Hietarinta's classification of 4×4 constant Yang-Baxter operators using algebraic approach

Somnath Maity,^a Vivek Kumar Singh,^b Pramod Padmanabhan,^a Vladimir Korepin^c

^aSchool of Basic Sciences,

Indian Institute of Technology, Bhubaneswar, 752050, India

^bCenter for Quantum and Topological Systems (CQTS), NYUAD Research Institute, New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, UAE

> ^cC. N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stony Brook University, New York 11794, USA

Abstract

Classifying Yang-Baxter operators is an essential first step in the study of the simulation of integrable quantum systems on quantum computers. One of the earliest initiatives was taken by Hietarinta in classifying constant Yang-Baxter solutions for a two-dimensional local Hilbert space (qubit representation). He obtained 11 families of invertible solutions, including the one generated by the permutation operator. While these methods work well for 4 by 4 solutions, they become cumbersome for higher dimensional representations. In this work, we overcome this restriction by constructing the constant Yang-Baxter solutions in a representation independent manner by using ansätze from algebraic structures. We use four different algebraic structures that reproduce 10 of the 11 Hietarinta families when the qubit representation is chosen. The methods include a set of commuting operators, Clifford algebras, Temperley-Lieb algebras, and partition algebras. We do not obtain the (2, 2) Hietarinta class with these methods.

1 Introduction

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) forms the basis of two-dimensional integrable models [40, 5, 6] and the quantum inverse scattering method [32, 36, 24] through the algebraic Bethe ansatz [33]. Its solutions, the Yang-Baxter operators (YBO's), are the building

blocks of this construction. Their spectral parameter independent versions known as constant YBO's are braid group generators and are central to the construction of knot and link polynomials [3,1,2,20,39]. A more modern application of YBO's is as quantum gates that can realize universal quantum computation [22,44,45,43,41]. These operators are used to simulate integrable quantum models on quantum computers that in turn benchmark the latter [4,35]. Thus due to their diverse applications in physics and mathematics, it is essential to find systematic methods to classify these operators.

Some of the earliest attempts in the classification of both spectral parameter-dependent and constant YBO's are in [34, 17, 9, 42]. A complete classification of invertible 4 by 4 constant YBO's was presented by Hietarinta in a series of papers [11, 14, 12, 13]. These works were limited to constructing 4 by 4 YBO's. They exploit symmetries of the YBE to simplify the matrix ansätze in the hunt for solutions. This is useful in solving the highly non-linear systems of equations for matrices of small sizes. The resulting solutions are thus representation-dependent.

Given this, it is desirable to find methods that solve the YBE in a representation independent manner that will provide solutions of arbitrary matrix sizes. In this work we take a step in this direction by constructing algebraic solutions of the YBE using four types of algebraic structures. These include a set of commuting operators, Clifford algebras, Temperley-Lieb algebras [38, 37] and partition algebras [28, 26, 27, 10]. Using these we recover all of the 11 Hietarinta classes except the (2, 2) class when the twodimensional representation is chosen.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We review Hietarinta's classification in Sec. 2. This section also studies the symmetries of the YBE which helps in defining an equivalence class of YBO's. Following this we describe the different algebraic structures that will be used to construct the algebraic solutions in Sec. 3. The main results of this paper, including all the new algebraic solutions of the YBE, make up Sec. 4. Table 2 summarizes the results. We end with a short conclusion and directions for future work in Sec. 5.

2 Hietarinta's classification

We review the classification of 4 by 4 constant YBO's by Hietarinta [11, 14, 12, 13]. We consider just the invertible solutions. The notation for the rest of the paper is also fixed in the process.

The YBE can be written in two equivalent forms. The first form is adapted from relations satisfied by generators of the braid group. This is the braided form of the YBE

$$\tilde{R}_{12}\tilde{R}_{23}\tilde{R}_{12} = \tilde{R}_{23}\tilde{R}_{12}\tilde{R}_{23}.$$
(2.1)

This form is often seen in the knot theory literature [20, 3, 2, 1]. The indices appearing in this equation denote the local Hilbert spaces (V) on which the operators R act. Note that in general these operators can be realized on both finite and infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. In this work we will take V to be finite-dimensional, thus focusing on matrix representations of the operator R. The R-matrices act non-trivially on $V \otimes V$.

