

# DARBOUX-LIE DERIVATIVES

ANTONIO DE NICOLA AND IVAN YUDIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce the Darboux-Lie derivative for fiber-bundle maps from natural bundles to associated fiber bundles and study its properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article is an accompanying paper to the series of articles on “analytical” theory of  $G$ -structures. In the course of reformulating the basic notions pertaining to  $G$ -structures on manifolds in terms of equivalence classes of soldering forms, we stumbled upon the absence of a properly developed notion of Lie derivative for such forms. A soldering form  $\beta$  in this context refers to an isomorphism of vector bundles  $\beta: TM \rightarrow P \times_G V$ , where  $P$  is a  $G$ -principal bundle and  $V$  is a  $G$ -module.

More generally, we will define and study the properties of Lie derivatives for maps  $h$  of fiber bundles from  $F(M)$  to  $P \times_G N$ , where  $M$  is a manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $F$  is a natural bundle on  $n$ -dimensional manifolds,  $P$  a  $G$ -principal bundle over  $M$ , and  $N$  a manifold equipped with a smooth left  $G$ -action.

It turns out that a Lie derivative is a fiber-bundle map from  $F(M)$  to  $P \times_G V$ , where  $V$  is a suitable  $G$ -module. The tricky point is that it cannot be defined along vector fields on  $M$ . Rather, it should be defined along  $G$ -invariant vector fields  $\mathfrak{X}(P)^G$  on  $P$ .

The content of the paper can be summarized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary material. In particular, we fix the notation for principal and associated bundles. Then we recall the definition and main properties of natural bundles.

In Section 3, we introduce a version of Lie derivative, which we call *Darboux-Lie derivative*. Darboux-Lie derivatives are applied to fiber-bundle maps from a natural bundle to an associated fiber bundle.

In [5], Janyška and Kolář developed a general theory of Lie derivatives that includes as special cases the usual Lie derivatives and covariant derivatives of sections  $s: M \rightarrow P \times_G V$ . We will see that the notion of Darboux-Lie derivative incorporates the Janyška-Kolář version of Lie derivative.

---

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra - UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES. ADN is a member of GNSAGA - Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica.

Let  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$  be a fiber-bundle map as before. In order to obtain a concept that encompasses the classical idea of derivative, we use a  $G$ -equivariant form  $\omega: TN \rightarrow V$  on  $N$  with values in a  $G$ -module  $V$ . In some notable cases, there is a canonical choice for such a form. For example, when  $N$  is a Lie group equipped with the left action, then the natural choice for  $\omega$  is the left Maurer-Cartan form on  $N$ . The Darboux-Lie derivative of  $h$  along a  $G$ -invariant vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $P$  is a fiber-bundle map  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V$ . It is defined using the Trautman lift also known as “the generalized Lie derivative”. In Proposition 3.3, we express the Darboux-Lie derivative in terms of flows of vector fields, thus relating it to the classical concept of Lie derivative.

In Section 4 we discuss the Darboux-Lie derivative along  $G$ -invariant vertical vector fields. Every such vector field  $\tilde{X}$  corresponds to a unique section  $a$  of  $P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ . In the case  $h$  has values in the associated vector bundle  $P \times_G V$ , we show in Proposition 4.1 that  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h = -a \cdot h$ , where  $a \cdot h$  is a suitably defined fiber-bundle map from  $F(M)$  to  $P \times_G V$ . Now, let  ${}_cG$  denote the group  $G$  equipped with the conjugation action on itself. In Proposition 4.2 we find an expression for  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h$  in the case  $h$  takes values in  $P \times_{{}_cG} G$ .

In Section 5 we show that Darboux-Lie derivatives satisfy suitable Leibniz rules with respect to various binary operations on fiber-bundle maps. We obtain rather general expressions in (28) and (31). To prove them, we also derive Leibniz rules for fibered and tensor products in (15) and (17), respectively.

As a special case, we obtain the following useful expression for the Darboux-Lie derivative of  $s \cdot \beta$ , where  $s \in \Gamma(M, P \times_{{}_cG} G)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^1(M, P \times_G V)$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s \cdot \beta) = s \cdot (\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} s \cdot \beta + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \beta).$$

Moreover, if  $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(M, P \times_{{}_cG} G)$  we get the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s_1 \cdot s_2) = \text{Ad}_{s_2^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} s_1) + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} s_2.$$

In Section 6, we assume that  $P$  is equipped with a  $G$ -principal connection. Under this assumption, we derive the Cartan magic formula for  $\mathcal{L}_{X^H} \beta$ , where  $\beta$  is a differential form with values in  $P \times_G V$  and  $X^H$  is the horizontal lift of a vector field  $X$  on  $M$ . The resulting expression coincides with the usual covariant-Lie derivative with respect to  $X$ . Inspired by this fact, we define the covariant-Darboux-Lie derivative for fiber-bundle maps of the type  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$  and we list several Leibniz rules for this derivative.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Principal bundles.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group,  $M$  a smooth manifold and  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle. One of the most useful facts about principal bundles is the

existence of a unique smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash : P \times_M P &\rightarrow G \\ (p_1, p_2) &\mapsto p_1 \backslash p_2, \end{aligned}$$

such that  $p(p \backslash p') = p'$  for every pair  $p, p'$  in the same fiber of  $P$ . Clearly,  $p \backslash p = e_G$ . Moreover, for all  $g \in G$  and  $(p, p') \in P \times_M P$ , we have

$$(1) \quad (pg) \backslash p' = g^{-1}(p \backslash p'), \quad p \backslash (p'g) = (p \backslash p')g, \quad p' \backslash p = (p \backslash p')^{-1}.$$

**2.2. Associated bundles.** Let  $N$  be a manifold equipped with a smooth left  $G$ -action. The *associated fiber bundle*  $P \times_M N$  over  $M$  is defined as the quotient  $(P \times N)/G$ , where  $G$  acts on  $P \times N$  by  $(p, y)g = (pg, g^{-1}y)$  for all  $(p, y) \in P \times N$ ,  $g \in G$ . We will write  $[p, y]$  for the  $G$ -orbit of  $(p, y)$  in  $P \times N$ . The projection  $P \times N \rightarrow M$  is given by  $[p, y] \mapsto x$  for  $x \in M$ ,  $p \in P_x$  and  $y \in N$ . One can check that the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash : P \times_M (P \times_M N) &\rightarrow N \\ (p, [p', y]) &\mapsto p \backslash [p', y] := (p \backslash p')y \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and smooth. We found that this map is quite useful to explicitly construct maps between associated bundles.

