RAM SHANKAR GUPTA AND ANDREAS ARVANITOYEORGOS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study biconservative hypersurfaces M in space forms $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with four distinct principal curvatures whose second fundamental form has constant norm. We prove that every such hypersurface has constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40; 53C42

Key Words: Space form, Mean curvature vector, Biconservative hypersurface, biharmonic submanifold.

1. Introduction

In the last three decades, one of the interesting research topics in Differential Geometry is the study of biharmonic maps, in particular, biharmonic immersions, between Riemannian manifolds. A generalization of harmonic maps, proposed in 1964 by Eells and Sampson [7], these maps are critical points of the bienergy functional, obtained by integrating the squared norm of the tension field, and are characterized by the vanishing of the bitension field. Another interesting research direction, derived from here, is the study of *biconservative* submanifolds, i.e., those submanifolds for which only the tangent part of the bitension field vanishes.

In 1924, Hilbert pointed that the stress-energy tensor associated to a functional E, is a conservative symmetric 2-covariant tensor S at the critical points of E, i.e. div S = 0([17]). For the bienergy functional E_2 , Jiang defined the stress-bienergy tensor S_2 and proved that it satisfies div $S_2 = -\langle \tau_2(\phi), d\phi \rangle$ ([18]). Thus, if ϕ is biharmonic, then div $S_2 = 0$. For biharmonic submanifolds, from the above relation, we see that div $S_2 = 0$ if and only if the tangent part of the bitension field vanishes. In particular, an isometric immersion $\phi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is called biconservative if div $S_2 = 0$.

In a different setting, B. Y. Chen defined *biharmonic* submanifolds M of the Euclidean space as those with harmonic mean curvature vector field, that is $\Delta \vec{H} = 0$, where Δ is the Laplacian operator. If we apply the definition of biharmonic maps to Riemannian immersions into the Euclidean space, we recover Chen's notion of biharmonic submanifolds. Thus biharmonic Riemannian immersions can also be thought of as a generalization of Chen's biharmonic submanifolds. The biharmonic submanifolds were studied in [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 23] and references therein.

The biconservative submanifolds were studied and classified in \mathbb{E}^3 and \mathbb{E}^4 by Hasanis and Vlachos ([16]), in which the biconservative hypersurfaces were called *H*-hypersurfaces. The terminology "biconservative" was first introduced in [2]. The classification of *H*hypersurfaces with three distinct curvatures in Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension were obtained by Turgay in [24]. The classification of biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_2^5 with diagonal shape operator having three distinct principal curvatures was obtained by Upadhyay and Turgay in [26]. Also, the first author and Sharfuddin proved that every

Acknowledgments: This work is supported by award of grant under FRGS for the year 2017-18, F.No. GGSIPU/DRC/Ph.D./Adm./2017/493. The authors would like to thank the referee for useful suggestions on the paper.

biconservative Lorentz hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} with complex eigenvalues has constant mean curvature ([15]). For more work on biconservative hypersurfaces in pseudo-Euclidean spaces, please see ([26, 15]) and references therein.

The constant mean curvature (CMC) biconservative surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ were studied in [9] by Fetcu et al. A complete classification of CMC biconservative surfaces in a four-dimensional space form was given in [21] by Montaldo et al. Further, the classification of biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 and \mathbb{H}^4 was obtained in [25] by Turgay and Upadhyay. A survey about biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms is provided in [10] by Fetcu et al. In [14] the first author studied the biconservative hypersurfaces in Euclidean 5-space with constant norm of second fundamental form and one of the results states that every such hypersurface has constant mean curvature. Finally, some recent results on complete biconservative surfaces and on closed biconservative surfaces in space forms was obtained by Nistor and Oniciuc ([20]) and Montaldo and by Pampano ([22]).

In view of the above developments, in the present paper we study biconservative hypersurfaces in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with at most four distinct principal curvatures, whose second fundamental form has constant norm. The main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Every biconservative hypersurface M in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with at most four distinct principal curvatures, whose second fundamental form has constant norm, is of constant mean curvature and of constant scalar curvature.

Also, the class of biconservative hypersurface in space forms contains some other class of hypersurfaces, that is, the equation (2.5) is fulfilled by a biharmonic submanifold $\Delta \vec{H} = 0$ and a hypersurface with $\Delta \vec{H} = \lambda \vec{H}$ [3].

Therefore, from Theorem 1.1, we have following:

Corollary 1.2. Every biharmonic hypersurface M in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with at most four distinct principal curvatures, whose second fundamental form has constant norm, is of constant mean curvature and of constant scalar curvature.

Corollary 1.3. Every hypersurface M in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ satisfying $\Delta \vec{H} = \lambda \vec{H}$ with at most four distinct principal curvatures, whose second fundamental form has constant norm, is of constant mean curvature and of constant scalar curvature.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give some preliminaries. In Section 3 we discuss biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms with four distinct principal curvatures. We obtain some condition for eigenvalues (Lemma 3.1) and expressions of covariant derivatives of an orthonormal frame in terms of connection forms (Lemma 3.2). In Section 4 we use the condition that the second fundamental form has constant norm, and obtain further simplifications of the connection forms (Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2). Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the process, a technical tool about resultant of polynomials is extensively used (Lemma 2.1).

Finally, we would like to note that Lemma 4.1 is crucial to obtain our results in the case of at most four distinct principal curvatures. In case of more than four distinct principal curvatures, it seems difficult to obtain an analogue of this lemma. We may discuss this in a separate paper.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a (n + 1)-dimensional space form $(\overline{M}^{n+1}(c), \overline{g})$ and $g = \overline{g}_{|M}$. We denote by ξ a unit normal vector to M where $\overline{g}(\xi,\xi) = 1$, and by h and \mathcal{A} the second fundamental form and the shape operator of M, where $h(X,Y) = g(\mathcal{A}(X),Y)$, for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

The mean curvature H of M is given by

(2.1)
$$H = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{trace} \mathcal{A}.$$

Let ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on M. Then, the Gauss and Codazzi equations are given by

(2.2)
$$R(X,Y)Z = c(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y) + g(\mathcal{A}Y,Z)\mathcal{A}X - g(\mathcal{A}X,Z)\mathcal{A}Y,$$

(2.3)
$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{A})Y = (\nabla_Y \mathcal{A})X,$$

respectively, where R is the curvature tensor and

(2.4)
$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{A})Y = \nabla_X \mathcal{A}Y - \mathcal{A}(\nabla_X Y)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

A submanifold satisfying $\Delta \vec{H} = 0$, is called *biharmonic submanifold* ([3]). The biharmonic equation for M^n in a (n + 1)-dimensional space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ can be decomposed into its normal and tangent part ([3], [8]), that is

(2.5)
$$2\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{grad} H) + nH \operatorname{grad} H = 0,$$

(2.6)
$$\Delta H + H(\operatorname{trace}(\mathcal{A}^2) - nc) = 0.$$

Definition 2.1. A submanifold satisfying equation (2.5) is called biconservative.

In the present work we are concerned with biconservative hypersurfaces M^n in a (n+1)dimensional space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

The following algebraic lemma will be useful to get our result:

Lemma 2.1. ([19, Theorem 4.4, pp. 58–59]) Let D be a unique factorization domain, and let $f(X) = a_0 X^m + a_1 X^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m, g(X) = b_0 X^n + b_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n$ be two polynomials in D[X]. Assume that the leading coefficients a_0 and b_0 of f(X) and g(X) are not both zero. Then f(X) and g(X) have a nonconstant common factor iff the resultant $\Re(f,g)$ of f and g is zero, where

$$\Re(f,g) = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & \cdots & a_m \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ & & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \\ & & & b_0 & b_1 & \cdots & \cdots & b_n \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \end{vmatrix}$$

and there are n rows of "a" entries and m rows of "b" entries.

3. Biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms with four distinct principal curvatures

In this section, we study biconservative hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures in space forms. In view of (2.5), it is easy to see that any CMC hypersurface is biconservative. Therefore, we are interested in the study of non CMC biconservative hypersurfaces in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

We assume that the mean curvature is not constant, and we will end up to a contradiction. This implies that grad $H \neq 0$, hence there exists an open connected subset Uof M^n with $\operatorname{grad}_x H \neq 0$, for all $x \in U$. From (2.5), it is easy to see that grad H is an eigenvector of the shape operator \mathcal{A} with the corresponding principal curvature $-\frac{nH}{2}$.

