

A note on the fluctuations of the resolvent traces of a tensor model of sample covariance matrices

Alicja Dembczak-Kołodziejczyk

Abstract

In this note, we consider a sample covariance matrix of the form

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_\alpha \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)} (\mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)})^T,$$

where $(\mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)})_\alpha$ are independent vectors uniformly distributed on the unit sphere S^{n-1} and $\tau_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We show that as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, $m/n^2 \rightarrow c > 0$, the centralized traces of the resolvents, $\text{Tr}(M_n - zI_n)^{-1} - \mathbf{E}\text{Tr}(M_n - zI_n)^{-1}$, $\Im z \geq \eta_0 > 0$, converge in distribution to a two-dimensional Gaussian random variable with zero mean and a certain covariance matrix. This work is a continuation of [2, 4].

Key words: sample covariance matrices, CLT, linear eigenvalue statistics

Mathematical Subject Classification 2020: 60B12, 60B20, 60F05

1 Introduction: Model and Main Results

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m = m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, consider an $n^2 \times n^2$ sample covariance matrix of the form

$$M_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \tau_\alpha Y_\alpha Y_\alpha^T, \quad (1.1)$$

where for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\tau_\alpha : \alpha = 1, \dots, m\}$ are nonnegative numbers,

$$Y_\alpha = \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)} = (\mathbf{y}_{\alpha 1}^{(1)} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha 1}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{\alpha n}^{(1)} \mathbf{y}_{\alpha n}^{(2)}) \in S^{n^2-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

and $(\mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)})_{\alpha=1}^m$ are independent vectors uniformly distributed on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Denote $(\lambda_j^{(n)})_{j=1}^{n^2}$ the eigenvalues of M_n counting their multiplicity, and introduce their normalized counting measure N_n by setting for any $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$N_n(\Delta) = |\{\lambda_j \in \Delta, j = 1, \dots, n\}|/n^2.$$

Similarly, we define the normalized counting measure $\sigma_n = \sigma_{m(n)}$ of $(\tau_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^m$, $\sigma_m(\Delta) = |\{\tau_\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha = 1, \dots, m\}|/m$, and assume that the sequence $(\sigma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to a probability measure σ . It is known (see [4, 5]) that as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, $m/n^2 \rightarrow c > 0$, the measure N_n converges weakly with probability 1 to the probability measure N which Stieltjes transform

$$f(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{N(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \quad \Im z \neq 0,$$

is uniquely determined by the functional equation

$$zf(z) = -1 + c - \int \frac{c \, d\sigma(\tau)}{1 + \tau f(z)}, \quad (1.3)$$

considered in the class of analytic functions in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Im f(z)\Im z \geq 0$, $\Im z \neq 0$. In particular, introducing the linear eigenvalue statistic $\text{Tr} \varphi(M_n)$ corresponding to a continuous bounded test function φ , we get with probability 1

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-2} \text{Tr} \varphi(M_n) = \int \varphi(\lambda) N(d\lambda).$$

Model (1.1) – (1.2) is a particular case of the model considered in [4] (we denote it here by $M_n^{(1)}$), where it was assumed that $(\mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)})_{\alpha=1}^m$ were iid copies of a vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ with an unconditional distribution satisfying the following moment conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}y_i &= 0, \quad \mathbf{E}y_i y_j = n^{-1} \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j \leq n, \\ a_{2,2} &:= \mathbf{E}y_i^2 y_j^2 = n^{-2} + an^{-3} + O(n^{-4}), \quad \forall i \neq j, \\ \mathbf{E}y_j^4 - 3a_{2,2} &= bn^{-2} + O(n^{-3}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. In [4], a central limit theorem (CLT) for the linear eigenvalue statistics of $M_n^{(1)}$ was proven. It was shown that for any test function

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_s = \left\{ \varphi : \int |\hat{\varphi}(t)|^2 (1+t)^{2s} dt < \infty \right\}, \quad s > 5/2,$$

the variance of $\text{Tr} \varphi(M_n^{(1)})$ grows to infinity not faster than n , and $(\text{Tr} \varphi(M_n^{(1)}) - \mathbf{E} \text{Tr} \varphi(M_n^{(1)})) / \sqrt{n}$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and variance

$$V^{(1)}[\varphi] = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{2(a+b+2)c}{\pi^2} \int \tau^2 \left(\Im \int \frac{f'(\lambda + i\eta)}{(1 + \tau f(\lambda + i\eta))^2} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \right)^2 d\sigma(\tau)$$

(see Theorem 1.9 of [4] for the details.) Note that $a + b + 2 = 0$ for $\mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}_\alpha^{(2)} \sim U(S^{n-1})$, so that to get a nontrivial limit one needs to renormalise the linear statistic. This was the starting point in [2], where the authors dealing with matrices of the form (1.1) – (1.2) with $\tau_1 = \dots = \tau_m = 1$,

considered traces of the resolvent $\gamma_n(z) = \text{Tr}(M_n - zI_n)^{-1}$, and shown that in the case of vectors uniformly distributed on the unit sphere the variance of γ_n is not of order n but of order 1. Also there was found the limit of the covariance of the resolvent traces. Here we continue this research and prove a CLT for the resolvent traces γ_n . Before stating the main result, we impose additional conditions on τ_α .

