

Dispersive decay for the mass-critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations

Minjie Shan^{a,*}

^a College of Science, Minzu University of China, Beijing 100081, P.R. China.

E-mail: smj@muc.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss pointwise decay estimate for the solution to the mass-critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries (gKdV) equation with initial data $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. It is showed that nonlinear solution enjoys the same decay rate as linear one. Moreover, we also quantify the decay for solutions to the generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation which is a natural multi-dimensional extension of the gKdV equation. We obtain some decay estimates for nonlinear solutions to generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations with small initial data in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Keywords: Mass-critical gKdV equation, generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations, dispersive decay

MSC 2020: primary 35Q53; secondary 37L50

1 Introduction

We consider the initial value problem (IVP) for the mass-critical generalized KdV (gKdV)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u \pm \partial_x(u^5) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is a real-valued function. With the plus sign, this is a focusing gKdV equation; with the minus sign, it is defocusing.

The mass

$$M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2(t, x) dx$$

and the energy

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x u)^2(t, x) dx \mp \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^6(t, x) dx$$

are conserved by the flow of (1.1).

This equation enjoys the following scaling symmetry:

$$u(t, x) \mapsto u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{1/2} u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda x) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0,$$

in the sense that if $u(t, x)$ solves (1.1) then so does $u_\lambda(t, x)$ with initial datum $u_\lambda(0, x) = \lambda^{1/2}u(0, \lambda x)$. It is easy to see that

$$M(u_\lambda(t)) = M(u(t)) \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Hence, we call (1.1) the mass-critical generalized KdV equation.

Kenig, Ponce and Vega [22] proved that (1.1) is global well-posed and scattering in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ under a smallness condition for initial data.

Theorem 1.1 (Kenig-Ponce-Vega [22], 1993). *There exists $0 < \delta \ll 1$ such that for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \delta,$$

there exists a unique strong solution $u(t)$ of the IVP (1.1) satisfying

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(t, x)|^{10} dt \right)^{1/2} dx \right)^{1/5} < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

and the global strong solution $u(t)$ scatters in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to a solution of the linear KdV equation as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, i.e., there exist unique $u_0^\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left\| u(t) - e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0^\pm \right\|_{L_x^2} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, if $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 0$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \delta$, then the solution to (1.1) satisfies

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x u\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

By using concentration compactness method, Dodson [3] showed that the defocusing mass-critical generalized KdV is globally well-posed and scattering for arbitrary initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, the global solution satisfies the following spacetime bounds

$$\|u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C(M(u_0)). \quad (1.8)$$

As there is no local well-posedness in H^s for any $s < 0$, this result is sharp, see [2]. Besides, for the mass-critical focusing generalized KdV equation, the concentration phenomenon of blow up solutions were studied in [23, 24]. We refer to an series of impressive works [34–41] for more information on focusing solitons and on other blow-up solutions.

The scattering results mentioned above indicate that the long-time asymptotic development of solution to the nonlinear equation (1.1) behaves like a solution to the linear KdV equation. The spacetime bounds (1.3) and (1.8) also hold true for the linear KdV equation, see (2.9) with $\theta = \frac{4}{5}$. In fact, the spacetime inequality with respect to $L_x^5 L_t^{10}$ norm can be seen as another version of Strichartz estimate [51] in the sense of exchanging the variables x and t . The motivation is the Kato smoothing effect, see (2.5). By using a mixed spacetime estimate with first the L^2 -norm in time t and then the L^∞ -norm in space x , one can gain more smoothing properties which are crucial for handling the nonlinear term with derivative.

Kenig, Ponce and Vega [21] first observed this principle in the smoothing effect of Strichartz type, they showed the following estimates

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{2}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$$

with $(\theta, \alpha) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1/2]$ and $(q, p) = (\frac{6}{\theta(\alpha+1)}, \frac{2}{1-\theta})$. The Strichartz estimates for KdV which do not involve gain of derivatives

$$\left\| e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$$

were given previously in [9, 33, 44] with $\frac{6}{q} + \frac{2}{p} = 1$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. The integrability in time shows that the solutions of the (non)linear KdV equation disperse. It is the emergence of dispersive effect that weakens the impact of nonlinearity, thus the nonlinear effects become asymptotically negligible. This provides us an intuitive explanation for (1.5). Thereby, we may expect the L_x^∞ or other L_x^p norm of the solution to go to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In particular, one natural question to ask is whether solutions to the nonlinear equation exhibit the same dispersive decay as solutions to the corresponding linear equation.

Strichartz estimates can be derived from the classical dispersive estimates by using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and a standard TT^* argument. For the KdV equation, the dispersive estimates read as

$$\left\| e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L^r} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u_0\|_{L^{r'}} \quad \text{for } t \neq 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Decay estimates are extremely useful in the study of the long-time asymptotic behaviour of nonlinear dispersive equations of various types, such as KdV, nonlinear Schrödinger and nonlinear wave equations.

In recent years, decay estimates pointwise in time for nonlinear dispersive equations have been widely studied. Note that (1.9) is not a-priori obvious for nonlinear solution provided that (1.5) holds true. Because, it is not known whether u_0 is in $L^{r'}$, and certainly the decay rate is also not known. Actually, there is a close connection between the decay estimate for nonlinear solutions and the asymptotic convergence rate in (1.5). Lin and Strauss [29] established the decay of the L^∞ -norm of solutions to the 3D nonlinear

Schrödinger equation (NLS) by using Morawetz estimate. Grillakis and Machedon [11] showed the decay estimate for the cubic Hartree equation. Decay estimates can also be derived by using the vector field methods and commutator type estimates, see [14, 26, 27, 50] for more details. Initially, these results were obtained under strong regularity and decay hypotheses; see, for example, [26, 27, 29, 42, 49] as well as the references therein. Fan and Zhao [6], Guo, Huang and Song [13] respectively proved L^∞ dispersive decay for the energy-critical NLS with initial data in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Fan, Staffilani and Zhao [5] discussed quantitative decay estimates for the cubic NLS with initial data in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and with random initial data. In [7], Fan and Zhao showed the existence of a special solution to defocusing cubic NLS, which lives in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $s > 0$, but scatters to a linear solution in a very slow way. Their construction of initial data was inspired by concentration compactness method. The regularity had been significantly reduced by Fan, Killip, Visan and Zhao [4] very recently. They proved dispersive decay for solutions to the mass-critical NLS with initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $d = 1, 2, 3$. Their work is optimal in the sense that no auxiliary assumptions are made besides finiteness of the critical norm, which is essential for the existence of solutions. Pointwise decay estimate for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation was obtained by Looi [32].