We denote by A, B, the following sets

$$A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad B = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}.$$

Without losing generality, we choose e_1 in the direction of grad H. Then, the shape operator \mathcal{A} of a hypersurface M^n in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ takes the following form with respect to a suitable orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$,

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{A}e_i = \lambda_i e_i, \quad i \in A,$$

where λ_i is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector e_i of the shape operator.

Then $\operatorname{grad} H$ can be expressed as

(3.2)
$$\operatorname{grad} H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(H)e_i.$$

As we have taken e_1 parallel to grad H, it follows that

(3.3)
$$e_1(H) \neq 0, \quad e_i(H) = 0, \quad i \in B.$$

We express

(3.4)
$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{m=1}^n \omega_{ij}^m e_m, \quad i, j \in A.$$

From (3.4) and using the compatibility conditions $(\nabla_{e_k}g)(e_i, e_i) = 0$ and $(\nabla_{e_k}g)(e_i, e_j) = 0$, we obtain

(3.5)
$$\omega_{ki}^i = 0, \qquad \omega_{ki}^j + \omega_{kj}^i = 0$$

for $i \neq j$, and $i, j, k \in A$.

Taking $X = e_i, Y = e_j$ in (2.4) and using (3.1), (3.4), we get

$$(\nabla_{e_i}\mathcal{A})e_j = e_i(\lambda_j)e_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ij}^k e_k(\lambda_j - \lambda_k).$$

Putting the value of $(\nabla_{e_i} \mathcal{A}) e_j$ in (2.3), we find

$$e_i(\lambda_j)e_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ij}^k e_k(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) = e_j(\lambda_i)e_i + \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ji}^k e_k(\lambda_i - \lambda_k).$$

Using $i \neq j = k$ and $i \neq j \neq k$ in the above equation, we obtain

(3.6)
$$e_i(\lambda_j) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\omega_{ji}^j = (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)\omega_{jj}^i,$$

and

(3.7)
$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\omega_{ki}^j = (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)\omega_{ik}^j,$$

respectively, for $i, j, k \in A$.

Now, we have

Lemma 3.1. Let M^n be a biconservative hypersurface in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ having the shape operator given by (3.1) with respect to a suitable orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. Then,

(3.8)
$$\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_j, \text{ for all } j \in B.$$

Proof. Assume that $\lambda_j = \lambda_1$ for some $j \neq 1$. Then, from (3.6) we get that

$$e_1(\lambda_j) = 0$$
, or $e_1(H) = 0$, since $\lambda_1 = -\frac{nH}{2}$,

which contradicts (3.3), and this completes the proof.

Therefore, in view of the Lemma 3.1, $\lambda_1 = -\frac{n}{2}H$ has multiplicity one. Since M has four distinct principal curvatures, we can assume that λ_1 , λ_u , λ_v and λ_w are the four distinct principal curvatures of the hypersurface M with multiplicities 1, p, q and r respectively, such that ` ``

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{p+1} = \lambda_u, \ u \in C_1,$$
$$\lambda_{p+2} = \lambda_{p+3} = \dots = \lambda_{p+q+1} = \lambda_v, \ v \in C_2,$$
$$\lambda_{p+q+2} = \lambda_{p+q+3} = \dots = \lambda_{p+q+r+1} = \lambda_w, \ w \in C_3.$$

Here p + q + r + 1 = n and C_1, C_2 and C_3 denote the sets

 $C_1 = \{2, 3, \dots, p+1\}, \quad C_2 = \{p+2, p+3, \dots, p+q+1\}, \quad C_3 = \{p+q+2, p+q+3, \dots, n\}.$ Using (2.1) and (3.1), we obtain that

n

(3.9)
$$\sum_{j=2} \lambda_j = p\lambda_u + q\lambda_v + r\lambda_w = \frac{3n}{2}H = -3\lambda_1, \ u \in C_1, \ v \in C_2, \ w \in C_3.$$

Next, we have

Lemma 3.2. Let M^n be a biconservative hypersurface with four distinct principal curvatures in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ having the shape operator given by (3.1) with respect to a suitable orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. Then the following relations are satisfied:

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_i = \sum_{C_s} \omega_{1i}^m e_m \text{ for all } i \in C_s, \ s \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad m \neq i,$$

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_1 = -\omega_{ii}^1 e_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in B, \quad \nabla_{e_i} e_i = \sum_{m=1}^n \omega_{ii}^m e_m \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in B, \quad m \neq i,$$
$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{C_s} \omega_{ij}^m e_m \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j \in C_s, \ s \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad i \neq j, \quad j \neq m,$$

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \omega_{ij}^i e_i + \sum_{B \setminus C} \omega_{ij}^m e_m \quad for \ all \quad i \in C_s, \quad j \in B \setminus C_s, \quad s \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad m \neq j,$$

where \sum_{C_s} and $\sum_{B \setminus C_s}$ denote the summation taken over the corresponding C_s and $B \setminus C_s$, respectively for $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and ω_{ij}^i satisfy (3.5) and (3.6).

Proof. Using (3.3), (3.4) and the fact that $[e_i e_j](H) = 0 = \nabla_{e_i} e_j(H) - \nabla_{e_j} e_i(H) =$ $\omega_{ij}^1 e_1(H) - \omega_{ji}^1 e_1(H)$, for $i \neq j$, we find that

(3.10)
$$\omega_{ij}^1 = \omega_{ji}^1, \quad i, j \in B$$

Putting $i \neq 1, j = 1$ in (3.6) and using (3.5) and (3.3), we find

$$(3.11) \qquad \qquad \omega_{1i}^1 = 0, \quad i \in A$$

Putting i = 1 in (3.7), we obtain

(3.12)
$$\omega_{k1}^{j} = 0, \quad j \neq k \text{ and } j, k \in C_{s}, s \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Taking $i \in C_s$, $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ in (3.7), we have

(3.13)
$$\omega_{ki}^j = 0, \quad j \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad j, k \in B \setminus C_s.$$

Putting j = 1 in (3.7) and using (3.10), we get

(3.14)
$$\omega_{ki}^{1} = \omega_{ik}^{1} = 0, \quad i \in C_{s}, \quad k \in B \setminus C_{s}, \quad s \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Putting i = 1 in (3.7) and using (3.14) and (3.5), we find

(3.15)
$$\omega_{1k}^{j} = \omega_{k1}^{j} = 0, \quad j \in C_{s}, \quad k \in B \setminus C_{s}, \quad s \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.12), we obtain

(3.16)
$$\omega_{ji}^1 = \omega_{ij}^1 = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in B.$$

Now, using $(3.11)\sim(3.16)$ in (3.4), we complete the proof of the lemma.

We now evaluate $g(R(e_1, e_i)e_1, e_i)$, $g(R(e_1, e_i)e_i, e_j)$ and $g(R(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_1)$ using Lemma 3.2, (2.2) and (3.1), and find the following relations:

(3.17)
$$e_1(\omega_{ii}^1) - (\omega_{ii}^1)^2 = c + \lambda_1 \lambda_i, \quad i \in B,$$

(3.18)
$$e_1(\omega_{ii}^j) - \omega_{ii}^j \omega_{ii}^1 = 0, \quad i \in C_s, \quad j \in B \setminus C_s, \quad s \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

and

(3.19)
$$e_j(\omega_{ii}^1) + \omega_{ii}^j \omega_{jj}^1 - \omega_{ii}^j \omega_{ii}^1 = 0, \quad i \in C_s, \quad j \in B \setminus C_s, \quad s \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

respectively. Also, using (3.3), Lemma 3.2, and the fact that $[e_i e_1](H) = 0 = \nabla_{e_i} e_1(H) - \nabla_{e_1} e_i(H)$, we find that

$$(3.20) e_i e_1(H) = 0, ext{ for all } i \in B.$$

4. Biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms with constant norm of second fundamental form

In this section we study biconservative hypersurfaces M^n in space forms $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with constant norm of second fundamental form. We denote by β the squared norm of the second fundamental form h. Then, using (3.1) we find that