Condition A. (i) We suppose that $\{\tau_\alpha\}_\alpha$ are uniformly bounded in α, n :

$$\exists T > 0 \quad \sup_{\alpha, n} \tau_\alpha \leq T,$$

(ii) the counting measure σ_n of $\{\tau_\alpha\}_\alpha$ converges weakly to a probability measure σ , and there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every bounded continuous function φ

$$\left| \int \varphi(\tau) d\sigma_n - \int \varphi(\tau) d\sigma \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \sup_{\tau} |\varphi(\tau)|.$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let M_n be defined in (1.1) – (1.2), where $\{\tau_\alpha\}_\alpha$ satisfy Condition A. Let $\gamma_n(z) = \text{Tr}(M_n - zI_n)^{-1}$, $z = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\eta_0} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \eta > \eta_0\}$ the centered trace of the resolvent, $\gamma_n - \mathbf{E}\gamma_n$, converges in distribution as $m/n^2 \rightarrow c > 0$, $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, to a two-dimensional Gaussian random variable with zero mean and a covariance matrix of the form:*

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}(2C(z, \bar{z}) + C(z, z) + C(\bar{z}, \bar{z})) & \frac{1}{4i}(C(z, z) - C(\bar{z}, \bar{z})) \\ \frac{1}{4i}(C(z, z) - C(\bar{z}, \bar{z})) & \frac{1}{4}(2C(z, \bar{z}) - C(z, z) - C(\bar{z}, \bar{z})) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$C(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} \left(2 \log \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta z} + 2f(z_1)f(z_2) \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta f} + 3 \int \frac{c^2 \tau^4 f^2(z_1) f^2(z_2) d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z_1))^2 (1 + \tau f(z_2))^2} \right), \quad (1.5)$$

$\Delta f = f(z_1) - f(z_2)$, $\Delta z = z_1 - z_2$, and f is defined in (1.3).

Remark 1.1. (i) In (1.5), $C(z_1, z_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Cov}\{\gamma_n(z_1), \gamma_n(z_2)\}$.

(ii) Condition A(i) is a technical one, as (1.5) points out, it should be enough for σ to have two finite moments.

This result is an analog of Bai and Silverstein's master lemma [1, Lemma 1.1], it can be used to prove the CLT for an arbitrary smooth enough test function. In Section 2 we introduce the main notations and present some auxiliary results, then in Section 3 we give a proof of the theorem. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 heavily relies on [2, 4] and follows the scheme proposed in [6, 7].

2 Notations and preliminary results

Let $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(2)}$ be i.i.d. copies of $\mathbf{y} \sim U(S^{n-1})$, and let $Y = \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = (y_i^{(1)} y_j^{(2)})_{i,j=1}^n$. In what follows, without loss of generality, we will assume that $m = cn^2$. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}Y_{ij} &= 0, & \mathbf{E}Y_{ij}Y_{pq} &= n^{-2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{pq}, \\ \mathbf{E}Y_{ij}^2Y_{pq}^2 &= a_{2,2}^2 = \frac{1}{n^2(n+2)^2} = \frac{1}{n^4} - \frac{4}{n^5} + \frac{12}{n^6} + O(n^{-7}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}Y_{jj'}Y_{ss'}Y_{pp'}Y_{qq'} = a_{2,2}^2(\delta_{js}\delta_{pq} + \delta_{jp}\delta_{sq} + \delta_{jq}\delta_{sp})(\delta_{j's'}\delta_{p'q'} + \delta_{j'p'}\delta_{s'q'} + \delta_{j'q'}\delta_{s'p'})$$

