

BROWNIAN MOTION ON THE UNITARY QUANTUM GROUP. CONSTRUCTION AND CUTOFF.

JEAN DELHAYE

ABSTRACT. In this study, we construct an analog of the Brownian motion on free unitary quantum groups and compute its cutoff profile.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. The quantum unitary Brownian motion	8
4. Restricting the study to a smaller algebra	16
5. The limit profile	19
6. Non-uniqueness of limit profiles	21
Appendix	23
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of irreducible, aperiodic, finite-state Markov chains, with $\mu_N(t)$ denoting the distribution of X_N after t steps and $\mu_N(\infty)$ its stationary distribution. Define the total variation distance to equilibrium at time t as

$$d_N(t) = d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_N(t), \mu_N(\infty)),$$

where the total variation distance $d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu)$ between two probability measures μ and ν on a compact set K is given by

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{A \subset K} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Borel sets. Consider a sequence of times $(t_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$. We say that $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ exhibits a cutoff in total variation distance at time $(t_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ if, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N((1 - \epsilon)t_N) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N((1 + \epsilon)t_N) = 0.$$

This indicates that the convergence to equilibrium undergoes a sharp transition, collapsing from 1 to 0 around the time t_N .

The first example of the cutoff phenomenon in groups comes from the work of P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani in the 1980s on finite groups [15]. This groundbreaking discovery sparked significant interest in the phenomenon, leading to the identification of numerous examples across various contexts. Notable cases include Brownian motion on simple compact Lie groups and symmetric spaces [28], as well as random walks on random graphs [26]. In this paper,

we focus on quantum compact groups, an object initially introduced by S.L. Woronowicz in [36]. The representation theory of compact groups extends naturally to the quantum setting, enabling the application of similar techniques. This has been studied extensively, starting with finite quantum groups, as explored by J.P. McCarthy in [27], and extended to infinite compact quantum groups in works such as [20, 21].

To gain deeper insight into this phenomenon, we zoom in on the interval where this sharp transition occurs. The cutoff phenomenon suggests that the width of this interval is negligible compared to the sequence $(t_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, prompting us to investigate the next significant higher-order term. Specifically, if there exists a sequence $(s_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a continuous function f that decreases from 1 to 0 such that, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t_N + cs_N) = f(c),$$

then f is referred to as the *cutoff profile* or *limit profile* of $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$.

While determining a cutoff profile is inherently challenging, there are several processes for which such profiles have been established. For instance, in the case of discrete processes like random walks on finite groups, the random transposition shuffle [33] exhibits the following asymptotic behavior:

$$d_N \left(\frac{N}{2} \ln N + cN \right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}} \left(\text{Pois}(1 + e^{-c}), \text{Pois}(1) \right), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Other examples include the lazy random walk on the hypercube [31], the dovetail shuffle [5], the simple exclusion process on the circle [24], the Ehrenfest Urn model with multiple urns [30], the Gibbs Sampler [30], and random cycles [30].

The study of cutoff profiles on compact quantum groups is relatively recent and primarily based on the work [23], where the authors compute the profile for the Brownian motion on the quantum orthogonal group O_N^+ and the quantum permutation group S_N^+ , as well as for quantum random transpositions on S_N^+ .

While the Brownian motion is the most natural continuous process on simple compact Lie groups, defining a clear analog on most compact quantum groups is not straightforward. The orthogonal and permutation quantum groups provide natural processes that can be interpreted as such (stemming from analogies between the central generating functionals on O_N^+ and S_N^+ , as proved in [12, Thm 10.2] and [18, Thm 10.10], and the classical case for compact Lie groups in [25]). However, extending this concept to other compact quantum groups presents significant challenges.

Our main result is the identification and analysis of the Brownian motion on the unitary quantum group U_N^+ , which is detailed in Section 3, along with the partial computation of its limit profile, presented in Section 5. Specifically, we establish in Corollary 5.2 that, for appropriate extensions \tilde{d}_N of the distances d_N in the quantum setting, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_N \left(N \ln(\sqrt{2}N) + cN \right) &\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}} \left(\text{Meix}^+(-e^{-c}, 0) * \delta_{e^{-c}}, \nu_{\text{SC}} \right), \quad c \geq 0, \\ \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}_N \left(N \ln(\sqrt{2}N) + cN \right) &\geq d_{\text{TV}} \left(\text{Meix}^+(-e^{-c}, 0) * \delta_{e^{-c}}, \nu_{\text{SC}} \right), \quad c < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ν_{SC} denotes the semicircle distribution and Meix^+ refers to the free Meixner distribution (see Subsection 2.2 for definitions).

In most cases, the cutoff profile typically takes the form

$$c \longmapsto d_{\text{TV}}(\nu_c, \text{Haar}_\infty), \quad c \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ν_c represents a limit measure (or state) for the process at time $t_N + cs_N$. The main difficulty in the quantum setting arises because the process is not absolutely continuous with respect to the stationary distribution whenever $c < c_0$ (for some $c_0 \in (0, 1)$, depending on the scaling of the sequences). This phenomenon, first noted in [23], shows that processes exhibit an atom in certain regions. In our case, the central algebra of the quantum unitary group U_N^+ is not commutative, unlike O_N^+ and S_N^+ . Additionally, in the region where absolute continuity is lost, the singular part does not reduce to a single atom, but rather involves a more complex structure that we were unable to fully identify, which is why we can only establish an lower bound in this region.

The article concludes in Section 6, where we discuss the general observation that cutoff profiles tend to be similar across different structures. This raises the question of whether the structure alone determines the cutoff profile. We address this by providing an example of a process on O_N^+ that exhibits a distinct limit profile from that of Brownian motion.

Acknowledgement. We express our deepest gratitude to our advisor, A. Freslon, for proposing this problem and for his invaluable guidance throughout this work. We are also sincerely thankful to A. Skalski and L. Teyssier for their insightful feedback on earlier versions of this article, which helped us address inconsistencies and clarify certain points.

For more information, we encourage the reader to consult [13] for an excellent source on mixing times, and [22], [29], or [4] for a detailed study of compact quantum groups and their representation theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we will focus on compact quantum groups, which may be unfamiliar to probabilist readers. To address this, we will dedicate a preliminary section to defining and presenting key aspects. Specifically, we will introduce free unitary and orthogonal quantum groups, along with relevant results regarding Lévy processes on these objects.

2.1. Free unitary quantum groups. Free unitary quantum groups, a specific class of compact quantum groups, were introduced by S. Wang in [35]. Quantum groups, more broadly, were originally defined by S.L. Woronowicz [36]. These structures involve C*-algebras, fitting their noncommutative topological nature. However, in this article, we will present an alternative definition that emphasizes the algebraic aspects, making it more accessible to non-expert readers.

Let us recall that a **-algebra* is a complex unital algebra A endowed with an *involution* $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$, i.e. an antimultiplicative antilinear map such that $x^{**} = x$ for all $x \in A$. Also, a **-ideal* B of A is a **-subalgebra* such that $aB \cup Ba \subset B$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.1. We define $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ to be the universal $*$ -algebra generated by N^2 elements $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N u_{ik} u_{jk}^* = \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ki}^* u_{kj} \quad \& \quad \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ik}^* u_{jk} = \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ki} u_{kj}^*.$$

In other words, $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+) = \mathbb{C}\langle u_{ij} \rangle_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} / I$ where $\mathbb{C}\langle u_{ij} \rangle_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ denotes the $*$ -algebra of noncommutative polynomials in variables u_{ij}, u_{ij}^* ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$) and I denotes the $*$ -ideal generated by the elements

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ik} u_{jk}^* - \delta_{ij}, \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ki}^* u_{kj} - \delta_{ij}, \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ik}^* u_{jk} - \delta_{ij}, \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ki} u_{kj}^* - \delta_{ij} \right\}.$$

Let U_N be the usual unitary group, $c_{ij} : U_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the function sending a matrix to its (i, j) -th coefficient and let $\mathcal{O}(U_N)$ be the algebra of *regular functions* on U_N , i.e. the $*$ -algebra generated by the functions c_{ij} , where the involution corresponds to the complex conjugation: $c_{ij}^* = \bar{c}_{ij}$. Then, quotienting $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ by its commutator ideal yields a surjection

$$\pi \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(U_N) \\ u_{ij} & \longmapsto & c_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

In that sense, U_N^+ can be thought of as a noncommutative version of U_N . The group structure of U_N can be recovered in an algebraic way on $\mathcal{O}(U_N)$ via the following remark:

$$c_{ij}(gh) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{ik}(g) c_{kj}(h) = \sum_{k=1}^N (c_{ik} \otimes c_{kj})(g, h), \quad g, h \in U_N, 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

The ‘‘group law’’ on U_N^+ is therefore given by the unique $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \otimes \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$, called *coproduct*, such that

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^N u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}.$$

The existence of Δ follows from the universal property. Additionally, let us outline the existence of the *counit* and the *antipode*, which are crucial objects. The counit is given by the homomorphism $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$. The antipode, on the other hand, is the antihomomorphism $S : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$, defined by $S(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}^*$. These maps serve as the unit and the inverse map in the noncommutative setting, respectively.

In this context, probability measures can be generalized by identifying them with their integration linear forms. These forms correspond to *states*, which are unital positive linear forms on $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$. Notably, there exists a particular state that serves as the analog of the Haar measure on U_N^+ (see [36]).