In this paper, we discuss the decay behavior of solutions to the mass-critical generalized KdV equation. To be precise, we show analogues of (1.9) for nonlinear solutions.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to the defocusing mass-critical generalized KdV (1.1) with initial data $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap L^1$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll 1$, then there exists a constant $C = C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}})$, such that*

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{1}{3}}\|u_0\|_{L_x^1}. \quad (1.10)$$

In fact, the long time asymptotic behavior of solution to the gKdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u^k \partial_x u = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

has been intensively studied by many authors.

Kenig, Ponce and Vega [22] obtained the small data global well-posedness in critical spaces \dot{H}^{s_k} with $s_k = 1/2 - k/2$ for the IVP (1.11) when $k > 4$.

Theorem 1.3 (Kenig-Ponce-Vega [22], 1993). *Let $k > 4$ and $s_k = 1/2 - k/2$. Then there exists $\delta_k > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\|D_x^{s_k} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \delta_k,$$

there exists a unique strong solution $u(t)$ of the IVP (1.11) satisfying

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R})), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\|D_x^{s_k} u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty, \quad \|D_x^{s_k} u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}} < \infty, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{10} - \frac{2}{5k}} D_t^{\frac{3}{10} - \frac{6}{5k}} u\|_{L_x^{p_k} L_t^{q_k}} < \infty. \quad (1.14)$$

where $\frac{1}{p_k} = \frac{2}{5k} + \frac{1}{10}$, $\frac{1}{q_k} = \frac{3}{10} - \frac{4}{5k}$.

Moreover, the map $u_0 \rightarrow u(t)$ from $\{u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|D_x^{s_k} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \delta_k\}$ into the class defined by (1.12)–(1.14) is Lipschitz.

Strauss [52] proved that solutions to (1.11) with $k > 4$ decay with the same speed as solutions to the corresponding linear equation, i.e.,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(t, x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1/3}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

if $u_0 \in H^1 \cap L^1$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$. Later, this result was extended to $k > (19 - \sqrt{57})/4 \approx 2.86$ in a range of articles [25, 27, 45, 50, 53]. By assuming that the initial data u_0 of (1.11) lie in the weighted Sobolev space $H^{1,1} := \{f \in L^2; \|(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2} f\| < \infty\}$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^{1,1}} \ll 1$, for $k > 2$ Hayashi and Naumkin [15] established the decay estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^r} \leq C(1+t)^{-1/3(1-1/r)}, \quad r \in (4, \infty].$$

Using the perturbation theory and explicit representation of Fourier transform of the nonlinearity, Naumkin and Shishmarev [43] obtained the asymptotic expansion for solutions to (1.11) with the integer power of nonlinearity not less than 3 ($k \geq 3$). It is worth mentioning that Rammaha [46] showed solutions to (1.11) with $k \in [0, 1]$ were not asymptotically free and he proposed a conjecture that it will not be asymptotically free also for the case $k \in [1, 2]$.

Ifrim, Koch and Tataru [18] investigated dispersive decay for solutions to the KdV equation with small localized initial data. They proved that if the initial data u_0 satisfies

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \|xu_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

then the linear dispersive decay persists for the nonlinear problem on time scale $T_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-3}$. In other words, the time scale that marks the earliest possible emergence of either solitons or dispersive shocks is ε^{-3} .

The second result of this paper is the dispersive estimate for solutions to gKdV equations (1.11) with $k > 4$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > 4$. Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to (1.11) with initial data $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap L^1$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll 1$, then there exists a constant $C = C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}})$, such that*

$$\|u(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (1.15)$$

Remark 1. Comparing the previous dispersive decay results for gKdV equations and Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 here, we see that the regularity of initial data is lowered from H^1 to $H^{1/2}$.

Besides, we establish dispersive estimates for solutions to the gZK equation (4.1) which may be seen as a natural multi-dimensional extension of the gKdV equation (1.11). Our three theorems treat spatial dimensions two, three and four respectively.

Theorem 1.5. Let $4 < r \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 3$. Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to the 2D gZK equation (4.1) with small initial data u_0 satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in H^1 \cap L^{r'}, & \|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1, & \text{if } k = 3, \\ u_0 \in H^2 \cap L^{r'}, & \|u_0\|_{H^2} \ll 1, & \text{if } k \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

then there exists a constant C dependent on u_0 , such that

$$\|u(t, x, y)\|_{L_{xy}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (1.16)$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $4 < r \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$, $d = 3$ and $k = 4$. Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to the 3D energy-critical gZK equation (4.1) with small initial data $u_0 \in H^2 \cap L^{r'}$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^2} \ll 1$, then there exists a constant $C = C(\|u_0\|_{H^2})$, such that

$$\|u(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^2})|t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (1.17)$$

Theorem 1.7. Let $d = 4$ and $k = 3$. Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to the 4D energy-subcritical gZK equation (4.1) with small initial data $u_0 \in H_{xy}^2 \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_{xy}^1 \cap L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2$ and $\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} \ll 1$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2})$ such that

$$\|u(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2})|t|^{-1} \left(1 + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L_{xy}^1(\mathbb{R}^4)}\right), \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$\|\partial_x u(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{L_y^6 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} \lesssim |t|^{-1}. \quad (1.19)$$

Notations. We say $A \lesssim B$ if $A \leq C \cdot B$ for an absolute constant $C > 0$. $A \ll B$ means that $A < C \cdot B$ for a very large positive constant C . Our conventions for the Fourier transform are

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

Let $N \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$. Set $\chi_1(\cdot) = 1 - \sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}} \chi(N^{-1} \cdot)$. Define the inhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley projection operator P_N by

$$P_1 f := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\chi_1(|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \right), \quad P_N f := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\chi(N^{-1} |\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \right).$$

For $s > 0$, we define $D^s f$ and $J^s f$ as

$$\widehat{D^s f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{J^s f}(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Organization of the paper. The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we include some basic estimates that will be used frequently later. In Section 3, we show dispersive estimates for solutions to the mass-critical gKdV and gKdV equation with $k \geq 6$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is more complex than that of Theorem 1.4. With the help of Lorents-Strichartz estimates, we show dispersive estimates for solutions to two dimensional gZK equations in Section 4. In Section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall Strichartz estimates, Kato's local smoothing estimates and the fractional Leibniz rules which will be useful to handle the nonlinear term with derivative.