(see [2].) Note that if H is an arbitrary matrix and Y is defined in (1.2), then by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}(HY, Y) &= (a_{2,2}^2 - n^{-4})|\mathrm{Tr} H|^2 + 2a_{2,2}^2\mathrm{Tr} |H|^2 + 4a_{2,2}^2 \sum_{j,s,p,q=1}^n H_{j,s,ps}\overline{H}_{jq,pq} \\ &\quad + 2a_{2,2}^2 \sum_{j,s,p,q=1}^n H_{j,s,pq}\overline{H}_{ps,jq}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}|H|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}HH^*$ and without loss of generality we assume that

$$\sum_{j,s,p,q} H_{j,s,ps}\overline{H}_{jq,pq} = \sum_{j,s,p,q} H_{s,j,sp}\overline{H}_{qj,qp}$$

(they are asymptotically equal and also can be treated in the same way.) By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\mathrm{Tr} H|^2 \leq n\mathrm{Tr}|H|^2$, $|\sum H_{j,s,ps}\overline{H}_{jq,pq}| \leq n\mathrm{Tr}|H|^2$, and $|\sum H_{j,s,pq}\overline{H}_{ps,jq}| \leq \mathrm{Tr}|H|^2$, so that

$$\mathbf{Var}(HY, Y) \lesssim n^{-1}\|H\|_{op}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\|H\|_{op}$ denotes the operator norm of H . Here and in what follows we use notation $|A| \lesssim |B|$ if there exists an absolute constant C or a positive quantity, which does not depend on the parameters of the model, such as $n, m, \tau_\alpha, Y_\alpha$, but possibly depends on η_0, T , or the order of the corresponding moment.

Given $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, let $G(z) = (M_n - zI_n)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of M_n , and

$$\gamma_n := \mathrm{Tr} G, \quad g_n := n^{-2}\gamma_n, \quad f_n := \mathbf{E}g_n. \quad (2.4)$$

Our proof is heavily based on the asymptotic analysis of (2.2), where $H = G(z)$ is the resolvent of M_n independent of Y . In particular, we will need to understand the asymptotic behavior of g_n (and γ_n, f_n) and also of the resolvent counterparts of the two last terms on the r.h.s of (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) &:= \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{j,s,p,q} G_{j,s,ps}(z_1)G_{jq,pq}(z_2), & (f_n^{(1)} := \mathbf{E}g_n^{(1)}), & \text{ and} \\ g_n^{(2)}(z_1, z_2) &:= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,s,p,q} G_{j,s,pq}(z_1)G_{ps,jq}(z_2), & (f_n^{(2)} := \mathbf{E}g_n^{(2)}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

(see Lemma 2.1 below.)

Let $M_n^\alpha = M_n - \tau_\alpha Y_\alpha Y_\alpha^T = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \tau_\beta Y_\beta Y_\beta^T$. In what follows, we use the upper index α for the quantities corresponding to M_n^α :

$$G^\alpha(z) := (M_n^\alpha - z)^{-1}, \quad \gamma_n^\alpha := \text{Tr } G^\alpha, \quad g_n^\alpha := n^{-2} \text{Tr } G^\alpha, \quad f_n^\alpha := \mathbf{E} g_n^\alpha.$$

Note that G and G^α are connected by the so-called rank one perturbation formula

$$G - G^\alpha = -\frac{\tau_\alpha G^\alpha Y_\alpha Y_\alpha^T G^\alpha}{1 + \tau_\alpha (G^\alpha Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)}, \quad (2.6)$$

which allows to separate Y_α from the rest of the vectors. Let $\mathbf{E}_\alpha = \mathbf{E}_{Y_\alpha}$ denote the expectation with respect to Y_α . By (2.1),

$$\mathbf{E}_\alpha(G^\alpha Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = g_n^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{E}(G^\alpha Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = f_n^\alpha. \quad (2.7)$$

Also given $\xi = \xi(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$, we put

$$\xi^\circ = \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi, \quad (\xi)_\alpha^\circ = \xi - \mathbf{E}_\alpha \xi,$$

so that $\mathbf{Var} \xi = \mathbf{E}|\xi^\circ|^2$, $\mathbf{Var}_\alpha \xi = \mathbf{E}_\alpha|\xi - \mathbf{E}_\alpha \xi|^2 = \mathbf{E}_\alpha|(\xi)_\alpha^\circ|^2$. Note that since

$$\frac{1}{\xi} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\xi} - \frac{\xi^\circ}{\xi \mathbf{E}\xi} \quad (2.8)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\xi} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\xi} - \frac{\xi^\circ}{(\mathbf{E}\xi)^2} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \xi^{\circ k-1}}{(\mathbf{E}\xi)^k} + \frac{(-1)^k \xi^{\circ k}}{\xi (\mathbf{E}\xi)^k}. \quad (2.9)$$

