

# $\mathcal{C}$ -HEREDITARILY CONJUGACY SEPARABLE GROUPS AND WREATH PRODUCTS

ALEX BISHOP, MICHAL FEROV, AND MARK PENGITORE

ABSTRACT. We provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the restricted wreath product  $A \wr B$  to be  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension-closed pseudo-variety of finite groups. Moreover, we prove that the Grigorchuk group is 2-hereditarily conjugacy separable.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Statement of results	3
1.3. Applications	4
Acknowledgments	5
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Profinite topologies on groups	5
2.2. Centralizer conditions	8
2.3. Wreath products	9
2.4. Centralizers in wreath products	10
3. Wreath products of HCS groups $A \wr B$ where $ B  < \infty$	11
4. Wreath products of HCS groups $A \wr B$ where $B$ is infinite	15
4.1. Centralizers in wreath products with abelian base group	16
4.2. Preliminary technical statements	19
4.3. Main statements	21
5. Hereditarily conjugacy separable torsion groups	29
5.1. The conjugacy problem	31
5.2. The subgroup $K$	35
5.3. Splitting trees	40
5.4. The subgroups $K_m$	41
5.5. Theorem	42
Appendix A. $\mathcal{C}$ -Centralizer condition and the $\mathcal{C}$ -completion	43
References	44

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A standard way of studying infinite discrete groups is to consider their finite quotients. Thus, a naturally arising question is how much information about the group can be recovered from its finite quotients. A group  $G$  is called *residually*

*finite (RF)* if for any non-trivial element  $g \in G$ , there exists a surjective homomorphism  $\pi: G \rightarrow F$  onto a finite group  $F$  such that  $\pi(g)$  is non-trivial in  $F$ . Informally speaking, a group is residually finite if we can distinguish its individual elements in its finite quotients. A group  $G$  is called *conjugacy separable (CS)* if for any pair of non-conjugate elements  $f, g \in G$ , there is a surjective homomorphism  $\pi: G \rightarrow F$  onto a finite group  $F$  such that the elements  $\pi(f)$  and  $\pi(g)$  are not conjugate in  $F$ . Again, informally speaking, a group is conjugacy separable if we can distinguish conjugacy classes of its elements in its finite quotients.

Group properties of this type are called *separability properties*. In this paper, we study  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability (which is a more general version of conjugacy separability),  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditary conjugacy separability (which is a strengthening of  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability), and the closure properties of the class of  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily separable groups with respect to the construction of wreath products.

**1.1. Motivation.** Separability properties provide an algebraic analogue to the solvability of decision problems for finitely presented groups. Mal'tsev [18] proved that finitely presented residually finite groups have solvable word problem. Similarly, Mostowski [24] showed that finitely presented conjugacy separable groups have solvable conjugacy problem.

Although, the definition of conjugacy separability is similar to that of residual finiteness, it is a much stronger condition. In particular, it can be easily seen that every CS group is RF, but the implication in the opposite direction does not hold. A simple example of a RF group which is not CS was given independently by Stebe [32] and Remeslenikov [27] when they proved that  $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$  is not CS.

The following classes of groups are known to be conjugacy separable:

- virtually free groups (Dyer [6]),
- virtually polycyclic groups (Formanek [10], Remeslennikov [26]),
- virtually surface groups (Martino [19]),
- limit groups (Chagas and Zalesskii [3]),
- finitely generated right-angled Artin groups (Minasyan [22]),
- even Coxeter groups whose diagram does not contain  $(4, 4, 2)$ -triangles (Caprace and Minasyan [2]),
- finitely presented residually free groups (Chagas and Zalesskii [4]),
- one-relator groups with torsion (Minasyan and Zalesskii [23]), and
- fundamental groups of compact orientable 3-manifolds (Hamilton, Wilton and Zalesskii [16]).

It is easy to see that being residually finite is a hereditary property, that is, if a group  $G$  is residually finite, then every subgroup  $H \leq G$  is residually finite as well. However, conjugacy separability does not behave well with respect to subgroup inclusion, not even of finite index. Martino and Minasyan [20] showed that for every integer  $m \geq 2$ , there exists a finitely presented conjugacy separable group  $T$  that contains a subgroup  $S \leq T$  such that  $|T : S| = m$  and  $S$  is not conjugacy separable. We say that a group  $G$  is *hereditarily conjugacy separable (HCS)* if  $G$  and each of its finite index subgroups,  $H \leq G$ , are conjugacy separable.

It is natural to study the closure properties of the class of HCS groups with respect to group-theoretic constructions. For example, it is clear from the definition that the class of conjugacy separable groups is closed under forming finite direct product. It was proved by Stebe [31] and independently by Remeslennikov [27] that

the class of CS groups is closed under taking free products, and thus, it follows that a free product of HCS groups is again an HCS group. The second named author [8] showed that graph products of HCS groups—a natural generalization of direct and free products of groups—are again HCS. Remeslennikov [27] showed that if  $A$  and  $B$  are both CS groups, then their restricted wreath product  $A \wr B$  is a conjugacy separable group if and only if either  $B$  is finite, or  $B$  is infinite with the additional properties that every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  is separable, and  $A$  is abelian.

A natural way of generalizing separability properties is to only consider finite quotients of a specific type, say finite nilpotent groups or finite  $p$ -groups for some prime  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of finite groups (we will always assume that classes of finite groups are closed under isomorphisms), and let  $G$  be a group. We say that  $G$  is *residually- $\mathcal{C}$*  if for every non-trivial element  $g \in G$ , there is a group  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and a homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow F$  such that  $\phi(g)$  is non-trivial in  $F$ . Similarly, we say that  $G$  is  *$\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable ( $\mathcal{C}$ -CS)* if for pairs of elements  $f, g \in G$  such that  $f$  is not conjugate to  $g$  in  $G$ , there is a group  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and a homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow F$  such that  $\phi(f)$  is not conjugate to  $\phi(g)$  in  $F$ . We say that  $G$  is  *$\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable* if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable and every subgroup  $H \leq G$ , open in pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology, is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable as well ( $H$  is open in pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology if and only if there is  $K \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $K \leq H$  and  $G/K \in \mathcal{C}$  – see subsection 2.1).

In this paper, we are interested in classes of finite groups  $\mathcal{C}$  which are *extension closed pseudo-variety of finite groups*, that is, classes of finite groups which are closed under taking subgroups, direct products, quotients and extensions. Three common examples of extension closed pseudo-varieties of finite groups are the class of all finite  $p$ -groups where  $p$  is a prime number, the class of all finite soluble groups, and the class of all finite groups.

We have the following examples of  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability groups:

- right-angled Artin groups and free-by- $\mathcal{C}$  groups are  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite  $p$ -groups for some prime  $p$  (Toinet [33]), and
- free-by- $\mathcal{C}$  groups are  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability when  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension closed psuedo-variety of finite groups (Ribes and Zalesskii [30]).

In this paper we study the behavior of  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditary conjugacy separability, where the class  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension closed pseudo-variety of finite groups, under the construction of wreath products. It is easy to see that a direct product of  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS groups is again a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS groups, and similarly for  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditary conjugacy separability. Using the result of Ribes and Zalesskii [30] that free-by- $\mathcal{C}$  groups are  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS, one could easily generalize the result of Stebe [31] and Remeslennikov [27] to show that  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS and  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS groups are closed under taking free products. Furthermore, the second named author [8] showed that the classes of  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS and  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS groups are closed under forming graph products.

**1.2. Statement of results.** The following theorem was proved by Remeslennikov [27, Theorem 1] in the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite groups and later generalized by the authors Ferov and Pengitore [9, Theorem A] to the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is any extension closed pseudo-variety of finite groups, as follows. The term  *$\mathcal{C}$ -cyclic subgroup separable*, as in the following theorem, is defined in Definition 2.5.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem A in [9]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an extension-closed psuedo-variety of finite groups, and suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable groups. Then,  $A \wr B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable if and only if at least one of the following is true:*

- (i)  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , or
- (ii)  $A$  is abelian, and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -cyclic subgroup separable.

The main result of this paper, which is proven at the end of Section 4, is the following strengthening of the above theorem to the setting of  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable groups. Note that the term  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable is defined in Definition 2.3.

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups. Then, the restricted wreath product  $A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group if and only if either one of the following is true:*

- (i)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , or
- (ii)  $A$  is abelian and residually- $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS such that every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $G$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime. Then, to simplify the presentation of this paper, we say that a group is *residually- $p$* ,  *$p$ -conjugacy separable*, or  *$p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable* if it is residually- $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable, or  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable, respectively, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of finite  $p$ -groups.

We observed that if a group  $G$  contains an infinite cyclic subgroup, then for every prime number  $p$  it contains a cyclic subgroup that is not  $p$ -separable. This means that any infinite  $p$ -conjugacy separable group satisfying property (ii) of Theorem 4.14 must be a torsion group. There are examples of infinite torsion groups that have desirable separability properties, for example,

- Grigorchuks group and Gupta-Sidki groups are conjugacy separable [34],
- Gupta-Sidki group  $GS(3)$  is subgroup separable [12], and
- Grigorchuk-Gupta-Sidki groups are subgroup separable [11].

To the best knowledge of the authors, in the literature, none of the above examples are known to be hereditarily conjugacy separable. Motivated by this gap in knowledge, we prove the following result in Section 5.

**Theorem 5.15.** *The Grigorchuk group is hereditarily conjugacy separable. In particular, the Grigorchuk group is 2-hereditarily conjugacy separable.*

**1.3. Applications.** From Theorem 4.14, we may construct many new examples of hereditarily conjugacy separable groups and  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable groups as follows.

In [9, Corollary A.1], the second and third named authors characterized when the wreath product of  $p$ -conjugacy separable groups is itself  $p$ -conjugacy separable. Using this result and Theorem 4.14, we may characterize when the wreath product of  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable groups is  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable as in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable groups for some prime  $p$ . Then,  $A \wr B$  is  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable if and only if at least one of the following is true:*

- (1)  $B$  is a finite  $p$ -group, or
- (2)  $A$  is abelian, and  $B$  is a  $p$ -group.

We have the following immediate application of the above corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is the Grigorchuk group. Then, the group  $\mathbb{Z}^m \wr G$  is 2-hereditarily conjugacy separable.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 5.15. □

It is well known that all finitely generated nilpotent groups, and more generally polycyclic groups, are hereditarily conjugacy separable and have separable cyclic subgroup. As a consequence of Corollary 1.2, in the following corollary, we produce infinitely many new examples of hereditarily conjugacy separable solvable groups that are not polycyclic, and are not  $p$ -conjugacy separable for any prime  $p$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose that  $G$  is an infinite finitely generated nilpotent group or an infinite polycyclic group, and let  $A$  be an abelian group. Then  $A \wr G$  is hereditarily conjugacy separable but is not  $p$ -conjugacy separable for any prime  $p$ .*

We have the following immediate corollary to Corollary 1.4.

**Corollary 1.5.** *If  $C_p$  is the cyclic group of order  $p$ , for some prime  $p$ , then the lamplighter groups  $C_p \wr \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  are hereditarily conjugacy separable, but not  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable for any prime  $p$ .*

A result of second named author [8, Theorem 1.2] tells us that the class of  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS groups is closed under taking graph products. Similarly, any graph product  $G$  of groups with  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable cyclic subgroups has  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable cyclic subgroups by [1, Theorem A]. Subsequently, if  $G$  is a right-angled Artin groups or a right-angled Coxeter groups, then  $\mathbb{Z}^m \wr G$  is hereditarily conjugacy separable. More generally, we have the following corollary to Theorem 4.14.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a graph product of  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable groups with  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable cyclic subgroups. If  $A$  is a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  abelian group, then  $A \wr B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group. In particular, if  $G$  is a right-angled Artin group or a right-angled Coxeter group, then  $A \wr G$  is hereditarily conjugacy separable.*

**Acknowledgments.** Michal Ferov would like to thank Ashot Minasyan for suggesting the study of wreath products of hereditarily conjugacy separable groups and for useful email conversations.

Michal Ferov was supported by the Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship FL170100032 of professor George Willis. Alex Bishop acknowledges support from Swiss NSF grant 200020-200400.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Given a group and elements  $f, g \in G$  we will write  $f \sim_G g$  to denote that  $f$  and  $g$  are conjugate in  $G$ , i.e. that there is  $c \in G$  such that  $f = cgc^{-1}$ . Given a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , we will use  $C_H(g)$  to denote the  $H$ -centralizer of  $g$ , i.e.  $C_H(g) = \{h \in H \mid hg = gh\}$ .

Given a group  $G$ , we say that a subgroup  $R \leq G$  is a *retract* in  $G$  if there is a surjective homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow R$  such that  $\rho|_R = \text{id}_R$ . Let us note that if  $R \leq G$  is a retract then  $G$  splits as a semidirect product  $G = K \rtimes R$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of the associated retraction map  $\rho: G \rightarrow R$ .

Unless stated otherwise, all actions considered will be left actions.

**2.1. Profinite topologies on groups.** To ensure that this paper is self-contained, in this subsection, we present a background on the basic facts about pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topologies on groups. Experts in the field may feel free to skip this subsection. Proofs of all of the statements can be found in the classic book by Ribes and Zalesskii [28] or in the second named author's doctoral thesis [7].

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of groups, and let  $G$  be a group. We say that a normal subgroup  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is a **co- $\mathcal{C}$  subgroup** of  $G$  if  $G/N \in \mathcal{C}$ . We then write  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  for the class of all co- $\mathcal{C}$  subgroups of  $G$ . Following the notation in [7], we define the following three closure properties of which a class of groups  $\mathcal{C}$  may possess:

- (c0)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under taking finite subdirect products,
- (c1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under taking subgroups,
- (c2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under taking finite direct products.

Notice that

$$(c0) \Rightarrow (c2) \quad \text{and} \quad (c1) + (c2) \Rightarrow (c0).$$

We also note that that in [28, p. 19], the properties (c0), (c1) and (c2) are instead labeled as (C4), (C1) and (C2), respectively.

**Remark 2.1.** *Suppose that the class of groups  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (c0), then for every group  $G$  the set  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  is closed under finite intersections. That is, if  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , then  $N_1 \cap N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ .*

Following Remark 2.1, we see that whenever the class  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies property (c0), we have that  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  is a base at 1 for a topology on  $G$ . Hence, the group  $G$  can be equipped with a group topology given by a base of open sets

$$\{gN \mid g \in G, N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)\}.$$

This topology is known as the **pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology** on  $G$ , and is denoted  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(G)$ .

If the class  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (c1) and (c2), or equivalently, (c0) and (c1), then equipping a group  $G$  with its pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology is a faithful functor from the category of groups to the category of topological groups, as witnessed by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (Lemma 2.2 in [7]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of groups satisfying (c1) and (c2). Given groups  $G$  and  $H$ , every morphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$  is a continuous map with respect to the corresponding pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topologies of  $G$  and  $H$ . Furthermore, if  $\varphi$  is a group isomorphism, then it is a homeomorphism of the topologies.*

We have the following additional terminology for subset of  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(G)$

**Definition 2.3.** *A subset  $X \subseteq G$  is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -closed** in  $G$  if  $X$  is closed in  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(G)$ . We then say that a subset  $X \subseteq G$  is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -separable** if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed. Accordingly, a subset is  **$\mathcal{C}$ -open** in  $G$  if it is open in  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(G)$ .*

We then have the following alternative characterization of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed subset.

**Lemma 2.4** (Lemma 2.1 in [7]). *Suppose that  $G$  is a finitely generated group equipped with the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology, and that  $X \subseteq G$  is a nonempty subset. Then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed if and only if for every element  $g \notin X$ , there exists a subgroup  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $\pi_N(g) \notin \pi_N(X)$  in  $G/N$  where  $\pi_N: G \rightarrow G/N$  is the natural projection.*

We now give the important definitions of this paper as follows. We can now describe various separability properties in topological terms by specifying what kind of subsets are required to be closed in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topology.

**Definition 2.5.** *We say that a group  $G$  is*

- **residually- $\mathcal{C}$**  if  $\{1\}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed subset of  $G$ ;
- **$\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable** ( $\mathcal{C}$ -CS) if every conjugacy class is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed;
- **$\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable** ( $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS) if  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS and every  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS as well; and

- *$\mathcal{C}$ -cyclic subgroup separable ( $\mathcal{C}$ -CSS) if every cyclic subgroup is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed.*

In this paper, we consider classes of finite groups such as the class of all finite groups or the class of all finite  $p$ -groups where  $p$  is some prime. These two classes of finite groups are examples of extension-closed pseudo-varieties of finite groups as seen in the following definition.

**Definition 2.6.** *A class of finite groups that is closed under subgroups, finite direct products, quotients, and extensions is called an **extension-closed pseudo-variety of finite groups**.*

From this point onward, we assume that the class  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension closed pseudo-variety of finite groups.

In the profinite case, i.e. when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite subgroups, one can easily demonstrate that a subgroup  $H \leq G$  is open in the profinite topology on  $G$  if and only if it has finite index in  $G$ . Further, one can show that  $H$  is closed in  $G$  if and only if it is an (possibly infinite) intersection of subgroups of finite index. Analogous statement can be made in the general case, the following lemma combines [15, Theorem 3.1] [15, Theorem 3.3].

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H \leq G$ . Then  $H$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -open in  $G$  if and only if there is  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $N \leq H$ . In particular, every  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroup is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$  and is of finite index in  $G$ . Furthermore,  $H$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$  if and only if it is an intersection of  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroups of  $G$ .*

Recall that subgroup  $R \leq G$  is a retract in  $G$  if there is a surjective homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow R$  such that  $\rho|_R = \text{id}_R$ . Given two elements  $x, y \in R$  we see that  $x \sim_R y$  if and only if  $x \sim_G y$ : if  $y = cxc^{-1}$  for some  $c \in G$  then  $y = \rho(y) = \rho(cxc^{-1}) = \rho(c)x\rho(c)^{-1}$ . It then follows that if  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS group and  $R \leq G$  is a retract then  $R$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS as well: given  $x, y \in R$  such that  $x \not\sim_R y$ , we have  $x \not\sim_G y$ , we take the appropriate quotient of  $G$  and restrict the projection map to  $R$ .

