Theoretical implications for a new measurement of $K_L o \pi^0 \ell \ell$

G. D'Ambrosio^{1*}, A.M. Iyer^{2†}, F. Mahmoudi^{3,4,5‡}, S. Neshatpour^{3§}

¹INFN-Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia Edificio 6, 80126 Napoli, Italy

²Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016, India

³Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, UMR 5822, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

⁴Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

⁵Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), 75005 Paris, France

Abstract

Kaon physics is at an important experimental juncture with respect to the ongoing measurements of several observables. This work will build on the existing status by formulating different phenomenological analyses corresponding to different paths that may lie ahead. Beginning with the golden channels, $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, the paper will eventually cast the spotlight on the importance of a precise measurement of BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell})$. The phenomenological analyses involve sequentially adding kaon physics observables at the projected final precision of their respective measurements to the global fit. More specifically, we consider three different scenarios with different sets of observables assumed at their final precisions. Beginning with BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$, we sequentially add BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 e \bar{e})$ and BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$ to the global fit. The evolution of the result from one scenario to the next makes a strong case for the consideration of future measurement of BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell})$.

^{*}Electronic address: gdambros@na.infn.it

[†]Electronic address: iyerabhishek@physics.iitd.ac.in

[‡]Electronic address: nazila@cern.ch

[§]Electronic address: s.neshatpour@ip2i.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction

Kaon physics experiments have reached a critical crossroads that deserves investigation of possible avenues. There are specific observables in the kaon sector that have been under intense experimental scrutiny to further augment our understanding of the Standard Model (SM). They include the golden channels: BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ at NA62 [1] and BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ at KOTO [2,3], the rare decay modes BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \mu \bar{\mu})$ at NA62 [4] and $K_S \to \mu \mu$ at LHCb [5]. These analyses were preceded by several past endeavours that is inclusive of those at E865 [6] and NA48/2 [7] for BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ e\bar{e})$ and at NA48/2 [8] for BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \mu \bar{\mu})$. Each of them left a specific imprint on our understanding of the extent of Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics. However, it has become increasingly relevant to conduct a phenomenological study that would not only present a unified picture but also paint the different phenomenological goals that could be achieved based on the future evolution of these experiments.

In the first study of its kind for kaon decays, a global analysis was undertaken using a $(V - A) \otimes (V - A)$ type operator basis [9]. The connections between the kaon decays with neutrinos and other charged leptons were facilitated by the SU(2) symmetry that links the corresponding Wilson coefficients ($\delta C_9 = -\delta C_{10}$) for the decay modes. By means of reasonable assumptions for the projected sensitivity for the measurement of different observables for the experiments, it illustrated the importance of pursuing a long-term kaon physics program.

While the study in [9] was comprehensive in its own right, it also had the potential to expand its frontiers. The consideration of operators beyond the $(V-A) \otimes (V-A)$ type would also open the doors for new observables that could be proposed for measurements. In particular, they include right-handed, scalar and tensor operators. A novel prescription on obtaining bounds on the scalar operators from the $K^+ \to \pi^+ \ell \bar{\ell}$ was proposed in [10]. A comprehensive global analysis using different combinations of operators is currently in progress. Another important ingredient is the consideration of the possible impact on a precise measurement for $K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell}$ on the global fits. This mode offers one of the best opportunities to test direct CP-violation. While the SM prediction of the branching ratio is of the order of ~ 10⁻¹¹, the current experimental bounds are roughly one order of magnitude away [11, 12]. This is characteristic of both the lepton modes ($\ell = e, \mu$). Given the fact that experimental bounds and computed SM values are relatively close, it offers a strong motivation to consider its measurement in an upcoming experiment.