Theorem 2.2. *There is a unique state h on U_N^+ such that*

$$(\text{id} \otimes h) \circ \Delta(x) = h(x) \otimes 1 = (h \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O}(U_N^+).$$

It is called the Haar state of U_N^+ .

Remark 2.3. Let us point out the fact that the Haar state is *tracial*, i.e. we have $h(xy) = h(yx)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$.

The use of representation theory as a powerful tool to investigate the asymptotic behavior of random walks on groups has been established since the seminal works of P. Diaconis and his coauthors (see, for example, [14, Chap 4]). To describe the representations of U_N^+ , we will make use of the *free orthogonal quantum group* O_N^+ , given by the $*$ -algebra that is the quotient $\mathcal{O}(O_N^+) \simeq \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)/\{u_{ij} - u_{ij}^*\}$. We will denote by o_{ij} the image of u_{ij} under the quotient map $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O_N^+)$. The coproduct factors through the quotient map yielding a compact quantum group structure.

If z denotes the identity function on \mathbb{T} , then it was proven in [3, Prop 7] that the map $u_{ij} \mapsto o_{ij}z$ extends to an isomorphism of compact quantum groups between $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ and its image in $\mathcal{O}(O_N^+) * \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T})$. T. Banica proved in [2] that the characters of the irreducible representations of O_N^+ may be labelled by the nonnegative integers $(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\chi_0 = 1, \quad \chi_1 = \sum_{j=1}^N o_{jj} \quad \& \quad \chi_1 \chi_n = \chi_{n+1} + \chi_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

This relation is reminiscent of that of *Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind*. That is the sequence of polynomials $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ recursively given by

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_1 = X \quad \& \quad XP_n = P_{n+1} + P_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Note that we have the relation $\chi_n = P_n(\chi_1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It then follows from the description of the representation theory of free products [35] that the characters of U_N^+ can be recovered as products of characters of O_N^+ and powers of z . A precise description is given in [34, Prop 4.3] which we reproduce here.

Theorem 2.4. *The characters of the nontrivial irreducible representations of U_N^+ are the elements of the form*

$$\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon := z^{[\epsilon_1]_-} \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_2} \dots z^{\epsilon_p} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_{p+1}]_+},$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*p}$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$, $[\epsilon]_- = \min(\epsilon, 0)$, $[\epsilon]_+ = \max(\epsilon, 0)$ and $\epsilon_{i+1} = (-1)^{n_i+1} \epsilon_i$. The dimensions can be recovered by applying the counit

$$d_{\mathbf{n}} := \varepsilon(z^{[\epsilon_1]_-} \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_2} \dots z^{\epsilon_p} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_{p+1}]_+}) = P_{n_1}(N) \dots P_{n_p}(N).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$ the algebra generated by the characters and call it the *central algebra* of U_N^+ . An important feature of this subalgebra is the existence of a conditional expectation (see [1, Chap 9]) $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$ that leaves the Haar state invariant, let us recall the definition of such an object.

Definition 2.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra (that is a Banach $*$ -algebra such that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$) and $B \subset A$ a subalgebra of A . We call *conditional expectation* from A onto B a linear map $\mathbb{E} : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying:

- (i) $\mathbb{E}[A_+] \subset B_+$ where $A_+ = \{a^*a : a \in A\}$ and $B_+ = A_+ \cap B$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}[b] = b$ for all $b \in B$;
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[bab'] = b\mathbb{E}[a]b'$ for all $a \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Note that a conditional expectation is always a norm-one projection. The importance of such an object will become apparent later on.

Let us now describe the quantum analogue of a Lévy process on U_N^+ . We call (*quantum*) *Lévy process* on U_N^+ any right-continuous convolution semigroup of states, i.e. a family $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of states on U_N^+ such that

- $\psi_0 = \varepsilon$;
- $\psi_t \star \psi_s := (\psi_t \otimes \psi_s) \circ \Delta = \psi_{t+s}$;
- $\psi_t \rightarrow \psi_0$ weakly as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We call *generating functional* on U_N^+ an hermitian functional $L : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that vanishes on 1 and is positive on $\ker \varepsilon$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lévy processes and generating functionals (see [19, Sec 1.5]) via the formulas

$$L = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi_t - \varepsilon}{t},$$

$$\psi_t = \exp_{\star}(tL) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(tL)^{\star n}}{n!}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Definition 2.6. We will say that a Lévy process $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is *central* if all the ψ_t 's are central, i.e. if $\psi_t = \psi_t \circ \mathbb{E}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Equivalently, a Lévy process is central if its associated generating functional L is *central*, that is, $L = L \circ \mathbb{E}$.

The notion of centrality will become relevant in Section 3 as we introduce the quantum unitary Brownian motion as a central Lévy process. Observe that for any generating functional L on $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$, the composition $L \circ \mathbb{E}$ also defines a generating functional on $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ which we call the *centralized* generating functional. Thus, we may consider centralized generating functional and when doing so have in mind that all their information is contained within the restriction of L to the central algebra $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$.

2.2. The cutoff phenomenon. In this subsection, we introduce the concept of the *cutoff phenomenon* within the framework of quantum groups. The cutoff phenomenon, a sharp transition in the convergence to equilibrium, is a significant topic in probability theory and has been widely studied in classical settings. Here, we aim to extend this understanding to the realm of quantum groups, particularly focusing on the unitary quantum group. We will provide necessary definitions, discuss the associated Lévy processes, and outline the framework used to investigate the *limit profiles*.

One may consider the universal enveloping C*-algebra $C(U_N^+)$ associated with $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ (see, for instance, [7, Sec II.8.3]). By definition, any state on $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ uniquely extends to a state on $C(U_N^+)$, thus yielding an element of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, which corresponds to the topological dual $C(U_N^+)^*$ of $C(U_N^+)$. By the Riesz representation theorem, this dual space can be viewed as a noncommutative analogue of the measure algebra, equipped with the total variation norm. We denote the norm on this dual space as $\|\cdot\|_{FS}$, referring to it as the Fourier-Stieltjes norm.

Furthermore, the topological double dual $C(U_N^+)^{**}$ of $C(U_N^+)$ is recognized as the universal enveloping von Neumann algebra of $C(U_N^+)$, serving as a noncommutative and universal analogue of classical measure spaces. Using the theory of Haagerup's noncommutative L^p -spaces,

we can readily adapt the argument from [21, Lem 2.6] to show that:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{FS} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |\varphi(p) - \psi(p)|,$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the set of orthogonal projections in $C(U_N^+)^{**}$, which can be thought of as the noncommutative counterparts to indicator functions of Borel subsets. The proof details are omitted here, as they are not required for our current discussion. This generalized total variation distance will be employed in our analysis of cutoff phenomena, and we will denote this distance as

$$d_{TV}(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{FS}.$$

In the classical setting, a particularly significant case arises when both μ and ν are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure. We can consider a similar scenario in the quantum context. Define an inner product on $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ by $\langle x, y \rangle = h(xy^*)$. Completing this inner product space yields the Hilbert space $L^2(U_N^+)$, and $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ embeds into $B(L^2(U_N^+))$ via left multiplication (see [29, Cor 1.7.5] and the comments thereafter). The weak closure of this image is denoted by $L^\infty(U_N^+)$ and forms a von Neumann algebra.

If $\varphi : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map that extends to a normal bounded map on $L^\infty(U_N^+)$, then φ is an element of the Fourier algebra, which is the Banach space predual $L^\infty(U_N^+)_*$ of $L^\infty(U_N^+)$. In this case, $\|\varphi\|_{FS} = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U_N^+)_*}$ (see [11, Prop 3.14]), which further implies, by [21, Lem 2.6], that:

$$d_{TV}(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} |\varphi(p) - \psi(p)|,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the set of orthogonal projections in $L^\infty(U_N^+)$. It is important to note that for this formula to be applicable, the states φ and ψ must extend to the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(U_N^+)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $(\mathbb{G}_N, \varphi_{t \geq 0}^{(N)})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact quantum groups each equipped with a Lévy process. We say that they exhibit a *cutoff phenomenon* at time $(t_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$d_{TV}(\varphi_{t_N(1-\epsilon)}^{(N)}, h_N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 1 \quad \& \quad d_{TV}(\varphi_{t_N(1+\epsilon)}^{(N)}, h_N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

where h_N denotes the Haar state of \mathbb{G}_N . More precisely, given a continuous function f decreasing from 1 to 0 such that

$$d_{TV}(\varphi_{t_N + cs_N}, h) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} f(c), \quad c \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some sequence $(s_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $s_N = o(t_N)$, we say that f is the *limit profile* of the process.

Remark 2.8. Note that a limit profile is unique only up to affine transformation. Specifically, suppose a given limit profile f is attained along the sequences $(t_N, s_N)_N$. Then, for any $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the limit profile $c \mapsto f(ac+b)$ is achieved along the sequences $(t_N + bs_N, as_N)_N$. Now, consider a single process that exhibits two distinct limit profiles, f and f' , along the sequences $(t_N, s_N)_N$ and $(t'_N, s'_N)_N$, respectively. Up to an affine transformation, we may assume that these limit profiles coincide at two points, say 0 and 1, and that f is strictly decreasing in a

neighborhood of both 0 and 1 (as the function decreases from 1 to 0). Then, if $d_N(t)$ denotes the distance between the process and the Haar state at time t , we have:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t_N) = f(0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t'_N), \quad \& \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t_N + s_N) = f(1) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t'_N + s'_N).$$

Given that the d_N 's are decreasing (see [23, Lem 2.6]), it follows that $t'_N = t_N + o(s_N)$ and $s'_N = s_N + o(s_N)$. To see this, suppose, for instance, that the first equality does not hold. This would imply that, possibly after passing to a subsequence, we have

$$t'_N \geq t_N + cs_N$$

for some $c > 0$ and for N sufficiently large (the inequality could be reversed and $c < 0$, but the argument remains the same). This inequality would further imply that

$$d_N(t_N) \leq d_N(t_N + cs_N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} f(c) < f(0),$$

which is a contradiction. A similar argument shows that the second equality must hold as well. Hence, we conclude that $f = f'$.