(4.1)
$$\beta = \lambda_1^2 + p\lambda_u^2 + q\lambda_v^2 + r\lambda_w^2, \ u \in C_1, \ v \in C_2, \ w \in C_3.$$

We recall that $B = \{2, 3, ..., n\}$. Then we have the following:

Lemma 4.1. Let M^n be a biconservative hypersurface with four distinct principal curvatures in a space form $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$, having the shape operator given by (3.1) with respect to a suitable orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. If the second fundamental form is of constant norm, then

$$\omega_{vv}^{u} = 0, \text{ for } u \in C_{s}, \text{ and } v \in B \setminus C_{s}, s \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Proof. We will prove the case $u \in C_1$ and $v \in C_2$ and by similar arguments one can prove all other cases. Let $w \in C_3$. Differentiating (3.9) and (4.1) with respect to e_u and using (3.3), we get

(4.2)
$$pe_u(\lambda_u) + qe_u(\lambda_v) + re_u(\lambda_w) = 0,$$

and

(4.3)
$$p\lambda_u e_u(\lambda_u) + q\lambda_v e_u(\lambda_v) + r\lambda_w e_u(\lambda_w) = 0,$$

respectively.

Eliminating $e_u(\lambda_u)$ from (4.2) and (4.3), we find

(4.4)
$$q(\lambda_v - \lambda_u)e_u(\lambda_v) + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)e_u(\lambda_w) = 0.$$

Putting the value of $e_u(\lambda_v)$ and $e_u(\lambda_w)$ from (3.6) in (4.4), we obtain

(4.5)
$$r(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)^2 \omega_{ww}^u + q(\lambda_v - \lambda_u)^2 \omega_{vv}^u = 0.$$

Differentiating (4.5) with respect to e_1 and using (3.6) and (3.18), we have

(4.6)
$$r[2(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 + (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 3\lambda_w)\omega_{ww}^1](\lambda_w - \lambda_u)\omega_{ww}^u + q[2(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 + (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 3\lambda_v)\omega_{vv}^1](\lambda_v - \lambda_u)\omega_{vv}^u = 0.$$

We assume that $\omega_{vv}^u \neq 0$ and we will end up to contradiction. Then the value of the determinant formed by the coefficients of ω_{vv}^u and ω_{ww}^u of the system (4.5) and (4.6) will be zero. Therefore, we find

(4.7)
$$2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)(\lambda_v - \lambda_w)\omega_{uu}^1 + (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 3\lambda_w)(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)\omega_{wu}^1 - (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 3\lambda_v)(\lambda_u - \lambda_w)\omega_{vv}^1 = 0$$

We set $a_1 = (\lambda_w - \lambda_u)\omega_{vv}^1 + (\lambda_u - \lambda_v)\omega_{ww}^1 + (\lambda_v - \lambda_w)\omega_{uu}^1$. Eliminating ω_{uu}^1 from (4.7) and a_1 , we get

(4.8)
$$2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)a_1 = 3(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_w).$$

Now, we consider two cases.

(i) $a_1 = 0$. Then, from (4.8), we obtain

(4.9)
$$\omega_{ww}^1 = \omega_{vv}^1.$$

Differentiating (4.9) with respect to e_1 and using (3.17) and (4.9), we find $\lambda_w = \lambda_v$, a contradiction to four distinct principal curvatures.

(ii) $a_1 \neq 0$. Then, differentiating (4.8) with respect to e_u and using (4.2) and (3.3), we get

$$(4.10) \qquad 2p(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)e_u(a_1) + 2a_1(qe_u(\lambda_v) + re_u(\lambda_w)) = 3\Big((-(q+p)e_u(\lambda_v) - re_u(\lambda_w)) \\ (\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_w) + p(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)(e_u(\omega_{vv}^1) - e_u(\omega_{ww}^1))(\lambda_u - \lambda_w) \\ + (\lambda_u - \lambda_v)(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1)(-qe_u(\lambda_v) - (p+r)e_u(\lambda_w))\Big).$$

Now, using (3.6) and (3.19) in (4.10), we obtain

(4.11)
$$f_1 \omega_{vv}^u + f_2 \omega_{ww}^u = 2p(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)e_u(a_1),$$

where

$$f_{1} = (\lambda_{u} - \lambda_{v}) \Big(3\omega_{ww}^{1}(q\lambda_{v} - (p+2q)\lambda_{u} + (p+q)\lambda_{w}) + 3\omega_{vv}^{1} \Big(2(p+q)\lambda_{u} - q\lambda_{v} \\ - (2p+q)\lambda_{w} \Big) + p(\lambda_{u} - \lambda_{w}) \Big) + 3p\omega_{uu}^{1}(\lambda_{w} - \lambda_{u}) + 2a_{1}q \Big),$$

$$f_{2} = (\lambda_{u} - \lambda_{w}) \Big(3\omega_{ww}^{1} \Big((2p+r)\lambda_{v} + r\lambda_{w} - 2(p+r)\lambda_{u} \Big) + 3\omega_{vv}^{1} ((p+2r)\lambda_{u} - (p+r)\lambda_{v} \\ - r\lambda_{w}) \Big) + 3p\omega_{uu}^{1}(\lambda_{u} - \lambda_{v}) + 2a_{1}r \Big).$$

Differentiating a_1 with respect to e_u and using (3.6), (3.19) and (4.2), we find (4.12) $f_3\omega_{vv}^u + f_4\omega_{ww}^u + p(\lambda_v - \lambda_w)e_u(\omega_{uu}^1) = pe_u(a_1),$ where

$$f_3 = p\omega_{uu}^1(\lambda_v - \lambda_w) + \omega_{vv}^1(q(\lambda_v - \lambda_u) + p(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)) + (p+q)(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)\omega_{ww}^1,$$

$$f_4 = p\omega_{uu}^1(\lambda_v - \lambda_w) + (p+r)\omega_{vv}^1(\lambda_w - \lambda_u) + \omega_{ww}^1(p(\lambda_u - \lambda_v) - r(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)).$$

Differentiating (3.9) with respect to e_1 and using (3.6), we find

(4.13)
$$p\omega_{uu}^1(\lambda_u - \lambda_1) + q\omega_{vv}^1(\lambda_v - \lambda_1) + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)\omega_{ww}^1 = \frac{3ne_1(H)}{2}.$$

Differentiating (4.13) with respect to e_u and using (3.3), (3.6), (3.19), (3.20) and (4.2), we find

(4.14)
$$p(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)e_u(\omega_{uu}^1) = qf_5\omega_{vv}^u + rf_6\omega_{ww}^u,$$

where

$$f_5 = (\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{uu}^1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 2\lambda_v), \quad f_6 = (\omega_{ww}^1 - \omega_{uu}^1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_u - 2\lambda_w).$$

Eliminating
$$e_u(\omega_{uu}^1)$$
 from (4.12) and (4.14), we get

(4.15)
$$((\lambda_u - \lambda_1)f_3 + (\lambda_v - \lambda_w)qf_5)\omega_{vv}^u + ((\lambda_u - \lambda_1)f_4 + (\lambda_v - \lambda_w)rf_6)\omega_{ww}^u = (\lambda_u - \lambda_1)pe_u(a_1).$$

Eliminating $e_u(a_1)$ from (4.11) and (4.15), we find

(4.16)
$$f_7 \omega_{vv}^u + f_8 \omega_{ww}^u = 0,$$

where

$$f_7 = f_1 + 2(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)f_3 + 2(\lambda_v - \lambda_w)qf_5, \quad f_8 = f_2 + 2(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)f_4 + 2(\lambda_v - \lambda_w)rf_6.$$

Now, we simplify f_7 and f_8 . Eliminating ω_{uu}^1 from f_7 using a_1 , we get

$$(\lambda_w - \lambda_v)f_7 = \left(3p\lambda_u^2 - q\lambda_v^2 + 2(3p + 2q)\lambda_v\lambda_w - 3(p+q)\lambda_w^2 - 2\lambda_u((3p+q)\lambda_v)\right)$$