This constitutes the primary goal of the paper where it will plant the seed for a serious deliberation of the measurement of $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell})$ at the proposed upgrade of KOTO viz KOTO-II [13,14]. Borrowing the methodology in [9], the paper will illustrate the extent to which the parameter space can be reduced giving projections corresponding to the final precisions of NA62 and KOTO-II. While the global fit will include all observables as those in [9], the projections will include the proposed final precision for only a subset of them. They include $BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ with 15% final precision at NA62, $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ with 25% final precision at KOTO-II and $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell})$ also with 25% final precision at KOTO-II. In order to understand the impact of each of these final precisions on the eventual global fit, the numerical analysis is divided into three scenarios where observables are progressively added. Scenario 1 only includes improved measurements of $BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ and $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ at their final NA62 and KOTO-II precisions respectively. Thereafter, Scenario 2 and Scenario 3 sequentially add BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})$ and BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$ respectively at their final expected precisions to the global fits. The progressive reduction in the available parameter space of the Wilson coefficients in going from the present experimental status to Scenarios 1-3 makes a strong case for the measurement of BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell})$ with the recommended precision. In all scenarios, we have maintained the theoretical precision at the current level (see e.g. Ref. [15, 16] for the status of potential theoretical advancements).

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we define the effective operator formalism and the corresponding observables that are considered for the analyses. The numerical strategy is outlined in Section 3 and the impact of the existing status of different kaon observables on the parameter space of the Wilson coefficients is illustrated. In Section 4, we provide a detailed insight into the results obtained for all three scenarios. We conclude in Section 5 by identifying the future theoretical and new experimental prospects that mandate attention.

2 Theoretical Methodology

The global analyses follow the methodology established in [9], where the effective Hamiltonian parameterising the $s \rightarrow d$ transitions is given as:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_t^{sd}\frac{\alpha_e}{4\pi}\sum_k C_k^\ell O_k^\ell \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda_t^{sd} \equiv V_{ts}^* V_{td}$. In general, the summation over the index k represents the inclusion of all types of operators. In this analysis, however, we restrict ourselves to the following three types of operators

$$O_9^{\ell} = \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Ld\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\ell\right), \quad O_{10}^{\ell} = \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Ld\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\ell\right), \quad O_L^{\ell} = \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_Ld\right)\left(\bar{\nu}_{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{\ell}\right),$$

with $P_L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ and the Wilson coefficients include both the SM and the NP contribution: $C_k^{\ell} = C_k^{\ell,SM} + \delta C_k^{\ell}$. The presence of a $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry permits the correlation of the Wilson coefficients between the charge and the neutral leptons. This assumption also leads to the following relation between the Wilson coefficients in the chiral basis: $\delta C_9^{\ell} = -\delta C_{10}^{\ell} = \delta C_L^{\ell}$. Thus all the results will only be presented in terms of the respective δC_L^{ℓ} for the leptons. This particular choice of operators facilitates a direct comparison with the results of [9] thereby highlighting the potential impact of new observables with the prospect of KOTO-II [17].

These operators can influence a large set of $s \to d$ observables, a subset of which is considered for the numerical analyses and listed below:

• $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$: The presence of neutrinos in the final state of the decay of the kaon makes the long-distance contributions sub-dominant. In the SM, the mode is driven almost entirely by short-distance physics and this is a potential window to contributions beyond the SM. The computation of the branching fraction involves a sum over the three neutrino species. In the language of Wilson coefficients, there is a

possibility of both constructive/destructive interference between the $\delta C_L^{e,\mu\tau}$. As a result, even a measurement consistent with the SM does not necessarily imply the absence of NP contributions as it could be a potential sign for lepton flavour universality violation (LFUV). The ongoing phase of the measurement of the respective branching fraction of these modes [1,2] makes it interesting from a phenomenological perspective. The NA62 has the sensitivity to probe the SM value of BR($K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$) with a 15% final precision. While the ongoing analyses at KOTO is not expected to reach the SM value for the BR($K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \nu$), the proposed KOTO-II can probe it with a 25% sensitivity [17].