It follows from the definition that if  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group then every  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroup of  $G$  is in fact  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS as well. We say that  $R \leq G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -virtual retract of  $G$  if there is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -open subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that  $R$  is a retract in  $H$ . Following the previous paragraph, it can be easily seen that the property of being  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS is passed down to  $\mathcal{C}$ -virtual retracts as well.

**Remark 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group and suppose that  $R \leq G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -virtual retract of  $G$ . Then  $R$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group as well.*

Recall that a group  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -cyclic subgroup separable ( $\mathcal{C}$ -CSS for short) if every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ . In other words,  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -CSS if for every  $g, h \in G$  such that  $h \notin \langle g \rangle$ , there exists a subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $h \notin \langle g \rangle K$  in  $G$ . Let us note that in the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite  $p$ -groups then a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -CSS if and only if  $G$  is a torsion group, i.e.  $G$  does not contain an element of infinite order: all subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z} = \langle a \rangle$  are closed in the profinite topology, but  $\langle a^2 \rangle$  is not  $p$ -separable in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for any odd prime  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $G$ . Then, for every  $g \in G$  with  $\text{ord}(g) = \infty$  and every integer  $N > 0$ , there exists a subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\langle g \rangle \cap K = \langle g^{cN} \rangle$ , i.e. the order of the image of  $g$  under the natural projection onto  $G/K$  is a multiple of  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $D = \{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid d < N \text{ and } d \mid N\}$ . Clearly,  $g^d \notin \langle g^N \rangle$  for each  $d \in D$ . Thus, as every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $G$ , for every  $d \in D$  there is a subgroup  $K_d \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $g^d \notin \langle g^N \rangle K_d$ . Further, as  $G$  is residually  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is  $K_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $g^N \notin K_0$ . We then set

$$K = K_0 \cap \bigcap_{d \in D} K_d.$$

Clearly,  $g^d \notin \langle g^N \rangle K$  for all  $d \in D$  and  $g^N \notin K$ .

As  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , we can write  $\langle g \rangle \cap K = \langle g^m \rangle$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $d' = \gcd(m, N)$ . It can be easily seen that  $\langle g^{d'} \rangle \subseteq \langle g^N \rangle K$ , but by construction, we have  $g^d \notin \langle g^N \rangle K$  for all proper divisors of  $N$ . Thus, we see that  $\gcd(m, N) = N$  by necessity. In particular, we see that  $\langle g \rangle \cap K = \langle g^{cN} \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Centralizer conditions.** In general, showing directly that a group is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS is not feasible, as one would need to classify all co- $\mathcal{C}$  subgroups. However, hereditary conjugacy separability is tightly connected to the ‘‘growth’’ of centralizers in finite quotients. Therefore, we have the following definition.

**Definition 2.10.** *We say that a group  $G$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition if for every  $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$  and every subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , there is a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $L \leq K$  and*

$$C_{G/L}(\pi_L(g)) \subseteq \pi_L(C_G(g)K) \text{ in } G/L,$$

where  $\pi_L: G \rightarrow G/L$  is the natural projection map.

The following theorem was first proved by Minasyan [22] in the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite groups, later by Toinet [33] in the case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all finite  $p$ -groups for some prime number  $p$ , and by the second named author [8] in the general case when  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension closed variety of finite groups.

**Theorem 2.11** (Theorem 4.2 in [8]). *Let  $G$  be a group. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable,
- (ii)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable and satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition.

Before we proceed, we introduce some more technical refinements of centralizer conditions as follows.

**Definition 2.12.** *We say that an element  $g \in G$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition ( $\mathcal{C}$ -CC) in  $G$  if for every subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  there is a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $L \leq K$  and where*

$$C_{G/L}(\pi_L(g)) \subseteq \pi_L(C_G(g)K) \text{ in } G/L.$$

Clearly, a group  $G$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition if and only if every element  $g \in G$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .

For the ease of writing, and to improve readability, we introduce the following notation. Let  $G$  be a group and suppose that  $g \in G$  and  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  are arbitrary. We say that a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for the pair  $(g, K)$  if

$$C_{G/L}(\pi_L(g)) \subseteq \pi_L(C_G(g)K) \text{ in } G/L,$$

where  $\pi_L: G \rightarrow G/L$  is the natural projection map. Clearly, an element  $g$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$  if and only if for every  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  the pair  $(g, K)$  has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness.



Similarly, a group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC if and only if every pair  $(g, K)$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness.

As the following lemma demonstrates, the property of being a witness for a given pair is inherited by subgroups.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $g \in G$ ,  $K, L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be arbitrary. If  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for the pair  $(g, K)$  then every subgroup  $L' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $L' \leq L$  is also a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(g, K)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(g, K)$ , and let  $L' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be an arbitrary subgroup such that  $L' \leq L$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/L$  and  $\pi': G \rightarrow G/L'$  be the corresponding quotient maps. By assumption,

$$C_{G/L}(\pi(g)) \subseteq \pi(C_G(g)K) \text{ in } G/L.$$

Let  $\lambda: G/L' \rightarrow G/L$  denote the map defined by  $\lambda(xL') = xL$  for all  $x \in G$ . Clearly,  $\lambda$  is an epimorphism and  $\pi = \lambda \circ \pi'$  and it can be easily seen that  $C_{G/L'}(\pi'(g)) \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(C_{G/L}(\pi(g)))$ . Altogether, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{G/L'}(\pi'(g)) &\subseteq \lambda^{-1}(C_{G/L}(\pi(g))) \\ &\subseteq \lambda^{-1}(\pi(C_G(g)K)) \\ &= \pi'(C_G(g)K)L' = \pi'(C_G(g)K), \end{aligned}$$

meaning that  $L'$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(g, K)$ . □

Showing that centralizer condition holds can be tedious; thus, it is sometimes convenient to reduce the problem to constructing a well-behaved homomorphism to a group that satisfies the centralizer condition. Thus, we have the following lemma, which is an immediate consequence of [8, Lemma 4.5].

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $G$  be a group. An element  $g \in G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC if and only if for every subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  there exists a group  $Q$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\pi: G \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\ker(\pi) \leq K$ ,  $\pi(g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $Q$ , and*

$$C_Q(\pi(g)) \subseteq \pi(C_G(g)K) \text{ in } Q.$$

Note that there is no assumption on the group  $Q$  - it will usually be infinite. In particular, if  $Q = G$  and  $\pi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  get the following immediate corollary of Lemma 2.14.

**Remark 2.15.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $g \in G$  be an arbitrary element. If there is an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $\alpha(g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ , then  $g$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .*

**2.3. Wreath products.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups. We denote the restricted wreath product of  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$A \wr B = \left( \bigoplus_{b \in B} A \right) \rtimes B$$

where  $B$  acts on coordinates by left translation. An element  $f \in \bigoplus_{b \in B} A$  is understood as a function  $f: B \rightarrow A$  such that  $f(b) \neq 1$  for only finitely many  $b \in B$ . With a slight abuse of notation, we will use  $A^B$  to denote  $\bigoplus_{b \in B} A$ . The action of  $B$  on  $A^B$  is then realized as  $b \cdot f(x) = f(bx)$ .

The **support** of  $f$ , i.e. the elements on which  $f$  does not vanish, is denoted as

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{b \in B \mid f(b) \neq 1\}.$$

The proofs of the following two lemmas can be found in [9, Subsection 4.1].

**Lemma 2.16** (Lemma 4.2 in [9]). *Let  $A, B$  be groups, and let  $N \trianglelefteq B$ . If  $A$  is abelian, then the natural projection  $\pi_B: B \rightarrow B/N$  extends to a projection  $\pi: A \wr B \rightarrow A \wr (B/N)$  with  $\ker(\pi) = K_N \rtimes N$  where*

$$K_N = \left\{ f \in A^B \mid \text{for each } x \in B, \text{ we have } \prod_{x \in N} f(bx) = 1 \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.17** (Lemma 4.3 in [9]). *Let  $G = A \wr B$  be a restricted wreath product of groups  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  is a subgroup, then there is subgroup  $K_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $(K_A)^B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  and  $(K_A)^B \leq K$ .*

**2.4. Centralizers in wreath products.** Centralizers in restricted wreath products have been studied by Meldrum in [21]. In this subsection, we recall some of the notation introduced in [21] and restate the results to the setting relevant to our paper, i.e either when the acting group  $B$  is finite or when the base group  $A$  is infinite. All the statements given in this subsection are direct applications of Meldrums results, once one replaces the roles of the elements present according to the table

Meldrum	this paper
$f$	$f$
$g$	$b$
$d$	$g$
$h$	$c$

and replaces the right actions by left translations.

For  $h \in A^B$ , we define a function  $\bar{h}: B \times B \rightarrow A$  as

$$\bar{h}(d, x) = \begin{cases} h(x)h(dx) \dots h(d^{n-1}x) & \text{if } \text{ord}(d) < \infty \\ \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} h(d^i x) & \text{if } \text{ord}(d) = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $n = \text{ord}(d)$  in the case that  $d$  is of finite order. Note that since  $h$  has nontrivial values only on finitely many elements of  $B$ , the above is well defined.

The following lemma is a special restatement of [21, Theorem 15].

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups with  $|B| < \infty$ . Let  $f, g \in A^B$  and  $b, c \in B$  be arbitrary elements. Then,  $gc \in C_{A \wr B}(fb)$  if and only if all of the following hold:*

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{f}(b, cx) \sim_A \bar{f}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iii)  $\bar{f}(b, cx) = g(x)^{-1} \bar{f}(b, x) g(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ , and
- (iv)  $g(bx) = f^{-1}(x) g(x) f(cx)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Let us note that if  $c = 1$ , then  $\bar{g}(c, x) = g(x)$ . In this particular case, we see that (ii) and (iii) in the statement of the Lemma 2.18 are equivalent, i.e.  $fb \in C_{A \wr B}(g)$  if and only if  $b \in C_B(c)$  and  $g(bx) = f(x)^{-1} g(x) f(x)$  for every  $x$ . Similarly, if  $g = 1$ , then  $fb \in C_{A \wr B}(c)$  if and only if  $b \in C_B(c)$  and  $f(cx) = f(x)$ . In general, (i) specifies admissible elements  $b \in C_B(c)$ , (ii) states that all possible values of  $f(x)$  must belong to the same left coset of  $C_A(\bar{g}(c, x))$ , and (iii) gives a way of determining  $f(cx)$  from  $g(x)$ ,  $f(x)$ , and  $g(bx)$ .

In the case when  $A$  is abelian, the value of  $\bar{g}(c, x)$  is independent of the order in which we multiply the individual elements. In particular, if given  $h \in A^B$  and

$d \in B$ , fixing the first input of  $\bar{h}$  to  $d$  (also known as “currying”  $\bar{h}$  by  $d$ ), we then get a function  $\bar{h}(d): B \rightarrow A$  which is constant on right cosets of  $\langle d \rangle$ . In fact,  $\bar{h}(d)$  can be seen as a function  $\bar{h}(d): \langle d \rangle \backslash B \rightarrow A$ .

We can now state the following lemma, which is a combination of [21, Theorem 3 and Theorem 15] in the case when the base group  $A$  is abelian.

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups, and suppose that  $A$  is abelian. Let  $f, g \in A^B$  and  $b, c \in B$  be arbitrary elements. Then  $gc \in C_{A \wr B}(fb)$  if and only if all of the following hold:*

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{f}(b, cx) = \bar{f}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iii)  $g(bx) = g(x)f^{-1}(x)f(cx)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iv) if  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$  and  $\bar{f}(c, x) \neq 1$  for some  $x \in B$ , then  $\text{ord}(c) < \infty$ ,
- (v) if  $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$ , then for all  $x \in B$  we have that

$$g(b^{k+n}x) = f(b^{k+n-1}x)^{-1} \cdots f(b^kx)^{-1} f(b^kcx) \cdots f(b^{k-n+1}cx)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is maximal such that  $g(b^{k'}x) = 1$  for all  $k' \leq k$ .

Note that the case (v) of the above lemma is a seemingly stronger statement than (ii) of [21, Theorem 3], but careful inspection of the proof of [21, Theorem 3] in fact shows that it is equivalent.

### 3. WREATH PRODUCTS OF HCS GROUPS $A \wr B$ WHERE $|B| < \infty$

The aim of this section is to show the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $A$  is a group and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , then the wreath product  $G = A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group if and only if  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group.*

The proof of the implication from left to right is more-or-less trivial: if  $A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group, then  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable group by Remark 2.8 because it is isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{C}$ -virtual retract of  $A \wr B$ :  $A^B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  since  $G/A^B = B \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $A$  is a direct factor of  $A^B$ . The implication in the opposite direction, however, requires substantially more work. The proof relies on Theorem 2.11, meaning that we show that every element of the wreath product  $A \wr B$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition. Lemma 3.2 deals with the case when an element belongs to  $B$ , Lemma 3.3 deals with the case when an element belongs to  $A^B$ , and finally, Lemma 3.5 deals with the general case. Formally speaking, one only needs Lemma 3.5, but we felt that, for the sake of readability, it would be beneficial to treat those cases separately.

Throughout this section, we will assume that  $G = A \wr B$ , where  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ . This first lemma demonstrates that any element in the acting group  $G$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $b \in B$ , then  $b$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G = A \wr B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be a subgroup. Following Lemma 2.17, we see that there is a subgroup  $L_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $(L_A)^B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  and  $(L_A)^B \leq K$ . Denote  $L = (L_A)^B$ . We note that  $G/L = (A/L_A) \wr B$ , and thus, we let  $\pi: A \wr B \rightarrow (A/L_A) \wr B$  denote the natural projection.

Let  $h \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  be elements satisfying  $\pi(f)c \in C_{G/L}(b)$ . Following Lemma 2.18, we see that this is the case if and only if

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ , and
- (iv)  $\pi(g)(x) = \pi(g)(bx)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Hence,  $\pi(g)c \in C_{G/L}(b)$  if and only if  $c$  commutes with  $b$  and  $\pi(g)$  is constant on right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ . If  $gc \notin C_G(b)$ , then there must be an element  $x \in B$  such that  $g$  is not constant on the coset  $\langle b \rangle x$ . We then note by assumption that

$$\pi(g)(x) = \pi_A(g(x)) = g(x)L_A = g(bx)L_A = \pi_A(g(bx)) = \pi(g)(bx).$$

Thus, we have that  $g(x)^{-1}g(bx) \in L_A$  for every  $x \in B$ .

We now construct a function  $k_x: B \rightarrow A$  so that  $k_x$  is constant on the right coset  $\langle b \rangle x$ . Towards this end, let  $i_i$  be the minimal index such that  $g(b^{i_1+1}x) \neq g(b^{i_1}x)$  where  $n = \text{ord}(b)$ , and define a function  $k_1: B \rightarrow A$  as

$$k_1(y) = \begin{cases} g(y)^{-1}g(by) & \text{if } y = b^{i_1+1}x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $g(y)^{-1}g(bx) \in L_A$ , we have  $k_1 \in L$ . We then have  $gk_1(b^{i_1+1}x) = g(b^{i_1}x) = gk_1(b^{i_1}x)$  for all  $i \leq i_1 + 1$ . Now, pick a minimal index  $i_2 \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $gk_1(b^{i_2+1}x) \neq gk_1(b^{i_2}x)$ . It is straightforward that  $i_1 < i_2$ . Again, we define a function  $k_2: B \rightarrow A$  as

$$k_2(y) = \begin{cases} gk_1(y)^{-1}gk_1(by) & \text{if } y = b^{i_2+1}x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As before, we note that  $k_2 \in L$  and  $gk_1k_2(b^{i_2+1}x) = gk_1(b^{i_2}x) = gk_1k_2(b^{i_2}x)$  for all  $i \leq i_2 + 1$ . Repeating this argument at most  $n-1$  times, we get a finite sequence of functions  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in L$ , where  $m \leq n-1$ , such that  $(gk_1 \dots k_m)(b^{i+1}x) = (gk_1 \dots k_m)(b^i x)$ . We see that the function  $k_x = k_1 \dots k_m \in L$  is such that  $gk_x$  is constant on the right coset  $\langle b \rangle x$ .

By repeating the previous procedure for every coset in  $\langle b \rangle \backslash B$ , we eventually construct a function  $k \in A^B$  such that  $gk(bx) = gk(x)$  for all  $x \in B$  and  $gL = gkL$ . Thus, we have that  $gkc \in C_G(b)$  and  $gc = gkcL \leq C_G(b)L$ . Therefore,

$$\pi_L^{-1}(C_{G/L}(b)) \subseteq C_G(b)L \subseteq C_G(b)K,$$

and we see that  $b$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .  $\square$

This next lemma demonstrates that any function  $f: B \rightarrow A$  of finite support satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $f \in A^B$  and  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS, then  $f$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be an arbitrary subgroup, and let  $K_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  be the subgroup of  $A$  we obtain by applying Lemma 2.17 to  $K$ . For  $g \in A^B$ , denote

$$C_A^-(g) = \{c \in B \mid \text{there is } x_c \in B \text{ such that } g(x_c) \not\sim_A g(cx_c)\}.$$

In particular, the set  $C_A^-(g)$  is the set of elements  $c$  in  $B$  so that  $g \neq c^{-1} \cdot g$  as functions. In particular,  $c \notin C_G(g)$ . For each  $c \in C_A^-(g)$ , set

$$X_c = \{x \in B \mid g(c) \not\sim_A g(cx)\}$$

which is the set of elements in  $B$  such that  $g(x) \neq (c^{-1} \cdot g)(x)$ . Denote  $C_A^+(g) = B \setminus C_A^-(g)$ . As  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable, we see that for every  $c \in C_A^-(g)$ , there is a subgroup  $N_c \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $\pi_{N_c}(g(x)) \not\sim_{A/N_c} \pi_{N_c}(g(cx))$  for all  $x \in X_c$ .