**2.3. Vector fields and their flows.** Given a vector field  $X$  on  $M$ , we write  $\gamma_x^X$  for the maximal integral curve of  $X$  whose value at 0 is  $x$ . We denote the interval of definition of  $\gamma_x^X$  by  $J_x^X$  and the union  $\bigcup_{x \in M} J_x^X \times \{x\}$  of subsets in  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  by  $D(X)$ .

We write  $\varphi_X : D(X) \rightarrow M$  for the flow of  $X$ . Clearly,  $\varphi_X(t, x) = \gamma_x^X(t)$ . For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , write  $U_t^X$  for the open set of points  $x$  in  $M$  such that  $(t, x) \in D(X)$  and write  $\Phi_X^t : U_t^X \rightarrow U_{-t}^X$  for the *flow operator* that sends  $x$  to  $\varphi(t, x)$ .

**2.4. Natural bundles.** A systematic treatment of natural bundles can be found in [6, Ch. IX]. Here we will just recall the definition of natural bundle and their properties that will be needed later.

Write  $\mathcal{M}f$  for the category of smooth manifolds with smooth maps between them and  $\mathcal{M}f_n$  for its subcategory of manifolds of dimension  $n$  with local diffeomorphisms between them as morphisms. Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the embedding of  $\mathcal{M}f_n$  into  $\mathcal{M}f$ . One of the possible equivalent definitions of a natural bundle is to say that a *natural bundle* is a pair  $(F, p)$ , where  $F : \mathcal{M}f_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}f$  is a functor and  $p : F \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  a natural transformation such that

- (a) for each  $M \in \mathcal{M}f_n$  the map  $p_M : F(M) \rightarrow M$  endows  $F(M)$  with a structure of fiber bundle over  $M$ ;

- (b) for each  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{M}f_n$  the map  $F(f): F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  is a fiberwise diffeomorphism covering  $f: M \rightarrow N$ , i.e. the commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(M) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(N) \\ p_M \downarrow & & \downarrow p_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

is a pull-back square.

A *natural map* from a natural bundle  $(F, p)$  and to a natural bundle  $(F', p')$  is a natural transformation of functors  $\eta: F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $p = p' \circ \eta$ . It is customary to refer to a natural bundle just by its functor part  $F$ .

One defines a *natural vector bundle* as a natural bundle  $(F, p)$  such that each  $p_M: F(M) \rightarrow M$  is a vector bundle and for each  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{M}f_n$  the map  $F(f): F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  is a morphism of vector bundles. Similarly, one defines *natural principal bundles*.

Natural bundles can be constructed using jet bundles. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}f_n$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , write  $J(M, k)$  for the space of invertible  $k$ -jets at 0 of smooth maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $M$ . Denote by  $G(n, k)$  the subspace of  $J(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$  consisting of jets that have value 0 at 0. Then  $G(n, k)$  is a Lie group under composition of jets and  $J(M, k)$  is a  $G(n, k)$ -principal bundle.

For a smooth map  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$  write  $j^k(\psi)$  for the  $k$ -th jet of  $\psi$  at 0. For a local diffeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} J(f, k): J(M, k) &\rightarrow J(N, k) \\ j^k(\psi) &\mapsto j^k(f \circ \psi). \end{aligned}$$

This turns  $J(-, k)$  into a natural  $G(n, k)$ -principal bundle on  $\mathcal{M}f_n$ .

One can check that for every manifold  $N$  equipped with a smooth  $G(n, k)$ -action the functor  $J(-, k) \times_{G(n, k)} N$  is a natural bundle. The following result was proved in [1].

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $F$  is a natural bundle on  $\mathcal{M}f_n$ , then there is a natural number  $k$  and a manifold  $N$  equipped with a smooth left  $G(n, r)$ -action such that  $F$  is naturally isomorphic to  $J(-, k) \times_{G(n, k)} N$ .*

Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $M$  and  $N$  a manifold with a smooth  $G(n, k)$ -action. There is a unique vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $J(M, k) \times_{G(n, k)} N$  whose flow is given by

$$\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t[j^k(\psi), y] = (J(\Phi_X^t, k) \times_{G(n, k)} N)[j^k(\psi), y] = [j^k(\Phi_X^t \circ \psi), y].$$

We get that  $\tilde{X}$  is a lift of  $X$  and that  $U_t^{\tilde{X}} = J(U_t^X, k) \times_{G(n,k)} N \subset J(M, k) \times_{G(n,k)} N$ .

Thus  $J_z^{\tilde{X}} = J_x^X$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $z$  in the corresponding fiber of  $J(M, k) \times_{G(n,k)} N$ .

If  $F(M)$  is a natural bundle isomorphic to  $J(M, k) \times_{G(n,k)} N$ , we denote the vector field on  $F(M)$  corresponding to  $\tilde{X}$  by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  and call it the *canonical lift* of  $X$ . It is a bit tricky to characterize  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  in terms of the flow  $\Phi_X^t$ , because we cannot assume that  $F(U_t)$  is a subset of  $F(M)$  for a general natural bundle  $F$ . Write  $\sigma_t$  for the inclusion of  $U_t$  to  $M$ . Then  $F(\sigma_t)$  is an embedding of  $F(U_t)$  into  $F(M)$  and its image coincides with  $U_t^{\mathcal{F}(X)}$ . Furthermore,  $F(\Phi_X^t)$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t$  are related by the commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(U_t^X) & \xrightarrow{F(\Phi_X^t)} & F(U_{-t}^X) \\ F(\sigma_t) \downarrow & & \downarrow F(\sigma_{-t}) \\ U_t^{\mathcal{F}(X)} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t} & U_{-t}^{\mathcal{F}(X)}. \end{array}$$

If  $\eta: F' \rightarrow F$  is a natural map between natural bundles, then for  $x \in M$  and  $y \in F'(M)_x$ , we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} T\eta_M(\mathcal{F}'(X)_y) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \eta_M(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}'(X)}^t y) \\ \mathcal{F}(X)_{\eta_M(y)} &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(\eta_M(y)). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $t$ , denote by  $y_t$  the element of  $F(U_t)$  such that  $F(\sigma_t)(y_t) = y$ . Notice that there is only one such  $y_t$  since  $F(\sigma_t)$  is an embedding. Using (3) and naturality of  $\eta_M$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_M(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}'(X)}^t y) &= \eta_M(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}'(X)}^t \circ F'(\sigma_t)(y_t)) = \eta_M(F'(\sigma_{-t}) \circ F'(\Phi_X^t)(y_t)) \\ &= F(\sigma_{-t}) \circ F(\Phi_X^t)(\eta_{U_t}(y_t)) = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t \circ F(\sigma_t)(\eta_{U_t}(y_t)) \\ &= \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(\eta_M(F(\sigma_t)(y_t))) = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(\eta_M(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) implies that

$$(5) \quad T\eta_M(\mathcal{F}'(X)_y) = \mathcal{F}(X)_{\eta_M(y)}.$$

It is the existence of canonical lifts to natural bundles that permits to define the Lie derivative for sections of natural vector bundles, including tensor fields as a special case.

