

Regularity of paths of stochastic measures

Vadym Radchenko ¹

Abstract

Random functions $\mu(x)$, generated by values of stochastic measures are considered. The Besov regularity of the continuous paths of $\mu(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]^d$ is proved. Fourier series expansion of $\mu(x)$, $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ is obtained. These results are proved under weaker conditions than similar results in previous papers.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the properties of paths of processes generated by values of stochastic measures (SMs). SM is a stochastic set function that is σ -additive in probability, see exact definition and examples in subsection 2.1.

Besov regularity of paths of SMs was studied in [15] and [16], Fourier series expansions of paths of SMs were obtained in [17]. Some important results in these papers were obtained under the following condition for SM μ defined on measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) .

Assumption A1. There exists a real-valued finite measure m on (X, \mathcal{B}) with the following property: if a measurable function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\int_X g^2 dm < +\infty$ then g is integrable w. r. t. μ on X .

In this paper, we prove that some statements from [16] and [17] remain valid if we assume the following condition instead of A1.

Assumption A2. There exists a real-valued finite measure m on (X, \mathcal{B}) with the following property: if a measurable function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$ holds $\int_X 2^{\lambda|g|} dm < +\infty$ then g is integrable w. r. t. μ on X .

Obviously, condition A2 is weaker than A1.

Our proofs are very similar to respective proofs in [16] and [17] with one essential change – now we use Lemma 2 from the present paper. In the previous publications, the statement of Lemma 2 was obtained under condition A1, in our paper, we assume A2. Note that in many of our results, we assume that the paths of our processes are continuous.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic facts concerning SMs and Besov spaces and give a short literature review. In Section 3 we prove Lemma 2 and other auxiliary lemmas. Section 4 contains the result about the Besov regularity of SMs on $[0, 1]^d$. In Section 5 we study the Fourier series defined by process $\mu(t) = \mu((0, t])$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Stochastic measures

In this subsection, we give basic information concerning stochastic measures in a general setting. In statements of Sections 4 and 5, this set function is defined on Borel subsets of $[0, 1]^d$ or $[0, 2\pi]$.

Let $L_0 = L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the set of all real-valued random variables defined on the complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Convergence in L_0 means the convergence in probability. Let X be an arbitrary set and \mathcal{B} a σ -algebra of subsets of X .

Definition 1. A σ -additive mapping $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L_0$ is called *stochastic measure* (SM).

We do not assume the moment existence or martingale properties for SM. We can say that μ is an L_0 -valued measure.

¹Department of Mathematical Analysis, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine, vadymradchenko@knu.ua

We note the following examples of SMs. The orthogonally scattered stochastic measures are SMs with values in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. The α -stable random measures defined on a σ -algebra for $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2]$ are independently scattered SMs, see [20, Chapter 3], Definition 1 holds by [20, Proposition 3.5.1].

Many examples of the SMs on the Borel subsets of $[0, T]$ may be given by the Wiener-type integral $\mu(\mathbf{A}) = \int_{[0, T]} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(t) dX_t$. For example, this holds if X_t be any square integrable martingale or fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H > 1/2$. Other examples may be found in [13, Section 2.1] or [19, Section 1.2.1].

For deterministic measurable functions $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, an integral of the form $\int_{\mathbf{X}} f d\mu$ is studied in [11, Chapter 7], [19, Chapter 1]. In particular, every bounded measurable f is integrable with respect to (w.r.t.) any μ , and for any integrable g holds

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{|h| \leq |g|} \mathbf{P} \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbf{X}} h d\mu \right| \geq c \right\} = 0 \quad (1)$$

(this follows from Corollary 1.1, Lemma 1.8, and Theorem 1.2 [19]). An analogue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem holds for this integral (see [11, Proposition 7.1.1] or [19, Theorem 1.5]).

The theory of SMs in detail is considered in [19]. Equations driven by SMs are studied, for example, in [3], [12], [21]. SMs may be used for the study of stochastic dynamical systems (see [1], [2]).