Set  $N = K_A \cap \bigcap_{c \in B^-} N_c \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ . As the group  $A$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition, we see that for every  $b \in B$  that there is a subgroup  $L_b \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $L_b \leq N \leq K_A$  and

$$C_{A/L_b}(\pi_b(g(b))) \subseteq \pi_b(C_A(g(b))N) \subseteq \pi_b(C_A(g(b))K_A),$$

where  $\pi_b: A \rightarrow A/N_b$  is the natural projection. Set  $L_A = \bigcap_{b \in B} L_b$  and denote  $L = (L_A)^B$ . It is straightforward that  $G/L = (A/L_A) \wr B \in \mathcal{C}$ , and thus, we see that  $L_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/L$  be the natural projection. With a slight abuse of notation, we will also use  $\pi$  to denote the natural projection  $A \rightarrow A/L_A$ .

Now, suppose that  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  are given such that  $\pi(g)c \in C_{G/L}(\pi(f))$ . Following Lemma 2.18, we see that this is the case if and only if all of the following are true:

- (ii)  $\pi(f)(cx) \sim_{A/L_A} \pi(f)(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iii)  $\pi(g)(cx) = (\pi(f)(x))^{-1} \pi(g)(x) \pi(f)(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

As  $L_A \leq N$ , we see that  $c$  cannot belong to  $C_A^-(f)$ . In particular, if we denote

$$C_{A/L_A}^-(\pi(f)) = \{c \in B \mid \text{there is } x_c \in B \text{ such that } \pi(f)(x_c) \not\sim_{A/L_A} \pi(f)(cx_c)\},$$

and by setting  $C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f)) = B \setminus C_{A/L_A}^-(\pi(f))$ , we then see that  $C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f)) = C_A^+(f)$ .

For each  $c' \in C_A^+(f)$ , pick some  $h_{c'} \in A^B$  such that  $f(c'x) = h_{c'}(x)^{-1}g(x)h_{c'}(x)$ . Following Lemma 2.18, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} C_G(f) &= \bigcup_{c' \in C_A^+(f)} h_{c'} C_{A^B}(g)c', \\ C_{G/L}(\pi(f)) &= \bigcup_{c' \in C_A^+(f)} \pi(h_{c'}) C_{A^B/L}(\pi(f))c'. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that from construction of  $L$  we have

$$C_{A^B/L}(\pi(f)) = \prod_{b \in B} C_{A/L_A}(\pi(f(b))) \subseteq \prod_{b \in B} \pi(C_A(f(b))N) = \pi(C_{A^B}(f)N^B).$$

In particular, we see that

$$\pi(gc) \in \pi(g_c) C_{A^B/L}(\pi(f))c \subseteq \pi(g_c) \pi(C_{A^B}(f)N^B) \subseteq \pi(C_G(f)N^B) \subseteq \pi(C_G(f)K).$$

As  $g \in A^B$ ,  $c \in B$  were arbitrary, we see that  $C_{G/L}(\pi(f)) \subseteq \pi(C_G(f)K)$ , meaning that the element  $f$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .  $\square$

For the following remark, see Section 2.4 for the definition of  $f(b, x)$

**Remark 3.4.** *Let  $N \trianglelefteq A$  be an arbitrary subgroup. Then for every  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  we have  $\overline{\pi_N(f)}(b, x) = \pi_N(\overline{f}(b, x))$  for all  $x \in B$ .*

The proof of the following lemma utilizes the techniques used in the proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $b \in B$  and  $f \in A^B$  be nontrivial elements. If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , then the element  $fb$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be a subgroup. Let  $K_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  be the subgroup of  $A$  obtained by applying Lemma 2.17 to  $K$ .

Denote

$$C_A^+(f, b) = \{c \in B \mid \overline{f}(b, cx) \sim_A \overline{f} \text{ for all } x \in B\},$$

and set  $C_A^-(f, c) = B \setminus C_A^+(f, b)$ . For  $c \in C_A^-(f, c)$ , denote

$$X_c = \{x \in B \mid \overline{f}(b, cx) \not\sim_A \overline{f}(b, x)\}.$$

As  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable, we see that for each  $c \in C_A^-(f, c)$ , there is a subgroup  $N_c \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $\pi_{N_c}(\overline{f}(b, cx) \not\sim_{A/N_c} \pi_{N_c}(\overline{f}(b, x)))$  for all  $x \in X_c$ .

Set

$$N = K_A \cap \bigcap_{c \in C_A^-(f, c)} N_c.$$

As  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditary conjugacy separable, we see that it satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition by Theorem 2.11. It follows that for every  $x \in B$  there is a subgroup  $L_x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that  $L_x \leq N$  and

$$C_{A/L_x}(\pi_{L_x}(\overline{f}(b, x))) \subseteq \pi_{L_x}(C_A(\overline{f}(b, x))N).$$

Set  $L_A = \bigcap_{x \in B} L_x$ , and let  $\pi_A: A \rightarrow A/L_A$  be the natural projection. Let  $\pi: A \wr B \rightarrow (A/L_A) \wr B$  be the canonical extension of  $\pi_A$ , and denoting  $L = \ker(\pi)$ , we see that  $L = L_A^B$ . We claim that  $C_{G/L}(\pi(fb)) \subseteq \pi(C_G(fb)K)$ . Suppose that  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  are given such that  $\pi(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\pi(fb))$ . Following Theorem 2.18, we have that is the case if and only if all of the following are satisfied:

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{\pi(f)}(b, cx) \sim_{A/L_A} \overline{\pi(f)}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iii)  $\overline{\pi(f)}(b, cx) = \pi(g)(x)^{-1} \overline{\pi(f)}(b, x) \pi(g)(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ,
- (iv)  $\pi(g)(bx) = \pi(f)(x)^{-1} \pi(g)(x) \pi(f)(cx)$ .

Following Remark 3.4, we see that  $\overline{\pi(f)}(x) = \pi(\overline{f}(x))$  for all  $x \in B$ . If we denote

$$C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f), b) = \{c \in B \mid \overline{\pi(f)}(b, cx) \sim_{A/L_A} \overline{\pi(f)}(b, x) \text{ for all } x \in B\}$$

and  $C_{A/L_A}^-(\pi(f), b) = B \setminus C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f), b)$ , we see that (ii) is equivalent to  $c \in C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f), b)$ . From the construction of  $L_A$ , we see that  $C_{A/L_A}^+(\pi(f), b) = C_A^-(f, b)$ . In particular,  $\overline{f}(b, cx) \sim_A \overline{f}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

By (iii) we have that  $\overline{\pi(f)}(b, cx) = \pi(g)(x)^{-1} \overline{\pi(f)}(b, x) \pi(g)(x)$ . Suppose that  $g_0 \in A^B$  is such that  $\overline{f}(b, cx) = g_0(x)^{-1} \overline{f}(b, x) g_0(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . It then follows that  $\pi(g)(x) \in \pi(g_0)(x) C_{A/L_A}(\overline{\pi(f)}(b, x))$  for all  $x \in B$ . To ease the notation, we define a new function  $f_b \in A^B$  given by  $f_b(x) = \overline{f}(b, x)$ . From the construction of  $L_A$ , we see that

$$g(x) \in g_0(x) \pi_A^{-1}(C_{A/L_A}(\pi(f_b)(x))) \subseteq g_0(x) C_A(f_b(x)) N \subseteq g_0(x) C_A(f_b(x)) K_A.$$

Finally, by (iv) we see that  $\pi(g)(bx) = \pi(f)(x)^{-1} \pi(g)(x) \pi(f)(cx)$ . This means that  $g(bx)^{-1} f(x)^{-1} g(x) f(cx) \in L_A$  for all  $x \in B$ . Since  $c \in C_B(b)$ , we have  $cb^i x = b^i cx$ . Suppose that there is an element  $x \in B$  such that the values of  $g_0$  on the right coset of  $\langle b \rangle x$  do not satisfy the rule  $g(b^{i+1}x) = f(b^i x)^{-1} g(b^i x) f(b^i cx)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , where  $n = \text{ord}(b)$ . Let  $i_0 \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  be the smallest such

that  $g_0(b^{i_0+1}x) \neq f(b_0^i x)^{-1}g_0(b_0^i)f(b_0^i c x)$ . Define a function  $k_0 \in A^B$  by

$$k_0(y) = \begin{cases} g_0(y)^{-1}f(b^{-1}y)^{-1}g_0(b^{-1}y)f(b^{-1}xy) & \text{if } y = b^{i_0+1}x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $k_0 \in L \leq K$ . Setting  $g_1 = k_0 g_0$ , we have that

$$g_1(b^{i+1}x) = f(b^i x)^{-1}g_1(b^i x)f(b_i c x)$$

for all  $0 \leq i \leq i_0$ . Pick  $i_1 \in \{i_0 + 1, \dots, n - 2\}$  smallest such that  $g_1(b^{i_1+1}x) \neq f(b_1^{i_1} x)^{-1}g_0(b_1^{i_1})f(b_1^{i_1} c x)$ . Define function  $k_1 \in A^B$  by

$$k_1(y) = \begin{cases} g_1(y)^{-1}f(b^{-1}y)^{-1}g_1(b^{-1}y)f(b^{-1}xy) & \text{if } y = b^{i_1+1}x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We again see that  $k_1 \in L \leq K$ . Thus, by setting  $g_2 = k_1 g_1 = k_1 k_0 g_0$ , it is clear that  $g_2(b^{i+1}x) = f(b^i x)^{-1}g_2(b^i x)f(b_i c x)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq i_1$ . By repeating this process at most  $(n - 2)$ -times, we get a sequence of functions  $k_0, \dots, k_m \in L$ , for some  $m \in \{0, \dots, n - 2\}$ , such that  $g_{m+1}(b^{i+1}x) = f(b^i x)^{-1}g_{m+1}(b^i x)f(b^i c x)$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$ . We can then write

$$f(b^{n-1}x)^{-1}g_{m+1}(b^{n-1}x)f(b^{n-1}cx) = \bar{f}(b, x)^{-1}g_0(x)\bar{f}(b, cx).$$

However, by assumption, we have that  $\bar{f}(b, cx) = g_0(x)^{-1}\bar{f}(b, x)g_0(x)$ . In particular, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(b^{n-1}x)^{-1}g_{m+1}(b^{n-1}x)f(b^{n-1}cx) &= g_0(x) \\ &= g_{m+1}(b^n x). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $g_{m+1}c \in C_G(fb)$ . Now, we have that  $g_0 \in g_{m+1}L$  and  $g \in g_0 C_A(fb)K_A$ . Thus, we see that  $gc \in C_G(fb)K_A \subseteq C_G(fb)K$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* As stated before, if  $A \wr B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable, then  $A$  must be  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable as it is isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{C}$ -virtual retract of  $A \wr B$ .

For the implication in the opposite direction, let us first note that  $A \wr B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separable by Theorem 1.1. If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable then, combining Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5, we see that every element of  $A \wr B$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition. It then follows by Theorem 2.11 that  $A \wr B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable.  $\square$

#### 4. WREATH PRODUCTS OF HCS GROUPS $A \wr B$ WHERE $B$ IS INFINITE

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be residually  $\mathcal{C}$  groups such that  $A$  is abelian and  $B$  is infinite. If  $B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC and every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $B$  then  $A \wr B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC.*

*Moreover, if  $A$  and  $B$  are groups such that  $B$  is infinite, then the wreath product  $A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group if and only if both of the following hold*

- (i)  $A$  is an abelian residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group,
- (ii)  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS and every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $B$ .

Within this section, unless stated otherwise, we will assume that  $G = A \wr B$  is a wreath product of groups such that the base group  $A$  is abelian.

**4.1. Centralizers in wreath products with abelian base group.** In this subsection we introduce new notation that will allow us to simplify the statement of Lemma 2.19 and, as a byproduct, we give full characterization of centralizers in wreath products with abelian base group.

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups such that  $A$  is abelian. Given elements  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$ , we define  $C_B^+(f, b) \subseteq B$  as*

$$C_B^+(f, b) = \{c \in B \mid \langle b \rangle cf^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a) \text{ for every } a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}\}.$$

We then define  $C_B(f, b) = C_B^+(f, b) \cap C_B(b)$ .

We note that if  $b = 1$  then

$$C_B(f, 1) = \{c \in B \mid cf^{-1}(a) = f^{-1}(a) \text{ for all } a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}\}.$$

Similarly, if  $f = 1$  then  $C_B(1, b) = C_B(b)$ .

We say that an element  $fb \in A \wr B$ , where  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  is *reduced* if all elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  lie in distinct right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ , i.e. if  $\text{supp}(f) = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq B$ , then  $\langle b \rangle s_i \neq \langle b \rangle s_j$  whenever  $s_i \neq s_j$ .

**Lemma 4.3** (Lemma 5.9 in [9]). *Let  $G = A \wr B$  with  $A$  abelian, and let  $w = fb \in A \wr B$  where  $f \in A^B \setminus \{1\}$  and  $b \in B \setminus \{1\}$ , be arbitrary. Then there exists an element  $w' = f'b \in w^{A^B}$  where  $f' \in A^B$  such that the element  $f'b$  is reduced.*

These notions allow us to simplify the statement of Lemma 2.19.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $G = A \wr B$  with  $A$  abelian, and let  $f \in A^B$ ,  $b \in B$  be elements such that element  $fb$  is reduced. For  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  we have that  $gc \in C_G(fb)$  if and only if both of the following hold:*

- (i)  $c \in C_B(f, b)$
- (ii)  $g(bx) = g(x)f(x)^{-1}f(cx)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

*Proof.* Following Lemma 2.19, we see that  $gc \in C_G(fb)$  if and only if all of the following is true:

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ ;
- (ii)  $\bar{f}(b, cx) = \bar{f}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$ ;
- (iii)  $g(bx) = g(x)f(x)^{-1}f(cx)$ .

As the elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  lie in distinct cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  we see that  $\bar{f}(b, s) = f(s)$  for any  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ . In particular, for any  $s, s' \in \text{supp}(f)$  we see that  $\bar{f}(b, s) = \bar{f}(b, s')$  if and only if  $f(s) = f(s')$ . Therefore, for any  $x, x' \in B$  we see that  $\bar{f}(b, x) = \bar{f}(b, x')$  if and only if either  $x, x' \in \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  for some  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$  or

$$\langle b \rangle x \cap \text{supp}(f) = \emptyset = \langle b \rangle x' \cap \text{supp}(f).$$

We see that  $\bar{f}(b, cx) = \bar{f}(b, x)$  for all  $x \in B$  if and only if  $\langle b \rangle cf^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  for all  $x \in B$ , meaning that the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.19 is equivalent to  $s \in C_B^+(f, b)$ , assuming that the element  $fb$  is reduced.  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** *Given non-trivial elements  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$ , such that the element  $fb$  is reduced, we define  $\Sigma(f, b)$  as*

$$\Sigma(f, b) = \{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\text{supp}(f)) \mid \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle \sigma(f^{-1}(a)) \text{ for all } a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}\}.$$



Given some enumeration of  $\text{supp}(f) = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , where  $n = |\text{supp}(f)|$ , we will usually slightly abuse the notation and consider elements of  $\Sigma(f, b)$  as elements of  $\text{Sym}(|\text{supp}(f)|)$ , permuting the indices.

We note that the assumption that the element  $fb$  is reduced is essential, as the subsets of the form  $\langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  might not be disjoint.

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  are nontrivial elements such that  $fb$  is reduced, then  $C_B(f, b)$  is virtually cyclic. In particular, the following short sequence is exact:*

$$1 \rightarrow \langle b \rangle \rightarrow C_B(f, b) \rightarrow \Sigma(f, b) \rightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X \subseteq \langle b \rangle \backslash B$  be the collection of right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$  corresponding to the elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Clearly, elements of  $C_B(f, b)$  act on  $X$  by  $c \cdot \langle b \rangle x = \langle b \rangle cx$ . Suppose that  $c_1, c_2 \in C_B(f, b)$  realize the same permutation on  $X$ . We then see that  $\langle b \rangle c_1 s = \langle b \rangle c_2 s$  for all  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ , meaning that  $c_1 \langle b \rangle = c_2 \langle b \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\langle b \rangle$  is the kernel of the action and  $C_B(f, b) / \langle b \rangle = \Sigma(f, b)$ .  $\square$

Now we can give a full characterization of the structure of centralizers in the case when the base group  $A$  is abelian.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $G = A \wr B$ , where  $A$  is abelian, let  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  be arbitrary such that the element  $fb$  is reduced. Then*

- (i) if  $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$  and  $f = 1$  then  $C_G(b) = C_B(b)$ ,
- (ii) if  $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$  and  $f \neq 1$  then  $C_G(fb) \simeq C_B(f, b)$ ; in particular,  $C_G(fb)$  is virtually cyclic;
- (iii)  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$  then

$$C_G(b) \simeq \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B} A \right) \rtimes C_B(f, b).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  be arbitrary such that  $gc \in C_B(fb)$ . By Lemma 4.4 we see that

- (a)  $c \in C_B(f, b)$
- (b)  $g(bx) = g(x)f(x)^{-1}f(cx)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Let  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} = \text{supp}(f)$  be some enumeration of the support of  $f$ . As  $c \in C_B(f, b)$ , we see that  $\langle b \rangle cs \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle b \rangle s \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, as the element  $fb$  is reduced, for each  $s_i \in S$  there are unique  $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(b^{m_i} s_i) \neq 1$  and  $f(b^{n_i} c s_i) \neq 1$  (in case if  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$  we require  $m_i, n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \text{ord}(b) - 1\}$ ). We note that  $f(b^{m_i} s_i) = f(b^{n_i} c s_i)$ .

If (i) is the case then by (a) we see that  $c \in C_B(b)$  and by (b) we see that  $g$  is constant on right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$ . This means that  $g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in b$  as  $b$  is of infinite order and  $g$  must be finitely supported.

Suppose that (ii) is the case. By (a) we see that  $c \in C_B(f, b)$ . We will now demonstrate that  $g$  is fully determined by  $f, b$  and  $c$ . From (b) we immediately see that  $g(b^e x) = 1$  for all  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$  whenever  $\langle b \rangle x \cap \text{supp}(x) = \emptyset$ . Similarly, if  $m_i = n_i$  then we see that  $g(b^e s_i) = 1$  for all  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $m_i < n_i$  then we see that

$$g(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e < m_i, \\ f(b^{m_i} s_i)^{-1} & \text{if } m_i \leq e < n_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } n_i < e. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if  $n_i < m_i$  then we see that

$$g(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e < n_i, \\ f(b^{m_i} s_i) & \text{if } n_i \leq e < m_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } m_i < e. \end{cases}$$

We see that  $g$  is fully determined by  $f, b$ , and  $c$ , meaning that  $C_G(fb) \simeq C_B(f, b)$ . The assertion that  $C_G(fb)$  is virtually cyclic then immediately follows, as  $C_B(f, b)$  is virtually cyclic by Lemma 4.6.