### 3. DARBOUX-LIE DERIVATIVES

In this section we introduce a version of a Lie derivative that can be applied to maps from natural bundles to associated bundles.

We start with a discussion of what one should expect from such a concept. Given a map  $h$  between two smooth manifolds, the tangent map  $Th$  contains information about the first-order behavior of  $h$ . The drawback of  $Th$  is that it retains  $h$  inside it. A metamathematical idea of a derivative of  $h$  would be  $Th$  with  $h$  stripped out and only the first-order information retained. It is evident how to do this in the case of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued smooth functions. Namely, given a smooth function  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the derivative  $df: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is determined by

$$(T_x f)(X) = df(X) \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=f(x)}.$$

The key point of the definition is the existence of the canonical nowhere zero vector field  $d/dt$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

More generally, given a parallelizable manifold  $N$  with a global frame  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of vector fields, we define the *parallelization form*  $\omega: TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\omega(a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

If  $h: M \rightarrow N$  is a smooth map then its derivative can be defined as  $\omega \circ Th$ .

Of course, this type of derivative depends on the choice of the parallelization form  $\omega$ . For example, in the case  $N$  is a Lie group  $H$ , there are two natural choices for  $\omega$ : either left or right Maurer-Cartan form. In this case the resulting derivative is called *left (right) Darboux derivative*, respectively.

Another standard example of a derivative is the *covariant derivative* of a section  $s: M \rightarrow P \times_G V$ , where  $P$  is a  $G$ -principal bundle with a fixed principal connection and  $V$  is a  $G$ -module. In [5], Janyška and Kolář developed a general theory of Lie derivatives that includes as special cases the usual Lie derivatives and covariant derivatives. Below, we introduce Darboux-Lie derivatives which generalize Lie derivatives considered by Janyška and Kolář as well as Darboux derivatives.

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be smooth manifolds and  $h: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  a smooth map. For a pair of vector fields  $X_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(M_1)$ ,  $X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M_2)$ , we call the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)} h: M_1 &\rightarrow TM_2 \\ x &\mapsto (T_x h)(X_1) - (X_2)_{h(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

the *Trautman lift of  $h$* . Alternatively (cf. [6, Lemma 47.2]), we have

$$(6) \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)} h)_x = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi_{X_2}^{-t} \circ h \circ \Phi_{X_1}^t(x).$$

*Remark 3.1.* The map  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)} h$  was initially introduced by Trautman in [7] without a specific name. It was later referred to as the “generalized Lie derivative” in a series of articles by Kolář and his coauthors, with the main results summarized in [6, Ch. XI]. However, we have chosen to deviate from this terminology because “generalized Lie derivatives” do not align with our concept of a derivative and

never specialize to Lie derivatives. Instead, they serve as an intermediate step in the construction of Lie derivatives.

The Trautman lift is particularly useful when  $h : \mathbf{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2$  is a map of fibered manifolds and  $X_1, X_2$  are lifts of the same vector field on the base manifold. In this case, the values of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)}h$  lie in the vertical subbundle  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{F}_2$  of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbf{F}_2$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{F}_2$  is of the form  $P \times_G N$ , where  $G$  is a Lie group,  $P$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle and  $N$  is a manifold equipped with a smooth left  $G$ -action. In this case, we can identify  $\mathcal{V}\mathbf{F}_2$  with  $P \times_G TN$  via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}(P \times_G N) \rightarrow P \times_G TN$$

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} [\gamma_P(t), \gamma_N(t)] \mapsto \left[ \gamma_P(0), \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\gamma_P(0) \backslash \gamma_P(t)) \gamma_N(t) \right],$$

where  $\gamma_P$  is a vertical curve in  $P$  and  $\gamma_N$  is an arbitrary curve in  $N$ , both defined on the same open interval containing 0. We adopt the same notation  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)}h$  for the composition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)}h$  with the above isomorphism.

Suppose  $\omega : TN \rightarrow V$  is a  $G$ -equivariant 1-form on  $N$ . The *Darboux-Lie derivative*  $\mathcal{L}_{(X_1, X_2)}^\omega h$  is the composition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)}h : \mathbf{F}_1 \rightarrow P \times_G TN$  with the map

$$\text{id} \times \omega : P \times_G TN \rightarrow P \times_G V$$

$$[p, Y] \mapsto [p, \omega(Y)].$$

*Remark 3.2.* Our definition of Darboux-Lie derivative should be compared with Lie derivatives introduced in [2, 3]. A *vertical splitting* for a fiber bundle  $\mathbf{F}$  over  $M$  is an isomorphism of vector bundles  $\alpha : \mathcal{V}\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \times_M E$  over  $\mathbf{F}$  for some vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$ . In the presence of the vertical splitting  $\alpha$ , Godina and Matteucci defined in [2, 3] the Lie derivative of a section  $s : M \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$  along a projectable vector field  $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{F})$  over  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  to be the section  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \alpha \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X, \tilde{X})}s$  of  $E$ .

When  $\omega$  is a parallelization form on  $N$ , we get the following vertical splitting for  $P \times_G N$

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{V}(P \times_G N) \xrightarrow{\cong} P \times_G TN \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} (P \times_G N) \times_M (P \times_G V),$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}([p, Y]) = ([p, y], [p, \omega(Y)])$$

for  $p \in P, y \in N$  and  $Y \in T_y N$ . When  $s$  is a section of  $P \times_G N$  and one uses the above vertical splitting of  $\mathcal{V}(P \times_G N)$ , the Darboux-Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_{(X, \tilde{X}_N)}^\omega s$  coincides with the Lie derivative of  $s$  along  $\tilde{X}_N$  in the sense of Godina and Matteucci. The definition of Lie derivative due to Godina and Matteucci is more general than our definition of Darboux-Lie derivative in the aspect that not every vertical splitting

has the form (7). On the other hand, our definition is more general since it applies to more general maps than sections and permits  $\omega$  to be an arbitrary  $G$ -equivariant form, not necessarily a parallelization form. Moreover, Godina and Matteucci did not develop the theory of Lie derivatives in the generality of their definition, but rather applied the theory of Lie derivatives from [6]. The Lie derivatives studied in [6] include only those that correspond to the case when  $\mathbf{F}$  is a vector bundle and  $\alpha$  is the canonical vertical splitting.

In this article, we will concentrate on the case  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$ , where  $F$  is a natural bundle,  $P$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $M$  and  $N$  is a manifold equipped with a smooth left  $G$ -action.