(4.17)
$$- q\lambda_w\right)\left(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1\right)(\lambda_u - \lambda_v) + a_1\left(3p\lambda_u^2 + 2(p+q)\lambda_1(\lambda_v - \lambda_w)\right)$$

$$- p\lambda_u(5\lambda_v + \lambda_w) + \lambda_v(-2q\lambda_v + (3p+2q)\lambda_w)\right).$$

Eliminating a_1 from (4.17) using (4.8), we obtain

(4.18)
$$(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)^2 (\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1) g_1 = -2f_7 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_u) (\lambda_v - \lambda_w),$$

where

$$g_1 = 3p\lambda_u^2 + 4\lambda_u(q\lambda_v - (3p+q)\lambda_w) + 2\lambda_1(3p\lambda_u + q\lambda_v - (3p+q)\lambda_w) + 3\lambda_w((3p+2q)\lambda_w - 2q\lambda_v)$$

Similarly, eliminating ω_{uu}^1 from f_8 using a_1 , we get

$$a_1 \Big(2\lambda_1(p+r) \left(\lambda_v - \lambda_w \right) + \lambda_w \left(2r\lambda_w - (3p+2r)\lambda_v \right) - 3p\lambda_u^2 + p\lambda_u \left(\lambda_v + 5\lambda_w \right) \Big)$$

$$(4.19) \qquad \qquad + f_8(\lambda_v - \lambda_w) = (\lambda_u - \lambda_w)(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1) \Big(2\lambda_u(r\lambda_v - (3p+r)\lambda_w) \\ - 3(p+r)\lambda_v^2 + 2(3p+2r)\lambda_v\lambda_w + 3p\lambda_u^2 - r\lambda_w^2 \Big).$$

Eliminating a_1 from (4.19) using (4.8), we obtain

(4.20)
$$2f_8(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)(\lambda_v - \lambda_w) = (\lambda_u - \lambda_w)^2(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1)g_2,$$

where

$$g_2 = -4\lambda_u((3p+r)\lambda_v - r\lambda_w) + 2\lambda_1(-(3p+r)\lambda_v + 3p\lambda_u + r\lambda_w) + 3\lambda_v((3p+2r)\lambda_v - 2r\lambda_w) + 3p\lambda_u^2.$$

Eliminating f_7 and f_8 from (4.16) using (4.18) and (4.20), we obtain

(4.21)
$$g_1 \left(\lambda_u - \lambda_v\right)^2 \omega_{vv}^u - g_2 \left(\lambda_u - \lambda_w\right)^2 \omega_{ww}^u = 0.$$

The value of the determinant formed by the coefficients of ω_{vv}^u and ω_{ww}^u of the system (4.5) and (4.21) will be zero. Therefore, we have

$$(4.22) qg_2 + rg_1 = 0,$$

which on substitution for g_1, g_2 , gives

(4.23)
$$p(q+r)\lambda_u^2 + 2\lambda_1 p(q+r)\lambda_u + \lambda_w \left(-2\lambda_1 pr - 4pr\lambda_u - 4qr\lambda_v\right) \\ + \lambda_w^2 (3pr + 2qr) - 4pq\lambda_u\lambda_v + 3pq\lambda_v^2 - 2\lambda_1 pq\lambda_v + 2qr\lambda_v^2 = 0.$$

Now, eliminating λ_w from (4.1) and (4.23) using (3.9), we find

$$(4.24) \quad -r\beta + (9+r)\lambda_1^2 + 6p\lambda_1\lambda_u + (p^2 + pr)\lambda_u^2 + 2q(3\lambda_1 + p\lambda_u)\lambda_v + (q^2 + qr)\lambda_v^2 = 0,$$

and

(4.25)
$$b_0 + b_1 \lambda_v + b_2 \lambda_v^2 = 0,$$

where

$$b_{0} = p\lambda_{u}^{2} (3p^{2} + 2p(q + 2r) + r(q + r)) + 3\lambda_{1}^{2}(p(2r + 9) + 6q) + 2\lambda_{1}p\lambda_{u}(p(r + 9) + (r + 6)(q + r)), b_{1} = 6\lambda_{1}q(3p + 2(q + r)) + 2pq\lambda_{u}(3p + 2(q + r)), b_{2} = q(q + r)(3p + 2(q + r)).$$

Eliminating λ_v from (4.25) using (4.24), we obtain

(4.26)
$$3\beta p + \lambda_1^2 (3p - 2(q + r + 9)) + 2\lambda_1 p \lambda_u (p + q + r) - p \lambda_u^2 (p + q + r) + 2\beta (q + r) = 0.$$

Differentiating (4.26) with respect to e_u and using (3.3), we get

(4.27)
$$2p(p+q+r)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u)e_u(\lambda_u) = 0,$$

whereby, we get $e_u(\lambda_u) = 0$. Therefore, from (4.2) and (3.6), we obtain

(4.28)
$$q(\lambda_v - \lambda_u)\omega_{vv}^u + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)\omega_{ww}^u = 0.$$

The value of the determinant formed by the coefficients of ω_{vv}^u and ω_{ww}^u of the system (4.5) and (4.28) will be zero. Therefore, we get

(4.29)
$$(\lambda_v - \lambda_u)(\lambda_w - \lambda_u)(\lambda_v - \lambda_w) = 0,$$

which gives a contradiction to four distinct principal curvatures. Hence, we obtain that $\omega_{vv}^{u} = 0$ and the proof of the lemma is completed.

Next, we have:

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, let $a_1 = (\lambda_w - \lambda_u)\omega_{vv}^1 + (\lambda_u - \lambda_v)\omega_{ww}^1 + (\lambda_v - \lambda_w)\omega_{uu}^1$. Then,

(a) If $a_1 \neq 0$, it is

 $(4.30) \qquad \omega_{wv}^u = \omega_{vw}^u = \omega_{uw}^v = \omega_{vu}^w = \omega_{uv}^w = 0, \quad where \ u \in C_1, v \in C_2, w \in C_3.$

(b) If
$$a_1 = 0$$
, we have that

(4.31)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 = \alpha \lambda_i + \phi, \quad e_1(\alpha) = \alpha \phi + \lambda_1(1 + \alpha^2), \quad e_1(\phi) = \phi^2 + \alpha \lambda_1 \phi + c,$$

for some smooth functions α and ϕ , and for $i \in B$.

Proof. (a) Let $a_1 \neq 0$. Evaluating $g(R(e_v, e_u)e_w, e_1)$ using (2.2), (3.1) and Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.1, we find

(4.32)
$$\omega_{vu}^w(\omega_{uu}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1) = \omega_{uv}^w(\omega_{vv}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1)$$

Putting j = w, k = u, i = v in (3.7), we get

(4.33)
$$(\lambda_u - \lambda_w)\omega_{vu}^w = (\lambda_v - \lambda_w)\omega_{uv}^w.$$

The value of the determinant formed by the coefficients of ω_{vu}^w and ω_{uv}^w in (4.32) and (4.33) is $a_1 \neq 0$, hence $\omega_{vu}^w = 0 = \omega_{uv}^w$. Also, from (3.5), we get $\omega_{vw}^u = -\omega_{vu}^w$ and

 $\omega_{uv}^w = -\omega_{uw}^v$. Consequently, we obtain $\omega_{vw}^u = 0$, and $\omega_{uw}^v = 0$, which together with (3.7) gives $\omega_{wv}^u = 0$, and $\omega_{wu}^v = 0$.

(b) Let $a_1 = 0$. Then, we have

(4.34)
$$\frac{\omega_{uu}^1 - \omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_u - \lambda_v} = \frac{\omega_{ww}^1 - \omega_{vv}^1}{\lambda_w - \lambda_v} = \frac{\omega_{uu}^1 - \omega_{ww}^1}{\lambda_u - \lambda_w} = \alpha,$$

for some smooth function α .

From
$$(4.34)$$
, we get

(4.35)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 = \alpha \lambda_i + \phi,$$

for some smooth function ϕ .

Differentiating (4.35) with respect to e_1 and using (3.6), (3.17) and (4.35), we find

(4.36)
$$e_1(\alpha) = \alpha \phi + \lambda_1(1 + \alpha^2), \quad e_1(\phi) = \phi^2 + \alpha \lambda_1 \phi + c,$$

whereby completing the proof of the lemma.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Depending upon principal curvatures, we consider the following cases.