2.1 Strichartz estimates and Kato smoothing estimates for KdV

The standard dispersive estimates and Strichartz estimates for the free KdV operator $e^{-t\partial_x^3}$ read as follows.

Lemma 2.1 (Dispersive estimates, [21]). *We have*

$$\left\| D_x^{\theta\alpha} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L^r} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{3}\theta} \|u_0\|_{L^{r'}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta = \frac{r-2}{r}$, $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. In particular, by taking $\alpha = 1/2$, we deduce

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L^r} \lesssim |t|^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \|u_0\|_{L^{r'}}, \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2 (Strichartz estimates, [21]). *Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, $(q, p) = (\frac{6}{\theta(\alpha+1)}, \frac{2}{1-\theta})$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Then*

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{2}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^{\theta\alpha} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{p'}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Kato smoothing effect can help to absorb derivative arising from the nonlinear term.

Lemma 2.3 (Local smoothing estimates, [22]). *We have*

$$\left\| \partial_x e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 \right\|_{L_t^2} = c \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left\| \partial_x \int_0^t e^{s\partial_x^3} g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\left\| \partial_x^2 \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.4 (Maximal function estimate, [22]). *We have*

$$\|e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $(p, q) = (\frac{4}{\theta}, \frac{2}{1-\theta})$. Then, we have*

$$\|D_x^{1-\frac{5\theta}{4}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^p L_t^q} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\left\| D_x^{2-\frac{5}{p_1}-\frac{5}{p_2}} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} g(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{p_1} L_t^{q_1}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_x^{p_2'} L_t^{q_2'}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\frac{4}{p_1} + \frac{2}{q_1} = \frac{4}{p_2} + \frac{2}{q_2} = 1$, $\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_2'} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_2'} = 1$ and $2 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$.

Taking $\theta = \frac{2}{5}$ in (2.9) yields that

$$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

In particular, if $u(t)$ is the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 given in Theorem 1.1, then

$$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

More generally, if $u(t)$ is the global solution to (1.1) with small initial data $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$, then

$$\|D_x^{1-\frac{5\theta}{4}+s} u\|_{L_x^p L_t^q} < \infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. (2.9) can be derived by interpolating (2.5) and (2.8). This method is from Kenig, Ponce and Vega, see Corollary 3.8 in [22]. (2.10) is dual to (2.9).

If $u(t)$ is the solution to (1.1), then

$$u(t) = e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds$$

which yields by using (2.11), (2.10) with $(p_1, q_1) = (10, \frac{10}{3})$ and $(p_2, q_2) = (\infty, 2)$ and (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} &\lesssim \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} + \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|u^5\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{5}{2}} L_t^{10}}^5 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(2.13) can be obtained using a similar argument. Thus, we finish the proof. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $u(t)$ is the global solution to the mass-critical generalized KdV equation (1.1) with small initial data $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{3}}} \ll 1$, then*

$$\|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} < \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. According to Duhamel formula, we have

$$u(t) = e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds.$$

Hence,

$$\|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} \leq \|e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} := T_1 + T_2.$$

We estimate the first part by using Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \|e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^{12}} + \|D_t^{1/12} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^{12}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|D_t^{1/12} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^{12}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{1/12} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} \widehat{u_0}(\xi) d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\tau} (i\tau)^{1/12} \delta(\tau - \xi^3) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} (i\xi^3)^{1/12} e^{it\xi^3} \widehat{u_0}(\xi) d\xi = e^{i\frac{\pi}{12}} D_x^{1/4} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0, \end{aligned}$$

so by taking use of (2.9) with $\theta = \frac{5}{8}$ one gets

$$T_1 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|D_x^{1/4} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^{12}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_x^{\frac{7}{24}}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Next, we want to handle the second part. Applying the same strategy as Proposition 3.13 in [22] introduced by Kenig, Ponce and Vega, one can get

$$\left\| D_t^{\frac{1}{12}} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} g(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^{12}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_x^{\frac{15}{13}} L_t^{\frac{30}{23}}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then, (2.10) and (2.17) help imply

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\lesssim \|u^4 u_x\|_{L_x^{\frac{120}{97}} L_t^{\frac{60}{53}}} + \|u^4 u_x\|_{L_x^{\frac{15}{13}} L_t^{\frac{30}{23}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}^4 \|u_x\|_{L_x^{120} L_t^{\frac{60}{29}}} + \|u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}^4 \|u_x\|_{L_x^{15} L_t^{\frac{30}{11}}} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{3}}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

This finishes the proof. \square

2.2 Strichartz estimates for ZK

Let us recall the dispersive estimate for 2D gZK equation. We denote $U(t) := e^{-t\partial_x \Delta}$ for simplicity.

Lemma 2.7 (see Lemma 2.3 in [30]). *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Then,*

$$\left\| D_x^\alpha U(t) u_0 \right\|_{L_{xy}^r} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{3}\theta} \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $r = \frac{2}{1-\theta}$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

We will employ refinements of Strichartz estimates in Lorentz spaces [10]. It was Fan, Killip, Visan and Zhao [4] who first used Lorentz spaces to study dispersive decay for solutions to the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

Definition 2.8 (Lorentz spaces). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which the quasinorm*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = p^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\| \left| \lambda \right| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |f(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right\|_{L^q((0,\infty), \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is finite. Here, $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Note that $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincides with the weak $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ space. If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < r \leq \infty$, then $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 2.9 (Hölder inequality in Lorentz spaces). *Let $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $1 \leq q, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. Then,*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Applying Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality in Lorentz spaces yields the following Lorentz-space improvement:

Lemma 2.10 (Lorentz-Strichartz estimates). *Let $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $\frac{3}{q} + \frac{2}{p} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Then*

$$\|U(t)u_0\|_{L_t^{q,2}L_{xy}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_t^{q,2}L_{xy}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{q',2}L_{xy}^{p'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (2.21)$$

2.3 Kato-Ponce commutator estimates

Kato-Ponce commutator estimates [19] play an important role in the well-posedness theory for the KdV equation.

Lemma 2.11 (Kato-Ponce 1988, [19]). *Let $s > 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then*

$$\|J^s(fg) - fJ^s g\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|J^s f\|_{L^p} + \|\partial f\|_{L^\infty} \|J^{s-1} g\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.22)$$

Lemma 2.12 (see Theorem 1 in [22]). *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then*

$$\|D^s(fg) - fD^s g - gD^s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|D^s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.23)$$

Further more,

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|fD^s g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|D^s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.24)$$

We need the following fractional Leibniz rule that includes the end-point situation.