The form of the YBE that finds use in the integrable model literature is the nonbraided form or the vertex form :

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}. (2.2)$$

Note the difference in the index structure of these two forms of the YBE. In the nonbraided form (2.2) each of the three indices appears twice on both sides of the equation. This is not true for the braided form of the YBE (2.1). This property will help us construct some rather obvious solutions to the YBE in (2.2) in Sec. 4. The YBE's in both forms are an equation on $V \otimes V \otimes V$.

The solutions to the two forms of the YBE are in one-to-one correspondence as :

$$\tilde{R} = P.R,\tag{2.3}$$

where P is the permutation operator on $V \otimes V$. The M-1 permutation operators $P_i \equiv P_{i,i+1}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$, generate the permutation group S_M . They satisfy the relations

$$P_i P_{i+1} P_i = P_{i+1} P_i P_{i+1}; \ P_i^2 = \mathbb{1}; \ P_i P_j = P_j P_i \text{ when } |i-j| > 1.$$
 (2.4)

When $V = \mathbb{C}^2$, it can be written in terms of the Pauli matrices (X, Y and Z) as :

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbb{1} + X \otimes X + Y \otimes Y + Z \otimes Z \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.5)

2.1 Symmetries of the YBE

:

When $V = \mathbb{C}^N$, the YBE (in both forms) contains N^6 equations in N^4 variables. This makes it a highly non-linear and over-determined system of equations. Thus the most

naive and obvious method of solving the equation by finding matrices gets incredibly hard as N increases. Nevertheless for small N, in particular for N = 2, the ansätze for the 4 by 4 matrices can be simplified using the symmetries of the YBE. There are two types of symmetries: continuous and discrete.

Continuous symmetries

The continuous set of symmetries

$$R \to \kappa(Q \otimes Q) R(Q \otimes Q)^{-1}, \qquad (2.6)$$

are generated by the local operators Q and a constant complex parameter κ . It is easy to verify that both the braided and the non-braided forms of the YBE ((2.1) and (2.2)) possess this gauge symmetry.

Discrete symmetries

To identify the discrete symmetries we write down the non-braided YBE in component form¹ :

$$R_{j_1 j_2, k_1 k_2} R_{k_1 j_3, l_1 k_3} R_{k_2 k_3, l_2 l_3} = R_{j_2 j_3, k_2 k_3} R_{j_1 k_3, k_1 l_3} R_{k_1 k_2, l_1 l_2}.$$
 (2.7)

To illustrate the ideas we work in the N = 2 case for simplicity. The statements for arbitrary N are straightforward. We take the following convention for the YBO :

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{00,00} & R_{00,01} & R_{00,10} & R_{00,11} \\ R_{01,00} & R_{01,01} & R_{01,10} & R_{01,11} \\ R_{10,00} & R_{10,01} & R_{10,10} & R_{10,11} \\ R_{11,00} & R_{11,01} & R_{11,10} & R_{11,11} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.8)

Then the discrete transformations 2 are given by :

$$R_{i\,j,\,k\,l} \to R_{k\,l,\,i\,j}$$
, Discrete - I (2.9)

$$R_{i\,j,k\,l} \to R_{\bar{i}\,\bar{j},\bar{k}\,\bar{l}}, \quad \text{Discrete - II}$$
 (2.10)

$$R_{ij,kl} \to R_{ji,lk}, \quad \text{Discrete - III.}$$
 (2.11)

¹Analogous arguments go through for the braided form as well.

²These transformations are also called the P, C and T symmetries respectively [12, 14]. The P here is not to be confused with the permutation operator P in (2.4).

