

Non-planar ends are continuously unforgettable

JAVIER ARAMAYONA
 RODRIGO DE POOL
 RACHEL SKIPPER
 JING TAO
 NICHOLAS G. VLAMIS
 XIAOLEI WU

We show that continuous epimorphisms between a class of subgroups of mapping class groups of orientable infinite-genus 2-manifolds with no planar ends are always induced by homeomorphisms. This class of subgroups includes the pure mapping class group, the closure of the compactly supported mapping classes, and the full mapping class group in the case that the underlying manifold has a finite number of ends or is perfectly self-similar. As a corollary, these groups are Hopfian topological groups.

1 Introduction

A fundamental tool in studying mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces is the forgetful homomorphism: Given a non-compact finite-type surface S and a point $p \in S$, there is a surjective homomorphism $\text{PMCG}(S \setminus \{p\}) \rightarrow \text{PMCG}(S)$ obtained by “forgetting the puncture p ”, where $\text{PMCG}(S)$ is the pure mapping class group. For the forgetful homomorphism, the surface in the codomain has one less end than the surface in the domain. The motivating question of this article is to ask if the same phenomenon can occur in the setting of infinite-genus surfaces without planar ends, or in other words,

Can you forget a non-planar end?

This question appears as Problem 4.45 of [1]. In our main theorem, we give a negative answer to this question under a continuity assumption.

Let M be an orientable 2-manifold¹. The mapping class group of M , denoted $\text{MCG}(M)$, is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $M \rightarrow M$; the pure mapping class group, denoted $\text{PMCG}(M)$, is the kernel of the action of $\text{MCG}(M)$ on the end space $\mathcal{E}(M)$ of M . We equip $\text{MCG}(M)$ with the compact-open topology, that is, the quotient topology coming from the compact-open topology on $\text{Homeo}^+(M)$. With this topology, $\text{MCG}(M)$ is a topological group. Throughout the article, subgroups of $\text{MCG}(M)$ are assumed to be equipped with the subspace topology. We let $\Gamma_c(M)$ denote the subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ consisting of compactly supported mapping classes (i.e., the mapping classes with a representative that restricts to the identity outside of a compact set), and we let $\Gamma(M)$ denote the closure of $\Gamma_c(M)$.

¹We use the term 2-manifold to refer to a surface with empty boundary.

Definition 1.1 Let M be an infinite-type 2-manifold, and let H be a subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$.

- H is *large* if it contains $\Gamma(M)$.
- H is *mostly pure* if $H \cap \text{PMCG}(M)$ has countable index in H .

For example, $\text{PMCG}(M)$ and $\Gamma(M)$ are both large and mostly pure. On the other hand, $\text{MCG}(M)$ is large, but need not be mostly pure; in particular, $\text{MCG}(M)$ is mostly pure if and only if M has finitely many ends.

Let M' be another orientable 2-manifold, and let G and G' be subgroups of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{MCG}(M')$, respectively. A homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ is *induced by a homeomorphism* if there exists a homeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M'$ such that, for any homeomorphism $g: M \rightarrow M$ representing an element of G , $\varphi([g]) = [f \circ g \circ f^{-1}]$, where $[g]$ denotes the isotopy class of g .

Theorem 5.1 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds, and suppose M has infinite genus and has no planar ends. If G is a mostly pure large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and G' is a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M')$, then every continuous epimorphism $G \rightarrow G'$ is induced by an homeomorphism.*

Here, it is worth stressing that, to the best of our knowledge, the analog of Theorem 5.1 is not known in the case of finite-type surfaces. In light of the forgetful and capping homomorphisms, a possible version of this problem is:

Question 1.2 *Let M and M' be finite-type surfaces of genus g and g' , respectively, where $g \geq 3$ and $g' \neq g$. Are there any epimorphisms $\text{PMCG}(M) \rightarrow \text{PMCG}(M')$?*

Next, recall that an object A in a category is *Hopfian* if every epimorphism $A \rightarrow A$ is an automorphism. It is a standard fact that every finitely generated residually finite group is Hopfian (in the category of abstract groups). As the mapping class group of a finite-type surface is residually finite (see [14, §6.4]) and finitely generated (see [14, §4.3]), every finitely generated subgroup of the mapping class group of a finite-type orientable 2-manifold is Hopfian. One of the motivating problems for this article is to understand when $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{PMCG}(M)$ are Hopfian groups. As a corollary of Theorem 5.1, we establish a class of big mapping class groups that are Hopfian in the category of topological groups, see Problem 4.5 of [1].

Corollary 1.3 *If M is an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold with no planar ends, then every mostly pure large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ is a Hopfian topological group; in particular, $\text{PMCG}(M)$ is a Hopfian topological group, and if M has finitely many ends, then so is $\text{MCG}(M)$. \square*

Our proof of the main theorem relies crucially on continuity in several locations. In the final section of this article, we give an accounting of how continuity is used and an a priori weaker condition that suffices for our arguments. This weaker condition is motivated by the definition of *infinitely multiplicative homomorphisms* given by Cannon–Conner [11]. We leave as a question whether continuity can be removed all together from the hypotheses in Theorem 5.1.

Question 1.4 *If M is a non-compact orientable 2-manifold with no planar ends, is every mostly pure large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ a Hopfian group?*

When discussing forgetting ends, it is natural to work in the setting of pure mapping class groups, but we expect Theorem 5.1 to hold more generally for large subgroups. In the specific case of generalizing Theorem 5.1 to $\text{MCG}(M)$, we can reduce the problem to asking if there exists an epimorphism $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M)) \rightarrow G'$, where $\mathcal{E}(M)$ denotes the end space of M . We have an approach, based on the work of Afton–Calegari–Chen–Lyman [2], for answering this question in the negative, but it is not clear if it can be applied to all cases. In the next theorem, we provide a class of 2-manifolds for which this approach is successful.

A 2-manifold M is *perfectly self-similar* if $M\#M$ is homeomorphic to M and for every proper compact subset K of M there exists $f \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ such that $f(K) \cap K = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5.5 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds, and suppose M is infinite genus with no planar ends. If M is perfectly self-similar and G' is a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M')$, then every continuous epimorphism from $\text{MCG}(M)$ to G' is induced by a homeomorphism.*

And as a corollary, we have the Hopfian property.

Corollary 1.5 *If M is a perfectly self-similar infinite-genus 2-manifold with no planar ends, then $\text{MCG}(M)$ is a Hopfian topological group. \square*

In the special case that M is as in the corollary and the end space of M is a Cantor set (i.e., M is the blooming Cantor tree surface), the fifth author [20] showed that $\text{MCG}(M)$ has the automatic continuity property, which implies that every endomorphism of M is continuous. Therefore, we can upgrade the corollary from the topological category to the abstract category.

Corollary 1.6 *The mapping class group of the blooming Cantor tree surface is Hopfian. \square*

Motivation and context

Motivated by Margulis’s Superrigidity for lattices in Lie groups, Ivanov [16] proved that automorphisms of finite-type mapping class groups are induced by surface homeomorphisms. Since then, there has been a significant amount of work dedicated to classifying homomorphisms between mapping class groups; we refer the reader to the survey article [7] and the references therein for an overview.

In light of this, a natural question to ask is whether the same types of phenomena occur in the context of big mapping class groups. In this direction, Bavard–Dowdall–Rafi [9] proved the analog of Ivanov’s theorem for big mapping class groups, showing that any isomorphism between finite-index subgroups of big mapping class groups is induced by a homeomorphism (we remark that previous partial results in this direction were shown by Patel and the fifth author

in [17]). On the other hand, Leininger, McLeay and the first author [4] constructed infinite families of (pure) big mapping class groups that are not *co-Hopfian* in the category of topological groups, that is, they admit continuous injective homomorphisms that are not surjective. On the other hand, subject to certain topological restrictions on the surfaces, the same authors proved that if a continuous injective homomorphism sends Dehn twists to Dehn twists, then it is induced by a proper surface embedding [4, Theorem 3].

For more general types of homomorphisms, the situation is even wilder. For instance, if M is an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold with no planar ends, then $\text{PMCG}(M)$ admits non-trivial endomorphisms: If M has at least two ends, then by a result of the first author, Patel, and the fifth author [5], $\text{PMCG}(M)$ surjects onto \mathbb{Z} , and hence, composing this surjection with a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{PMCG}(M)$ gives a nontrivial endomorphism. In the case M has one end, i.e., M is the Loch Ness monster surface, Domat–Dickmann [13] showed that $\text{MCG}(M)$ surjects onto \mathbb{Q} . Aougab, Patel, and the fifth author [3] showed that $\text{MCG}(M)$ contains a copy of every countable group; in particular, it contains a copy of \mathbb{Q} , and hence taking the composition $\text{MCG}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{MCG}(M)$ yields a nontrivial endomorphism.