In the sequel, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the Borel σ -algebra of subsets of \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{m}_L denote the Lebesgue measure.

2.2 Besov spaces

These classical functional Banach spaces found many applications in mathematical physics, function theory, and functional analysis. We recall the definition of Besov spaces following [10].

For functions $f \in L_p([0, 1]^d) = L_p([0, 1]^d, \mathbf{m}_L)$ we set

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha([0,1]^d)} = \|f\|_{L_p([0,1]^d)} + \left(\int_0^1 (\omega_p(f, r))^q r^{-\alpha q - 1} dr \right)^{1/q},$$

where ω_p denotes the L_p -modulus of continuity,

$$\omega_p(f, r) = \sup_{|h| \leq r} \left(\int_{I_h} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

$$I_h = \{x \in [0, 1]^d : x+h \in [0, 1]^d\},$$

$|h|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d . Then

$$B_{p,q}^\alpha([0, 1]^d) = \{f \in L_p([0, 1]^d) : \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha([0,1]^d)} < +\infty\},$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha([0,1]^d)}$ is a norm in this space.

Besov regularity of trajectories of random processes is well-studied, see, for example, [5], [7], [8]. For Besov–Orlicz spaces, similar results are obtained in [14], [23]. Besov regularity and continuity of paths of multidimensional integral w.r.t. SMs are considered in [13].

3 Auxiliary lemmas

In the first statement, we recall the well-known Paley–Zygmund inequality (see, for example, Lemma 4.3(a) [22] or Lemma 2.1 [19]).

Lemma 1. *Let ε_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, be independent Bernoulli random variables,*

$$\mathbf{P}[\varepsilon_k = 1] = \mathbf{P}[\varepsilon_k = -1] = 1/2.$$

Then for each $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{P} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \varepsilon_k \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 \right] \geq \frac{1}{8}. \quad (2)$$

The following lemma is the main result of this section. Assuming A1 a similar statement was obtained in Lemma 3.3 [16].

Lemma 2. *Assume that μ be an SM on $(\mathsf{X}, \mathcal{B})$ and Assumption A2 holds.*

Let the measurable functions $f_k : \mathsf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 1$, be such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathsf{X}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k(x))^2 < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathsf{X}} f_k d\mu \right)^2 < +\infty \quad a. s. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Consider independent Bernoulli random variables ε_k , $k \geq 1$, defined on some other probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbf{P}')$,

$$\mathbf{P}'[\varepsilon_k(\omega') = 1] = \mathbf{P}'[\varepsilon_k(\omega') = -1] = 1/2.$$

Consider the sum

$$\eta(x, \omega') = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(\omega') f_k(x). \quad (5)$$

The well-known two-series theorem and condition (3) imply that for each such x series (5) converges \mathbf{P}' -a. s. on Ω' .

Denote

$$C_f = \sup_{x \in \mathsf{X}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k(x))^2.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda|\eta(x, \omega')| \geq 1\} \leq \text{Var}(\lambda|\eta(x, \omega')|) = \lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^2(x) \leq \lambda^2 C_f.$$

Take $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda_0^2 C_f \leq 1/64$.

Theorem 2.3 of [9] states the following fact for each fixed x . If

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda|\eta(x, \omega')| \geq r\} \leq a/2$$

then

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda|\eta(x, \omega')| \geq 2r\} \leq a^2.$$

We have that

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda_0|\eta(x, \omega')| \geq 1\} \leq 2^{-6},$$

therefore

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda_0|\eta(x, \omega')| \geq 2^k\} \leq 2^{-2^{k+2}-2}.$$