Now suppose that (c) is the case, i.e.  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$ . We will use  $\bigoplus_{i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B} A$  to denote the set of all functions in  $A^B$  that are constant on right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$ , i.e.

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B} A = \{h \in A^B \mid h(bx) = h(x) \text{ for all } x \in B\}.$$

We will show that  $g$  can be decomposed as  $g = hg'$ , where  $h \in \bigoplus_{i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B} A$  and  $g'$  is fully determined by  $f, b$  and  $c$ .

Let  $X = \{x_i \in B \mid i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B\}$  be some right transversal for  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$  and let  $I \subseteq X$  be collection of representatives corresponding to the elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Without a loss of generality, we may assume that  $x_i = s_i$  for some  $s_i \in \text{supp}(f)$  whenever  $i \in I$ . Following (b), we see that  $g$  is constant on the coset  $\langle b \rangle x_i$  whenever  $i \notin I$  or if  $i \in I$  and  $m_i = n_i$ . For each  $i \in I$  such that  $m_i \neq n_i$  we pick some  $e_i \in \{0, \dots, \text{ord}(b) - 1\} \setminus \{\min\{m_i, n_i\}, \max\{m_i, n_i\} - 1\}$  and then for each  $x_i \in X$ , we set

$$a_i = \begin{cases} g(x_i) & \text{if } i \notin I \text{ or } m_i = n_i, \\ g(b^{e_i} x_i) & \text{if } i \in I \text{ and } m_i \neq n_i. \end{cases}$$

From (b) we see that for all  $i \in I$  such that  $m_i \neq n_i$ , if  $m_i < n_i$  have

$$g(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } e < m_i, \\ a_i f(b^{m_i} s_i)^{-1} & \text{if } m_i \leq e < n_i, \\ a_i & \text{if } n_i < e; \end{cases}$$

and, conversely, if  $n_i < m_i$  then we have

$$g(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } e < n_i, \\ a_i f(b^{m_i} s_i) & \text{if } n_i \leq e < m_i, \\ a_i & \text{if } m_i < e. \end{cases}$$

We set  $h \in A^B$  to be the function given by  $h(x) = a_i$  whenever  $x \in \langle b \rangle x_i$ , clearly  $h \in \bigoplus_{i \in \langle b \rangle \backslash B} A$ . Next, we set  $g' = h^{-1}g$ . Clearly, if  $i \notin I$  or  $m_i = n_i$  then  $g'$  is constantly on the coset  $\langle b \rangle x_i$ . Further, if  $m_i < n_i$  then we see that

$$g'(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e < m_i, \\ f(b^{m_i} s_i)^{-1} & \text{if } m_i \leq e < n_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } n_i < e; \end{cases}$$

and, conversely, if  $n_i < m_i$  then we see that

$$g'(b^e s_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e < n_i, \\ f(b^{m_i} s_i) & \text{if } n_i \leq e < m_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } m_i < e, \end{cases}$$

meaning that  $g'$  is fully determined by  $f, b$  and  $c$ .  $\square$

We note that  $g'$  in the case (iii) is not unique. However, it is unique if we require that  $g'(x) = i$  whenever  $i \notin I$  or  $m_i = n_i$  and that  $g'(b^e x_i)$  whenever  $e \in \{0, \dots, \text{ord}(b) - 1\} \setminus \{\min\{m_i, n_i\}, \max\{m_i, n_i\} - 1\}$ .

**4.2. Preliminary technical statements.** In this subsection we give several technical statements that will be crucial for proving Proposition 4.1.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $B$  be a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group. Suppose that the element  $b \in B$  is such that  $\langle b \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $B$ , and suppose that  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  is a collection of mutually disjoint finite subsets of  $B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle s_i \neq \langle b \rangle s_j$  whenever  $s_i \neq s_j$ , for any  $s_i, s_j \in S = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_r$ .*

*Then there exists a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such for the natural projection  $\pi: B \rightarrow B/L$  we have  $\langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(s_i) \neq \langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(s_j)$  whenever  $s_i \neq s_j$ . Furthermore,  $L$  can be chosen so that for every  $c \in B$  satisfying  $\langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(S_i) = \langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(c) \pi(S_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , we have  $c = c'l$  for some  $l \in L$  and  $c' \in B$  satisfying  $\langle b \rangle c S_i = \langle b \rangle S_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Further,  $\pi(c) \in C_{B/L}(\pi(b))$  if and only if  $c' \in C_B(b)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S) \mid \sigma(S_i) = S_i\},$$

and let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  be an enumeration of the set  $S$ . Set

$$D = \{s_i s_j^{-1} \mid s_i, s_j \in S \text{ and } i \neq j\}.$$

As  $\langle b \rangle s_i \neq \langle b \rangle s_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$  by assumption, we see that  $D \cap \langle b \rangle = \emptyset$ . As  $\langle b \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $B$ , there is a subgroup  $L_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that  $DL_1 \cap \langle b \rangle L_1 = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\langle b \rangle s_i L_1 = \langle b \rangle s_j L_1$  for some  $i \neq j$ . This means that  $s_i s_j^{-1} L_1 \in \langle b \rangle L_1$ . However,  $s_i s_j^{-1} L_1 \subseteq DL_1$  and  $DL_1 \cap \langle b \rangle L_1 = \emptyset$  by construction, which is a contradiction. We see that  $\langle b \rangle s_i L_1 \neq \langle b \rangle s_j L_1$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , which proves the first part of the statement.

For each permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we denote

$$D_\sigma = \{s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}.$$

We set  $\Sigma^+ = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid D_\sigma \subseteq \langle b \rangle\}$  and  $\Sigma^- = \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^+$ . We see that  $\Sigma^+$  denotes the set of all permutations of the set  $S$  that can be realized as a left action of some element  $c$  on the right coset space  $\langle b \rangle \backslash B$  given by  $c \cdot \langle b \rangle x = \langle b \rangle cx$  and  $\Sigma^-$  are the permutations that cannot. Indeed, if there is an element  $c \in B$  such that for all  $s_i \in S$  we have  $\langle b \rangle c s_i = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)}$ , we then have

$$\langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(1)} s_1^{-1} = \dots = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(k)} s_k^{-1} = \langle b \rangle c.$$

It then follows that for any  $i, j$  we must have  $s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \in \langle b \rangle$ . One can easily check that the implication holds in opposite direction as well: if  $D_\sigma \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  then there is  $c \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c s_i = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)}$ . Clearly, if  $D_\sigma \subseteq \langle b \rangle$  then

$$\langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(1)} s_1^{-1} = \dots = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(k)} s_k^{-1},$$

so there is some  $c \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} = \langle b \rangle c$  for all  $i$ , meaning that  $\langle b \rangle c s_i = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)}$ .

We set  $D^- = \cup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^-} D_\sigma \setminus \langle b \rangle$  which one can see is a finite and non-empty subset of  $B$ , and since  $\langle b \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $B$ , there exists a subgroup  $L_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such

that  $(D^-L_2 \cap \langle b \rangle L_2 = \emptyset$ . In particular,  $s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \in \langle b \rangle L_2$  for all pairs  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  if and only if  $\sigma \in \Sigma^+$ .

Before we proceed with the final part of the construction, let us make one crucial observation: all elements realizing the same permutation  $\sigma$  lie in the same right coset of  $\langle b \rangle$ . Indeed, given  $\sigma \in \Sigma^+$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c_1 s_i = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)} = \langle b \rangle c_2 s_i$  for all  $i$ , we see that  $\langle b \rangle c_1 = \langle b \rangle c_2$ . In fact, it can be easily seen that any element from the same coset realizes the same permutation. As  $\langle b \rangle \leq C_B(b)$  we see that either  $\langle b \rangle c \leq C_B(b)$  or  $\langle b \rangle c \cap C_B(b) = \emptyset$ , meaning that either all elements realizing  $\sigma$  commute with  $b$  or none do.

For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma^+$ , let us pick some element  $c_\sigma \in B$  that realizes  $\sigma$ . If  $[c_\sigma, b] \neq 1$ , then there is a subgroup  $L_\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_C(B)$  such that  $[c_\sigma, b] \notin L_\sigma$ . We set  $L_3 = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma^+} L_\sigma$ . Finally, set  $L = L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$  and let  $\pi: B \rightarrow B/L$  be the natural projection.

Now suppose that there exists an element  $c \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c S_i L = \langle b \rangle S_i L$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . This means that there is some permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c s_i L = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)} L$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Hence,

$$\langle b \rangle c s_{\sigma(1)} s_1^{-1} L = \dots = \langle b \rangle c s_{\sigma(k)} s_k^{-1} L = \langle b \rangle c L,$$

meaning that  $s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \in \langle b \rangle L$  for any pair  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . It then follows from the construction of  $L$  that  $\sigma \in \Sigma^+$ . Indeed, if  $\sigma \in \Sigma^-$ , then there are some  $i, j$  such that  $s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \notin \langle b \rangle$ , and therefore,  $s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} s_j s_{\sigma(j)}^{-1} \notin \langle b \rangle L$ . In particular, we see that

$$\langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(1)} s_1^{-1} = \dots = \langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(k)} s_k^{-1} \subseteq \langle b \rangle c L.$$

Hence, there is an element  $l' \in L$  such that  $\langle b \rangle s_{\sigma(i)} s_i^{-1} = \langle b \rangle c l'$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . We then set  $c' = c l'$  and  $l = (l')^{-1}$ . It then follows  $c = c' l$  and  $\langle b \rangle c S_i = \langle b \rangle S_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , which proves the second part of the statement.

Finally, suppose that  $c' \notin C_B(b)$ . Let  $c_\sigma \in \langle b \rangle c'$  be the element we picked for construction of  $L_3$ . Following the previous argument, we see that  $c_\sigma \notin C_B(b)$ . By construction,  $\pi(c_\sigma) \notin C_{B/L}(\pi(b))$ . By same argument as before, we see that  $\langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(c_\sigma) = \langle \pi(b) \rangle \pi(c) \cap C_{G/L}(\pi(b))$ , meaning that  $\pi(c) \notin C_{B/L}(\pi(b))$ . We see that  $\pi(c) \in C_{B/L}(\pi(b))$  if and only if  $c' \in C_B(b)$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Applying Lemma 4.8 to the setting when  $b = 1$  immediately gives us the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $B$  be a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group, and suppose that  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  is a collection of mutually disjoint finite subsets of  $B$ . Then there exists a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_C(B)$  such that the natural projection  $\pi: B \rightarrow B/L$  is injective on the finite set  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^r S_i$ . Moreover, for every  $c \in B$  satisfying  $c S_i L = S_i L$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , we have  $c = c' l$  for some  $l \in L$  and  $c' \in B$  satisfying  $c S_i = S_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .*

The lemma and the corollary we have just proved will be crucial in establishing  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC for elements of  $A \wr B$  that have non-trivial function part. Recall that by Lemma 2.19 if  $gc \in C_B(f)$ , where  $f, g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$ , then  $c$  must permute elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  (or the corresponding right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$ ) by left multiplication. Informally speaking, Lemma 4.8 and Corollary 4.9 will allow us to construct a quotient of the acting group  $B$  such that no new permutations can be realized in the quotient. Using the somewhat simpler criteria of Lemma 4.4, we will be able

to construct quotient map  $\lambda: B \rightarrow B/L$  such that  $C_{B/L}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b)) = \lambda(C_B(f, b))$  and  $\Sigma(f, b) \simeq \Sigma(\lambda(f), \lambda(b))$ .

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $f \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  be given such that the element  $fb$  is reduced in  $G = A \wr B$ , and suppose that  $L_A \trianglelefteq A$  and  $L_B \trianglelefteq B$  are subgroups satisfying  $\text{Im}(f) \cap K_A = \{1\}$  and*

- (i) *for any  $s, s' \in \text{supp}(f)$  we have  $\langle b \rangle s L_B = \langle b \rangle s' L_B$  if and only if  $\langle b \rangle s = \langle b \rangle s'$ ;*
- (ii) *for any  $c \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c f^{-1}(a) L_B = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a) L_B$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$  there is  $c' \in c L_B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c' f^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$ ;*
- (iii) *for any such  $c$  we have  $c' \in C_B(b)$  if and only if  $c L_B \in C_{B/L_B}(b L_B)$ .*

*Then the element  $\lambda(f)\lambda(b)$  is reduced in  $A/L_A \wr B/L_B$  and  $C_{G/L}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b)) = \lambda(C_B(f, b))$ , where  $L$  is the kernel of the natural projection  $\lambda: A \wr B \rightarrow A/L_A \wr B/L_B$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have that the elements of the support of  $f$  lie in distinct cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$ , no two cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  corresponding to elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  collide in  $B/L$ , and that the natural projection  $\lambda_A: A \rightarrow A/L_A$  is injective on  $\text{Im}(f)$ . We immediately see that  $s \text{supp}(\lambda(f)) = \lambda(\text{supp}(f))$ . Furthermore, we immediately see that the elements of  $\text{supp}(\lambda(f))$  lie in distinct cosets of  $\langle \lambda(b) \rangle$  in  $B/L_B$ , meaning that the element  $\lambda(f)\lambda(b)$  is reduced.

Clearly, if  $c \in C_B(f, b)$  then  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b))$ . Now, suppose that  $c \in B$  is given such that  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b))$ . By definition, that means that  $\langle b \rangle c \lambda(f)^{-1}(\bar{a}) L_B$  for all  $\bar{a} \in \text{Im}(\lambda(f))$  and  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(b))$ . Let us note that since the natural projection  $\lambda_A: A \rightarrow A/L_A$  is injective on  $\text{Im}(f)$ , we see that  $\lambda(f)^{-1}(\lambda_A(a)) = \lambda(f^{-1}(a)) = f^{-1}(a) L_B$  for every  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ . Thus, we can rewrite the previous equality as  $\langle b \rangle c f^{-1}(a) L_B = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a) L_B$  for every  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ . By assumption, there is  $c' \in c L_B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c' f^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  for every  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$  and  $c' \in C_B(b)$ , meaning that  $c \in C_B(f, b)$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**4.3. Main statements.** Throughout this section, we will assume that the acting group  $B$  is an infinite  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group such that all of its cyclic subgroups are  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable. When appropriate, the proofs given in this section will be split in two cases:

- (i)  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$ , i.e.  $b$  is a torsion element;
- (ii)  $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$ , i.e.  $b$  has infinite order.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $b \in B$  be arbitrary. Then  $b$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G = A \wr B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be a subgroup. We set  $K_b = K \cap B$ , and note that  $K_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$ . Since  $b \in B$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $B$ , there exists a subgroup  $L_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that  $L_B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(b, K_B)$  in  $B$ .

First, let's assume that  $b$  is a torsion element. Since  $\langle b \rangle$  is finite and  $B$  is residually- $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a subgroup  $L'_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that  $\langle b \rangle \cap L'_B = \langle b \rangle$ , i.e. the natural projection map  $B \rightarrow B/L'_B$  is injective on  $\langle b \rangle$ . Without loss of generality, we may replace  $L_B$  by  $L_B \cap L'_B$  which by Lemma 2.13 will still enjoy the property of being a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(g, K_B)$ .

Now, set

$$L_A = \left\{ f \in A^B \mid \forall c \in B \quad \prod_{l \in L_B} f(lc) = 1 \right\},$$

and set  $L = L_A L_B$ . Finally, let  $\lambda: G \rightarrow G/L_A L_B = A \wr (B/L_B)$  be the natural projection.

Let  $h \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  be arbitrary. Following Lemma 2.19, we see that  $hc \in C_G(b)$  if and only if the following are true:

- (i)  $c \in C_B(b)$ , and
- (ii)  $h(x) = h(bx)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

In particular, we see that  $h$  must be constant on right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ . Similarly, we see that  $\lambda(hc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(b))$  if and only if

- (i)  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(b))$ , and
- (ii)  $\lambda(h)(xL_b) = \lambda(h)(b)L_b$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Again, this means that  $\lambda(h)$  must be constant on right cosets of  $\langle \lambda(b) \rangle$  in  $B/L_B$ . Now, let us suppose that  $\lambda(hc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(b))$ . From the construction of  $L_B$  we see that  $c \in C_B(b)K_B$ . Thus, we will show that  $h \in C_G(b)L$ . First, let us recall that

$$\lambda(h)(xL_b) = \prod_{l \in L_B} h(lx).$$

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B$  be representatives of the double coset decomposition  $\langle b \rangle \backslash B/L_B$  corresponding to the right cosets of  $\langle \lambda(b) \rangle L_B$  in  $B/L_B$  such that  $\lambda(h)(x_i L_b) \neq 1$ . We note that  $\lambda_B$  is injective on  $\langle b \rangle$  by construction, and thus, it is injective on every right coset of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ . We define a function  $h': B \rightarrow A$  as

$$h'(x) = \begin{cases} h(x_i) & \text{if } x \in \langle b \rangle x_i, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see that  $h'$  is constant on right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ , and therefore,  $h' \in C_G(b)$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda(h) = \lambda(h')$  which implies  $h = h'l$  for some  $l \in L$ . As  $L$  is normal, we see that  $lc = cl'$  for some  $l' \in L$ . Altogether, we see that

$$hc = h'lc = h'cl' \in C_G(b)C_B(b)K_b L \leq C_G(b)K$$

which means  $C_{G/L}(\lambda(b)) \subseteq \lambda(C_G(b)K)$ . By construction,  $G/L = A \wr (B/L_B)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group by Proposition 3.1 and therefore, Theorem 2.11 implies that  $\lambda(b)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G/L$ . Following Lemma 2.14, we see that the element  $b$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .

Now let's assume that (ii) is the case, i.e. the element  $b$  has infinite order. Let  $K_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  be a maximal subgroup (with respect to inclusion) such that

$$\ker(\kappa': A \wr B \rightarrow A/K_A \wr B/K_B) \leq K,$$

and let  $K'$  be the kernel of the projection described above. By construction,  $K' \leq K$  and  $K' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A \wr B)$ . By Lemma 2.9, there exists a subgroup  $N_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that order of  $bN_B$  in  $B/N_B$  is a multiple of  $|A/K_A| \text{ord}(\kappa'(b))$ . Set  $L'_B = L_B \cap N_B$  and let  $L$  be the kernel of the natural projection

$$\lambda: A \wr B \rightarrow A/K_A \wr B/L'_B.$$

Clearly,  $L \leq K$  and  $L'_B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(b, K_B)$  in  $B$  by Lemma 2.13. Furthermore, the order of  $bL'_B$  in  $B/L'_B$  is  $C'|A/K_A| \text{ord}(\kappa'(b))$  for some  $C' \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will demonstrate that  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(b, K)$  in  $G$ . Let  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in C$  be arbitrary such that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(b))$ . Following Lemma 2.19 we see that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(b))$  if and only if  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L'_B}(\lambda(b))$  and  $\lambda(g)$  is constant on right cosets of  $\langle \lambda(b) \rangle$ .

Let  $\kappa: A/K_A \wr B/L'_B \rightarrow A/K_A \wr B/L'_B$  be the unique projection map such that  $\kappa = \kappa' \circ \lambda$ . We will now demonstrate that  $\kappa(\lambda(g)) = \kappa(g') = 1$ , i.e. that  $g \in K$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in B/L'_B$  be arbitrary and for the ease of writing, denote  $\bar{y} = \lambda(g)$  and  $\bar{b} = \lambda(b)$ . Let us observe that

$$\kappa(\bar{y})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))} \bar{y}(\bar{y}).$$

Note that if  $\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ , then  $\bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ . In particular, we see that if  $\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ , then  $\langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \bar{y} \subseteq \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ . Consequently, there are some  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$  such that

$$\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \bar{x}_i.$$

As  $\bar{y}(\bar{y}) = \bar{y}(\bar{b}\bar{y})$  for all  $\bar{y} \in B/L'_B$  we see that

$$\kappa(\bar{y})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{y}(\bar{x}_i)^N,$$

where  $N = \left| \langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \right|$  in  $B/L'_B$ . Recall that  $\text{ord}(\bar{b}) = C'|A/K_A| \text{ord}(\kappa'(b))$ , meaning that  $N = C'|A/K_A|$ . This means that we can further write

$$\kappa(\bar{y})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \bar{y}(\bar{x}_i)^{|A/K_A|} \right)^{C'} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1)^{C'} = 1,$$

demonstrating that  $\bar{y} \in \ker(\kappa)$ , as  $\bar{x} \in B/L'_B$  was arbitrary. This means that  $\kappa'(g) = \kappa \circ \lambda(g) = 1$ , i.e.  $g \in \ker(\kappa') = K' \leq K$ . Finally, let us note that  $\lambda(c) \in \lambda(C_B(b))K_B$ , as  $L'_B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(b, K_B)$ . We see that  $gc \in C_B(b)K' \subseteq C_G(b)K$ , meaning that  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(b, K)$  in  $G$ . This shows that the element  $b$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .  $\square$

We now demonstrate that every element of the form  $f \in A^B$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $A \wr B$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let  $f \in A^B$  be arbitrary. Then  $f$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be an arbitrary subgroup, and set  $K_B = K \cap B$ . We then see that

$$\text{supp}(f) = \bigcup_{a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}} f^{-1}(a)$$

which is a finite subset. By Corollary 4.9, there is a subgroup  $L'_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that the natural projection  $\lambda'_B: B \rightarrow B/L'_B$  is injective on  $\text{supp}(f)$  and for every  $c \in B$  such that  $c f^{-1}(a) L'_b = f^{-1}(a) L'_B$  in  $B/L'_B$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ , there is an element  $c' \in B$  such that  $\lambda_B(c') = c L'_B$  and  $c' f^{-1}(a) = f^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$ .

Set  $L_B = K_B \cap L'_B$ , and let

$$L_A = \left\{ f \in A^B : \forall c \in B \quad \prod_{l \in L_B} f(lc) = 1 \right\},$$

and  $L = L_A L_B$ . Let  $\lambda: G \rightarrow G/L_A L_B = A \wr B/L_B$  be the natural projection. Since  $A$  is abelian, both  $A^B$  and  $A^{B/L_B}$  are abelian as well. That means

$$C_{A^{B/L_B}}(\lambda(f)) = A^{B/L_B} = \lambda(A^B) = \lambda(C_{A^B}(f)).$$

Since  $G/L = A^{B/L_B} \rtimes B/L_B$  and  $G = A^B \rtimes B$ , we see that

$$C_{G/L}(\lambda(f)) = A^{B/L_B} C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f))$$

and  $C_G(f) = A^B C_B(f)$ . Suppose that an element  $c \in B$  is given such that  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f))$ . As noted in the proof of Lemma 4.11, this is the case if and only if  $\lambda(f)(\lambda(c)x) = \lambda(f)(x)$  for all  $x \in B/L_B$ . That means

$$(1) \quad \prod_{l \in L_B} f(cx'l) = \lambda(f)(\lambda(c)x) = \lambda(f)(x) = \prod_{l \in L_B} f(x'l),$$

where  $x' \in B$  is arbitrary such that  $\lambda(x') = x$ . Therefore,  $\lambda(c)\lambda(f)^{-1}(a) = \lambda(f)^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(\lambda(f))$ . Since  $\lambda$  is injective on the set  $\text{supp}(f)$ , we have  $\text{supp}(\lambda(f)) = \text{supp}(f)L_B$ ,  $\text{Im}(\lambda(f)) = \text{Im}(f)$ , and  $\lambda(f)^{-1}(a) = f^{-1}(a)L_B$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ . Keeping this in mind, equation 1 is equivalent to saying that  $cf^{-1}(a)L_B = f^{-1}(a)L_B$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ . From the construction of  $L_B$ , we see that there is  $c' \in B$  such that  $\lambda(c') = \lambda(c)$  and  $c'f^{-1}(a) = f^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ . That means  $f(c'x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ , and therefore,  $c' \in C_B(f)$ . We see that

$$C_{G/L}(\lambda(f)) = A^{B/L_B} C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f)) = \lambda(A^B C_B(f)) = \lambda(C_G(f)) \subseteq \lambda(C_G(f)K).$$

By construction,  $G/L = A \wr (B/L_B)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group by Proposition 3.1 and thus,  $\lambda(f)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $G/L$  by Theorem 2.11. Following Lemma 2.14, we see that the element  $f$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .  $\square$

The proof of the following Lemma will rely heavily on Lemma 4.8, in manner not dissimilar to how the proof of Lemma 4.12 relied on Corollary 4.9. Recall that by Lemma 2.19 if  $gc \in C_B(fb)$ , where  $f, g \in A^B$  and  $f, c \in B$ , then, assuming that elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  lie in distinct right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$ ,  $c$  must permute right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  the form  $\langle b \rangle x$  for which  $\overline{f}(b, x) \neq 1$ . In particular, if we set  $S_i = f^{-1}(a_i)$ , where  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \text{Im}(f) \setminus \{1\}$ , then  $\langle b \rangle c S_i = \langle b \rangle S_i$  for every  $i$ . Informally speaking, Lemma 4.8 will allow us to construct a quotient of the group  $B$  such that no new permutations can be realized in the quotient.

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $h \in A^B$  and  $b \in B$  be nontrivial. Then the element  $hb$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  be an arbitrary subgroup, and set  $K_B = K \cap B$ . Following Lemma 4.3, we see that there is an inner automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)$  such that  $\phi(hb) = fb$  and where elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  lie in distinct right cosets of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ . We will show that the element  $fb$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in  $B$ .

By Lemma 4.8, there is a subgroup  $N_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that

- (i) if  $\langle b \rangle s N_B = \langle b \rangle s' N_B$  then  $s = s'$  for all  $s, s' \in S$ ;
- (ii) if there is some  $c \in B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c f^{-1}(a) N_B = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a) N_B$  for all nontrivial  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$ , then there is  $c' \in c N_B$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c f^{-1}(a) = \langle b \rangle f^{-1}(a)$  for all nontrivial  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$ ;
- (iii) for all such  $c$  we have  $c N_B \in C_{B/N_B}(b N_B)$  if and only if  $c' \in C_B(b)$ .



We now split the proof into two cases, depending on whether  $b$  is a torsion element or not.

**Case 1:**  $\text{ord}(b) < \infty$ .

There is a subgroup  $H_B \in \mathcal{N}_C(B)$  such that  $\langle b \rangle \cap H_B = \{1\}$ , i.e. the cyclic subgroup  $\langle b \rangle$  embeds into  $B/H_B$ . Set  $L_B = K_B \cap N_B$ , and let

$$L_A = \left\{ f \in A^B \mid \forall c \in B \quad \prod_{l \in L_B} f(lc) = 1 \right\}$$

and  $L = L_A L_B$ . Define  $\lambda: G \rightarrow G/L_A L_B = A \wr B/L_B$  as the natural projection. Now, let  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in B$  be given such that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb))$ . We will show that there are  $g' \in A^B$  and  $c' \in B$  such that  $g'c' \in C_B(fb)$  and  $\lambda(g'c') = \lambda(gc)$ . Following Lemma 4.10 we see that the element  $\lambda(g)\lambda(c)$  is reduced and that  $C_{G/L}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b)) = \lambda(C_B(f, b))$ . Following Lemma 4.4 we see that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb))$  if and only if all of the following are true:

- (i)  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b))$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda(g)(bxL_B) = \lambda(g)(xL_b)\lambda(f)(xL_b)^{-1}\lambda(f)(cxL_B)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

As mentioned before,  $C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b)) = \lambda(C_B(f, b))$  by Lemma 4.10. This means that there is  $c' \in C_B(f, b)$  such that  $\lambda(c') = \lambda(c)$ , i.e.  $c' \in cL_B$ .

Finally, let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in B$  be some representatives of the double cosets of  $\langle b \rangle \backslash B/L_B$  corresponding to the elements of  $\text{supp}(\lambda)(g)$  in  $B/L_B$ . If  $x_i L_B = sL_B$  for some  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ , then set  $x_i = s$ . Similarly, if  $c' x_i L_b = sL_B$  for some  $s \in \text{supp}(f)$ , then set  $x_i = c'^{-1}s$ . We now define a function  $g': B \rightarrow A$  in the following way: for each  $x_i$ , we set  $g'(x_i) = \lambda(g)(x_i L_b)$  and then for  $j \in \{0, \dots, \text{ord}(b) - 2\}$  we set inductively  $g'(b^{j+1}x_i) = g'(b^j x_i) f(b^j x_i)^{-1} f(c' b^j x_i)$ . For any other  $x \in B$  we set  $g'(x) = 1$ . From the construction of  $g'$  it is clear that  $\lambda(g') = \lambda(g)$ . Similarly, we see that  $g'(bx) = g'(x) f(x)^{-1} f(c'x)$  for all  $x \in b$ .

Following Lemma 4.4 we see that  $g'c' \in C_G(fb)$  as  $c' \in C_B(f, b)$  and  $g'(bx) = g'(x) f(x)^{-1} f(c'x)$  for all  $x \in b$ . This then implies that

$$C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb)) \subseteq \lambda(C_G(fb)) \subseteq \lambda(C_G(fb)K).$$

Furthermore, we see that the group  $G/L$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC by Theorem 2.11 as it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS by Proposition 3.1. By Lemma 2.14 we see that the element  $fb$  has  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ , and therefore, the element  $hb$  has  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$  by Remark 2.15, as  $\phi(hb) = fb$  for some  $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G) \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Case 2:**  $\text{ord}(b) = \infty$ .

The proof will combine the techniques introduced in the proofs of Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12. However, significantly more work is required. The intuition for why comes from Proposition 4.7:  $C_G(fb)$  is “very small” - it is virtually cyclic, so extra care needs to be applied when constructing the quotient in order to maintain control over the size of the centralizer of the image of  $fb$  in the quotient. We employ methods similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 4.7 to achieve this.

We start by constructing our  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness. Let  $K_A \in \mathcal{N}_C(A)$  be a maximal subgroup (with respect to inclusion) such that

$$\ker(\kappa: A \wr B \rightarrow A/K_A \wr B/K_B) \leq K,$$

and let  $K'$  be the kernel of the natural projection  $\kappa$  described above. By construction,  $K' \leq K$  and  $K' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A \wr B)$ . Let  $H_A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  such that natural projection from  $A$  to  $A/H_A$  is injective on the set  $\text{Im}(f)$ . Note that since  $\text{Im}(f)$  is finite and  $A$  is residually- $\mathcal{C}$  such  $H_A$  exists. Set  $L_A = K_A \cap H_A$ .

Let  $X_b = \{x_i \in B \mid i \in \langle b \rangle \setminus B\}$  be a right transversal for  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $B$ , and set

$$I = \{i \in \langle b \rangle \setminus B \mid \langle b \rangle x_i \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

As the element  $fb$  is reduced, we see that if  $\langle b \rangle x_i \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is a unique  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(b^{n_i} x_i) \neq 1$ . Replacing  $x_i$  by  $b^{-n_i} x_i$ , we can without loss of generality assume that  $n_i = 0$ . For every  $\sigma \in \Sigma(f, b)$  we pick some  $c_\sigma \in C(f, b)$  realizing  $\sigma$ . We see that  $\langle b \rangle x_i \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle b \rangle c_\sigma x_i \cap \text{supp}(f) \neq \emptyset$ , and thus, if  $i \in I$  then there is unique  $n_i(c_\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(b^{n_i(c_\sigma)} c_\sigma x_i) \neq 1$ . Again, replacing  $c_\sigma$  by  $b^{n_\sigma} c_\sigma$  for some appropriate  $n_\sigma < 0$  we can without loss of generality assume that  $n_i(c_\sigma) \geq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . We then set

$$N(f, b) = \max_{i \in I, \sigma \in \Sigma(f, b)} \{n_i(c_\sigma)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, there exists a subgroup  $H_B \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$  such that order of  $bH_B$  in  $B/H_B$  is a multiple of  $|A/K_A| \text{ord}(\kappa'(b))$ . As  $B$  is residually- $\mathcal{C}$  we can assume that  $b, b^2, \dots, b^{N(f, b)} \notin H_B$ , so we can assume that order of  $bH_B$  in  $B/H_B$  is greater than  $N(f, B)$ .

Set  $L_B = K_B \cap N_B \cap H_B$  and let  $L$  be the kernel of the natural projection

$$\lambda: A \wr B \rightarrow A/L_A \wr B/L_B.$$

We will demonstrate that  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(fb, K)$  in  $G$ . In particular, we will demonstrate that for every pair  $c \in B$  and  $g \in A^B$  such that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb))$  there are  $c' \in B$ ,  $g' \in A^B$ ,  $\bar{h} \in A/L_A^{B/L_B}$  where the following hold:

- $g'c' \in C_G(fb)$ ;
- $\bar{h} \in \lambda(K)$ ;
- $\lambda(gc) = \bar{h}\lambda(g'c')$ ;

thus demonstrating that  $C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb)) \subseteq \lambda(C_G(fb)K)$ . The rest of the proof will be split in several individual claims for readers convenience.

Let  $g \in A^B$  and  $c \in C$  be arbitrary such that  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb))$ . By Lemma 4.10, we see that the element  $\lambda(f)\lambda(b)$  is reduced in  $G/L$ , and thus, by Lemma 4.4 we see that:

- (i)  $\lambda(c) \in C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b))$
- (ii)  $\lambda(g)(bxL_B) = \lambda(g)(xL_b)\lambda(f)(xL_b)^{-1}\lambda(f)(cxL_B)$  for all  $x \in B$ .

Again,  $C_{B/L_B}(\lambda(f), \lambda(b)) = \lambda(C_B(f, b))$  by Lemma 4.10. This means that there is  $c' \in C_B(f, b)$  such that  $\lambda(c') = \lambda(c)$ . Recall that by Definition 4.5,  $\Sigma(f, b)$  is the set of all permutations (of the right cosets in  $\langle b \rangle \setminus B$  corresponding to the elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$ ) that can be realized by left action of an element from  $C(f, b)$ . There is a unique permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma(f, b)$  such that  $\langle b \rangle c' = \langle b \rangle c_\sigma$ , and thus, there is a unique integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c' = b^k c_\sigma$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $0 \leq k < \text{ord}(\lambda(b))$ .

For increased readability, let us denote  $\bar{f} = \lambda(f)$  and  $\bar{g} = \lambda(g)$ .

**Claim:** There are  $g' \in A^B$  and  $\bar{h} \in A/L_A^{B/L_B}$  such that  $\bar{g} = \lambda(g')\bar{h}$ ,  $g'c' \in C_B(fb)$ , and where  $\bar{h}$  is constant on right cosets of  $\langle \bar{b} \rangle$  in  $B/L_B$ .

**Proof of claim:**

The argument relies heavily on Lemma 4.4 which, informally speaking, tells us that the function part of an element belonging to a centralizer is almost completely determined by the element it centralizes and its acting part.

Recall that the element  $\overline{fb}$  is reduced, and thus, for every  $\overline{x} \in B/L_B$  we have  $|\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(\overline{f})| \leq 1$ . Further, let us note that  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(\overline{f}) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{c\overline{x}} \cap \text{supp}(\overline{f}) = \emptyset$  because  $\overline{c} \in C_{B/L_B}(\overline{f}, \overline{b})$ . It then immediately follows that if  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x}_0 \cap \text{supp}(\overline{f}) = \emptyset$  for some  $\overline{x}_0$ , then  $\overline{g}$  is constant on the coset  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x}_0$ , since  $\overline{g}(\overline{b\overline{x}}) = \overline{g}(\overline{x})\overline{f}(\overline{x})^{-1}\overline{f}(\overline{c\overline{x}})$ .