Case 1. The case of four distinct principal curvatures

From (3.7) and (3.5), we obtain

(5.1)
$$(\lambda_u - \lambda_v)\omega_{wu}^v = (\lambda_w - \lambda_v)\omega_{uw}^v = (\lambda_u - \lambda_w)\omega_{vu}^w$$

From (5.1) and (3.5), we find

(5.2)
$$\omega_{vw}^{u}\omega_{wv}^{u} + \omega_{wu}^{v}\omega_{uw}^{v} + \omega_{vu}^{w}\omega_{uv}^{w} = 0$$

Evaluating $g(R(e_u, e_v)e_u, e_v)$, $g(R(e_u, e_w)e_u, e_w)$ and $g(R(e_v, e_w)e_v, e_w)$, using (2.2), (3.1), (5.2) and Lemmas 3.2 and 4.1, we find that

(5.3)
$$-\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{vv}^1 + \sum_{k\in B\setminus\{C_1,C_2\}} 2\omega_{uv}^k\omega_{vu}^k = c + \lambda_u\lambda_v,$$

(5.4)
$$-\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{ww}^1 + \sum_{k\in B\setminus\{C_1,C_3\}} 2\omega_{uw}^k\omega_{wu}^k = c + \lambda_u\lambda_w,$$

(5.5)
$$-\omega_{vv}^1 \omega_{ww}^1 + \sum_{k \in B \setminus \{C_2, C_3\}} 2\omega_{vw}^k \omega_{wv}^k = c + \lambda_v \lambda_w,$$

respectively.

Simplifying (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain

(5.6)
$$-\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{vv}^1 + 2r\omega_{uv}^w\omega_{vu}^w = c + \lambda_u\lambda_v$$

(5.7)
$$-\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{ww}^1 + 2q\omega_{uw}^v\omega_{wu}^v = c + \lambda_u\lambda_w$$

(5.8)
$$-\omega_{vv}^{1}\omega_{ww}^{1} + 2p\omega_{vw}^{u}\omega_{wv}^{u} = c + \lambda_{v}\lambda_{w},$$

respectively.

Depending upon a_1 , we consider the following cases.

Subcase A. Assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. Using (4.30) in (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain (5.9) $-\omega_{uu}^1 \omega_{vv}^1 = c + \lambda_u \lambda_v, \quad -\omega_{uu}^1 \omega_{ww}^1 = c + \lambda_u \lambda_w, \quad -\omega_{vv}^1 \omega_{ww}^1 = c + \lambda_v \lambda_w.$

From (5.9), we get

(5.10)
$$-(c+\lambda_w\lambda_v)(\omega_{uu}^1)^2 = (c+\lambda_u\lambda_v)(c+\lambda_u\lambda_w).$$

Differentiating (3.9) and (4.1) with respect to e_1 and using (3.6), we find

(5.11)
$$p(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 + q(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)\omega_{vv}^1 + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)\omega_{ww}^1 = -3e_1(\lambda_1),$$

and

(5.12)
$$p\lambda_u(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 + q\lambda_v(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)\omega_{vv}^1 + r\lambda_w(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)\omega_{ww}^1 = -\lambda_1 e_1(\lambda_1),$$

respectively.

Eliminating $e_1(\lambda_1)$ from (5.12) using (5.11), we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad p(3\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 + q(3\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)\omega_{vv}^1 + r(3\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)\omega_{ww}^1 = 0.$$

Multiplying (5.13) with ω_{uu}^1 and using (5.9), we find

(5.14)
$$p(3\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\omega_{uu}^1)^2 = q(3\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(c + \lambda_u\lambda_v) + r(3\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(c + \lambda_u\lambda_w).$$

Eliminating $(\omega_{uu}^1)^2$ from (5.14) using (5.10), we obtain

(5.15)
$$-p(3\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(c + \lambda_u\lambda_w)(c + \lambda_u\lambda_v) = (q(3\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(\lambda_v - \lambda_1))(c + \lambda_u\lambda_v) + r(3\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(c + \lambda_u\lambda_w))(c + \lambda_v\lambda_w).$$

Eliminating λ_w from (5.15) using (3.9), we obtain

(5.16)
$$v_0 + v_1 \lambda_v + v_2 \lambda_v^2 + v_3 \lambda_v^3 + v_4 \lambda_v^4 + v_5 \lambda_v^5 = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} v_{0} &=& cr(\lambda_{1}^{2}(cr(r(p+q+12)+r^{2}+27)-p((p+12)r+r^{2}+81)\lambda_{u}^{2})+9\lambda_{1}(2cpr\lambda_{u}\\ &-& p(3p+r)\lambda_{u}^{3})+3p(p+r)\lambda_{u}^{2}(cr-p\lambda_{u}^{2})-3\lambda_{1}^{3}((p+12)r+r^{2}+27)\lambda_{u}),\\ v_{1} &=& \lambda_{1}(18c^{2}qr^{2}-cpr\lambda_{u}^{2}(p(4r+27)+4qr+54q+4r^{2})+p(4p^{2}(r+9)+4pr^{2}-9r^{2})\lambda_{u}^{4})\\ &+& 3p\lambda_{u}(2c^{2}qr^{2}-cr\lambda_{u}^{2}(p^{2}+3pq+r(q-r)))+(p^{3}-pr^{2})\lambda_{u}^{4}-3\lambda_{1}^{3}(cr((q+12)r)\\ &+& r^{2}+27)-p(r^{2}+36r+108)\lambda_{u}^{2})-\lambda_{1}^{2}(cr\lambda_{u}(p(2(q+12)r+81)+3q(8r+27)))\\ &-& 6p(3p(2r+9)+2r^{2})\lambda_{u}^{3})+9\lambda_{1}^{4}(r^{2}+12r+27)\lambda_{u},\\ v_{2} &=& q(3(c^{2}r^{2}(q+r)-3cpr(p+q)\lambda_{u}^{2}+(4p^{3}-pr^{2})\lambda_{u}^{4})-\lambda_{1}^{2}(cr((q+12)r+r^{2}+81))\\ &-& 36p(2r+9)\lambda_{u}^{2})-\lambda_{1}(cr\lambda_{u}(p(4r+54)+4qr+27q+4r^{2})-4p(3p(r+9)+r^{2})\lambda_{u}^{3})\\ &+& 3\lambda_{1}^{3}(r^{2}+36r+108)\lambda_{u}),\\ v_{3} &=& q(-3cr\lambda_{u}(3pq+pr+q^{2}-r^{2})+\lambda_{1}(4p(3q(r+9)+r^{2})\lambda_{u}^{2}-9cr(3q+r))+18p^{2}q\lambda_{u}^{3}\\ &+& 6\lambda_{1}^{2}(3q(2r+9)+2r^{2})\lambda_{u}),\\ v_{4} &=& q(\lambda_{1}(4q^{2}(r+9)+4qr^{2}-9r^{2})\lambda_{u}-3(cqr(q+r)+p(r^{2}-4q^{2})\lambda_{u}^{2})),\\ v_{5} &=& 3q^{2}(q^{2}-r^{2})\lambda_{u}. \end{array}$$

Eliminating λ_w from (4.1) using (3.9), we obtain

(5.17)
$$v_6 + v_7 \lambda_v + v_8 \lambda_v^2 = 0,$$

where

$$v_6 = -r\beta + 9\lambda_1^2 + r\lambda_1^2 + 6p\lambda_1\lambda_u + p^2\lambda_u^2 + pr\lambda_u^2, \quad v_7 = 6q\lambda_1 + 2pq\lambda_u, \quad v_8 = q^2 + qr.$$