In this paper, we explore the limit profile of the Brownian motion on U_N^+ which is shared by the Brownian motion on O_N^+ , with the primary challenge being the identification of suitable sequences $(t_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(s_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$. We denote by ν_{SC} the *semi-circular* distribution, which is defined as follows:

$$d\nu_{\text{SC}} = \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{|x| < 2} dx,$$

and its L^2 -space has the Chebyshev polynomials as an orthonormal basis, as introduced just before Theorem 2.4. For any real number c , let η_c represent the distribution defined by

$$d\eta_c = (1 - e^{2c}) \mathbf{1}_{c < 0} \delta_{e^c + e^{-c}} + \frac{e^c \sqrt{4-x^2} \mathbf{1}_{|x| < 2}}{2\pi(e^c + e^{-c} - x)} dx.$$

In essence, η_c is the shifted free Meixner law $\text{Meix}(-e^{-c}, 0) * \delta_{e^{-c}}$. For further details on free Meixner laws, we refer the reader to [8, Sec 2.2]. It is important to note that the semi-circular distribution can be considered a free Meixner law with parameters $(0, 0)$, emphasizing that η_c is effectively a deformation of ν_{SC} . Moreover, it has been established in [23, Lem 3.12] that η_c is the unique measure satisfying

$$\eta_c(P_n) = e^{-cn}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The limit profile of the Brownian motion on U_N^+ is determined by the function mapping each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ to the total variation distance between η_c and ν_{SC} .

3. THE QUANTUM UNITARY BROWNIAN MOTION

3.1. Defining the quantum unitary Brownian motion. It is not entirely clear which generating functional on U_N^+ could serve as the analogue of the Brownian motion. Fortunately, a well-defined concept of Brownian motion on O_N^+ has been established. F. Cipriani, U. Franz, and A. Kula provided a classification of central generating functionals on O_N^+ in [12, Thm 10.2]

using an analogue of the Lévy-Khinchine formula. Specifically, they determined that all such functionals have the following form:

$$(3.1) \quad \chi_n \mapsto -bP'_n(N) - \int_{-N}^N \frac{P_n(N) - P_n(x)}{N-x} d\nu(x),$$

for some $b \geq 0$ and positive Borel measure ν on $[-N, N]$.

Comparing this formula with the one established by M. Liao for classical compact Lie groups in [25], we see that the process corresponding to $\nu = 0$ plays a role analogous to the one associated to the Laplace-Beltrami operator. As a consequence, this functional is called the *Brownian motion* on O_N^+ .

We lack a similar decomposition for central generating functionals on U_N^+ , making it challenging to define Brownian motion on this quantum group. Fortunately, the Brownian motion on O_N^+ has other properties that we can leverage to define a Brownian motion on U_N^+ .

Firstly, any centralized Gaussian generating functional on O_N^+ qualifies as a Brownian motion (see the [Appendix](#) for a proof). Secondly, as proved in [10, Prop 3.9], the Laplace-Beltrami operator on O_N acts as a Brownian motion on O_N^+ when composed with the quotient map $\mathcal{O}(O_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O_N)$.

We will start from that last observation. Let us set some notations, we write $U = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ and $\bar{U} = (u_{ij}^*)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$. For a word $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ over the set $\{\diamond, \blacklozenge\}$, we define:

$$U_w := U_{w_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{w_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_w := \chi_{U_w},$$

where $U_\diamond = U$ and $U_\blacklozenge = \bar{U}$. We also denote by $p(w)$, $q(w)$, and $\ell(w)$ the number of occurrences of \diamond , \blacklozenge , and the total number of letters in the word w , respectively. We claim that functionals $L : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, satisfying for any word w :

$$(3.2) \quad L_w := L(\chi_w) = -\ell N^{\ell-1} \alpha - \left((p-q)^2 - \ell \right) N^{\ell-2} \beta,$$

where $p := p(w)$, $q := q(w)$, and $\ell := \ell(w)$, for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha \geq \beta/N$, adhere to the expected behavior and properties of Brownian motions, thereby justifying their classification as such.

As expected, centralized real-valued Gaussian generating functionals on U_N^+ are of this form (see the [Appendix](#) for a proof). Let us prove that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on U_N is of this form when considered on U_N^+ .

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_N of the unitary group U_N consists of $N \times N$ skew-Hermitian matrices. The family

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} E_{ij} - E_{ji}/2\sqrt{N} & \text{if } i < j, \\ iE_{ii}/\sqrt{2(N-1)} & \text{if } i = j, \\ i(E_{ij} + E_{ji})/2\sqrt{N} & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N,$$

where E_{ij} is the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) -th entry and zeros elsewhere, forms an orthonormal basis for the negative Killing form of \mathfrak{u}_N , defined by

$$B(X, Y) = -2N \operatorname{Tr}(XY) + 2 \operatorname{Tr}(X) \operatorname{Tr}(Y).$$

We will use X_{ij} to refer to both the matrix and the associated differential operator. The *Laplace-Beltrami operator* on U_N is defined as $L = (\sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2)|_{g=e}$. We will always consider L through the quotient map $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N)$ and conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any word w on $\{\diamond, \blacklozenge\}$, we have*

$$L(\chi_w) = -\ell(w)N^{\ell(w)-1}\alpha - \left((p(w) - q(w))^2 - \ell(w) \right) N^{\ell(w)-2}\beta,$$

with $\alpha = (N^2 - N + 1)/2(N - 1)$ and $\beta = N/2(N - 1)$.

Proof. Let us compute.

$$\begin{aligned} L_\circ := L(\chi_U) &= \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2 \operatorname{Tr} |_e = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \operatorname{Tr} (\exp(sX_{ij}) \exp(tX_{ij}))|_{t,s=0} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Tr}(X_{ij}^2) = -\frac{N^2 - N + 1}{2(N - 1)} = -\alpha; \\ L_{\circ\circ} := L(\chi_{U \otimes U}) &= \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2 (\operatorname{Tr} \cdot \operatorname{Tr})|_e \\ &= \sum_{i,j} X_{ij} (X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr}) \operatorname{Tr} + \operatorname{Tr} X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr}))|_e \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (X_{ij}^2(\operatorname{Tr}) \operatorname{Tr} + 2X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr})X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr}) + \operatorname{Tr} X_{ij}^2(\operatorname{Tr}))|_e \\ &= 2NL(\chi_U) + 2 \sum_i \operatorname{Tr}(X_{ii})^2 = 2N\alpha - \frac{N}{N - 1} \\ &= -2N\alpha - 2\beta; \\ L_{\circ\bullet} := L(\chi_{U \otimes \bar{U}}) &= \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2 (\operatorname{Tr} \cdot \overline{\operatorname{Tr}})|_e = \sum_{i,j} X_{ij} (X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr})\overline{\operatorname{Tr}} + \operatorname{Tr} X_{ij}(\overline{\operatorname{Tr}}))|_e \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (X_{ij}^2(\operatorname{Tr})\overline{\operatorname{Tr}} + 2X_{ij}(\operatorname{Tr})X_{ij}(\overline{\operatorname{Tr}}) + \operatorname{Tr} X_{ij}^2(\overline{\operatorname{Tr}}))|_e \\ &= N(L(\chi_U) - L(\chi_{\bar{U}})) + 2 \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Tr}(X_{ij})\overline{\operatorname{Tr}}(X_{ij}) = -2N\alpha + \frac{N}{N - 1} \\ &= -2N\alpha + 2\beta. \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{Tr}}$ denotes the function

$$\overline{\operatorname{Tr}} : U_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, g \longmapsto \overline{\operatorname{Tr}(g)}.$$

This shows the result for words of length ≤ 2 . Assume now that $w = w_1 \dots w_\ell$ is a word of length $\ell \geq 3$ and set $p := p(w)$ and $q := q(w)$. Let us fix some notations. We will write W to denote the map $\operatorname{Tr}_{w_1} \dots \operatorname{Tr}_{w_n}$ where $\operatorname{Tr}_\diamond = \operatorname{Tr}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_\blacklozenge = \overline{\operatorname{Tr}}$. We will also write W_n (resp. W_{mn}) to denote the product map of the Tr_{w_j} 's omitting Tr_{w_n} (resp. omitting Tr_{w_m} and Tr_{w_n}). Let us

finally compute.

