  of the triangles and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  depends on the relative positions of the branes and the particular triplet  $(i, j, k)$  of intersection points,

$$\begin{aligned} i &= 0, 1, \dots, |I_{ab}| - 1, \\ j &= 0, 1, \dots, |I_{bc}| - 1, \\ k &= 0, 1, \dots, |I_{ca}| - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

such that  $x_0$  can be written as

$$x_0(i, j, k) = \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Relaxing the condition that all branes intersect at the origin, we can introduce brane shifts  $\epsilon_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = a, b, c$  to write a general expressions for  $x_0$  as

$$x_0(i, j, k) = \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \frac{d(I_{ab}\epsilon_c + I_{ca}\epsilon_b + I_{ab}\epsilon_a)}{I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where we can absorb these three parameters into only one as,

$$\epsilon = \frac{I_{ab}\epsilon_c + I_{ca}\epsilon_b + I_{bc}\epsilon_a}{I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}}. \quad (3.7)$$

This is obvious due to the reparametrization invariance in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  since we can always choose two branes to intersect at the origin and the only remaining freedom left is the shift of third brane. The formula of the areas of the triangles can then be expressed using (3.6) as,

$$\begin{aligned} A(z_a, z_b) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|z_a|^2 |z_b|^2 - (\operatorname{Re} z_a \bar{z}_b)^2} \\ \longrightarrow A_{ijk}(l) &= \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^2 A |I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}| \left( \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \epsilon + l \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where  $A$  is the Kähler structure of the torus. Finally, the Yukawa coupling for the three states localized at the intersections indexed by  $(i, j, k)$  is given as,

$$Y_{ijk} = h_{qu} \sigma_{abc} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(-\frac{A_{ijk}(l)}{2\pi\alpha'}\right), \quad (3.9)$$

where the real phase  $\sigma_{abc} = \operatorname{sign}(I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca})$  comes from the full instanton contribution [2] and  $h_{qu}$  is due to quantum correction as discussed in [44]. For the ease of numerical computation real modular theta function is used to re-express the summation as

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} (t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi t(\delta+l)^2} e^{2l\pi i(\delta+l)\phi}, \quad (3.10)$$

where the corresponding parameters are related as,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \epsilon, \\ \phi &= 0, \\ t &= \frac{A}{\alpha'} |I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Notice that the theta function  $\vartheta$  is real, however  $t$  can be complex while  $\phi$  is an overall phase.

### 3.1 Adding a $B$ -field and Wilson lines

Strings being one dimensional naturally couple to a 2-form  $B$ -field in addition to the metric. To incorporate the turning *on* of this  $B$ -field leads to a *complex* Kähler structure of the

compact space  $\mathbb{T}^2$  such that,

$$J = B + iA, \quad (3.12)$$

and the otherwise real parameter  $t$  is changed to a complex parameter  $\kappa$  as,

$$\kappa = \frac{J}{\alpha'} |I_{ab} I_{bc} I_{ca}|. \quad (3.13)$$

Secondly, we can also add Wilson lines around the compact directions wrapped by the D-branes. However, to avoid breaking any gauge symmetry Wilson lines must be chosen corresponding to group elements in the centre of the gauge group, i.e., a phase [2]. For a triangle formed by three D-branes  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  each wrapping a different 1-cycle inside of  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , the Wilson lines can be given by the corresponding phases  $\exp(2\pi i\theta_a)$ ,  $\exp(2\pi i\theta_b)$ , and  $\exp(2\pi i\theta_c)$  respectively. The total phase picked up by an open string sweeping such triangle will depend upon the relative longitude of each segment, determined by the intersection points:

$$e^{2\pi i x_a \theta_a} e^{2\pi i x_b \theta_b} e^{2\pi i x_c \theta_c} = e^{2\pi i x (I_{bc} \theta_a + I_{ca} \theta_b + I_{ab} \theta_c)}. \quad (3.14)$$

In general, considering both a  $B$ -field as well as Wilson lines we get a complex theta function as

$$\vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\kappa) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i \kappa (\delta + l)^2} e^{2\pi i (\delta + l) \phi}, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \epsilon, \\ \phi &= I_{ab} \theta_c + I_{bc} \theta_a + I_{ca} \theta_b, \\ \kappa &= \frac{J}{\alpha'} |I_{ab} I_{bc} I_{ca}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

### 3.2 O-planes and non-prime intersection numbers

To cancel the RR-tadpoles we need to introduce the orientifold O-planes that are objects of negative tension. In addition for each D-brane  $a$ , we must include its mirror image  $a'$  under  $\Omega\mathcal{R}$ . Such mirror branes will in general wrap a different cycle  $\Pi_{a^*}$ , related to  $\Pi_a$  by the action of  $R$  on the homology of the torus. Consequently we also need to include the triangles formed by either of the branes or their images. As an example the Yukawa coupling from the branes  $a$ ,  $b'$ , and  $c$  will depend on the parameters  $I_{ab'}$ ,  $I_{b'c}$ , and  $I_{ca}$ , where the primed indexes are independent of the unprimed ones.

Furthermore, the three intersection numbers may not be coprime in general. Therefore, to avoid overcounting we need to involve the *g.c.d.* of the intersection numbers as  $d = g.c.d.(I_{ab}, I_{bc}, I_{ca})$ .

Finally, to ensure that triangles are bounded by D-branes, the intersection indices must satisfy the following condition [2]

$$i + j + k = 0 \pmod{d}. \quad (3.17)$$

### 3.3 The general formula of Yukawa couplings

Therefore, the most general formula for Yukawa couplings for D6-branes wrapping a compact  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$  space can be written as, compact space as

$$Y_{ijk} = h_{qu} \sigma_{abc} \prod_{r=1}^3 \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta^{(r)} \\ \phi^{(r)} \end{array} \right] (\kappa^{(r)}), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$\vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta^{(r)} \\ \phi^{(r)} \end{array} \right] (\kappa^{(r)}) = \sum_{l_r \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (\delta^{(r)} + l_r)^2 \kappa^{(r)}} e^{2\pi i (\delta^{(r)} + l_r) \phi^{(r)}}, \quad (3.19)$$

with  $r = 1, 2, 3$  denoting the three 2-tori. And the input parameters are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(r)} &= \frac{i^{(r)}}{I_{ab}^{(r)}} + \frac{j^{(r)}}{I_{ca}^{(r)}} + \frac{k^{(r)}}{I_{bc}^{(r)}} + \frac{d^{(r)} (I_{ab}^{(r)} \epsilon_c^{(r)} + I_{ca}^{(r)} \epsilon_b^{(r)} + I_{bc}^{(r)} \epsilon_a^{(r)})}{I_{ab} I_{bc} I_{ca}} + \frac{s^{(r)}}{d^{(r)}}, \\ \phi^{(r)} &= \frac{I_{bc}^{(r)} \theta_a^{(r)} + I_{ca}^{(r)} \theta_b^{(r)} + I_{ab}^{(r)} \theta_c^{(r)}}{d^{(r)}}, \\ \kappa^{(r)} &= \frac{J^{(r)} |I_{ab}^{(r)} I_{bc}^{(r)} I_{ca}^{(r)}|}{\alpha' (d^{(r)})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The theta function defined in (3.15) is in general complicated to evaluate numerically. However, for the special case without  $B$ -field, defining  $J' = -iJ = A$  and  $\kappa' = -i\kappa$  the  $\vartheta$  function takes a more manageable form,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\kappa') &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi \kappa' (\delta + l)^2} e^{2\pi i (\delta + l) \phi}, \\ \xrightarrow{\text{redefine}} \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \phi \end{array} \right] (\kappa) &= e^{-\pi \kappa \delta^2} e^{2\pi i \delta \phi} \vartheta_3(\pi(\phi + i\kappa\delta), e^{-\pi\kappa}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

in terms of  $\vartheta_3$ , the Jacobi theta function of the third kind.

Pati-Salam gauge symmetry is broken down to the standard model by the process of brane-splitting as schematically shown in figure 1, where the standard model particles are localized at their respective brane intersections. The mass hierarchies of the standard model are then easily explained by the relative shifting of the brane stacks. For instance, the left-handed quarks are localized at the intersections between the stacks  $\{a_1, b\}$  while the right-handed up-type and down-type quarks are respectively localized between stacks  $\{a_1, c_1\}$  and  $\{a_1, c_2\}$ . Thus, if we shift stack  $c_2$  in the orientifold by an amount  $\epsilon_{c_2}$  while the stack  $c_1$  is unshifted ( $\epsilon_{c_1} = 0$ ), then the down-type quark masses are naturally suppressed relative to the up-type quarks. Similarly, because the left-handed and the right-handed charged leptons are respectively localized at the intersection between stacks  $\{a_2, b\}$  and stacks  $\{a_2, c_2\}$ , the shifting of stack  $a_2$  by some amount  $\epsilon_{a_2}$  will result in the suppression of the charged lepton masses relative to the down-type quarks. Hence, the following observed

mass hierarchy is a consequence of pure geometry of the internal space,

$$m_u > m_d > m_e. \quad (3.22)$$

### 3.4 Fitting the fermion masses and mixings

By running the RGE's up to unification scale, considering  $\tan \beta \equiv v_u/v_d = 50$  and the ratio  $m_\tau/m_b = 1.58$  from the previous study of soft terms [40], the diagonal mass matrices for up-type, down-type quarks and charged-leptons, denoted as  $D_u$ ,  $D_d$  and  $D_e$  at the unification scale  $\mu = M_X$  have been determined as [45, 46],

$$D_u = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.0000139 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.00404 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$D_d = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0280 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$D_e = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0458 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.25)$$

$$D_\nu = m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} m_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.26)$$

where we have parameterized the neutrino-masses as  $(m_3, m_2, m_1)$  upto an overall constant  $m_\nu$ . Experimentally, two of the mass eigenstates  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  are found to be close to each other while the third eigenvalue  $m_3$  is separated from the former pair where  $m_2 > m_1$  by definition. Normal ordering (NO) refers to  $m_3 \gg m_2 > m_1$  while inverted ordering (IO) refers to  $(m_2 > m_1 \gg m_3)$  with constraints NuFIT 5.3 (2024) [47],

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = 74.1 \pm 2.1 \text{ meV}^2, \quad \begin{cases} \Delta m_{31}^2 = +2505 \pm 25 \text{ meV}^2 & \text{(NO)}, \\ \Delta m_{32}^2 = -2487 \pm 27 \text{ meV}^2 & \text{(IO)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

In the standard model, the quark matrices and the leptons matrices can always be made Hermitian by suitable transformation of the right-handed fields [12, 17]. For quarks, we consider the case that  $M_d$  is very close to the diagonal matrix for down-type quark, which effectively means that  $U_L^d$  and  $U_R^d$  are very close to the unit matrix with very small off-diagonal terms, then

$$V_{\text{CKM}} \simeq U^u U^{d\dagger} \simeq U^u, \quad (3.28)$$

where we have transformed away the right-handed effects and made them the same as the left-handed ones. Thus, the mass matrix of the up-type quarks becomes,

$$M_u \sim V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger D_u V_{\text{CKM}}. \quad (3.29)$$

Employing the quarks-mixing matrix,  $V_{\text{CKM}}$ , from [UTfit \(2023\)](#) [48],

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97431(19) & 0.22517(81) & 0.003715(93) e^{-i65.1(1.3)^\circ} \\ -0.22503(83) e^{+i0.0351(1)^\circ} & 0.97345(20) e^{-i0.00187(5)^\circ} & 0.0420(5) \\ 0.00859(11) e^{-i22.4(7)^\circ} & -0.04128(46) e^{+i1.05(3)^\circ} & 0.999111(20) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.30)$$

we can express the up-quark mass matrix in the mixed form as,

$$\begin{aligned} \because M_u &= V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger D_u V_{\text{CKM}} \\ \Rightarrow |M_u| &= m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291336 & 0.00122042 & 0.00860765 \\ 0.00122042 & 0.00552729 & 0.0413384 \\ 0.00860765 & 0.0413384 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Similarly, using the leptons-mixing matrix,  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$  from [NuFIT](#), we can express the charged-leptons matrix in the mixed form as,

$$\begin{aligned} \because M_e &= U_{\text{PMNS}}^\dagger D_e U_{\text{PMNS}} \\ \Rightarrow |M_e| &= m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.0361688 & 0.108125 & 0.116698 \\ 0.108125 & 0.458457 & 0.500675 \\ 0.116698 & 0.500675 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Henceforth, we need to fit (3.24), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.26) to explain the SM fermions' masses and mixings by fine-tuning the Higgs VEVs against the coupling parameters.

### 3.5 4-point Yukawa corrections

We now turn our attention to the discussion of four-point functions that affect more greatly to the masses of the lighter fermions. We are looking for four-point interactions such as

$$\phi_{ab}^i \phi_{ca}^j \phi_{b'c}^k \phi_{bb'}^l \quad \text{or} \quad \phi_{ab}^i \phi_{ca}^j \phi_{cc'}^k \phi_{bc'}^l, \quad (3.33)$$


where  $\phi_{xy}^i$  are the chiral superfields at the intersections between stack  $x$  and  $y$  D6-branes. The formula for the area of a quadrilateral in terms of its angles and two sides and the solutions of diophantine equations for estimating the multiple areas of the quadrilaterals from non-unit intersection numbers are given in [49, 50]. In addition to these formulae, there is a more intuitive way to calculate the area for these four-sided polygons. A quadrilateral can be always taken as the difference between two similar triangles. Therefore, since we know the classical part is

$$Z_{4cl} \sim e^{-A_{quad}}, \quad (3.34)$$

it is equivalent to write [51],

$$Z_{4cl} \sim e^{-|A_{tri} - A'_{tri}|}. \quad (3.35)$$

Taking the absolute value of the difference reveals that there are two cases:  $A_{tri} > A'_{tri}$  and  $A_{tri} < A'_{tri}$ , as shown in figure 2. From the figure we can see the two quadrilaterals are similar with different sizes, but the orders of the fields corresponding to the angles



**Figure 2.** A picture of two quadrilaterals with different field orders. The red brane repeats in a next cycle and can still form a similar quadrilateral with the blue brane. This coupling also contributes to the four-point function.

are different, which is under an interchange of  $\theta \leftrightarrow 1 - \theta$ ,  $\theta = \nu, \lambda, \rho, \sigma$ . These different field orders may cause different values for their quantum contributions. Here, we shall only consider the classical contribution from the 4-point interaction and ignore the quantum part which was shown to be further suppressed, consult [51] and references therein for details. Therefore, we are able to employ the same techniques which have developed for calculating the trilinear Yukawa couplings.


For a quadrilateral formed by the stacks  $a, b, b', c$ , we can calculate it as the difference between two triangles formed by stacks  $a, b, c$  and  $b', b, c$ . In other words, they share the same intersection  $I_{bc}$ . Therefore, if we use this method to calculate the quadrilateral area, we should keep in mind that the intersection index  $k$  for  $I_{bc}$  remains the same for a certain class of quadrilaterals when varying other intersecting indices. Here we set indices  $i$  for  $I_{ab}$ ,  $j$  for  $I_{ca}$ ,  $\iota$  for  $I_{bb'}$ , and  $j$  for  $I_{cb'}$ , as shown in figure 3. We may calculate the areas of the triangles as we did in the trilinear Yukawa couplings above [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^2 A_{\mathbb{T}^2} |I_{ab} I_{bc} I_{ca}| \left( \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}} + \epsilon + l \right)^2, \\
 A_{\iota jk} &= \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^2 A_{\mathbb{T}^2} |I_{b'b} I_{bc} I_{cb'}| \left( \frac{\iota}{I_{b'b}} + \frac{j}{I_{cb'}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}} + \epsilon + l \right)^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$


where  $i, j, k$  and  $\iota, j, k$  are using the same selection rules as Eq. (3.17). Thus, the classical contribution of the four-point functions is given by

$$Z_{4cl} = \sum_{l, \ell} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} |A_{ijk} - A_{\iota jk}|}. \tag{3.37}$$

Note that this formula will diverge when  $A_{ijk} = A_{\iota jk}$ , which is due to over-counting the zero area when the corresponding parameters in Eq. (3.36) are the same. In such




**Figure 3.** Figure shows the areas bounded by stacks of D-branes which give rise to the Yukawa couplings for quarks and leptons via world-sheet instantons. The Yukawa couplings of the up-type quarks are from the areas by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_1$ , the down-type quarks by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_2$ , and the leptons by  $a_2$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_2$ . The four-point function corrections to the Yukawa couplings of the up-type quarks are from the areas by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $b'$ ,  $c_1$ , the down-type quarks by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $b'$ ,  $c_2$ , and the leptons by  $a_2$ ,  $b$ ,  $b'$ ,  $c_2$ .



**Figure 4.** The figure showing the areas bounded by stacks of D-branes which give rise to the Yukawa couplings for quarks and leptons via world-sheet instantons. The Yukawa couplings of the up-type quarks are from the areas by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_1$ , the down-type quarks by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_2$ , and the leptons by  $a_2$ ,  $b$ ,  $c_2$ . The four-point function corrections to the Yukawa couplings of the up-type quarks are from the areas by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c'$ ,  $c_1$ , the down-type quarks by stack  $a_1$ ,  $b$ ,  $c'$ ,  $c_2$ , and the leptons by  $a_2$ ,  $b$ ,  $c'$ ,  $c_2$ .

a case,  $Z_{4cl} = 1 + \sum_{l \neq \ell} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}|A_{ijk} - A_{ljk}|}$ . We will not meet this special situation in our following discussion. We will consider both types of possible interactions (3.33) coming



**Figure 5.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 13 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

from considering  $b'$  or from considering  $c'$  as shown in figure 4 independently.

The complete list of 33 models is listed in appendix A. The complete perturbative particle spectra of the 33 models are tabulated in appendix B. The first 16 models viz. 1, 1-dual, 2, 3, 3-dual, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9-dual, 10, 11, 11-dual, and 12 do not possess the correct form of Yukawa textures to generate the fermion masses on a single two-torus. Therefore, we will only focus on the remaining models where viable 3-point Yukawa interactions are possible.

## 4 Model with 3 Higgs from the bulk

### 4.1 Model 13

In Model 13 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 3 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 13 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 5. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 4.1.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 13

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 20, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -2, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= -3, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{1}{9} \left( -3\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 3\theta_a^{(1)} + 3\theta_b^{(1)} - 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{3J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (4.4)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 3 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (4.2) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{9} \left( -3\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (4.6)$$

Then the 3-point Yukawa matrices take the following form

$$Y_{k=0}^{(1)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{k=1}^{(1)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{k=2}^{(1)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{3} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{3J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 2. \quad (4.8)$$

where we take  $s^{(1)} = i$  in (4.2),

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{j}{3} + \frac{k}{3}, \quad (4.9)$$

and we ignore the other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem.