Equations (5.16) and (5.17) have a common root λ_v , so their resultant with respect λ_v vanish. Hence,

$$v_{5}^{2}v_{6}^{5} - v_{4}v_{5}v_{6}^{4}v_{7} + v_{3}v_{5}v_{6}^{3}v_{7}^{2} - v_{2}v_{5}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}^{3} + v_{1}v_{5}v_{6}v_{7}^{4} - v_{0}v_{5}v_{7}^{5} + v_{4}^{2}v_{6}^{4}v_{8} \\ -2v_{3}v_{5}v_{6}^{4}v_{8} - v_{3}v_{4}v_{6}^{3}v_{7}v_{8} + 3v_{2}v_{5}v_{6}^{3}v_{7}v_{8} + v_{2}v_{4}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}^{2}v_{8} - 4v_{1}v_{5}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}^{2}v_{8} \\ -v_{1}v_{4}v_{6}v_{7}^{3}v_{8} + 5v_{0}v_{5}v_{6}v_{7}^{3}v_{8} + v_{0}v_{4}v_{7}^{4}v_{8} + v_{3}^{2}v_{6}^{3}v_{8}^{2} - 2v_{2}v_{4}v_{6}^{3}v_{8}^{2} + 2v_{1}v_{5}v_{6}^{3}v_{8}^{2} \\ (5.18) \quad -v_{2}v_{3}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}v_{8}^{2} + 3v_{1}v_{4}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}v_{8}^{2} - 5v_{0}v_{5}v_{6}^{2}v_{7}v_{8}^{2} + v_{1}v_{3}v_{6}v_{7}^{2}v_{8}^{2} - 4v_{0}v_{4}v_{6}v_{7}v_{8}^{2} \\ -v_{0}v_{3}v_{7}^{3}v_{8}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}v_{6}^{2}v_{8}^{3} - 2v_{1}v_{3}v_{6}^{2}v_{8}^{3} + 2v_{0}v_{4}v_{6}^{2}v_{8}^{3} - v_{1}v_{2}v_{6}v_{7}v_{8}^{3} + 3v_{0}v_{3}v_{6}v_{7}v_{8}^{3} \\ +v_{0}v_{2}v_{7}^{2}v_{8}^{3} + v_{1}^{2}v_{6}v_{8}^{4} - 2v_{0}v_{2}v_{6}v_{8}^{4} - v_{0}v_{1}v_{7}v_{8}^{4} + v_{0}^{2}v_{8}^{5} = 0, \\ \end{array}$$

which is a polynomial equation

(5.19)
$$G(\lambda_1, \lambda_u) = 0$$

for λ_1, λ_u .

Differentiating (5.19) with respect to e_1 , we get

(5.20)
$$G_1 e_1(\lambda_1) + G_u e_1(\lambda_u) = 0,$$

where $G_1 = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_1}, G_u = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_u}$. Eliminating $e_1(\lambda_1)$ from (5.20) using (5.11) and using (3.6), we find

(5.21)
$$(3G_u - pG_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)\omega_{uu}^1 = \left(q(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)\omega_{vv}^1 + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)\omega_{ww}^1\right)G_1.$$

Multiplying (5.21) with ω_{uu}^1 and using (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain

(5.22)
$$L(c + \lambda_u \lambda_v)(c + \lambda_u \lambda_w) = \left(q(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(c + \lambda_u \lambda_v) + r(\lambda_w - \lambda_1) (c + \lambda_u \lambda_w)\right)(c + \lambda_w \lambda_v),$$

where $L = \frac{(3G_u - pG_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)}{G_1}$. Eliminating λ_w from (5.22) using (3.9), we get

(5.23)
$$v_9 + v_{10}\lambda_v + v_{11}\lambda_v^2 + v_{12}\lambda_v^3 + v_{13}\lambda_v^4 = 0$$

where

$$v_{9} = c^{2}Lr^{2} + (3c^{2}r^{2} + c^{2}qr^{2} + c^{2}r^{3})\lambda_{1} + c^{2}pr^{2}\lambda_{u} - 3cLr\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u} + (-9cr - 3cr^{2})\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{u} - cLpr\lambda_{u}^{2} + (-6cpr - cpr^{2})\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u}^{2} - cp^{2}r\lambda_{u}^{3},$$

$$v_{10} = (-3 - q - r)3cr\lambda_{1}^{2} + (r - q)cLr\lambda_{u} - (6p + 6q + pq + pr)cr\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u} + (27 + 9r)\lambda_{1}^{3}\lambda_{u} - (p + 2q)cpr\lambda_{u}^{2} - 3Lr\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u}^{2} + (27p + 6pr)\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{u}^{2} - Lpr\lambda_{u}^{3} + (9 + r)p^{2}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u}^{3} + p^{3}\lambda_{u}^{4},$$

$$v_{11} = [(-3 - cq - r)\lambda_1 - (p + q + r)\lambda_u]cqr + [(9 + r)3\lambda_1 + (18 + r)p\lambda_u]q\lambda_1\lambda_u + (3p^2\lambda_u - Lr)q\lambda_u^2,$$

 $v_{12} = 9q^2\lambda_1\lambda_u + 3qr\lambda_1\lambda_u + 3pq^2\lambda_u^2 + pqr\lambda_u^2, \qquad v_{13} = q^3\lambda_u + q^2r\lambda_u.$

Equations (5.23) and (5.17) have a common root λ_v , so their resultant with respect λ_v vanish. Therefore, we find

(5.24)
$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda_1, \lambda_u) = 0,$$

which is a polynomial equation in terms of λ_1, λ_u .

Rewrite (5.19) and (5.24) as polynomials $G_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u), \mathcal{G}_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u)$ of λ_u with coefficients in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\lambda_1]$ over real field. According to Lemma 2.1, equations $G_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u) = 0$ have a common root if and only if

(5.25)
$$\Re(G_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u), \mathcal{G}_{\lambda_1}(\lambda_u)) = 0,$$

which is a polynomial of λ_1 with real coefficients. Then (5.25) shows that λ_1 must be a constant, a contradiction.

Subcase B. Assume that $a_1 = 0$. Adding (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) and using (5.2), we obtain

(5.26)
$$pq(\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{vv}^1 + c + \lambda_u\lambda_v) + pr(\omega_{uu}^1\omega_{ww}^1 + c + \lambda_u\lambda_w) + qr(\omega_{vv}^1\omega_{ww}^1 + c + \lambda_v\lambda_w) = 0.$$

Using (4.31) in (5.26) in Lemma 4.2 we find

(5.27)
$$(c+\phi^2) (pq+pr+qr) + (\alpha^2+1) (pq\lambda_u\lambda_v+pr\lambda_u\lambda_w+qr\lambda_v\lambda_w) + \alpha\phi (pq\lambda_u+pq\lambda_v+pr\lambda_u+pr\lambda_w+qr\lambda_v+qr\lambda_w) = 0.$$

Using (4.31) in (5.13), we get

(5.28)
$$p(3\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)(\alpha\lambda_u + \phi) + q(3\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(\lambda_v - \lambda_1)(\alpha\lambda_v + \phi) + r(3\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(\lambda_w - \lambda_1)(\alpha\lambda_w + \phi) = 0.$$

On the other hand, using (3.9), (4.1) and (4.31) in (4.13), we obtain

(5.29)
$$(n+2)\lambda_1\phi - \alpha(\beta + 2\lambda_1^2) = 3e_1(\lambda_1)$$

Eliminating λ_w from (4.1), (5.27) and (5.28) using (3.9), we find

(5.30)
$$9\lambda_1^2 + r\lambda_1^2 + 6p\lambda_1\lambda_u + p^2\lambda_u^2 + pr\lambda_u^2 + 6q\lambda_1\lambda_v + 2pq\lambda_u\lambda_v + q^2\lambda_v^2 + qr\lambda_v^2 - r\beta = 0,$$

(5.31)
$$\begin{array}{c} (c+\phi^2)\left(pq+pr+qr\right) - 3\alpha\lambda_1\phi(p+q) - (\alpha\phi(p-r)+3(\alpha^2+1)\lambda_1)p\lambda_u \\ -(\alpha^2+1)p^2\lambda_u^2 + \lambda_v q(\alpha\phi(r-q) - (\alpha^2+1)(p\lambda_u+3\lambda_1)) - (\alpha^2+1)q^2\lambda_v^2 = 0, \end{array}$$

$$(-27 - 12r - pr - qr - r^{2})r\phi\lambda_{1}^{2} + (81 + 36r + 3r^{2})\alpha\lambda_{1}^{3} - 18pr\phi\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u} + 81p\alpha\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{u} + 24pr\alpha\lambda_{1}^{2}\lambda_{u} + (-3p^{2}r\phi - 3pr^{2}\phi + 27p^{2}\alpha\lambda_{1} + 4p^{2}r\alpha\lambda_{1} + 4pr^{2}\alpha\lambda_{1})\lambda_{u}^{2} + 3p^{3}\alpha\lambda_{u}^{3} - 3pr^{2}\alpha\lambda_{u}^{3} + (-18qr\phi\lambda_{1} + 81q\alpha\lambda_{1}^{2} + 24qr\alpha\lambda_{1}^{2} - 6pqr\phi\lambda_{u} + 54pq\alpha\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u} + 8pqr\alpha\lambda_{1}\lambda_{u} + 9p^{2}q\alpha\lambda_{u}^{2})\lambda_{v} + (-3q^{2}r\phi - 3qr^{2}\phi + 27q^{2}\alpha\lambda_{1} + 4q^{2}r\alpha\lambda_{1} + 4qr^{2}\alpha\lambda_{1} + 9pq^{2}\alpha\lambda_{u})\lambda_{v}^{2} + (3q^{3}\alpha - 3qr^{2}\alpha)\lambda_{v}^{3} = 0,$$

respectively.