Finally, it is also not difficult to see that the non-planar hypothesis is necessary. If M is a 2-manifold with infinitely many isolated planar ends, then forgetting a single isolated planar end yields a continuous epimorphism $\text{PMCG}(M) \rightarrow \text{PMCG}(M)$ that is not an isomorphism. For the full mapping class group, consider the 2-manifold M obtained by removing a copy of the ordinal space $\omega^\omega + 1$ from the 2-sphere. The derived set of $\omega^\omega + 1$ is homeomorphic to $\omega^\omega + 1$; therefore, forgetting all the isolated ends of M yields a continuous epimorphism $\text{MCG}(M) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(M)$ that is not an isomorphism. These examples show that $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{PMCG}(M)$ need not be Hopfian when M has planar ends. However, despite these examples failing to be induced by a homeomorphism, they do arise from geometric constructions, i.e., by embedding the manifold into itself, which leads to the natural question:

Question 1.7 *Does every (continuous) epimorphism between (pure) mapping class groups come from a geometric construction?*

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Greg Conner for a helpful discussion about infinitely multiplicative homomorphisms.

This article stems from discussions during the *Thematic Program on Geometric Group Theory and Low-Dimensional Topology* held at ICMAT during May–July 2024, which was part of ICMAT’s *Agol Laboratory*. The authors thank ICMAT for its hospitality and acknowledge the support from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation, and Universities, through the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (CEX2019-000904-S and CEX2023-001347-S).

Aramayona was funded by grant PGC2018-101179-B-I00 from the Spanish Ministry for Science, Innovation and Universities. De Pool was partially supported by the grant CEX2019-000904-S funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and from the grant PGC2018-101179-B-I00. Skipper was partially funded by NSF DMS-2005297 and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No.725773). Tao was partially funded by NSF DMS-1651963. Vlamis was partially supported by NSF DMS-2212922 and PSC-CUNY awards 65331-00 53, 67380-00 54, and 67380-00 55. Wu was partially supported by NSFC No.12326601.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Surfaces and curves

All *surfaces* are assumed to be connected, Hausdorff, and second countable, and we will reserve the term *2-manifold* to refer to a surface without boundary. A surface is *planar* if it can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^2 . A subsurface of a surface S is a closed subset of S that is a surface with respect to the subspace topology. We will usually consider subsurfaces up to isotopy. The *space of ends* of S is

$$\mathcal{E}(S) = \varprojlim \pi_0(S \setminus K),$$

where the inverse limit is taken over the collection of all compact subsets $K \subset S$. Equipping $\pi_0(S \setminus K)$ with the discrete topology, the limit topology on $\mathcal{E}(S)$ is totally disconnected, separable, and metrizable. An element $e \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ is called an *end* of S . An end is *planar* if it is the end of a planar subsurface of S .

A 2-manifold is of *finite type* if it is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact surface (equivalently, its fundamental group is finitely generated); otherwise, it is of *infinite type*. The classification of surfaces (see [18]) says that the homeomorphism type of an orientable 2-manifold is determined by the following data: its genus (possibly infinite) and, up to homeomorphism, its space of ends together with the closed subset of its set of non-planar ends.

A simple closed curve on a surface S is *essential* if it does not bound a disk or a once-punctured disk and it is not homotopic to a boundary component. We will use the term *curve* to refer to the isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve. Given two curves a and b , their *geometric intersection number*, denoted $i(a, b)$, is defined to be $\min_{\alpha, \beta} |\alpha \cap \beta|$, where α and β are representatives of a and b , respectively. Two curves are *disjoint* if $i(a, b) = 0$.

A *multicurve* is a set of pairwise-disjoint curves. Two multicurves A and B are *disjoint* if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $i(a, b) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and for all $b \in B$. A set of curves A is *locally finite* if, given a curve b , all but finitely many curves in A are disjoint from b .

A *pants decomposition* of a surface S is a locally finite multicurve P such that each component of $S \setminus P$ is homeomorphic to the thrice-punctured sphere (i.e., a *pair of pants*). A surface admits

a pants decomposition if and only if its fundamental group is non-abelian and every boundary component (if there are any) is compact.

On several occasions, we will have to consider arcs in addition to curves. We will only discuss arcs in the context of 2-manifolds, as opposed to general surfaces. A *simple proper arc* in a 2-manifold M refers to the image of a proper embedding $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$; it is *essential* if it does not bound an open disk in M . We will use the term *arc* to refer to the isotopy class of an essential simple proper arc. The definition of the geometric intersection number extends to the setting of arcs and curves.

2.2 Mapping class groups and their topology

Given a surface S , the *mapping class group* of S is the group $\text{MCG}(S, \partial S)$ of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S fixing ∂S pointwise, with isotopies also fixing ∂S pointwise. If $\partial S = \emptyset$, we will shorten the notation to $\text{MCG}(S)$. The group $\text{MCG}(S, \partial S)$ naturally inherits the quotient topology coming from the compact-open topology on the homeomorphism group of S .

It will be useful to have a more workable description of this topology; this involves using a corollary to the Alexander method (see [14, §2.3] for finite-type surfaces and see [15] or [19] for infinite-type surfaces).

Proposition 2.1 *Let M be a 2-manifold of genus at least three, and let $f \in \text{MCG}(M)$. If $f(c) = c$ for every curve c in M , then f is the identity.* \square

The genus restriction above is to rule out the finite number of 2-manifolds for which the statement fails. This is exactly when the mapping class group has nontrivial center, which is also why it is stated for 2-manifolds rather than surfaces.

The Alexander method implies that $\text{MCG}(M)$ acts faithfully on the set of curves in M , and therefore, a mapping class is completely determined by the permutation it induces on the set of curves. Given a set of curves A , set

$$U_A = \{f \in \text{MCG}(M) : f(a) = a \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

Then the U_A form a neighborhood basis for identity in the compact-open topology. It follows that the sets of the form $f U_A = \{g \in \text{MCG}(M) : g(a) = f(a) \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ form a basis for the compact-open topology (see [8, §4]). A similar statement can be made if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$ by allowing the set A to include arcs.

2.3 Twists

We will usually denote the (left) Dehn twist about a curve a by t_a . If a is non-separating, then we say t_a is a non-separating Dehn twist. We record two standard facts about Dehn twists. Their proofs in [14] are in the setting of finite-type surfaces but readily extend to general surfaces.

Lemma 2.2 ([14, Fact 3.7]) *Let a be a curve on a surface S . If $f \in \text{MCG}(S, \partial S)$, then $f t_a f^{-1} = t_{f(a)}$. \square*

Lemma 2.3 ([14, Fact 3.9]) *Two curves a and b in an orientable surface S are disjoint if and only if $t_a(b) = b$. \square*

It is an exercise in the compact-open topology to show that if A is a locally finite multicurve containing infinitely many curves, $\{k_a\}_{a \in A}$ is a sequence of integers, and $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an enumeration of the elements of A , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n t_{a_j}^{k_{a_j}}$$

exists and does not depend on the choice of enumeration; we write this limit as $\prod_{a \in A} t_a^{k_a}$. For a locally finite multicurve A , a *multitwist* along A is a mapping class of the form $\prod_{a \in A} t_a^{k_a}$, where $k_a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For simplicity, we will usually write T_A for a multitwist along A . We say a mapping class $h \in \text{MCG}(\Sigma)$ is a *root of a multitwist* if h^m is a multitwist for some integer m .

Lemma 2.4 *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a multicurve on a surface S , and let $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-zero integers. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n t_{a_j}^{k_j}$ exists if and only if A is locally finite.*

Proof We already established the backwards direction above, so we only need to show the forwards direction. We will argue the contrapositive: let A fail to be locally finite. Then there exists a curve c and a subsequence of $\{a_{n_j}\}$ such that $i(c, a_{n_j}) \neq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T_m = \prod_{j=1}^{n_m} t_{a_j}^{k_j}$, and let $F_m = T_m^{-1} T_{m+1}$. Note that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n t_{a_j}^{k_j}$ exists, then the sequence $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, and hence $\{F_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the identity. We will show that the sequence $\{F_m\}$ fails to converge to the identity, which will finish the proof.

Observe that $F_m(c) = t_{a_{n_{m+1}}}^{k_{n_{m+1}}}(c)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a curve b_m satisfying $i(c, b_m) = 0$ and $i(a_{n_{m+1}}, b_m) > 0$. Then, by [14, Proposition 3.4],

$$i(F_m(c), b_m) = i(t_{a_{n_{m+1}}}^{k_{n_{m+1}}}(c), b_m) \geq |k_{n_{m+1}}| i(a_{n_{m+1}}, c) i(a_{n_{m+1}}, b_m) - i(c, b_m),$$

and hence $i(F_m(c), b_m) > 0$, as $i(c, b_m) = 0$ and all the other terms on the right are positive. This implies that $F_m(c) \neq c$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and in particular, there exists a neighborhood of the identity in $\text{MCG}(S)$ that the sequence $\{F_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ never enters, namely $\{f \in \text{MCG}(S) : f(c) = c\}$. Therefore, the sequence $\{F_m\}$ does not converge. \square

From the above lemmas, we can readily deduce the following, using a limiting argument in the case of infinite multitwists:

Lemma 2.5 *Let S be an orientable surface, and let $T_A, T_B \in \text{MCG}(S, \partial S)$ be non-trivial multitwists along the locally finite multicurves A and B , respectively. Then T_A and T_B commute if and only if $i(a, b) = 0$ for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. \square*




Figure 1: The Dehn twists about the curves shown generate $\text{PMCG}(S)$, where S is the surface shown. Here, S is a genus three surface with three boundary components.