Furthermore, there is also a contribution from the third torus where some of the intersection numbers are greater than 1. Choosing the specific value of  $s^{(3)} = \frac{i}{2}$ .

$$\delta^{(3)} = j - \frac{k}{3} \quad (4.10)$$

$$Y_k^{(3)} \sim t_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(3)} + \frac{k}{3} \\ \phi^{(3)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(3)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 2. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore the classical part of this three-point couplings is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{3cl} = & v_1 t_2 \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_2 t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_3 t_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + v_4 t_0 \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_5 t_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_6 t_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + v_7 t_1 \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_8 t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_9 t_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_2 & 0 \\ T_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

Then the mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 (t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_4 + t_0 v_7) & T_1 (t_1 v_3 + t_2 v_6 + t_0 v_9) & T_2 (t_1 v_2 + t_2 v_5 + t_0 v_8) \\ T_1 (t_1 v_3 + t_2 v_6 + t_0 v_9) & T_2 (t_1 v_2 + t_2 v_5 + t_0 v_8) & T_0 (t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_4 + t_0 v_7) \\ T_2 (t_1 v_2 + t_2 v_5 + t_0 v_8) & T_0 (t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_4 + t_0 v_7) & T_1 (t_1 v_3 + t_2 v_6 + t_0 v_9) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.13)$$

It is clear that only the three linear combinations of the nine Higgs states,  $t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_4 + t_0 v_7$ ,  $t_1 v_3 + t_2 v_6 + t_0 v_9$  and  $t_1 v_2 + t_2 v_5 + t_0 v_8$  contribute to the Yukawa couplings up to the normalizations factors.

#### 4.1.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 13

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (4.4) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 30$  which also fixes  $\kappa^{(3)} = 60$  and evaluate the couplings functions (4.8) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0.00720233$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for

the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1^u &= 211479., & v_1^d &= 4977.6 \\
v_2^u &= 1.4168 \times 10^{11}, & v_2^d &= 3.70977 \times 10^{10} \\
v_3^u &= 2.55874 \times 10^{13}, & v_3^d &= 8.09994 \times 10^{11}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.998234 & 0.005527 \\ 0.998234 & 0.005527 & 0.000291 \\ 0.005527 & 0.000291 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \tag{4.15}$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 1. & 0.0458 \\ 1. & 0.0458 & 0.000217 \\ 0.0458 & 0.000217 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \tag{4.16}$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000341 & 1. & 0.113223 \\ 1. & 0.113223 & 0.000341 \\ 0.113223 & 0.000341 & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.17}$$

Only the masses of up-type quarks, the masses of charged leptons and the bottom quark mass are fitted with the three-point couplings. The quark mixings and the masses of the charm and the down quarks are not matched. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter.

### 4.1.3 4-point corrections in Model 13

The four-point couplings in Model 13 in table 20 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= 5, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 5, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

There are 4 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 5 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts

$l = -k$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{j}{3} - \frac{i}{3},\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}d &= \frac{\nu}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{j}{5} - \frac{\nu}{4},\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,i}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_5 u_4 w_1 + F_{14} u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_{12} u_1 w_4 + F_{17} u_4 w_4 + F_6 u_3 w_5 \\ F_{10} u_3 w_1 + F_{19} u_2 w_2 + F_8 u_1 w_3 + F_{13} u_4 w_3 + F_2 u_3 w_4 + F_{11} u_2 w_5 \\ F_{15} u_2 w_1 + F_4 u_1 w_2 + F_9 u_4 w_2 + F_{18} u_3 w_3 + F_7 u_2 w_4 + F_{16} u_1 w_5 + F_1 u_4 w_5 \\ F_{10} u_3 w_1 + F_{19} u_2 w_2 + F_8 u_1 w_3 + F_{13} u_4 w_3 + F_2 u_3 w_4 + F_{11} u_2 w_5 \\ F_{15} u_2 w_1 + F_4 u_1 w_2 + F_9 u_4 w_2 + F_{18} u_3 w_3 + F_7 u_2 w_4 + F_{16} u_1 w_5 + F_1 u_4 w_5 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_5 u_4 w_1 + F_{14} u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_{12} u_1 w_4 + F_{17} u_4 w_4 + F_6 u_3 w_5 \\ F_{15} u_2 w_1 + F_4 u_1 w_2 + F_9 u_4 w_2 + F_{18} u_3 w_3 + F_7 u_2 w_4 + F_{16} u_1 w_5 + F_1 u_4 w_5 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_5 u_4 w_1 + F_{14} u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_{12} u_1 w_4 + F_{17} u_4 w_4 + F_6 u_3 w_5 \\ F_{10} u_3 w_1 + F_{19} u_2 w_2 + F_8 u_1 w_3 + F_{13} u_4 w_3 + F_2 u_3 w_4 + F_{11} u_2 w_5 \end{pmatrix},\tag{4.21}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_{4i} \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{5} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{3J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1.\tag{4.22}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0,\tag{4.23}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting down-type quarks in such a way that corresponding corrections for the charged-leptons remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}u_1^d &= 1 & w_1^d &= 0.00168696 \\ u_2^d &= 1 & w_2^d &= 0 \\ u_3^d &= 0 & w_3^d &= 0 \\ u_4^d &= 1 & w_4^d &= -0.0739327 \\ & & w_5^d &= 0\end{aligned},\tag{4.24}$$

The 4-point correction to the down-type quarks' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.001069 & 0. & -0.085223 \\ 0. & -0.085223 & 0.001069 \\ -0.085223 & 0.001069 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.25)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (4.17) as,

$$|M_{3d}| + |M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 1. & 0.028 \\ 1. & 0.028 & 0.00141 \\ 0.028 & 0.00141 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (4.26)$$

However, we also need to keep the corrections to charged-leptons' masses to be negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4e}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.000676 & 0. & -0.053938 \\ 0. & -0.053938 & 0.000676 \\ -0.053938 & 0.000676 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (4.27)$$

However, the four-point corrections to the leptons and the down quarks turn out to be of similar order such that the exact-fitting achieved for either one from the 3-point functions is spoiled. Therefore, only approximate matching for the quarks and charged-leptons can be achieved and we are not able to explain the quarks' mixings.

## 5 Models with 6 Higgs from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sector

### 5.1 Model 14

In Model 14 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 6 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.


Yukawa matrices for the Model 14 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 6. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

#### 5.1.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 14

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 21, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -6, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as



**Figure 6.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 14 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{-6} + \frac{1}{18} \left( 6\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -6\theta_a^{(2)} - 3\theta_b^{(2)} - 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{6J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (5.4)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 5\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 6 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (5.2) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{18} \left( 6\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (5.5)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (5.6)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = -\frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{6} \quad (5.7)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 & T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 & T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 \\ T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 \\ T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 & T_2 v_1 + T_5 v_4 & T_0 v_3 + T_3 v_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.8)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta

function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{6} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5. \quad (5.9)$$

### 5.1.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 14

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (5.4) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 30$  and evaluate the couplings functions (5.9) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0.0696666$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_2 &= 0.117993, & v^d_2 &= -5.61839 \times 10^{-7} \\ v^u_3 &= 0.998234, & v^d_3 &= 0.0316 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.566674, & v^d_4 &= -2.66199 \times 10^{-9} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.00144728 \\ v^u_6 &= 0.0163423, & v^d_6 &= -0.0000122672 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (5.11)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (5.12)$$


$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.000252 & 0.000103 \\ 0.002252 & 0.0458 & 0. \\ -0.000012 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.13)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the charged leptons are fitted, in the down-type quarks matrix, only the mass of the bottom quark can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the charm and the down quarks are not fitted. Since the intersection number  $I_{bc'}^{(2)} = 0$ , there are no further corrections from the four-point functions.

## 5.2 Model 15

In Model 15 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 6 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 15 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 7. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in



**Figure 7.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 15 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 5.2.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 15

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 22, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 6, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{6} + \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 6\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (5.17)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 5\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 6 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (5.15) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (5.18)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (5.19)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = j$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{3} + \frac{k}{6} \quad (5.20)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 \\ T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 & T_2 v_1 + T_5 v_4 \\ T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 & T_0 v_3 + T_3 v_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.21)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta

function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{6} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5. \quad (5.22)$$

### 5.2.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 15

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (5.17) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 30$  and evaluate the couplings functions (5.22) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0.0696666$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_2 &= 0.117993, & v^d_2 &= -5.61839 \times 10^{-7} \\ v^u_3 &= 0.998234, & v^d_3 &= 0.0316 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.566674, & v^d_4 &= -2.66199 \times 10^{-9} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.00144728 \\ v^u_6 &= 0.0163423, & v^d_6 &= -0.0000122672 \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (5.24)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (5.25)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.002252 & -0.000012 \\ -0.000252 & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0.000103 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.26)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the charged leptons are fitted, in the down-type quarks matrix, only the mass of the bottom quark can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the charm and the down quarks are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter.

### 5.2.3 4-point corrections in Model 15

The four-point couplings in Model 15 in table 22 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection

numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -8, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= 1, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= 1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= -2, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

There are 4 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 2 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{2}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\
&= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d &= \frac{\iota}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\
&= \frac{\iota}{4} - \frac{j}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 & 0 & F_1 u_4 w_2 \\ 0 & F_1 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 \\ F_1 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 & F_2 u_3 w_1 + F_3 u_2 w_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.30}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{4} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1. \tag{5.31}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \tag{5.32}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting down-type quarks in such a way that corresponding corrections for the charged-leptons remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^d &= 0.00002386 & w_1^d &= 1 \\
u_2^d &= 0 & w_2^d &= 1 \\
u_3^d &= 0 & & \\
u_4^d &= -0.128723 & &
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

The 4-point correction to the down-type quarks' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.001193 & 0. & -0.0178 \\ 0. & -0.0178 & -0.0178 \\ -0.0178 & -0.0178 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.34)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (5.26) as,

$$|M_{3d}| + |M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0.002252 & -0.017812 \\ -0.000252 & 0.028 & -0.0178 \\ -0.017697 & -0.0178 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (5.35)$$

However, we also need to keep the corrections to charged-leptons' masses to be negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4e}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.000755 & 0. & -0.011266 \\ 0. & -0.011266 & -0.011266 \\ -0.011266 & -0.011266 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (5.36)$$

Although the results appears to be an near-exact it should be noted that we have assumed a strictly symmetric CKM matrix (3.30) and therefore, the matching is only approximate for a general asymmetric matrix.

### 5.3 Model 15-dual

In Model 15-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 6 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.


Yukawa matrices for the Model 15-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 8. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

#### 5.3.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 15-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 23, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -6, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as



**Figure 8.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 15-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{-6} + \frac{1}{18} \left( 6\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \quad (5.38)$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -6\theta_a^{(2)} - 3\theta_b^{(2)} - 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.39)$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{6J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (5.40)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 5\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 6 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (5.38) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{18} \left( 6\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (5.41)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (5.42)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = -\frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{6} \quad (5.43)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 & T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 & T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 \\ T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 \\ T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 & T_2 v_1 + T_5 v_4 & T_0 v_3 + T_3 v_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.44)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{6} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5. \quad (5.45)$$

### 5.3.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 15-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (5.40) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 30$  and evaluate the couplings functions (5.45) by setting geometric brane position parameters as

$\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0.0696666$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\
v^u_2 &= 0.117993, & v^d_2 &= -5.61839 \times 10^{-7} \\
v^u_3 &= 0.998234, & v^d_3 &= 0.0316 \\
v^u_4 &= 0.566674, & v^d_4 &= -2.66199 \times 10^{-9} \\
v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.00144728 \\
v^u_6 &= 0.0163423, & v^d_6 &= -0.0000122672
\end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \tag{5.47}$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \tag{5.48}$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.000252 & 0.000103 \\ 0.002252 & 0.0458 & 0. \\ -0.000012 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.49}$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the charged leptons are fitted, in the down-type quarks matrix, only the mass of the bottom quark can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the charm and the down quarks are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter.

### 5.3.3 4-point corrections in Model 15-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 15-dual in table 23 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the second two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= -4, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 8, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -2, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

There are 4 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 2 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts

$l = \frac{k}{2}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + l, \\ &= -\frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3},\end{aligned}\tag{5.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}d &= \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} - \frac{j}{2},\end{aligned}\tag{5.52}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,i}$  on the second torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 & 0 & F_3 u_4 w_2 \\ 0 & F_3 u_4 w_2 & F_1 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 \\ F_3 u_4 w_2 & F_1 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 & F_2 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 \end{pmatrix}\tag{5.53}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{i}{4} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(2)}| - 1.\tag{5.54}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0,\tag{5.55}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting down-type quarks in such a way that corresponding corrections for the charged-leptons remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(2)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}u_1^d &= 0.00002386 \\ u_2^d &= 0 & w_1^d &= 1 \\ u_3^d &= 0 & w_2^d &= 1 \\ u_4^d &= -0.128723\end{aligned}\tag{5.56}$$

The 4-point correction to the down-type quarks' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.001193 & 0. & -0.0178 \\ 0. & -0.0178 & -0.0178 \\ -0.0178 & -0.0178 & 0. \end{pmatrix}\tag{5.57}$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (5.49) as,

$$|M_{3d}| + |M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & -0.000252 & -0.017697 \\ 0.002252 & 0.028 & -0.0178 \\ -0.017812 & -0.0178 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (5.58)$$

However, we also need to keep the corrections to charged-leptons' masses to be negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4e}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.000755 & 0. & -0.011266 \\ 0. & -0.011266 & -0.011266 \\ -0.011266 & -0.011266 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (5.59)$$

Although the results appears to be an near-exact it should be noted that we have assumed a strictly symmetric CKM matrix (3.30) and therefore, the matching is only approximate for a general asymmetric matrix.

#### 5.4 Model 16

In Model 16 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 6 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 16 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 9. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

##### 5.4.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 16

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 24, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,


$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -6, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.60)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{-6} + \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (5.61)$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -6\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.62)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (5.63)$$



**Figure 9.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 16 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 5\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 6 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (5.61) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (5.64)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices

is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (5.65)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = -i$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = -\frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{6} \quad (5.66)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 & T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 & T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 \\ T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 \\ T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 & T_2 v_1 + T_5 v_4 & T_0 v_3 + T_3 v_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.67)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{6} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5. \quad (5.68)$$

#### 5.4.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 16

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (5.63) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 30$  and evaluate the couplings functions (5.68) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0.0696666$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_2 &= 0.117993, & v^d_2 &= -5.61839 \times 10^{-7} \\ v^u_3 &= 0.998234, & v^d_3 &= 0.0316 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.566674, & v^d_4 &= -2.66199 \times 10^{-9} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.00144728 \\ v^u_6 &= 0.0163423, & v^d_6 &= -0.0000122672 \end{aligned} \quad (5.69)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (5.70)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (5.71)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.000252 & 0.000103 \\ 0.002252 & 0.0458 & 0. \\ -0.000012 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.72)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the charged leptons are fitted, in the down-type quarks matrix, only the mass of the bottom quark can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the charm and the down quarks are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter.

### 5.4.3 4-point corrections in Model 16

The four-point couplings in Model 16 in table 24 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 8, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 2, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.73)$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 2 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{2}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{i}{8} + \frac{j}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.75)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the

following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_6 u_7 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_2 & F_5 u_6 w_1 + F_0 u_5 w_2 & F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 \\ F_5 u_6 w_1 + F_0 u_5 w_2 & F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_6 u_3 w_2 \\ F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_6 u_3 w_2 & F_2 u_3 w_1 + F_5 u_2 w_2 + F_3 u_8 w_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.76)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (5.77)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (5.78)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting down-type quarks in such a way that corresponding corrections for the charged-leptons remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^d &= 0.00002386 \\ u_2^d &= 0 \\ u_3^d &= 0 \\ u_4^d &= -0.00155239 & w_1^d &= 1 \\ u_5^d &= 0 & w_2^d &= 1 \\ u_6^d &= 0 \\ u_7^d &= 0 \\ u_8^d &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.79)$$

The 4-point correction to the down-type quarks' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.001193 & 0. & -0.0178 \\ 0. & -0.0178 & -0.000215 \\ -0.0178 & -0.000215 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.80)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (5.72) as,

$$|M_{3d}| + |M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & -0.000252 & -0.017697 \\ 0.002252 & 0.028 & -0.000215 \\ -0.017812 & -0.000214 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (5.81)$$

However, we also need to keep the corrections to charged-leptons' masses to be negligible

by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4e}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.000755 & 0. & -0.011266 \\ 0. & -0.011266 & -0.000136 \\ -0.011266 & -0.000136 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (5.82)$$

Although the results appears to be an near-exact it should be noted that we have assumed a strictly symmetric CKM matrix (3.30) and therefore, the matching is only approximate for a general asymmetric matrix.

## 5.5 Model 16-dual

In Model 16-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 6 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 16-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 10. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 5.5.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 16-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 25, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 6, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.83)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{6} + \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (5.84)$$


$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 6\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.85)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (5.86)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 5\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 6 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (5.84) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{18} \left( -6\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (5.87)$$



**Figure 10.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 16-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (5.88)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = j$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{3} + \frac{k}{6} \quad (5.89)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 \\ T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 & T_2 v_1 + T_5 v_4 \\ T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 & T_0 v_3 + T_3 v_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.90)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{matrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{6} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{matrix} \right] \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5. \quad (5.91)$$

### 5.5.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 16-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (5.86) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 30$  and evaluate the couplings functions (5.91) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0.0696666$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^{u_1} &= 0.000291336, & v^{d_1} &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^{u_2} &= 0.117993, & v^{d_2} &= -5.61839 \times 10^{-7} \\ v^{u_3} &= 0.998234, & v^{d_3} &= 0.0316 \\ v^{u_4} &= 0.566674, & v^{d_4} &= -2.66199 \times 10^{-9} \\ v^{u_5} &= 0.00552729, & v^{d_5} &= 0.00144728 \\ v^{u_6} &= 0.0163423, & v^{d_6} &= -0.0000122672 \end{aligned} \quad (5.92)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (5.93)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (5.94)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.002252 & -0.000012 \\ -0.000252 & 0.0458 & 0. \\ 0.000103 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.95)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the charged leptons are fitted, in the down-type quarks matrix, only the mass of the bottom quark can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the charm and the down quarks are not fitted. Notice, that these

results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter.