The Lévy inequality for symmetric variables (see, for example, [9, Lemma 2.3]) implies that for any $x \in \mathsf{X}$, $c > 0$ for

$$\zeta(x, \omega') = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k(\omega') f_k(x) \right|$$

holds

$$\mathbf{P}'[\zeta(x, \omega') > c] \leq 2\mathbf{P}'[|\eta(x, \omega')| > c].$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}'\{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega') \geq 2^k\} \leq 2^{-2^{k+2}-1}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}'} 2^{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega')} &\leq 2\mathbb{P}'\{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega') \leq 2\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2^{k+1}} \mathbb{P}'\{2^k \leq \lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega') \leq 2^{k+1}\} \\ &\leq 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2^{k+1}} \mathbb{P}'\{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega') \geq 2^k\} \leq 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2^{k+1}} 2^{-2^{k+2}-1} < 3. \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini – Tonelli theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}'} \int_{\mathbf{X}} 2^{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega')} d\mathbf{m}(x) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}'} 2^{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega')} d\mathbf{m}(x) \leq 3\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}) < +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} 2^{\lambda_0 \zeta(x, \omega')} d\mathbf{m}(x) < +\infty \quad \mathbb{P}' - \text{a. s.}$$

and Assumption A2 implies that $\zeta(x, \omega')$ is integrable w. r. t. μ \mathbb{P}' -a. s.

For all $j \geq 1$

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^j \varepsilon_k(\omega') f_k(x) \right| \leq \zeta(x, \omega').$$

For each ω' (excluding a set of zero \mathbb{P}' -measure) we use the dominated convergence theorem [19, Theorem 1.5] for the integral w. r. t. μ and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \eta(x, \omega') d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(\omega') \int_{\mathbf{X}} f_k(x) d\mu(x),$$

where the last series converges in probability \mathbb{P} . Using this, it is easy to obtain that this series converges in probability $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}'$ on $\Omega \times \Omega'$, and its partial sums are bounded in probability $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}'$.

Further, define $\xi_k(\omega) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} f_k d\mu$. Suppose (4) fails. Then

$$\exists \delta_0 > 0 \quad \forall c > 0 \quad \exists m_c \geq 1 : \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \xi_k^2(\omega) \geq c \right] \geq \delta_0. \quad (6)$$

Applying (2) for $\lambda_k = \xi_k(\omega)$, and (6) obtain

$$\mathbb{P}' \left[\omega' : \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \varepsilon_k(\omega') \xi_k(\omega) \right)^2 \geq \frac{c}{4} \right] \geq \frac{1}{8}$$

for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega_c = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \xi_k^2 \geq c \right\}$, and $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_c) \geq \delta_0$. Integrating over the set Ω_c , we get

$$\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}' \left[(\omega, \omega') : \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \varepsilon_k(\omega') \xi_k(\omega) \right)^2 \geq \frac{c}{4} \right] \geq \frac{\delta_0}{8}.$$

Thus, there exist ω'_0 such that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\omega : \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \varepsilon_k(\omega'_0) \xi_k(\omega) \right)^2 \geq \frac{c}{4} \right] \geq \frac{\delta_0}{8}$$

and $\zeta(x, \omega'_0)$ is integrable w.r.t. μ . For the function $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_c} \varepsilon_k(\omega'_0) f_k(x)$ we have

$$|g(x)| \leq \zeta(x, \omega'_0), \quad \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \int_{\mathbf{X}} g d\mu \right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} \right] \geq \frac{\delta_0}{8}.$$

Recall that $\delta_0 > 0$ is fixed and c is arbitrary. Therefore, we obtain a contradiction with (1). \square

Example 1. We give an example, where we can check Assumption A2, and can not check A1.

Let ε_k , $k \geq 1$, be independent Bernoulli random variables. Consider an SM on Borel subsets on $[0, 1]$

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{k^{4/3}} \int_A x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx.$$

This series converges a.s. for each $A \in \mathcal{B}((0, 1])$ because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{4/3}} \int_A x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx \right)^2 < \infty,$$

and μ is an SM with values in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

For any measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{4/3}} \int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx \right)^2 < \infty$$

f is integrable w.r.t. μ , and holds

$$\int_A f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{k^{4/3}} \int_A f(x) x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx. \quad (7)$$

This fact is obvious for simple function f . For arbitrary measurable f , we take simple f_n that converge to f pointwise, $|f_n(x)| \leq |f(x)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{4/3}} \int_A (f(x) - f_n(x)) x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx \right)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{k^{4/3}} \int_A f_n(x) x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx \xrightarrow{L_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{k^{4/3}} \int_A f(x) x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$. Theorem 1.8 [19] implies that f is integrable w.r.t. μ , and (7) holds.