3 Generating compactly supported mapping classes

A mapping class is *compactly supported* if it has a representative that restricts to the identity outside a compact set. Given a 2-manifold M , let $\Gamma_c(M)$ be the subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ consisting of compactly supported mapping classes. In the proof of our main theorem, we will need to have a generating set for $\Gamma_c(M)$ that is analogous to the Humphries generating set in the finite-type setting. We separate this goal into its own section as it may be of independent interest.

Let us begin by recalling the Humphries generating set (see [14, §4.4.3 and §4.4.4]). Humphries's theorem tells us that the Dehn twists about the curves shown in Figure 1 generate $\text{MCG}(S, \partial S)$; in particular, for a compact surface with nonempty boundary, the Humphries generating set consists of $2g + b$ non-separating Dehn twists (the figure shows a genus three surface with three boundary components, but it should be clear how to generalize to higher genus and more boundary components). We note that Humphries's theorem requires the surface to be orientable and nonplanar. We will build on Humphries's theorem to construct a generating set for $\Gamma_c(M)$ when M is an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold without planar ends.

Lemma 3.1 *Let M be an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold. If M has no planar ends, then there exists a sequence of compact subsurfaces $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ in M such that*

- (i) Σ_0 is a genus one surface with one boundary component,
- (ii) each component of $\partial \Sigma_n$ is separating,
- (iii) $\Sigma_n \subset \Sigma_{n+1}$,
- (iv) $M = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n$, and
- (v) the closure of $\Sigma_{n+1} \setminus \Sigma_n$ is a genus one surface with either two or three boundary components.

Sketch of proof Let T be a rooted tree whose end space is homeomorphic to the end space of M and such that the valence at the root is one but at all other vertices is either two or three (such a tree can be obtained by appropriately pruning an infinite binary tree). For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, let F_i be a genus one surface with i boundary components. We can then build a surface using T as

a blueprint as follows: if v is the root of T , let F_v be a genus one surface with one boundary component, and for every other vertex v in T , let F_v be a copy of F_i , where i is the valence of v . Take the disjoint union of all the F_v and glue them along their boundaries according to the adjacency relation in T . By the classification of surfaces, the resulting surface is homeomorphic to M . The vertices of a binary tree have a canonical enumeration (in base two), inducing an order on its vertices. As T is obtained from pruning a binary tree, its vertices inherit an enumeration $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, labeled by the order in which they appear. The surfaces of the form $\Sigma_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n F_{v_i}$ yield the desired sequence. \square

A *chain* of curves in a surface is a locally finite set of curves such that any two curves in the set have geometric intersection number at most one; a chain is *filling* if every curve and arc on the surface has nontrivial geometric intersection with at least one curve in the chain. Associated to any chain \mathcal{C} , we can define a graph $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows: the vertices are the curves in the chain, and two vertices are adjacent if their geometric intersection is one. If $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ is a tree, we say the chain is *tree-like*. An *Alexander chain* is a filling tree-like chain consisting of non-separating curves. Observe that the curves in Figure 1 form an Alexander chain.

In what follows, we will require a generating set for the mapping class group of a four-holed sphere. Let R be a compact four-holed sphere. If a and b are curves with $i(a, b) = 2$, then t_a and t_b together with the Dehn twists along any three of the four boundary components of R generate $\text{MCG}(R, \partial R)$ (this is an exercise combining the computation of generators for the mapping class group of a pair of pants [14, §3.6.4] and a four-punctured sphere [14, §4.2.4], the inclusion homomorphism [14, Proposition 3.18], and the lantern relation [14, Proposition 5.1]).

Given a compact subsurface Σ of a 2-manifold M such that each component of $\partial\Sigma$ is separating and essential, the inclusion of Σ into M induces a monomorphism $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(M)$, see [14, Theorem 3.18]. Abusing notation, we will identify $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ with its image.

Theorem 3.2 *Let M be an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold. If M has no planar ends, then there exists an Alexander chain \mathcal{A} in M such that the set of Dehn twists $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ generates $\Gamma_c(M)$. Moreover, there exists an exhaustion of M by compact surfaces $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each component of $\partial\Sigma_n$ is separating and essential, such that $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a \subset \Sigma_n\}$ is an Alexander chain in Σ_n , and such that the set $\{t_a : a \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$ generates $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$.*

Proof Let $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the compact exhaustion of M given by Lemma 3.1. Then $\Gamma_c(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$. We therefore need to give an Alexander chain \mathcal{A} such that the Dehn twists about the curves in \mathcal{A} contained in Σ_n generate $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$. Let us construct \mathcal{A} and then argue that it satisfies this property.

Let $S_0 = \Sigma_0$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_n be the closure of $\Sigma_n \setminus \Sigma_{n-1}$. Let \mathcal{A}_0 consist of any two simple closed curves with geometric intersection number one; hence, the curves in \mathcal{A}_0 generate $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_0, \partial\Sigma_0)$ (see [14, §3.6.4]). For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, let F_i be the genus one compact surface with i boundary components. Let \mathcal{C}_i be the Alexander chain in F_i shown in Figure 2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let




Figure 2: The surfaces F_2 (left) and F_3 (right) and their corresponding Alexander chains, \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{C}_3 .

$i(n) \in \{2, 3\}$ such that S_n is homeomorphic to $F_{i(n)}$, and fix a homeomorphism $f_n: F_{i(n)} \rightarrow S_n$. Let \mathcal{A}' be the chain defined by $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\mathcal{C}_{i(n)})$.

We now add curves to the collection \mathcal{A}' so that it forms an Alexander chain. Each of the curves in Figure 2 are colored either blue or red; each surface in the figure contains a single red curve that intersects each of the remaining curves—the blue curves—exactly once. Note that, in each surface, the blue curves give a pants decomposition. Designate one curve in \mathcal{A}_0 to be blue. Now, let \mathcal{A}'_b denote the subset of \mathcal{A}' containing all the blue curves. Each component of $M \setminus \mathcal{A}'_b$ is a four-holed sphere. Enumerate the components as follows: define Ω_n to be the unique component that is contained in Σ_n but not in Σ_{n-1} . Choose a non-separating curve a_n in M that is contained in Ω_n and such that $\mathcal{A}' \cup \{a_n\}$ is a chain. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \cup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By construction, \mathcal{A} is an Alexander chain.

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let \mathcal{A}_n be the subset of \mathcal{A} consisting of curves contained in Σ_n . We claim that $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ generates $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$. We proceed by induction. By construction, $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_0}$ is a generating set for $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_0, \partial\Sigma_0)$. Now, assume that $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ generates $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$. Recall that $\Sigma_{n+1} = \Sigma_n \cup S_{n+1}$, where S_{n+1} is a compact genus one surface with either two or three boundary components.

Let H be the subgroup generated by $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}}$. In order to show that $H = \text{MCG}(\Sigma_{n+1}, \partial\Sigma_{n+1})$, it is enough to show that $t_{b_i} \in H$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, where b_i is as shown in Figure 3. As the set $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}} \cup \{t_{b_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ contains a Humphries generating set for $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_{n+1}, \partial\Sigma_{n+1})$. For the rest of the argument, we will use the curves as labelled in Figure 3. The figure is drawn with S_{n+1} having two boundary components, but the same labeling and the following argument directly apply to the case where S_{n+1} has three boundary components.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, consider the four-holed sphere R_i embedded in Σ_{n+1} with boundary components x , y , b_i , and z_i . Observe that there exists an essential simple closed curve w_i in R_i that is contained in Σ_n and satisfies $i(a_{n+1}, w_i) = 2$. Then $\{t_{a_{n+1}}, t_{w_i}, t_x, t_y, t_{z_i}\}$ generates $\text{MCG}(R_i, \partial R_i)$. Therefore, as $t_{b_i} \in \text{MCG}(R_i, \partial R_i)$ and $\{t_{a_{n+1}}, t_{w_i}, t_x, t_y, t_{z_i}\} \subset H$, we have that $t_{b_i} \in H$, as desired. \square

Remark 3.3 Above we describe the Humphries generating set for an orientable non-planar compact surface; however, the Humphries theorem holds more generally for orientable non-




Figure 3: The curves involved in the inductive step in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

planar surfaces of finite type, with $MCG(S, \partial S)$ replaced by $PMCG(S, \partial S)$. As a consequence, Theorem 3.2 can be readily extended to orientable infinite-genus 2-manifolds in which every planar end is isolated.

4 Irreducible epimorphisms

As $\Gamma(M)$ is the smallest mostly pure large subgroup of $MCG(M)$, we will begin by working with this group and later reduce the main theorem to this case.

A homomorphism $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ is *compact* if $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M)) \subset \Gamma_c(M')$; it is *reducible* if there exists an arc or curve a in M' such that $\varphi(g)(a) = a$ for all $g \in \Gamma(M)$, and otherwise it is *irreducible*. The goal of the section is to prove the following:

Theorem 4.1 *Let M and M' be non-compact orientable 2-manifolds with no planar ends. Every continuous compact irreducible homomorphism $\Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ is induced by a homeomorphism.*

The key ingredient in the proof is to establish that such a homomorphism sends Dehn twists to Dehn twists and preserves geometric intersection numbers 0 and 1 between the associated curves. From this, we can build the desired homeomorphism. To accomplish this, we proceed with a sequence of lemmas. As we proceed through the lemmas, we will put as few hypotheses on each lemma as possible in hopes that they will be useful to others.