- $K^+ \to \pi^+ \ell \bar{\ell}$: In the SM, the dominant contribution to this decay mode is through the single photon exchange due to $K \to \pi \gamma^*$ and is driven by the vector form factor $(W(z) = a_+ + b_+ z)$ [18–20]. This is a multi-faceted decay mode as its consideration either individually ($\ell = e$ or μ) or together can open different doors for new physics exploration. In the case of the former, the study of the decay spectrum and measurement of forward-backward asymmetry can be a portal to non-SM type operators [10]. In the case of the latter, comparing the structure of W(z) for the electron and muon can provide concrete hints for deviation in the lepton flavour universality hypothesis by means of the E865 [6] and NA48/2 [7] experiments. For the measurements in the muon mode, there is both an existing dataset from NA48/2 [8], and one ongoing in NA62 [4]. This mode will be considered in the context of LFUV and its existing precision will be kept in the fits for all projected scenarios.
- K_{L,S} → μμ̄: A common feature to both the decay modes is the dominant long-distance contribution. The amplitude for K_L → μμ is driven by the CP-odd contributions. This facilitates an interference between the LD and the SD contributions leading to a non-negligible value of its branching fraction in the SM. Consequently, the decay K_L → μμ̄ is characterised by a very precise measurement of its branching fraction [22]. However, there is yet an unresolved ambiguity on the SM contribution owing to an undetermined sign in the long-distance contribution. At the current level of theoretical precision, the sign of the long-distance (LD) contribution does not have a significant impact on the global fits. For this paper, we choose the positive sign (LD+) for the LD contribution [9, 23] (corresponding to destructive interference between short- and long-distance contributions). The sign of the LD contribution is discussed in [24-29].

On the other hand, the amplitude for $K_S \to \mu \bar{\mu}$ is driven by the CP-even contributions [30]. Thus any SD physics of the form (SM-like) considered here will be suppressed and thus leading to a very small branching fraction. Any enhancement in the branching fraction is not possible for reasonable values of the Wilson coefficients of the operators considered here. At present, this mode is characterised by an upper bound which is roughly two orders of magnitude away from its SM value [5]. With 300 fb⁻¹ of data [31], the LHCb is expected to reach an upper bound 6.4×10^{-12} at 95% CL on the branching fraction [5,32,33]. Thus this mode will have the least influence on the projected fits.

• $K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell}$: These modes are characterised by four different contribution: 1) A di-

rect CP-violating contribution due to short-distance physics [34–37], 2) An indirect CPviolating contribution induced due to $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ oscillation [18], 3) An interference term resulting from the two mentioned contributions [18,38–40], and 4) CP-conserving piece due to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}$ rescattering [41–45]. This makes this mode a promising testing ground for direct CP-violation [18]. The existing status of their measurement is roughly one order of magnitude away from their respective SM predictions [11,12]. KOTO-II will be expected to play a crucial role in reaching the SM expectation. We consider a potential measurement of both these modes at 25% precision at KOTO-II.

Table 1 provides a numerical summary of this section with the values for the precisions used in this analysis. A theoretical exposition for each of the decay modes is given in [9] where the computation of the SM and the corresponding theoretical uncertainties are detailed. That analysis serves as a benchmark based on which the proposal in this paper is formulated and detailed in the next section

3 Numerical Methodology

We adopt the numerical prescription of [9] to serve two purposes: First to highlight the role of the individual observables to the global fit. Secondly, it will also emphasise the importance of KOTO-II in narrowing down the parameter space of Wilson coefficients of the operators under consideration. This analysis would thus present the state of the art for the future precision of these Wilson coefficients. All the results represent the projections at the end of data accumulation of the existing (eg. NA62) and future (eg. KOTO-II) measurements. For simplicity, we adopt the following relation between the semi-leptonic Wilson coefficients

$$\delta C_L^e \neq \delta C_L^\mu = \delta C_L^\tau$$

This reduces the dimensionality of the space from 3 to 2. The current status and the expected sensitivity are given in Table 1. In order to facilitate comparison with the analyses of [9], the same CKM inputs have been used in the computation of the SM predictions in Table 1.

The impact of the current status of measurements on the Wilson coefficients is illustrated in Fig. 1. For $K_L \to \mu \bar{\mu}$ we assume a positive sign for the long distance (LD) contributions. The light (dark) purple bands represent the 68% (95%) confidence interval regions in the $\delta C_L^e - \delta C_L^\mu$ plane and the purple cross represents the best-fit points.

The numerical analysis is rendered more lucid by distributing it over three scenarios. In going from the first to the third, we sequentially add specific observables to the fit to illustrate their potential impacts.

Scenario 1: Final NA62 precision of BR $(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ at NA62 and final KOTO-II precision for BR $(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$.