For measurable f such that $\int_{[0,1]} 2^{\lambda|f(x)|} dx < \infty$, we will obtain that (7) fulfills. Applying the Hölder inequality get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx & \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]} |f(x)|^{2k^{1/3}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2k^{1/3}-1}} \left(\int_{[0,1]} x^{(1/2)k^{-1/3}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{2k^{1/3}-2}{2k^{1/3}-1}} \\ & \leq 2k^{1/3} \left(\int_{[0,1]} |f(x)|^{2k^{1/3}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2k^{1/3}-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$|f(x)|^{2k^{1/3}-1} \leq C_{k,\lambda} 2^{\lambda|f|}, \quad \text{where } C_{k,\lambda} = \left(\frac{2k^{1/3}-1}{\lambda \ln 2} \right)^{2k^{1/3}-1} 2^{-\frac{2k^{1/3}-1}{\ln 2}}. \quad (9)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{4/3}} \int_A |f(x)| x^{k^{-1/3}-1} dx \right)^2 & \stackrel{(8)}{\leq} 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\int_{[0,1]} |f(x)|^{2k^{1/3}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2k^{1/3}-1}} \\ & \stackrel{(9)}{\leq} C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\int_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{2k^{1/3}-1}{\lambda \ln 2} \right)^{2k^{1/3}-1} 2^{-\frac{2k^{1/3}-1}{\ln 2}} 2^{\lambda|f|} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2k^{1/3}-1}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{4/3}} \left(\int_{[0,1]} 2^{\lambda|f|} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2k^{1/3}-1}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where C denotes a positive constant. Therefore, f is integrable w.r.t. μ .

Thus, Assumption A2 holds for $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_L$. At the same time, it is not clear how we can check A1 for our μ . For the Lebesgue measure \mathfrak{m}_L or $\mathfrak{m}(A) = \int_A x^\alpha dx$, $-1 < \alpha < 0$ we can find $f(x) = x^\beta$, $\beta < 0$, such that f^2 is integrable to \mathfrak{m} but some elements of sum in (7) are not defined. Investigation of all finite \mathfrak{m} on $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ looks difficult. \square

The following statements will be used in Section 5 for the series expansion of SMs.

Lemma 3. *Let Assumption A2 holds. Then the set of random variables*

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} f_k d\mu \right)^2 \mid f_k : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ are measurable, } \sum_{k=1}^j f_k^2(x) \leq 1, j \geq 1 \right\}$$

is bounded in probability.

Proof. If the statement fails, for some $\delta_0 > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$ we can find functions f_{kn} , $1 \leq k \leq j_n$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} f_{kn}^2(x) \leq 1, \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} f_{kn} d\mu \right)^2 > 2^n \right\} > \delta_0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} (2^{-n/2} f_{kn}(x))^2 \leq 1, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} 2^{-n/2} f_{kn} d\mu \right)^2 \text{ does not converge,}$$

which contradicts Lemma 2. \square

Lemma 4. *Let Assumption A2 holds, μ be an SM on $\mathcal{B}([0, T])$, and the process $\mu(t) = \mu((0, t])$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ have continuous paths. Then for any T_1 , $0 < T_1 < T$ we have*

$$\int_{[0, T_1]} \frac{|\mu(s + \varepsilon) - \mu(s)|^3}{\varepsilon} ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 +.$$

Proof. We have that

$$\int_{[0, T_1]} \frac{|\mu(s + \varepsilon) - \mu(s)|^3}{\varepsilon} ds \leq \sup_s |\mu(s + \varepsilon) - \mu(s)| \int_{[0, T_1]} \frac{|\mu(s + \varepsilon) - \mu(s)|^2}{\varepsilon} ds. \quad (10)$$