Lemma 4.2 *If M is an infinite-type orientable 2-manifold, then every nontrivial element of $\Gamma_c(M)$ has infinite order.*

Proof If $g \in \Gamma_c(M)$ is nontrivial, then there exists a finite-type subsurface $\Sigma \subset M$ containing the support of g such that each boundary component of Σ is an essential simple closed curve. In this case, the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ induces a monomorphism $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(M)$ whose image contains g . Therefore, we can view g as an element of $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$, which is torsion free (see [14, Corollary 7.3]). \square

Next, under the additional assumptions of non-triviality and continuity, we rule out the case that the image of a Dehn twist is trivial. As discussed above, pure mapping class groups of finite-type nonplanar surfaces are generated by Dehn twists about non-separating curves, and as all such Dehn twists are conjugate, these pure mapping class groups are normally generated by any such Dehn twist. It readily follows that $\Gamma(M)$ is topologically normally generated by any non-separating Dehn twist.

Lemma 4.3 *Let M be a non-planar orientable 2-manifold, let M' be an infinite-type orientable 2-manifold, and let $t \in \Gamma(M)$ be a non-separating Dehn twist. If $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ is continuous, compact, and nontrivial, then $\varphi(t)$ has infinite order.*

Proof By Lemma 4.2, $\varphi(t)$ is either trivial or infinite order. If $\varphi(t)$ is trivial, then φ is trivial, as t is a topological normal generator of $\Gamma(M)$ and φ is continuous; hence, $\varphi(t)$ has infinite order. \square

Next, we extend a result of Bridson [10] to our setting.

Lemma 4.4 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with the genus of M being at least three, and let $t \in \text{MCG}(M)$ be a Dehn twist. If $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ is a compact homomorphism, then $\varphi(t)$ is the root of a multitwist.*

Proof Let t be a Dehn twist about the curve a in M . We can then find a compact surface Σ embedded in M such that $a \subset \Sigma$, the inclusion of Σ into M induces a monomorphism $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(M)$, and the genus of Σ is at least three. In particular, $t \in \text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. As φ is compact and as $\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ is finitely generated, there exists a compact surface Σ' of M' whose inclusion induces a monomorphism $\text{MCG}(\Sigma', \partial\Sigma') \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ such that $\text{MCG}(\Sigma', \partial\Sigma')$ contains $\varphi(\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma))$. We can then apply a result of Bridson [10, Remark 3.1(3)] to $\varphi|_{\text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)}: \text{MCG}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(\Sigma', \partial\Sigma')$ to see that $\varphi(t)$ is a root of a multitwist. \square

Note that we allow for the identity to be considered a multitwist; however, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 imply that the image of a non-separating Dehn twist under a compact, continuous, nontrivial homomorphism is a root of a multitwist of infinite order. We will now proceed to show that such an image is a nontrivial multitwist, i.e., it is not a root. But first, we introduce the following notation.

Notation. Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with the genus of M being at least three, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact nontrivial homomorphism. If a is a

non-separating simple closed curve in M , Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 imply there exists a multicurve, which we denote $\varphi_*(a)$, such that $\varphi(t_a)$ is a root of a multitwist along $\varphi_*(a)$.

A multicurve is *non-separating* if the union of all its elements is non-separating; it is *infinite* if it is an infinite set. Note that every subset of a non-separating multicurve is itself a non-separating multicurve; in particular, each curve in a non-separating multicurve is non-separating. Let us record a readily verifiable topological fact.

Lemma 4.5 *Let M be an infinite-genus orientable 2-manifold. If $\{a, b\}$ is a non-separating multicurve, then there exists a locally finite non-separating infinite multicurve containing a and b .* \square

We use this topological observation to show that the images of two Dehn twists about curves that together form a non-separating multicurve are multitwists along disjoint multicurves. Recall that two multicurves A and B are disjoint if they have no curves in common and $i(a, b) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Lemma 4.6 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds, with M of infinite genus, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact nontrivial homomorphism. If $\{a, b\}$ is a non-separating multicurve in M , then $\varphi_*(a)$ and $\varphi_*(b)$ are disjoint.*

Proof First observe that t_a and t_b commute, so $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ also commute, as do their powers. Since $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ are roots of multitwists along $\varphi_*(a)$ and $\varphi_*(b)$, it follows then, by Lemma 2.5, that $i(a', b') = 0$ for all $a' \in \varphi_*(a)$ and $b' \in \varphi_*(b)$. So it is left to show that $\varphi_*(a) \cap \varphi_*(b) = \emptyset$.

By Lemma 4.5, there exists a locally finite non-separating infinite multicurve $\{a, b, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$. Let $A = \varphi_*(a)$, $B = \varphi_*(b)$, and $C_n = \varphi_*(c_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the change of coordinates principle, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $f_n \in \Gamma_c(M)$ such that $f_n(a) = a$ and $f_n(b) = c_n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi(t_a^m)$ is a multitwist. It follows that $\varphi(t^m)$ is a multitwist for any non-separating Dehn twist t . As f_n commutes with t_a^m and conjugates t_b^m to $t_{c_n}^m$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\varphi(f_n)(A) = A$ and $\varphi(f_n)(B) = C_n$. Moreover,

$$\varphi(f_n)(A \cap B) = \varphi(f_n)(A) \cap \varphi(f_n)(B) = A \cap C_n,$$

where the first equality uses that fact that $\varphi(f_n)$ gives a bijection on the set all curves of M' .

Let us assume that $A \cap B$ is non-empty, so that $A \cap C_n$ is non-empty for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As the power set of A is finite, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $A \cap C_k = A \cap C_j$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. This allows us to define $X = \varphi(f_k)(A \cap B)$ to be independent of k . Now observe that given $j \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $g_{j,k} \in \Gamma(M)$ such that $g_{j,k}(a) = c_j$ and $g_{j,k}(b) = c_k$. It follows that $\varphi(g_{j,k})(A \cap B) = C_j \cap C_k$, and hence $|A \cap B| = |C_j \cap C_k|$. As $|X| = |A \cap B|$ and as $X \subset C_j \cap C_k$, we have that $C_j \cap C_k = X$.

Let t be a Dehn twist about a non-separating simple closed curve. Note that the set $\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally finite, so t commutes with infinitely many of the c_k , and hence $\varphi(t)$ must commute with

any multitwist about X , implying $\varphi(t)(X) = X$. As t was arbitrary, the previous statement holds for all non-separating Dehn twists, and as the non-separating Dehn twists generate $\Gamma_c(M)$, we have that $\varphi(f)(X) = X$ for all $f \in \Gamma_c(M)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let t_k be the Dehn twist about c_k . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be as above, so that $\varphi(t_k^m)$ is a multitwist, denoted T_k , about the multicurve C_k . As $X \subset C_k$, there exist multitwists $T_{X,k}$ and T'_k about X and $C_k \setminus X$, respectively, such that $T_k = T_{X,k}T'_k$. Choose $h_k \in \Gamma_c(M)$ so that $h_k(c_1) = c_k$. It follows that $\varphi(h_k)$ conjugates T_1 to T_k . From the previous paragraph, we know that $\varphi(h_k)$ must fix X (setwise), and so $\varphi(h_k)$ conjugates $T_{X,1}$ to $T_{X,k}$. Since X is finite, its permutation group is finite; hence, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\varphi(h_k)$ induces the same permutation on X for all k . Therefore, we have $T_{X,i} = T_{X,j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. This allows us to define $T_X = T_{X,1}$ and to write

$$T_k = T_X T'_k$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

To finish, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the mapping class $F_n = \prod_{k=1}^n t_k$. Then

$$\varphi(F_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n T_k = T_X^n \prod_{k=1}^n T'_k.$$

From the fact that the multicurve $\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally finite, the limit of the F_n exists; however, the sequence $\{\varphi(F_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges. This is not possible as φ is continuous, and therefore, $A \cap B$ must be empty. \square

From Lemma 4.6, we readily deduce the following.

Lemma 4.7 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with M of infinite genus, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact nontrivial homomorphism. If $A = \{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a locally finite multicurve in M and each a_i is a non-separating curve, then $\varphi_*(A) := \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_*(a_i)$ is a locally finite multicurve in M' .*

Proof It readily follows from Lemma 4.6 that $\varphi_*(A)$ is a multicurve. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi(t_a^m)$ is a multitwist for each $a \in A$, choose an enumeration $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of A , and let $T_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(t_{a_i}^m)$. Then, there exists an enumeration $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\varphi_*(A)$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{k_1, \dots, k_{j_n}\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\varphi(T_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{j_n} t_{b_i}^{k_i}$. By continuity, $\lim \varphi(T_n)$ exists, as $\lim t_{a_n}^m$ exists. By Lemma 2.4, the existence $\lim \varphi(T_n)$ implies that $\varphi_*(A)$ is locally finite. \square

It is worth noting that we have not yet put any restriction on the 2-manifold in the codomain in any of the above lemmas, but the previous lemma implies that it must be of infinite type.

Thus far, we have established that the image of two commuting non-separating Dehn twists have powers that are multitwists about disjoint multicurves; in other words, their images have

powers with disjoint support. The next lemma upgrades this to saying that their images have disjoint supports. Recall that the *support* of a homeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ is the closure of the set $\{x \in M : f(x) \neq x\}$, and we say that two mapping classes have *disjoint supports* if they have representative homeomorphisms with disjoint supports.