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\chi_w) &= \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2 W|_e = \sum_{i,j} X_{ij} \left(\sum_n X_{ij} (\text{Tr}_{w_n}) W_n \right) \Big|_e \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \left(\sum_n X_{ij}^2 (\text{Tr}_{w_n}) W_n + \sum_{m \neq n} X_{ij} (\text{Tr}_{w_m}) X_{ij} (\text{Tr}_{w_n}) W_{mn} \right) \Big|_e \\
&= - \sum_n W_n(e) \psi_{w_n} + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{m \neq n} W_{mn}(e) \text{Tr}_{w_m}(X_{ij}) \text{Tr}_{w_n}(X_{ij}) \\
&= N^{\ell-1} (pL_\diamond + qL_\blacklozenge) - N^{\ell-2} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{N(L_{w_m} + L_{w_n}) - L_{w_m w_n}}{2} \\
&= N^{\ell-2} \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} L_{\diamond\diamond} + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} L_{\blacklozenge\blacklozenge} + pqL_{\diamond\blacklozenge} \right) - N^{\ell-1} (\ell-2) (pL_\diamond + qL_\blacklozenge) \\
&= -\ell N^{\ell-1} \alpha - \left((p-q)^2 - \ell \right) N^{\ell-2} \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

This finally proves the result. \square

This further strengthens the justification for labeling functionals of the form (3.2) as Brownian motions.

We are not asserting that every pair (α, β) satisfying $\alpha \geq \beta/N \geq 0$ necessarily defines a central generating functional through Formula (3.2), although this is something we anticipate to be true. Instead, we are stating that all generating functionals of this form should be regarded as Brownian motions. The existence of such examples is guaranteed by the Brownian motion on U_N . Similarly, processes where $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta = 0$ can be constructed by composing a Brownian motion on O_N^+ (a generating functional described by Formula (3.1) with $\nu = 0$) with the quotient map $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O_N^+)$ and the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$. From these two types of examples, many more can be generated, as the set of all generating functionals forms a convex cone.

Definition 3.2. Any central generating functional on U_N^+ of the form

$$L_{\alpha,\beta} : \chi_w \mapsto -\ell(w) N^{\ell(w)-1} \alpha - \left((p(w) - q(w))^2 - \ell(w) \right) N^{\ell(w)-2} \beta, \quad w \text{ word on } \{\diamond, \blacklozenge\},$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is called *Brownian motion* of parameter (α, β) . We will also call Brownian motion of parameter (α, β) , the associated Lévy process.

3.2. Computing the values of the Brownian motion. Let $L = L_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a Brownian motion on U_N^+ . The goal of this subsection is to compute the values of L on all characters of irreducible representations. Note that L coincides with a Gaussian generating functional on the central algebra. Therefore, we may apply Lemma A.3 to L on elements of the central algebra. Our first goal is to understand its values on elementary elements and products of two elementary elements. Specifically, we aim to determine the quantities of the form

$$\Phi_m^{\epsilon_1} := L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} \chi_1^m z^{[\epsilon_2]+}) \quad \& \quad \Phi_{mn}^{\epsilon_1 \eta_1} := L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} \chi_1^m z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \cdot z^{[\eta_1]-} \chi_1^n z^{[\eta_2]+})$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon_1, \eta_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\epsilon_2 = (-1)^{m+1} \epsilon_1$ and $\eta_2 = (-1)^{n+1} \eta_1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\epsilon_1, \eta_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the formulas*

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi_m^{\epsilon_1} = -mN^{m-1}\alpha - (\mathfrak{p}_m - m)N^{m-2}\beta,$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_{mn}^{\epsilon_1\eta_1} = -(m+n)N^{m+n-1}\alpha - \left((\mathfrak{p}_m - \mathfrak{p}_n)^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_1\eta_1}\mathfrak{p}_{mn}^2 - (m+n) \right) N^{m+n-2}\beta$$

where,

$$\mathfrak{p}_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \mathfrak{p}_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ or } n \text{ is even} \\ 2 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let w be the word such that $z^{[\epsilon_1]-}\chi_1^m z^{[\epsilon_2]+} = \chi_w$. It is not hard to see that w is a word of alternating letters of length m . Particularly, $\ell(w) = m$ and $d(w) = \mathfrak{p}_m = d(w)^2$. Now, it is clear that Formula (3.3) follows from Equation (3.2).

For the second formula, we will split the study according to the parity of m and n . Let w_m and w_n be the words such that $z^{[\epsilon_1]-}\chi_1^m z^{[\epsilon_2]+} = \chi_{w_m}$ and $z^{[\eta_1]-}\chi_1^n z^{[\eta_2]+} = \chi_{w_n}$ and set $w := w_m w_n$ so that $\chi_w = z^{[\epsilon_1]-}\chi_1^m z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \cdot z^{[\eta_1]-}\chi_1^n z^{[\eta_2]+}$.

- If m and n are both even, then clearly $d(w_m) = d(w_n) = 0$ and so $d(w) = 0 = \mathfrak{p}_m - \mathfrak{p}_n$, thus Formula (3.4) holds.
- If m is even and n is odd, then $d(w_m) = 0$ and $d(w_n) = 1$ and so $d(w) = 1 = \mathfrak{p}_n - \mathfrak{p}_m$ which is what we wanted. Same holds if m is odd and n is even.
- Now, if both m and n are odd, then $d(w_m) = d(w_n) = 1$ and $d(w) \in \{0, 2\}$. As previously stated, both w_m and w_n are words of alternating letters, so the most present letter in w_m and w_n are their first letter. This gives us the following equivalence relation

$$\begin{aligned} d(w) = 2 &\iff w_m \text{ and } w_n \text{ have the same first letter} \\ &\iff \epsilon_1 = \eta_1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the last case. □

We can now understand the Brownian motion on some irreducible characters.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon_1, \eta_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \Psi_m^{\epsilon_1} := L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-}\chi_m z^{[\epsilon_2]+}) = - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) P'_m(N) - \beta \frac{\mathfrak{p}_m}{N^2} P_m(N),$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{mn}^{\epsilon_1\eta_1} &:= L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-}\chi_m z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \cdot z^{[\eta_1]-}\chi_n z^{[\eta_2]+}) \\ &= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) (P_m P_n)'(N) - \beta \frac{(\mathfrak{p}_m - \mathfrak{p}_n)^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_1\eta_1}\mathfrak{p}_{mn}^2}{N^2} (P_m P_n)(N) \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_2 = (-1)^{m+1}\epsilon_1$ and $\eta_2 = (-1)^{n+1}\eta_1$.

Proof. Let us write $P_m = a_0^m + \dots + a_m^m X^m$. Using Equation (3.3) we have

$$\Psi_m^{\epsilon_1} = L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} P_m(\chi_1) z^{[\epsilon_2]+})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_j a_j^m L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} \chi_1^j z^{[\epsilon_2]+}) \\
&= \sum_j a_j^m \left(-jN^{j-1}\alpha - (\mathfrak{p}_j - j)N^{j-2}\beta \right) \\
&= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) P'_m(N) - \sum_j a_j^m \mathfrak{p}_m N^{j-2}\beta \\
&= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) P'_m(N) - \beta \frac{\mathfrak{p}_m}{N^2} P_m(N).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the fact that $a_j^m = 0$ whenever m and j are of different parities. Now, using Equation (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{mn}^{\epsilon_1 \eta_1} &= L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} P_m(\chi_1) z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \cdot z^{[\eta_1]-} P_n(\chi_1) z^{[\eta_2]+}) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_i^m a_j^n L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} \chi_1^i z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \cdot z^{[\eta_1]-} \chi_1^j z^{[\eta_2]+}) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_i^m a_j^n \left(-(i+j)N^{i+j-1}\alpha - \left((\mathfrak{p}_i - \mathfrak{p}_j)^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_1 \eta_1} \mathfrak{p}_{ij}^2 - (i+j) \right) N^{i+j-2}\beta \right) \\
&= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) (P_m P_n)'(N) - \sum_{i,j} a_i^m a_j^n \left((\mathfrak{p}_i - \mathfrak{p}_j)^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_1 \eta_1} \mathfrak{p}_{ij}^2 \right) N^{i+j-2}\beta \\
&= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) (P_m P_n)'(N) - \beta \frac{(\mathfrak{p}_m - \mathfrak{p}_n)^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_1 \eta_1} \mathfrak{p}_{mn}^2}{N^2} (P_m P_n)(N).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Before stating this section's final theorem, we need one last ingredient. We first need to define the *parity entanglement* of a tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*p}$, to do so let us fix some additional notations.

- $\ell(\mathbf{n}) := p$ the length of \mathbf{n} ;
- $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}} := \sum_{j=1}^p \mathfrak{p}_{n_j}$ the amount of odd numbers among the n_j 's;
- $k_1 := \min\{j \geq 1 : \mathfrak{p}_{n_j} = 1\}$ and $k_{i+1} := \min\{j > k_i : \mathfrak{p}_{n_j} = 1\}$ ($1 \leq i < \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}}$).

We thus define the parity entanglement of \mathbf{n} to be the quantity

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}}} (-1)^{k_j + j - (k_i + i)}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*p}$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$ and recursively define $\epsilon_{i+1} := (-1)^{n_i + 1} \epsilon_i$, then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \delta_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}^2 - \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j} = 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}} \geq 2$ since both terms are null otherwise. First note that for any $1 \leq i < j \leq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}}$:

$$(-1)^{n_{k_i} + \dots + n_{k_{j-1}}} = (-1)^{j-i}.$$

This is because the amount of odd numbers in $\{n_{k_s} : i \leq s < j\}$ is exactly $j - i$. This further implies

$$\epsilon_{k_i} \epsilon_{k_j} = (-1)^{n_{k_i} + \dots + n_{k_{j-1}} + k_j - k_i} \epsilon_{k_i}^2 = (-1)^{n_{k_i} + \dots + n_{k_{j-1}} + k_j - k_i} = (-1)^{k_j + j - (k_i + i)}.$$

We may now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \delta_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}^2 - \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p_{\mathbf{n}}} \delta_{\epsilon_{k_i} \epsilon_{k_j}} \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k_i} n_{k_j}}^2 - \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k_i} n_{k_j}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p_{\mathbf{n}}} 4\delta_{\epsilon_{k_i} \epsilon_{k_j}} - 2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p_{\mathbf{n}}} \epsilon_{k_i} \epsilon_{k_j} \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < j \leq p_{\mathbf{n}}} (-1)^{k_j + j - (k_i + i)} \\ &= 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the second to third line, we have used the fact that $2\delta_{xy} = xy + 1$ when x, y are elements in $\{\pm 1\}$. Thus, the equality holds. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^{*p}$, $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, then*

$$L(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon}) = - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) P'_{\mathbf{n}}(N) - \beta \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} P_{\mathbf{n}}(N),$$

where $P_{\mathbf{n}} = P_{n_1} \dots P_{n_p}$.