Suppose  $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$  is  $G$ -invariant. Then  $\tilde{X}$  is projectable onto  $M$ . Write  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  for the corresponding vector field on  $M$ . We get the canonical lift  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  on  $F(M)$ . Further, write  $\tilde{X}_N$  for the vector field on  $P \times_G N$ , whose flow is given by  $\Phi_{\tilde{X}_N}^t [p, y] = [\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p, y]$ . We will use the following abbreviations

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}} h := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\mathcal{F}(X), \tilde{X}_N)} h, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega h := \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{F}(X), \tilde{X}_N)}^\omega h.$$

If  $N$  is a  $G$ -module  $V$ , then there is the canonical parallelization form  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1}$  on  $V$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vl}_V: V \oplus V &\rightarrow TV \\ (v, w) &\mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (v + wt). \end{aligned}$$

Another interesting case is when  $N$  coincides with  $G$  considered with conjugation action. In this case, we can take  $\omega$  to be the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form on  $G$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{MC}: TG &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} = T_e G \\ T_g G \ni Z &\mapsto (T_e L_g)^{-1}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

In these two cases we will skip  $\omega$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega$ . The following proposition shows that the Lie derivatives  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega$  could have been defined in terms of flows.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$  be a fiber bundle map over  $M$ . For all  $x \in M$ ,  $p \in P_x$  and  $y \in F(M)_x$*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega h(y) = \left[ p, \omega \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p) \setminus h(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y)) \right) \right] \in P \times_G V.$$



*Proof.* From (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}h(y) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}_N}^{-t} \circ h \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^{-t} \times_{G} \text{id})[\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p, (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p) \setminus h(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y))] \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 [p, (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p) \setminus h(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y))].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we look at  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}h$  as a map with values at  $P \times_{G} TN$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}h(y) = \left[ p, \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p) \setminus h(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y)) \right].$$

Now the result follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega}h$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* In the case  $N = V$  is a  $G$ -module,  $\omega = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1}$  and  $\gamma$  is a curve in  $V$ , then  $\omega(\dot{\gamma}(0))$  coincides with the derivative of  $\gamma(t)$  at 0 as it is usually defined in standard Calculus courses.

#### 4. DARBOUX-LIE DERIVATIVES WITH RESPECT TO VERTICAL RIGHT-INVARIANT VECTOR FIELDS

Write  $\mathfrak{g}$  for the Lie algebra of the group  $G$ . For each section  $a$  of  $P \times_{G} \mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $X^a$  the vertical vector field on  $P$  whose flow is given by

$$\Phi_{X^a}^t p = p \exp((p \setminus a(x))t), \quad \text{for } x \in M, p \in P_x.$$

It is a  $G$ -invariant vector field on  $P$ . Conversely, one can show that if  $\tilde{X}$  is a  $G$ -invariant vertical vector field on  $P$  then it coincides with  $X^a$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}a: M &\rightarrow P \times_{G} \mathfrak{g} \\ x &\mapsto \left[ p, \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 p \setminus \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \right],\end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary  $p \in P_x$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -module. The induced action of  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \in \mathfrak{g}$  on  $v \in V$  is defined by

$$(9) \quad \dot{\gamma}(0)v = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \gamma(t)v \right).$$

For  $a \in \Gamma(M, P \times_{G} \mathfrak{g})$  and  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_{G} V$ , we define  $a \cdot h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_{G} V$  by

$$a \cdot h(y) = [p, (p \setminus a(x))(p \setminus h(y))],$$

where  $y \in F(M)$ ,  $x$  is the base point of the fiber of  $y$  and  $p$  is an arbitrary point in the fiber  $P_x$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -module. For every  $a \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  and every map  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V$  of fiber bundles over  $M$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}_{X^a} h = -a \cdot h$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in F(M)_x$  and  $p \in P_x$ . From Proposition 3.3, it follows that

$$p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\Phi_{X^a}^t p) \backslash h(y) \right).$$

Applying the definition of  $\Phi_{X^a}^t$ , we get

$$p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (p \exp((p \backslash a(x))t)) \backslash h(y) \right).$$

As for every  $g \in G$  and  $z \in (P \times_G V)_x$ , we have  $(pg) \backslash z = g^{-1}(p \backslash z)$ , the above formula can be rewritten in the form

$$p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(-(p \backslash a(x))t) (p \backslash h(y)) \right).$$

By (9), we get  $p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = -(p \backslash a(x))(p \backslash h(y))$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}_{X^a} h = a \cdot h$ .  $\square$

When the target bundle is  $P \times_c G$  instead of  $P \times_G V$  the resulting formula is more interesting. To state the result, we need additional notation. For  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_c G$ , we deviate from the standard conventions and denote by  $h^{-1}$  the map from  $F(M)$  to  $P \times_c G$  given by  $h^{-1}(y) = [p, (p \backslash h(y))^{-1}]$ , where  $p \in P$  lies over the same point in  $M$  as  $y$ .

Further, for  $a \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$ , we define  $\text{Ad}_h(a): F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\text{Ad}_h(a)(y) = [p, \text{Ad}_{p \backslash h(y)}(p \backslash a(x))],$$

for all  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in F(M)_x$  and any  $p \in P_x$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *For every  $a \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  and  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_c G$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}_{X^a} h = a \circ p_M - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(a)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $*_c$  for the conjugation action of  $G$  on itself, i.e.  $h *_c g = hgh^{-1}$  for all  $h, g \in G$ . Let  $x \in M$ ,  $p \in P_x$  and  $y \in F(M)_x$ . By the same chain of arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we get

$$p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = \omega_{MC} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(-(p \backslash a(x))t) *_c (p \backslash h(y)) \right).$$

For any  $b \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $g \in G$ , we have  $\exp(-bt) *_c g = \exp(-bt)g \exp(bt)$ . Next

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{MC} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(-bt)g \exp(bt) \right) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 g^{-1} \exp(-bt)g \exp(bt) \\ &= -\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(b) + b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore with  $b = p \setminus a(x)$  and  $g = p \setminus h(y)$ , we get

$$p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{X^a} h(y) = -\text{Ad}_{(p \setminus h(y))^{-1}}(p \setminus a(x)) + (p \setminus a(x)).$$

This proves the proposition.  $\square$

More generally, for a  $G$ -equivariant  $V$ -valued 1-form  $\omega$  on  $N$ , we define  $*_\omega : \mathfrak{g} \times N \rightarrow V$  by

$$(10) \quad b *_\omega z = \omega \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \exp(bt)z \right).$$

Then adapting the first part of the proof of Proposition 4.1, we get

$$(11) \quad p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{X^a}^\omega h(y) = -(p \setminus a(x)) *_\omega (p \setminus h(y)),$$

for all  $y \in F(M)$ . This equation can be rewritten as

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X^a}^\omega h = -a \hat{*}_\omega h,$$

for a suitably defined  $\hat{*}_\omega$ .