### 5.5.3 4-point corrections in Model 16-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 16-dual in table 25 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 8, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 2, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.96)$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 2 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{2}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.97)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{\imath}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{\imath}{8} + \frac{j}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.98)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\imath}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_6 u_7 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_2 & F_5 u_6 w_1 + F_0 u_5 w_2 & F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 \\ F_5 u_6 w_1 + F_0 u_5 w_2 & F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_6 u_3 w_2 \\ F_4 u_5 w_1 + F_7 u_4 w_2 & F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_6 u_3 w_2 & F_2 u_3 w_1 + F_5 u_2 w_2 + F_3 u_8 w_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.99)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{6J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (5.100)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (5.101)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting down-type quarks in such a way that corresponding corrections for the charged-leptons remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by

setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^d &= 0.00002386 \\
u_2^d &= 0 \\
u_3^d &= 0 \\
u_4^d &= -0.00155239 & w_1^d &= 1 \\
u_5^d &= 0 & w_2^d &= 1 \\
u_6^d &= 0 \\
u_7^d &= 0 \\
u_8^d &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5.102}$$

The 4-point correction to the down-type quarks' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.001193 & 0. & -0.0178 \\ 0. & -0.0178 & 0. \\ -0.0178 & 0. & 0. \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.103}$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (5.95) as,

$$|M_{3d}| + |M_{4d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0.002252 & -0.017812 \\ -0.000252 & 0.028 & 0. \\ -0.017697 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \tag{5.104}$$

However, we also need to keep the corrections to charged-leptons' masses to be negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4e}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0.000755 & 0. & -0.011266 \\ 0. & -0.011266 & 0. \\ -0.011266 & 0. & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \tag{5.105}$$


Although the results appears to be an near-exact it should be noted that we have assumed a strictly symmetric CKM matrix (3.30) and therefore, the matching is only approximate for a general asymmetric matrix.

## 6 Models with 9 Higgs from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sector

### 6.1 Model 17

In Model 17 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 17 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 11. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.



**Figure 11.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 17 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

### 6.1.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 17

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 26, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
 I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -9, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
 I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{-9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -9\theta_a^{(2)} - 3\theta_b^{(2)} - 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{6.4}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.2) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \tag{6.5}$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \tag{6.6}$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = -\frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.7)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_7 v_3 + T_4 v_6 + T_1 v_9 & T_8 v_2 + T_5 v_5 + T_2 v_8 \\ T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 + T_7 v_9 & T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 + T_8 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_0 v_7 \\ T_2 v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_1 v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.8)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.9)$$

### 6.1.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 17

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (6.4) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.9) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = -0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_2 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_3 &= 5.71763, & v^d_3 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_5 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_6 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_6 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_8 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_9 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_9 &= -0.000609616 \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.11)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.12)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & 0.000022 & -1. \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.004106 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ 0.000188 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.13)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.1.3 4-point corrections in Model 17

The four-point couplings in Model 17 in table 26 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the second two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 12, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= -6, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

There are 6 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 3 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + l, \\ &= -\frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{\imath}{I_{cc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{j}{3} - \frac{\imath}{6}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\imath}$  on the second torus from the four-point functions results in the

following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.17)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{i}{6} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(2)}| - 1. \quad (6.18)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,


$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (6.19)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(2)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} u^d_1 &= 3704.69 \\ u^d_2 &= 0.0283361 \\ u^d_3 &= -3704.69 \\ u^d_4 &= 0 \\ u^d_5 &= 0 \\ u^d_6 &= 0 \end{aligned}, \quad \begin{aligned} w^d_1 &= 1 \\ w^d_2 &= 1 \\ w^d_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.21)$$



**Figure 12.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 17-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.13) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.000022 & 0.035335 \\ -0.004106 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035524 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.22)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.23)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.2 Model 17-dual

In Model 17-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 17-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 12. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 6.2.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 17-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 27, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 9, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 9\theta_a^{(2)} + 3\theta_b^{(2)} + 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \quad (6.26)$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (6.27)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.25) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (6.28)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.29)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = -i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{j}{3} + \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.30)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 + T_8 v_9 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_7 v_8 \\ T_5 v_3 + T_8 v_6 + T_2 v_9 & T_4 v_2 + T_7 v_5 + T_1 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_0 v_7 \\ T_7 v_2 + T_1 v_5 + T_4 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_8 v_3 + T_2 v_6 + T_5 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.31)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.32)$$

### 6.2.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 17-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (6.27) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.32) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = 0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_2 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_3 &= 5.71763, & v^d_3 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_5 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_6 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_6 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_8 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_9 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_9 &= -0.000609616 \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.34)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.35)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & 0.000022 & -1. \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.004106 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ 0.000188 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.36)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.2.3 4-point corrections in Model 17-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 17-dual in table 27 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the second two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection

numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= -6, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 12, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

There are 6 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 3 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + l, \\
&= \frac{i}{3} + \frac{j}{3},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d &= \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + \ell, \\
&= \frac{j}{3} - \frac{i}{6},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,i}$  on the second torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_5 u_2 w_1 + F_2 u_5 w_1 + F_2 u_1 w_2 + F_5 u_4 w_2 + F_2 u_3 w_3 + F_5 u_6 w_3 \\ F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_3 u_4 w_1 + F_0 u_3 w_2 + F_3 u_6 w_2 + F_3 u_2 w_3 + F_0 u_5 w_3 \\ F_4 u_3 w_1 + F_1 u_6 w_1 + F_1 u_2 w_2 + F_4 u_5 w_2 + F_4 u_1 w_3 + F_1 u_4 w_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.40}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{i}{6} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(2)}| - 1. \tag{6.41}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \tag{6.42}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially

concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(2)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^d_1 &= 3704.69 \\
u^d_2 &= 0.0283361 \\
u^d_3 &= -3704.69 \\
u^d_4 &= 0 \\
u^d_5 &= 0 \\
u^d_6 &= 0
\end{aligned}
, \quad
\begin{aligned}
w^d_1 &= 1 \\
w^d_2 &= 1 \\
w^d_3 &= 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.43}$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.44}$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.36) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.000022 & 0.035335 \\ -0.004106 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035524 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \tag{6.45}$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,


$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \tag{6.46}$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

### 6.3 Model 18

In Model 18 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 18 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 13. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.



**Figure 13.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 18 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

### 6.3.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 18

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 28, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\
 I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -9, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
 I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.47}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{-9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \tag{6.48}$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -9\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \tag{6.49}$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{6.50}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.48) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \tag{6.51}$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \tag{6.52}$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of

$s^{(1)} = j$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{3} - \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.53)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_7 v_3 + T_4 v_6 + T_1 v_9 & T_8 v_2 + T_5 v_5 + T_2 v_8 \\ T_1 v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 & T_2 v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_6 v_7 \\ T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 + T_8 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_0 v_7 & T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 + T_7 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.54)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.55)$$

### 6.3.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 18

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (6.50) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.55) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = -0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_2 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_3 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_3 &= -0.000609616 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_5 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_6 &= 5.71763, & v^d_6 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_8 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_9 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_9 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.57)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.58)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & -0.004106 & 0.000188 \\ 0.000022 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ -1. \times 10^{-6} & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.59)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.3.3 4-point corrections in Model 18

The four-point couplings in Model 18 in table 28 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -8, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= -7, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 7 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{i}{8} - \frac{j}{7}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the

following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{041w_1} + F_{354u_1} + F_{147w_1} + F_{249w_2} + F_{134w_2} + F_{237w_3} + F_{125w_3} + F_{177w_3} + F_{204w_4} + F_{114w_4} + F_{407w_4} + F_{109w_5} + F_{454w_5} + F_{502w_6} + F_{444w_6} + F_{234w_6} + F_{341w_7} + F_{133w_7} + F_{224w_7} \\ F_{292w_1} + F_{214w_1} + F_{414w_2} + F_{204w_2} + F_{553w_2} + F_{353w_2} + F_{204w_3} + F_{194w_3} + F_{547w_3} + F_{183w_3} + F_{234w_4} + F_{172w_5} + F_{125w_5} + F_{314w_5} + F_{161w_6} + F_{514w_6} + F_{307w_6} + F_{204w_7} + F_{294w_7} \\ F_{492w_1} + F_{284w_1} + F_{746w_1} + F_{484w_2} + F_{274w_2} + F_{607w_2} + F_{264w_3} + F_{546w_3} + F_{252w_4} + F_{145w_4} + F_{394w_4} + F_{241w_5} + F_{344w_5} + F_{287w_5} + F_{243w_6} + F_{374w_6} + F_{192w_7} + F_{364w_7} + F_{154w_7} \\ F_{041w_1} + F_{354w_1} + F_{147w_1} + F_{249w_2} + F_{134w_2} + F_{237w_3} + F_{125w_3} + F_{177w_3} + F_{204w_4} + F_{114w_4} + F_{407w_4} + F_{109w_5} + F_{454w_5} + F_{502w_6} + F_{444w_6} + F_{234w_6} + F_{341w_7} + F_{133w_7} + F_{224w_7} \\ F_{492w_1} + F_{284w_1} + F_{746w_1} + F_{484w_2} + F_{274w_2} + F_{607w_2} + F_{264w_3} + F_{546w_3} + F_{252w_4} + F_{145w_4} + F_{394w_4} + F_{241w_5} + F_{344w_5} + F_{287w_5} + F_{243w_6} + F_{374w_6} + F_{192w_7} + F_{364w_7} + F_{154w_7} \\ F_{041w_1} + F_{354w_1} + F_{147w_1} + F_{249w_2} + F_{134w_2} + F_{237w_3} + F_{125w_3} + F_{177w_3} + F_{204w_4} + F_{114w_4} + F_{407w_4} + F_{109w_5} + F_{454w_5} + F_{502w_6} + F_{444w_6} + F_{234w_6} + F_{341w_7} + F_{133w_7} + F_{224w_7} \\ F_{424w_1} + F_{214w_1} + F_{414w_2} + F_{204w_2} + F_{553w_2} + F_{353w_2} + F_{204w_3} + F_{194w_3} + F_{547w_3} + F_{183w_4} + F_{234w_4} + F_{172w_5} + F_{125w_5} + F_{314w_5} + F_{161w_6} + F_{514w_6} + F_{307w_6} + F_{204w_7} + F_{294w_7} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.63)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_{7i} \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (6.64)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (6.65)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,


$$\begin{array}{ll} u^d_1 = -0.000870512 & w^d_1 = 1 \\ u^d_2 = 0.00862204 & w^d_2 = 1 \\ u^d_3 = -0.0000102392 & w^d_3 = 0 \\ u^d_4 = 0 & w^d_4 = 1 \\ u^d_5 = 0 & w^d_5 = 1 \\ u^d_6 = 0 & w^d_6 = 1 \\ u^d_7 = 0 & w^d_7 = 1 \\ u^d_8 = 0 & \end{array}, \quad (6.66)$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.67)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.59) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.004106 & 0.035524 \\ 0.000022 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035335 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.68)$$



**Figure 14.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 18-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.69)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.4 Model 18-dual

In Model 18-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 18-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 14. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 6.4.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 18-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 29, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 9, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.70)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as

defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (6.71)$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 9\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (6.72)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (6.73)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.71) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (6.74)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.75)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = -i$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{k}{9} - \frac{j}{3} \quad (6.76)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 + T_8 v_9 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_7 v_8 \\ T_8 v_3 + T_2 v_6 + T_5 v_9 & T_7 v_2 + T_1 v_5 + T_4 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_3 v_7 \\ T_4 v_2 + T_7 v_5 + T_1 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_0 v_7 & T_5 v_3 + T_8 v_6 + T_2 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.77)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{matrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{matrix} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.78)$$

#### 6.4.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 18-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (6.73) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 45$  and

evaluate the couplings functions (6.78) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\
v^u_2 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_2 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\
v^u_3 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_3 &= -0.000609616 \\
v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\
v^u_5 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_5 &= 0.00320756 \\
v^u_6 &= 5.71763, & v^d_6 &= 0.114556 \\
v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\
v^u_8 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_8 &= -0.0000170692 \\
v^u_9 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_9 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.79}$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \tag{6.80}$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \tag{6.81}$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & -0.004106 & 0.000188 \\ 0.000022 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ -1. \times 10^{-6} & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.82}$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.4.3 4-point corrections in Model 18-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 18-dual in table 29 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 4, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= -8, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= -7, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.83}$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 7 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts

$l = -\frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3},\end{aligned}\tag{6.84}$$

$$\begin{aligned}d &= \frac{\nu}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{\nu}{8} - \frac{j}{7},\end{aligned}\tag{6.85}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\nu}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{011w_1} + F_{354u_1} + F_{141w_1} + F_{344w_2} + F_{131w_2} + F_{331w_2} + F_{121w_2} + F_{171w_2} + F_{181w_2} + F_{321w_2} + F_{111w_2} + F_{161w_2} + F_{101w_2} + F_{451w_2} + F_{91w_2} + F_{441w_2} + F_{231w_2} + F_{311w_2} + F_{131w_2} + F_{221w_2} \\ F_{121w_2} + F_{211w_2} + F_{411w_2} + F_{201w_2} + F_{551w_2} + F_{401w_2} + F_{191w_2} + F_{541w_2} + F_{181w_2} + F_{331w_2} + F_{171w_2} + F_{321w_2} + F_{311w_2} + F_{161w_2} + F_{511w_2} + F_{301w_2} + F_{501w_2} + F_{291w_2} \\ F_{491w_2} + F_{281w_2} + F_{71w_2} + F_{481w_2} + F_{271w_2} + F_{61w_2} + F_{261w_2} + F_{51w_2} + F_{251w_2} + F_{441w_2} + F_{391w_2} + F_{241w_2} + F_{341w_2} + F_{231w_2} + F_{381w_2} + F_{221w_2} + F_{361w_2} + F_{151w_2} + F_{151w_2} \\ F_{421w_2} + F_{211w_2} + F_{411w_2} + F_{201w_2} + F_{551w_2} + F_{401w_2} + F_{191w_2} + F_{541w_2} + F_{181w_2} + F_{331w_2} + F_{171w_2} + F_{321w_2} + F_{311w_2} + F_{161w_2} + F_{511w_2} + F_{301w_2} + F_{501w_2} + F_{291w_2} \\ F_{491w_2} + F_{281w_2} + F_{71w_2} + F_{481w_2} + F_{271w_2} + F_{61w_2} + F_{261w_2} + F_{51w_2} + F_{251w_2} + F_{441w_2} + F_{391w_2} + F_{241w_2} + F_{341w_2} + F_{231w_2} + F_{381w_2} + F_{221w_2} + F_{361w_2} + F_{151w_2} + F_{151w_2} \\ F_{011w_1} + F_{354u_1} + F_{141w_1} + F_{344u_2} + F_{131u_2} + F_{331u_2} + F_{121u_2} + F_{171u_2} + F_{181u_2} + F_{321u_2} + F_{111u_2} + F_{161u_2} + F_{101u_2} + F_{451u_2} + F_{91u_2} + F_{441u_2} + F_{231u_2} + F_{311u_2} + F_{131u_2} + F_{221u_2} \\ F_{121u_2} + F_{211u_2} + F_{411u_2} + F_{201u_2} + F_{551u_2} + F_{401u_2} + F_{191u_2} + F_{541u_2} + F_{181u_2} + F_{331u_2} + F_{171u_2} + F_{321u_2} + F_{311u_2} + F_{161u_2} + F_{511u_2} + F_{301u_2} + F_{501u_2} + F_{291u_2} \\ F_{491u_2} + F_{281u_2} + F_{71u_2} + F_{481u_2} + F_{271u_2} + F_{61u_2} + F_{261u_2} + F_{51u_2} + F_{251u_2} + F_{441u_2} + F_{391u_2} + F_{241u_2} + F_{341u_2} + F_{231u_2} + F_{381u_2} + F_{221u_2} + F_{361u_2} + F_{151u_2} + F_{151u_2} \\ F_{421u_2} + F_{211u_2} + F_{411u_2} + F_{201u_2} + F_{551u_2} + F_{401u_2} + F_{191u_2} + F_{541u_2} + F_{181u_2} + F_{331u_2} + F_{171u_2} + F_{321u_2} + F_{311u_2} + F_{161u_2} + F_{511u_2} + F_{301u_2} + F_{501u_2} + F_{291u_2} \\ F_{491u_2} + F_{281u_2} + F_{71u_2} + F_{481u_2} + F_{271u_2} + F_{61u_2} + F_{261u_2} + F_{51u_2} + F_{251u_2} + F_{441u_2} + F_{391u_2} + F_{241u_2} + F_{341u_2} + F_{231u_2} + F_{381u_2} + F_{221u_2} + F_{361u_2} + F_{151u_2} + F_{151u_2} \\ F_{011w_1} + F_{354u_1} + F_{141w_1} + F_{344u_2} + F_{131u_2} + F_{331u_2} + F_{121u_2} + F_{171u_2} + F_{181u_2} + F_{321u_2} + F_{111u_2} + F_{161u_2} + F_{101u_2} + F_{451u_2} + F_{91u_2} + F_{441u_2} + F_{231u_2} + F_{311u_2} + F_{131u_2} + F_{221u_2} \\ F_{121u_2} + F_{211u_2} + F_{411u_2} + F_{201u_2} + F_{551u_2} + F_{401u_2} + F_{191u_2} + F_{541u_2} + F_{181u_2} + F_{331u_2} + F_{171u_2} + F_{321u_2} + F_{311u_2} + F_{161u_2} + F_{511u_2} + F_{301u_2} + F_{501u_2} + F_{291u_2} \\ F_{491u_2} + F_{281u_2} + F_{71u_2} + F_{481u_2} + F_{271u_2} + F_{61u_2} + F_{261u_2} + F_{51u_2} + F_{251u_2} + F_{441u_2} + F_{391u_2} + F_{241u_2} + F_{341u_2} + F_{231u_2} + F_{381u_2} + F_{221u_2} + F_{361u_2} + F_{151u_2} + F_{151u_2} \end{pmatrix}.\tag{6.86}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,


$$F_{7i} \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1.\tag{6.87}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0,\tag{6.88}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}u_1^d &= -0.000117911 & w_1^d &= 1 \\ u_2^d &= 0.00100719 & w_2^d &= 1 \\ u_3^d &= -1.17467 \times 10^{-6} & w_3^d &= 0 \\ u_4^d &= 0 & w_4^d &= 1 \\ u_5^d &= 0 & w_5^d &= 1 \\ u_6^d &= 0 & w_6^d &= 1 \\ u_7^d &= 0 & w_7^d &= 1 \\ u_8^d &= 0 & w_7^d &= 1\end{aligned},\tag{6.89}$$