For any $n \geq 1$ take the partition of $[0, T_1]$ by points $s_{kn} = \left(\frac{k}{n} T_1 \varepsilon \right) \wedge T_1$, $0 \leq k \leq j_n$, and consider the Riemann integral sum for the last integral in (10)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \frac{|\mu(s_{kn} + \varepsilon) - \mu(s_{kn})|^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{T_1 \varepsilon}{n} = T_1 \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \left(\int_{[0, T_1]} f_{kn} d\mu \right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where $f_{kn}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_{(s_{kn}, s_{kn} + \varepsilon]}(x)$.

We have $\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} f_{kn}^2(x) \leq 1$, by Lemma 3 set of sums (11) is bounded in probability. For continuous $\mu(s)$, $\sup_s |\mu(s + \varepsilon) - \mu(s)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and this implies the statement of Lemma 4. \square

4 Besov regularity of SM in $[0, 1]^d$

Now we will consider SM μ defined on the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, 1]^d$, $d \geq 1$ and obtain the Besov regularity of μ with continuous realizations.

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ set

$$\mu(x) = \mu\left(\prod_{i=1}^d [0, x_i]\right).$$

By $e^{(i)}$ we denote the i th coordinate unit vector in \mathbb{R}^d , and consider discrete sets

$$U(n, i) = \left\{ y = \left(\frac{k_1}{2^n}, \frac{k_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{2^n} \right) \mid k_j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n, 1 \leq j \leq d; y + 2^{-n}e^{(i)} \in [0, 1]^d \right\}.$$

By Corollary 3.3 [10], if for each i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, for respective fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(\alpha p - d)} \sum_{y \in U(n, i)} |\mu(y + 2^{-n}e^{(i)}) - \mu(y)|^p < +\infty. \quad (12)$$

then continuous path of $\mu(x)$ belong to $B_{p,p}^\alpha([0, 1]^d)$. Applying this result we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1. *Let Assumption A2 holds, and the random function $\mu(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]^d$, have continuous realizations. Then for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $0 < \alpha < \min\{1/p, 1/2\}$ the realization $\mu(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]^d$ with probability 1 belongs to the Besov space $B_{p,p}^\alpha([0, 1]^d)$.*

Proof. Firstly, consider the case $2 \leq p < +\infty$, then $0 < \alpha < 1/p$. To obtain (12), it is sufficient, for each i , to prove the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(\alpha p - d)} \sum_{y \in U(n, i)} |\mu(y + 2^{-n}e^{(i)}) - \mu(y)|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1, y \in U(n, i)} \left(2^{n(\alpha p - d)/2} \int_{[0, 1]^d} h_{n, y}(x) d\mu(x) \right)^2, \quad (13)$$

where

$$h_{n, y}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x_j \leq k_j/2^n, j \neq i, k_i/2^n < x_i \leq (k_i+1)/2^n\}}(x), \quad y = \left(\frac{k_1}{2^n}, \frac{k_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{2^n} \right).$$

Here, for each n , each fixed x belongs to at most $2^{n(d-1)}$ sets from the indicators in the functions $h_{n, y}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1, y \in U(n, i)} (2^{n(\alpha p - d)/2} h_{n, y}(x))^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(\alpha p - d)} 2^{n(d-1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(\alpha p - 1)} < +\infty.$$

Lemma 2 implies that series (13) converge a. s.

For $1 \leq p < 2$, we can repeat the proof of this case from Theorem 5.1 [16] (or Theorem 2.2 [19]), and obtain that (13) coverge for $\alpha = 1/2$. \square

Note that, for paths of multidimensional integral w.r.t. SM, sufficient conditions of Besov regularity are given in [13, Theorem 5]. Now we see that we can change A1 to A2 in that statement because we can refer to our Theorem 1 instead of Theorem 5.1 [16] in the proof of Theorem 5 [13].

5 Fourier expansion of SM

Let μ be an SM on $\mathcal{B}([0, 2\pi])$. Consider the random process $\mu(t) = \mu((0, t))$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$.