Scenario 2: Scenario 1 + projected KOTO-II precision for $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})$.

Scenario 3: Scenario 2 + projected KOTO-II precision for $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$.

In the case of projective analyses, the choice of final measured central values for the observables mandates the adoption of reasonable assumptions. We invoke two possibilities as follows:

Observable	SM prediction	Experimental results	Reference	Precision for projections
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$	$(7.86\pm0.61)\times10^{-11}$	$(10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.5}\pm0.9)\times10^{-11}$	[1]	15% [17]
${ m BR}(K^0_L o \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$	$(2.68\pm0.30)\times10^{-11}$	$< 1.99 \times 10^{-9}$ @90% CL	[46]	25% [17]
$LFUV(a_+^{\mu\mu} - a_+^{ee})$	0	-0.014 ± 0.016	[4, 19]	Current
$BR(K_L \to \mu \bar{\mu}) (+)$	$(6.82^{+0.77}_{-0.29}) \times 10^{-9}$	$(6.84 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-9}$	[47]	Current
$BR(K_L \to \mu \bar{\mu}) (-)$	$(8.04^{+1.47}_{-0.98}) \times 10^{-9}$	(****= *****) *****	[]	
$\mathrm{BR}(K_S \to \mu \bar{\mu})$	$(5.15 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-12}$	$< 2.1(2.4) \times 10^{-10} \ @90(95)\% \ CL \\ \left(0.9^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-10}\right)$	[5]	$< 6.4 \times 10^{-12}$ @95% CL (LHCb@300 fb ⁻¹ [32,33])
$BR(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})(+)$	$(3.46^{+0.92}_{-0.80}) \times 10^{-11}$	$< 28 \times 10^{-11}$ @90% CL	[11]	25% [17]
$BR(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})(-)$	$(1.55^{+0.60}_{-0.48}) \times 10^{-11}$	< 20 × 10 (65070 CE	[11]	2070 [11]
$BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})(+)$	$(1.38^{+0.27}_{-0.25}) \times 10^{-11}$	$< 38 \times 10^{-11}$ @90% CL	[12]	25% [17]
$BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})(-)$	$(0.94^{+0.21}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-11}$		L J	

Table 1: The SM predictions, current experimental values, and projected precisions. In the last column, "Current" signifies that the measurement precision or the upper bound is maintained at the current experimental level.



Figure 1: Results of the global fit for the scenario $\delta C_L^{\tau} = \delta C_L^{\mu}$. The fit is implemented using the existing data with a positive signed long-distance contribution to $K_L \to \mu \bar{\mu}$.

Projection A: Observables already measured are kept, others assumed at their SM values, all with target precision of KOTO-II.

Projection B: All measurements give current best-fit point with target precision of KOTO-II. The best-fit points are obtained from the analyses in Fig. 1

4 Results and Discussion

With the theoretical and numerical methodology in place, we now present the inferences of the analysis for each of the three scenarios. The findings reported in this paper are produced with



Figure 2: Results corresponding to *Scenario 1*. The top row illustrates the impact on parameter space with the consideration of the golden channels of kaon decays at their final precisions. The Dark (light) red represents 2σ CL regions for Projection A (B). The left (right) plot of the lower row gives the impact of the individual observables on the fit for Projection A (B).

the publicly available SuperIso program [48–52].

Scenario 1

The two golden channels in kaon physics are $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ owing to their sensitivity to short-distance physics. As a result, it would be instructive to see the extent of their impact on the $(\delta C_L^{\mu}, \delta C_L^e)$ parameter space at the end of their respective runs for data accumulation. For the case of $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, we assume its measurement with 15% precision at NA62 while $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ is expected to be measured with 25% precision at KOTO-II. The top row of Fig. 2 illustrates the extent of its final impact in comparison to the existing status given by the pink contour. The two regions inside this contour correspond to the two projections under consideration. While there is an obvious reduction in the available parameter space, it is



Figure 3: Results corresponding to Scenario 2. The top row illustrates the impact on the parameter space with also the inclusion of $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})$ to the fits. The Dark (light) red represents 2σ CL regions for Projection A (B). The left (right) plot of the lower row gives the impact of the individual observables on the fit for Projection A (B).