## 5. LEIBNIZ RULE

We will need in future articles the expressions for  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s \cdot \beta)$ , where  $s \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G {}_cG)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^1(M, P \times_G V)$ , and for  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ , where  $\beta$  as before and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$ . This section aims to explore the Leibniz rule for the Darboux-Lie derivative with respect to some binary operations.

Notice that the map  $s \cdot \beta$  can be described as the composition

$$TM \xrightarrow{\cong} M \times_M TM \xrightarrow{s \times_M \beta} P \times_G ({}_cG \times V) \rightarrow P \times_G V$$

and  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  as the composition

$$\Lambda^2 TM \rightarrow TM \otimes TM \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \beta} P \times_G (\mathfrak{g} \otimes V) \rightarrow P \times_G V.$$

Thus in both cases we have a composition of

- a natural map  $\eta_M : F(M) \rightarrow F_1(M) * F_2(M)$ , where  $*$  is either  $\times$  or  $\otimes$ ;
- a suitably defined product  $h_1 * h_2 : F_1(M) * F_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G (N_1 * N_2)$  of  $h_1 : F_1(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_1$  and  $h_2 : F_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_2$ ;
- the map from  $P \times_G (N_1 * N_2) \rightarrow P \times_G N_3$  induced by a map  $N_1 * N_2 \rightarrow N_3$ .

Below we develop a machinery to deal with Darboux-Lie derivatives of compositions of the above type.

We start with the Leibniz rule for the generalized Lie derivative of a composition. The following proposition follows directly from the definition of generalized Lie derivative.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  be manifolds and  $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For any smooth maps  $h_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $h_2: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ , we have*

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_3)}(h_2 \circ h_1) = Th_2 \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_1, X_2)}h_1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(X_2, X_3)}h_2) \circ h_1.$$

Notice that the vector field  $X_2$  is not present on the left-hand side of (13) and thus can be chosen arbitrarily on the right-hand side.

Applying Proposition 5.1 and (5), we conclude that for every natural map  $\eta_M: F'(M) \rightarrow F(M)$  and for  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}(h \circ \eta_M) = Th \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\mathcal{F}'(X), \mathcal{F}(X))}\eta_M + (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}h) \circ \eta_M = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{X}}h) \circ \eta_M.$$

Hence

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega(h \circ \eta_M) = (\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega h) \circ \eta_M.$$

**5.1. Darboux-Lie derivative of a product.** Suppose  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are natural bundles. Define the natural bundle  $F_1 \times F_2$  by  $(F_1 \times F_2)(M) = F_1(M) \times_M F_2(M)$ .

Given  $h_1: F_1(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_1$  and  $h_2: F_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_2$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 \times_M h_2: (F_1 \times F_2)(M) &\rightarrow P \times_G (N_1 \times N_2) \\ (y_1, y_2) &\mapsto [p, (p \setminus h_1(y_1), p \setminus h_2(y_2))], \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_1 \in F_1(M)_x$ ,  $y_2 \in F_2(M)_x$ ,  $p \in P_x$  for some  $x \in M$ . Let  $\omega_1: TN_1 \rightarrow V_1$  and  $\omega_2: TN_2 \rightarrow V_2$  be  $G$ -equivariant 1-forms with values in  $G$ -modules  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Define  $\omega_1 \times \omega_2: T(N_1 \times N_2) \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2$  by

$$\omega_1 \times \omega_2 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \right) = (\omega_1(\dot{\gamma}_1(0)), \omega_2(\dot{\gamma}_2(0))).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we get for  $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)^G$  over  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2) &= \\ &= \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus (h_1 \times_M h_2)(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)}^t y_1, \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_2(X)}^t y_2) \right) \\ &= \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_1 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)}^t(y_1), \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_2 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_2(X)}^t(y_2)) \right) \\ &= \left( \omega_1 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_1 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)}^t(y_1) \right), \omega_2 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_2 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_2(X)}^t(y_2) \right) \right) \\ &= (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1} h_1(y_1), p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_2} h_2(y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(h_1 \times_M h_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1} h_1 \times_M \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_2} h_2.$$

**5.2. Darboux-Lie derivative of a tensor product.** For natural vector bundles  $E_1, E_2$ , we define the natural vector bundle  $E_1 \otimes E_2$  by  $(E_1 \otimes E_2)(M) = E_1(M) \otimes E_2(M)$ . Given two vector bundle maps  $h_1: E_1(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V_1$  and  $h_2: E_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V_2$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 \otimes h_2: (E_1 \otimes E_2)(M) &\rightarrow P \times_G (V_1 \otimes V_2) \\ y_1 \otimes y_2 &\mapsto [p, (p \setminus h_1(y_1)) \otimes (p \setminus h_2(y_2))], \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_1 \in E_1(M)_x, y_2 \in E_2(M)_x, p \in P_x$  for some  $x \in M$ . We have for all  $x \in M, y_1 \in E_1(M)_x, y_2 \in E_2(M)_x$

$$\Phi_{(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2)(X)}^t(y_1 \otimes y_2) = \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_1(X)}^t(y_1) \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_2(X)}^t(y_2).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we get for  $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(P)^G$  over  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes h_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) &= \\ &= \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V_1 \otimes V_2}^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus (h_1 \otimes h_2)(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)}^t y_1 \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_2(X)}^t y_2) \right) \\ &= \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V_1 \otimes V_2}^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_1 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_1(X)}^t(y_1) \otimes \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \setminus h_2 \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_2(X)}^t(y_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Given curves  $\gamma_1: I \rightarrow V_1$  and  $\gamma_2: I \rightarrow V_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V_1 \otimes V_2}^{-1} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \gamma_1(t) \otimes \gamma_2(t) \right) &= \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V_1}^{-1}(\dot{\gamma}_1(0)) \otimes \gamma_2(0) \\ &\quad + \gamma_1(0) \otimes \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V_2}^{-1}(\dot{\gamma}_2(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes h_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) &= (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_1(y_1)) \otimes (p \setminus h_2(y_2)) \\ &\quad + (p \setminus h_1(y_1)) \otimes (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_2(y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thence

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes h_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_1 \otimes h_2 + h_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_2.$$

**5.3. Darboux-Lie derivative of a composition.** In this subsection we deal with the Darboux-Lie derivative of  $(\text{id} \times f) \circ h$ , where  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$  and  $f$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map from  $N$  to  $N'$ . Suppose  $\omega': TN' \rightarrow V'$  is a  $G$ -equivariant 1-form with values in a  $G$ -module  $V'$ . By Proposition 3.3, for  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$

and every  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in F(M)_x$  and  $p \in P_x$

$$\begin{aligned} p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega'}((\text{id} \times_G f) \circ h)(y) &= \omega' \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \backslash ((\text{id} \times_G f) \circ h \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y)) \right) \\ &= f^* \omega' \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \backslash (h \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y)) \right) \\ &= p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{f^* \omega'} h(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega'}((\text{id} \times_G f) \circ h) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{f^* \omega'} h.$$

The ability to write the above formula is the reason we don't require  $\omega$  to be a parallelization form in the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega}$ , since even if  $\omega'$  is a parallelization form its pull-back  $f^* \omega'$ , in general, is not.