Assume that paths of the process $\mu(t)$ are Riemann integrable on $[0, 2\pi]$. Consider the Fourier series defined by $\mu(t)$ for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$:

$$\xi_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{[0, 2\pi]} \mu(s) \cos ks ds, \quad \eta_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{[0, 2\pi]} \mu(s) \sin ks ds, \quad (14)$$

$$\mu(t) \sim \frac{\xi_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\xi_k \cos kt + \eta_k \sin kt). \quad (15)$$

Set

$$S_n(t) = \frac{\xi_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k \cos kt + \eta_k \sin kt).$$

Applying the integration by parts formula for integrals in (14) (see [17, Lemma 1] or [19, Lemma 2.7]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_k &= -\frac{1}{k\pi} \int_{(0,2\pi]} \sin ks \, d\mu, & \eta_k &= \frac{1}{k\pi} \int_{(0,2\pi]} (\cos ks - 1) \, d\mu, & k &\geq 1, \\ \xi_0 &= 2\mu((0, 2\pi]) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(0,2\pi]} s \, d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and these integrals are defined for any SM μ without the assuming Riemann integrability.

In the sequel, we will assume that ξ_k and η_k are defined by (16), and consider the Fourier series for arbitrary SM μ on $\mathcal{B}([0, 2\pi])$. First, we prove that series (15) converge.

Theorem 2. *If Assumption A2 holds then*

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n(t) \text{ converges } \mathbf{m}_L\text{-a.s. on } [0, 2\pi]] = 1.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2 for

$$f_k = \frac{\sin kt}{\pi k} \quad \text{and} \quad g_k = \frac{\cos kt - 1}{\pi k},$$

using (16), we get $\sum_k (\xi_k^2 + \eta_k^2) < \infty$ a. s. Applying the famous Carleson's theorem (see [4]) we obtain our statement. \square

Further, we prove that, under some assumptions, S_n converge to values of μ .

Representations of processes in the form of random series began with the well-known Paley–Wiener expansion of the Wiener process. A similar representation of the fractional Brownian motion was obtained in [6]. We give such a result for continuous paths of SMs.

The following statement gives a generalization of the Dirichlet – Jordan theorem about Fourier series expansion of functions of bounded variation, see, for example, [24, Theorem II.8.1].

Theorem 3. *Let Assumption A2 holds, and paths of the process $\mu(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, be continuous. Then for any $t \in (0, 2\pi)$ it holds $S_n(t) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu(t)$ and $S_n(0) = S_n(2\pi) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu(2\pi)/2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu((0, 2\pi]) = 0$, and the periodic continuation of $\mu(t)$ to \mathbb{R} is continuous. Otherwise, we can consider the SM

$$\tilde{\mu}(\mathbf{A}) = \mu(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{m}_L(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\mu((0, 2\pi])}{2\pi}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^*(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(S_{n-1}(t) + S_n(t)) = S_{n-1}(t) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_n \cos nt + \eta_n \sin nt), \\ \varphi_t(s) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu(t+s) + \mu(t-s) - 2\mu(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu((t, t+s]) - \mu((t-s, t])). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem (II.10.1) [24] it follows that for $h = \pi/n$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n^*(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_h^\pi \frac{|\varphi_t(s) - \varphi_t(s+h)|}{s} \, ds + h \int_h^\pi \frac{|\varphi_t(s)|}{s^2} \, ds + \frac{2}{h} \int_0^{2h} |\varphi_t(s)| \, ds + o(1) \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Continuity of $\mu(t)$ and L'Hôpital's rule give that $I_2, I_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi I_1 &= \int_h^\pi \frac{|\mu((t+s, t+s+h]) - \mu((t-s-h, t-s])|}{s} ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_h^\pi \frac{h^{1/2}}{s^{3/2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_h^\pi \frac{|\mu((t+s, t+s+h]) - \mu((t-s-h, t-s])|^3}{h} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last value tends to zero in probability as $h \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 4.