Given a locally finite multicurve A on a surface S , we abuse notation and write $S \setminus A$ to refer to the surface obtained by removing the union of representatives of the curves in A that are chosen to be pairwise disjoint (this can always be done by choosing a hyperbolic metric and taking geodesic representatives).

Lemma 4.8 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with M of infinite genus, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact nontrivial homomorphism. If $\{a, b\}$ is a non-separating multicurve, then $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ have disjoint supports.*

Proof Let $A' = \varphi_*(a)$, let $B' = \varphi_*(b)$, and let $M'_a = M' \setminus A'$. By Lemma 4.6, we know that A' and B' are disjoint multicurves. As A' is a finite multicurve, M'_a has finitely many components. Let $f \in \Gamma_c(M)$ commute with t_a . It follows that $\varphi(f)(A') = A'$, and hence permutes the components of M'_a . As $\varphi(f) \in \Gamma_c(M')$, it must be the case that $\varphi(f)$ fixes each infinite-type component of M'_a .

Let Σ be an infinite-type component of M'_a . Letting $\text{Stab}(A')$ denote the stabilizer of A' in $\Gamma(M')$, we see that there exists a restriction homomorphism $r: \text{Stab}(A') \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma)$ given by taking the restriction of an element of $\text{Stab}(A')$ to Σ . Under this homomorphism, any multitwist about A' is trivial, and hence, $(r \circ \varphi)(t_a)$ has finite order in $\Gamma(\Sigma)$, as $\varphi(t_a)$ is a root of a multitwist about A' . But, $(r \circ \varphi)(t_a) \in \Gamma_c(\Sigma)$, and hence is trivial by Lemma 4.2, allowing us to conclude that $\varphi(t_a)$ has a representative that restricts to the identity on the interior of any infinite-type component of M'_a .

From the above, we observe that if each component of M'_a has infinite type, then $\varphi(t_a)$ is a multitwist. It then follows that $\varphi(t_b)$ is also a multitwist, and as A' and B' are disjoint multicurves, $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ have disjoint supports, as desired.

We may therefore assume that M'_a contains at least one finite-type component. Let F'_a be the closures in M' of the finite-type components of M'_a . Then there exists a representative of $\varphi(t_a)$ supported in the union of F'_a with annuli about the curves in A' .

Let $b' \in B'$. As A' and B' are disjoint, b' is either an essential curve in F'_a or in $M \setminus F'_a$. Let $C = \{a, b, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ be a locally finite non-separating multicurve, which is guaranteed to exist by Lemma 4.5. By Lemma 4.7, $\varphi_*(C)$ is a locally finite multicurve in M' . So, there exists $c_i \in C$ such that $\varphi_*(c_i)$ is disjoint from F'_a . We can then choose $f \in \Gamma_c(M)$ such that $f(a) = a$ and $f(b) = c_i$, so that $\varphi(f)(B') = \varphi_*(c_i)$; in particular, $\varphi(f)(b') \subset M \setminus F'_a$. As $f(a) = a$, we have that f commutes with t_a . From above, it follows that $\varphi(f)(F'_a) = F'_a$. Therefore, b' cannot be an essential curve in F'_a , as $\varphi(f)(F'_a) = F'_a$ and $\varphi(f)(b') \subset M \setminus F'_a$, implying no curve in B' is contained in F'_a .

Defining F'_b analogously, a similar argument shows that no curve in A' is contained in F'_b . As A' and B' are disjoint multicurves, this forces F'_a and F'_b to be disjoint, and hence, the supports of $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ are disjoint. \square

We can now eliminate the possibility of roots.

Lemma 4.9 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with M of infinite genus, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact nontrivial homomorphism. If $t \in \Gamma(M)$ is a non-separating Dehn twist, then $\varphi(t)$ is a multitwist.*

Proof Every surface of genus at least three contains an embedded 4-holed sphere Σ in which each of its boundary components and each curve contained in Σ is non-separating in the ambient surface. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 , and a_4 be the boundary components of Σ . By the lantern relation (see [14, Proposition 5.1]), there exist curves a_5, a_6 , and a_7 in Σ such that

$$t_1 = t_5 t_6 t_7 (t_2 t_3 t_4)^{-1},$$

where t_i is the Dehn twist about a_i and such that $\{a_1, a_i\}$ is a non-separating multicurve for each $i \neq 1$.

By Lemma 4.8, for each i , we can choose a representative of $\varphi(t_i)$ in M' supported in a subsurface Σ'_i such that Σ'_1 and Σ'_i are disjoint whenever $i \neq 1$. In particular, by the lantern relation, $\varphi(t_1)$ has a representative supported in $\bigcup_{i=2}^7 \Sigma'_i$, which is disjoint from Σ'_1 . The only way this is possible is if $\varphi(t_1)$ is supported in a union of annuli, implying $\varphi(t_1)$ is a multitwist. But, t_1 is conjugate to t , and hence $\varphi(t)$ is a multitwist. \square

So far, we have established that the image of a Dehn twist is a multitwist under the given hypotheses. We now want to show that the image is in fact a Dehn twist. To accomplish this, we will need to use all the hypotheses in the statement of Theorem 4.1, which has not been the case up to this point.

First, we will need a result of the second author characterizing when multitwists are braided, as well as a quick lemma. We say two multitwists T_1 and T_2 are *braided* if they satisfy the braid relation, that is, if $T_1 T_2 T_1 = T_2 T_1 T_2$. If a and b are two simple closed curves, then $i(a, b) = 1$ if and only if t_a and t_b are braided (see [14, Propositions 3.11 & 3.13]). The following theorem generalizes this fact to multitwists.

Theorem 4.10 ([12]) *Let S be an orientable surface. If T_1 and T_2 are braided multitwists, then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, simple closed curves $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k$, a multitwist T fixing every curve a_i, b_j for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and $n_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that*

$$T_1 = T \prod_{i=1}^k t_{a_i}^{n_i}$$

$$T_2 = T \prod_{i=1}^k t_{b_i}^{n_i}$$

and such that for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$i(a_i, b_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

□

Lemma 4.11 *Let M be a nonplanar orientable 2-manifold, and let $\varphi: \Gamma_c(M) \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism to a group H . If there exist curves a and b in M such that $i(a, b) = 1$ and $\varphi(t_a) = \varphi(t_b)$, then $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M))$ is cyclic. Moreover, if M has genus at least three, then φ is trivial.*

Proof If c and d are curves such that $i(c, d) = 1$, then there exists $g \in \Gamma_c(M)$ such that $g(a) = c$ and $g(b) = d$. Therefore, $\varphi(t_c) = \varphi(g t_a g^{-1}) = \varphi(g t_b g^{-1}) = \varphi(t_d)$. Now, given any two non-separating curves a' and b' , there exist curves c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k such that $i(c_i, c_{i+1}) = 1$, $c_0 = a'$, and $c_k = b'$ (see [14, Lemma 4.5]). It follows that $\varphi(t_{c_i}) = \varphi(t_{c_{i+1}})$, and hence $\varphi(t_{a'}) = \varphi(t_{b'})$. As $\Gamma_c(M)$ is generated by non-separating Dehn twists, we have that $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M))$ is cyclic. Now, if the genus of M is at least three, then $\Gamma_c(M)$ has trivial abelianization—this is an application of the lantern relation, see [14, Section 5.1] for a proof in the finite-type setting that extends to the infinite-type setting verbatim. Now, the image of φ is abelian, as it is cyclic, and hence φ is trivial. □

We say two chains \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $\psi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ such that $i(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = i(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$; we call ψ a *chain isomorphism*.

Proposition 4.12 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds with M of infinite genus and with no planar ends, and let $\varphi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be a continuous compact irreducible homomorphism.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{A} is an Alexander chain in M such that $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ generates $\Gamma_c(M)$, then $\mathcal{A}' = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \varphi_*(a)$ is an Alexander chain in M' . Moreover, the map $a \mapsto \varphi_*(a)$ is a chain isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}' .*
- (2) *If $t \in \Gamma(M)$ is a non-separating Dehn twist, then $\varphi(t)$ is a non-separating Dehn twist.*

Proof Let \mathcal{A} be an Alexander chain in M such that $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ generates $\Gamma_c(M)$, and let $\mathcal{A}' = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \varphi_*(a)$. Note that such a chain exists by Theorem 3.2 and, by Lemma 4.9, the images $\varphi(t_a)$ are multitwists.

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, let $a' \in \varphi_*(a)$, and let $b' \in \varphi_*(b)$. If $i(a, b) = 0$, then t_a and t_b commute and so do their images $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.8, $i(a', b') = 0$. We will use the contrapositive of this fact multiple times, so we record it here for reference:

$$(\star) \quad \text{if } i(a', b') = 1 \text{ then } i(a, b) = 1.$$

Now, if $i(a, b) \neq 0$, then $i(a, b) = 1$, implying t_a and t_b are braided. It follows that the multitwists $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ are braided; hence, by Theorem 4.10, $\varphi_*(a) \cup \varphi_*(b)$ is a chain, so $i(a', b') \in \{0, 1\}$. In either case, we have that $i(a', b') \in \{0, 1\}$.