Proof. Using Lemma A.3, Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon}) &= L(z^{[\epsilon_1]-} \chi_{n_1} z^{[\epsilon_2]+} \dots z^{[\epsilon_p]-} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_{p+1}]}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{A.3}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \Psi_{n_i n_j}^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \prod_{k \neq i, j} P_{n_k}(N) - (p-2) \sum_{j=1}^p \Psi_{n_j}^{\epsilon_j} \prod_{k \neq j} P_{n_k}(N) \\ &\stackrel{\text{3.4}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \left(- \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \frac{(P_{n_i} P_{n_j})'(N)}{(P_{n_i} P_{n_j})(N)} - \beta \frac{(\mathfrak{p}_{n_i} - \mathfrak{p}_{n_j})^2 + \delta_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}^2}{N^2} \right) d_{\mathbf{n}} \\ &\quad - (p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \left(- \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \frac{P'_{n_j}(N)}{P_{n_j}(N)} - \beta \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{n_j}}{N^2} \right) d_{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{P'_{n_j}(N)}{P_{n_j}(N)} d_{\mathbf{n}} - \beta \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{n_i} + \mathfrak{p}_{n_j} - 2\mathfrak{p}_{n_i} \mathfrak{p}_{n_j} + \delta_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}^2}{N^2} d_{\mathbf{n}} \\ &\quad + \beta(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{n_j}}{N^2} d_{\mathbf{n}} \\ &= - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{P'_{n_j}(N)}{P_{n_j}(N)} d_{\mathbf{n}} - \beta \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} d_{\mathbf{n}} - \beta \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \frac{\delta_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}^2 - \mathfrak{p}_{n_i n_j}}{N^2} d_{\mathbf{n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{3.5}{=} - \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) P'_{\mathbf{n}}(N) - \beta \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} - 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} P_{\mathbf{n}}(N).$$

□

3.3. Absolute continuity. In Subsection 3.1, we have shown that any Brownian motion on U_N^+ coming from a multiple of the Laplace-Beltrami operator of U_N has its constants α and β linked by:

$$\beta \sim \frac{\alpha}{N}, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

In consequence, we will only consider Brownian motions where $\beta = O(\alpha/N)$. Let $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process associated to such a generating functional, i.e. we have

$$\psi_t : \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon} \mapsto d_{\mathbf{n}} \exp \left(-t \left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \lambda_{\mathbf{n}} + \beta \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} \right) \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\beta = O(\alpha/N)$, where we have written $\lambda_{\mathbf{n}} = P'_{\mathbf{n}}(N)/P_{\mathbf{n}}(N)$.

In this paper, to compute limit profiles, we only consider the total variation distance. Just like in the classical setting, the total variation distance for states is much easier to compute when one of the state is absolutely continuous w.r.t the other. A state ψ is said to be *absolutely continuous* w.r.t the Haar state if there exists $f \in L^1(U_N^+)$ such that $\psi(x) = h(fx)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$. In this case, the total variation distance is easily expressed (see [21, Lem 2.6])

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\psi, h) = \frac{1}{2} \|f - 1\|_1.$$

Quantum groups exhibit a unique characteristic wherein absolute continuity is not easily obtained. Let us first present an elementary fact before stating a proposition on absolute continuity.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{n} \in \bigsqcup_{p \geq 1} \mathbb{N}^{*p}$ be any tuple. We have the following inequality:*

$$0 \leq 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \frac{\ell(\mathbf{n})^2}{4}.$$

Proof. We will use the notations introduced immediately before Lemma 3.5. Let us set $m_i := k_i + i$ ($1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}$). We further denote by x and y the amount of even and odd numbers among the m_i 's respectively. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}} (-1)^{k_j + j - (k_i + i)} + x + y \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{x(x-1) + y(y-1)}{2} - xy \right) + x + y \\ &= (x - y)^2, \end{aligned}$$

this shows the positivity. To show the upper bound, it suffices to notice that if m_j and m_{j+1} have the same parity, then we have $k_{j+1} > k_j + 1$. This further implies that there are at most $\lfloor \ell(\mathbf{n})/2 \rfloor$ odd (or even) numbers among the m_j 's. This allows us to conclude

$$2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} = (x - y)^2 \leq \max(x, y)^2 \leq \frac{\ell(\mathbf{n})^2}{4}.$$

□

We can now characterize absolute continuity.

Proposition 3.8. *Fix $c > 0$ and $t = \alpha^{-1}(N \ln(\sqrt{2}N) + cN)$. Provided N is large enough, then ψ_t is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Haar state.*

Proof. We want to see that the series

$$f_t := \sum_{\epsilon, \mathbf{n}} d_{\mathbf{n}} \exp \left(-t \left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{N} \right) \lambda_{\mathbf{n}} + \beta \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}} + 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} \right) \right) \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon}$$

converges in $L^1(U_N^+)$ for N large enough. We will make use of the L^2 -norm, as the L^1 -norm is dominated by it. Take N large enough so that

$$\frac{\beta t}{N^2} \leq \frac{c}{2}.$$

Using the estimates from [17, Lem 1.7], Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $d_n \leq N^n$, we have

$$\|f_t\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\epsilon, \mathbf{n}} d_{\mathbf{n}}^2 e^{-2t(\alpha - \beta/N)\lambda_{\mathbf{n}}} \leq 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n}=(n_1, \dots, n_p), \\ n_1 + \dots + n_p = m}} N^{2m} e^{-2t(\alpha - \beta/N)m/N} \leq \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-cm} < \infty.$$

□

4. RESTRICTING THE STUDY TO A SMALLER ALGEBRA

Let us recall that the asymptotic study of the Brownian motion on the orthogonal quantum group O_N^+ was done in [23]. However, the proof heavily relies on the commutativity of the central algebra $\mathcal{O}(O_N^+)_0$, this is not the case for $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$ (note for instance that $\chi_1^2 \neq \bar{z}\chi_1^2 z$). Our first goal will be to find a smaller commutative subalgebra on which we may restrict our study to drastically ease the computations. We proceed by understanding what would be the smallest algebra $A \subset \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)$ on which all the information of the limit-profile is contained. Before explicitly introducing this algebra, let us present some facts.

Lemma 4.1. *For any tuple \mathbf{n} , we have, setting $t_c = N \ln(\sqrt{2}N) + cN$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\psi_{t_c}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon}) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\tilde{c}|\mathbf{n}|}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{c} = c + \ln \sqrt{2}$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $d_{n_j} e^{-t_c \lambda_{n_j}} \rightarrow e^{-\tilde{c}n_j}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ (see the proof of [23, Prop 3.10]). □

Now, we understand that the limit-process evaluated on an irreducible character $\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\epsilon}$ only depends on $|\mathbf{n}|$, let us introduce *compositions*. A *composition* of m is a tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p)$ that satisfies $|\mathbf{n}| := n_1 + \dots + n_p = m$.

Let us denote by Π_m the set of compositions of an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let us point out that $|\Pi_m| = 2^{m-1}$. We also write

$$\Pi_m^1 = \{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m : n_1 = 1\} \quad \& \quad \Pi_m^{>0} = \Pi_m \setminus \Pi_m^1.$$

One easily checks that the following maps

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_m & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{m+1}^1 \\ \mathbf{n} & \longmapsto & (1, \mathbf{n}) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \Pi_m & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{m+1}^{>0} \\ \mathbf{n} & \longmapsto & \mathbf{n}^+ \end{cases},$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_{m+1}^1 \longrightarrow \Pi_m \\ \mathbf{n} \longmapsto \mathbf{n}^- \end{array} \right. \quad \& \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_{m+1}^{>0} \longrightarrow \Pi_m \\ \mathbf{n} \longmapsto \mathbf{n}^- \end{array} \right.,$$

are bijections (where we have written $\mathbf{n}^\pm = (n_1 \pm 1, n_2, \dots, n_p)$ and identified $(0, \mathbf{n}) \sim \mathbf{n}$).