If $|\xi|$ and $|\mathbf{E}\xi|$ are bounded from below by a non-zero constant, then as it follows from (2.8),

$$\mathbf{Var} \frac{1}{\xi} = \frac{1}{(\mathbf{E}\xi)^2} \mathbf{Var} \frac{\xi^\circ}{\xi} \lesssim \mathbf{Var} \xi,$$

Also we have similar elementary inequalities for the central moments of the products and quotients of two bounded random variables. Namely, if ζ, η are bounded and independent then $\mathbf{Var} \zeta \eta = \mathbf{E}\zeta^2 \mathbf{E}\eta^2 - (\mathbf{E}\zeta)^2 (\mathbf{E}\eta)^2 = \mathbf{E}\zeta^2 \mathbf{Var} \eta + (\mathbf{E}\eta)^2 \mathbf{Var} \zeta \lesssim \mathbf{Var} \zeta + \mathbf{Var} \eta$ and more generally

$$\mathbf{E}|(\zeta \eta)^\circ|^p \lesssim \mathbf{E}|\zeta^\circ \eta^\circ|^p + \mathbf{E}|\zeta^\circ \mathbf{E}\eta|^p + \mathbf{E}|\eta^\circ \mathbf{E}\zeta|^p \lesssim \mathbf{E}|\zeta^\circ|^p + \mathbf{E}|\eta^\circ|^p,$$

which implies

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \left(\frac{\eta}{\zeta} \right)^\circ \right|^p \leq \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{\eta}{\zeta} - \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta}{\mathbf{E}\zeta} \right|^p = \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{\eta^\circ}{\mathbf{E}\zeta} - \frac{\eta}{\zeta} \cdot \frac{\zeta^\circ}{\mathbf{E}\zeta} \right|^p \lesssim \mathbf{E}|\zeta^\circ|^p + \mathbf{E}|\eta^\circ|^p. \quad (2.10)$$

In Lemma 2.1 below, we summarize some properties of γ_n , $f_n^{(1,2)} = \mathbf{E}g_n^{(1,2)}$, and some related quantities that we will need in the following. Before formulating the lemma, we will derive a few more auxiliary inequalities and estimates. Note first that, as it follows from (2.6)

$$\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha = -\frac{\tau_\alpha(G^{\alpha 2}Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)}{1 + \tau_\alpha(G^\alpha Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)} =: -\frac{B_\alpha}{A_\alpha}. \quad (2.11)$$

It was shown in [2] (see also [7]) that, under the assumption $\|Y_\alpha\|_2 = 1$, and Condition A(i), A_α satisfies

$$1 \lesssim |A_\alpha|, |\mathbf{E}_\alpha A_\alpha|, |\mathbf{E}A_\alpha| \lesssim 1, \quad (2.12)$$

where the inequalities hold uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\eta_0}$, and so does B_α . According to the spectral theorem for real symmetric matrices, there exists a non-negative measure m_α such that

$$(G_\alpha Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = \int \frac{m_\alpha(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z} \quad \text{and} \quad (G_\alpha^2 Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = \int \frac{m_\alpha(d\lambda)}{(\lambda - z)^2}.$$

This yields

$$|A_\alpha| \geq |\Im A_\alpha| = |\tau_\alpha| \eta \int \frac{m_\alpha(d\lambda)}{|\lambda - z|^2} \geq \eta |B_\alpha|,$$

implying that $|B_\alpha/A_\alpha| \leq \eta^{-1}$ and

$$|\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha| \leq \eta_0^{-1} \quad (2.13)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\eta_0}$. Also, it follows from (2.3) and $\|G(z)\|_{op} \leq \eta^{-1}$, that

$$\mathbf{Var}_\alpha A_\alpha, \mathbf{Var}_\alpha B_\alpha = O(n^{-1}). \quad (2.14)$$

This allows us to show that

$$\mathbf{Var} \gamma_n(z) = O(n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Indeed, applying (2.10) – (2.12) and (2.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var} \gamma_n &\leq \sum_\alpha \mathbf{E} |\gamma_n - \mathbf{E}_\alpha \gamma_n|^2 = \sum_\alpha \mathbf{E} |\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha - \mathbf{E}_\alpha(\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_\alpha \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{B_\alpha}{A_\alpha} - \frac{\mathbf{E}_\alpha B_\alpha}{\mathbf{E}_\alpha A_\alpha} \right|^2 = \sum_\alpha \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{B_\alpha^\circ}{\mathbf{E}_\alpha A_\alpha} - \frac{B_\alpha}{A_\alpha} \cdot \frac{A_\alpha^\circ}{\mathbf{E}_\alpha A_\alpha} \right|^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_\alpha \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{Var}_\alpha A_\alpha + \mathbf{Var}_\alpha B_\alpha) = O(n) \end{aligned}$$