Let  $X_{\overline{b}} = \{x_j \in B \mid j \in J\} \subseteq X_b$  be a set of representatives of the double cosets space  $\langle b \rangle \backslash B/L_B$  and denote  $\overline{x}_j = \lambda(x_j)$ . Following previously established notation,  $\{\overline{x}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of representatives corresponding to the support of  $\overline{g}$ . By construction, for each  $i \in I$  we have

$$\overline{f}(\overline{b^e \overline{x}_i}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_A(f(x_i)) \neq 1 & \text{if } e = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us note here that the integer  $e$  is considered modulo  $\text{ord}(\overline{b})$ . Recall that for each  $i \in I$  there is unique integer  $0 \leq n_i(c_\sigma)$  such that  $f(b^{n_i(c_\sigma)} c_\sigma x_i) = f(x_i) \neq 1$ , and since  $c' = b^k c_\sigma$ , we see that  $f(b^{e'} c' x_i) \neq 1$  if and only if  $e = n_i(c_\sigma) - k$ . It then follows that

$$\overline{f}(\overline{b^e c\overline{x}_i}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_A(f(x_i)) \neq 1 & \text{if } e = n_i(c_\sigma) - k, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us note that  $0 \leq n_i(c_\sigma) < \text{ord}(\overline{b})$  by assumption, and therefore,  $|n_i(c_\sigma) - k| < \text{ord}(\overline{b})$ . For each  $j \in I$ , we set  $\overline{a}_j \in A/L_A$  as follows:

$$\overline{a}_j = \begin{cases} \overline{g}(\overline{x}_j) & \text{if } j \notin I, \\ \overline{g}(\overline{x}_j) & \text{if } j \in I \text{ and } 0 \leq n_i(c_\sigma) - k, \\ \overline{g}(\overline{b\overline{x}_j}) & \text{if } j \in I \text{ and } n_i(c_\sigma) - k < 0. \end{cases}$$

From the identity  $\overline{g}(\overline{b\overline{x}}) = \overline{g}(\overline{x})\overline{f}(\overline{x})^{-1}\overline{f}(\overline{c\overline{x}})$ , we immediately see that if  $j \notin I$  or  $j \in I$  and  $n_j(c_\sigma) - k = 0$ , then the function  $\overline{g}$  is constant on the coset  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x}_j$  and the unique value it attains is  $\overline{a}_j$ . Further, if  $j \in I$  and  $n_j(c_\sigma) - k \neq 0$  we see that, depending on whether  $n_j(c_\sigma) - k < 0$  or  $n_j(c_\sigma) - k > 0$ , either

$$\overline{g}(\overline{b^e x_j}) = \begin{cases} \overline{a}_j \lambda_A(f(x_j))^{-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq e \leq n_i(c_\sigma) - k, \\ \overline{a}_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

if  $0 < n_i(c_\sigma) - k$ , or

$$\overline{g}(\overline{b^e x_j}) = \begin{cases} \overline{a}_j \lambda_A(f(x_j)) & \text{if } e = 0 \text{ or } \leq k - n_i(c_\sigma) + 1 \leq e \leq \text{ord}(\overline{b}) - 1, \\ \overline{a}_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

if  $n_j(c_\sigma) - k < 0$ . We define a function  $\overline{h} \in A/L_B^{B/L_B}$  defined on cosets of  $\langle \overline{b} \rangle$  in the following way:  $\overline{h}(\overline{x}) = \overline{a}_j$  whenever  $\overline{x} \in \langle \overline{b} \rangle \overline{x}_j$ . We set  $\overline{g}' = \overline{h}^{-1} \overline{g}$ . We now define a function  $g' \in A^B$  given by

$$g'(b^e x_i) = \begin{cases} f(x_i)^{-1} & \text{if } i \in I \text{ and } 0 \leq e \leq n_i(c_\sigma) - k, \\ f(x_i) & \text{if } i \in I \text{ and } n_i(c_\sigma) - k < e \leq 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can immediately see that  $\lambda(g') = \bar{g}'$ . Also, we see that  $g'(bx) = g'(x)f(x)^{-1}f(c'x)$  for all  $x \in B$ , and therefore,  $g'c' \in C_G(fb)$  by Lemma 4.4 as  $c' \in C_B(f, b)$ . This finishes the proof of the above claim.

To finish our proof, let  $\kappa: A/K_A \wr B/L_B \rightarrow A/L_A \wr B/L_B$  be the unique projection map such that  $\kappa = \kappa' \circ \lambda$ .

**Claim 2:**  $\kappa(\bar{h}) = 1$  or, equivalently,  $\bar{h} \in \lambda(K')$ .

**Proof of Claim 2:** The argument is analogous to the one used in the infinite-order case in the proof of Lemma 4.11. Letting  $\bar{x} \in B/L'_B$  be arbitrary, we observe that

$$\kappa(\bar{h})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))} \bar{h}(\bar{y}).$$

Note that if  $\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ , then  $\bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))}\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ . In particular, we see that if  $\bar{y} \in \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ , then  $\langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \bar{y} \subseteq \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ . Consequently, there are some  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$  such that

$$\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \bar{x}_i.$$

As  $\bar{h}(\bar{y}) = \bar{h}(\bar{b}\bar{y})$  for all  $\bar{y} \in B/L'_B$ , we see that

$$\kappa(\bar{h})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{h}(\bar{x}_i)^N,$$

where  $N = \left| \langle \bar{b}^{\text{ord}(\kappa'(b))} \rangle \right|$  in  $B/L'_B$ . Recall that  $\text{ord}(\bar{b}) = C'|A/K_A| \text{ord}(\kappa'(b))$ , meaning that  $N = C'|A/K_A|$ . This means that we can further write

$$\kappa(\bar{h})(\kappa(\bar{x})) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \bar{h}(\bar{x}_i)^{|A/K_A|} \right)^{C'} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1)^{C'} = 1,$$

demonstrating that  $\bar{h} \in \ker(\kappa) = \lambda(K')$ , as  $\bar{x} \in B/L'_B$  was arbitrary, which proves the claim.

We have demonstrated that if  $\lambda(gc) \in C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb))$ , then there are  $c' \in B$ ,  $g' \in A^B$ , and  $\bar{h} \in A/L_A^{B/L_B}$  such that  $g'c' \in C_G(fb)$ ,  $\bar{H} \in \lambda(K')$ , and  $\lambda(gc) = \bar{h}\lambda(g'c')$ , meaning that

$$\lambda(gc) = \lambda(g')\bar{h}\lambda(c') \in \lambda(g')\lambda(c')\kappa^{-1}(1) = \lambda(g'c')\lambda(K') \subseteq \lambda(g'c')\lambda(K).$$

Therefore, we see that  $C_{G/L}(\lambda(fb)) \leq \lambda(C_G(fb)K)$ , meaning that  $L$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC witness for  $(fb, K)$  in  $G$ . This shows that the element  $fb$  has  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ , and thus, by Remark 2.15 we see that the element  $hb$  has  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC in  $G$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 4.1.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are residually- $\mathcal{C}$  groups such that  $B$  is infinite. Further, assume that  $B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC and every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $B$ . Combining Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.12, and Lemma 4.13, we see that every element of  $A \wr B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC and thus  $A \wr B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC.

To prove the moreover part of the statement, first let us suppose that  $A$  is an abelian residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group and  $B$  is an infinite  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group such that every cyclic

subgroup of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -closed in  $B$ . By Theorem 1.1 we see that  $G = A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -CS group. By Theorem 2.11 we see that  $B$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC and by the first part of the proposition we get that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}$ -CC and thus, by Theorem 2.11 we see that  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group. For the implication in the opposite direction, let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups such that  $B$  is infinite and suppose that  $G = A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group. First, let us note that  $B$  is a retract in  $G$  and thus  $B$  must be  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS by Remark 2.8. Then, by Theorem 1.1, we see that  $A$  must be abelian residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group and every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  must be  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $B$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

We can now state the main result.

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be groups. Then, the restricted wreath product  $A \wr B$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS group if and only if either one of the following is true:*

- (i)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , or
- (ii)  $A$  is abelian and residually- $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -HCS such that every cyclic subgroup of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -separable in  $G$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

## 5. HEREDITARILY CONJUGACY SEPARABLE TORSION GROUPS

In this section, we prove that the Grigorchuk group is hereditarily conjugacy separable, that is, we show that every finite-index subgroup of the Grigorchuk group is conjugacy separable. We begin by defining the Grigorchuk group as follows.

For each binary sequence  $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we write  $|\sigma| = k$  for its length. We then view these sequences as the vertices of an infinite binary rooted tree  $T$  with root given by the empty sequence,  $\varepsilon$ , and each vertex  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^*$  having two children given as  $\sigma 0$  and  $\sigma 1$ . We then write  $\text{Aut}(T)$  for the group of all graph automorphism of this tree. Notice then that every automorphism  $x \in \text{Aut}(T)$  preserves the root and each level of the tree. Moreover, we see that  $\text{Aut}(T) = \text{Aut}(T) \wr \text{Sym}(2)$ , that is, for each automorphism  $x \in \text{Aut}(T)$ , we may write  $x = (x_0, x_1) \cdot s$  where each  $x_i \in \text{Aut}(T)$  is the action that  $x$  has on the subtree rooted at  $i$ , and  $s \in \text{Sym}(2)$  is the permutation that the element performs to the first level of the tree.

Given an automorphism  $x = (x_0, x_1) \cdot s \in \text{Aut}(T) \wr \text{Sym}(2) = \text{Aut}(T)$ , we write  $x|_0 = x_0$  and  $x|_1 = x_1$  for the *restrictions* of  $x$  to its first-level subtrees. For each sequence  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we then define the *restriction*  $x|_\sigma$  recursively such that  $x|_\varepsilon = x$ , and  $x|_{\alpha 0} = (x|_\alpha)|_0$  and  $x|_{\alpha 1} = (x|_\alpha)|_1$  for each  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .

The Grigorchuk group is defined as  $\Gamma = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(T)$  where the actions of the generators  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are defined recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} a(0\sigma) &= 1\sigma & b(0\sigma) &= 0a(\sigma) & c(0\sigma) &= 0a(\sigma) & d(0\sigma) &= 0\sigma \\ a(1\sigma) &= 0\sigma & b(1\sigma) &= 1c(\sigma) & c(1\sigma) &= 1d(\sigma) & d(1\sigma) &= 1b(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^*$ . Alternatively, we may think of the generators of Grigorchuk's group as the automorphisms of  $T$  described in Figure 1.

Let  $\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the norm on  $\Gamma$  induced by the word metric with respect to the generating set  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . We then have the following lemma which relates the length of an element to the length of its restrictions.

**Lemma 5.1** (Lemma 8.2 and Corollary 8.3 in [14]). *Let  $g \in \Gamma$ , then*

- (1) *if  $\ell(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , then  $\ell(g|_0), \ell(g|_1) \leq \ell(g)/2$ ; and*




FIGURE 1. Generators of Grigorchuk group

- (2) if  $\ell(g) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , then
- (a)  $\ell(g|_0), \ell(g|_1) \leq \ell(g)/2 + 1$  and
  - (b)  $\ell(g|_0) + \ell(g|_1) \leq \ell(g) + 1$ .

Hence, we have  $\ell(g|_0) + \ell(g|_1) \leq \ell(g) + 1$  for each  $g \in \Gamma$ .

For each  $n \geq 1$ , we define the  $n$ -th level stabilizer subgroup as

$$\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = \{g \in \Gamma \mid g \cdot v = v \text{ for each } v \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ with } |v| \leq n\}.$$

We notice here that each subgroup  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n)$  is finite index and normal in  $\Gamma$ . These stabilizer subgroups characterize the finite-index subgroups of  $\Gamma$  as follows.

**Lemma 5.2** (Theorem 3.7 in [25]). *The Grigorchuk group has the congruence subgroup property (csp), that is, for each finite index subgroup  $H \leq \Gamma$ , there exists some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \leq H$ .*

We define the function  $\Psi_\Gamma: \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$  as

$$\Psi_\Gamma(g) = (g|_0, g|_1)$$

for each  $g \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \leq \Gamma$ . Notice then that  $\Psi_\Gamma$  is an injective homomorphism. We make use of this function in the following definition.

**Definition 5.3.** *Suppose that  $A, B \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  are finite-index normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  for which  $B \times B \leq \Psi_\Gamma(A)$ . Then, we define a function*

$$\text{Lift}_{B,A}: \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)/A)$$

such that  $gA \in \text{Lift}_{B,A}(g_0B, g_1B)$  for each  $g = (g_0, g_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ .

This function has the following important property.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $A, B \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  be finite-index normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that  $B \times B \leq \Psi_\Gamma(A)$ . For each pair of elements  $g_0, g_1 \in \Gamma$ , there exists an element  $g = (g_0, g_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  if and only if  $\text{Lift}_{B,A}(g_0B, g_1B)$  is nonempty. Moreover, for such an element  $g$ , we have  $gA \in \text{Lift}_{B,A}(g_0B, g_1B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let a pair of elements  $g_0, g_1 \in \Gamma$  be given such that  $c \in \text{Lift}_{B,A}(g_0B, g_1B)$ . Then, from the definition of the function  $\text{Lift}_{B,A}$ , we see that there exists some element  $h = (h_0, h_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  for which  $c = hA$ ,  $g_0B = h_0B$  and  $g_1B = h_1B$ . Let  $b_0, b_1 \in B$  be such that  $g_0 = h_0b_0$  and  $g_1 = h_1b_1$ .

We see that  $(b_0, b_1) \in B \times B \leq \Psi_\Gamma(A)$ , and thus,  $b = \Psi_\Gamma^{-1}(b_0, b_1) \in A$ . Hence, our desired element is given by  $g = hb \in \Gamma$ , that is,  $g = (g_0, g_1) = (h_0b_0, h_1b_1) \in \Gamma$ .

Now suppose that we are given an element  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  as before, then by the definition of the function  $\text{Lift}_{B,A}$  we see that  $gA \in \text{Lift}_{B,A}(g_0B, g_1B)$ .  $\square$

**5.1. The conjugacy problem.** Suppose that  $N \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  is a finite-index normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . We then define the function  $Q^N: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/N)$  as

$$Q^N(g, h) = \{xN \in \Gamma/N \mid x \in \Gamma \text{ with } x^{-1}gx = h\}$$

for each  $g, h \in \Gamma$ . Notice then that the elements  $g, h \in \Gamma$  are conjugate in  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $Q^N(g, h)$  is nonempty. Suppose then that  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \leq N$ , then we define the function  $Q_n^N: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/N)$  as

$$Q_n^N(g, h) = \{xN \in \Gamma/N \mid x \in \Gamma \text{ with } x^{-1}gx \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = h \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n)\}$$

for each  $g, h \in \Gamma$ . We then notice that  $g, h \in \Gamma$  are conjugate in  $\Gamma/\text{Stab}_\Gamma(k)$  if and only if  $Q_n^N(g, h)$  is nonempty. Notice then that for each  $m \geq n$ , we have

$$(2) \quad Q^N(g, h) \subseteq Q_m^N(g, h) \subseteq Q_n^N(g, h)$$

for each  $g, h \in \Gamma$ . In Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7, we define a recursive algorithm to compute these functions. These corollaries following from Lemma 5.5 as follows.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $N \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  and  $M \leq \Gamma$  be a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  (possibly with infinite index) such that  $\Psi_\Gamma(N) = M \times M$ . In the following let  $x \in \Gamma$ :*

(1) *Let  $g, h \in \Gamma$  with*

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN,$$

*then  $g \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  if and only if  $h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ .*

(2) *Let  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ , then*

(a) *if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then*

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)M = h_0M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)M = h_1M; \end{cases}$$

(b) *if  $x = (x_0, x_1)a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then*

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)M = h_1M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)M = h_0M. \end{cases}$$

(3) *Let  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)a$ , then*

(a) *if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then*

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0)M = (h_0h_1)M \\ x_1M = (g_1x_0h_1^{-1})M; \end{cases}$$

(b) *if  $x = (x_0, x_1)a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then*

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0)M = (h_1h_0)M \\ x_1M = (g_0^{-1}x_0h_1)M. \end{cases}$$

*Notice that in the above cases, we have reduced the problem of checking the conjugacy of  $g$  and  $h$  to a problem involving the conjugacy of their first-level restrictions.*

*Proof.* We see that (1) in the lemma statement follows as  $N \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  is a normal subgroup. We prove the remaining parts of the statement as follows.

(2) Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ . In particular, from this we can write  $gN = (g_0M, g_1M)$  and  $hN = (h_0M, h_1M)$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ . We then see that  $xN = (x_0M, x_1M)$  and  $x^{-1}N = (x_0^{-1}M, x_1^{-1}M)$ . Thus,

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)M = h_0M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)M = h_1M. \end{cases}$$

- (b) Suppose that  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then  $xN = (x_0M, x_1M) \cdot a$ , and thus,  $x^{-1}N = (x_1^{-1}M, x_0^{-1}M) \cdot a$ . Therefore, we see that

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)M = h_1M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)M = h_0M. \end{cases}$$

- (3) Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a$ . From this we can write  $gN = (g_0Mg_1M) \cdot a$  and  $hN = (h_0M, h_1M) \cdot a$ .

- (a) If  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , we then see that  $xN = (x_0M, x_1M)$  and  $x^{-1}N = (x_0^{-1}M, x_1^{-1}M)$ . Thus, we see that

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_1)M = h_0M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_0)M = h_1M. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we see that this system of equations is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_1)(x_1^{-1}g_1x_0)M = h_0h_1M \\ x_1^{-1}M = (h_1x_0^{-1}g_1^{-1})M \end{cases}$$

which can then be simplified to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0)M = (h_0h_1)M \\ x_1M = (g_1x_0h_1^{-1})M. \end{cases}$$

- (b) Suppose that  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then  $xN = (x_0M, x_1M) \cdot a$ , and thus,  $x^{-1}N = (x_1^{-1}M, x_0^{-1}M) \cdot a$ . Therefore, we see that

$$(x^{-1}gx)N = hN \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_1)M = h_1M \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_0)M = h_0M. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we see that this system of equations is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_1)(x_1^{-1}g_1x_0)M = h_1h_0M \\ x_1^{-1}M = (h_0x_0^{-1}g_1^{-1})M \end{cases}$$

which can then be simplified to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0)M = (h_1h_0)M \\ x_1M = (g_0^{-1}x_0h_1)M. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have our desired result.  $\square$

From the above lemma, we immediately obtain the following corollary which provides a recursive formula for the function  $Q^A: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/A)$ .