Lemma 2.13 (see Theorem 1.2 in [28]). *Let $s > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Then for any $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ with $s_1 + s_2 = s$, and any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left\| D^s(fg) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s_1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha f D^{s,\alpha} g - \sum_{|\beta| \leq s_2} \frac{1}{\beta!} \partial_x^\beta g D^{s,\beta} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|D^{s_1} f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|D^{s_2} g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (2.25)$$

where the operator $D^{s,\alpha}$ is defined via Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{D^{s,\alpha} g}(\xi) = i^{-|\alpha|} \partial_\xi^\alpha |\xi|^s.$$

In particular, for $0 < s < 1$, by taking $s_1 = 0$ and $p = 1$, one has

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|gD^s f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|D^s g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (2.26)$$

3 Dispersive decay for the mass-critical gKdV

This section is devoted to show Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By time-reversal symmetry, we only need to show the pointwise dispersive estimate (1.10) for $t > 0$. For $T \in (0, \infty]$, denote

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |t|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} \|D_x^{1/2} u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

• Estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty}$.

By Duhamel's principle, the solution to (1.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \\ &= e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Using dispersive decay estimate (2.1) with $\alpha = 0$ and $r = \infty$, we see that the first term on RHS(3.1) can be controlled by:

$$\|e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next, we estimate the last two term on RHS(3.1) respectively. Applying (2.2) with $r = \infty$ and commutator estimate (2.26), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^5(s)\|_{L_x^1} ds \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L_x^1} ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^4\|_{L_x^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

On one hand, it follows from (1.2), (1.3) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^1} ds & \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_{tx}^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{5}{3}} L_t^{10}} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\ & \leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, using (2.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^4\|_{L_x^2} ds \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u^3 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^3\|_{L_x^2} ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

of which the first term on the right-hand side can be controlled by the same way as (3.4).

For the second term on RHS(3.5), applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_x^2} \leq \|f\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D_x f\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|f\|_{L_x^2} + \|D_x f\|_{L_x^2}$$

yields

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^3\|_{L_x^2} ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|u^3\|_{L_x^2} ds + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D_x u^3\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^4}^2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{4}{5}} ds \right)^{\frac{5}{6}} \\
&\quad + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u^2 u_x\|_{L_{tx}^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^4}^2 + |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^2 + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) deduces that

$$\left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)} + C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us consider the final term on RHS(3.1). Using dispersive decay estimate (2.1) and commutator estimate (2.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^5\|_{L_x^1} ds \\
&\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^1} ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^4\|_{L_x^2} ds. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term on RHS(3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^1} ds &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|u\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}) t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^3. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on RHS(3.8), by using commutator estimate one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^1} ds &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty}^3 \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
&\lesssim t^{-1} \|u\|_{X(T)}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^3. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, inserting (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.8) we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^3. \quad (3.11)$$

which together with (3.7) and (3.2) derives

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |t|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L_x^1} + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \left(\|u\|_{X(T)} + \|u\|_{X(T)}^2 + \|u\|_{X(T)}^3 \right). \quad (3.12)$$

• **Estimate for** $\|D_x^{1/2} u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty}$.

Note that

$$D_x^{1/2} u(t) = D_x^{1/2} e^{-t\partial_x^3} u_0 + D_x^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds, \quad (3.13)$$

the linear component is easy to control. To estimate the contribution of the nonlinear term, we decompose the region of integration into $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ and $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$.

By using (2.2) with $r = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 u_x\|_{L_x^1} ds \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|u^2 u_x\|_{L_x^2} ds \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u^2 u_x\|_{L_{tx}^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\ &\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}}) t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^5(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^4 u_x\|_{L_x^1} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{3}{8}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{8}} \|u^3 u_x\|_{L_x^2} ds \\ &\lesssim |t|^{\frac{1}{8}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|u^3 u_x\|_{L_x^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{5}{24}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L_x^{12} L_t^3} \left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{3}{4}} ds \right)^{1/6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{24}{5}} L_t^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L_x^{12} L_t^3} \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{5}{12}}}) t^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|u\|_{X(T)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Validity of the last step in (3.15) is from Lemma 2.6 and (2.13).

Then, it follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L_x^1} + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{5}{12}}}) \|u\|_{X(T)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.16), we have

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L_x^1} + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) \left(\|u\|_{X(T)} + \|u\|_{X(T)}^2 + \|u\|_{X(T)}^3 \right).$$

As $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is small enough, a simple continuity argument then yields the desired result (1.10). We complete the proof of this theorem. \square

In the next place, we show Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We only need to estimate the nonlinear term. Denote

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in (0, T]} |t|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty},$$

and we split the nonlinear part into $\int_0^t = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$.

For the first part we proceed as in (3.3) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x^3 u^{k+1}(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{k+1}(s)\|_{L_x^1} ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^k D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L_x^1} ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^k\|_{L_x^2} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Thus, from (1.12) and (1.13) it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^k D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^1} ds &\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty}^{k-3} ds \\
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-4} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-3} \|u^2 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_{tx}^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-3} \|u\|_{L_x^5 L_t^{10}}^2 \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L_x^{10} L_t^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
&\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^k\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u^k\|_{L_x^2} ds + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|D_x u^k\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-3} \|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^\infty}^3 \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-3} \|u^2 u_x\|_{L_{tx}^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{k-3} \left(\|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^\infty}^3 + \|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \right) \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

via Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Collecting (3.17)–(3.19) yields that

$$\left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^{k+5}(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \tag{3.20}$$

For the second part, using dispersive inequality (2.1) with $\alpha = 0$, $r = \infty$ and commutator estimate (2.26), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x^3} \partial_x u^{k+1}(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty} & \lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u^k u_x\|_{L_x^1} ds \\
& \lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{L_x^2} \|u^{k-1} u_x\|_{L_x^2} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{k-3}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^{k-3} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u^2 u_x\|_{L_{xt}^2} \left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim |t|^{\frac{1}{6} - \frac{k-3}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^{k-3} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}) |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{X(T)}^{k-3}. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, (3.20) and (3.21) immediately yield the desired estimate (1.15). This completes the proof. \square

4 Dispersive decay for gZK in 2D

The IVP of the gZK equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x \Delta u + \partial_x u^{k+1} = 0, \\ u(0, x, \mathbf{y}) = u_0(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad (x, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

is considered, where $d \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{d-1})$ and $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_{y_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{y_{d-1}}^2$ is the Laplacian. When $k = 1$, (4.1) is called the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation introduced by Zakharov and Kuznetsov [54] as a model to describe the propagation of ion-sound waves in magnetic fields. The gZK equation (4.1) is a multi-dimensional extension of the gKdV equation (1.11).