**Figure 15.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 19 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.90)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.82) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.004106 & 0.035524 \\ 0.000022 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035335 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.91)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.92)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.5 Model 19

In Model 19 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 19 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 15. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 6.5.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 19

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 30, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 9, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.93)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \quad (6.94)$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 9\theta_a^{(1)} + 3\theta_b^{(1)} - 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (6.95)$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (6.96)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.94) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \quad (6.97)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.98)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = -j$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{k}{9} - \frac{i}{3} \quad (6.99)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 + T_8 v_9 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_7 v_8 \\ T_8 v_3 + T_2 v_6 + T_5 v_9 & T_7 v_2 + T_1 v_5 + T_4 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_3 v_7 \\ T_4 v_2 + T_7 v_5 + T_1 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_0 v_7 & T_5 v_3 + T_8 v_6 + T_2 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.100)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta

function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.101)$$

### 6.5.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 19

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (6.96) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.101) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = 0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_2 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_3 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_3 &= -0.000609616 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_5 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_6 &= 5.71763, & v^d_6 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_8 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_9 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_9 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned} \quad (6.102)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.103)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.104)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & -0.004106 & 0.000188 \\ 0.000022 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ -1. \times 10^{-6} & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.105)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.5.3 4-point corrections in Model 19

The four-point couplings in Model 19 in table 30 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 8, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 4, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 7, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.106)$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 7 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{j}{3} - \frac{i}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.107)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{\iota}{I_{bb'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{\iota}{8} + \frac{j}{7}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.108)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{01}w_1 + F_{21}u_1 + F_{42}w_1 + F_{22}u_2 + F_{43}u_2 + F_{23}u_2 + F_{44}u_3 + F_{04}u_3 + F_{24}u_3 + F_{45}u_4 + F_{10}w_4 + F_{46}u_4 + F_{11}u_5 + F_{47}u_5 + F_{12}u_5 + F_{33}u_6 + F_{48}u_6 + F_{13}u_6 + F_{34}w_7 \\ F_{14}u_7 + F_{35}u_7 + F_{15}u_7 + F_{36}u_7 + F_{16}u_8 + F_{37}u_8 + F_{17}u_8 + F_{38}u_8 + F_{39}u_8 + F_{49}u_8 + F_{40}u_9 + F_{51}u_9 + F_{20}w_9 + F_{52}u_9 + F_{53}w_{10} \\ F_{71}w_{10} + F_{28}u_{10} + F_{49}u_{10} + F_{54}u_{10} + F_{29}u_{10} + F_{50}w_{10} + F_{30}u_{10} + F_{51}u_{10} + F_{52}u_{10} + F_{17}u_{10} + F_{32}u_{10} + F_{33}u_{10} + F_{18}u_{10} + F_{54}u_{10} + F_{19}u_{10} + F_{55}u_{10} + F_{20}u_{10} + F_{41}u_{10} \\ F_{14}u_{10} + F_{35}u_{10} + F_{15}u_{10} + F_{36}u_{10} + F_{16}u_{11} + F_{37}u_{11} + F_{38}u_{11} + F_{39}u_{11} + F_{44}u_{11} + F_{25}u_{11} + F_{40}u_{11} + F_{54}u_{11} + F_{26}u_{11} + F_{61}u_{11} + F_{27}u_{11} \\ F_{71}w_{11} + F_{28}u_{11} + F_{49}u_{11} + F_{54}u_{11} + F_{29}u_{11} + F_{50}w_{11} + F_{30}u_{11} + F_{51}u_{11} + F_{52}u_{11} + F_{17}u_{11} + F_{32}u_{11} + F_{33}u_{11} + F_{18}u_{11} + F_{54}u_{11} + F_{19}u_{11} + F_{55}u_{11} + F_{20}u_{11} + F_{41}u_{11} \\ F_{01}w_{11} + F_{21}u_{11} + F_{42}w_{11} + F_{22}u_{11} + F_{43}u_{11} + F_{23}u_{11} + F_{44}u_{11} + F_{04}u_{11} + F_{45}u_{11} + F_{10}w_{11} + F_{46}u_{11} + F_{11}u_{11} + F_{47}u_{11} + F_{12}u_{11} + F_{33}u_{11} + F_{48}u_{11} + F_{13}u_{11} + F_{34}w_{11} \\ F_{71}w_{11} + F_{28}u_{11} + F_{49}u_{11} + F_{54}u_{11} + F_{29}u_{11} + F_{50}w_{11} + F_{30}u_{11} + F_{51}u_{11} + F_{52}u_{11} + F_{17}u_{11} + F_{32}u_{11} + F_{33}u_{11} + F_{18}u_{11} + F_{54}u_{11} + F_{19}u_{11} + F_{55}u_{11} + F_{20}u_{11} + F_{41}u_{11} \\ F_{01}w_{11} + F_{21}u_{11} + F_{42}w_{11} + F_{22}u_{11} + F_{43}u_{11} + F_{23}u_{11} + F_{44}u_{11} + F_{04}u_{11} + F_{45}u_{11} + F_{10}w_{11} + F_{46}u_{11} + F_{11}u_{11} + F_{47}u_{11} + F_{12}u_{11} + F_{33}u_{11} + F_{48}u_{11} + F_{13}u_{11} + F_{34}w_{11} \\ F_{14}u_{11} + F_{35}u_{11} + F_{15}u_{11} + F_{36}u_{11} + F_{16}u_{12} + F_{37}u_{12} + F_{27}u_{12} + F_{38}u_{12} + F_{39}u_{12} + F_{40}u_{12} + F_{54}u_{12} + F_{26}u_{12} + F_{61}u_{12} + F_{27}u_{12} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.109)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_{7i} \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (6.110)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (6.111)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by

setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^d &= -0.0000211192 & w_1^d &= 1 \\
u_2^d &= 0.00509483 & w_2^d &= 1 \\
u_3^d &= -7.40909 \times 10^{-7} & w_3^d &= 0 \\
u_4^d &= 0 & w_4^d &= 1 \\
u_5^d &= 0 & w_5^d &= 1 \\
u_6^d &= 0 & w_6^d &= 1 \\
u_7^d &= 0 & w_7^d &= 1 \\
u_8^d &= 0 & & 
\end{aligned} \tag{6.112}$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.113}$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.105) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.004106 & 0.035524 \\ 0.000022 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035335 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \tag{6.114}$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,


$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \tag{6.115}$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.6 Model 19-dual

In Model 19-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 19-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 16. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.



**Figure 16.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 19-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

### 6.6.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 19-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 31, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\
I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -9, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
I_{ca}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.116}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{-9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \tag{6.117}$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -9\theta_a^{(1)} + 3\theta_b^{(1)} - 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \tag{6.118}$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{6.119}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.117) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( -9\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \tag{6.120}$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices

is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.121)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = i$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.122)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_7 v_3 + T_4 v_6 + T_1 v_9 & T_8 v_2 + T_5 v_5 + T_2 v_8 \\ T_1 v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 & T_2 v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_6 v_7 \\ T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 + T_8 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_0 v_7 & T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 + T_7 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.123)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.124)$$

### 6.6.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 19-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (6.119) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.124) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = -0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_2 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_3 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_3 &= -0.000609616 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_5 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_6 &= 5.71763, & v^d_6 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_8 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_9 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_9 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned} \quad (6.125)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.126)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.127)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & -0.004106 & 0.000188 \\ 0.000022 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ -1. \times 10^{-6} & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.128)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.6.3 4-point corrections in Model 19-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 19-dual in table 31 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -4, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 4, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 8, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 7, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.129)$$

There are 8 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 7 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{j}{3} - \frac{i}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.130)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{i}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= \frac{i}{8} + \frac{j}{7}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.131)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the

following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{014}w_1 + F_{214}w_1 + F_{424}w_1 + F_{224}w_2 + F_{434}w_2 + F_{234}w_3 + F_{444}w_3 + F_{015}w_3 + F_{215}w_3 + F_{425}w_3 + F_{225}w_4 + F_{435}w_4 + F_{235}w_5 + F_{445}w_5 + F_{114}w_5 + F_{124}w_6 + F_{134}w_7 + F_{344}w_7 \\ F_{144}w_1 + F_{354}w_1 + F_{154}w_2 + F_{364}w_2 + F_{164}w_3 + F_{374}w_3 + F_{274}w_3 + F_{384}w_4 + F_{394}w_4 + F_{394}w_5 + F_{444}w_5 + F_{254}w_5 + F_{464}w_5 + F_{474}w_6 + F_{484}w_7 + F_{274}w_7 \\ F_{742}w_1 + F_{284}w_1 + F_{494}w_1 + F_{841}w_2 + F_{294}w_2 + F_{504}w_2 + F_{304}w_3 + F_{514}w_3 + F_{314}w_4 + F_{524}w_4 + F_{174}w_4 + F_{324}w_5 + F_{334}w_5 + F_{184}w_5 + F_{544}w_6 + F_{194}w_6 + F_{354}w_7 + F_{204}w_7 + F_{414}w_7 \\ F_{143}w_1 + F_{354}w_1 + F_{154}w_2 + F_{364}w_2 + F_{164}w_3 + F_{374}w_3 + F_{274}w_3 + F_{384}w_4 + F_{394}w_4 + F_{394}w_5 + F_{444}w_5 + F_{254}w_5 + F_{464}w_5 + F_{474}w_6 + F_{484}w_7 + F_{274}w_7 \\ F_{742}w_1 + F_{284}w_1 + F_{494}w_1 + F_{841}w_2 + F_{294}w_2 + F_{504}w_2 + F_{304}w_3 + F_{514}w_3 + F_{314}w_4 + F_{524}w_4 + F_{174}w_4 + F_{324}w_5 + F_{334}w_5 + F_{184}w_5 + F_{544}w_6 + F_{194}w_6 + F_{354}w_7 + F_{204}w_7 + F_{414}w_7 \\ F_{014}w_1 + F_{214}w_1 + F_{424}w_1 + F_{224}w_2 + F_{434}w_2 + F_{234}w_3 + F_{444}w_3 + F_{015}w_3 + F_{215}w_3 + F_{425}w_3 + F_{225}w_4 + F_{435}w_4 + F_{104}w_4 + F_{404}w_5 + F_{114}w_5 + F_{124}w_6 + F_{134}w_7 + F_{344}w_7 \\ F_{742}w_1 + F_{284}w_1 + F_{494}w_1 + F_{841}w_2 + F_{294}w_2 + F_{504}w_2 + F_{304}w_3 + F_{514}w_3 + F_{314}w_4 + F_{524}w_4 + F_{174}w_4 + F_{324}w_5 + F_{334}w_5 + F_{184}w_5 + F_{544}w_6 + F_{194}w_6 + F_{354}w_7 + F_{204}w_7 + F_{414}w_7 \\ F_{014}w_1 + F_{214}w_1 + F_{424}w_1 + F_{224}w_2 + F_{434}w_2 + F_{234}w_3 + F_{444}w_3 + F_{015}w_3 + F_{215}w_3 + F_{425}w_3 + F_{225}w_4 + F_{435}w_4 + F_{104}w_4 + F_{404}w_5 + F_{114}w_5 + F_{124}w_6 + F_{134}w_7 + F_{344}w_7 \\ F_{143}w_1 + F_{354}w_1 + F_{154}w_2 + F_{364}w_2 + F_{164}w_3 + F_{374}w_3 + F_{274}w_3 + F_{384}w_4 + F_{394}w_4 + F_{394}w_5 + F_{444}w_5 + F_{254}w_5 + F_{464}w_5 + F_{474}w_6 + F_{484}w_7 + F_{274}w_7 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.132)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_{7i} \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{8} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (6.133)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (6.134)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,


$$\begin{aligned} u_1^d &= -0.0000211192 & w_1^d &= 1 \\ u_2^d &= 0.00509483 & w_2^d &= 1 \\ u_3^d &= -7.40909 \times 10^{-7} & w_3^d &= 0 \\ u_4^d &= 0 & w_4^d &= 1 \\ u_5^d &= 0 & w_5^d &= 1 \\ u_6^d &= 0 & w_6^d &= 1 \\ u_7^d &= 0 & w_7^d &= 1 \\ u_8^d &= 0 & w_8^d &= 1 \end{aligned}, \quad (6.135)$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.136)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.128) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.004106 & 0.035524 \\ 0.000022 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035335 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.137)$$



**Figure 17.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 20 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.138)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.7 Model 20

In Model 20 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 20 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 17. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 6.7.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 20

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 32, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 9, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= 3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.139)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \quad (6.140)$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 9\theta_a^{(2)} + 3\theta_b^{(2)} + 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \quad (6.141)$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (6.142)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.140) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (6.143)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.144)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = -i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{j}{3} + \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.145)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_2 v_3 + T_5 v_6 + T_8 v_9 & T_1 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_7 v_8 \\ T_5 v_3 + T_8 v_6 + T_2 v_9 & T_4 v_2 + T_7 v_5 + T_1 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_0 v_7 \\ T_7 v_2 + T_1 v_5 + T_4 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_8 v_3 + T_2 v_6 + T_5 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.146)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.147)$$

### 6.7.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 20

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (6.142) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.147) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = 0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_2 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_3 &= 5.71763, & v^d_3 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_5 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_6 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_6 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_8 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_9 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_9 &= -0.000609616 \end{aligned} \quad (6.148)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (6.149)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (6.150)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & 0.000022 & -1. \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.004106 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ 0.000188 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.151)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.7.3 4-point corrections in Model 20

The four-point couplings in Model 20 in table 32 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the second two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection

numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 20, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= -4, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.152}$$

There are 4 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 1 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + l, \\
&= \frac{i}{3} + \frac{j}{3},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.153}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d &= \frac{i}{I_{cc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + \ell, \\
&= -\frac{i}{4} - j,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.154}$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,i}$  on the second torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 & F_2 u_3 w_1 & F_3 u_2 w_1 \\ F_2 u_3 w_1 & F_3 u_2 w_1 & F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 \\ F_3 u_2 w_1 & F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 & F_2 u_3 w_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.155}$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{i}{4} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(2)}| - 1. \tag{6.156}$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \tag{6.157}$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(2)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1^d &= -0.0000203813 \\
u_2^d &= 0.00111661 \\
u_3^d &= 0 \\
u_4^d &= 0
\end{aligned} \quad , \quad w_1^d = 1 \tag{6.158}$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.159)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.151) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.000022 & 0.035335 \\ -0.004106 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035524 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.160)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.161)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

## 6.8 Model 20-dual

In Model 20-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 9 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.


Yukawa matrices for the Model 20-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 18. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 6.8.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 20-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 33, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\ I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -9, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\ I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.162)$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as



**Figure 18.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 20-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{-9} + \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \quad (6.163)$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -9\theta_a^{(2)} - 3\theta_b^{(2)} - 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \quad (6.164)$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \quad (6.165)$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 8\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 9 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (6.163) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{27} \left( 9\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (6.166)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (6.167)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = -\frac{j}{3} - \frac{k}{9} \quad (6.168)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_6 v_4 + T_3 v_7 & T_7 v_3 + T_4 v_6 + T_1 v_9 & T_8 v_2 + T_5 v_5 + T_2 v_8 \\ T_4 v_3 + T_1 v_6 + T_7 v_9 & T_5 v_2 + T_2 v_5 + T_8 v_8 & T_6 v_1 + T_3 v_4 + T_0 v_7 \\ T_2 v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 & T_3 v_1 + T_0 v_4 + T_6 v_7 & T_1 v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.169)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{9} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 8. \quad (6.170)$$

### 6.8.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 20-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (6.165) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 45$  and evaluate the couplings functions (6.170) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = -0.0156645$  which yields a nearest fit for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291324, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\ v^u_2 &= 0.0491357, & v^d_2 &= -0.0000170692 \\ v^u_3 &= 5.71763, & v^d_3 &= 0.114556 \\ v^u_4 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_4 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_5 &= 0.0490413, & v^d_5 &= 9.08351 \times 10^{-8} \\ v^u_6 &= 0.00711503, & v^d_6 &= 3.24411 \times 10^{-6} \\ v^u_7 &= 0.0413384, & v^d_7 &= -4.24979 \times 10^{-12} \\ v^u_8 &= 0.0313982, & v^d_8 &= 0.00320756 \\ v^u_9 &= -0.0234364, & v^d_9 &= -0.000609616 \end{aligned} \quad (6.171)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u , \quad (6.172)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d , \quad (6.173)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000862 & 0.000022 & -1. \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.004106 & 0.010464 & 0. \\ 0.000188 & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} . \quad (6.174)$$

While the masses of up-type quarks and the down-type quarks are fitted, in the charged-lepton matrix, only the mass of the tau can be fitted with three-point couplings only. The masses of the muon and the electron are not fitted. Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the muon and the electron masses since they are lighter.

### 6.8.3 4-point corrections in Model 20-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 20-dual in table 33 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the second two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= -4, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 20, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= 1, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.175)$$

There are 4 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 1 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{3}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{9}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + l, \\ &= -\frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.176)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{i}{I_{bb'}^{(2)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(2)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(2)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} - j, \end{aligned} \quad (6.177)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\ell}$  on the second torus from the four-point functions results in the

following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 & F_2 u_3 w_1 & F_3 u_2 w_1 \\ F_2 u_3 w_1 & F_3 u_2 w_1 & F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 \\ F_3 u_2 w_1 & F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_1 u_4 w_1 & F_2 u_3 w_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.178)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_i \equiv \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{i}{4} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{9J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(2)}| - 1. \quad (6.179)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (6.180)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(2)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^d &= -0.0000203813 \\ u_2^d &= 7.6773 \\ u_3^d &= 0 \\ u_4^d &= 0 \end{aligned}, \quad w_1^d = 1 \quad (6.181)$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -0.000645 & 0. & 0.035336 \\ 0. & 0.035336 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035336 & -0.000645 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.182)$$


which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (6.174) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & 0.000022 & 0.035335 \\ -0.004106 & 0.0458 & -0.000645 \\ 0.035524 & -0.000645 & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (6.183)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = 0$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} -0.001019 & 0. & 0.05583 \\ 0. & 0.05583 & -0.001019 \\ 0.05583 & -0.001019 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (6.184)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.