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $A, B \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  be finite-index normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  for which  $B \times B \leq \Psi_\Gamma(A)$ . Let  $g, h \in \Gamma$ , then  $Q^A(g, h)$  can be calculated as follows.*



(1) If  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ , then

$$Q^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q^B(g_0, h_0) \times Q^B(g_1, h_1)) \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q^B(g_1, h_0) \times Q^B(g_0, h_1)) \cdot a.$$

(2) If  $g, h \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a$ , then

$$Q^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_1x_0h_1^{-1}B \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a.$$

In all remaining cases we have  $Q^A(g, h) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g, h, x \in \Gamma$ , then we separate our proof into three parts as follows.

Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ . Then, from Lemma 5.4 and 5.5 (with  $N = M = \{1\}$ ), we see that

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then

$$x^{-1}gx = h \iff \begin{cases} x_0^{-1}g_0x_0 = h_0 \\ x_1^{-1}g_1x_1 = h_1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0, h_0) \\ x_1B \in Q^B(g_1, h_1); \end{cases}$$

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \cdot a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then

$$x^{-1}gx = h \iff \begin{cases} x_0^{-1}g_0x_0 = h_1 \\ x_1^{-1}g_1x_1 = h_0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0, h_1) \\ x_1B \in Q^B(g_1, h_0). \end{cases}$$

Hence, from Lemma 5.4, we see that

$$Q^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q^B(g_0, h_0) \times Q^B(g_1, h_1)) \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q^B(g_1, h_0) \times Q^B(g_0, h_1)) \cdot a.$$

Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a$ . Then, from Lemma 5.4 and 5.5 (with  $N = M = \{1\}$ ), we see that

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then

$$x^{-1}gx = h \iff \begin{cases} x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0 = h_0h_1 \\ x_1 = g_1x_0h_1^{-1} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ x_1B = g_1x_0h_1^{-1}B; \end{cases}$$

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1)a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then

$$x^{-1}gx = h \iff \begin{cases} x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0 = h_1h_0 \\ x_1 = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0, g_1, h_1h_0) \\ x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B. \end{cases}$$

Hence, from Lemma 5.4, we see that

$$Q^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_1x_0h_1^{-1}B \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q^B(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a.$$

Since  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which contains  $B$  as a subgroup it follows that in all remaining cases we have  $Q^B(g, h) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Further, Lemma 5.5 can then be used to find recurrence formulas for the functions of the form  $Q_n^A: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/A)$  as follows.

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $A, B \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  be finite-index normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  for which  $B \times B \leq \Psi_\Gamma(A)$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) \leq A$  and  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \leq B$ . Let  $g, h \in \Gamma$ , then the function  $Q_{n+1}^A(g, h)$  can be calculated as follows.*

(1) *If  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ , then*

$$Q_{n+1}^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q_n^B(g_0, h_0) \times Q_n^B(g_1, h_1)) \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q_n^B(g_1, h_0) \times Q_n^B(g_0, h_1)) \cdot a.$$

(2) *If  $g, h \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a$ , then*

$$Q_{n+1}^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_1x_0h_1^{-1}B \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a.$$

In all remaining cases we have  $Q_{n+1}^A(g, h) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* In this proof, let  $g, h, x \in \Gamma$ .

Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1)$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1)$ . Then, from Lemma 5.4 and 5.5 (with  $N = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1)$  and  $M = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n)$ ), we see that

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then

$$(x^{-1}gx)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) = h\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) \\ \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = h_0\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = h_1\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \end{cases} \\ \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0, h_0) \\ x_1B \in Q_n^B(g_1, h_1); \end{cases}$$

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \cdot a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then

$$(x^{-1}gx)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) = h\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) \\ \iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}g_0x_0)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = h_1\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \\ (x_1^{-1}g_1x_1)\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = h_0\text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \end{cases} \\ \iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0, h_1) \\ x_1B \in Q_n^B(g_1, h_0). \end{cases}$$

Hence, from Lemma 5.4, we see that

$$Q_{n+1}^A(g, h) = \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q_n^B(g_0, h_0) \times Q_n^B(g_1, h_1)) \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} (Q_n^B(g_1, h_0) \times Q_n^B(g_0, h_1)) \cdot a.$$

Suppose that  $g, h \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$  with  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a$ . Then, from Lemma 5.4 and 5.5 (with  $N = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1)$  and  $M = \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n)$ ), we see that

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{-1}gx) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) &= h \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) \\ &\iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = (h_0h_1) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \\ x_1 \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = (g_1x_0h_1^{-1}) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \end{cases} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ x_1B = (g_1x_0h_1^{-1})B; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- if  $x = (x_0, x_1)a \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \cdot a$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{-1}gx) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) &= h \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n+1) \\ &\iff \begin{cases} (x_0^{-1}(g_0g_1)x_0) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = (h_1h_0) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \\ x_1 \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) = (g_0^{-1}x_0h_1) \text{Stab}_\Gamma(n) \end{cases} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0, g_1, h_1h_0) \\ x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Lemma 5.4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+1}^A(g, h) &= \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \mid \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_1x_0h_1^{-1}B \end{array} \right\} \\ &\cup \text{Lift}_{B,A} \left\{ (x_0B, x_1B) \in \Gamma/B \times \Gamma/B \mid \begin{array}{l} x_0B \in Q_n^B(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) \\ \text{and } x_1B = g_0^{-1}x_0h_1B \end{array} \right\} \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which contains  $B$  as a subgroup, it follows that in all remaining cases we have  $Q_{n+1}^B(g, h) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**5.2. The subgroup  $K$ .** Let  $K \leq \Gamma$  be the normal closure of the element  $(ab)^2$  in the group  $\Gamma$ . Then, it is known from the Proposition on p. 230 of [5] that

- $K = \langle (ab)^2, (bada)^2, (abad)^2 \rangle$ ;
- $K$  is a finite-index normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  with index  $[\Gamma : K] = 16$ ;
- $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(3) \leq K \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ ; and
- $K \times K \leq \Psi(K)$ .

From these properties, we see that Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7 apply with  $A = B = K$ . Moreover, from Figure 2 in [17], we see that the cosets of  $K$  in  $\Gamma$ , with respect to the generating set  $\{a, b, d\}$ , form the Schreier graph as in Figure 2 where

$$\begin{array}{cccc} z_0 = K, & z_1 = Kd, & z_2 = Kda, & z_3 = Kdad, \\ z_4 = K(ad)^2, & z_5 = Kada, & z_6 = Kad, & z_7 = Ka, \\ z_8 = Kb, & z_9 = Kc, & z_{10} = Kca, & z_{11} = Kcad, \\ z_{12} = Kbadad, & z_{13} = Kbada, & z_{14} = Kbad, & z_{15} = Kab. \end{array}$$


Moreover, from Lemma 2.10 in [17], we see that

$$z_i \in \text{Lift}_{K,K}(z_j, z_k)$$

if and only if  $(j, k)$ ,  $i$  is an entry in Table 1.

In our proofs within this section, we make use of the commutator subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which is given by

$$[\Gamma, \Gamma] = \langle \{[x, y] \mid x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}\} \rangle.$$



**Lemma 5.9** (p. 157 of [13]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} Q^K(a, a) &= K\{1, a, dad, (ad)^2\}, & Q^K(b, b) &= K\{1, b, c, d\}, \\ Q^K(c, c) &= K\{1, b, c, d\} & \text{and} & & Q^K(d, d) &= K\{1, b, c, d, ada, (ad)^2, bada, badad\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $Q(1, 1) = \Gamma/K$ , and  $Q(x, y) = \emptyset$  for each  $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d, 1\}$  with  $x \neq y$ .

The subgroup  $K$  is of particular interest due to the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.10.** *If  $g, h \in \Gamma$  with  $g \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \neq h \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then  $Q_3(g, h) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $g, h \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq 1$ , then  $Q^K(g, h) = Q_6^K(g, h)$ .*

*Proof.* The first half of the lemma statement follows from Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7.

Throughout this proof, we implicitly use the property that

$$Q^K(g, h) \subseteq Q_n^K(g, h) \subseteq Q_m^K(g, h)$$

for each  $n \geq m \geq 3$ , which follows from the definition of these functions. Moreover, we also use the property that  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are the elements in  $\Gamma$  of length 1.

From the definition of the elements  $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma$ , we see that

$$a, b, c, d \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(3) \leq K \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \in \text{Stab}(3) \leq K,$$

and thus

$$Q^K(g, 1) = Q^K(1, h) = Q_3^K(g, 1) = Q_3^K(1, h) = \emptyset$$

for each  $g, h \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ . Moreover, by definition, it is clear that

$$Q^K(1, 1) = Q_3^K(1, 1) = \Gamma/K.$$

Thus, it is now sufficient for us to consider only the case where  $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ .

Notice that  $b, c, d \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  and  $a \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ . In particular, this implies that

$$Q^K(a, h) = Q^K(g, a) = Q_3^K(a, h) = Q_3^K(g, a) = \emptyset$$

for each  $g, h \in \{b, c, d\}$ .

Considering Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4^K(b, d) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_3^K(a, 1) \times Q_3^K(c, b)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_3^K(c, 1) \times Q_3^K(a, b)) \cdot a \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\emptyset \times Q_3^K(c, d)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\emptyset \times \emptyset) \cdot a = \emptyset, \\ Q_4^K(c, d) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_3^K(a, 1) \times Q_3^K(d, b)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_3^K(d, 1) \times Q_3^K(a, b)) \cdot a \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\emptyset \times Q_3^K(d, b)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\emptyset \times \emptyset) \cdot a = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Again from Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5^K(b, c) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times Q_4^K(c, d)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(c, a) \times Q_4^K(a, d)) \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times \emptyset) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\emptyset \times \emptyset) \cdot a = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the function  $Q_n^K : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/K)$ , we then see that

$$Q^K(g, h) = Q_5^K(g, h) = \emptyset$$

for each  $g, h \in \{b, c, d\}$  with  $g \neq h$ .

Thus, all that remains is to show that  $Q^K(g, g) = Q_6^K(g, g)$  for  $g \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ . From Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4(a, a) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_3^K(1, 1) \\ \text{and } x_1K = x_0K \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_3^K(1, 1) \\ \text{and } x_1K = x_0K \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a \\ &\subseteq \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\{(x, x) \mid x \in G/K\}) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\{(x, x) \mid x \in G/K\}) \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Table 1 and Figure 2, we see that

$$Q_4^K(a, a) \subseteq \{z_0, z_4\} \cup \{z_0, z_4\} \cdot a = \{z_0, z_4\} \cup \{z_7, z_3\} = K\{1, (ad)^2, a, dad\}.$$

Thus, from Lemma 5.9, we see that  $Q^K(a, a) = Q_4^K(a, a)$ .

From Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5^K(b, b) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times Q_4^K(c, c)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(c, a) \times Q_4^K(a, c)) \cdot a \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times Q_4^K(c, c)) \\ &\subseteq \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q^K(a, a) \times G/K) = \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\{z_0, z_3, z_4, z_7\} \times G/K) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5^K(c, c) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times Q_4^K(d, d)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(d, a) \times Q_4^K(a, d)) \cdot a \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_4^K(a, a) \times Q_4^K(d, d)) \\ &\subseteq \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q^K(a, a) \times G/K) = \text{Lift}_{K,K}(\{z_0, z_3, z_4, z_7\} \times G/K). \end{aligned}$$

From Table 1, we then see that

$$Q_5^K(b, b), Q_5^K(c, c) \subseteq \{z_0, z_1, z_8, z_9\} = K\{1, d, b, c\}.$$

From Lemma 5.9, we conclude that  $Q^K(b, b) = Q^K(c, c) = Q_5^K(b, b) = Q_5^K(c, c)$ .

From Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_6^K(d, d) &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_5^K(1, 1) \times Q_5^K(b, b)) \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_5^K(b, 1) \times Q_5^K(1, b)) \cdot a \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q_5^K(1, 1) \times Q_5^K(b, b)) \\ &= \text{Lift}_{K,K}(Q^K(1, 1) \times Q^K(b, b)) = \text{Lift}_{K,K}(G/K \times \{z_0, z_1, z_8, z_9\}). \end{aligned}$$

From Table 1, we then see that

$$Q_6^K(d, d) = \{z_0, z_1, z_4, z_5, z_8, z_9, z_{12}, z_{13}\} = K\{1, d, (ad)^2, ada, b, c, badad, bada\}.$$

Then, from Lemma 5.9, we see that  $Q^K(d, d) = Q_6^K(d, d)$ .

Thus, we have proven all cases of our lemma.  $\square$

We may then generalize the above lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 5.11.** *If  $x, y \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(x), \ell(y) \leq 2$ , then  $Q^K(x, y) = Q_{10}^K(x, y)$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 5.10, we see that if  $\ell(x), \ell(y) \leq 1$ , then  $Q^K(x, y) = Q_6^K(x, y)$ . Thus, in the remainder of this proof, we assume that either  $\ell(x) = 2$  or  $\ell(y) = 2$ .

We first note that the set of all elements of length 2 can be given as

$$S_2 = \{ab, ba, ac, ca, ad, da\}.$$

Notice then that  $g \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  for each  $g \in S_2$ .

If  $g \in S_2$ , then it follows from Corollary 5.7 that

$$Q^K(g, y) = Q_3^K(g, y) = Q^K(x, g) = Q_3^K(x, g) = \emptyset$$

for each  $x, y \in \{1, b, c, d\} \subset \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ .

Suppose  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a \in S_2$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a \in S_2$ , then from Lemma 5.1 we have  $\ell(g_0g_1), \ell(g_1g_0), \ell(h_0h_1), \ell(h_1h_0) \leq 2$ . From Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$Q_7^K(g, a) = \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_6^K(g_0g_1, 1) \\ \text{and } x_1K = g_1x_0K \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_6^K(g_0g_1, 1) \\ \text{and } x_1K = g_0^{-1}x_0K \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a$$

and

$$Q_7^K(a, h) = \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_6^K(1, h_0h_1) \\ \text{and } x_1K = x_0h_1^{-1}K \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ \cup \text{Lift}_{K,K} \left\{ (x_0K, x_1K) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_0K \in Q_6^K(1, h_1h_0) \\ \text{and } x_1K = x_0h_1K \end{array} \right. \right\} \cdot a.$$

We then see that each element of the form  $g_i g_j$  and  $h_i h_j$  is either of length 2 and thus does not belong to  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , or of length at most 1. Therefore, from earlier in this proof and from Lemma 5.10, we see that

$$Q_6^K(g_i g_j, 1) = Q^K(g_i g_j, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_6^K(1, h_i h_j) = Q^K(1, h_i h_j)$$

for each  $(i, j) \in \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ . Thus, from Corollary 5.7, we see that

$$Q_7^K(g, a) = Q^K(g, a) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_7^K(a, h) = Q^K(a, h)$$

for each  $g, h \in S_2$ . Thus, all that remains is to prove our result for  $x, y \in S_2$ .

Suppose that  $g = (g_0, g_1) \cdot a \in S_2$  and  $h = (h_0, h_1) \cdot a \in S_2$ . Lemma 5.1 then implies  $\ell(g_0g_1), \ell(g_1g_0), \ell(h_0h_1), \ell(h_1h_0) \leq 2$ . From Corollary 5.6 and 5.7, if

$$Q_n^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \quad \text{and} \\ Q_n^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0)$$

for some  $n \geq 4$ , then  $Q_{n+1}^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ . Notice that since  $g \in S_2$ , we see that  $g \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]\{ab, ac, ad\}$ . We consider these case one after the other as follows.

- If  $g \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]ad$ , then from Lemma 5.8 we have  $g_0g_1, g_1g_0 \notin S_2$ , thus

$$Q_7^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \quad \text{and} \\ Q_7^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0),$$

and thus  $Q_8^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ .

- If  $g \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]ac$ , then from Lemma 5.8 we have  $g_0g_1, g_1g_0 \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]ad$ , thus

$$Q_8^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \quad \text{and} \\ Q_8^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) = Q^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0),$$

and thus  $Q_9^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ .

- If  $g \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]ab$ , then from Lemma 5.8 we have  $g_0g_1, g_1g_0 \in [\Gamma, \Gamma]ac$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q_9^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) &= Q^K(g_0g_1, h_0h_1) \quad \text{and} \\ Q_9^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0) &= Q^K(g_0g_1, h_1h_0), \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $Q_{10}^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ .

We now conclude that  $Q_{10}^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$  for each  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq 2$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Splitting trees.** Let  $g, h \in \Gamma$  and  $m \geq 3$ , then we construct a finite rooted tree  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$ , which we call a *splitting tree*, as follows:

- (1) The root of the tree is labeled  $(m; x, y)$ .
- (2) For each vertex labeled as  $(n+1, x, y)$  with  $n \geq 3$ ,
  - if  $x \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \neq y \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ , then the vertex does not have any children;
  - if  $x, y \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $x = (x_0, x_1)$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1)$ , then the vertex has 4 children as in Figure 3a; and
  - if  $x, y \notin \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$  with  $x = (x_0, x_1) \cdot a$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1) \cdot a$ , then the vertex has 2 children as in Figure 3b.

Notice that the tree  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  is locally finite and has depth at most  $m-3$ . Thus, the tree  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  has finitely many vertices. Notice also that the splitting tree  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  corresponds to the computation one would perform in order to calculate  $Q_m^K(g, h)$  from the recursive formulas given in Corollary 5.7.




FIGURE 3. Splitting cases

We now prove some properties of splitting trees as follows.

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  be a splitting tree. If  $(n; g, h)$  labels a leaf of the tree with  $n \geq 4$ , then  $Q_n^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, suppose that there is a subset  $V$  of the vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  such that*

- each vertex in  $V$  has a label of the form  $(n; x, y)$  with  $Q_n^K(x, y) = Q^K(x, y)$ ,
- and every root-to-leaf path in  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$  contains some vertex in  $V$ ,

then we have  $Q_m^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of the splitting tree, if a leaf of the tree has a label of the form  $(n; x, y)$  with  $n \geq 4$ , then  $x \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1) \neq y \cdot \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ . Thus, from Corollary 5.7, we see that  $Q_n^K(x, y) = Q^K(x, y) = \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $V$  is a set of vertices as described in the lemma statement. From Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7 and the definition of splitting trees, we may lift the equalities  $Q_n^K(x, y) = Q^K(x, y)$ , which corresponding to the labels  $(n, x, y)$  of vertices in  $V$ , to obtain  $Q_m^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ .  $\square$

Using splitting trees, we strengthen Lemma 5.11 as follows.