(4.1) possesses the scaling symmetry, namely, if $u(t, x, \mathbf{y})$ solves (4.1) with initial data $u_0(x, \mathbf{y})$, then

$$u_\lambda(t, x, \mathbf{y}) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{k}} u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda x, \lambda \mathbf{y}), \quad \lambda > 0$$

also solves (4.1) initial data $\lambda^{\frac{2}{k}} u_0(\lambda x, \lambda \mathbf{y})$. It follows from

$$\|u_\lambda(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|u(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

that $s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{k}$. Hence, we call \dot{H}^{s_c} the critical Sobolev space of (4.1). This equation has the conserved mass and energy:

$$M(u(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t) dx d\mathbf{y} = M(u_0),$$

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx d\mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{k+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{k+2}(t) dx d\mathbf{y} = E(u_0).$$

There are lots of works on the gZK equation (4.1). In two-dimensional case, for $k \geq 3$ we refer to the papers [8, 12, 31, 47] on well-posedness. Linares and Pastor [31] proved that (4.1) is small data globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $k \geq 3$ by using conservation laws mentioned above. Farah, Linares and Pastor [8] considered the large time behavior of the solution to (4.1) with $k \geq 3$. They obtained the decay and scattering results. Specifically, they showed that if $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{2k+1}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{2k+1}}} + \|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1,$$

then the global solution $u(t)$ to (4.1) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{2k}{3(k+1)}} \|u(t)\|_{L_{xy}^{2(k+1)}} \leq C.$$

Moreover, there exist $u_0^\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t) - U(t)u_0^\pm\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

By using the global well-posedness result of [8] and Lorentz-Strichartz estimates in Lemma 2.10, we get space-time bounds in mixed Lorentz spaces for solutions to the 2D gZK equation (4.1).

Lemma 4.1 (Lorentz spacetime bounds). *Let $4 < r \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $u(t)$ is the global strong solution to (4.1) with small initial data u_0 satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in H^1 \cap L^{r'}, & \|u_0\|_{H^1} \ll 1, & \text{if } k = 3, \\ u_0 \in H^2 \cap L^{r'}, & \|u_0\|_{H^2} \ll 1, & \text{if } k \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

then there exists a constant C dependent on u_0 , such that

$$\|u(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1}), \quad \text{if } k = 3, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\|u(t, x, y)\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{r-4}}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}), \quad \text{if } k \geq 4. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Applying the Duhamel formula

$$u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds$$

together with Lorentz-Strichartz estimates (2.20), (2.21) and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty} &\lesssim \|U(t)u_0\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty} + \left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u^3 \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{1,2} L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \leq \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^1} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^1} \ll 1,$$

then a standard bootstrap argument deduces

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1}).$$

Using Lorentz-Strichartz estimates (2.20) and (2.21) again, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}} &\lesssim \|U(t)u_0\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}} + \left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u^3 \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{1,2} L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired estimate (4.2).

Similarly, if $k \geq 4$ then by Sobolev embedding inequality and Lorentz-Strichartz estimates we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{r-4}}} \lesssim \|J^{\sigma_k} u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{(k-2)r-4}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \|J^{\sigma_k} U(t) u_0\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{(k-2)r-4}}} \\
&\quad + \left\| J^{\sigma_k} \int_0^t U(t-s) \partial_x u^{k+1}(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{(k-2)r-4}}} \\
&\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^{\sigma_k}} + \left\| J^{\sigma_k} u^k u_x \right\|_{L_t^{1,2} L_{xy}^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $0 < \sigma_k = 1 - \frac{2}{k-1} < 1$.

Using Kato-Ponce inequality (2.22) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| J^{\sigma_k} u^k u_x \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} &\lesssim \left\| u^k J^{\sigma_k} u_x \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|\partial u^k\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \|J^{\sigma_k} u\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \left\| J^{\sigma_k} u^k \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty}^k \|J^{\sigma_k} u_x\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|\partial u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty}^{k-1} \|J^{\sigma_k} u\|_{L_{xy}^2} \\
&\quad + \|u^k\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} + \|\partial u^k\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty}^k \|u\|_{H_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty}^{k-1} \|u\|_{H_{xy}^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

which further gives by global well-posedness that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| J^{\sigma_k} u^k u_x \right\|_{L_t^{1,2} L_{xy}^2} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|u\|_{L_{txy}^\infty}^{k-3} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^{k-4} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^{k-2} + \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^{k-2} < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then, (4.3) follows from (4.4) and (4.5) immediately. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

With these estimates in hands, now let us turn to show Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Denote

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in (0, T]} |t|^{\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u(t)\|_{L_{xy}^r}.$$

We write down the Duhamel formula of u and decompose the region of integration into $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ and $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)u^k \partial_x u(s) ds \\
&= U(t)u_0 + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^k \partial_x u(s) ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^k \partial_x u(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we consider the case $k = 3$. By dispersive estimate (2.19), the contribution of linear term is easily seen to be acceptable:

$$\|U(t)u_0\|_{L_{xy}^r} \lesssim t^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}}.$$

To estimate the contribution of nonlinear term, we use dispersive estimate (2.19), Lorentz-Strichartz estimates and Hölder inequality in Lorentz spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^3\partial_x u(s)ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^r} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u^3\partial_x u(s)\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}}^2 ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}}^2 \left\| |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{3r}{2(r-2)}, \infty}} \\
& \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1}) |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}, \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^3\partial_x u(s)ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^r} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u^3\partial_x u(s)\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}}^2 ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{6r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4r}{r-4}}}^2 \left\| |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{3r}{2(r-2)}, \infty}} \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1}) |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

The mixed Lorentz space improvement in (4.2) is important to compensate for the fact that $|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})}$ is not in $L_s^{\frac{3r}{2(r-2)}}$ but lies in the Lorentz space $L_s^{\frac{3r}{2(r-2)}, \infty}$.

Secondly, for the case $k \geq 4$, proceeding directly as above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^k\partial_x u(s)ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^r} \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u^k\partial_x u(s)\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{r-4}}}^{k-1} ds \\
& \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3(k-1)r}{r+4}, 2} L_{xy}^{\frac{2(k-1)r}{r-4}}}^{k-1} \left\| |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \right\|_{L_s^{\frac{3r}{2(r-2)}, \infty}} \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}) |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other part can be controlled by a similar way. Hence, we finish the proof. \square

5 Energy-(sub)critical gZK in high dimensions

We consider three dimensional energy-critical ($s_c = d/2 - 2/k = 1$ with $d = 3, k = 4$) gZK and four dimensional energy-subcritical ($s_c > 1$ with $d = 4, k = 3$) gZK equation in this section.