To show that \mathcal{A}' is a chain, it is left to show that it is locally finite. First observe that, for $a' \in \mathcal{A}'$, there are only finitely many curves in \mathcal{A}' that have nontrivial geometric intersection with a' ; this follows from the local finiteness of \mathcal{A} together with (\star) . Suppose \mathcal{A}' fails to be locally finite, so that there exists a curve c and a sequence $\{a'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ such that $i(a'_n, c) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. All but finitely many of the a'_n have trivial geometric intersection with a'_1 , so passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $i(a'_1, a'_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recursively passing to subsequences, we can assume that $i(a'_n, a'_m) = 0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a'_n \in \varphi_*(a_n)$. Again recursively passing to subsequences as above, we can assume that $i(a_n, a_m) = 0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, as $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a locally finite multicurve, Lemma 4.7 implies that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_*(a_n)$ is a locally finite multicurve. It follows that $\{a'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally finite as it is contained in $\{\varphi_*(a_n)\}$, implying that only finitely many of the a'_n have nonzero geometric intersection with c , a contradiction. We can conclude that \mathcal{A}' is a chain.

We now need to show that \mathcal{A}' is filling and tree-like; first, we show it is filling. If there exists a curve or arc α that is disjoint from every element of \mathcal{A}' , then α must be fixed by every element of $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M))$, as the image of $\Gamma_c(M)$ is generated by $\{\varphi(t_a)\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$. By continuity, α must then be fixed by every element in the image of φ , but φ is irreducible, so no such curve or arc exists. Therefore, \mathcal{A}' is filling.

Recall that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ is the graph whose vertices are the curves of \mathcal{A}' and that two vertices are adjacent if they have geometric intersection one; to show that \mathcal{A}' is tree-like, we must show that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ is a tree, i.e., that it is connected and has no cycles. Suppose a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_k are distinct curves \mathcal{A}' forming a cycle in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a'_i \in \varphi_*(a_i)$. Reading indices modulo k , we have by (\star) that $i(a_i, a_{i+1}) = 1$, and using Theorem 4.10, we can conclude that a_i, a_{i+1} , and a_{i+2} are distinct. Therefore, if $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ contains a cycle, so does $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ cannot have any cycles, as $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a tree. Finally, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ is connected as \mathcal{A}' is filling. This establishes that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ is a tree and that \mathcal{A}' is an Alexander chain.

Next, we claim that if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $i(a, b) = 1$, then $\varphi_*(a)$ and $\varphi_*(b)$ are non-separating curves that have geometric intersection one. First, we show that $\varphi_*(a)$ is a curve for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, or equivalently, that $|\varphi_*(a)| = 1$. Suppose $|\varphi_*(a)| > 1$, and let $a', a'' \in \varphi_*(a)$. As $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ is connected, we can choose a path $a' = c'_0, \dots, c'_m = a''$ in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}')$ connecting a' and a'' . Let $c_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $c'_i \in \varphi_*(c_i)$. Arguing as we did above, c_0, \dots, c_m is a path in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ that must contain a cycle, contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a tree. Therefore, $|\varphi_*(a)| = 1$, and $\varphi_*(a)$ is a curve.

Now, let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $i(a, b) = 1$. Suppose that $i(\varphi_*(a), \varphi_*(b)) = 0$. Then $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ commute and are braided. It is a quick exercise to show that this implies that $\varphi_*(t_a) = \varphi_*(t_b)$. By Lemma 4.11, $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M)) < \ker \varphi$, and hence by continuity, φ is trivial. But this is not the case, as φ is irreducible. Therefore, $i(\varphi_*(a), \varphi_*(b)) = 1$. At this point, we have shown that $\varphi_*: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is a bijection and preserves intersection number; hence, it is a chain isomorphism.

Now, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $i(a, b) = 1$. Hence, from above, we may conclude that $\varphi_*(a)$ and $\varphi_*(b)$ are curves and $i(\varphi_*(a), \varphi_*(b)) = 1$, implying that $\varphi_*(a)$

and $\varphi_*(b)$ are non-separating curves. It follows then that both $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ are powers of non-separating Dehn twists. Moreover, as $\varphi(t_a)$ and $\varphi(t_b)$ are braided, Theorem 4.10 implies that they are in fact each non-separating Dehn twists. As any two non-separating Dehn twists are conjugate, $\varphi(t)$ is a non-separating Dehn twist for every non-separating Dehn twist $t \in \Gamma(M)$. This completes the proof. \square

The idea for the final step is to realize that if M and M' are infinite-genus 2-manifolds admitting isomorphic Alexander chains, then M and M' are homeomorphic and the isomorphism between the chains is induced by a homeomorphism between the manifolds. To do this, we will realize the homeomorphism as a direct limit of homeomorphisms between compact sets in an exhaustion, which we will obtain by appealing to the work of the first author and Souto [6].

Given a chain \mathcal{C} in a 2-manifold M , fix a hyperbolic metric on M , and for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, let γ_c be its geodesic representative. We call $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \gamma_c$ a *realization of the chain*.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 By Theorem 3.2, we can choose a Alexander chain \mathcal{A} such that $\{t_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ generates $\Gamma_c(M)$. Theorem 3.2 also provides a sequence of compact subsurfaces $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M such that

- (i) each component of $\partial\Sigma_n$ is separating and essential,
- (ii) $\Sigma_n \subset \Sigma_{n+1}$,
- (iii) $M = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n$, and
- (iv) $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a \subset \Sigma_n\}$ is an Alexander chain in Σ_n , and
- (v) $\{t_a : a \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$ generates $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$.

By possibly forgetting the first few surfaces in the sequence, we may assume that the genus of Σ_n is at least six for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}' = \varphi_*(\mathcal{A})$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{A}'_n = \varphi_*(\mathcal{A}_n)$. By Proposition 4.12, \mathcal{A}' is an Alexander chain, and φ_* induces an isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , as well as \mathcal{A}_n and \mathcal{A}'_n . Let Σ'_n be the subsurface of M' filled by the chain \mathcal{A}'_n , that is, Σ'_n is obtained by taking a regular neighborhood of a realization of \mathcal{A}'_n and then taking the union of this neighborhood with each component of its complement that is a disk. Note that Σ_n is the surface filled by \mathcal{A}_n .

Given a pair of simple closed curves that have geometric intersection one, a regular neighborhood of their union is a once-punctured torus. This motivates the following definition: the *lower genus* of a finite chain is the cardinality of a maximal collection of pairs of curves in the chain in which the curves in each pair intersect once and the curves in distinct pairs are pairwise disjoint. It follows that the genus of a regular neighborhood of a realization of a finite chain is bounded below by the lower genus of the chain.

By the construction of Σ_n , the lower genus of \mathcal{A}_n agrees with the genus of Σ_n . Moreover, there are pairs $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_g, b_g) \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ realizing the lower genus of \mathcal{A}_n such that any two distinct curves in $\mathcal{A}_n \setminus \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$ are disjoint (e.g., take the a_i to be the red curves from

Figure 2). As the lower genus of \mathcal{A}'_n is equal to that of \mathcal{A}_n , the genus of Σ'_n is at least the genus of Σ_n . Now, suppose that the genus of Σ'_n is greater than the genus of Σ_n . Let $a'_i = \varphi_*(a_i)$ and $b'_i = \varphi_*(b_i)$, so $(a'_1, b'_1), \dots, (a'_g, b'_g)$ is a maximal collection of pairs of curves in \mathcal{A}'_n realizing its lower genus. Let F'_i be a closed regular neighborhood of a realization of $\{a'_i, b'_i\}$, so that F'_i is a one-hole torus. We can assume that $F'_i \cap F'_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Then $\Sigma'_n \setminus \bigcup F'_i$ has positive genus, implying that there exists a pair of curves in $\mathcal{A}'_n \setminus \{a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_g, b'_g\}$ having nontrivial geometric intersection, a contradiction. Therefore, Σ_n and Σ'_n have the same genus.

Given the setup at hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the restriction of φ to $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi_n: \text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(\Sigma'_n, \partial\Sigma'_n)$. We claim that φ_n is an isomorphism. As Σ_n and Σ'_n have the same genus (and it is at least six), we can apply a result of the first author and Souto [6, Theorem 1.1] to see that φ_n is induced by an embedding $h_n: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma'_n$.

As φ_m restricts to φ_n on $\text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n)$, we can choose the embeddings such that h_m restricts to h_n on Σ_n . We now claim that h_n can be chosen to be a homeomorphism. As Σ'_n is filled by curves contained in $h(\Sigma_n)$, each component of $\Sigma'_n \setminus h(\Sigma_n)$ is either a disk or annulus. We claim that each such component is a boundary annulus, i.e., is homotopic to a component of $\partial\Sigma'_n$. This implies that h_n can be chosen to be a homeomorphism. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$ and each component of $\Sigma_m \setminus \Sigma_n$ has positive genus. It follows that each component of the complement of $\Sigma'_m \setminus h_m(\partial\Sigma_n)$ has positive genus; in particular, if δ_1 and δ_2 are any two components of $\partial\Sigma_n$, then $h_m(\delta_1)$ does not bound a disk and $h_m(\delta_1)$ and $h_m(\delta_2)$ do not co-bound an annulus. The claim now follows, that is, each component of $\Sigma'_n \setminus h(\Sigma_n)$ is a boundary annulus, and h_n can be chosen to be a homeomorphism. Therefore, $\varphi_n: \text{MCG}(\Sigma_n, \partial\Sigma_n) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(\Sigma'_n, \partial\Sigma'_n)$ is an isomorphism.