Formula (18) becomes more useful if there is a relation between  $f^* \omega'$  and a  $G$ -equivariant 1-form  $\omega: TN \rightarrow V$ , where  $V$  is a  $G$ -module. The vector space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V')$  has the  $G$ -module structure defined by  $(g\alpha)(v) = g\alpha(g^{-1}v)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V')$  and  $v \in V$ . Now, assume that there is a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\varphi: N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V')$  such that

$$(19) \quad (f^* \omega')_z = \varphi(z) \circ \omega_z$$

for each  $z \in N$ . Let  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in F(M)_x$  and  $p \in P_x$ . Denote the curve  $\Phi_{\tilde{X}}^t p \backslash (h \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{F}(X)}^t(y))$  by  $\gamma$ . By Proposition 3.3, we get

$$(20) \quad p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{f^* \omega'} h(y) = f^* \omega'(\dot{\gamma}(0)) = \varphi(p \backslash h(y))(\omega(\dot{\gamma}(0))) = \varphi(p \backslash h(y))(p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega} h(y)).$$

Hence

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega'}((\text{id} \times_G f) \circ h)(y) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{f^* \omega'} h(y) = ((\text{id} \times_G \varphi) h(y))(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega} h(y)),$$

where we define an action of  $\Gamma(M, P \times_G \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V'))$  on  $\Gamma(M, P \times_G V)$  by

$$(\psi(s))(x) = [p, (p \backslash \psi(x))(p \backslash s(x))],$$

for all  $\psi \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V'))$ ,  $s \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G V)$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $p \in P_x$ .

Next we give several examples of  $N$ ,  $N'$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\omega'$  and  $f$  for which there exists a map  $\varphi$  satisfying (19).

*Example 5.2.* Take  $N = V$ ,  $N' = V'$ ,  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  a linear  $G$ -equivariant map,  $\omega = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1}$  and  $\omega' = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_{V'}^{-1}$ . In this case  $f^* \omega' = f \circ \omega$ . Hence  $\varphi: V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V')$  is the constant map that sends each  $v \in V$  into  $f$  and (21) becomes

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}((\text{id} \times_G f) \circ h)(y) = (\text{id} \times_G f)(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h(y)).$$

*Example 5.3.* Take  $N = G \times V$ ,  $N' = V$  and  $f: G \times V \rightarrow V$  to be the action of  $G$  on  $V$ . We equip  $N$  with the form  $\omega := \omega_{MC} \times (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1})$  and  $N'$  with the form  $\omega' := \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1}$ . Fix  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$ . Every element of  $T_{(g,v)}(G \times V)$  is of the form  $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (g \exp(at), v + ut)$  for suitable  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in V$ . We get

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} f^* \omega' \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (ge^{at}, v + ut) \right) &= \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 ge^{at}v + ge^{at}ut \right) \\ &= g(av) + gu = g(av + u). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$a = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \exp(at) = (T_e L_g)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 g \exp(at) = \omega_{MC} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 g \exp(at) \right)$$

and

$$u = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 v + ut \right).$$

Hence

$$(24) \quad (\omega_{MC} \times \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1}) \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (ge^{at}, v + ut) \right) = (a, u).$$

Now (23) and (24) imply that for all  $(g, v) \in G \times V$

$$(f^* \omega')_{(g,v)} = \varphi(g, v) \circ ((\omega_{MC})_g \times (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1})_v),$$

where

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi: G \times V &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, V) \\ (g, v) &\mapsto ((a, u) \mapsto g(av + u)). \end{aligned}$$

*Example 5.4.* Let  $H$  be a Lie group equipped with a left  $G$ -action by Lie automorphisms. Take  $N = H \times H$ ,  $N' = H$  and  $f: H \times H \rightarrow H$  the multiplication map. Write  $\omega_H$  for the Maurer-Cartan form on  $H$ . It is  $G$ -equivariant, since  $G$  acts on  $H$  by automorphisms. The Maurer-Cartan form on the direct product  $H \times H$  coincides with  $\omega_H \times \omega_H$ .

For  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{h} = T_e H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \omega_H \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 (h_1 e^{a_1 t}, h_2 e^{a_2 t}) \right) &= \omega_H \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 h_1 h_2 h_2^{-1} e^{a_1 t} h_2 e^{a_2 t} \right) \\ &= \omega_H \left( \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 h_1 h_2 \exp(\text{Ad}_{h_2^{-1}}(a_1 t)) e^{a_2 t} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \exp(\text{Ad}_{h_2^{-1}}(a_1) t) \exp(a_2 t) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{h_2^{-1}}(a_1) + a_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(f^*\omega_H)_{h_1, h_2} = \varphi(h_1, h_2) \circ (\omega_H \times \omega_H)_{h_1, h_2}$ , where

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi: H \times H &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) \\ (h_1, h_2) &\mapsto ((a_1, a_2) \mapsto \text{Ad}_{h_2^{-1}}(a_1) + a_2). \end{aligned}$$

**5.4. Synthesis.** The aim of this section is to obtain rather general Lie-type formulas by combining the results already obtained in this section. We will treat the special cases in examples.

Let  $F_1, F_2$  be natural bundles and  $h_1: F_1(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_1, h_2: F_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N_2$  morphisms of fibred manifolds over  $M$ . Suppose  $\omega_1: TN_1 \rightarrow V_1, \omega_2: TN_2 \rightarrow V_2, \omega: TN \rightarrow V$  are  $G$ -equivariant forms,  $f: N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow N$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map, and  $\varphi: N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_1 \oplus V_2, V)$  is a smooth map such that

$$(f^*\omega)_z = \varphi(z) \circ (\omega_1 \times \omega_2)_z.$$

Let  $\eta: F \rightarrow F_1 \times F_2$  be a natural bundle map. Define

$$h_1 \times_{f, \eta} h_2: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$$

to be the composition  $(\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \times_M h_2) \circ \eta_M$ .