also instructive to see the extent to which the individual observables influence this reduction. The bottom row gives the impact of the potential measurements on the fits for Projection A (left) and Projection B (right). In the left plot, the allowed regions for $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ (orange) and $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ (maroon) are different as the former assumes a SM central value while the central value of the latter is the same as the current measurement at NA62 ($(10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.5} \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-11}$) which is about 1σ away from the SM prediction. Since Projection B corresponds to using the best-fit values obtained from the existing fits as the measured central value for the observables, the orange and the maroon regions exhibit a larger overlap. In either plot, inferring from the extent of overlap with the 2σ regions of the fit with the orange contour, suggests the importance of a precise $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ measurement. The light blue regions are the regions allowed by BR($K_L \to \mu \bar{\mu}$). The complete immersion of the 2σ regions inside this region indicates the weak influence of the decay modes on the final fits.

Scenario 2

This scenario is characterised by all the ingredients of Scenario 1 with the addition of a projected precision for $K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e}$. It is assumed that the branching fraction for this decay mode would be measured with 25% precision at KOTO-II, which is the same as that considered for $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$. The 2σ regions corresponding to Projection A and B are given in the upper plot of Fig. 3. It illustrates a further reduction in the available parameter space in comparison to the corresponding plot in Fig. 2. The fact that this reduction is due to the addition of $K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e}$ is visible in the lower plots of Fig. 3. The dark blue regions represent the 1σ allowed regions of BR($K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e}$) for the corresponding projection. The fact that the available parameter space has moved so as to sit inside the dark blue region is suggestive of the impact of the measurement of BR($K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e}$) on the global fit.

Scenario 3

The final scenario builds on Scenario 2 by adding the observable $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$ to the fits. Similar to the other cases, it goes with the assumption that it will also be measured with 25% final precision at KOTO-II. On studying the results of the fit in the top row of Fig.4, it seems that there is no visual reduction in the available parameter space compared to Scenario 2. However, we find that this addition has the potential to separate the two Projections that are based on two different hypotheses: loosely they are SM-like and non-SM like. The overall result is quite significant as it also illustrates the power of precise measurements in kaon decays on the eventual conclusions. The bottom row of Fig. 4, gives the impact of the individual observables on the available regions for either projection. As the available region due to $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$ is rather broad due to huge uncertainties, the dominating observable in this case is also $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e})$ as seen from the overlap of the best-fit regions with the blue regions.

5 Conclusions

The rare kaon decay $K_L \to \pi^0 \ell \bar{\ell}$ is interesting as the current experimental bound is almost at the door of its SM value. In addition to being a testing ground for direct CP violation, the mode also has the possibility to have an influence on the acceptable parameter space of the Wilson coefficients. The paper focuses on tapping the potential of its measurement, with reasonable precision, by undertaking a systematic global analysis. The work is presented by means of dividing the numerical methodology into three scenarios, each of which is attributed with a certain choice of kaon decay observables. Going from *Scenario 1-3*, it begins with the golden kaon channels and then the sequential addition of BR($K_L \to \pi^0 e\bar{e}$) and BR($K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu}$). The impact is already visible in *Scenario 2*, which significantly narrows down the parameter space from existing status and *Scenario 1 viz* that uses the golden decay channels at their final precisions. *Scenario 2* with the addition of BR($K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \mu$) facilitates the first clear distinction between a SM-like and a NP-like hypothesis. However, it also presents a need for a detailed theoretical effort into the calculation of the SM values and reduction of the corresponding



Figure 4: Results corresponding to Scenario 3. The top row illustrates the impact on parameters space with also the inclusion of $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \mu \bar{\mu})$ to the fits. The Dark (light) red represents 2σ CL regions for Projection A (B). The left (right) plot of the lower row gives the impact of the individual observables on the fit for Projection A (B).

errors. In general, the study presents a strong case for pursuing a kaon program with a specific focus on K_L decays. With the ongoing measurement of $BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ at KOTO, its upgrade to KOTO-II offers the best opportunity to measure the decay mode into both the neutrinos and the charged leptons. Thus a new dawn for kaon physics is essential to view the Standard Model with a new light.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the valuable input from E. Goudzovski, M. Koval, and C. Lazzeroni of the NA62 collaboration and Diego Martinez Santos from LHCb collaboration. This research was funded in part by the National Research Agency (ANR) under project ANR-21-CE31-0002-

01. AMI would like to acknowledge the generous support by SERB India through the project SRG/2022/001003. GD was supported in part by the INFN research initiative Exploring New Physics (ENP).