**Figure 19.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 21 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the second two-torus.

## 7 Models with 12 Higgs from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sector

### 7.1 Model 21

In Model 21 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the second two-torus ( $r = 2$ ) with 12 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 21 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the second torus as shown in figure 19. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the second torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

#### 7.1.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 21

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 34, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ab}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
 I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -12, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
 I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(2)}, I_{bc}^{(2)}, I_{ca}^{(2)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(2)} = \frac{i^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{j^{(2)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(2)}}{-12} + \frac{1}{36} \left( 12\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right) + \frac{s^{(2)}}{3}, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -12\theta_a^{(2)} - 3\theta_b^{(2)} - 3\theta_c^{(2)} \right) = 0, \tag{7.3}$$

$$\kappa^{(2)} = \frac{12J^{(2)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{7.4}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 11\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 12 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (7.2) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{36} \left( 12\epsilon_a^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(2)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(2)} \right). \quad (7.5)$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(2)} + j^{(2)} + k^{(2)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (7.6)$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(2)} = j - i$ .

$$\delta^{(2)} = -\frac{2i}{3} - \frac{k}{12} \quad (7.7)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_9 v_4 + T_6 v_7 + T_3 v_{10} & T_{10} v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 + T_1 v_{12} & T_{11} v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 + T_2 v_{11} \\ T_2 v_3 + T_{11} v_6 + T_8 v_9 + T_5 v_{12} & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 + T_9 v_8 + T_6 v_{11} & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 + T_{10} v_7 + T_7 v_{10} \\ T_7 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_1 v_8 + T_{10} v_{11} & T_8 v_1 + T_5 v_4 + T_2 v_7 + T_{11} v_{10} & T_6 v_3 + T_3 v_6 + T_0 v_9 + T_9 v_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.8)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(2)} + \frac{k}{12} \\ \phi^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 11. \quad (7.9)$$

### 7.1.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 21

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the second two-torus defined in (7.4) as  $\kappa^{(2)} = 64$  and evaluate the couplings functions (7.9) by setting geometric brane position parameters as

$\epsilon_u^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(2)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$  which yields an *exact* fitting for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282 \\
v^u_2 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_2 &= -0.0000219475 \\
v^u_3 &= 1.32337, & v^d_3 &= 0.0316 \\
v^u_4 &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_4 &= -1.03987 \times 10^{-7} \\
v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.00056 \\
v^u_6 &= -0.0151378, & v^d_6 &= -0.000479202 \\
v^u_7 &= 11.0134, & v^d_7 &= 6.8572 \times 10^{-6} \\
v^u_8 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_8 &= -0.0000219475 \\
v^u_9 &= 0.998234, & v^d_9 &= 0.02 \\
v^u_{10} &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_{10} &= -1.03987 \times 10^{-7} \\
v^u_{11} &= 2.29873, & v^d_{11} &= 0.00144728 \\
v^u_{12} &= -0.0151378, & v^d_{12} &= -0.000479202
\end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \tag{7.11}$$

$$|M_{3n}| = m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 11.0134 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 2.29873 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1.32337 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.12}$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \tag{7.13}$$


$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.000217 & -0.001378 & -0.000105 \\ -0.001378 & 0.0458 & 3. \times 10^{-6} \\ -0.000105 & 3. \times 10^{-6} & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e. \tag{7.14}$$

Since the intersection number  $I_{bc'}^{(2)} = 0$ , there are no further corrections from the four-point functions.

## 7.2 Model 22

In Model 22 the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 12 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 22 are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 20. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.



**Figure 20.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 22 where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

### 7.2.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 22

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 35, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= 1, \\
 I_{bc}^{(1)} &= -12, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
 I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{-12} + \frac{1}{36} \left( -12\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \tag{7.16}$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( -12\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \tag{7.17}$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{7.18}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 11\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 12 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (7.16) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{36} \left( -12\epsilon_a^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \tag{7.19}$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \tag{7.20}$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of

$$s^{(1)} = j.$$

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{3} - \frac{k}{12} \quad (7.21)$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0 v_1 + T_9 v_4 + T_6 v_7 + T_3 v_{10} & T_{10} v_3 + T_7 v_6 + T_4 v_9 + T_1 v_{12} & T_{11} v_2 + T_8 v_5 + T_5 v_8 + T_2 v_{11} \\ T_2 v_3 + T_{11} v_6 + T_8 v_9 + T_5 v_{12} & T_3 v_2 + T_0 v_5 + T_9 v_8 + T_6 v_{11} & T_4 v_1 + T_1 v_4 + T_{10} v_7 + T_7 v_{10} \\ T_7 v_2 + T_4 v_5 + T_1 v_8 + T_{10} v_{11} & T_8 v_1 + T_5 v_4 + T_2 v_7 + T_{11} v_{10} & T_6 v_3 + T_3 v_6 + T_0 v_9 + T_9 v_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.22)$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{12} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 11. \quad (7.23)$$

### 7.2.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 22

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (7.18) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 64$  and evaluate the couplings functions (7.23) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$  which yields an *exact* fitting for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282001 \\ v^u_2 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_2 &= -0.000163191 \\ v^u_3 &= 1.32337, & v^d_3 &= 0.0173018 \\ v^u_4 &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_4 &= -0.0000173322 \\ v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.000560001 \\ v^u_6 &= -0.0151378, & v^d_6 &= -0.000262376 \\ v^u_7 &= 11.0134, & v^d_7 &= 0.00114294 \\ v^u_8 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_8 &= -0.000163191 \\ v^u_9 &= 0.998234, & v^d_9 &= 0.02 \\ v^u_{10} &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_{10} &= -0.0000173322 \\ v^u_{11} &= 2.29873, & v^d_{11} &= 0.0107613 \\ v^u_{12} &= -0.0151378, & v^d_{12} &= -0.000262376 \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u , \quad (7.25)$$

$$|M_{3n}| = m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 11.0134 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 2.29873 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1.32337 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (7.26)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d , \quad (7.27)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.036169 & 0.00032 & -0.001212 \\ 0.00032 & 0.340547 & -0.000132 \\ -0.001212 & -0.000132 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e . \quad (7.28)$$

Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections, such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter. In order to preserve the exact-fitting of up-quarks mixed form matrix and the neutrino-masses already achieved from 3-point functions, we can switch off the corrections from the 4-point functions by taking all up-type VEVs to be zero. However, the down-type VEVs from 4-point functions will be needed to explain the leptons' mixing.

### 7.2.3 4-point corrections in Model 22

The four-point couplings in Model 22 in table 35 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= -20, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= 4, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= -8, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.29)$$

There are 20 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 8 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $b'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = \frac{k}{4}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{\nu}{I_{bb'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{\nu}{20} - \frac{j}{8}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.31)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\nu}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 u_1 w_1 + F_{16} u_{13} w_1 + F_{13} u_{12} w_2 + F_{10} u_{11} w_3 + F_7 u_{10} w_4 + F_4 u_9 w_5 + F_1 u_8 w_6 + F_{17} u_{20} w_6 + F_{38} u_7 w_7 + F_{14} u_{19} w_7 + F_{35} u_6 w_8 + F_{11} u_{18} w_8 \\ F_{18} u_{12} w_1 + F_{15} u_{11} w_2 + F_{12} u_{10} w_3 + F_9 u_9 w_4 + F_6 u_8 w_5 + F_{22} u_{20} w_5 + F_3 u_7 w_6 + F_{19} u_{19} w_6 + F_0 u_6 w_7 + F_{16} u_{18} w_7 + F_{37} u_5 w_8 + F_{13} u_{17} w_8 \\ F_{20} u_{11} w_1 + F_{17} u_{10} w_2 + F_{14} u_9 w_3 + F_{11} u_8 w_4 + F_{27} u_{20} w_4 + F_8 u_7 w_5 + F_{24} u_{19} w_5 + F_5 u_6 w_6 + F_{21} u_{18} w_6 + F_2 u_5 w_7 + F_{18} u_{17} w_7 + F_{39} u_4 w_8 + F_{15} u_{16} w_8 \\ F_{18} u_{12} w_1 + F_{15} u_{11} w_2 + F_{12} u_{10} w_3 + F_9 u_9 w_4 + F_6 u_8 w_5 + F_{22} u_{20} w_5 + F_3 u_7 w_6 + F_{19} u_{19} w_6 + F_0 u_6 w_7 + F_{16} u_{18} w_7 + F_{37} u_5 w_8 + F_{13} u_{17} w_8 \\ F_{20} u_{11} w_1 + F_{17} u_{10} w_2 + F_{14} u_9 w_3 + F_{11} u_8 w_4 + F_{27} u_{20} w_4 + F_8 u_7 w_5 + F_{24} u_{19} w_5 + F_5 u_6 w_6 + F_{21} u_{18} w_6 + F_2 u_5 w_7 + F_{18} u_{17} w_7 + F_{39} u_4 w_8 + F_{15} u_{16} w_8 \\ F_{22} u_{10} w_1 + F_{19} u_9 w_2 + F_{16} u_8 w_3 + F_{32} u_{20} w_3 + F_{13} u_7 w_4 + F_{29} u_{19} w_4 + F_{10} u_6 w_5 + F_{26} u_{18} w_5 + F_7 u_5 w_6 + F_{23} u_{17} w_6 + F_4 u_4 w_7 + F_{20} u_{16} w_7 + F_1 u_3 w_8 + F_{17} u_{15} w_8 \\ F_{20} u_{11} w_1 + F_{17} u_{10} w_2 + F_{14} u_9 w_3 + F_{11} u_8 w_4 + F_{27} u_{20} w_4 + F_8 u_7 w_5 + F_{24} u_{19} w_5 + F_5 u_6 w_6 + F_{21} u_{18} w_6 + F_2 u_5 w_7 + F_{18} u_{17} w_7 + F_{39} u_4 w_8 + F_{15} u_{16} w_8 \\ F_{22} u_{10} w_1 + F_{19} u_9 w_2 + F_{16} u_8 w_3 + F_{32} u_{20} w_3 + F_{13} u_7 w_4 + F_{29} u_{19} w_4 + F_{10} u_6 w_5 + F_{26} u_{18} w_5 + F_7 u_5 w_6 + F_{23} u_{17} w_6 + F_4 u_4 w_7 + F_{20} u_{16} w_7 + F_{13} u_3 w_8 + F_{17} u_{15} w_8 \\ F_{24} u_9 w_1 + F_{21} u_8 w_2 + F_{37} u_{20} w_2 + F_{18} u_7 w_3 + F_{34} u_{19} w_3 + F_{15} u_6 w_4 + F_{31} u_{18} w_4 + F_{12} u_5 w_5 + F_{28} u_{17} w_5 + F_9 u_4 w_6 + F_{25} u_{16} w_6 + F_6 u_3 w_7 + F_{22} u_{15} w_7 + F_3 u_2 w_8 + F_{19} u_{14} w_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.32)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,


$$F_{8i} \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{i}{20} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{bb'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (7.33)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (7.34)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{array}{l} u_1^d = 0 \\ u_2^d = 0 \\ u_3^d = 0.0158255 \\ u_4^d = 0.00615937 \\ u_5^d = 0.0254406 \\ u_6^d = 0 \\ u_7^d = 0 \\ u_8^d = 0 \\ u_9^d = 0 \\ u_{10}^d = 0 \\ u_{11}^d = 0 \\ u_{12}^d = 0 \\ u_{13}^d = 0 \\ u_{14}^d = 0 \\ u_{15}^d = 0 \\ u_{16}^d = 0 \\ u_{17}^d = 0 \\ u_{18}^d = 0 \\ u_{19}^d = 0 \\ u_{20}^d = 0 \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{l} w_1^d = 0 \\ w_2^d = 0 \\ w_3^d = 0 \\ w_4^d = 0 \\ w_5^d = 0 \\ w_6^d = 0 \\ w_7^d = 0 \\ w_8^d = 1 \end{array} \quad (7.35)$$



**Figure 21.** Brane configuration for the three two-tori in Model 22-dual where the third two-torus is tilted. Fermion mass hierarchies result from the intersections on the first two-torus.

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0. & 0.107805 & 0.11791 \\ 0.107805 & 0.11791 & 0.500807 \\ 0.11791 & 0.500807 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.36)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (7.28) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.036169 & 0.108125 & 0.116698 \\ 0.108125 & 0.458457 & 0.500675 \\ 0.116698 & 0.500675 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (7.37)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0. & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (7.38)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

### 7.3 Model 22-dual

In Model 22-dual the three-point Yukawa couplings arise from the triplet intersections from the branes  $a, b, c$  on the first two-torus ( $r = 1$ ) with 12 pairs of Higgs from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  subsector.

Yukawa matrices for the Model 22-dual are of rank 3 and the three intersections required to form the disk diagrams for the Yukawa couplings all occur on the first torus as shown in figure 21. The other two-tori only contribute an overall constant that has no effect in computing the fermion mass ratios. Thus, it is sufficient for our purpose to only focus on the first torus to explain the masses and the mixing in the standard model fermions.

### 7.3.1 3-point Yukawa mass-matrices for Model 22-dual

From the wrapping numbers listed in table 36, the relevant intersection numbers are calculated as,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ab}^{(1)} &= 3, & I_{ab}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{ab}^{(3)} &= -1, \\
I_{bc}^{(1)} &= 12, & I_{bc}^{(2)} &= 1, & I_{bc}^{(3)} &= 0, \\
I_{ca}^{(1)} &= -3, & I_{ca}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{ca}^{(3)} &= -1,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

As the intersection numbers are not coprime, we define the greatest common divisor,  $d^{(1)} = g.c.d.(I_{ab}^{(1)}, I_{bc}^{(1)}, I_{ca}^{(1)}) = 3$ . Thus, the arguments of the modular theta function as defined in (3.20) can be written as,

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{i^{(1)}}{3} + \frac{j^{(1)}}{-3} + \frac{k^{(1)}}{12} + \frac{1}{36} \left( -12\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right) + \frac{s^{(1)}}{3}, \tag{7.40}$$

$$\phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 12\theta_a^{(1)} - 3\theta_b^{(1)} + 3\theta_c^{(1)} \right) = 0, \tag{7.41}$$

$$\kappa^{(1)} = \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'}, \tag{7.42}$$

and recalling (3.4), we have  $i = \{0, \dots, 2\}$ ,  $j = \{0, \dots, 2\}$  and  $k = \{0, \dots, 11\}$  which respectively index the left-handed fermions, the right-handed fermions and the Higgs fields. Clearly, there arise 12 Higgs fields from the  $bc$  sector.

The second-last term in the right side of (7.40) can be used to redefine the shift on the torus as

$$\epsilon^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{36} \left( -12\epsilon_a^{(1)} + 3\epsilon_b^{(1)} - 3\epsilon_c^{(1)} \right). \tag{7.43}$$

The selection rule for the occurrence of a trilinear Yukawa coupling for a given set of indices is given as,

$$i^{(1)} + j^{(1)} + k^{(1)} = 0 \pmod{3}. \tag{7.44}$$

Then the suitable rank-3 mass-matrix can be determined by choosing the specific value of  $s^{(1)} = -i$ .

$$\delta^{(1)} = \frac{k}{12} - \frac{j}{3} \tag{7.45}$$

Here, we will ignore other equivalent cases of solutions or cases with rank-1 problem. The mass matrices for up quarks, down quarks and charged leptons have the following general form:

$$Z_3 = Z_{3q} \begin{pmatrix} T_0v_1 + T_3v_4 + T_6v_7 + T_9v_{10} & T_2v_3 + T_5v_6 + T_8v_9 + T_{11}v_{12} & T_1v_2 + T_4v_5 + T_7v_8 + T_{10}v_{11} \\ T_{10}v_3 + T_1v_6 + T_4v_9 + T_7v_{12} & T_9v_2 + T_0v_5 + T_3v_8 + T_6v_{11} & T_8v_1 + T_{11}v_4 + T_2v_7 + T_5v_{10} \\ T_5v_2 + T_8v_5 + T_{11}v_8 + T_2v_{11} & T_4v_1 + T_7v_4 + T_{10}v_7 + T_1v_{10} & T_6v_3 + T_9v_6 + T_0v_9 + T_3v_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.46}$$

where  $v_i = \langle H_i \rangle$  and the three-point coupling functions are given in terms of Jacobi theta function of the third kind as,

$$T_k \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{k}{12} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 11. \quad (7.47)$$

### 7.3.2 Fermion masses and mixings from 3-point functions in Model 22-dual

In order to accommodate the fermion masses and the quark mixings, we need to fit the up-type quarks mixing matrix (3.31), the down-type quarks matrix (3.24) and the masses of the charged-leptons (3.25).

We set the Kähler modulus on the first two-torus defined in (7.42) as  $\kappa^{(1)} = 64$  and evaluate the couplings functions (7.47) by setting geometric brane position parameters as  $\epsilon_u^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$  which yields an *exact* fitting for the following VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned} v^u_1 &= 0.000291336, & v^d_1 &= 0.0000282001 \\ v^u_2 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_2 &= -0.000163191 \\ v^u_3 &= 1.32337, & v^d_3 &= 0.0173018 \\ v^u_4 &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_4 &= -0.0000173322 \\ v^u_5 &= 0.00552729, & v^d_5 &= 0.000560001 \\ v^u_6 &= -0.0151378, & v^d_6 &= -0.000262376 \\ v^u_7 &= 11.0134, & v^d_7 &= 0.00114294 \\ v^u_8 &= -0.0000838211, & v^d_8 &= -0.000163191 \\ v^u_9 &= 0.998234, & v^d_9 &= 0.02 \\ v^u_{10} &= -4.42684 \times 10^{-6}, & v^d_{10} &= -0.0000173322 \\ v^u_{11} &= 2.29873, & v^d_{11} &= 0.0107613 \\ v^u_{12} &= -0.0151378, & v^d_{12} &= -0.000262376 \end{aligned} \quad (7.48)$$

$$|M_{3u}| = m_t \begin{pmatrix} 0.000291 & 0.00122 & 0.008608 \\ 0.00122 & 0.005527 & 0.041338 \\ 0.008608 & 0.041338 & 0.998234 \end{pmatrix} \sim M_u, \quad (7.49)$$

$$|M_{3n}| = m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 11.0134 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 2.29873 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1.32337 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.50)$$

$$|M_{3d}| = m_b \begin{pmatrix} 0.00141 & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0.028 & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 1. \end{pmatrix} \sim D_d, \quad (7.51)$$

$$|M_{3e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.036169 & 0.00032 & -0.001212 \\ 0.00032 & 0.340547 & -0.000132 \\ -0.001212 & -0.000132 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e. \quad (7.52)$$

Notice, that these results are only at the tree-level and there could indeed be other corrections,

such as those coming from higher-dimensional operators, which may contribute most greatly to the charm and the down quarks' masses since they are lighter. In order to preserve the exact-fitting of up-quarks mixed form matrix and the neutrino-masses already achieved from 3-point functions, we can switch off the corrections from the 4-point functions by taking all up-type VEVs to be zero. However, the down-type VEVs from 4-point functions will be needed to explain the leptons' mixing.