Dispersive estimate for the linear ZK operator $e^{-t\partial_x \Delta}$ in higher dimensional ($d \geq 3$) is slightly different from that in two dimensional situation.

Lemma 5.1 (Proposition 14 in [48]). *Let $a \geq 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth radial function supported in $B_d(0, 2) \setminus B_d(0, 1/2)$. Then, we find the following estimate to hold*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|\xi|) e^{i(t\xi_1|\xi|^a + x \cdot \xi)} d\xi \right| \leq C|t|^{-1} \quad (5.1)$$

with C only depending on d , ψ and a .

Interpolating dispersive estimate (5.1) (by taking $a = 2$ for ZK) and conservation of mass implies

$$\|U(t)P_1u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \left\| \tilde{P}_1u_0 \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (5.2)$$

for $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $d \geq 3$, where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ and \tilde{P}_N is defined in a similar way as P_N but with the cut-off function equal to one on the support of χ .

A scaling argument gives from (5.2) that

$$\|U(t)P_Nu_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} N^{(d-3)(1-\frac{2}{r})} \left\| \tilde{P}_Nu_0 \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

and then by using Littlewood-Paley theory we get

$$\|U(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \left\| (-\Delta)^{(d-3)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} u_0 \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (5.3)$$

for $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ and $d \geq 3$. In view of (5.3), Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality help yield the following Lorentz-Strichartz estimates

$$\|U(t)u_0\|_{L^{q,2}(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)g(s)ds \right\|_{L^{q,2}(\mathbb{R}; L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}(s+\tilde{s})} g\|_{L^{\tilde{q},2}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\tilde{r}'}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \quad (5.5)$$

where $2 < q, r, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r} < \infty$, $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{r} = \frac{2}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{2}{\tilde{r}} = 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $s = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{3}{q}$, $\tilde{s} = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}}) - \frac{3}{\tilde{q}}$.

5.1 3D energy-critical gZK

We are now ready to show dispersive estimate for solutions to the 3D energy-critical gZK equation. (4.1) is small data globally well-posed in critical Sobolev space $H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{k}$ for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, see for example [12].

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First of all, let us establish global-in-time bound in Lorentz-Strichartz norm for solutions the 3D energy-critical gZK equation (4.1). By Duhamel's principle

$$u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds,$$

using Lorentz-Strichartz estimates (5.4) and (5.5), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ju\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{3r-4}}} &\leq \|JU(t)u_0\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{3r-4}}} + \left\| J \int_0^t U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{3r-4}}} \\ &\lesssim \|Ju_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|Ju^4 \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{3},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4}{3}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1} + \|u^4 J \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{3},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \|[J, u^4] \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{3},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{4}{3}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Observe that

$$\|u^4 J \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_{txy}^\infty}^2 \|J \partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^3 \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6} &\leq \|U(t)u_0\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6} + \left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u^4 \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_{txy}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Choosing $\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2} \ll 1$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2} \leq 1/10,$$

a standard bootstrap argument yields from (5.8) that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{3,2} L_{xy}^6} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}). \quad (5.9)$$

So, by (5.7) and (5.9) we have

$$\|u^4 J \partial_x u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}). \quad (5.10)$$

Using Kato-Ponce commutator estimates, one can control the last term in RHS(5.6). Hence,

$$\|Ju\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{3r-4}}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}). \quad (5.11)$$

Next, we consider dispersive decay. Denote

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in (0,T]} |t|^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \|u(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

and write

$$u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds.$$

By dispersive estimate (5.3), it suffice to consider the nonlinear term. Taking use of dispersive estimate (5.3), Sobolev embedding inequality and (5.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u^4 \partial_x u(s)\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{6r}{r-4}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^3 \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},3} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{r-4}}}^3 \| |s|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|_{L_s^{\frac{r}{r-2},\infty}} \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^1} \|Ju\|_{L_t^{\frac{3r}{2},2} L_{xy}^{\frac{6r}{3r-4}}}^3 \\ & \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}) |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Arguing similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^4 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}) |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \quad (5.13)$$

So, we have

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^{r'}} + C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}) |t|^{-(1-\frac{2}{r})} \|u\|_{X(T)}$$

which implies (1.16) by choosing $\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2} \ll 1$. We finish the proof. \square

5.2 4D energy-subcritical gZK

In this subsection, we consider four dimensional energy-subcritical gZK equation (4.1) with $k = 3$. Herr and Kinoshita [17] showed that (4.1) is global well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ under a smallness condition.

We may utilize anisotropic Strichartz estimates derived from the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Schrödinger equation by Herr and Kinoshita [16] to study dispersive decay of solutions to (4.1) when $d = 4$ and $k = 3$.

For $d \geq 1$, we say (q, r) is Schrödinger d -admissible if

$$2 \leq q, r \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2}, \quad (d, q, r) \neq (2, 2, \infty).$$

Lemma 5.2 (Theorem 1.2 in [20]). *Let $d \geq 1$, and $(q, r), (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ be Schrödinger d -admissible. Then,*

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_x^r} \lesssim |t|^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}\|u_0\|_{L_x^{r'}}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}g(s, \cdot)ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{r}'}}. \quad (5.16)$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}'} = 1$.

The ZK unitary group $e^{-t\partial_x\Delta}$ is closely related to the Schrödinger unitary group $e^{it\Delta}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $d \geq 2$, and $2 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then, we have*

$$\left\| D_x^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}U(t)u_0 \right\|_{L_y^r L_x^2} \lesssim |t|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}\|u_0\|_{L_y^{r'} L_x^2}. \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. Denote $\Delta_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \partial_{y_j}^2$ and define

$$V_{\xi_1}(t)f(\mathbf{y}) := \left(e^{-it\xi_1\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}}f \right) (\mathbf{y})$$

for any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$U(t)u_0 = \mathcal{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1}V_{\xi_1}(t)\widehat{u_0}^{\xi_1}(\xi_1, \mathbf{y}).$$