The transformation Discrete-I (2.9) is the matrix transpose (reflection about the main diagonal) taken in (2.8):

$$R^{(I)} = R^T. (2.12)$$

In the transformation Discrete-II (2.10), the \bar{i} is the negation of i, i.e., $\bar{0} \equiv 1$ and $\bar{1} \equiv 0$. This transformation corresponds to two reflections, one along the main diagonal like in the transpose and the other along the other diagonal. The order in which these reflections are made does not matter. The resulting *R*-matrix is given by :

$$R^{(II)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11,11} & R_{11,10} & R_{11,01} & R_{11,00} \\ R_{10,11} & R_{10,10} & R_{10,01} & R_{10,00} \\ R_{01,11} & R_{01,10} & R_{01,01} & R_{01,00} \\ R_{00,11} & R_{00,10} & R_{00,01} & R_{00,00} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.13)

It is easily seen that this is a special case of the continuous transformation in (2.6) as :

$$R^{(II)} = (X \otimes X) \ R \ (X \otimes X), \qquad (2.14)$$

where X is the first Pauli matrix $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The third discrete transformation (2.11) results in the *R*-matrix :

$$R^{(III)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{00,00} & R_{00,10} & R_{00,01} & R_{00,11} \\ R_{10,00} & R_{10,10} & R_{10,01} & R_{10,11} \\ R_{01,00} & R_{01,10} & R_{01,01} & R_{01,11} \\ R_{11,00} & R_{11,10} & R_{11,01} & R_{11,11} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.15)

This is obtained by conjugating the *R*-matrix (2.8) with the permutation operator *P* (2.5):

$$R^{(III)} = P \ R \ P. \tag{2.16}$$

It can easily be verified that both the braided (2.1) and the non-braided (2.2) forms of the YBE possess these three discrete symmetries. Note that a combination of these discrete symmetries is also a symmetry of the YBE. We can now define the equivalence class of solutions of the YBE taking into account both the continuous and discrete symmetries.

Definition 2.1 (Equivalence class of R**-matrices)** Two R-matrices are considered equivalent if they are related by either the continuous symmetry (2.6) or any of the three discrete symmetries (2.9)-(2.11) or any combination of all of the latter transformations.

It should be noted that the three discrete transformations (2.9)-(2.11), do not obey the reflexivity property and hence cannot form equivalence classes by themselves. However when combined with the continuous symmetry (2.6) the reflexivity gets covered by the latter and hence together, these transformations can define an equivalence class as defined in Definition 2.1.

With this definition the constant 4 by 4 YBO's fall into 10 classes [11] (See also Appendix of [31] for details.) :

The 10 classes shown here comprise the invertible YBO's. They satisfy the braided form of the constant YBE (2.1). Apart from these classes we also include the 11th class generated by the permutation operator. However, this is a singleton set as

$$[Q \otimes Q] P [Q^{-1} \otimes Q^{-1}] = P.$$

It is also easy to see that P is invariant under the three discrete transformations (2.9)-

(2.11). If the permutation operator is taken as the solution of the braided YBE (2.1) then the corresponding non-braided YBO, obtained by multiplication of the permutation operator, is just the trivial identity operator. On the other hand, the identity operator, taken as a trivial solution of the braided YBE (2.1), corresponds to the permutation operator in the non-braided form. This is consistent with the fact that both these solutions solve both the braided (2.1) and the non-braided (2.2) YBE's. As both the permutation and identity operators are already algebraic solutions we do not have to consider them. In fact they will appear in the algebraic solutions we construct as generators of the algebra so they are trivially present in our methods.

Before proceeding further we verify if the discrete actions (2.9) and (2.11) on each of these 10 classes can be rotated into their respective classes by the continuous transformation (gauge transformation) in (2.6). This is indeed expected as the eigenvalue structure of the matrices obtained by the discrete transformations is the same as the original matrix. We need to check this to make sure that we have the right number of representatives³ for each of the 10 classes in (2.17). We find that this is true for all of the classes, except the (1,2) class. The first (2.9), third (2.11), and a combination of these two transformations produce three matrices that are not gauge equivalent to H1, 2 but nevertheless they fall into the (1, 2) class according to our Definition 2.1 of an equivalence class :

$$H_{1,2}^{(I)} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p - q & q & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 - q \end{pmatrix} ; \quad H_{1,2}^{(III)} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & p & p - q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -q \end{pmatrix},$$
$$H_{1,2}^{(I \times III)} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & q & p - q & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 & -q \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.18)

These matrices have the same eigenvalues as the H1, 2 class. However, they are not related to each other by the continuous transformations (2.6). All of this implies that the (1, 2) class has four representatives given by the usual H1, 2 matrix in (2.17) and the three additional ones given by (2.18). Thus while finding the class into which a given

³We are using the word 'representatives' in a loose sense here. By definition an equivalence class has only one representative. In the current context we mean by representatives, the number of matrices of a given class that need to be compared with (using the gauge transformation (2.6)) to check if a given solution falls into that class or not.

solution falls, we need to check the gauge equivalence to the 10 classes in (2.17), the permutation operator P and the three matrices in (2.18) that are obtained from H1, 2 by applying the discrete transformations I, III and $I \times III^4$.