Thus, $S_n^*(t) \xrightarrow{P} \mu(t)$. By the analogue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (see [11, Proposition 7.1.1] or [19, Theorem 1.5]), $\xi_n, \eta_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, and therefore $S_n(t) \xrightarrow{P} \mu(t)$. \square

Remark. Also, Lemma 3 of our paper admits to change Assumption A1 to Assumption A2 in Theorem 3.1 2) [18], where, for an equation driven by SM, the rate of the convergence in the averaging principle is established. This is obvious from the proof of that theorem.

References

- [1] Bai, S.: Limit theorems for conservative flows on multiple stochastic integrals. *J. Theor. Probab.* **35**, 917–948 (2022)
- [2] Bai, S., Owada, T., Wang, Y.: A functional non-central limit theorem for multiple-stable processes with long-range dependence. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **130**, 5768–5801 (2020)
- [3] Bodnarchuk, I.: Averaging principle for a stochastic cable equation. *Modern Stoch. Theory Appl.* **7**, 449–467 (2020)
- [4] Carleson, L.: On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series. *Acta Mathematica* **116**, 135–157 (1966)
- [5] Ciesielski, Z., Kerkycharian, G., Roynette, B.: Quelques espaces fonctionnels associés à des processus gaussiens. *Studia Math.* **107**, 171–204 (1993)
- [6] Dzhaparidze, K., van Zanten, H.: Krein's spectral theory and the Paley–Wiener expansion for fractional Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 620–644 (2005)
- [7] Fageot, J., Unser, M., Ward, J.P.: On the Besov regularity of periodic Lévy noises. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **42**, 21–36 (2017)
- [8] Herren, V.: Lévy-type processes and Besov spaces. *Potential Anal.* **7**, 689–704 (1997)
- [9] Kahane, J.-P.: *Some Random Series of Functions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1993)
- [10] Kamont, A.: A discrete characterization of Besov spaces. *Approx. Theory Appl. (N.S.)* **13**, 63–77 (1997)
- [11] Kwapiień, S., Woyczyński, W.A.: *Random Series and Stochastic Integrals: Single and Multiple*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1992)
- [12] Manikin, B.: Heat equation with a general stochastic measure in a bounded domain. *Modern Stoch. Theory Appl.*, 1–22 (2024). doi:10.15559/24-VMSTA262
- [13] Manikin, B., Radchenko, V.: Sample path properties of multidimensional integral with respect to stochastic measure. *Modern Stoch. Theory Appl.*, 1–17 (2024). doi:10.15559/24-VMSTA256
- [14] Ondreját, M., Veraar, M.: On temporal regularity of stochastic convolutions in 2-smooth Banach spaces. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **56**, 1792–1808 (2020)

- [15] Radchenko, V.: Besov regularity of stochastic measures. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **77**, 822–825 (2007)
- [16] Radchenko, V.: Sample functions of stochastic measures and Besov spaces. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **54**, 160–168 (2010)
- [17] Radchenko, V.: Fourier series expansion of stochastic measures. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **63**, 318–326 (2018)
- [18] Radchenko, V.: Averaging principle for equation driven by a stochastic measure. *Stochastics* **91**(6), 905–915 (2019)
- [19] Radchenko, V.: *General Stochastic Measures. Integration, Path Properties, and Equations.* ISTE Ltd, London (2022)
- [20] Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M.S.: *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes.* Chapman and Hall, London (1994)
- [21] Shen, G., Wu, J.-L., Yin, X.: Averaging principle for fractional heat equations driven by stochastic measures. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **106**, 106404 (2020)
- [22] Vakhania, N.N., Tarieladze, V.I., Chobanian, S.A.: *Probability Distributions on Banach Spaces.* D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht (1987)
- [23] Čoupek, P., Ondreját, M.: Besov–Orlicz path regularity of non-Gaussian processes. *Potential Anal.* **60**, 307–339 (2024)
- [24] Zygmund, A.: *Trigonometric Series.* 3rd Ed. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002)