The last step is identifying a subalgebra that only cares about the composition type. We claim that this algebra is the one generated by

$$x := \frac{\bar{z}\chi_1 + \chi_1 z}{\sqrt{2}},$$

let us denote it by $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$. First, the restriction of the Haar state coincides with integration w.r.t. the semicircle distribution ν_{SC} (see [3, Thm 1]). More precisely, we have $h = \nu_{\text{SC}} \circ \iota$ where $\iota : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ is the isomorphism given by $P_m(x) =: x_m \mapsto P_m$. Before stating and proving this section's final result, let us prove a quick but useful lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathbf{n} be any tuple of integer and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, we have*

$$x \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon = \frac{\chi_{(1, \mathbf{n})}^\epsilon + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^+}^{-\epsilon} + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^-}^{-\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

Proof. Let us write

$$\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon = z^{[\epsilon]_0^-} \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_1} \dots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_p]_+}.$$

From there, we simply compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}x \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon &= (z^{[\epsilon]_-} \chi_1 z^{[\epsilon]_+} + z^{[-\epsilon]_-} \chi_1 z^{[-\epsilon]_+}) z^{[\epsilon]_0^-} \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_1} \dots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_p]_+} \\ &= z^{[\epsilon]_-} \chi_1 z^\epsilon \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_1} \dots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_p]_+} + z^{[-\epsilon]_-} \chi_1 \chi_{n_1} z^{\epsilon_1} \dots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_p]_+} \\ &= \chi_{(1, \mathbf{n})}^\epsilon + z^{[-\epsilon]_-} (\chi_{n_1+1} + \chi_{n_1-1}) z^{\epsilon_1} \dots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} \chi_{n_p} z^{[\epsilon_p]_+} \\ &= \chi_{(1, \mathbf{n})}^\epsilon + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^+}^{-\epsilon} + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^-}^{-\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition shows that for any m , the element x_m is a linear combination of irreducible characters whose tuples are compositions of m . This implies not only that all the information of the limit-process is contained within this algebra but it also gives the existence of a conditional expectation $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$ leaving both the Haar state and the limit-process invariant (seeing as the x_m 's constitute an orthonormal family for the Haar state).

Proposition 4.3. *The following assertions hold.*

(i) *We have*

$$\frac{x_m}{2^{m/2}} = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

(ii) *There exists a conditional expectation $\mathbb{F} : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$ leaving the Haar state invariant and such that*

$$\mathbb{F}[\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon] = \frac{\xi_{|\mathbf{n}|}}{2^{|\mathbf{n}|/2}}.$$

Proof. (i) The result is clear for $m = 1, 2$, assume the result to hold up until some rank $m \geq 2$. To simplify computations, let us write $y_{\mathbf{n}} = \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^1 + \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{-1}$. Using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{x_{m+1}}{2^{(m+1)/2}} &= \frac{x \cdot x_m - x_{m-1}}{2^{(m+1)/2}} \\
&= \frac{x}{2^{1/2} \cdot 2^m} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m} y_{\mathbf{n}} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{m-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m-1}} y_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m} y_{(1,\mathbf{n})} + y_{\mathbf{n}^+} + y_{\mathbf{n}^-} - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m-1}} y_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m+1}} y_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{2}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m-1}} y_{\mathbf{n}} - \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m-1}} y_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_{m+1}} y_{\mathbf{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the result by induction.

(ii) Let $L^2(U_N^+)_0$ and $L^2(U_N^+)_{00}$ be the L^2 -spaces of $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$ and $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$ respectively and \mathbb{F}' be the orthogonal projection $L^2(U_N^+)_0 \rightarrow L^2(U_N^+)_{00}$. Let us show that \mathbb{F}' satisfies the relation stated in the proposition, it suffices to show that

$$h(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon \cdot x_m) = \frac{\delta_{|\mathbf{n}|,m}}{2^{m/2}}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \bigsqcup_{p \geq 1} \mathbb{N}^{*p}, m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

An easy computation enables us to see that the latter relation holds whenever $m \leq 2$. Assume the relation to hold up to some rank $m \geq 2$. Using the traciality of the Haar state and the first item, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon \cdot x_{m+1}) &= h(x \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon \cdot x_m) - h(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon \cdot x_{m-1}) \\
&= \frac{h((\chi_{(1,\mathbf{n})}^\epsilon + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^+}^{-\epsilon} + \chi_{\mathbf{n}^-}^{-\epsilon}) \cdot x_m)}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\delta_{|\mathbf{n}|,m-1}}{2^{(m-1)/2}} \\
&= \frac{2\delta_{|\mathbf{n}|+1,m} + \delta_{|\mathbf{n}|-1,m}}{2^{(m+1)/2}} - \frac{\delta_{|\mathbf{n}|,m-1}}{2^{(m-1)/2}} \\
&= \frac{\delta_{|\mathbf{n}|,m+1}}{2^{(m+1)/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the relation by induction on m . It follows from [1, Thm 9.1.2] that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}' \circ \mathbb{E}$, where we have restricted \mathbb{F}' to $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0$, is the desired conditional expectation. \square

Finally, let us end this subsection by mentioning that the closure of $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$ in $C(U_N^+)$ is isomorphic to $C([-N, N])$. Indeed, it suffices to see that the spectrum of $x/\sqrt{2}$ is $[-N, N]$. The inclusion $\sigma(x/\sqrt{2}) \subset [-N, N]$ follows from the fact that $\|x/\sqrt{2}\|_{C(U_N^+)} \leq N$. On the other hand, there exists a surjective C^* -homomorphism $C(U_N^+) \rightarrow C(O_N^+)$, $u_{ij} \mapsto o_{ij}$. In particular, $x/\sqrt{2}$ is sent to χ_1 which is well-known to have spectrum $[-N, N]$ (see [9, Lem 4.2]), hence the other inclusion.

5. THE LIMIT PROFILE

As stated in the previous section, we would like to restrict the study of the Brownian motion to the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$. Unfortunately, the Brownian motion is not \mathbb{F} -invariant, note for instance that

$$\psi_t(\chi_2) = (N^2 - 1)e^{-2t(N\alpha - \beta)/(N^2 - 1)} \neq N^2 e^{-2t(N\alpha + \beta)/N^2} = \psi_t(\chi_{(1,1)}).$$

Let us first determine the limit profile of the process defined by $\tilde{\psi}_t = \psi_t \circ \mathbb{F}$.

Theorem 5.1. *We have*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}, h) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}}), \quad c > 0$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}, h) \geq d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}}), \quad c < 0,$$

Proof. Up to renormalising the process, we may assume that $\alpha = 1$. Recall that the process being \mathbb{F} -invariant, we may restrict the study to the commutative algebra $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00}$ for which the x_m 's form an orthonormal basis.

The proof of the first convergence closely follows the reasoning in the proof [23, Prop 3.10], with a few modifications. Let us outline the elements that differ. Let $c > 0$. It follows from Proposition 3.8 that $\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}$ has an L^1 -density (at least asymptotically defined) given by

$$\tilde{f}_{t_c} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \tilde{\psi}_{t_c}(x_m) x_m = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} \psi_{t_c}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon) \right) x_m.$$

Using the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_{00} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$, $x_m \mapsto P_m$ sending the Haar state to the semi-circle distribution, we have

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}, h) = \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{f}_{t_c} - 1\|_{1,h} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{m \geq 1} \tilde{\psi}_{t_c}(x_m) P_m \right\|_{1, \nu_{\text{SC}}}.$$

In parallel, note that for any tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_p)$, we have $d_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-t_c \lambda_{\mathbf{n}}} \rightarrow e^{-\tilde{c}|\mathbf{n}|}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ where $\tilde{c} = c + \ln \sqrt{2}$ (this follows from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.3). This further implies that for any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{t_c}(x_m) &= \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} \psi_{t_c}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} d_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-t_c \lambda_{\mathbf{n}}} \exp \left(t_c \beta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{n}}}{N} - \frac{2\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}}{N^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} d_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-t_c \lambda_{\mathbf{n}}} \exp \left((N \ln(\sqrt{2}N) + cN) O(N^{-3}) \right) = \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} d_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-t_c m + o(1)} \\ &\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 2^{m/2} e^{-\tilde{c}m} = e^{-cm}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|\psi_{t_c}(x_m) x_m\|_1 \leq \|\psi_{t_c}(x_m) x_m\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} \psi_{st}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \Pi_m, \\ \epsilon = \pm 1}} d_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-t_c(1-\beta/N)\lambda_{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&\leq 2^{m/2} \frac{q^{-m}}{(1-q^2)^m} e^{-t_c(1-\beta/N)m/N}.
\end{aligned}$$

As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.8, the latter ratio can uniformly in N (at least from a certain rank) be bounded by a constant strictly less than one. This implies that we may exchange the sum over m and the limit in N , yielding

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}, h) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-cm} P_m \right\|_{1, \nu_{\text{sc}}} = d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{sc}}).$$

Now, for the second result, fix $c < 0$ and consider the states $\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}$ and h in their measure form as μ_{t_c} and ν_{sc} . We have already established that μ_{t_c} converges to η_c in moments as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Taking N large enough so that $t_c\beta \leq -cN^2$, using the estimates from [17, Lem 1.7], Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $d_n \leq N^n$, we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mu_{t_c}(P_m) \leq 2^{m/2} N^m e^{-t_c(1-\beta/N)m/N} \leq e^{-2cm} = \eta_{2c}(P_m).$$

In particular, this implies that:

$$\mu_{t_c}(X^{2n}) \leq \eta_{2c}(X^{2n}), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This follows from the fact that X^{2n} can be expressed as a linear combination of P_m 's with positive coefficients. Since η_{2c} is supported on the interval $[-\gamma_{2c}, \gamma_{2c}]$, where $\gamma_{2c} = e^{2c} + e^{-2c}$, it follows that μ_{t_c} must also be supported on this interval (as the support of a measure can be estimated by bounding its moments; see, for example, the beginning of [32, Lect 2]). Given that moment convergence with compact support implies weak convergence, we have:

$$\mu_{t_c}(B) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \eta_c(B),$$

for any continuity set B of η_c , i.e., a set such that $\eta_c(\partial B) = 0$. The final step is to identify such a set that maximizes the total variation distance between η_c and ν_{sc} . It suffices to take:

$$B_c = \{x \in [-2, 2] : g_c(x) \leq 1\},$$

where g_c is the density of the absolutely continuous part of η_c with respect to the semicircular distribution. With this choice of B_c , we now conclude the argument.