Note that we do not have to carry out the above exercise for the second discrete transformation (2.10) as it is a special case of the gauge transformations, as noted earlier.

The eigenvalues of the 10 Hietarinta classes are an important guide to determining gauge equivalences. If two operators do not share eigenvalues then they are certainly not gauge equivalent. On the other hand, if they have the same eigenvalue structure (determined by the multiplicity of the eigenvalues), then there could exist a gauge transformation (Q matrix) that connects the two operators. For this reason, we collect the set of eigenvalues for each of the 10 Hietarinta classes in Table 1.

Class	Eigenvalues
H0, 1	$\{-1, 1, 1, 1\}$
H0, 2	$\{1+i, 1+i, 1-i, 1-i\}$
H1, 1	$\{2p^2, 2p^2, -2q^2, -2q^2\}$
H1, 2	$\{p, p, -q, -q\}$
H1, 3	$\{-k^2, k^2, k^2, k^2\}$
H1, 4	$\{k, k, \pm \sqrt{pq}\}$
H2, 1	$\{k^2, k^2, k^2, -pq\}$
H2, 2	$\{k^2,k^2,-pq,-pq\}$
H2, 3	$\{-k,k,k,k\}$
H3, 1	$\{k, s, \pm \sqrt{pq}\}$

Table 1: The eigenvalues of the 10 Hietarinta classes in (2.17). These are the eigenvalues of the braided operators. The three matrices in (2.18) have the same eigenvalues as H1, 2.

3 Algebraic structures

The successful method employed by Hietarinta in solving for the constant YBO's [12, 14] proved to be quite efficient for the N = 2 or qubit case. To find the YBO's for other N this method has to be repeated. This is bound to be limited by the available computational resources. To overcome this we will use algebraic ansätze to solve the YBE in a representation-independent manner. We will see that when the qubit or two-dimensional (N = 2) representation is chosen these solutions fall into one of the 10 Hietarinta classes (2.17) and (2.18).

 $^{^{4}}$ As noted earlier the identity matrix trivially solves the YBE and is a class of its own. The solutions we consider in this work are non-trivial and will not fall into this class.

We use four algebraic structures to achieve our goals :

- 1. A set of commuting operators.
- 2. Clifford algebras.
- 3. Temperley-Lieb algebras.
- 4. Partition algebras.

In this section, we write down the relations satisfied by the generators of these algebraic structures. Following this we will study how to obtain every Hietarinta class from an algebraic solution to the YBE.

3.1 Commuting operators

Consider a set of commuting operators⁵ :

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{commuting}} = \left\{ A^{(\alpha)} \middle| \left[A^{(\alpha)}, A^{(\beta)} \right] = 0 \; ; \; \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, \cdots\} \right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

with the operators $A^{(\alpha)}$ acting on the local Hilbert space V. This set is finite or infinite depending on the range of values the indices α and β take. In this work, we just use a pair of commuting operators, so $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$. This is sufficient when $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. This is due to the fact there can be no more than two mutually commuting 2 by 2 matrices that are non-trivial. In light of this, we take :

$$A^{(1)} \equiv A \quad ; \quad A^{(2)} \equiv B,$$

and

$$[A,B] = 0$$

3.2 Anticommuting operators

Consider a pair of anticommuting operators A and B

$$\{A, B\} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Such operators can be realized using the generators of Clifford algebras $\mathbf{CL}(p,q)$ of order p+q, which we now define.

⁵We can also think of this as an Abelian set of operators or an Abelian algebra.