References

- [1] NA62 collaboration, Measurement of the very rare $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ decay, JHEP 06 (2021) 093 [2103.15389].
- [2] KOTO collaboration, Search for the $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 X^0$ decays at the J-PARC KOTO experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) 021802 [1810.09655].
- [3] KOTO collaboration, Study of the $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ Decay at the J-PARC KOTO Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126** (2021) 121801 [2012.07571].
- [4] NA62 collaboration, A measurement of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay, JHEP 11 (2022) 011 [2209.05076].
- [5] LHCB collaboration, Constraints on the $K_S^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ Branching Fraction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020) 231801 [2001.10354].
- [6] E865 collaboration, A New measurement of the properties of the rare decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4482 [hep-ex/9907045].
- [7] NA48/2 collaboration, Precise measurement of the $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}$ decay, Phys. Lett. B 677 (2009) 246 [0903.3130].
- [8] NA48/2 collaboration, New measurement of the $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ decay, Phys. Lett. B 697 (2011) 107 [1011.4817].
- [9] G. D'Ambrosio, A.M. Iyer, F. Mahmoudi and S. Neshatpour, Anatomy of kaon decays and prospects for lepton flavour universality violation, JHEP 09 (2022) 148 [2206.14748].
- [10] G. D'Ambrosio, A.M. Iyer, F. Mahmoudi and S. Neshatpour, *Exploring scalar* contributions with $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$, Phys. Lett. B **855** (2024) 138824 [2404.03643].
- [11] KTEV collaboration, Search for the rare decay $K_L \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 021805 [hep-ex/0309072].
- [12] KTEV collaboration, Search for the Decay $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 5279 [hep-ex/0001006].
- [13] KOTO collaboration, KOTO II at J-PARC : toward measurement of the branching ratio of $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **2446** (2023) 012037.
- [14] H. Nanjo, "KOTO step-2 at J-PARC toward measurement of branching ratio of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$." 2022.

- [15] G. Anzivino et al., Workshop summary: Kaons@CERN 2023, Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (2024) 377 [2311.02923].
- [16] S. Neshatpour, Short-Distance Physics with Rare Kaon Decays, Symmetry 16 (2024) 946.
- [17] "Kaons@J-PARC 2024 workshop." 2024, https://conference-indico.kek.jp/event/273/overview.
- [18] G. D'Ambrosio, G. Ecker, G. Isidori and J. Portoles, The Decays $K \to \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$ beyond leading order in the chiral expansion, JHEP **08** (1998) 004 [hep-ph/9808289].
- [19] G. D'Ambrosio, D. Greynat and M. Knecht, On the amplitudes for the CP-conserving $K^{\pm}(K_S) \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$ rare decay modes, JHEP **02** (2019) 049 [1812.00735].
- [20] G. D'Ambrosio, D. Greynat and M. Knecht, Matching long and short distances at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ in the form factors for $K \to \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$, Phys. Lett. B **797** (2019) 134891 [1906.03046].
- [21] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, M. Hoferichter and L.C. Tunstall, Violation of lepton flavor and lepton flavor universality in rare kaon decays, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 074038 [1601.00970].
- [22] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.
- [23] G. D'Ambrosio, F. Mahmoudi and S. Neshatpour, Beyond the Standard Model prospects for kaon physics at future experiments, JHEP 02 (2024) 166 [2311.04878].
- [24] G. D'Ambrosio and J. Portoles, Vector meson exchange contributions to $K \to \pi \gamma \gamma$ and $K_L \to \gamma l^+ l^-$, Nucl. Phys. B **492** (1997) 417 [hep-ph/9610244].
- [25] G. D'Ambrosio, G. Isidori and J. Portoles, Can we extract short distance information from $B(K_L \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$?, Phys. Lett. B **423** (1998) 385 [hep-ph/9708326].
- [26] G. Isidori and R. Unterdorfer, On the short distance constraints from $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, JHEP **01** (2004) 009 [hep-ph/0311084].
- [27] J.-M. Gerard, C. Smith and S. Trine, Radiative kaon decays and the penguin contribution to the Delta I = 1/2 rule, Nucl. Phys. B 730 (2005) 1 [hep-ph/0508189].
- [28] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid and J.R. de Elvira, Improved Standard-Model prediction for $K_L \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$, JHEP 04 (2024) 071 [2310.17689].
- [29] G. D'Ambrosio and T. Kitahara, Direct CP Violation in $K \to \mu^+\mu^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 201802 [1707.06999].
- [30] V. Chobanova, G. D'Ambrosio, T. Kitahara, M. Lucio Martinez, D. Martinez Santos, I.S. Fernandez et al., Probing SUSY effects in $K_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, JHEP **05** (2018) 024 [1711.11030].