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu_{t_c}, \nu_{\text{sc}}) \geq \nu_{\text{sc}}(B_c) - \mu_{t_c}(B_c) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\text{sc}}(B_c) - \eta_c(B_c) = d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{sc}}).$$

□

We can now conclude for the pure Brownian motion.

Corollary 5.2. *We have*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\psi_{t_c}, h) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}}), \quad c > 0$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\psi_{t_c}, h) \geq d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}}), \quad c < 0,$$

where $t_c = N \ln(\sqrt{2}N)/\alpha + cN/\alpha$. In particular, the Brownian motion has cutoff at time $t_N = N \ln(\sqrt{2}N)/\alpha$.

Proof. Fix $c > 0$, for the first convergence it suffices to see that $d_{\text{TV}}(\psi_{t_c}, \tilde{\psi}_{t_c}) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This is obvious as

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\psi_{t_c}, \tilde{\psi}_{t_c}) \leq \sum_{\epsilon, \mathbf{n}} |\psi_{t_c}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon) - \tilde{\psi}_{t_c}(\chi_{\mathbf{n}}^\epsilon)|^2 \leq \|f_{t_c}\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{f}_{t_c}\|_2^2 \leq 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} e^{-cm}$$

where the last inequality holds for N large enough (similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.8). By dominated convergence, we may exchange summation and limit in N yielding the convergence.

For the second one, simply note that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\psi_{t_c}, h) = \frac{1}{2} \|\psi_{t_c} - h\|_{FS} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbb{F} \circ \psi_{t_c} - \mathbb{F} \circ h\|_{FS} = d_{\text{TV}}(\tilde{\psi}_{t_c}, h).$$

□

Let us conclude this section by discussing the result. The limit profile on the right ($c > 0$) is straightforward to compute due to the inherent absolute continuity in this region. However, outside of this region, absolute continuity is lost. It is worth recalling that such a loss of absolute continuity was already observed in [23], where all processes studied exhibited an atom as the singular part when absolute continuity was no longer present. P. Biane, in [6, Sec 12.2], introduced an interesting method for recovering the absolutely continuous part of a measure when only its moments are known, provided that one can identify its atom. In our case, the singular part is more complex than a single atom, and it remains unclear whether Biane's method could be applied here. We conjecture, however, that the singular part lies outside the support of ν_{SC} , and that there is true L^1 -convergence. Specifically, we believe that even for $c < 0$, the absolutely continuous part of the process converges to that of η_c in $L^1(\nu_{\text{SC}})$.

6. NON-UNIQUENESS OF LIMIT PROFILES

When examining a limit profile for a family of (quantum) groups, each paired with a central Lévy process $(G_N, \varphi^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, as defined in Definition 2.7, it is pertinent to consider how the profile depends on the structure of G_N and the dynamics of φ^N . Although the impact of the group's representation theory on the profile is obvious (see the profiles studied in [23, 30, 33] and this paper), the degree to which the process itself influences the limit profile is not clear. This raises a question: is the temporal aspect the only significant parameter through which the process affects the cutoff behavior?

This section presents an example to demonstrate that different central Lévy processes on the same quantum group can lead to distinct limit profiles. Consider the orthogonal quantum group O_N^+ and its associated Brownian motion, which typically has a limit profile function $c \mapsto d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}})$. We define a new Lévy process on O_N^+ , designed to exhibit a different limit profile.

The central generating functional for this new process is defined by:

$$L : \chi_n \mapsto -P'_n(N) - \frac{P_n(N) - P_n(-N)}{2} = \begin{cases} -P'_n(N) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ -P'_n(N) - P_n(N) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is the functional with parameters $b = 1$ and $\nu = N\delta_{-N}$, when considering the decomposition in Equation (3.1). The resulting Lévy process φ_t is then given by:

$$\varphi_t : \chi_n \mapsto d_n e^{-t\lambda_n} c_{t,n} \quad \text{where } c_{t,n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ e^{-t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and set $t_N := N \ln(N) + cN$, we have*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\varphi_{t_N}, h) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d_{\text{TV}}(\hat{\eta}_c, \nu_{\text{SC}}),$$

where $\hat{\eta}_c$ is the unique distribution satisfying $\hat{\eta}_c(P_n) = e^{-nc}$ if n is even and 0 otherwise. The distribution $\hat{\eta}_c$ can be described by:

$$\hat{\eta}_c = \mathbf{1}_{c \leq 0} (1 - e^{2c}) \frac{\delta_{\gamma_c} + \delta_{-\gamma_c}}{2} + \frac{e^c \gamma_c}{\gamma_c^2 - x^2} d\nu_{\text{SC}}(x), \quad \text{with } \gamma_c = e^c + e^{-c}.$$

Proof. Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us first prove that the distribution $\hat{\eta}_c$ as described in the theorem has the desired moments. If $c > 0$, then clearly the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-2cn} P_{2n}$ belongs to $L^1(\nu_{\text{SC}})$. Applying twice the recursive formula satisfied by the Chebyshev polynomials confirms that the series is the density of $\hat{\eta}_c$ w.r.t ν_{SC} . If $c = 0$, then we conclude by dominated convergence

$$\hat{\eta}_0(P_n) = \int_{-2}^2 \frac{2P_n(x)}{2-x^2} d\nu_{\text{SC}}(x) = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \hat{\eta}_c(P_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally, if $c < 0$, using the positive case, we have whenever n is even

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}_c(P_n) &= (1 - e^{2c}) \frac{P_n(\gamma_c) + P_n(-\gamma_c)}{2} + e^{2c} \hat{\eta}_{-c}(P_n) \\ &= (1 - e^{2c}) \frac{e^{-(n+1)c} - e^{(n+1)c}}{e^{-c} - e^c} + e^{(n+2)c} = e^{-nc}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the computation leads to zero when n is odd.

Now to prove the limit profile recall that we can see φ_{t_N} and h as their measure counterparts μ_{t_N} and ν_{SC} via the isomorphism $\chi_n \mapsto P_n$. It remains to see that the absolutely continuous part of μ_{t_N} w.r.t ν_{SC} tends to that of $\hat{\eta}_c$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in total variation distance which can be done in a similar fashion as in the proof of [23, Thm 3.9]. \square

Observe that the functions $f_1 : c \mapsto d_{\text{TV}}(\eta_c, \nu_{\text{SC}})$ and $f_2 : c \mapsto d_{\text{TV}}(\hat{\eta}_c, \nu_{\text{SC}})$ can be explicitly computed through integration, resulting in the following expressions:

$$f_1(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-e^{2c})}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{e^{-c}}{2}\right) + \frac{e^{-2c}+2}{4\pi} \sqrt{4e^{2c}-1}, & \text{if } c \geq -\ln 2, \\ 1 - e^{2c}, & \text{if } c < -\ln 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_2(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{1+e^{-2c}}\sqrt{3-e^{-2c}}}{2\pi} + \frac{e^{2c}-1}{\pi} \left(\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+e^{-2c}}(e^c-e^{-c})}{(e^c+e^{-c})\sqrt{3-e^{-2c}}}\right) - \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+e^{-2c}}}{2}\right) \right), & \text{if } c \geq -\frac{\ln 3}{2}, \\ 1 - e^{2c}, & \text{if } c < -\frac{\ln 3}{2}. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 1. Graph comparing the functions f_1 (red) and f_2 (blue).

They agree on infinitely many points but are indeed distinct. This implies that they are not equivalent modulo affine transformation. It follows from Remark 2.8 that the profile we exhibited is different.

Interestingly, although we might have anticipated that the new process would result in a 'faster shuffle', in the sense that $f_2 \leq f_1$, the two profiles initially overlap for a significant range before the impact of the 'faster shuffle' becomes apparent.

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we give a description of Gaussian centralized generating functionals on O_N^+ and U_N^+ . We will therefore focus our study on the latter quantum groups, we refer the reader to [19, Sec 1.5] for definitions in greater generality.

We would like to acknowledge that this result was previously achieved by different means by Franz, Freslon, and Skalski in an unpublished work providing a comprehensive description of Gaussian generating functionals on certain quantum groups.

Definition A.1. Let $\mathbb{G} = O_N^+$ or U_N^+ and D a pre-Hilbert space. We call *Schürmann triple* on \mathbb{G} over D a family of linear maps (ρ, η, L) where

- $\rho : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D)$ ¹ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism;
- $\eta : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow D$ satisfies

$$\eta(ab) = \rho(a)\eta(b) + \eta(b)\varepsilon(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G});$$

- $L : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is hermitian and satisfies

$$L(ab) = \varepsilon(a)L(b) + L(a)\varepsilon(b) + \langle \eta(a^*), \eta(b) \rangle, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}).$$

The definition implies that L is a generating functional. Two Schürmann triples (ρ_i, η_i, L) ($i = 1, 2$) on \mathbb{G} and over pre-Hilbert spaces D_i are said to be *equivalent*, if there exists a surjective isometry $U : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ s.t.

$$\eta_2(a) = U\eta_1(a) \quad \& \quad \rho_2(a)U = U\rho_1(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}).$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Schürmann triples with surjective cocycle (up to equivalence) and generating functionals.