Definition 3.1 Consider a vector space with a degenerate quadratic form denoted by a multiplication. The quadratic form has a signature p + q with p and q being positive integers. A Clifford algebra is an associative algebra generated by p+q elements denoted

$$\{\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_{p+q}\}.$$

They satisfy the relations

$$\Gamma_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le p, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Gamma_i^2 = -1 \text{ for } p + 1 \le i \le p + q,$$
(3.4)

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = -\Gamma_j \Gamma_i \text{ for } i \neq j. \tag{3.5}$$

In the ansätze to follow we use cases where both p and q are non-zero. We also consider cases where one of A or B is non-invertible but still anticommuting. Such operators can also be realized using Clifford algebras as we shall see.

3.3 Temperley-Lieb algebras

The Temperley-Lieb (TL) algebra [38], $\mathbf{TL}_M(\eta)$ is generated by M-1 generators $e_i \equiv e_{i,i+1}$ with $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$. The integers *i* index the local Hilbert space *V*. Each generator e_i acts non-trivially on i, i+1, and as identity on all the other indices. They satisfy the relations

$$e_i^2 = \eta \ e_i, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i,$$

$$e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad \text{for } |i-j| > 1.$$
(3.6)

In general, these generators are invariant under the action of the permutation operator $P_i \equiv P_{i,i+1}$. When this is the case they generate the Brauer algebra [7] together with the permutation operator. The resulting algebra is then a subalgebra of the partition algebra, to be defined next. However, the two-dimensional representation we use in this paper does not commute with the permutation operator on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and hence the YBO's we obtain from this algebra are distinct from those obtained from the partition algebras.

3.4 Partition algebra

Similar to the TL algebra the partition algebra [10] is defined on M points with a local Hilbert space attached to each point. It is generated by three sets of operators :

$$f_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$$
$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \equiv f_{i,i+1} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$$
(3.7)

along with the M-1 permutation operators P_i . The operators f_i act on a single space V and the operators $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ act on neighboring V's indexed by i and i+1, just as the permutation operators and the TL generators. Moreover, the f_i operators commute among themselves. The same holds for the set of $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ operators.

They satisfy the relations,

$$f_{i}^{2} = f_{i}, \quad f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{2} = f_{i+\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$f_{i}f_{i\pm\frac{1}{2}}f_{i} = f_{i}, \quad f_{i\pm\frac{1}{2}}f_{i}f_{i\pm\frac{1}{2}} = f_{i\pm\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$f_{i}f_{j} = f_{j}f_{i} \quad \text{for } |i-j| > 1/2,$$

(3.8)

The generators f_i and $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ are projectors like the TL generators. With the inclusion of the permutation generators P_i we have another set of relations,

$$P_{i}f_{i}f_{i+1} = f_{i}f_{i+1}P_{i} = f_{i}f_{i+1}$$

$$P_{i}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i} = f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P_{i}f_{i+j} = f_{i+j}P_{i}, \quad \text{for } j \neq -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1, \frac{3}{2}.$$
(3.9)

Later when we take the local Hilbert space to be \mathbb{C}^2 , we assume the following representation for the partition algebra generators

$$f_i = \frac{\mathbb{1} + Z_i}{2}; \quad f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{1} + X_i X_{i+1}.$$
 (3.10)

The permutation operator on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by (2.5). It is important to note that in this representation $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^2 = 2f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ and so is not a pure projector as implied by the defining relations in (3.8). The other relations in (3.8) and (3.9) remain unaffected. For the braid generators we construct using the partition algebra generators, this fact is inconsequential. We could rescale the $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ generator but that introduces several factors in the other relations of the partition algebra and so we avoid this possibility. We close the discussion on partition algebras with three remarks. **Remark 3.1** This representation can easily be generalized to a qudit or d-dimensional setting using the clock and shift operators of \mathbb{Z}_d in place of the Pauli Z and X. This is essential while considering the higher dimensional representations of the YBO's constructed out of partition algebras.

Remark 3.2 As noted earlier in Sec. 3.3, the TL algebra is a subalgebra of the partition algebra. It is easily seen that the operators

$$e_i = f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.11}$$

satisfy the relations of the TL algebra (3.6) as a consequence of the partition algebra relations (3.8). Moreover, this realization also guarantees that the TL generators are permutation invariant. This is due to the partition algebra relations (3.9). In this case, they satisfy the relations of the Brauer algebra [7].