### 7.3.3 4-point corrections in Model 22-dual

The four-point couplings in Model 22-dual in table 36 can come from considering interactions of  $a, b, c$  with  $b'$  or  $c'$  on the first two-torus as can be seen from the following intersection numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{bb'}^{(1)} &= 4, & I_{bb'}^{(2)} &= 2, & I_{bb'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{cc'}^{(1)} &= -20, & I_{cc'}^{(2)} &= 0, & I_{cc'}^{(3)} &= -1, \\ I_{bc'}^{(1)} &= -8, & I_{bc'}^{(2)} &= -1, & I_{bc'}^{(3)} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.53)$$

There are 20 SM singlet fields  $S_L^i$  and 8 Higgs-like state  $H'_{u,d}$ .

Let us consider four-point interactions with  $c'$  with the following parameters with shifts  $l = -\frac{k}{4}$  and  $\ell = -\frac{k}{3}$  taken along the index  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{i}{I_{ab}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{ca}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + l, \\ &= \frac{i}{3} - \frac{j}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{\iota}{I_{cc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc'}^{(1)}} + \frac{k}{I_{bc}^{(1)}} + \ell, \\ &= -\frac{\iota}{20} - \frac{j}{8}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.55)$$

the matrix elements  $a_{i,j,\iota}$  on the first torus from the four-point functions results in the following classical 4-point contribution to the mass matrix,

$$Z_{4cl} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{0u_1w_1} + F_{16u_{13}w_1} + F_{13u_{12}w_2} + F_{10u_{11}w_3} + F_{7u_{10}w_4} + F_{4u_9w_5} + F_{1u_8w_6} + F_{17u_{20}w_6} + F_{38u_7w_7} + F_{14u_{19}w_7} + F_{35u_6w_8} + F_{11u_{18}w_8} \\ F_{18u_{12}w_1} + F_{15u_{11}w_2} + F_{12u_{10}w_3} + F_{9u_9w_4} + F_{6u_8w_5} + F_{22u_{20}w_5} + F_{3u_7w_6} + F_{19u_{19}w_6} + F_{0u_6w_7} + F_{16u_{18}w_7} + F_{37u_5w_8} + F_{13u_{17}w_8} \\ F_{20u_{11}w_1} + F_{17u_{10}w_2} + F_{14u_9w_3} + F_{11u_8w_4} + F_{27u_{20}w_4} + F_{8u_7w_5} + F_{24u_{19}w_5} + F_{5u_6w_6} + F_{21u_{18}w_6} + F_{2u_5w_7} + F_{18u_{17}w_7} + F_{39u_4w_8} + F_{15u_{16}w_8} \\ F_{18u_{12}w_1} + F_{15u_{11}w_2} + F_{12u_{10}w_3} + F_{9u_9w_4} + F_{6u_8w_5} + F_{22u_{20}w_5} + F_{3u_7w_6} + F_{19u_{19}w_6} + F_{0u_6w_7} + F_{16u_{18}w_7} + F_{37u_5w_8} + F_{13u_{17}w_8} \\ F_{20u_{11}w_1} + F_{17u_{10}w_2} + F_{14u_9w_3} + F_{11u_8w_4} + F_{27u_{20}w_4} + F_{8u_7w_5} + F_{24u_{19}w_5} + F_{5u_6w_6} + F_{21u_{18}w_6} + F_{2u_5w_7} + F_{18u_{17}w_7} + F_{39u_4w_8} + F_{15u_{16}w_8} \\ F_{22u_{10}w_1} + F_{19u_9w_2} + F_{16u_8w_3} + F_{32u_{20}w_3} + F_{13u_7w_4} + F_{29u_{19}w_4} + F_{10u_6w_5} + F_{26u_{18}w_5} + F_{7u_5w_6} + F_{23u_{17}w_6} + F_{4u_4w_7} + F_{20u_{16}w_7} + F_{1u_3w_8} + F_{17u_{15}w_8} \\ F_{20u_{11}w_1} + F_{17u_{10}w_2} + F_{14u_9w_3} + F_{11u_8w_4} + F_{27u_{20}w_4} + F_{8u_7w_5} + F_{24u_{19}w_5} + F_{5u_6w_6} + F_{21u_{18}w_6} + F_{2u_5w_7} + F_{18u_{17}w_7} + F_{39u_4w_8} + F_{15u_{16}w_8} \\ F_{22u_{10}w_1} + F_{19u_9w_2} + F_{16u_8w_3} + F_{32u_{20}w_3} + F_{13u_7w_4} + F_{29u_{19}w_4} + F_{10u_6w_5} + F_{26u_{18}w_5} + F_{7u_5w_6} + F_{23u_{17}w_6} + F_{4u_4w_7} + F_{20u_{16}w_7} + F_{1u_3w_8} + F_{17u_{15}w_8} \\ F_{24u_9w_1} + F_{21u_8w_2} + F_{37u_{20}w_2} + F_{18u_7w_3} + F_{34u_{19}w_3} + F_{15u_6w_4} + F_{31u_{18}w_4} + F_{12u_5w_5} + F_{28u_{17}w_5} + F_{9u_4w_6} + F_{25u_{16}w_6} + F_{6u_3w_7} + F_{22u_{15}w_7} + F_3u_2w_8 + F_{19u_{14}w_8} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.56)$$

where  $u_i, w_j$  are the VEVs and the couplings are defined as,

$$F_{8i} \equiv \vartheta \left[ \begin{array}{c} \epsilon^{(1)} + \frac{\iota}{20} \\ \phi^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{12J^{(1)}}{\alpha'} \right), \quad i = 0, \dots, |I_{cc'}^{(1)}| - 1. \quad (7.57)$$

Since, we have already fitted the up-type quark matrix  $|M_{3u}|$  exactly, so its 4-point

correction should be zero,

$$|M_{4u}| = 0, \quad (7.58)$$

which is true by setting all up-type VEVs  $u_u^i$  and  $w_u^i$  to be zero. Therefore, we are essentially concerned with fitting charged-leptons in such a way that corresponding corrections for the down-type quarks remain negligible. The desired solution can be readily obtained by setting  $\epsilon_{4e}^{(1)} = 0$  with the following values of the VEVs,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^d_1 &= 0 \\
u^d_2 &= 0 \\
u^d_3 &= 0.0158255 \\
u^d_4 &= 0.00615937 \\
u^d_5 &= 0.0254406 \\
u^d_6 &= 0 \\
u^d_7 &= 0 & w^d_1 &= 0 \\
u^d_8 &= 0 & w^d_2 &= 0 \\
u^d_9 &= 0 & w^d_3 &= 0 \\
u^d_{10} &= 0 & w^d_4 &= 0 \\
u^d_{11} &= 0 & w^d_5 &= 0 \\
u^d_{12} &= 0 & w^d_6 &= 0 \\
u^d_{13} &= 0 & w^d_7 &= 0 \\
u^d_{14} &= 0 & w^d_8 &= 1 \\
u^d_{15} &= 0 \\
u^d_{16} &= 0 \\
u^d_{17} &= 0 \\
u^d_{18} &= 0 \\
u^d_{19} &= 0 \\
u^d_{20} &= 0
\end{aligned} \quad , \quad (7.59)$$

The 4-point correction to the charged-leptons' masses is given by,

$$|M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0. & 0.107805 & 0.11791 \\ 0.107805 & 0.11791 & 0.500807 \\ 0.11791 & 0.500807 & 0. \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.60)$$

which can be added to the matrix obtained from 3-point functions (7.52) as,

$$|M_{3e}| + |M_{4e}| = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0.036169 & 0.108125 & 0.116698 \\ 0.108125 & 0.458457 & 0.500675 \\ 0.116698 & 0.500675 & 0.547527 \end{pmatrix} \sim D_e, \quad (7.61)$$

The corrections to down-type quarks' masses can be made negligible by setting  $\epsilon_{4d}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$|M_{4d}| \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0. & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 0. \\ 0. & 0. & 0. \end{pmatrix} \sim 0. \quad (7.62)$$

Therefore, we can achieve near-exact matching of fermion masses and mixings.

#### 7.4 Prediction of Dirac-Neutrino Masses from 12-Higgs models

It is clear from (7.12), (7.26) and (7.50) that the mass-eigenvalues of the Dirac-neutrinos are set by the VEVs  $(v_7^u, v_{11}^u, v_3^u)$  with coupling  $T_6^\nu = 1$  upto an overall scale  $m_\nu$  that is to be fixed by experimental constraints. It is also evident that the Dirac-neutrinos are predicted to be in normal ordering.  $T_6^\nu = 1$  is a nice feature as it avoids extra fine-tuning, given that the neutrinos are already several orders of magnitude lighter than their quark and lepton counterparts.

The experimental constraints (3.27) for the normal ordering ( $m_3 \gg m_2 > m_1$ ) are naturally satisfied by setting  $m_\nu = 4.57761$  meV,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (m_3, m_2, m_1) &= (50.4, 10.5, 6.1) \pm 0.1 \text{ meV}, \\ \Delta m_{21}^2 &= 74.0 \text{ meV}^2, \\ \Delta m_{31}^2 &= +2505 \text{ meV}^2, \quad (\text{NO}) \\ m_1 + m_2 + m_3 &= 67.0 \text{ meV}, \quad (\text{Dirac with NO}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.63)$$

The prediction of Dirac-neutrino-masses is robust, as the ratios of neutrino-masses are essentially determined by the up-quarks matrix (3.23) that serves as an input into the up-quarks mixing matrix (3.31) given that the CKM matrix is now known with high precision. Although the uncertainties in (3.23) can be significant since the unification-scale is not known precisely, however the experimental constraints (3.27) can mitigate these uncertainties. Consequently, the uncertainties in (3.23) translate into the uncertainty in the Kähler modulus  $\kappa^{(1)} = 64 \pm 2$ , while the overall uncertainty in neutrino-masses remains within  $\pm 0.1$  meV.

Recent evidence from the swampland program [22], particularly from the non-SUSY AdS instability conjecture [23] and the light fermion conjecture [24, 25], building on the earlier work of [26, 27] suggests that without additional chiral fermions with tiny masses, neutrinos must be of Dirac-type together with a bound on the lightest neutrino mass given by the cosmological constant scale as,  $m_\nu^{\text{lightest}} \lesssim \Lambda^{1/4}$  [52–56]<sup>2</sup>

$$m_1 < 7.7 \text{ meV (NO)}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i = 60\text{--}70 \text{ meV (NO)}. \quad (7.64)$$

<sup>2</sup>A stronger bound of  $m_1 < 4.1$  meV (NO) was found for 2D compactification on a torus in [52, 53]. However unlike in 3D, there may be several choices of compactifications in 2D e.g. torus  $T^2$ , orbifolds  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$ , 2D spheres  $S^2$  with or without fluxes [27, 54] which thus renders the 2D bounds less predictive. Note that the bounds are sensitive to the value of  $\Lambda$ , here taken to be  $2.6 \times 10^{-47}$  GeV<sup>4</sup>, having large uncertainties owing to the impreciseness in the determination of Hubble constant.

The 3D Casimir energy of the SM compactified on a circle receives a positive contribution from the lightest neutrino, which is necessary to avoid unstable non-supersymmetric AdS vacua. This constraint is only satisfied for Dirac neutrinos, which carry 4 degrees of freedom, unlike Majorana neutrinos, which only have 2 and cannot compensate for the 4 bosonic degrees of freedom from the photon and the graviton.

Comparing the swampland bounds (7.64) and our results of Dirac-neutrino masses (7.63), our universe avoids AdS vacua in 3D as the mass of the lightest neutrino turns out to be less than the threshold value (7.64) and the sum of the masses of three Dirac-neutrino also falls within the range given by the multiple point criticality principle which requires the 3D dS vacuum to be close to the flat vacuum [53]. In analogy with the transition between ice and water being of first order the slush exists at 0°C. Conversely, if the temperature happens to be suspiciously close to zero, it is because of the existence of such a slush [57]. Since the AdS and the dS vacua are separated by infinite distance in the moduli-space [58], any transition between them is of first-order, making the multiple point criticality principle applicable to our universe.

Note that the masses of Dirac-neutrinos are derived by three-point functions whereas the leptons' mixing need four-point functions which are suppressed by the string-scale  $M_S$  (2.17). These higher-dimensional operators may link neutrino-mixings with the dark-dimension scenario [59] motivated by the emergent strings conjecture [21]. Dark dimension relates dark matter (5D gravitons), dark energy ( $\Lambda$ ) and axion decay constant ( $f_a \lesssim \hat{M}_5$ ) with the scale of lightest-neutrino ( $m_1$ ) [60, 61]. Taking  $m_1 = 6.1$  meV in the following relations,

$$\hat{M}_5 = m_1^{1/3} M_{\text{pl}}^{2/3}, \quad l_5 = m_1^{-1} = \lambda \Lambda^{-1/4}, \quad (7.65)$$

the species-scale in 5D is set at  $\hat{M}_5 = 9.7 \times 10^8$  GeV, resulting in the size of the dark-dimension to be  $32 \mu\text{m}$  and the thickness of the brane to be  $2.0 \times 10^{-23}$  cm. Note that there can be large uncertainties in  $\Lambda$  that can affect the value of the coupling  $\lambda$  but the value of  $\hat{M}_5$  is precise due to the preciseness in the value of  $m_1$ . Accordingly, deviation from the gravitational inverse-square law are predicted below  $32 \mu\text{m}$ . Experimentally, no deviations have been detected above  $38.6 \mu\text{m}$  at  $2\sigma$  [62], however, it is hoped to be probed in near-future. Furthermore, the dark dimension scale can also be related to the scale of supersymmetry breaking [63] which will be discussed in [18].

## 8 Conclusion

We have systematically analyzed all viable models in the complete landscape of three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam intersecting D6-brane models on a  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold in type IIA string theory. We have presented the detailed particle spectra of all 33 models and have calculated the three-point and four-point couplings to accommodate standard model fermion masses and mixings. It is found that only 17 models contain viable Yukawa textures such that viable models split into four classes. The first class consists of a single model with 3 bulk Higgs fields while the remaining three classes contain Higgs fields from  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector such that there are five models with 6 Higgs, eight models with 9 Higgs and

Model	Up-Quarks' masses	Down-Quarks' masses	Charged-leptons' masses	CKM-mixings	Dirac- $\dagger$ Neutrino masses	PMNS-mixings
13 <sup>*</sup>	Yes	Yes	Approx.	No	No	No
14 <sup>‡</sup>	Yes	Approx.	Yes	Approx.	No	No
15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Approx.	No	No
15-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Approx.	No	No
16	Yes	Yes	Yes	Approx.	No	No
16-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Approx.	No	No
17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
17-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
18	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
18-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
19	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
19-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
20	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
20-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
21 <sup>‡</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
22	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
22-dual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

<sup>†</sup> Majorana masses can be obtained for all models via type-I seesaw mechanism.

<sup>\*</sup> Only bulk-Higgs are considered because  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector Higgs are not available.

<sup>‡</sup> Only three-point functions are considered because viable four-point-couplings are not available.

**Table 3.** Summary of possible 3-point and the 4-point Yukawa interactions to match the fermions masses and mixings in the landscape of three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-Branes on a type IIA  $T^6/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$  orientifold.

three models with 12 Higgs field. Table 3 summarizes the main findings from all viable models.

Remarkably, the class of models with 12 Higgs pairs naturally predicts the Dirac-neutrino masses in normal ordering consistent with both the experimental constraints as well as the bounds from the swampland program. With twelve Higgs from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector, the models precisely accommodates all SM fermion masses and mixings along with Dirac neutrino masses in NO  $(50.4, 10.5, 6.1) \pm 0.1$  meV consistent with both experimental constraints as well as the swampland bounds on the mass of lightest neutrino and the sum of neutrinos according to the non-supersymmetric AdS instability conjecture and the multiple point criticality principle respectively. Yukawa couplings from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sector also evade the dangerous infinite distance limits, thereby avoiding the decompactification of extra-dimensions. This constitutes the first precise prediction of Dirac neutrino masses from a consistent string theory setup. An experimental confirmation of the heaviest neutrino-mass at  $\sim 50$  meV will thus validate this class of models.

Yukawa couplings depend on the geometric position of the stacks of D6-branes and the Kähler moduli. A mechanism to stabilize these open string moduli is needed to eliminate the non-chiral open string states associated to the brane positions and the Wilson lines in

the low energy spectrum. This may be accomplished in the case of type II compactifications on  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}'_2)$  background possessing rigid cycles [64]. We will report on the progress in this direction in near future [65].

## Acknowledgments

TL is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China Grant No. 2020YFC2201504, by the Projects No. 11875062, No. 11947302, No. 12047503, and No. 12275333 supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, by the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDPB15, by the Scientific Instrument Developing Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. YJKYYQ20190049, and by the International Partnership Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences for Grand Challenges, Grant No. 112311KYSB20210012. AM is supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2021B1515130007), Shenzhen Natural Science Fund (the Stable Support Plan Program 20220810130956001). Z.-W. Wang is supported in part by the hundred talented program at University of Electronic Science and Technology of China and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12475105).

## A Independent Supersymmetric Pati-Salam Models

Following is the complete list of the 33 independent three-family  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric Pati-Salam models with distinct allowed gauge coupling relations arising from intersecting D6-branes on a type IIA  $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  orientifold [14]. We have used type-I T-duality to list models in such a way that the three-point Yukawa interactions arise from the  $(a, b, c)$  triplet. As a result some of the  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  branes are replaced by their corresponding orientifold images  $a'$ ,  $b'$  and  $c'$ . We have sorted the models with the maximum number of viable Higgs on any of the three two-tori with viable Yukawa mass-matrix, followed by the occurrence of highest wrapping numbers, followed by the occurrence of other wrapping number in the ascending order.