(see also Proposition 2 in [7] and Lemma 3.2 in [3].) This together with (2.14) – (2.15), the equality $A_\alpha^\circ = (A_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ + \tau_\alpha g_n^{\alpha\circ}$, and Condition A(i) yields

$$\mathbf{Var}A_\alpha \lesssim \mathbf{EVar}_\alpha A_\alpha + \mathbf{Var}g_n^\alpha = O(n^{-1}) \quad (2.16)$$

and $\mathbf{Var}B_\alpha = O(n^{-1})$. More careful and tedious calculations based on the obtained results and analysis of $f_n, f_n^{(1)}, f_n^{(2)}$ of (2.4) – (2.5) allow us to improve these bounds and show that $\mathbf{Var}A_\alpha = O(n^{-2})$, $\mathbf{Var}\gamma_n = O(1)$. To this end we extend Lemma 3.1 in [2] to our model (1.1) – (1.2), and we have:

Lemma 2.1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1,*

- (i) $\mathbf{Var}A_\alpha, \mathbf{Var}B_\alpha = O(n^{-2}), \quad \mathbf{Var}\gamma_n = O(1),$
- (ii) $f_n(z) = f(z) + O(n^{-2}),$
- (iii) $f_n^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1)f(z_2) + \frac{1}{n} \int \frac{c\tau^2 f^2(z_1)f^2(z_2)d\sigma(\tau)}{(1+\tau f(z_1))(1+\tau f(z_2))} + O(n^{-3/2}),$
- (iv) $f_n^{(2)}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1)f(z_2) + \int \frac{c\tau^2 f^2(z_1)f^2(z_2)d\sigma(\tau)}{(1+\tau f(z_1))(1+\tau f(z_2))} + O(n^{-1/2}).$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1 repeats with corresponding changes the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [2]. Note first that

$$\mathbf{Var}A_\alpha = \tau_\alpha^2 K_n(z, \bar{z}),$$

where by (2.7) and (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(z_1, z_2) &:= \mathbf{Cov}\{(G^\alpha(z_1)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha), (G^\alpha(z_2)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(G^\alpha(z_1)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ (G^\alpha(z_2)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) + \mathbf{Cov}\{g_n^\alpha(z_1), g_n^\alpha(z_2)\} \\ &= \mathbf{E}(G^\alpha(z_1)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ (G^\alpha(z_2)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) + O(n^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Applying (2.1) – (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}_\alpha(G^\alpha(z_1)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ (G^\alpha(z_2)Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) &= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{-4n^2 - 4n}{(n+2)^2} g_n^\alpha(z_1)g_n^\alpha(z_2) + \frac{2\mathrm{Tr}G^\alpha(z_1)G^\alpha(z_2)}{n(n+2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2n}{(n+2)^2} (2g_n^{(1)\alpha}(z_1, z_2) + n^{-1}g_n^{(2)\alpha}(z_1, z_2)) \right] = O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

To get $O(n^{-2})$ on the r.h.s, we need to show that the leading terms of the asymptotic expansions of the terms on the r.h.s of (2.18) cancel. This is the main idea of the proof and to implement it we use a bootstrap argument: first, we prove a weaker statement of (ii) – (iii) and show that

$$f_n(z) = f(z) + O(n^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_n^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1)f(z_2) + O(n^{-1}) \quad (2.19)$$

(note that we already have a weaker statement of (i)), and then repeating the argument and using (2.18) and (2.19) we get (i) – (iv). Here we prove only the first part of (2.19), the remaining steps are similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [2].

Applying the resolvent identity, (2.6), and (2.9) with $k = 2$, we get

$$zf_n(z) = -1 + c - \frac{c}{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E} \frac{1}{A_\alpha} = -1 + c - \frac{c}{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}A_\alpha} - \frac{c}{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E} \frac{A_\alpha^2}{A_\alpha(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^2}. \quad (2.20)$$

It follows from (2.12), and (2.16), that $\frac{c}{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}|A_\alpha|^2 = O(n^{-1})$. Also by (2.7) and (2.13) we have

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}A_\alpha} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\alpha f_n^\alpha} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\alpha f_n} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Note that if $\eta = \Im z > T$ then $|1 + \tau_\alpha f| \geq 1 - T/\eta > 0$, and applying Condition A(ii) we get

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + \tau_\alpha f_n} = \int \frac{d\sigma_n(\tau)}{1 + \tau f_n} = \int \frac{d\sigma(\tau)}{1 + \tau f} + \int \frac{\tau(f - f_n)d\sigma_n(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f_n)(1 + \tau f)} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Hence

$$zf_n = -1 + c - \int \frac{c d\sigma(\tau)}{1 + \tau f} + \int \frac{c\tau(f_n - f)d\sigma_n(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f_n)(1 + \tau f)} + O(n^{-1}).$$