- [31] V. Chobanova, D.M. Santos, C. Prouve and M. Romero Lamas, Fast simulation of a forward detector at 50 and 100 TeV proton-proton colliders, 2012.02692.
- [32] LHCB collaboration, *Physics case for an LHCb Upgrade II Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era*, 1808.08865.
- [33] D.M. Santos, Private Communications.
- [34] G. Buchalla, G. D'Ambrosio and G. Isidori, *Extracting short distance physics from* $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ decays, Nucl. Phys. B 672 (2003) 387 [hep-ph/0308008].
- [35] F.J. Gilman and M.B. Wise, $K \to \pi e^+ e^-$ in the Six Quark Model, Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 3150.
- [36] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Radiative Kaon Decays and CP Violation in Chiral Perturbation Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 303 (1988) 665.
- [37] J. Flynn and L. Randall, The CP Conserving Long Distance Contribution to the Decay $K_L \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$, Phys. Lett. B **216** (1989) 221.
- [38] G. Isidori, C. Smith and R. Unterdorfer, The Rare decay $K_L \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ within the SM, Eur. Phys. J. C 36 (2004) 57 [hep-ph/0404127].
- [39] F. Mescia, C. Smith and S. Trine, $K_L \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$: A Binary star on the stage of flavor physics, JHEP **08** (2006) 088 [hep-ph/0606081].
- [40] F. Mescia and C. Smith, Improved estimates of rare K decay matrix-elements from Kl3 decays, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 034017 [0705.2025].
- [41] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, $K^0 \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ Decays in Chiral Perturbation Theory, Phys. Lett. B 189 (1987) 363.
- [42] L. Cappiello and G. D'Ambrosio, $K_L \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ Decay in the Chiral Effective Lagrangian, Nuovo Cim. A 99 (1988) 155.
- [43] L. Cappiello, G. D'Ambrosio and M. Miragliuolo, Corrections to $K \to \pi \gamma \gamma$ from $K \to 3\pi$, Phys. Lett. B **298** (1993) 423.
- [44] A.G. Cohen, G. Ecker and A. Pich, Unitarity and $K_L \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$, Phys. Lett. B **304** (1993) 347.
- [45] T. Morozumi and H. Iwasaki, The CP Conserving Contribution in the Decays $K_L \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ and $K_L \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$, Prog. Theor. Phys. 82 (1989) 371.
- [46] J. Redeker, "The search for $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the KOTO experiment, ICHEP 2024".
- [47] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 030001.

- [48] F. Mahmoudi, SuperIso: A Program for calculating the isospin asymmetry of $B \to K^* \gamma$ in the MSSM, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 745 [0710.2067].
- [49] F. Mahmoudi, SuperIso v2.3: A Program for calculating flavor physics observables in Supersymmetry, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 1579 [0808.3144].
- [50] F. Mahmoudi, SuperIso v3.0, flavor physics observables calculations: Extension to NMSSM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 1718.
- [51] S. Neshatpour and F. Mahmoudi, Flavour Physics with SuperIso, PoS TOOLS2020 (2021) 036 [2105.03428].
- [52] S. Neshatpour and F. Mahmoudi, Flavour Physics Phenomenology with SuperIso, PoS CompTools2021 (2022) 010 [2207.04956].