It follows from Plancherel's identity, Minkowski's inequality and (5.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)u_0\|_{L_y^r L_x^2} &= \left\| V_{\xi_1}(t)\widehat{u_0}^{\xi_1}(\xi_1, \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{L_y^r L_{\xi_1}^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| e^{-it\xi_1\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}}\widehat{u_0}^{\xi_1}(\xi_1, \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{L_y^r} \right\|_{L_{\xi_1}^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| |t\xi_1|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| \widehat{u_0}^{\xi_1}(\xi_1, \mathbf{y}) \right\|_{L_y^{r'}} \right\|_{L_{\xi_1}^2} \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \left\| D_x^{-(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}u_0 \right\|_{L_y^{r'} L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired estimate (5.17). \square

Lemma 5.4 (Theorem 2.1 in [16]). *Let $d \geq 2$ and $(q, r), (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ be Schrödinger $(d-1)$ -admissible. Then,*

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{q}} U(t) u_0 \right\|_{L_t^q L_y^r L_x^2} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_{xy}^2}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{q}} \int U(t-s) g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_y^{\tilde{r}'} L_x^2}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \int_0^t U(t-s) g(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_y^r L_x^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_y^{\tilde{r}'} L_x^2}. \quad (5.20)$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}'} = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Denote

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in (0, T]} |t| \|u(t, x, \mathbf{y})\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)}.$$

Using dispersive estimate (5.3) and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-1} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u^3 \partial_x u(s)\|_{L_{xy}^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u^3 (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u(s)\|_{L_{xy}^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} ds + |t|^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \| [(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, u^3] \partial_x u(s) \|_{L_{xy}^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} ds \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-1} \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6}^3 \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} + |t|^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \| [(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, u^3] \partial_x u(s) \|_{L_{xy}^1} ds \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Applying the analogue of (5.4) and (5.5) deduces

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} & \lesssim \|U(t) u_0\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6} + \left\| \int_0^t U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^3 L_x^6} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} u^3 \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \left\| u^3 (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} + \left\| [(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}}, u^3] \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^{\frac{3}{2}} L_{xy}^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6}^2 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

If $\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2} \ll 1$ such that $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2} < 1/10$, then it follows from (5.22) that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} < C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}). \quad (5.23)$$

One can control the final term of RHS(5.21) by using commutator estimate and a similar argument as above. Hence,

$$\left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2})|t|^{-1}. \quad (5.24)$$

By using Sobolev inequality and anisotropic Strichartz estimate (5.19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \partial_x \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)J^2 u^4 ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u^4 \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} u^3 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} + \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} [D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2, u^3] u \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

We only consider the first term in RHS(5.25), as the second term can be controlled similarly. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} u^3 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-1} \|u\|_{X(T)} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_y^3 L_x^\infty}^2 \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

In view of Lemma 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} & \lesssim \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 U(t)u_0 \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} + \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 \int_0^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \|J^2 u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u^4 \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \|J^2 u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \left\| u^3 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} + \left\| [D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2, u^3] u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_y^{\frac{9}{5}} L_x^\infty}^3 \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}^3 \left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$\left\| D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u \right\|_{L_t^2 L_y^{\frac{6}{5}} L_x^2} < C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^2}) \quad (5.27)$$

provided that $\|u_0\|_{H_x^2} \ll 1$.

Collecting (5.25), (5.26) and (5.27) yields

$$\left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s)u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2})|t|^{-1} \|u\|_{X(T)}. \quad (5.28)$$

Then, from (5.3), (5.24) and (5.28), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{X(T)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2}) \left(\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L_{xy}^1} + 1 + \|u\|_{X(T)} \right).$$

which implies (1.18) by taking $\|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2} \ll 1$.

Next, let us turn to prove (1.19). Utilizing anisotropic dispersive estimate (5.17) with $r = 6$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_x \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^6 L_x^2} &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |t-s|^{-1} \|u^3 \partial_x u(s)\|_{L_t^{\frac{6}{3}} L_x^2} ds \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|u\|_{L_t^{\frac{9}{2}} L_x^\infty}^3 \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^2} ds \\ &\lesssim |t|^{-1} \|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{\frac{9}{2}} L_x^\infty}^3 \|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Arguing similarly as (5.27), we have

$$\|\partial_x u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6 L_x^2} < C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^2}). \quad (5.30)$$

To estimate the contribution of the first term on RHS(5.29), we employ Sobolev inequality and Strichartz estimates (see (5.4) and (5.5))

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_t^6 L_x^{\frac{9}{2}} L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u\|_{L_t^6 L_{xy}^3} \lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} U(t) u_0\|_{L_t^6 L_{xy}^3} + \left\| J^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^t U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^6 L_{xy}^3} \\ &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u_0\|_{H_{xy}^{\frac{1}{6}}} + \left\| J^{\frac{5}{6}} (u^3 \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_t^1 L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1} + \|u^3 J^{\frac{5}{6}} \partial_x u\|_{L_t^1 L_{xy}^2} + \left\| [J^{\frac{5}{6}}, u^3] \partial_x u \right\|_{L_t^1 L_{xy}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Note that

$$\|u^3 J^{\frac{5}{6}} \partial_x u\|_{L_t^1 L_{xy}^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|J^{\frac{5}{6}} \partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2}. \quad (5.32)$$

Proceeding directly as above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} U(t) u_0\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} + \left\| J^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^t U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} \\ &\lesssim \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u_0\|_{H_{xy}^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|J(u^3 \partial_x u)\|_{L_t^1 L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1} + \|u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^\infty}^3 \|J \partial_x u\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^1} + \|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6}^3 \|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_{xy}^2} \end{aligned}$$

which immediately deduces that

$$\|J^{\frac{2}{3}} u\|_{L_t^3 L_{xy}^6} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^2} \quad (5.33)$$

as long as $\|u_0\|_{H_x^2} \ll 1$.

Collecting (5.29)-(5.33) gives

$$\left\| \partial_x \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_y^6 L_x^2} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^2}) |t|^{-1}. \quad (5.34)$$

To address the remaining term, we combine Sobolev inequality, Strichartz estimates, estimate (1.18) and (5.27)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_x \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s) u^3 \partial_x u(s) ds \right\|_{L_y^6 L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \partial_x \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t U(t-s) J(u^3 \partial_x u)(s) ds \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J(u^3 \partial_x u) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^6 L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} u^3 D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J \partial_x u \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^6 L_x^2} + \left\| \mathbf{1}_{s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} [D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J, u^3] \partial_x u \right\|_{L_s^2 L_y^6 L_x^2} \\ & \lesssim |t|^{-1} \|u\|_{L_s^\infty L_y^3 L_x^\infty}^2 \|D_x^{\frac{1}{2}} J^2 u\|_{L_t^2 L_y^6 L_x^2} \lesssim |t|^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

Then, it is easy to deduce (1.19) from dispersive estimate (5.17), (5.34) and (5.35). We finish the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgments

M.S is partially supported by the NSFC, Grant No. 12101629.