¹ $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{X : D \rightarrow D \text{ linear} \mid \exists X^* : D \rightarrow D \text{ s.t. } \langle u, Xv \rangle = \langle X^*u, v \rangle, \forall u, v \in D\}$.

Proposition A.2. *Let L be a generating functional on $\mathbb{G} = O_N^+$ or U_N^+ . Then the following are equivalent*

- (i) $L(abc) = L(ab)\varepsilon(c) + L(ac)\varepsilon(b) + L(bc)\varepsilon(a) - \varepsilon(ab)L(c) - \varepsilon(ac)L(b) - \varepsilon(bc)L(a)$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$;
- (ii) $\eta(ab) = \varepsilon(a)\eta(b) + \eta(a)\varepsilon(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$.

If a Schürmann triple satisfies one of these conditions, then we call it *Gaussian*. The Gaussian property of a generating functional gives a way to compute its values on any product of elements.

Lemma A.3. [16, Prop 2.7] *Let L be a Gaussian generating functional on $\mathbb{G} = O_N^+$ or U_N^+ . Then, for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ we have*

$$L(a_1 \dots a_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} L(a_i a_j) \varepsilon(a_0 \dots \check{a}_i \dots \check{a}_j \dots a_n) - (n-2) \sum_{j=1}^n L(a_j) \varepsilon(a_1 \dots \check{a}_j \dots a_n).$$

Proposition A.4. *We have the following decompositions.*

- Any centralized Gaussian generating functional on O_N^+ is of the form

$$\chi_n \longmapsto -bP'_n(N)$$

for some $b \geq 0$.

- Any centralized Gaussian generating functional on U_N^+ is of the form

$$\chi_w \longmapsto -\ell(w)N^{\ell(w)-1}\alpha - \left((p(w) - q(w))^2 - \ell(w) \right) N^{\ell(w)-2}\beta, \quad w \text{ word on } \{\diamond, \blacklozenge\},$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta \geq 0$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq \beta/N$.

Proof. Let us first note that any Gaussian cocycle η defined on a compact quantum group satisfies the relation $\eta \circ S + \eta = 0$ (see the beginning of the proof of [16, Thm 3.11]).

Let (ρ, η, L) be a Gaussian Schürmann triple on O_N^+ . The relation $\eta \circ S = -\eta$ implies that $\eta \equiv 0$ on the central algebra and therefore, we have

$$L(ab) = \varepsilon(a)L(b) + L(a)\varepsilon(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}(O_N^+)_0.$$

It follows from a quick induction that L is of the required form for $b := -L(\chi_1)$.

Let (ρ, η, L) be a Gaussian Schürmann triple on U_N^+ . First note that the relation only needs to be proven for characters χ_w where w is a word of length ≤ 2 as the general formula would then follow from Lemma A.3. The relation $\eta \circ S = -\eta$ implies that $\eta + \bar{\eta} \equiv 0$ on the central algebra and therefore, we have

$$L(ab) = \varepsilon(a)L(b) + L(a)\varepsilon(b) - \langle \eta(a), \eta(b) \rangle, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0.$$

It follows from a quick computation that L is of the required form for $\alpha := -L(\chi_\circ)$ and $\beta := \|\eta(\chi_\circ)\|^2/2$. \square

We conclude by explaining why we restrict our study to real-valued (centralized) Gaussian generating functionals on U_N^+ . If we were to consider the imaginary part of α , convergence may not be guaranteed unless a bound exists that links the real and imaginary parts, similarly to the relation between α and β . However, we emphasize that, by introducing a *drift* to the generating functional, the imaginary part can always be disregarded.

Remark A.5. A *drift* on U_N^+ is defined as a generating functional whose associated cocycle is zero. In other words, a drift is an ε -derivation, i.e., a functional $D : \mathcal{O}(U_N^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$D(ab) = \varepsilon(a)D(b) + D(a)\varepsilon(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}(U_N^+)_0.$$

Note that a drift is completely determined by its values on the generators. More precisely, there is a Lie algebra isomorphism between the algebra of drifts and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_N of the classical group U_N . For each skew-Hermitian matrix $H \in \mathfrak{u}_N$, we associate a drift D_H by setting $D_H(u_{ij}) = H_{ij}$. The Lie bracket is given by

$$[D_H, D_K] = D_H \star D_K - D_K \star D_H = D_{[H, K]}.$$

This shows that drifts correspond to the classical part of Gaussian generating functionals. By adding such a drift, we can always assume a centralized Gaussian generating functional to be real-valued.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Anantharaman and S. Popa. An introduction to II_1 factors. *Preprint*, 2010.
- [2] T. Banica. Théorie des représentations du groupe quantique compact libre $\text{o}(n)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math*, 322(3):241–244, 1996.
- [3] T. Banica. Le groupe quantique compact libre $\text{u}(n)$. *Comm. Math. Phys*, 190(1):143–172, 1997.
- [4] T. Banica. Introduction to quantum groups. *Springer*, 2022.
- [5] D. Bayer and P. Diaconis. Trailing the dovetail shuffle to its lair. *Ann. Appl. Probab*, 2:294–313, 1992.
- [6] P. Biane. Introduction to random walks on noncommutative spaces. *Springer*, pages 61–116, 2008.
- [7] B. Blackadar. Operator algebras, encyclopædia of mathematical sciences. *Springer*, 122:165–210, 2006.
- [8] M. Bożejko and W. Bryc. On a class of free lévy laws related to a regression problem. *Funct. Anal*, 236(1):59–77, 2006.
- [9] M. Brannan. Approximation properties for free orthogonal and free unitary quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, 672:223–251, 2012.
- [10] M. Brannan, L. Gao, and M. Junge. Complete logarithmic sobolev inequalities via ricci curvature bounded below ii. *arXiv:2007.12038v1*, 2020.
- [11] M. Brannan and Z.-J. Ruan. l^p -representations of discrete quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, 732:165–210, 2017.
- [12] F. Cipriani, U. Franz, and A. Kula. Symmetries of Lévy processes, their markov semigroups and potential theory on compact quantum groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 266(5):2789–2844, 2014.
- [13] Y. Peres D. A. Levin and E. L. Wilmer. Markov chains and mixing times. *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 2009.
- [14] P. Diaconis. Group representations in probability and statistics. *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Institute of Mathematical Statistics*, 11, 1988.
- [15] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani. Generating a random permutation with random transpositions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 57(2):159–179, 1981.
- [16] U. Franz, A. Freslon, and A. Skalski. The gaussian part of a compact quantum group. *J. Geom. Phys*, 184, 2023.
- [17] U. Franz, G. Hong, F. Lemeux, M. Ullrich, and H. Zhang. Hypercontractivity of heat semigroups on free quantum groups. *J. Operator Theory*, 77(1):61–76, 2017.
- [18] U. Franz, A. Kula, and A. Skalski. Lévy processes on quantum permutation groups. *Noncommutative analysis, operator theory and applications*, 252:891–921, 2016.
- [19] U. Franz and A. Skalski. Noncommutative mathematics for quantum systems. *Cambridge University Press*, 2016.
- [20] A. Freslon. Quantum reflections, random walks and cut-off. *Internat. J. Math*, 29(14), 2018.
- [21] A. Freslon. Cut-off phenomenon for random walks on free orthogonal quantum groups. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 174(3–4):731–760, 2019.

- [22] A. Freslon. Compact matrix quantum groups and their combinatorics. *London Mathematical Society, Cambridge*, 2023.
- [23] A. Freslon, L. Teyssier, and S. Wang. Cutoff profiles for quantum Lévy processes and quantum random transpositions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 183:1285–1327, 2022.
- [24] H. Lacoïn. Mixing time and cutoff for the adjacent transposition shuffle and the simple exclusion. *Ann. Probab*, 44(2):1426–1487, 2016.
- [25] M. Liao. Lévy processes and fourier analysis on compact Lie groups. *Ann. Probab*, pages 1553—1573, 2004.
- [26] E. Lubetzky and A. Sly. Cutoff phenomena for random walks on random regular graphs. *Duke Math. J*, 153(3):475–510, 2010.
- [27] J.P. McCarthy. Random walks on finite quantum groups : Diaconis-shahshahani theory for quantum groups. *Arxiv preprint arXiv:1709.09357*, 2017.
- [28] P-L. Méliot. The cut-off phenomenon for Brownian motions on compact symmetric spaces. *Potential Analysis*, 40(4):427–509, 2014.
- [29] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset. Compact quantum groups and their representation categories. *Société Mathématique de France*, 20:165–210, 2013.
- [30] E. Nestoridi and S. Thomas. Limit profiles for markov chains. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 182:157–188, 2022.
- [31] R. L. Graham P. Diaconis and J. A. Morrison. Asymptotic analysis of a random walk on a hypercube with many dimensions. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 1.1:51–72, 1990.
- [32] K. Schmüdgen. Ten lectures on the moment problem. 2020.
- [33] L. Teyssier. Limit profile for random transpositions. *Ann. Probab*, 48(5):2323–2343, 2019.
- [34] R. Vergnioux and C. Voigt. The K-theory of free quantum groups. *Math. Ann*, 357(1):355–400, 2013.
- [35] S. Wang. Free products of compact quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys*, 167(3):671–692, 1995.
- [36] S.L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups, symétries quantiques. *Les Houches*, pages 845–884, 1998.