Taking the direct limit of the h_n , we obtain an embedding $h: M \rightarrow M'$. As \mathcal{A}' is an Alexander chain, we have that $M' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma'_n$, and hence h is a homeomorphism. Let $h_*: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ be the isomorphism induced by h . By continuity, as h_* and φ agree on $\Gamma_c(M)$, they must agree everywhere, so $\varphi = h_*$. \square

5 Proof of the main theorem

The goal of this section is to prove our main theorem by showing that it follows from Theorem 4.1. Let us recall the statement of the main theorem that we aim to prove.

Theorem 5.1 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds and suppose M has infinite genus and no planar ends. If G is a mostly pure large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and G' is a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M')$, then every continuous epimorphism $G \rightarrow G'$ is induced by a homeomorphism.*

Given a continuous epimorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ with G and G' as in the theorem, we will see that the surjectivity will allow us to conclude that φ restricted to $\Gamma(M)$ induces a continuous compact irreducible homomorphism $\Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma'(M)$, which will allow us to apply Theorem 4.1.

We will take the same tact to prove Theorem 5.5 below. As the proof of these two theorems share the same core idea, we proceed with a sequence of lemmas/propositions that will be required for both theorems. The first required proposition is a result from Bavard–Dowdall–Rafi [9].

Proposition 5.2 ([9, Proposition 4.2]) *Let M be an orientable infinite-type 2-manifold, and let G be a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$. A mapping class in G has finite-type support if and only if its conjugacy class in G is countable. \square*

The statement in [9] is about finite-index subgroups of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{PMCG}(M)$, but the proof works just as well for large subgroups.

Proposition 5.3 *Let M be an infinite-genus 2-manifold with no planar ends, let M' be an orientable 2-manifold, and let G and G' be large subgroups of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{MCG}(M')$, respectively. If $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ is a continuous epimorphism such that $\Gamma_c(M)$ is not contained in the kernel of φ , then φ is induced by a homeomorphism.*

Proof Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ be a continuous epimorphism. An epimorphism maps conjugacy classes in the domain onto conjugacy classes in the codomain. In particular, if $g \in G$ has a countable conjugacy class, then so does $\varphi(g)$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, $\varphi(\Gamma_c(M)) < \Gamma_c(M')$, and by continuity, $\varphi(\Gamma(M)) < \Gamma(M')$. We can then restrict φ to $\Gamma(M)$ to get a continuous compact homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$. We claim that ψ is irreducible.

Let a and b be simple closed curves in M satisfying $i(a, b) = 1$. By Lemma 4.9, $\psi(a)$ and $\psi(b)$ are multitwists; let A (resp., B) be the multicurve such that $\psi(a)$ (resp., $\psi(b)$) twists about. By Theorem 4.10, either there exist $a' \in A$ and $b' \in B$ such that $i(a', b') = 1$ or $\psi(a) = \psi(b)$. If the latter case holds, then Lemma 4.11 implies that $\Gamma_c(M) < \ker \psi$, which is not the case; hence, the former case holds, implying that A contains a non-separating simple closed curve.

As $\Gamma(M)$ is normal in G and as φ is surjective, $\varphi(\Gamma(M))$ is normal in G' ; in particular, $\psi(\Gamma(M))$ is normal in $\Gamma(M')$. Let α be a curve or arc in M' . Let A be as above. As A contains a non-separating curve, there exists $h \in G'$ such that $h(A)$ has nontrivial geometric intersection with α . It follows that $(h\psi(t_a)h^{-1})(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, and as $h\psi(t_a)h^{-1} \in \psi(\Gamma(M))$, we can conclude that ψ is irreducible.

We have established that $\psi: \Gamma(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(M')$ is a continuous compact irreducible homomorphism; hence, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M'$ such that $h_* = \psi$, where h_* is the map from $\Gamma(M)$ induced by h . It is left to check that φ is also induced by h , or that, abusing notation, $h_* = \varphi$. Let φ_0 be given by $\varphi_0 = h_*^{-1} \circ \varphi$. Observe that for every simple closed curve c in M , $\varphi(t_c) = \psi(t_c)$ by definition, and so $\varphi_0(t_c) = t_c$. Let $g \in G$, and let

c be a simple closed curve in M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\varphi_0(g)(c)} &= \varphi_0(g)t_c\varphi_0(g)^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_0(g)\varphi_0(t_c)\varphi_0(g)^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_0(gt_c g^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi_0(t_{g(c)}) \\ &= t_{g(c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi_0(g)(c) = g(c)$ for every simple closed curve c in M . By Proposition 2.1, $\varphi_0(g) = g$. It follows that $\varphi_0 = h_*^{-1} \circ \varphi$ is the identity isomorphism, implying $\varphi = h_*$. Therefore, φ is induced by a homeomorphism. \square

As we will see below, Proposition 5.3 will imply that either φ fails to be surjective or G' contains a nontrivial normal abelian subgroup; the next lemma says the latter case is impossible.

Lemma 5.4 *Let M be an infinite-type 2-manifold, and let G be a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$. The trivial subgroup is the only normal abelian subgroup of G .*

Proof Let H be a nontrivial normal subgroup of G . If $h \in H$ is nontrivial, then there exists a curve a such that $h(a) \neq a$. Let $g_1 = [t_a, h]$, so

$$g_1 = t_a h t_a^{-1} h^{-1} = (t_a h t_a^{-1}) h^{-1} = t_a (h t_a^{-1} h^{-1}) = t_a t_{h(a)}^{-1}.$$

The second equality implies that $g_1 \in H$, and the last equality guarantees that g_1 is nontrivial, as $h(a) \neq a$. Let S_1 be the surface filled by a realization of $\{a, h(a)\}$; note that S_1 has finite type and contains the support of a representative of g_1 . Thurston's construction (see [14, Theorem 14.1]) implies that g_1 is the image of a pseudo-Anosov element under the homomorphism $\text{MCG}(S_1, \partial S_1) \rightarrow G$ induced by the embedding $S_1 \hookrightarrow M$ (if a and $h(a)$ are disjoint, then S_1 is a union of disjoint annuli implying that g_1 is a multitwist, which we will also consider pseudo-Anosov in this disjoint union of annuli). It follows that if a curve c has nontrivial geometric intersection with ∂S_1 , then $g_1(c) \neq c$; in particular, $g_1(c) = c$ if and only if $i(c, \partial S) = 0$.

Let d be the isotopy class of a component of ∂S_1 , and choose a curve c such that $i(c, d) > 0$. Set $g_2 := t_c g_1 t_c^{-1} \in H$, and let S_2 be the image of S_1 under a representative of t_c . Then g_2 is the image of a pseudo-Anosov element of $\text{MCG}(S_2, \partial S_2)$ under the homomorphism into $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $i(d, \partial S_2) \neq 0$. It follows that $g_2(d) \neq d$ and $g_1(d) = d$. Therefore, g_1 and g_2 do not commute; indeed, if they commuted, then g_2 would preserve the fix set of g_1 , which is not the case. We can now conclude that H is not abelian, and the result follows, as H was an arbitrary nontrivial normal subgroup of G . \square

We can now proof Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1 Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ be a continuous epimorphism. If $\Gamma_c(M)$ is contained in the kernel of φ , then by continuity, $\Gamma(M)$ is in the kernel of φ . In this case, φ factors through $G/\Gamma(M)$. By [5], $\text{PMCG}(M)/\Gamma(M)$ is abelian, so the image of $(G \cap \text{PMCG}(M))/\Gamma(M)$ is an abelian normal subgroup of G' and hence is trivial by Lemma 5.4. But, as G is mostly pure, it follows that the image of φ is countable, and hence cannot surject onto G' , a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma_c(M)$ is not contained in the kernel of φ . By Proposition 5.3, φ is induced by a homeomorphism. \square

5.1 Moving to the full mapping class group

If M has infinitely many ends, then $\text{MCG}(M)$ is not mostly pure, and hence, Theorem 5.1 does not apply. The place where the proof is incomplete in this case is in establishing that $\Gamma_c(M)$ is not in the kernel of the given epimorphism. Here we provide a strategy for dealing with this that works in some cases, but it is not clear if it can be adapted to work in all cases. To exhibit the technique, we extend Theorem 5.1 to $\text{MCG}(M)$ when M is perfectly self-similar.

Theorem 5.5 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds, and suppose M is infinite genus with no planar ends. If M is perfectly self-similar and G' is a large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M')$, then every continuous epimorphism from $\text{MCG}(M)$ to G' is induced by a homeomorphism.*

The main tool in proving Theorem 5.5 is a result of Afton–Calegari–Chen–Lyman.