This and (1.3) lead to

$$z(f_n - f) = (f_n - f) \int \frac{c\tau d\sigma_n(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f_n)(1 + \tau f)} + O(n^{-1}).$$

Choosing $\eta_0 := 2T(c + 1)$, we get for $\eta \geq \eta_0$

$$\left| z - \int \frac{c\tau d\sigma_n(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z))(1 + \tau f_n(z))} \right| \geq \eta - \frac{cT}{(1 - T/\eta)^2} \geq \frac{\eta}{2} \geq \frac{\eta_0}{2}.$$

This yields $|f_n - f| = O(n^{-1})$, and we get the first part of (2.19). Then repeating the steps of the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [2] and taking into account the dependence on τ_α , one can finish the proof of the Lemma 2.1. \square

It follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) and (2.10) – (2.11), that

$$\mathbf{Var}(\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha) = \mathbf{Var}\left(\frac{B_\alpha}{A_\alpha}\right) = O(n^{-2}). \quad (2.21)$$

Also using (2.18) and Lemma 2.1, one can find the limiting covariance of the bilinear forms $K_n(z_1, z_2)$. Namely given $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$K(z_1, z_2) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 K_n(z_1, z_2) = -2f(z_1)f(z_2) + 2 \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta z} + \int \frac{6c\tau^4 f^2(z_1)f^2(z_2)d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z_1))(1 + \tau f(z_2))} \quad (2.22)$$

(see also [2, Theorem 3.1].) Finally, the next statement allows to estimate the error terms in our calculations. Its proof repeats, with appropriate modifications, the proof of Lemmas 3.2 and 4.1. We have:

Lemma 2.2. *We have uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\eta_0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$:*

- (i) $\mathbf{E}_\alpha |(A_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ|^p = O(n^{-p})$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{E} |\gamma_n^\circ|^p = O(1)$,
- (iii) $\mathbf{E} |A_\alpha^\circ|^p = O(n^{-p})$,
- (iv) $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{E}_\alpha (A_\alpha^\circ)^2) = O(n^{-9/2})$.

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To get Theorem 1.1, it is enough to show that any linear combination of the real and imaginary parts of the trace of the resolvent, $a_1 \Re \gamma_n + a_2 \Im \gamma_n$, converges to a Gaussian random variable. To this end, it suffices to consider the imaginary part ($a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1$), the proof in the general case follows the same scheme. Thus, we need to show that $\Im(\gamma_n - \mathbf{E} \gamma_n)$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and variance given by

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{4}(2C(z, \bar{z}) - C(z, z) - C(\bar{z}, \bar{z})).$$

We use the scheme proposed in [6] and [7]. According to the Levy's continuity theorem, it suffices to establish the convergence of the corresponding characteristic functions. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\eta_0}$, let

$$Z_n(x) = \mathbf{E} e_n(x), \quad e_n = e_n(x) = \exp\{ix \Im \gamma_n^\circ(z)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

We need to show that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n(x) = \exp\{-x^2 V(z)/2\}$. We have

$$\frac{d}{dx} Z_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) - \mathcal{Y}_n(\bar{z}, x)), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) = \mathbf{E} \gamma_n(z) e_n^\circ(x)$. From the resolvent identity and (2.6) (see also (2.20)), we get

$$z \mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E} \frac{e_n^{\alpha\circ}}{A_\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E} \frac{e_n^\circ - e_n^{\alpha\circ}}{A_\alpha} =: T_1^{(n)} + T_2^{(n)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $e_n^\alpha = \exp\{ix \Im \gamma_n^{\alpha\circ}\}$. Applying (2.9) with $k = 3$, we get

$$T_1^{(n)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{\mathbf{E} e_n^{\alpha\circ} A_\alpha^\circ}{(\mathbf{E} A_\alpha)^2} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{\mathbf{E} e_n^{\alpha\circ} A_\alpha^{\circ 2}}{(\mathbf{E} A_\alpha)^3} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{\mathbf{E} e_n^{\alpha\circ} A_\alpha^{\circ 3} A_\alpha^{-1}}{(\mathbf{E} A_\alpha)^3} =: T_{11}^{(n)} - T_{12}^{(n)} + T_{13}^{(n)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (iii), that $T_{13}^{(n)} = O(n^{-1})$. Since $A_\alpha^\circ = (A_\alpha)_\alpha^\circ + \tau_\alpha g_n^{\alpha^\circ}$ and e_n^α does not depend on Y_α , we have