For 11 out of 33 models, interchanging the  $b$  and  $c$  stacks results in dual models with distinct gauge coupling relations as can be discerned from the captions of the tables provided that the constraint,  $I_{ac'} = 0$ , is satisfied after the  $b \leftrightarrow c$  exchange. Two models viz. 14 and 21 are self-dual due to exact or partial gauge coupling unification while eleven other models do not have duals because the constraint,  $I_{ac'} = 0$ , is not satisfied after the  $b \leftrightarrow c$  exchange. The wrapping numbers  $(n^3, l^3)$  in the third two-torus can be converted to  $(n^3, m^3)$  using (2.3) as  $m^3 = \frac{l^3 - n^3}{2}$ . In the case where dual models exists, e.g. model 1 and 1-dual, the main model is chosen to contain the highest wrapping number in stack  $b$ , accordingly in the dual model, the highest wrapping number is found in stack  $c$ .

Model 1		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>2</sub> × USp(4) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	2	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	3	0	-3	0	-1	1
b	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	0	-4	1	0
c	4	(-2, -1) × (-1, -1) × (1, -1)	2	6	-	-	-	-	1	-2
2	4	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_3 = 2$							
4	4	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 4.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 1, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = g_b^2 = \frac{5}{3}g_c^2 = \frac{25}{19}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 1-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>2</sub> × USp(4) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	2	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	-1	1
b	4	(-2, -1) × (-1, -1) × (1, -1)	2	6	-	-	0	-4	1	-2
c	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	-	-	1	0
2	4	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_3 = 2$							
4	4	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 5.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 1-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{5}{3}g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 2		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>										
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-1, -1) × (1, -3)	2	-2	-	-	-4	-4	0	0	1	-3
c	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	-	-	-3	1	0	0
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = \frac{1}{3}x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -5, \beta_4^g = -1,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_2 = 1, \chi_3 = \frac{2}{3}$									
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)										
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)										
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)										

**Table 6.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 2, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{9}{5}g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{12}{5}\sqrt{2}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 3		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>4</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	4
a	8	(-2, 1) × (-1, 0) × (1, 1)	-1	1	-3	0	3	0	2
b	4	(1, 0) × (1, 3) × (1, -1)	-2	2	-	-	0	4	-1
c	4	(-1, 2) × (-1, 1) × (-1, 1)	2	6	-	-	-	-	1
4	4	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{4}{3}x_B = 8x_C = \frac{8}{3}x_D$ $\beta_4^g = 0,$ $\chi_1 = 4, \chi_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \chi_3 = 4$						

**Table 7.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 3, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{1}{2}g_b^2 = \frac{13}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{65}{44}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 3-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>4</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	4
a	8	(-2, 1) × (-1, 0) × (1, 1)	-1	1	3	0	-3	0	2
b	4	(-1, 2) × (-1, 1) × (-1, 1)	2	6	-	-	0	4	1
c	4	(1, 0) × (1, 3) × (1, -1)	-2	2	-	-	-	-	-1
4	4	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{4}{3}x_B = 8x_C = \frac{8}{3}x_D$ $\beta_4^g = 0,$ $\chi_1 = 4, \chi_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \chi_3 = 4$						

**Table 8.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 3-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{13}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{1}{2}g_c^2 = \frac{5}{8}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 4		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>4</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	4
a	8	(2, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	-1	1	-2	-1	3	0	2
b	4	(1, 0) × (1, 1) × (1, -3)	2	-2	-	-	-4	0	-3
c	4	(-1, 2) × (-1, 1) × (-1, 1)	2	6	-	-	-	-	1
4	4	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 2x_B = \frac{4}{3}x_C = 4x_D$ $\beta_4^g = 2,$ $\chi_1 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{6}, \chi_3 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$						

**Table 9.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 4, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{21}{10}g_b^2 = \frac{7}{2}g_c^2 = \frac{7}{4}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{5}6^{3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 5		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	1	2	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	0	-1	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-1, -1) × (1, -3)	2	-2	-	-	-5	-2	0	0	-3
c	4	(0, 1) × (-2, 3) × (-1, 1)	1	-1	-	-	-	-	-3	2	0
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{2}{3}x_B = \frac{2}{9}x_C = \frac{2}{3}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = -1,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{2}, \chi_3 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$								
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 10.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 5, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{27}{11}g_b^2 = 2g_c^2 = \frac{10}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{48}{11}\sqrt{2}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 6		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-2, -1) × (1, -3)	5	-5	-	-	-7	-10	0	1	-6
c	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	-	-	1	0	0
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = \frac{1}{18}x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -5, \beta_4^g = 2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{2}, \chi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 11.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 6, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{54}{19}g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{48}{19}\sqrt{2}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 7		$U(4)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_4$								
stack	$N$	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$	$n_{\square\square}$	$n_{\square}$	$b$	$b'$	$c$	$c'$	2	4
$a$	8	$(1, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (1, 1)$	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	-1	1
$b$	4	$(-1, 0) \times (-2, -1) \times (1, -3)$	5	-5	-	-	-8	-8	0	-6
$c$	4	$(0, 1) \times (-2, 3) \times (-1, 1)$	1	-1	-	-	-	-	2	0
2	2	$(1, 0) \times (0, -1) \times (0, 2)$	$x_A = \frac{2}{3}x_B = \frac{1}{9}x_C = \frac{2}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = 2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_2 = 2, \chi_3 = \frac{2}{3}$							
4	2	$(0, -1) \times (0, 1) \times (2, 0)$								

**Table 12.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 7, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{18}{5}g_b^2 = 2g_c^2 = \frac{10}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{24\pi e^{\phi_4}}{5}$ .

Model 8		$U(4)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$								
stack	$N$	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$	$n_{\square\square}$	$n_{\square}$	$b$	$b'$	$c$	$c'$	1	3
$a$	8	$(1, -1) \times (-1, 0) \times (-1, -1)$	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	0	0
$b$	4	$(1, 0) \times (1, 1) \times (1, -3)$	2	-2	-	-	-4	-8	0	1
$c$	4	$(-1, 4) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$	3	-3	-	-	-	-	-4	1
1	2	$(1, 0) \times (1, 0) \times (2, 0)$	$x_A = \frac{3}{4}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{3}{4}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -2, \beta_3^g = -4,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{3}{2}, \chi_3 = 1$							
3	4	$(0, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (0, 2)$								

**Table 13.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 8, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{13}{5}g_b^2 = 3g_c^2 = \frac{5}{3}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{3}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 9		$U(4)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$								
stack	$N$	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$	$n_{\square\square}$	$n_{\square}$	$b$	$b'$	$c$	$c'$	1	3
$a$	8	$(-1, 1) \times (-1, 0) \times (1, 1)$	0	0	3	0	-3	0	0	0
$b$	4	$(-1, 4) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$	3	-3	-	-	0	-4	-4	1
$c$	4	$(1, 0) \times (1, 3) \times (1, -1)$	-2	2	-	-	-	-	0	3
1	2	$(1, 0) \times (1, 0) \times (2, 0)$	$x_A = \frac{1}{12}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{12}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -2, \beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \chi_3 = 1$							
3	4	$(0, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (0, 2)$								

**Table 14.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 9, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{1}{3}g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16\pi e^{\phi_4}}{5\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 9-dual		$U(4)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$								
stack	$N$	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$	$n_{\square\square}$	$n_{\square}$	$b$	$b'$	$c$	$c'$	1	3
$a$	8	$(-1, 1) \times (-1, 0) \times (1, 1)$	0	0	-3	0	3	0	0	0
$b$	4	$(1, 0) \times (1, 3) \times (1, -1)$	-2	2	-	-	0	-4	0	3
$c$	4	$(-1, 4) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$	3	-3	-	-	-	-	-4	1
1	2	$(1, 0) \times (1, 0) \times (2, 0)$	$x_A = \frac{1}{12}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{12}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -2, \beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \chi_3 = 1$							
3	4	$(0, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (0, 2)$								

**Table 15.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 9-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = g_b^2 = \frac{1}{3}g_c^2 = \frac{5}{11}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16\pi e^{\phi_4}}{5\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 10		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>3</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	3
a	8	(1, -1) × (-1, 0) × (-1, -1)	0	0	-2	-1	3	0	0
b	4	(1, 0) × (2, 1) × (1, -3)	5	-5	-	-	-8	-16	1
c	4	(-1, 4) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	1
3	4	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{3}{2}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{3}{2}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = -4,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = 3, \chi_3 = 1$						

**Table 16.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 10, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{26}{5}g_b^2 = 6g_c^2 = 2\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{6}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 11		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>3</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	3
a	8	(1, -1) × (-1, 0) × (-1, -1)	0	0	3	0	-3	0	0
b	4	(-1, 4) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	0	-8	1
c	4	(1, 0) × (2, 3) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	-	-	3
3	4	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{6}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{6}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_3 = 1$						

**Table 17.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 11, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{2}{3}g_b^2 = 2g_c^2 = \frac{10}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 11-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>3</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	3
a	8	(1, -1) × (-1, 0) × (-1, -1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	0
b	4	(1, 0) × (2, 3) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	0	-8	3
c	4	(-1, 4) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	1
3	4	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{6}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{6}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_3 = 1$						

**Table 18.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 11-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = 2g_b^2 = \frac{2}{3}g_c^2 = \frac{10}{13}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{5}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 12		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub>							
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	3
a	8	(1, 1) × (1, 0) × (1, -1)	0	0	3	-6	3	0	0
b	4	(-2, -1) × (0, -1) × (-5, -1)	9	-9	-	-	-8	0	-10
c	4	(-2, 1) × (-1, 1) × (1, 1)	-2	-6	-	-	-	-	-2
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{5}{6}x_B = 10x_C = \frac{5}{6}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = 6,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{10}, \chi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6}, \chi_3 = 2\sqrt{10}$						

**Table 19.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 12, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{35}{66}g_b^2 = \frac{7}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{35}{32}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8\sqrt{25^3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}}{11\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 13		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	4
a	8	(-1, -1) × (1, 1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	6	-3	-3	0	1	-1
b	4	(-1, 2) × (-1, 0) × (5, 1)	9	-9	-	-	-9	-10	0	1
c	4	(-2, 1) × (0, -1) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	-	-	-1	0
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 2x_B = 2x_C = \frac{11}{5}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -3,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \chi_2 = \frac{11}{\sqrt{5}}, \chi_3 = 4\sqrt{5}$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 20.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 13, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{5}{14}g_b^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{11}{8}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{63}5^{3/4}\sqrt{11}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 14		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>										
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-1, -3) × (1, -1)	-2	2	-	-	0	0	0	0	3	-1
c	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	-	-	-3	1	0	0
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -3,$ $\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \chi_3 = 2$									
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)										
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)										
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)										

**Table 21.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 14, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 15		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	3	4
a	8	(-1, 1) × (1, -1) × (1, -1)	0	4	-3	0	3	0	-1	1	1
b	4	(-4, 1) × (-1, 0) × (1, 1)	-3	3	-	-	0	2	0	0	4
c	4	(-2, -1) × (0, 1) × (1, 1)	1	-1	-	-	-	-	1	-2	0
1	4	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{5}{2}x_B = 2x_C = 10x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -2, \beta_4^g = 0,$ $\chi_1 = 2\sqrt{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}, \chi_3 = \sqrt{2}$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 22.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 15, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{4}{9}g_b^2 = \frac{10}{9}g_c^2 = \frac{50}{47}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{27}2^{3/4}\sqrt{5}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 15-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>										
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	2	4	
a	8	(1, 1) × (-1, -1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	-3	0	3	0	1	-1	-1	
b	4	(0, 1) × (-2, 1) × (-1, 1)	-1	1	-	-	0	-2	-1	2	0	
c	4	(-1, 0) × (4, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	0	0	-4	
1	4	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 2x_B = \frac{5}{2}x_C = 10x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = 0,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}, \chi_2 = 2\sqrt{2}, \chi_3 = \sqrt{2}$									
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)										
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)										

**Table 23.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 15-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{10}{9}g_b^2 = \frac{4}{9}g_c^2 = \frac{4}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{27}2^{3/4}\sqrt{5}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 16		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	4
a	8	(1, 1) × (-2, -1) × (1, 1)	0	-8	3	0	-3	0	1	-2
b	4	(-4, -1) × (1, 0) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	0	-2	0	-4
c	4	(-2, 1) × (1, 1) × (-1, 1)	-2	-6	-	-	-	-	-1	-2
1	4	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{13}{2}x_B = \frac{13}{8}x_C = 26x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 4,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{13}{2}}, \chi_2 = 2\sqrt{26}, \chi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{13}}{2}$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 24.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 16, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{1}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{7}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{35}{32}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{135}26^{3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 16-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(4) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	4
a	8	(1, 1) × (-2, -1) × (1, 1)	0	-8	-3	0	3	0	1	-2
b	4	(-2, 1) × (1, 1) × (-1, 1)	-2	-6	-	-	0	-2	-1	-2
c	4	(-4, -1) × (1, 0) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	0	-4
1	4	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = \frac{13}{2}x_B = \frac{13}{8}x_C = 26x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 4,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{13}{2}}, \chi_2 = 2\sqrt{26}, \chi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{13}}{2}$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 25.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 16-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{7}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{1}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16}{135}26^{3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 17		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-2, -3) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	0	-3	0	3	-2
c	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	-	-	1	0	0
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = \frac{1}{2}x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \chi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \chi_3 = \sqrt{2}$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 26.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 17, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = 2g_b^2 = g_c^2 = \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16\sqrt[4]{2}\pi e^{\phi_4}}{3\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 17-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	3	0	-3	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(0, 1) × (-1, 3) × (-1, 1)	2	-2	-	-	0	-3	1	0	0
c	4	(-1, 0) × (-2, -3) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	-	-	0	3	-2
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{3}x_B = \frac{1}{2}x_C = \frac{1}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \chi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}, \chi_3 = \sqrt{2}$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 27.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 17-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = g_b^2 = 2g_c^2 = \frac{10}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{16\sqrt[4]{2}\pi e^{\phi_4}}{3\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 18		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	3	0	-3	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(-1, 4) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	0	-7	0	1	0
c	4	(2, 1) × (1, 1) × (1, -1)	2	6	-	-	-	-	1	2	-2
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{9}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{9}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{2}{9}, \chi_3 = 1$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 28.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 18, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{4}{9}g_b^2 = \frac{17}{9}g_c^2 = \frac{85}{61}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{32\pi e^{\phi_4}}{15}$ .

Model 18-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	2	3	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	-1	0	1
b	4	(2, 1) × (1, 1) × (1, -1)	2	6	-	-	0	-7	1	2	-2
c	4	(-1, 4) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	0	1	0
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{9}x_B = \frac{1}{4}x_C = \frac{1}{9}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -3, \beta_3^g = -3, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \chi_2 = \frac{2}{9}, \chi_3 = 1$								
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)									
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 29.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 18-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{17}{9}g_b^2 = \frac{4}{9}g_c^2 = \frac{4}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{32\pi e^{\phi_4}}{15}$ .

Model 19		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	1	4	
a	8	(-1, -1) × (1, 1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	-3	0	3	0	1	-1	
b	4	(1, 4) × (1, 0) × (1, -1)	-3	3	-	-	0	7	0	-1	
c	4	(-2, 1) × (2, 1) × (-1, 1)	-3	-13	-	-	-	-	-1	-4	
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 28x_B = \frac{28}{23}x_C = 7x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 1,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{7}{23}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{161}, \chi_3 = 8\sqrt{\frac{7}{23}}$								
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 30.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 19, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{1}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{11}{8}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{405}\sqrt{2161}^{3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 19-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>									
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n $\square$	n $\square$	b	b'	c	c'	1	4	
a	8	(-1, -1) × (1, 1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	3	0	-3	0	1	-1	
b	4	(-2, 1) × (2, 1) × (-1, 1)	-3	-13	-	-	0	7	-1	-4	
c	4	(1, 4) × (1, 0) × (1, -1)	-3	3	-	-	-	-	0	-1	
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 28x_B = \frac{28}{23}x_C = 7x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 1,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{7}{23}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{161}, \chi_3 = 8\sqrt{\frac{7}{23}}$								
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)									

**Table 31.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 19-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{1}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{405}\sqrt{2161}^{3/4}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 20		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	4
a	8	(1, 1) × (-1, -1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	3	0	-3	0	1	-1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (5, 2) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	0	-1	0	-5
c	4	(0, 1) × (-2, 1) × (-1, 1)	-1	1	-	-	-	-	-1	0
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 2x_B = \frac{14}{5}x_C = 7x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 1,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{7}{\sqrt{5}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{5}, \chi_3 = \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 32.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 20, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{5}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{7}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{35}{32}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{27}5^{3/4}\sqrt{7}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 20-dual		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>1</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	1	4
a	8	(1, 1) × (-1, -1) × (1, 1)	0	-4	-3	0	3	0	1	-1
b	4	(0, 1) × (-2, 1) × (-1, 1)	-1	1	-	-	0	-1	-1	0
c	4	(-1, 0) × (5, 2) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	-	-	0	-5
1	2	(1, 0) × (1, 0) × (2, 0)	$x_A = 2x_B = \frac{14}{5}x_C = 7x_D$ $\beta_1^g = -3, \beta_4^g = 1,$ $\chi_1 = \frac{7}{\sqrt{5}}, \chi_2 = \sqrt{5}, \chi_3 = \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 33.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 20-dual, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{7}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{5}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{25}{28}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8}{27}5^{3/4}\sqrt{7}\pi e^{\phi_4}$ .