Remark 3.3 Partition algebras and TL algebras are special cases of the more general diagram algebras. The generators of these algebras can be represented by diagrams which can be combined and manipulated using the rules of a diagrammatic calculus. This helps in understanding several of the relations satisfied by these generators (3.8), (3.9) and (3.6). Some of these can be found in [20, 10, 30] and we refer the interested readers to these references.

4 Algebraic solutions for the Hietarinta classes

The 10 Hietarinta classes in (2.17) can be divided according to the number of parameters appearing in each of them [14]. The (0, 1) and (0, 2) classes have no parameters. The (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4) classes have one independent parameter up to the gauge transformations (2.6). The classes with two independent parameters are (2, 1), (2, 2) and (2, 3). The last class (3, 1) has three independent parameters. The eleventh class is the singleton set consisting of the permutation operator. We will not discuss this case as it appears in the definition of the partition algebra generators implying that this is the algebraic solution. In the rest of the section, we will discuss the different algebraic solutions that contain a given class when the \mathbb{C}^2 representation is chosen. We do not obtain the (2, 2) class by any of the algebraic ansätze and hence we do not consider that case here.

In each case below we compute the Q matrix that maps a given algebraic solution

into the proposed Hietarinta class. We adopt the following notation for the Q:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

We search for invertible Q's as required by the definition of the gauge transformations (2.6) and solve for its parameters q_i 's, in each case.

4.1 The *H*0, 1 class

This class is obtained from constant YBO's constructed from Clifford algebras and partition algebras.

From Clifford algebras : For a pair of anticommuting operators A and B, the operator

$$R_{ij} = \alpha \ A_i A_j + \beta \ B_i B_j, \tag{4.2}$$

satisfies the non-braided form of the YBE (2.2) [29]. Choosing

$$A = X\left(\frac{\mathbb{1}+Z}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; B = Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we find the resulting operator is gauge equivalent to the H0, 1 matrix, where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{\beta} & q_3}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \\ q_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa = \beta.$$

Here we have chosen the Clifford algebra $\mathbf{CL}(2,0)$ to realize the above A and B operators.

More generally, we can use an anticommuting pair A and B,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 & 0 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

that satisfies the relations

$$A^2 \propto \mathbb{1}; \quad B^2 = 0.$$

Then the braided YBO rotates into H0, 1 when

$$q_1 = \frac{c_1 q_2}{2d_1}, q_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{\beta c_2 q_2}}{\sqrt{\alpha} d_1}, q_4 = 0, \kappa = \alpha d_1^2.$$

From partition algebras : Consider the YBO⁶

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i - 2 f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} - 2 f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i + 4 f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.3)

This satisfies the braided form of the YBE (2.1). This is hard to check analytically. However, it is easier to analytically verify that this solution multiplied by the permutation operator satisfies the non-braided form of the YBE (2.2). In this case, each side of the equation reduces to

$$\mathbb{1} - 4f_i f_{i+1} - 4f_{i+1} f_{i+2} + 4f_i f_{i+2} + 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + 4f_{i+1} f_{i+2} f_{i+\frac{3}{2}} - 4f_i f_{i+2} f_{i,i+2} + 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + 4f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + 4f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - 4f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i$$

with $f_{i,i+2} = P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i+1}$. The inverse of this operator is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} = P_i \left[\mathbb{1} - 2\left(f_i + f_{i+1}\right) + 8f_i f_{i+1} - 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$
(4.4)

On choosing the \mathbb{C}^2 representations for the partition algebra generators (3.10) we find that this solution is gauge equivalent to the H0, 1 matrix *via*

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & 0\\ 0 & \pm \frac{q_1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa = 1.$$

4.2 The *H*0, 2 class

This class can be obtained from Clifford algebras. Consider the following YBO obtained from a pair of anticommuting operators A and B

$$\bar{R}_{ij} = \mathbb{1} + A_i B_j. \tag{4.5}$$

These operators are realized using the order 2 Clifford algebra $\mathbf{CL}(1,1)$ with

$$A^2 = -1$$
; $B^2 = 1$.

In the \mathbb{C}^2 representation we pick the matrices

$$A = \mathbf{i}Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

⁶We could also consider an operator

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i - 2 f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} - 2 f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i + 4 f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i f_{i+1}$$

that has properties similar to (4.3).

Then the above operator is precisely H0, 2 (2.17).