**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m = 4\lceil \log_2(2r) \rceil + 10$ , then*

$$Q^K(g, h) = Q_m^K(g, h)$$

for each  $g, h \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq r$ .

*Proof.* Consider the splitting tree  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$ .

In the following, we construct a set of vertices  $V$  as in Lemma 5.12.

For each root to leaf path  $p = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{g,h,m}$ :

- If  $k \leq m - 10$ , then  $v_k$  is labeled as  $(n; x, y)$  for  $n \geq 10$ . From Lemma 5.12, we see that  $Q_n^K(x, y) = Q^K(x, y)$ , thus we add  $v_k$  to  $V$ .
- Otherwise, from Lemma 5.8 and the definition of a splitting tree, we see that every 4 consecutive vertices  $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow v_{i+2} \rightarrow v_{i+3}$  in  $p$  must contain at least one vertex labeled as  $(n, x, y)$  where  $x, y \in \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ . From Lemma 5.1 and the definition of splitting trees, we see that for each  $i$  if  $v_i$  is labeled by  $(m - i, x, y)$  and the vertex  $v_{i+3}$  is labeled by  $(m - i + 3, x', y')$ , then  $\ell(g') \leq \ell(g)/2 + 1$  and  $\ell(h') \leq \ell(h)/2 + 1$ .

Notice that in this case, the vertex  $v_{m-10}$  must be labeled by  $Q_{10}^K(g, h)$  where  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq 2$ . From Lemma 5.11, we see that  $Q_{10}^K(g, h) = Q^K(g, h)$ . Thus, we add  $v_{m-10}$  to the set  $V$ .

From these two cases, we see that we may construct a subset of vertices  $V$  as in Lemma 5.12, and thus,  $Q_m^K(x, y) = Q^K(x, y)$  as desired.  $\square$

**5.4. The subgroups  $K_m$ .** From the subgroup  $K$ , we can define a sequence of subgroups  $K_m$  as

$$K_0 = K \quad \text{and} \quad K_{m+1} = \Psi_\Gamma^{-1}(K_m \times K_m) \quad \text{for each } m \geq 0.$$

We then see that each of these subgroups  $K_m$  is normal in  $\Gamma$  by an induction on  $m$  as follows. By definition, we see that  $K_0 = K$  is a normal subgroup. Suppose that  $K_m$  is a normal subgroup, and let  $x = (x_0, x_1) \in \Gamma$  and  $k = (k_0, k_1) \in K_{m+1}$ . Then, we have

$$x^{-1}kx = (x_1^{-1}k_1x_1, x_0^{-1}k_0x_0) \in K_{m+1}$$

since  $k_i \in K_m$  implies that  $x_i^{-1}k_ix_i \in K_m$ . Thus,  $K_{m+1}$  is normal if  $K_m$  is normal.

Recall that  $\text{Stab}_\Gamma(3) \leq K \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(1)$ . Thus, it follows that

$$\text{Stab}_\Gamma(m+3) \leq K_m \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(m+1)$$

for each  $m \geq 0$ . Notice then that it follows that each  $K_m$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . We generalize Corollary 5.13 to obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.14.** *Let  $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists some  $n$  such that*

$$Q^{K_m}(g, h) = Q_n^{K_m}(g, h)$$

for each  $g, h \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq r$ .

*Proof.* From Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7, we see that for each  $m > 1$  and  $n \geq 4$ , we have  $Q^{K_m}(g, h) = Q_n^{K_m}(g, h)$  if

$$Q^{K_{m-1}}(g|_{u_1}, h|_{v_1}) = Q_{n-1}^{K_{m-1}}(g|_{u_1}, h|_{v_1}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q^{K_{m-1}}((g|_{u_1})(g|_{u_2}), (h|_{v_1})(h|_{v_2})) = Q_{n-1}^{K_{m-1}}((g|_{u_1})(g|_{u_2}), (h|_{v_1})(h|_{v_2}))$$

for all  $(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \in \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ . Thus, from Lemma 5.1, we see that

$$\ell(g|_{u_1}), \ell(h|_{v_1}), \ell((g|_{u_1})(g|_{u_2})), \ell((h|_{v_1})(h|_{v_2})) \leq \max\{\ell(g), \ell(h)\} + 1.$$

From this, we see that if

$$Q^{K_{m-1}}(x, y) = Q_{n-1}^{K_{m-1}}(x, y)$$

for each  $x, y \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(x), \ell(y) \leq \max\{\ell(g), \ell(h)\} + 1$ , then

$$Q^{K_m}(x, y) = Q_n^{K_m}(x, y).$$

From an induction on  $n$  and  $m$ , we see that for all  $m > 1$  and  $n \geq m + 4$ , if

$$Q^K(x, y) = Q_{n-m}^K(x, y)$$

for each  $x, y \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(x), \ell(y) \leq \max\{\ell(g), \ell(h)\} + m$ , then

$$Q^{K_m}(g, h) = Q_n^{K_m}(g, h).$$

From Lemma 5.13, we see that

$$Q^K(x, y) = Q_{n-m}^K(x, y)$$

for each  $x, y \in \Gamma$  with  $\ell(x), \ell(y) \leq r + m$  where  $n = 4\lceil \log_2(2(r + m)) \rceil + 10 + m$ . Thus, from our earlier induction, we have

$$Q^{K_m}(g, h) = Q_n^{K_m}(g, h)$$

for each  $\ell(g), \ell(h) \leq r$  where  $n = 4\lceil \log_2(2(r + m)) \rceil + 10 + m$ .  $\square$

**5.5. Theorem.** We now prove the main theorem of this section as follows.

**Theorem 5.15.** *The Grigorchuk group is hereditarily conjugacy separable. In particular, the Grigorchuk group is 2-hereditarily conjugacy separable.*

*Proof.* Notice then that if we are given some finite-index subgroup  $H \leq \Gamma$ , then from Lemma 5.2, we have  $K_m \leq \text{Stab}_\Gamma(m) \leq H$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . We then see that given any two elements  $g, h \in H$ , we have that  $g$  and  $h$  are conjugate in  $H$  if and only if the intersection  $Q^{K_m}(g, h) \cap H/K_m$  is nonempty. From Lemma 5.14, we then know that there exists some  $n \geq 1$  such that this intersection can be calculated as

$$Q^{K_m}(g, h) \cap H/K_m = Q_n^{K_m}(g, h) \cap H/K_m.$$

Thus,  $g, h \in H$  are conjugate in  $H$  if and only if they are conjugate in  $H/\text{Stab}_H(n)$ . We then see that the finite-index subgroup  $H$  is conjugacy separable.

It is well-known that the Grigorchuk group is a 2-group (see Theorem 17 on p. 222 of [5]). Thus, the subgroup  $H$  and hence the quotient  $H/\text{Stab}_H(n)$  are also 2-groups. From this, we then conclude that  $H$  is 2-conjugacy separable, and thus the Grigorchuk group is 2-hereditarily conjugacy separable.  $\square$

Our proof of the above theorem does not generalize to other groups acting on rooted trees that are known to be conjugacy separable, such as Gupta-Sidki groups  $GS(p)$ , which begs the natural question: are the Gupta-Sidki groups  $GS(p)$  hereditarily conjugacy separable, and thus  $p$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable? In particular, we pose the following question.

**Question 5.16.** *Suppose that  $G$  is regular branch group, and suppose that  $G$  is contracting and that  $G$  has congruence subgroup property, i.e. every subgroup of finite index contains a level stabilizer subgroup. Is it true that  $G$  is hereditarily conjugacy separable?*

APPENDIX A.  $\mathcal{C}$ -CENTRALIZER CONDITION AND THE  $\mathcal{C}$ -COMPLETION

The aim of the appendix is to provide characterize residually- $\mathcal{C}$  groups satisfying  $\mathcal{C}$ -centralizer condition in terms of their pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completion, thus generalizing [22, Corollary 12.2] to the setting of pro- $\mathcal{C}$  topologies, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an extension-closed pseudo-variety of finite groups. Background on pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completions can be found in the books [28] or [29].

The pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completion  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $G$  is the inverse limit of all finite quotients of form  $G/K$  where  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ . Moreover, there exists a canonical embedding of  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  into  $\prod_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)} G/N$ . Thus,  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  can be equipped with the topology induced by the product topology on  $\prod_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)} G/N$  where each finite group  $G/N$  is equipped with the discrete topology. Hence,  $\tilde{G}$  is a compact topological group. Finally, for a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , we denote its pro- $\mathcal{C}$ -closure in  $\tilde{G}$  as  $\overline{H}$ .

Let  $H \leq G$  and  $g \in H$  be arbitrary. We say that the pair  $(H, g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C} - CC_G$  if for every subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  there is a group  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $L \leq K$  and

$$C_{\pi_L(H)}(\pi_L(g)) \subseteq \pi_L(C_H(g)K) \text{ in } G/L.$$

The following statement is a generalization of [22, Proposition 12.1].

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $G$  be a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group, and let  $H \leq G, g \in G$  be arbitrary. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the pair  $(H, g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C} - CC_G$ ,*
- (ii)  *$C_{\overline{H}}(g) = \overline{C_H(g)}$  in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , we let  $\varphi_N: G \rightarrow G/N$  be the natural surjection. Then the map  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = (\varphi_N(x))_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)}$  is a homomorphism, and since  $G$  is residually- $\mathcal{C}$ , the homomorphism  $\varphi$  is an injection. Each homomorphism  $\varphi_N$  for each  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  can be then be uniquely extended to a continuous homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}_N: \hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G/N$ .

Suppose that the pair  $(H, g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C} - CC_G$ . We want to show that  $C_{\overline{H}}(g) = \overline{C_H(g)}$  in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let us note that the inclusion  $\overline{C_H(g)} \subseteq C_{\overline{H}}(g)$  holds trivially; hence, we only need to show the inclusion in the opposite direction, i.e.  $C_{\overline{H}}(g) \subseteq \overline{C_H(g)}$ . Let  $h \in \overline{H} \setminus \overline{C_H(g)}$  be arbitrary. By definition, there exists some subgroup  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $\hat{\pi}_K(h) \notin C_{\pi_K(H)}(g)$ . As the pair  $(H, g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C} - CC_G$ , there is a subgroup  $L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  such that  $L \leq K$  and where

$$C_{\pi_L(H)}(\pi_L(g)) \subseteq \pi_L(C_H(g)K) \text{ in } G/L.$$

Since  $L \leq K$ , we see that  $\hat{\pi}_K$  factors through  $\hat{\pi}_L$ , namely  $\hat{\pi}_K = \pi_{K,L} \circ \hat{\pi}_L$ . This means that  $\hat{\pi}(h) \notin C_{\pi_L(H)}(g)$ . Therefore,  $h \notin C_{\overline{H}}(g)$ , and consequently,  $C_{\overline{H}}(g) \subseteq \overline{C_H(g)}$  in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Now suppose that  $C_{\overline{H}}(g) = \overline{C_H(g)}$  in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ . Choose any  $K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  denote  $\mathcal{K} = \{L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \mid L \leq K\}$ . We proceed by contradiction, and suppose that for each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , there is an  $x_L \in H$  such that  $\varphi_L(x_L) \in C_{\varphi_L(H)}(\varphi_L(\varphi_L(g))) \setminus \varphi_L(C_H(g)K)$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a directed set where if  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $L_1 \preceq L_2$  if and only if  $L_2 \leq L_1$ . Hence,  $(x_L)_{L \in \mathcal{L}}$  is a net in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , and since  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is compact, this net has a cluster point  $h \in \overline{H} \leq \hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Consider any  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ , and set  $L = N \cap K \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ . According to the definition of the topology of  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , there is a subgroup  $M \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $M \leq L$  and  $\varphi_L(x_M) = \tilde{\varphi}_L(h)$ . By construction, we have that  $\varphi_L(x_M) \in C_{\varphi_L(H)}(\varphi_L(g))$ .

Therefore,  $\tilde{\varphi}_L(h) \in C_{\varphi_L(H)}(\varphi_L(g))$  which implies that  $\tilde{\varphi}_N(h) \in C_{\varphi_N(H)}(\varphi_N(g))$  because  $L \leq N$ . Given that the latter holds for every  $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ ; hence,  $h \in C_{\overline{H}}(g)$ .

On the other hand, given that  $h$  is a cluster point of the net  $(x_L)_{L \in \mathcal{L}}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists  $M \in \mathcal{L}$  where  $\varphi_K(x_M) = \tilde{\varphi}_H(h)$ . Since  $M \leq K$ , we have  $x_M \notin C_H(g)KM = C_H(g)\overline{K} = \varphi_K^{-1}(\varphi_K(C_H(g)))$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\varphi}_K(h) = \varphi_K(x_M) \notin \varphi_K(C_H(g))$ . Hence,  $h \notin C_H(g)$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $(H, g)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}\text{-}CC_G$ .  $\square$

Therefore, we have the following corollary which generalizes [22, Corollary 12.2] to the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  setting.

**Corollary A.2.** *A residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}\text{-}CC$  if and only if  $\overline{C_G(g)} = C_{\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}}(g)$ .*

We note that  $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugacy separability of a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group  $G$  is equivalent to the condition

$$g^{\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}} \cap G = g^G \text{ in } \hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

In other words, the above condition says that two elements  $g$  and  $h$  of  $G$  are conjugate in  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  if and only if they are conjugate in  $G$ .

We finish by reformulating the  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditary conjugacy separability of  $G$  in purely pro- $\mathcal{C}$  terms.

**Corollary A.3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a residually- $\mathcal{C}$  group. Then  $G$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -hereditarily conjugacy separable if and only if for every  $g \in G$  both of the following hold in the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  completion  $\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $G$ :*

- $h^{\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}} \cap G = g^G$ ;
- $C_G(g) = C_{\hat{G}^{\mathcal{C}}}(g)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Federico Berlai and Michal Ferov. Separating cyclic subgroups in graph products of groups. *J. Algebra*, 531:19–56, 2019.
- [2] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Ashot Minasyan. On conjugacy separability of some coxeter groups and parabolic-preserving automorphisms. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 57(2):499–523, 2013.
- [3] SC Chagas, PA Zalesskii, and O Kharlampovich. Limit groups are conjugacy separable. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 17(4):851–857, 2007.
- [4] Sheila C. Chagas and Pavel A. Zalesskii. Finite index subgroups of conjugacy separable groups. *Forum Math.*, 21(2):347–353, 2009.
- [5] Pierre de la Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. University of Chicago Press, 2000.
- [6] Joan L Dyer. Separating conjugates in free-by-finite groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(2):215–221, 1979.
- [7] M. Ferov. *Separability properties of graph products of groups*. PhD thesis, University of Southampton, 2015.
- [8] M. Ferov. On conjugacy separability of graph products of groups. *J. Algebra*, 447:135–182, 2016.
- [9] Michal Ferov and Mark Pengitore. Quantifying conjugacy separability in wreath products of groups. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 73(4):1555–1593, 11 2022.
- [10] Edward Formanek. Conjugate separability in polycyclic groups. *J. Algebra*, 42(1):1–10, 1976.
- [11] Dominik Francoeur and Paul-Henry Leemann. Subgroup induction property for branch groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13310*, 2020.
- [12] Alejandra Garrido. Abstract commensurability and the Gupta-Sidki group. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 10(2):523–543, 2016.
- [13] Rostislav Grigorchuk. Solved and unsolved problems around one group. *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, pages 117–218, 2005.

- [14] Rostislav Grigorchuk and Igor Pak. Groups of intermediate growth: an introduction. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 54(3-4):251–272, 2008.
- [15] M. Hall, Jr. Subgroups of finite index in free groups. *Canadian J. Math.*, 1:187–190, 1949.
- [16] Emily Hamilton, Henry Wilton, and Pavel A Zalesskii. Separability of double cosets and conjugacy classes in 3-manifold groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 87(1):269–288, 2013.
- [17] Igor Lysenok, Alexei Myasnikov, and Alexander Ushakov. The conjugacy problem in the Grigorchuk group is polynomial time decidable. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(4):813–833, 2010.
- [18] A. I. Mal'cev. On homomorphisms onto finite groups. *American Mathematical Society Translations, Series, 2*(119):67–79, 1983.
- [19] Armando Martino. A proof that all seifert 3-manifold groups and all virtual surface groups are conjugacy separable. *Journal of Algebra*, 313(2):773–781, 2007.
- [20] Armando Martino and Ashot Minasyan. Conjugacy in normal subgroups of hyperbolic groups. *Forum Mathematicum*, 24(5):889–909, 2012.
- [21] J. D. P. Meldrum. Centralisers in wreath products. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 22(2):161–168, 1979.
- [22] A. Minasyan. Hereditary conjugacy separability of right-angled Artin groups and its applications. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 6(2):335–388, 2012.
- [23] Ashot Minasyan and Pavel Zalesskii. One-relator groups with torsion are conjugacy separable. *Journal of Algebra*, 382:39–45, 2013.
- [24] A. Mostowski. On the decidability of some problems in special classes of groups. *Fund. Math.*, 59:123–135, 1966.
- [25] Marialaura Noce and Anitha Thillaisundaram. Ramification structures for quotients of the Grigorchuk groups. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 22(2):Paper No. 2350047, 16, 2023.
- [26] Vladimir N Remeslennikov. Conjugacy in polycyclic groups. *Algebra and Logic*, 8(6):404–411, 1969.
- [27] Vladimir Nikanorovich Remeslennikov. Finite approximability of groups with respect to conjugacy. *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, 12(5):1085–1099, 1971.
- [28] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii. *Profinite groups*, volume 40 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2010.
- [29] Luis Ribes et al. *Profinite graphs and groups*, volume 66. Springer, 2017.
- [30] Luis Ribes and Pavel A Zalesskii. Normalizers in groups and in their profinite completions. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 30(1):165–190, 2014.
- [31] Peter F Stebe. A residual property of certain groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(1):37–42, 1970.
- [32] Peter F Stebe. Conjugacy separability of groups of integer matrices. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 32(1):1–7, 1972.
- [33] Emmanuel Toinet. Conjugacy  $p$ -separability of right-angled artin groups and applications. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 7(3):751–790, 2013.
- [34] John S Wilson and Pavel A Zalesskii. Conjugacy separability of certain torsion groups. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 68:441–449, 1997.