Model 21		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>2</sub> × USp(2) <sub>4</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	2	4
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	-3	0	3	0	-1	1
b	4	(-1, 0) × (-2, -3) × (1, -1)	-1	1	-	-	0	0	0	-2
c	4	(0, 1) × (-2, 3) × (-1, 1)	1	-1	-	-	-	-	2	0
2	2	(1, 0) × (0, -1) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{2}{3}x_B = x_C = \frac{2}{3}x_D$ $\beta_2^g = -2, \beta_4^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \chi_3 = 2$							
4	2	(0, -1) × (0, 1) × (2, 0)								

**Table 34.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 21, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = 2g_b^2 = 2g_c^2 = \frac{10}{7}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8\pi e^{\phi_4}}{\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model 22		U(4) <sub>C</sub> × U(2) <sub>L</sub> × U(2) <sub>R</sub> × USp(2) <sub>3</sub>								
stack	N	(n <sup>1</sup> , l <sup>1</sup> ) × (n <sup>2</sup> , l <sup>2</sup> ) × (n <sup>3</sup> , l <sup>3</sup> )	n <sub>□□</sub>	n <sub>□</sub>	b	b'	c	c'	3	
a	8	(1, -1) × (1, 0) × (1, 1)	0	0	3	0	-3	0	0	
b	4	(-2, 5) × (0, 1) × (-1, 1)	3	-3	-	-	0	-8	2	
c	4	(2, 1) × (1, 1) × (1, -1)	2	6	-	-	-	-	2	
3	2	(0, -1) × (1, 0) × (0, 2)	$x_A = \frac{1}{6}x_B = \frac{2}{5}x_C = \frac{1}{6}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, \chi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6}, \chi_3 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$							

**Table 35.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model 22, and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{5}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{11}{8}\frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8\sqrt[4]{25^{3/4}}\pi e^{\phi_4}}{7\sqrt{3}}$ .

Model <a href="#">22-dual</a>		$U(4)_C \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R \times USp(2)_3$							
stack	$N$	$(n^1, l^1) \times (n^2, l^2) \times (n^3, l^3)$	$n_{\square\square}$	$n_{\square}$	$b$	$b'$	$c$	$c'$	3
$a$	8	$(1, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (1, 1)$	0	0	-3	0	3	0	0
$b$	4	$(2, 1) \times (1, 1) \times (1, -1)$	2	6	-	-	0	-8	2
$c$	4	$(-2, 5) \times (0, 1) \times (-1, 1)$	3	-3	-	-	-	-	2
3	2	$(0, -1) \times (1, 0) \times (0, 2)$	$x_A = \frac{1}{6}x_B = \frac{2}{5}x_C = \frac{1}{6}x_D$ $\beta_3^g = -2,$ $\chi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, \chi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}}{6}, \chi_3 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$						

**Table 36.** D6-brane configurations and intersection numbers of Model [22-dual](#), and its MSSM gauge coupling relation is  $g_a^2 = \frac{11}{6}g_b^2 = \frac{5}{6}g_c^2 = \frac{25}{28} \frac{5g_Y^2}{3} = \frac{8\sqrt[3]{25^{3/4}}\pi e^{\phi_4}}{7\sqrt{3}}$ .

## B Particle spectra

Model 1	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 4)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b2$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{4})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{4})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c4$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 37.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_2 \times USp(4)_4$ .

Model 1-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 4)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b2$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{4})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{4})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 38.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_2 \times USp(4)_4$ .

Model 2	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$3 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 39.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 3	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$1 \times (6_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$a_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (\bar{10}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	-2	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$T_C$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a4$	$2 \times (4, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, 2, 2, 1)$	0	1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 40.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_4$ .

Model 3-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$1 \times (6_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$a_{\overline{\square}}$	$1 \times (\overline{10}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1)$	-2	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$T_C$
$b_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\overline{\square}}, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a4$	$2 \times (4, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, 2, 2, 1)$	0	1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 41.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_4$ .

Model 4	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$1 \times (6_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$S_C$
$b_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$a_{\overline{\square}}$	$1 \times (\overline{10}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1)$	-2	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$T_C$
$b_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\overline{\square}}, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a4$	$2 \times (4, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$3 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 42.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_4$ .

Model 5	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\overline{\square}}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$3 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c2$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$5 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 43.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 6	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\overline{\square}}$	$5 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\overline{\square}}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\overline{\square}}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$5 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$6 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$7 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$10 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 44.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 7	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$5 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$5 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$6 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c2$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 45.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 8	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$4 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c3$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 46.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 9	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b1$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 2, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$3 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 47.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 9-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$3 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$4 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c3$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 48.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 10	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$5 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$5 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$16 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 49.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 11	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$3 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 50.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 11-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$3 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 51.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_3$ .

Model 12	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$6 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$9 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$9 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$10 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 52.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_3$ .

Model 13	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$6 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ab'$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$9 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$9 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1)$	0	-1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc'$	$10 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$

**Table 53.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 14	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b3$	$3 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$6 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 54.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 15	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (6_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$\frac{2}{3}, -2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a3$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$4 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, \bar{4}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c3$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 2)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$2 \times (1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$6 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 55.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_1 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 15-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{4}, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b1$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 4, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b2$	$2 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$4 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$6 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 56.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_1 \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 16	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$8 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{4}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 4, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c4$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$6 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 57.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 16-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$8 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{4}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$2 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b1$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 4, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$4 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$6 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 58.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(4)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 17	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a_2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a_4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b_3$	$3 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b_4$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c_2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$3 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 59.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 17-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$2 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a_2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a_4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b_2$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c_3$	$3 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c_4$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$3 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 60.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 18	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a_2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a_4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b_3$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c_2$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c_3$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c_4$	$2 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$7 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 61.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 18-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a_2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a_4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b_2$	$1 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b_3$	$2 \times (1, 2, 1, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b_4$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c_3$	$1 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$7 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 62.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_3 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 19	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$13 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$c4$	$4 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$7 \times (1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 63.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 19-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$13 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b1$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 2, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$b4$	$4 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$7 \times (1, 2, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 64.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 20	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$5 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c1$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 2, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 65.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 20-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B-L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$a_{\square}$	$4 \times (\bar{6}_{\square}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$-\frac{2}{3}, 2$	$S_C$
$b_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, \bar{3}_{\square\square}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a1$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$b1$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 2, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c4$	$5 \times (1, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$1 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$9 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 66.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_1 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 21	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \square, 1, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}, \square, 1, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, \bar{3}, \square\square, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$1 \times (1, 1, 3, \square\square, 1, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$a2$	$1 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	-1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$X_C$
$a4$	$1 \times (4, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$X_C$
$b4$	$2 \times (1, \bar{2}, 1, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c2$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1, \bar{2})$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc$	$12 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 67.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_2 \times USp(2)_4$ .

Model 22	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{\text{em}}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (4, \bar{2}, 1, 1)$	1	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 1, 2, 1)$	-1	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$3 \times (1, \bar{1}, \square, 1, 1)$	0	-1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1, 1, \square, 1)$	0	0	1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 3, \square\square, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 1, 3, \square\square, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$2 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$12 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 68.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_3$ .

Model 22-dual	Quantum Number	$Q_{4C}$	$Q_{2L}$	$Q_{2R}$	$Q_{em}$	$B - L$	Field
$ab$	$3 \times (\bar{4}, 2, 1, 1)$	-1	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, 0$	$\frac{1}{3}, -1$	$Q_L, L_L$
$ac$	$3 \times (4, 1, \bar{2}, 1)$	1	0	-1	$\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0$	$-\frac{1}{3}, 1$	$Q_R, L_R$
$b_{\square}$	$6 \times (1, 1_{\square}, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	0	0	$S_L$
$c_{\bar{\square}}$	$3 \times (1, 1, \bar{1}_{\bar{\square}}, 1)$	0	0	-1	0	0	$S_R$
$b_{\square\square}$	$2 \times (1, 3_{\square\square}, 1, 1)$	0	2	0	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_L$
$c_{\square\square}$	$3 \times (1, 1, 3_{\square\square}, 1)$	0	0	2	$0, \pm 1$	0	$T_R$
$b3$	$2 \times (1, 2, 1, 1)$	0	1	0	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_L$
$c3$	$2 \times (1, 1, 2, 1)$	0	0	1	$\pm \frac{1}{2}$	0	$X_R$
$bc'$	$8 \times (1, \bar{2}, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	-1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H$
$bc$	$12 \times (1, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$	0	1	-1	$-1, 0, 0, 1$	0	$H_u, H_d$

**Table 69.** The chiral and vector-like superfields, and their quantum numbers under the gauge symmetry  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times USp(2)_3$ .

## References

- [1] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibanez, R. Rabadan and A. M. Uranga, *Intersecting brane worlds*, *JHEP* **02** (2001) 047 [[hep-ph/0011132](#)].
- [2] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, *Yukawa couplings in intersecting D-brane models*, *JHEP* **07** (2003) 038 [[hep-th/0302105](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *D-branes and K-theory*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 019 [[hep-th/9810188](#)].
- [4] A. M. Uranga, *D-brane probes, RR tadpole cancellation and K-theory charge*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **598** (2001) 225 [[hep-th/0011048](#)].
- [5] A. Mansha, T. Li and M. Sabir, *Revisiting the supersymmetric trinification models from intersecting D6-branes*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **76** (2024) 095201 [[2406.07586](#)].
- [6] M. Cvetič, T. Li and T. Liu, *Supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes: A Road to the standard model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **698** (2004) 163 [[hep-th/0403061](#)].
- [7] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust and S. Stieberger, *Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes*, *Phys. Rept.* **445** (2007) 1 [[hep-th/0610327](#)].
- [8] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, P. Langacker and G. Shiu, *Toward realistic intersecting D-brane models*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55** (2005) 71 [[hep-th/0502005](#)].
- [9] T. Li, A. Mansha and R. Sun, *Revisiting the supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 82 [[1910.04530](#)].
- [10] T. Li, A. Mansha, R. Sun, L. Wu and W. He,  *$N=1$  supersymmetric  $SU(12)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  models,  $SU(4)_C \times SU(6)_L \times SU(2)_R$  models, and  $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(6)_R$  models from intersecting D6-branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 046018.
- [11] A. Mansha, T. Li, M. Sabir and L. Wu, *Three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam models with symplectic groups from intersecting D6-branes*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84** (2024) 151 [[2212.09644](#)].
- [12] M. Sabir, T. Li, A. Mansha and X.-C. Wang, *The supersymmetry breaking soft terms, and fermion masses and mixings in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam model from intersecting D6-branes*, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 089 [[2202.07048](#)].
- [13] A. Mansha, T. Li and L. Wu, *The hidden sector variations in the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric three-family Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 1067 [[2303.02864](#)].
- [14] W. He, T. Li and R. Sun, *The complete search for the supersymmetric Pati-Salam models from intersecting D6-branes*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 044 [[2112.09632](#)].
- [15] N. Chamoun, S. Khalil and E. Lashin, *Fermion masses and mixing in intersecting branes scenarios*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 095011 [[hep-ph/0309169](#)].
- [16] T. Higaki, N. Kitazawa, T. Kobayashi and K.-j. Takahashi, *Flavor structure and coupling selection rule from intersecting D-branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 086003 [[hep-th/0504019](#)].
- [17] V. E. Mayes, *All fermion masses and mixings in an intersecting D-brane world*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **950** (2020) 114848 [[1902.00983](#)].
- [18] M. Sabir, A. Mansha, T. Li and Z.-W. Wang, *Susy breaking soft terms in the supersymmetric Pati-Salam landscape from intersecting D6-branes*, *JHEP* **01** (2025) 201 [[2410.09093](#)].

- [19] G. F. Casas, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Marchesano, *Yukawa couplings at infinite distance and swampland towers in chiral theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2024) 170 [[2403.09775](#)].
- [20] G. F. Casas, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Marchesano, *On small Dirac neutrino masses in string theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2025) 083 [[2406.14609](#)].
- [21] S.-J. Lee, W. Lerche and T. Weigand, *Emergent strings from infinite distance limits*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 190 [[1910.01135](#)].
- [22] C. Vafa, *The String landscape and the swampland*, [hep-th/0509212](#).
- [23] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Non-supersymmetric AdS and the Swampland*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **21** (2017) 1787 [[1610.01533](#)].
- [24] E. Gonzalo, L. E. Ibáñez and I. Valenzuela, *AdS swampland conjectures and light fermions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **822** (2021) 136691 [[2104.06415](#)].
- [25] E. Gonzalo, L. E. Ibáñez and I. Valenzuela, *Swampland constraints on neutrino masses*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 088 [[2109.10961](#)].
- [26] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis and G. Villadoro, *Quantum Horizons of the Standard Model Landscape*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 078 [[hep-th/0703067](#)].
- [27] J. M. Arnold, B. Fornal and M. B. Wise, *Standard Model Vacua for Two-dimensional Compactifications*, *JHEP* **12** (2010) 083 [[1010.4302](#)].
- [28] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, S. Kachru and T. Weigand, *D-Brane Instantons in Type II Orientifolds*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59** (2009) 269 [[0902.3251](#)].
- [29] M. Cvetič and P. Langacker, *D-Instanton Generated Dirac Neutrino Masses*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 066012 [[0803.2876](#)].
- [30] L. E. Ibanez and R. Richter, *Stringy Instantons and Yukawa Couplings in MSSM-like Orientifold Models*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 090 [[0811.1583](#)].
- [31] M. Sabir, A. Mansha, T. Li and Z.-W. Wang, *Fermion Masses and Mixings in String Theory with Dirac Neutrinos*, [2407.19458](#).
- [32] E. G. Gimon and J. Polchinski, *Consistency conditions for orientifolds and D-manifolds*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 1667 [[hep-th/9601038](#)].
- [33] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, *Anomaly Cancellation in Supersymmetric D=10 Gauge Theory and Superstring Theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **149** (1984) 117.
- [34] J. F. G. Cascales and A. M. Uranga, *Chiral 4d string vacua with D branes and NSNS and RR fluxes*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 011 [[hep-th/0303024](#)].
- [35] F. Marchesano and G. Shiu, *MSSM vacua from flux compactifications*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 011701 [[hep-th/0408059](#)].
- [36] F. Marchesano and G. Shiu, *Building MSSM flux vacua*, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 041 [[hep-th/0409132](#)].
- [37] C.-M. Chen, T. Li and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Type IIA Pati-Salam flux vacua*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **740** (2006) 79 [[hep-th/0601064](#)].
- [38] M. Cvetič, P. Langacker, T.-j. Li and T. Liu, *D6-brane splitting on type IIA orientifolds*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **709** (2005) 241 [[hep-th/0407178](#)].
- [39] M. Cvetič, R. Richter and T. Weigand, *Computation of D-brane instanton induced superpotential couplings: Majorana masses from string theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 086002 [[hep-th/0703028](#)].

- [40] C.-M. Chen, T. Li, V. E. Mayes and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Towards realistic supersymmetric spectra and Yukawa textures from intersecting branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 125023 [[0711.0396](#)].
- [41] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič and T. Weigand, *Spacetime instanton corrections in 4D string vacua: The Seesaw mechanism for D-Brane models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **771** (2007) 113 [[hep-th/0609191](#)].
- [42] M. Haack, D. Krefl, D. Lust, A. Van Proeyen and M. Zagermann, *Gaugino Condensates and D-terms from D7-branes*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 078 [[hep-th/0609211](#)].
- [43] B. Florea, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy and N. Saulina, *Stringy Instantons and Quiver Gauge Theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2007) 024 [[hep-th/0610003](#)].
- [44] M. Cvetič and I. Papadimitriou, *Conformal field theory couplings for intersecting D-branes on orientifolds*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 046001 [[hep-th/0303083](#)].
- [45] H. Fusaoka and Y. Koide, *Updated estimate of running quark masses*, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 3986 [[hep-ph/9712201](#)].
- [46] G. Ross and M. Serna, *Unification and fermion mass structure*, *Phys. Lett. B* **664** (2008) 97 [[0704.1248](#)].
- [47] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz and A. Zhou, *The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 178 [[2007.14792](#)].
- [48] UTFIT collaboration, M. Bona et al., *New UTfit Analysis of the Unitarity Triangle in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa scheme*, *Rend. Lincei Sci. Fis. Nat.* **34** (2023) 37 [[2212.03894](#)].
- [49] S. A. Abel and A. W. Owen, *N point amplitudes in intersecting brane models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **682** (2004) 183 [[hep-th/0310257](#)].
- [50] S. A. Abel and A. W. Owen, *Interactions in intersecting brane models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **663** (2003) 197 [[hep-th/0303124](#)].
- [51] C.-M. Chen, T. Li, V. E. Mayes and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Yukawa Corrections from Four-Point Functions in Intersecting D6-Brane Models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 105015 [[0807.4216](#)].
- [52] L. E. Ibanez, V. Martin-Lozano and I. Valenzuela, *Constraining Neutrino Masses, the Cosmological Constant and BSM Physics from the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 066 [[1706.05392](#)].
- [53] Y. Hamada and G. Shiu, *Weak Gravity Conjecture, Multiple Point Principle and the Standard Model Landscape*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 043 [[1707.06326](#)].
- [54] E. Gonzalo, A. Herráez and L. E. Ibáñez, *AdS-phobia, the WGC, the Standard Model and Supersymmetry*, *JHEP* **06** (2018) 051 [[1803.08455](#)].
- [55] A. Castellano, A. Herráez and L. E. Ibáñez, *Towers and hierarchies in the Standard Model from Emergence in Quantum Gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 172 [[2302.00017](#)].
- [56] T. Harada and Y. Nakayama, *Further swampland constraint on Dirac neutrino*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **37** (2022) 2250077 [[2203.07587](#)].
- [57] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, *Standard model criticality prediction: Top mass 173 +- 5-GeV and Higgs mass 135 +- 9-GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **368** (1996) 96 [[hep-ph/9511371](#)].
- [58] D. Lüüst, E. Palti and C. Vafa, *AdS and the Swampland*, *Phys. Lett. B* **797** (2019) 134867 [[1906.05225](#)].

- [59] M. Montero, C. Vafa and I. Valenzuela, *The dark dimension and the Swampland*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 022 [[2205.12293](#)].
- [60] E. Gonzalo, M. Montero, G. Obied and C. Vafa, *Dark dimension gravitons as dark matter*, *JHEP* **11** (2023) 109 [[2209.09249](#)].
- [61] N. Gendler and C. Vafa, *Axions in the dark dimension*, *JHEP* **12** (2024) 127 [[2404.15414](#)].
- [62] J. G. Lee, E. G. Adelberger, T. S. Cook, S. M. Fleischer and B. R. Heckel, *New Test of the Gravitational  $1/r^2$  Law at Separations down to  $52 \mu\text{m}$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 101101 [[2002.11761](#)].
- [63] L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, N. Cribiori, D. Lust and M. Scalisi, *The Scale of Supersymmetry Breaking and the Dark Dimension*, *JHEP* **05** (2023) 060 [[2301.07719](#)].
- [64] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, F. Marchesano and G. Shiu, *Chiral D-brane models with frozen open string moduli*, *JHEP* **03** (2005) 050 [[hep-th/0502095](#)].
- [65] A. Mansha, T. Li, M. Sabir and L. Wang, *A three-family supersymmetric Pati-Salam model from rigid intersecting D6-branes*, [2501.08590](#).