Rewrite (5.30), (5.31) and (5.32) as polynomials $\mathcal{F}_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v)$, $G_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v)$, and $\mathcal{G}_{1(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v)$ of λ_v with coefficients in polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi]$. According to Lemma 2.1, the equations $\mathcal{F}_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v) = 0$, $G_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v) = 0$, and $\mathcal{F}_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_{1(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v) = 0$ have a common root if and only if

(5.33)
$$\Re(\mathcal{F}_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v), G_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v)) = 0,$$

and

(5.34)
$$\Re(\mathcal{F}_{2(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v),\mathcal{G}_{1(\lambda_1,\lambda_u,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_v)) = 0,$$

which is polynomials of $\lambda_1, \lambda_u, \alpha, \phi$ with real coefficients, in degree 4 and 6 respectively. Rewrite, equations (5.33) and (5.34) as polynomials $\mathcal{F}_{3(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u), \mathcal{G}_{2(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u)$ with coefficients in polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\lambda_1, \alpha, \phi]$ over real field. According to Lemma 2.1, the equations $\mathcal{F}_{3(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u) = 0, \mathcal{G}_{2(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u) = 0$, have a common root if and only if

(5.35)
$$\Re(\mathcal{F}_{3(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u),\mathcal{G}_{2(\lambda_1,\alpha,\phi)}(\lambda_u)) = 0,$$

which is a polynomial equation

(5.36)
$$\mathcal{F}_4(\alpha, \phi, \lambda_1) = 0.$$

Differentiating (5.36) with respect to e_1 and using (4.31) and (5.29), we find a polynomial

(5.37)
$$\mathcal{F}_5(\alpha, \phi, \lambda_1) = 0.$$

Differentiating (5.37) with respect to e_1 and using (4.31) and (5.29), we find a polynomial

(5.38)
$$\mathcal{F}_6(\alpha, \phi, \lambda_1) = 0.$$

Rewrite (5.36), (5.37) and (5.38) as polynomials $\mathcal{F}_{4(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{F}_{5(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha)$, and $\mathcal{F}_{6(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha)$ of α with coefficients in polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\lambda_1,\phi]$. According to Lemma 2.1, the equations $\mathcal{F}_{4(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha) = 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{5(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha) = 0$, and $\mathcal{F}_{4(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha) = 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{6(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha) = 0$ have a common root if and only if

(5.39)
$$\Re(\mathcal{F}_{4(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha),\mathcal{F}_{5(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha)) = 0, \Re(\mathcal{F}_{4(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha),\mathcal{F}_{6(\lambda_1,\phi)}(\alpha)) = 0,$$

which are polynomial equations

(5.40)
$$h_1(\phi, \lambda_1) = 0$$
, and $h_2(\phi, \lambda_1) = 0$,

respectively. Finally, rewrite $h_{1\lambda_1}(\phi) = 0$ and $h_{2\lambda_1}(\phi) = 0$, as a polynomial equations ϕ with coefficients in polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[\lambda_1]$ over real field. According to Lemma 2.1, the equations $h_{1\lambda_1}(\phi) = 0$ and $h_{2\lambda_1}(\phi) = 0$ have a common root if and only if

(5.41)
$$\Re(h_{1\lambda_1}(\phi), h_{2\lambda_1}(\phi)) = 0,$$

which is a polynomial equation $h_3(\lambda_1) = 0$ of λ_1 with constant coefficients. Thus, the real function λ_1 satisfies a polynomial equation $h_3(\lambda_1) = 0$ with constant coefficients, and, therefore, λ_1 must be a constant, a contradiction.

Case 2. The case of three distinct principal curvatures

Suppose that M is a biconservative hypersurface with three distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_1 = -\frac{nH}{2}$, λ_u , λ_v , with multiplicities 1, p and n-p-1 respectively. Further, suppose that M has constant norm of second fundamental forms. Without losing generality, we choose e_1 in the direction of grad H and therefore shape operator \mathcal{A} of the hypersurface will take the following form with respect to a suitable frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$

(5.42)
$$\mathcal{A}e_1 = -\frac{nH}{2}e_1, \quad \mathcal{A}e_i = \lambda_u e_i, \quad \mathcal{A}e_j = \lambda_v e_j$$

where i = 2, 3, ..., p + 1, and j = p + 2, p + 3, ..., n. Using (5.42) in (3.9) and (4.1), we get

(5.43)
$$p\lambda_u + (n-p-1)\lambda_v = -3\lambda_1.$$

(5.44)
$$p\lambda_u^2 + (n-p-1)\lambda_v^2 = \beta - \lambda_1^2.$$

Eliminating λ_v from (5.44) using (5.43), we obtain

(5.45)
$$8\lambda_1^2 + n\lambda_1^2 - p\lambda_1^2 + 6p\lambda_1\lambda_u - p\lambda_u^2 + np\lambda_u^2 = (-1 + n - p)\beta.$$

Similarly, eliminating λ_u from (5.44) using (5.43), we get

(5.46)
$$9\lambda_1^2 + p\lambda_1^2 + (-6\lambda_1 + 6n\lambda_1 - 6p\lambda_1)\lambda_v + (1 - 2n + n^2 + p - np)\lambda_v^2 = p\beta.$$

Differentiating (5.45) with respect to e_1 , we find

(5.47)
$$e_1(\lambda_u) = \mu e_1(\lambda_1), \quad e_1(\mu) = \frac{-8 + p\left(1 - 6\mu + \mu^2\right) - n\left(1 + p\mu^2\right)}{p\left(3\lambda_1 + (-1 + n)\lambda_u\right)} e_1(\lambda_1),$$

where $\mu = -\frac{(8+n-p)\lambda_1+3p\lambda_u}{p(3\lambda_1+(-1+n)\lambda_u)}$ and to find $e_1(\mu)$ we have used the first expression of (5.47). Differentiating (5.45) with respect to e_i and using (3.6), we find

(5.48)
$$e_j(\lambda_u) = 0, \quad \omega_{ii}^j = 0,$$

where i = 2, 3, ..., p + 1, and j = p + 2, p + 3, ..., n.

Differentiating (5.46) with respect to e_i and using (3.6), we have

(5.49)
$$e_i(\lambda_v) = 0, \quad \omega_{jj}^i = 0,$$

where i = 2, 3, ..., p + 1, and j = p + 2, p + 3, ..., n.