Fix  $y \in F(M)$ . Then  $\eta_M(y) = (y_1, y_2)$  for suitable  $y_1 \in F_1(M), y_2 \in F_2(M)$ . Using (14) and (21), we get

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega(h_1 \times_{f, \eta} h_2)(y) &= \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega((\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \times_M h_2) \circ \eta_M)(y) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega((\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \times_M h_2))(y_1, y_2) \\ &= (\text{id} \times \varphi)((h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2))(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $h_1 \times_M h_2$ , we have

$$(h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2) = [p, (p \setminus h_1(y_1), p \setminus h_2(y_2))].$$

Hence

$$(\text{id} \times \varphi)((h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2)) = [p, \varphi(p \setminus h_1(y_1), p \setminus h_2(y_2))].$$

By (15), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(h_1 \times_M h_2)(y_1, y_2) = [p, (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1} h_1(y_1), p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_2} h_2(y_2))].$$

Thence (27) becomes

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^\omega(h_1 \times_{f, \eta} h_2)(y) &= [p, \varphi(p \setminus h_1(y_1), p \setminus h_2(y_2)) \\ &\quad (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_1} h_1(y_1), p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}^{\omega_2} h_2(y_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 5.5.* Suppose  $s \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G V)$  and  $\beta: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V$ , where  $V$  is a  $G$ -module. We define  $\eta_M: F(M) \rightarrow M \times_M F(M)$  to be  $Z \mapsto (x, Z)$  for every  $x \in M$  and  $Z \in F(M)_x$ . Write  $f$  for the action map  $G \times V \rightarrow V$ . Then  $s \cdot \beta$



defined at the beginning of the section coincides with  $s \times_{f,\eta} \beta$ . Taking  $\varphi$  defined by (25) and applying (28), we get for  $x \in M$ ,  $p \in P_x$  and  $Z \in T_x M$

$$\begin{aligned} p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s \cdot \beta)(Z) &= \varphi(p \backslash s(x), p \backslash \beta(Z))(p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s(x), p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}\beta(Z)) \\ &= (p \backslash s(x)) \left( (p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s(x))(p \backslash \beta(Z)) + p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}\beta(Z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s \cdot \beta) = s \cdot (\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s \cdot \beta + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}\beta).$$

*Example 5.6.* Now let  $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G {}_cG)$ . Notice that the multiplication map  $\mu_G: {}_cG \times {}_cG \rightarrow {}_cG$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Define  $\eta_M: M \rightarrow M \times_M M$  by  $\eta_M(x) = (x, x)$ . We write  $s_1 \cdot s_2$  for  $s_1 \times_{\mu_G, \eta} s_2$ . Taking  $\varphi$  defined by (26) and applying (28), we get for all  $x \in M$  and  $p \in P_x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s_1 \cdot s_2)(x) &= \varphi(p \backslash s_1(x), p \backslash s_2(x))(p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_1(x), p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_2(x)) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{(p \backslash s_2(x))^{-1}} (p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_1(x)) + (p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_2(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus with appropriate definitions for  $s_2^{-1}$  and  $\text{Ad}_{s_2^{-1}}$ , we get

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(s_1 \cdot s_2) = \text{Ad}_{s_2^{-1}} (\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_1) + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}s_2.$$

Now we turn our attention to the Leibniz rule involving tensor product. Let  $E_1, E_2$  be natural vector bundles and  $h_1: E_1(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V_1$ ,  $h_2: E_2(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V_2$  morphisms of vector bundles,  $f: V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V$  a homomorphism of  $G$ -modules, and  $\eta: E \rightarrow E_1 \otimes E_2$  a natural transformation of natural vector bundles. Write  $h_1 \otimes_{f,\eta} h_2$  for the composition  $(\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \otimes h_2) \circ \eta_M$ .

Fix  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in E(M)_x$ , and  $p \in P_x$ . Then  $\eta_M(y)$  can be written as  $\sum_{i \in I} y_{1,i} \otimes y_{2,i}$  for suitable elements  $y_{1,i} \in E_1(M)_x$  and  $y_{2,i} \in E_2(M)_x$ . Using (14) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes_{f,\eta} h_2)(y) &= \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}((\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \otimes h_2) \circ \eta_M)(y) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}((\text{id} \times f) \circ (h_1 \otimes h_2))(\eta_M(y)) \\ &= (\text{id} \times f) (\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes h_2)(\eta_M(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes h_2)(\eta_M(y)) &= \sum_{i \in I} (p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}h_1(y_{1,i}) \otimes p \backslash h_2(y_{2,i})) \\ &\quad + p \backslash h_1(y_{1,i}) \otimes p \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}h_2(y_{2,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Thence

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(h_1 \otimes_{f,\eta} h_2)(y) &= \sum_{i \in I} [p, f(p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_1(y_{1,i}) \otimes p \setminus h_2(y_{2,i}))] \\ &+ [p, f(p \setminus h_1(y_{1,i}) \otimes p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} h_2(y_{2,i}))]. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 5.7.* Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^1(M, P \times_G V)$ , where  $V$  is a  $G$ -module. Define  $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \Omega^2(M, P \times_G V)$  by

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)(Z_1, Z_2) = \alpha(Z_1) \cdot \beta(Z_2) - \alpha(Z_2) \cdot \beta(Z_1).$$

Alternatively, it can be written as the composition  $(\text{id} \times f) \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \eta_M$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_M: \Lambda^2 TM &\rightarrow TM \otimes TM \\ Z_1 \wedge Z_2 &\mapsto Z_1 \otimes Z_2 - Z_2 \otimes Z_1 \end{aligned}$$

and  $f: \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$  is the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$ . Notice that  $f$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Thence  $\alpha \wedge \beta = \alpha \otimes_{f,\eta} \beta$ . Applying (31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha \wedge \beta)(Z_1, Z_2) &= (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \alpha(Z_1))(p \setminus \beta(Z_2)) + (p \setminus \alpha(Z_1))(p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \beta(Z_2)) \\ &- (p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \alpha(Z_2))(p \setminus \beta(Z_1)) - (p \setminus \alpha(Z_2))(p \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \beta(Z_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(32) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} \beta.$$

*Remark 5.8.* More generally we can take  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^\ell(M, P \times_G V)$ . Then  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  can be defined as  $\alpha \otimes_{f,\eta} \beta$ , where  $f$  is the same as above and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_M: \Lambda^{k+\ell} TM &\rightarrow \Lambda^k TM \otimes \Lambda^\ell TM \\ Z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Z_{k+\ell} &\mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{k,\ell}} (-1)^\sigma Z_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge Z_{\sigma(k)} \otimes Z_{\sigma(k+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge Z_{\sigma(k+\ell)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Sh}_{k,\ell}$  is the set of all  $(k, \ell)$ -shuffles. As expected, for every  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)^G$  one gets

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{L}_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \mathcal{L}_X \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_X \beta.$$