References

- [1] F. M. Christ and M. I. Weinstein. Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **100** (1991) 87–109
- [2] F. M. Christ, J. Colliander and T. Tao. Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations. *Am. J. Math.* **125**(6) (2003) 1235–1293
- [3] B. Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, mass-critical generalized KdV equation. *Annals of PDE* **3**(5) (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40818-017-0025-9>
- [4] C. Fan, R. Killip, M. Visan and Z. Zhao. Dispersive decay for the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *arXiv*: 2403.09989v1
- [5] C. Fan, G. Staffilani and Z. Zhao. On decaying properties of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* **56**(3) (2024) 3082–3109
- [6] C. Fan and Z. Zhao. Decay estimates for nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **41**(8) (2021) 3973–3984
- [7] C. Fan and Z. Zhao. A note on decay property of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151**(6) (2023) 2527–2542

- [8] L.G. Farah, F. Linares, and A. Pastor. A note on the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation: local, global and scattering results. *J. Differential Equations* **253** (2012) 2558–2571
- [9] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Scattering theory in the energy space for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **64** (1985) 363–401
- [10] L. Grafakos. Classical Fourier Analysis, 3rd Edition. *Graduate Texts in Math.* **249** Springer, NY, 2014
- [11] M. Grillakis and M. Machedon. Pair excitations and the mean field approximation of interacting bosons, I. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **324**(2) (2013) 601–636
- [12] A. Grünrock. On the generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation at critical regularity. *arXiv: 1509.09146*
- [13] Z. Guo, C. Huang and L. Song. Pointwise decay of solutions to the energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Differential Equations* **366** (2023) 71–84
- [14] N. Hayashi and M. Tsutsumi. $L_t^\infty C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -decay of classical solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics* **104**(3-4) (1986) 309–327
- [15] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin. Large time asymptotics of solutions to the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **159**(1) (1998) 110–136
- [16] S. Herr and S. Kinoshita. The Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in high dimensions: small initial data of critical regularity. *Journal of evolution equations* **21**(2) (2021) 2105–2121
- [17] S. Herr and S. Kinoshita. Subcritical well-posedness results for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in dimension three and higher. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier* **73**(3) (2023) 11203–1267
- [18] M. Ifrim, H. Koch, D. Tataru. Dispersive decay of small data solutions for the KdV equation. *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure* **56**(6) (2023) 1709–1746
- [19] T. Kato and G. Ponce. Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**(7) (1988) 891–907
- [20] M. Keel and T. Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *American Journal of Mathematics* **120**(5) (1998) 955–980
- [21] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. On the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989) 585–610
- [22] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **46**(4) (1993) 527–620
- [23] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. On the concentration of blow up solutions for the generalized KdV equation critical in L^2 . *Nonlinear Wave Equations Contemporary Mathematics* **263** 131–156, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2000)
- [24] R. Killip, S. Kwon, S. Shao and M. Visan. On the mass-critical generalized KdV equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* **23**(1) (2012) 191–221
- [25] S. Klainerman. Long time behavior of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **78** (1982) 73–89
- [26] S. Klainerman. Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985) 321–332
- [27] S. Klainerman and G. Ponce. Global small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983) 133–141
- [28] D. Li. On Kato–Ponce and fractional Leibniz. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **35**(1) (2019) 23–100
- [29] J. E. Lin and W. A. Strauss. Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **30**(2) (1978) 245–263

- [30] F. Linares and A. Pastor. Well-posedness for the two-dimensional modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **41** (2009) 1323–1339
- [31] F. Linares and A. Pastor. Local and global well-posedness for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **260** (2011) 1060–1085
- [32] S.-Z. Looi. Pointwise decay for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation. *arXiv*: 2205.13197
- [33] B. Marshall. Mixed norm estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation. In: *Proceedings of a Conference on Harmonic Analysis, Chicago, Wardsworth Math. series*, (1981) 638–652
- [34] Y. Martel and F. Merle, A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **79**(4) (2000) 339–425
- [35] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Instability of solitons for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vrie equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001) 74–123
- [36] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Stability of blow up profile and lower bounds for blow up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation. *Ann. of Math.* **155** (2002) 235–280
- [37] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Blow up in finite time and dynamics of blow up solutions for the L^2 -critical generalized KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002) 617–664
- [38] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Nonexistence of blow-up solution with minimal L^2 -mass for the critical gKdV equation. *Duke Math. J.* **115**(2) (2002) 385–408
- [39] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Blow up for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. I: Dynamics near the soliton. *Acta Mathematica* **212**(1) (2014) 59–140
- [40] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Blow up for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. II: Minimal mass dynamics. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **17**(8) (2015) 1855–1925
- [41] F. Merle, Existence of blow-up solutions in the energy space for the critical generalized KdV equation. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **14**(3) (2001) 555–578
- [42] C. S. Morawetz and W. A. Strauss. Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **25** (1972) 1–31
- [43] P. I. Naumkin and I. A. Shishmarev. Asymptotic behaviour as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of solutions of the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. *Mat. Sb.* **187**(5) (1996) 695–733
- [44] H. Pécher. Nonlinear small data scattering for the wave and Klein-Gordon equations. *Math. Z.* **185** (1985) 261–270
- [45] G. Ponce and L. Vega. Nonlinear small data scattering for the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **90** (1990) 445–457
- [46] M. A. Rammaha. On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **140** (1989) 228–240
- [47] F. Ribaud and S. Vento. A note on the Cauchy problem for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **350** (2012) 199–503
- [48] R. Schippa. On the Cauchy problem for higher dimensional Benjamin-Ono and Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **40** (2020) 5189–5215
- [49] I. E. Segal. Nonlinear wave equations. In “Nonlinear partial differential operators and quantization procedures (Clausthal, 1981)”, 115–141, Lecture Notes in Math., 1037, Springer, Berlin, 1983
- [50] J. Shatah. Global existence of small solutions to nonlinear evolution equations. *J. Differential Equations* **46** (1982) 409–425
- [51] R. S. Strichartz. Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations. *Duke Math. J.* **44**(3) (1977) 705–714

- [52] W. A. Strauss. Dispersion of low-energy waves for two conservative equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **55** (1974) 86–92
- [53] W. A. Strauss. Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy. *J. Funct. Anal.* **41** (1981) 110–133
- [54] V. E. Zakharov and E. A. Kuznetsov. Three-dimensional solitons. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **39** (1974) 285–286