Also, putting $k \in \{p + 2, p + 3, ..., n\}$, and $j, i \in \{2, 3, ..., p + 1\}$ in (3.7) and using (3.5) and (3.7), we get

(5.50)
$$\omega_{ik}^j = \omega_{ij}^k = \omega_{ji}^k = \omega_{jk}^i = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Evaluating $g(R(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_j)$ using (2.2), (5.42), (5.48), (5.49) and (5.50), we obtain

(5.51)
$$\omega_{ii}^1 \omega_{jj}^1 = -c - \lambda_u \lambda_v$$
, for $j \in \{p+2, p+3, \dots, n\}, i \in \{2, 3, \dots, p+1\},$

where $\omega_{ii}^1 = \frac{e_1(\lambda_u)}{\lambda_u - \lambda_1}, \quad \omega_{jj}^1 = \frac{e_1(\lambda_v)}{\lambda_v - \lambda_1}.$ Also, equation (3.17) is valid for three distinct principal curvatures. Therefore, from (3.17), we find

(5.52)
$$e_1(\frac{e_1(\lambda_u)}{\lambda_u - \lambda_1}) = (\frac{e_1(\lambda_u)}{\lambda_u - \lambda_1})^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_u + c,$$

(5.53)
$$e_1(\frac{e_1(\lambda_v)}{\lambda_v - \lambda_1}) = (\frac{e_1(\lambda_v)}{\lambda_v - \lambda_1})^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_v + c.$$

Using (3.6), (5.43) and (5.47) in (5.51), we get

(5.54)
$$\frac{\lambda_u(-\lambda_1+\lambda_u)(3\lambda_1+p\lambda_u)((2+n-p)\lambda_1+p\lambda_u)}{-1+n-p} = e_1^2(\lambda_1)\mu(3+p\mu).$$

Using (3.6) and (5.47) in (5.52), we obtain

(5.55)
$$\mu(\lambda_u - \lambda_1)e_1e_1(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1\lambda_u \left(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_u\right)^2 + Ae_1^2(\lambda_1),$$

where
$$A = \frac{-(8+n+np\mu^2 - p(1-6\mu+\mu^2))(\lambda_1 - \lambda_u) + p\mu(-1+2\mu)(3\lambda_1 + (-1+n)\lambda_u)}{p(3\lambda_1 + (-1+n)\lambda_u)}$$
.

Using (3.6) and (5.47) in (5.53), we find

(5.56)
$$(3+2\mu)(2\lambda+4\lambda_1)e_1e_1(\lambda_1) = Be_1^2(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1(3\lambda_1+2\lambda)(2\lambda+4\lambda_1)^2,$$

where $B = \frac{[\lambda(36\mu^2 + 102\mu + 83) + \lambda_1(48\mu^2 + 126\mu + 103)]}{3(\lambda_1 + \lambda)}$

Eliminating $e_1e_1(\lambda_1)$ from (5.55) using (5.56), we obtain

where $C = (3+2\mu)(2\lambda+4\lambda_1)A - \mu(\lambda-\lambda_1)B$ and $D = -\lambda_1(\lambda-\lambda_1)(2\lambda+4\lambda_1)[\mu(3\lambda_1+\lambda_1)]$ $2\lambda)(4\lambda_1 + 2\lambda) + \lambda(3 + 2\mu)(\lambda - \lambda_1)].$

Eliminating $e_1^2(\lambda_1)$ from (5.54) using (5.57), we find

(5.58)
$$(3\mu + 2\mu^2)D - C\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_1)(3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda)(4\lambda_1 + 2\lambda) = 0.$$

Finally, eliminating λ from (5.45) and (5.58), we get a polynomial equation $\varphi(H) = 0$ in H with constant coefficients. Thus, the real function H satisfies a polynomial equation $\varphi(H) = 0$ with constant coefficients, and, therefore, it must be a constant.

Case 3. The case of two distinct principal curvatures

Suppose that M is a biconservative hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures $\lambda_1 = -\frac{nH}{2}$ and λ , with multiplicities 1 and n-1 respectively. Without losing generality, we choose e_1 in the direction of grad H and therefore shape operator \mathcal{A} of the hypersurface will take the following form with respect to a suitable frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$

(5.59)
$$Ae_1 = -\frac{nH}{2}e_1, \quad Ae_i = \lambda e_i, \quad i = 2, ..., n-1.$$

Using (5.59) in (3.9) and (4.1), we get

(5.60)
$$\lambda = -\frac{3\lambda_1}{n-1},$$

$$(5.61) \qquad (n-1)\lambda^2 = \beta - \lambda_1^2,$$

respectively.

Further, if M has constant norm of second fundamental forms, then, from (5.60) and (5.61), we get λ_1 is a constant, which gives that H constant, a contradiction. Combining **Cases 1, 2**, and **3** it follows that M has constant mean curvature.

Now, using (2.2) we get that the scalar curvature ρ is given by

(5.62)
$$\rho = n(n-1)c + n^2 H^2 + \beta,$$

which is also constant.

References

- A. Arvanitoyeorgos, F. Defever, G. Kaimakamis, V. Papantoniou, *Biharmonic Lorentzian hypersurfaces in* E⁴₁, Pac. J. Math. 229(2) (2007) 293–305.
- [2] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc and P. Piu, Surfaces in three-dimensional space forms with divergence-free stress-bienergy tensor, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 193(2) (2014) 529–550.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type, 2nd edition, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [4] Deepika, R. S. Gupta, Biharmonic hypersurfaces in E⁵ with zero scalar curvature, Afr. Diaspora J. Math. 18(1) (2015) 12−26.
- [5] Deepika, R. S. Gupta, A. Sharfuddin, Biharmonic hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in E⁵_s, Kyungpook Math. J. 56 (2016) 273–293.
- [6] I. Dimitric. Submanifolds of \mathbb{E}^n with harmonic mean curvature vector, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinca, 20 (1992) 53-65.
- [7] J. Eells, J. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964) 109–160.
- [8] C. Oniciuc, Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 48 (2002), 237–248.
- [9] D. Fetcu, C. Oniciuc, A. L. Pinheiro, *CMC biconservative surfaces in* $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 425 (2015) 588–609.
- [10] D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc, Biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms, arXiv:2012.12476v2
- [11] R. S. Gupta, On biharmonic hypersurfaces in Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension, Glasgow Math. J. 57 (2015) 633–642.
- [12] R. S. Gupta, Biharmonic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_{s}^{5} , An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Tomul LXII, 2(2) (2016) 585–593.
- [13] R. S. Gupta, Biharmonic hypersurfaces in E⁶ with constant scalar curvature, Intern. J. Geom. 5(2) (2016) 39–50.
- [14] R. S. Gupta, Biconservative hypersurfaces in Euclidean 5-space, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 45 (2019) 1117–1133.
- [15] R. S. Gupta, A. Sharfuddin, Biconservative Lorentz hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} with complex eigenvalues, Rev. Unión Mat. Arg. 60(2) (2019) 595–610.
- [16] T. Hasanis, T. Vlachos, Hypersurfaces in E⁴ with harmonic mean curvature vector field, Math. Nachr. 172 (1995) 145–169.
- [17] D. Hilbert, Die Grundlagen der Physik, Math. Ann. 92 (1924) 1–32.

- [18] G. Y. Jiang, The conservation law for 2-harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, Acta Math. Sin. 30 (1987) 220–225.
- [19] K. Kendig, Elementary Algebraic Geometry, GTM 44, Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [20] S. Nistor, C. Oniciuc, On the uniqueness of complete biconservative surfaces in ℝ³, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147(3) (2019) 1231–1245.
- [21] S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, A. Ratto, *Biconservative surfaces*, J. Geom. Anal. 26(1) (2016) 313–329.
- [22] S. Montaldo, A. Pampano, On the existence of closed biconservative surfaces in space forms, arXiv:2009.03233, to appear in Commun. Anal. Geom.
- [23] T. Sasahara, Tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg., 85 (2015) 107–123.
- [24] N. C. Turgay, H-hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures in the Euclidean spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 194(6) (2015) 1795–1807.
- [25] N. C. Turgay, A. Upadhyay, On biconservative hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional Riemannian space forms, Math. Nachr. 292(4) (2019) 905–921.
- [26] A. Upadhyay, N. C. Turgay, A classification of biconservative hypersurfaces in a pseudo-Euclidean space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 444 (2016) 1703-1720.

Author's address:

Ram Shankar Gupta

University School of Basic and Applied Sciences, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Sector-16C, Dwarka, New Delhi-110078, India

Email: ramshankar.gupta@gmail.com

Andreas Arvanitoyeorgos

University of Patras, Department of Mathematics, GR-26504 Rion, Greece, and Hellenic Open University, Aristotelous 18, GR-26335 Patras, Greece **Email:** arvanito@math.upatras.gr