$$\mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} A_\alpha^{\circ 2} = \mathbf{E}(e_n^{\alpha^\circ} \mathbf{E}_\alpha(A_\alpha)_\alpha^{\circ 2}) + \tau_\alpha^2 \mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} (g_n^{\alpha^\circ})^2.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 (iv) to the first term and Lemma 2.1 (i) to the second term we get

$$|\mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} A_\alpha^{\circ 2}| \lesssim (\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{E}_\alpha(A_\alpha)_\alpha^{\circ 2}))^{1/2} + \mathbf{Var}g_n^\alpha = O(n^{-9/4}),$$

so that $T_{12}^{(n)} = O(n^{-1/4})$. Consider now $T_{11}^{(n)}$. Since e_n^α does not depend on Y_α ,

$$\mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} A_\alpha = \mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} \mathbf{E}_\alpha A_\alpha = \tau_\alpha n^{-2} \mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} \gamma_n^\alpha = \tau_\alpha n^{-2} \mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) + R_n,$$

where

$$R_n = \tau_\alpha n^{-2} \mathbf{E}(e_n^{\alpha^\circ} \gamma_n^\alpha - e_n^\circ \gamma_n) = \tau_\alpha n^{-2} \mathbf{E}((e_n^\alpha - e_n) \gamma_n^\circ + e_n^\alpha (\gamma_n^\alpha - \gamma_n)^\circ).$$

Using the Taylor series expansion, we get

$$e_n - e_n^\alpha = ix e_n^\alpha \Im(\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha)^\circ + O(|\Im(\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha)^\circ|^2). \quad (3.4)$$

This and (2.21) leads to $|R_n| = O(n^{-3})$. Hence

$$\mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} A_\alpha = \tau_\alpha n^{-2} \mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) + O(n^{-3})$$

and

$$T_{11}^{(n)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{\mathbf{E}e_n^{\alpha^\circ} A_\alpha^\circ}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^\circ} = \mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) \int \frac{c\tau d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} + O(n^{-1}).$$

Summarising we get

$$T_1^{(n)} = \mathcal{Y}_n(z, x) \int \frac{c\tau d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} + O(n^{-1/4}). \quad (3.5)$$

Consider $T_2^{(n)}$ of (3.3). It follows from (3.4) and (2.11), that

$$\mathbf{E}A_\alpha^{-1}(z)(e_n - e_n^\alpha)^\circ = ix \mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha (A_\alpha^{-1}(z))^\circ \Im(B_\alpha A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ(z) + O(R_n^{(1)}),$$

where applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.10) and Lemma 2.2 (iii) one can get

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n^{(1)}| &\leq \mathbf{E}|(A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ| |(\gamma_n - \gamma_n^\alpha)^\circ|^2 \leq \left(\mathbf{E}|(A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ|^2 \mathbf{E}|(B_\alpha/A_\alpha)^\circ|^4 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim (\mathbf{Var}A_\alpha)^{1/2} (\mathbf{E}|A_\alpha^\circ|^4 + \mathbf{E}|B_\alpha^\circ|^4)^{1/2} = O(n^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E}A_\alpha^{-1}(z)(e_n - e_n^\alpha)^\circ = \frac{x}{2}\mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha(A_\alpha^{-1}(z))^\circ[(B_\alpha A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ(z) - (B_\alpha A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ(\bar{z})] + O(n^{-3}).$$

Applying twice (2.9) with $k = 2$, and then using Lemma 2.2 (iii), we get for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_{n_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha(A_\alpha^{-1}(z_1))^\circ(B_\alpha A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ(z_2) \\ &= \mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha\left(-\frac{A_\alpha^\circ}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^2} + \frac{A_\alpha^{\circ 2}}{A_\alpha(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^2}\right)(z_1)\left(\frac{B_\alpha^\circ}{\mathbf{E}A_\alpha} - \frac{(B_\alpha A_\alpha^\circ)^\circ}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^2} + \frac{(B_\alpha A_\alpha^{\circ 2})^\circ}{A_\alpha(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha)^2}\right)(z_2) + O(n^{-3}) \\ &= -\frac{\mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha A_\alpha^\circ(z_1)B_\alpha^\circ(z_2)}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_1))^2 \mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_2)} + \frac{\mathbf{E}B_\alpha(z_2)\mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha A_\alpha^\circ(z_1)A_\alpha^\circ(z_2)}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_1))^2 (\mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_2))^2} + O(n^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (iv), that

$$\mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha A_\alpha^\circ(z_1)A_\alpha^\circ(z_2) = \mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha \mathbf{E}A_\alpha^\circ(z_1)A_\alpha^\circ(z_2) + O(n^{-9/4}).$$