The braid representation in (4.5) is very similar to the braid representations constructed using Majorana fermions [23, 21]. We find that the latter also gives rise to the (0, 2) class as follows. Consider a set of Majoranas $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_M\}$, generating the Clifford algebra $\mathbf{CL}(M, 0)$ over the real numbers. They satisfy the relations:

$$\gamma_i^2 = \mathbb{1} \ \forall i; \quad \gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = 0 \ \text{ for } i \neq j.$$
 (4.6)

The YBO satisfying the braided YBE (2.1) is then

$$\ddot{R}_{i,i+1} = (\mathbb{1} + \gamma_{i+1}\gamma_i). \tag{4.7}$$

If we choose qubit representation on each site, γ_i can be expressed in terms of a non-local string operator:

$$\gamma_i = X_i \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} Z_k, \tag{4.8}$$

where the X and Z are the first and third Pauli matrices respectively. Though these are non-local the product $\gamma_{i+1}\gamma_i = iY_iX_{i+1}$ is local. This braid operator is precisely H0, 2in (2.17).

4.3 The H1, 1 class

This class is contained in the constant YBO's constructed using the TL algebra. In this case, the constant YBO is precisely the Jones representation [18] :

$$\tilde{R}_{i,i+1} \equiv \tilde{R}_i = \alpha \ \mathbb{1} + \beta \ e_i. \tag{4.9}$$

This satisfies the braided YBE (2.1) when the complex parameters α , β satisfy,

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \eta \alpha \beta = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

To go further we require the representations of TL algebras. We use the technique demonstrated by Kulish *et al.* [25] to obtain these representations. Consider a local Hilbert space V with dimension N. Then a square matrix 'e' of size $N^2 \times N^2$ can be constructed in terms of two $N \times N$ invertible matrices A and B as,

$$e_{ab,cd} = A_{ab}B_{cd}.\tag{4.11}$$

The projector condition $e^2 = \eta e$ yields $\eta = \text{Tr}(A^T B)$, where trace Tr is taken over V. The relation $e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i$ is satisfied if and only if A and B satisfy the condition

$$(BA)^T (AB) = (AB)(BA)^T = \mathbb{1}.$$
 (4.12)

Then the pair

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_2^2 + \frac{1}{b_2^2}}{a_4} & a_2\\ a_2 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2\\ b_2 & -\frac{2a_2b_2}{a_4} \end{pmatrix},$$

leads to a matrix representation of e for $\eta = 0$. This results in a constant \tilde{R} -matrix for $\beta = i\alpha$,

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{ib_2\left(a_2^2 + \frac{1}{b_2^2}\right)}{a_4} & \frac{ib_2\left(a_2^2 + \frac{1}{b_2^2}\right)}{a_4} & -\frac{2ia_2b_2\left(a_2^2 + \frac{1}{b_2^2}\right)}{a_4^2} \\ 0 & 1 + ia_2b_2 & ia_2b_2 & -\frac{2ia_2^2b_2}{a_4} \\ 0 & ia_2b_2 & 1 + ia_2b_2 & -\frac{2ia_2^2b_2}{a_4} \\ 0 & ia_4b_2 & ia_4b_2 & 1 - 2ia_2b_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(4.13)$$

This yields just two of the operators in the (1, 1) class with

$$\left\{q_2 \to -\frac{\mathrm{i}q_1 \left(\mathrm{a}_2\mathrm{b}_2 - 1\right)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{a}_2\mathrm{b}_2 + 1\right)^2}}, \ q_3 \to \frac{a_4 b_2 q_1}{a_2 b_2 + 1}, \ q_4 \to -\frac{\mathrm{i}a_4 b_2 q_1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{a}_2\mathrm{b}_2 + 1\right)^2}}, \ p \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\kappa}}, \ q \to \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\kappa}}\right\}$$

4.4 The H1, 2 class

The operators in this class can only be obtained from the algebraic solutions constructed using the partition algebras. By our Definition 2.1 of an equivalence class of solutions we recall that there are four representatives for this class, given by H1, 2 in (2.17) and by the three matrices $H_{1,2}^{(I)}, H_{1,2}^{(III)}, H_{1,2}^{(I \times III)}$ in (2.18). The latter is obtained from H1, 2 by the action of the first, third, and a product of the two discrete transformations respectively. We now present the algebraic solutions for each of these four representatives of the (1,2) Hietarinta class.