## 6. CARTAN MAGIC FORMULA

Suppose  $\nabla$  is a covariant derivative on a vector bundle  $E$ . Then the exterior covariant derivative  $d^\nabla$  of  $\beta \in \Omega^k(M, E)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla \beta(X_0, \dots, X_k) &:= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \nabla_{X_j} (\beta(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \beta([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

In the special case when  $\nabla$  is a covariant derivative on  $P \times_G V$  induced by a  $G$ -principal connection on  $P$ , we get

$$\nabla_{X_j}(\beta(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k)) = \mathcal{L}_{X_j^H}(\beta(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k)),$$

where  $X_j^H$  is the horizontal lift of  $X_j$  to  $P$ . Indeed, let  $s \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G V)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{X^H}(s)(x) &= \mathcal{L}_{(X, X_V^H)}(s)(x) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi_{X_V^H}^{-t} \circ s \circ \Phi_X^t(x) \right) \\ &= (\nabla_X s)(x), \end{aligned}$$

since  $X_V^H$  is the horizontal lift of  $X$  to  $P \times_G V$ . Hence

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} d^\nabla \beta(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \mathcal{L}_{X_j^H}(\beta(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \beta([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  and  $Z$  be vector fields on  $M$ . The section  $\beta(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$  of  $P \times_G V$  is the composition of  $\wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j: M \rightarrow \wedge^k TM$  and  $\beta: \wedge^k TM \rightarrow P \times_G V$ . Write  $\widetilde{Z}$  for the canonical lift of  $Z$  to  $\wedge^k TM$ . Applying Proposition 5.1, we get

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{Z^H}(\beta \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) = T\beta \circ \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(Z, \widetilde{Z})}(\wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) + (\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{(\widetilde{Z}, Z_V^H)}\beta) \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j.$$

Applying  $\text{id} \times (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{vl}_V^{-1})$  to both sides, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z^H}(\beta \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) = \beta \circ \mathcal{L}_{(Z, \widetilde{Z})}(\wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) + (\mathcal{L}_{(\widetilde{Z}, Z_V^H)}\beta) \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j.$$

Now  $\mathcal{L}_{(Z, \widetilde{Z})}$  is the usual Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  of tensor fields and  $\mathcal{L}_{(\widetilde{Z}, Z_V^H)}$  was abbreviated as  $\mathcal{L}_{Z^H}$ . Hence

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Z^H}(\beta \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) &= \beta \circ \mathcal{L}_Z(\wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j) + (\mathcal{L}_{Z^H}\beta) \circ \wedge_{j=1}^k Y_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \beta(Y_1, \dots, [Z, Y_j], \dots, Y_k) + \mathcal{L}_{Z^H}\beta(Y_1, \dots, Y_k). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $X_0 = Z$  and  $X_i = Y_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  in (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
i_Z d^\nabla \beta(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) &= \mathcal{L}_{Z^H}(\beta(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)) - \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \mathcal{L}_{Y_j^H}(\beta(Z, Y_1, \dots, \widehat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \beta([Z, Y_j], Y_1, \dots, \widehat{Y}_j, \dots, k) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \beta(Z, [Y_i, Y_j], Y_1, \dots, \widehat{Y}_i, \dots, \widehat{Y}_j, \dots, Y_k) \\
&= (\mathcal{L}_{Z^H} \beta)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - d^\nabla(i_Z \beta)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the Cartan magic formula

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{L}_{Z^H} \beta = i_Z(d^\nabla \beta) + d^\nabla(i_Z \beta).$$

It is common to define the covariant-Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla \beta$  to be  $i_Z(d^\nabla \beta) + d^\nabla(i_Z \beta)$  (cf. [4, p. 352]). Thus  $\mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla \beta = \mathcal{L}_{Z^H} \beta$ . Inspired by this fact, we define the *covariant-Darboux-Lie* derivative  $\mathcal{L}_Z^{\omega, \nabla} h$  with  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G N$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^1(N, V)^G$  as  $\mathcal{L}_{Z^H}^{\omega, \nabla} h$ . With this definition, for all  $s, s' \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G {}_cG)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^\ell(M, P \times_G V)$  one gets from (30), (29), (33)

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla(s' \cdot s) = \text{Ad}_{s^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla s') + \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla s$$

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla(s \cdot \beta) = s(\mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla s \cdot \beta) + \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla \beta$$

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \mathcal{L}_Z^\nabla \beta.$$

Applying results of Section 4, we can compare covariant-Darboux-Lie derivatives computed with respect to different connections. Suppose  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is another covariant derivative on  $P \times_G V$  that corresponds to a  $G$ -principal connection on  $P$ . Write  $X^{\widetilde{H}}$  for the horizontal lift of a vector field on  $M$  with respect to  $\widetilde{\nabla}$ . Then there is a unique section  $a \in \Gamma(M, P \times_G \mathfrak{g})$  such that  $X^H - X^{\widetilde{H}} = X^a$ . If  $V$  is a  $G$ -module and  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G V$  is a vector bundle map, Proposition 4.1 implies that

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{L}_X^\nabla h - \mathcal{L}_X^{\widetilde{\nabla}} h = -a \cdot h.$$

If  $h: F(M) \rightarrow P \times_G {}_cG$  is a fiber-bundle map, Proposition 4.2 implies that

$$(41) \quad \mathcal{L}_X^\nabla h - \mathcal{L}_X^{\widetilde{\nabla}} h = a \circ p_M - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(a),$$

where  $p_M: F(M) \rightarrow M$  is the projection of the fiber bundle  $F(M)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. B. A. Epstein and W. P. Thurston. Transformation groups and natural bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 38(2):219–236, 1979.
- [2] Marco Godina and Paolo Matteucci. Reductive  $G$ -structures and Lie derivatives. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 47(1):66–86, 2003.
- [3] Marco Godina and Paolo Matteucci. The Lie derivative of spinor fields: theory and applications. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, 2(2):159–188, 2005.
- [4] Werner Greub, Stephen Halperin, and Ray Vanstone. *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Vol. II: Lie groups, principal bundles, and characteristic classes*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 47-II. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1973.
- [5] Josef Janyška and Ivan Kolář. Lie derivatives on vector bundles. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications (Nové Město na Moravě, 1980)*, pages 111–116. Univ. Karlova, Prague, 1982.
- [6] Ivan Kolář, Peter W. Michor, and Jan Slovák. *Natural operations in differential geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] Andrzej Trautman. Invariance of Lagrangian systems. In *General relativity (papers in honour of J. L. Synge)*, pages 85–99. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II 132, 84084 FISCIANO, ITALY

*Email address:* antondenicola@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 3001-501 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL

*Email address:* yudin@mat.uc.pt