Also, by (2.21) and (3.4), we have

$$|\mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha - Z_n| = |\mathbf{E}(e_n^\alpha - e_n)| = O(n^{-1}),$$

where Z_n is defined in (3.1). Hence, taking into account that $B_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}A_\alpha$, we get for $z_1 \neq z_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}e_n^\alpha(A_\alpha^{-1}(z_1))^\circ(B_\alpha A_\alpha^{-1})^\circ(z_2) &= \frac{-Z_n(x)}{(\mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_1))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \frac{\mathbf{E}A_\alpha^\circ(z_1)A_\alpha^\circ(z_2)}{\mathbf{E}A_\alpha(z_2)} + O(n^{-3}) \\ &= \frac{-Z_n(x)}{(1 + \tau_\alpha f(z_1))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \frac{\tau_\alpha^2 K(z_1, z_2)}{1 + \tau_\alpha f(z_2)} + O(n^{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

where $K(z_1, z_2)$ is defined in (2.22). Hence

$$T_2^{(n)} = -\frac{cxZ_n(x)}{2} \int \frac{\tau^2}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \left[\frac{K(z, z_2)}{1 + \tau f(z_2)} - \frac{K(z, \bar{z}_2)}{1 + \tau f(\bar{z}_2)} \right] d\sigma(\tau) + O(n^{-1}).$$

This, (3.3), and (3.5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(z, x) &= \left(\int \frac{c\tau d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} - z \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{xZ_n(x)}{2} \int \frac{c\tau^2}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \left[\frac{K(z, z_2)}{1 + \tau f(z_2)} - \frac{K(z, \bar{z}_2)}{1 + \tau f(\bar{z}_2)} \right] d\sigma(\tau) + O(n^{-1/4}). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.3) and the resulting equalities

$$\left(\int \frac{c\tau d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f)^2} - z \right)^{-1} = f'/f, \quad \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta f} = \frac{1}{f(z_1)f(z_2)} - \int \frac{c\tau^2 d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z_1))(1 + \tau f(z_2))}$$

allow us to show that

$$\left(\int \frac{c\tau d\sigma(\tau)}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} - z \right)^{-1} \int \frac{c\tau^2}{(1 + \tau f(z))^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \frac{K(z, z_2)}{1 + \tau f(z_2)} d\sigma(\tau) = C(z, z_2),$$

where $C(z, z_2)$ is defined in (1.5) (see also [3].) Hence

$$Y_n(z, x) = \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z} \frac{x Z_n(x)}{2} [C(z, z_2) - C(z, \bar{z}_2)] + O(n^{-1/4}).$$

This and (3.2) lead to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} Z_n(x) = -xV(z)Z_n(x) + O(n^{-1/4}),$$

and finally we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n(x) = \exp\{-x^2 V(z)/2\}.$$

The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Anna Lytova for introducing to the problem and for the fruitful discussions. The project was supported by grant nr 2018/31/B/ST1/03937 National Science Centre, Poland.

References

- [1] Bai, Z. D., Silverstein, J. W. (2004). *CLT for linear spectral statistics of large dimensional sample covariance matrices*. Ann. Prob., **32**, 553–605.
- [2] Dembczak-Kołodziejczyk, A., Lytova, A. *On the CLT for linear eigenvalue statistics of a tensor model of sample covariance matrices*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry (2023), Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 1-22.
- [3] Guédon, O., Lytova, A., Pajor, A., and Pastur, L. *The Central Limit Theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of the sum of rank one projections on independent vectors*. Spectral Theory and Differential Equations. V. A. Marchenko 90th Anniversary Collection (editors: E.Khruslov and L.Pastur, AMS Providence, RI, 2014).
- [4] Lytova, A. (2018). *Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics for a tensor product version of sample covariance matrices*, J. Theoret. Probab. **31**(2), 1024–1057.

- [5] Pajor, A. and Pastur, L. (2009). *On the limiting empirical measure of eigenvalues of the sum of rank one matrices with log-concave distribution*, *Studia Math.*, **195**(1), 11–29.
- [6] Pastur, L., Shcherbina, M. (2011). *Eigenvalue Distribution of Large Random Matrices*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., **171**.
- [7] Shcherbina, M. (2011). *Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner and sample covariance random matrices*, *Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry* **72**, 176–192.

Alicja Dembczak-Kołodziejczyk,
University of Opole,
48 Oleska, 45-052,
Opole, Poland.
e-mail: alicja.dembczak@uni.opole.pl