Proposition 5.6 ([2, Proposition 9]) *If M is an orientable 2-manifold, then there exists an open neighborhood of the identity in $\text{MCG}(M)$ such that the identity is the only torsion element in the neighborhood.* \square

The idea behind the proof of Theorem 5.5 is that if $\Gamma_c(M)$ is in the kernel of an epimorphism, then there must be torsion elements arbitrarily close to the identity that normally generate G' , contradicting Proposition 5.6. The existence of these generators come from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7 *If E is the end space of a perfectly self-similar 2-manifold, then every neighborhood of the identity in $\text{Homeo}(E)$ contains an involution that normally generates $\text{Homeo}(E)$.*

Proof By [20, Proposition 5.4.6], there exists $x \in E$ and a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise-homeomorphic and disjoint clopen subsets of E such that $E \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$. From this decomposition, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find an involution $\tau_n: E \rightarrow E$ such that $\tau_n(E_n) = E_{n+1}$ and τ_n restricts to the identity on E_k for $k \neq n, n+1$. Observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n$ is the identity. Moreover, [20, Corollary 5.2.3] implies that τ_n is a normal generator for $\text{Homeo}(E)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.5 Let $\varphi: \text{MCG}(M) \rightarrow G'$ be a continuous epimorphism, and suppose that $\Gamma_c(M)$ is contained in $\ker \varphi$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, it follows that φ induces an epimorphism $\text{MCG}(M)/\text{PMCG}(M) \rightarrow G'$. Richards’s proof of the classification of surfaces [18], together with the fact that all the ends are nonplanar, implies that $\text{MCG}(M)/\text{PMCG}(M)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M))$, where $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is the end space of M . Let $\bar{\varphi}$ denote the induced epimorphism $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M)) \rightarrow G'$. By Lemma 5.7, there exists a sequence of involutions $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M))$ that limit to the identity and such that each involution normally generates $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M))$. But Proposition 5.6 implies that $\bar{\varphi}(\tau_n)$ must be the identity for all large n . As the τ_n are normal generators, $\bar{\varphi}$ is trivial, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma_c(M)$ is not in the kernel of φ , and hence φ is induced by a homeomorphism by Proposition 5.3. \square

The argument in the proof of Theorem 5.5 works for a larger class of 2-manifolds; however, it is not clear how to naturally define the class. But, for example, the proof also implies that if M is an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold with no planar ends and with $\mathcal{E}(M)$ homeomorphic to $\omega + 1$, then Theorem 5.5 holds for M . In this case, $\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{E}(M))$ is topologically isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$, and so contains a dense subgroup generated by involutions arbitrary close to the identity (namely, the subgroup consisting of finitely supported permutations).

6 Relaxing continuity

In this final section, we weaken the continuity assumption in Theorem 5.1. The idea is to replace continuity with a version of “algebraic continuity” that preserves certain limits that can be described in terms of infinite multiplication. We will work with a version of *infinitely multiplicative* homomorphisms—as introduced by Cannon–Conner [11]—suited to our purposes.

Let us begin by expanding our discussion of infinite products from Section 2. A sequence of mapping classes $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{MCG}(M)$ is *locally finitely supported* if $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n(a) \neq a\}$ is finite for every curve a in M . If $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally finitely supported, then we can define $f = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in \text{MCG}(M)$ as follows: given a curve a in M there exists $N_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_n(a) = a$ for all $n > N_a$, allowing us to define $f(a) = (f_1 f_2 \cdots f_{N_a})(a)$. Note that, in the compact-open topology, $f = \lim \prod_{i=1}^n f_i$, where we write the product left to right, i.e., $\prod_{i=1}^n f_i = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$.

Definition 6.1 Let M and M' be 2-manifolds with M nonplanar, and let G and G' be subgroups of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $\text{MCG}(M')$, respectively. A homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ is *infinitely multiplicative on twists* if, for any locally finitely supported sequence of non-separating Dehn twists $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the infinite product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(t_n)$ exists.

We only need one lemma to replace the continuity assumption in Theorem 5.1 with requiring the epimorphisms to be infinitely multiplicative on twists.

Lemma 6.2 *Let M be an orientable infinite-genus 2-manifold in which every planar end is isolated. If $f \in \Gamma(M) \setminus \Gamma_c(M)$, then there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-separating Dehn twists such that $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n$ exists and is equal to f .*

Proof Let $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an exhaustion of M by finite-type subsurfaces. Let $U_n \subset \text{MCG}(M)$ be defined as follows: $f \in U_n$ if and only if $f(a) = a$ for every curve a with representative contained in Σ_n . Then $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a neighborhood basis for the identity in $\text{MCG}(M)$.

As $\Gamma_c(M)$ is generated by non-separating Dehn twists and is dense in $\Gamma(M)$, there exists $f_1 \in \Gamma_c(M)$ such that $f_1 \in f U_1$ and such that f_1 is a finite product of non-separating Dehn twists. Therefore, $f_1^{-1} f \in U_1$. Arguing as above, there exists $f_2 \in f_1^{-1} f U_2$ such that f_2 is a finite product of non-separating Dehn twists. Moreover, $f_2 \in U_1$. Proceeding recursively, we obtain a sequence of mapping class $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- $f_{n+1} \in U_n$,
- $f_n^{-1} \cdots f_1^{-1} f \in U_n$, and
- f_n is a finite product of non-separating Dehn twists.

It follows that $\{f_n\}$ is locally finitely supported, $\lim f_n = f$, and $f = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n$ for some locally finitely supported sequence of non-separating Dehn twists $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. \square

Tracing through the various results in the prior sections, one readily checks that every instance of continuity can be replaced with infinite multiplicativity on twists using Lemma 6.2, which yields a more algebraic version of the main theorem.

Theorem 6.3 *Let M and M' be orientable 2-manifolds and suppose M is infinite genus and has no planar ends. If G is a mostly pure large subgroup of $\text{MCG}(M)$ and $G' < \text{MCG}(M')$ is large, then every epimorphism $G \rightarrow G'$ that is infinitely multiplicative on twists is induced by a homeomorphism.* \square

References

- [1] *AimPL: Surfaces of infinite type*, available at <http://aimpl.org/genusinfinity>.
- [2] Santana Afton, Danny Calegari, Lvzhou Chen, and Rylee Alanza Lyman, *Nielsen realization for infinite-type surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), no. 4, 1791–1799.
- [3] Tarik Aougab, Priyam Patel, and Nicholas G. Vlamis, *Isometry groups of infinite-genus hyperbolic surfaces*, Math. Ann. **381** (2021), no. 1-2, 459–498.
- [4] Javier Aramayona, Christopher J. Leininger, and Alan McLeay, *Big mapping class groups and the co-Hopfian property*, Michigan Math. J. **74** (2024), no. 2, 253–281.
- [5] Javier Aramayona, Priyam Patel, and Nicholas G. Vlamis, *The first integral cohomology of pure mapping class groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2020), no. 22, 8973–8996.

- [6] Javier Aramayona and Juan Souto, *Homomorphisms between mapping class groups*, *Geom. Topol.* **16** (2012), no. 4, 2285–2341.
- [7] ———, *Rigidity phenomena in the mapping class group*, *Handbook of Teichmüller theory*. Vol. VI, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 27, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2016, pp. 131–165.
- [8] Javier Aramayona and Nicholas G. Vlamis, *Big mapping class groups: an overview*, In the Tradition of Thurston: Geometry and Topology, Springer, 2020, pp. 459–496.
- [9] Juliette Bavard, Spencer Dowdall, and Kasra Rafi, *Isomorphisms between big mapping class groups*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2020), no. 10, 3084–3099.
- [10] Martin R. Bridson, *Semisimple actions of mapping class groups on CAT(0) spaces*, *Geometry of Riemann surfaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 368, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 1–14.
- [11] J. W. Cannon and G. R. Conner, *The combinatorial structure of the Hawaiian earring group*, *Topology Appl.* **106** (2000), no. 3, 225–271.
- [12] Rodrigo de Pool, *Braided multitwists*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.06026 (2024).
- [13] George Domat, *Big pure mapping class groups are never perfect*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **29** (2022), no. 3, 691–726, Appendix with Ryan Dickmann.
- [14] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [15] Jesús Hernández Hernández, Israel Morales, and Ferrán Valdez, *The Alexander method for infinite-type surfaces*, *Michigan Math. J.* **68** (2019), no. 4, 743–753.
- [16] N. V. Ivanov, *Automorphisms of Teichmüller modular groups*, *Topology and geometry—Rohlin Seminar*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1346, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 199–270.
- [17] Priyam Patel and Nicholas G. Vlamis, *Algebraic and topological properties of big mapping class groups*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **18** (2018), no. 7, 4109–4142.
- [18] Ian Richards, *On the classification of noncompact surfaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1963), 259–269.
- [19] Roberta Shapiro, *An Alexander method for infinite-type surfaces*, *New York J. Math.* **28** (2022), 1137–1151.
- [20] Nicholas G. Vlamis, *Homeomorphism groups of self-similar 2-manifolds*, In the Tradition of Thurston III: Geometry and Dynamics (Ken’ichi Ohshika and Athanase Papadopoulos, eds.), Springer, 2024, pp. 105–167.

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (ICMAT), 280149, Madrid, Spain

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (ICMAT), 280149, Madrid, Spain

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA

Department of Mathematics, CUNY Graduate Center, New York, NY 10016, USA and

Department of Mathematics, CUNY Queens College, Flushing, NY 11367, USA

Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Jiangwan Campus, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200438, P.R. China

javier.aramayona@icmat.es, rodrigo.depool@icmat.es, rachel.skipper@utah.edu,
jing@ou.edu, nicholas.vlamis@qc.cuny.edu, xiaoleiwu@fudan.edu.cn