We require four algebraic solutions that will map to the four representatives of the (1, 2) class under the gauge transformations (2.6). These are obtained from the following ansatz. Consider the braided YBO

$$\tilde{R}_{i,i+1} = \lambda \ \mathbb{1} + \mu \ f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i + \nu \ f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1}.$$
(4.14)

Substituting this into the braided YBE (2.1) we find

$$\tilde{R}_{i,i+1}\tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}\tilde{R}_{i,i+1} - \tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}\tilde{R}_{i,i+1}\tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}
= (\lambda^{2}\mu + \lambda\mu^{2} + \nu\mu^{2} + \lambda\mu\nu)(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_{i} - f_{i+\frac{3}{2}}f_{i+1})
+ (\lambda^{2}\nu + \lambda\nu^{2} + \mu\nu^{2} + \lambda\mu\nu)(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_{i+1} - f_{i+\frac{3}{2}}f_{i+2}).$$
(4.15)

The above equation will be zero if and only if

$$\lambda^2 \mu + \lambda \mu^2 + \nu \mu^2 + \lambda \mu \nu = 0, \text{ or } \lambda^2 \nu + \lambda \nu^2 + \mu \nu^2 + \lambda \mu \nu = 0.$$

Both conditions simplify to

$$\lambda^{2} + (\mu + \nu)\lambda + \mu\nu = 0 \implies \lambda = -\mu, \text{ or } -\nu.$$
(4.16)

This gives us two of the four solutions. To obtain the remaining two solutions we use the ansatz

$$\tilde{R}_{i,i+1} = \lambda \ \mathbb{1} + \mu \ f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \nu \ f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.17}$$

to determine the braided YBO's. We find that

$$\tilde{R}_{i,i+1}\tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}\tilde{R}_{i,i+1} - \tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}\tilde{R}_{i,i+1}\tilde{R}_{i+1,i+2}
= (\lambda^{2}\mu + \lambda\mu^{2} + \nu\mu^{2} + \lambda\mu\nu)(f_{i}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - f_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{3}{2}})
+ (\lambda^{2}\nu + \lambda\nu^{2} + \mu\nu^{2} + \lambda\mu\nu)(f_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - f_{i+2}f_{i+\frac{3}{2}}),$$
(4.18)

is satisfied when

$$\lambda = -\mu$$
, or $-\nu$.

These are the remaining two solutions. Next we look at the precise mappings to the (1,2) class. In each case we will write down the algebraic inverses as well.

For H1, 2: Consider the YBO that satisfies the braided YBE

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i - 2f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_i f_{i+1}.$$
(4.19)

When multiplied by the permutation operator P_i , it reduces both sides of the non-braided YBE (2.2) to

$$\mathbb{1} - 2f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_if_{i+1} - 2P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i+1}f_if_{i+2} - 2f_{i+\frac{3}{2}}f_{i+1}f_{i+2} + 4P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i+1}f_if_{i+1}f_{i+2} - 2f_{i+\frac{3}{2}}f_{i+1}f_{i+2} - 4P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}P_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}f_{i+\frac{1}{$$

It is interesting to note that this operator is its own inverse. This is verified from the relations of the partition algebra (3.8) and (3.9). As it also satisfies the braid relation (2.1) we tend to conclude that it is just a realization of the permutation group. However this operator is not hermitian unlike the permutation operator.

The operator (4.19) is gauge equivalent to H1, 2, when the representation (3.10) is chosen, by the transformation

$$\left\{q_1 \to 0, \ q_4 \to 0, \ k \to -\frac{2q_2^2}{\kappa q_3^2}, \ p \to \frac{1}{\kappa}, \ q \to \frac{1}{\kappa}\right\}.$$

The other braided YBO that is gauge equivalent to H1, 2, when the representation (3.10) is chosen, is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = \alpha \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \beta f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(4.20)$$

The inverse is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\mathbb{1} - f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.21)

The Q operator in this case is given by

$$\left\{q_2 \to 0, \ q_3 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{q_4^2 \left(\beta - \alpha_0\right)}{\kappa q_1^2}, \ p \to \frac{\beta}{\kappa}, \ q \to -\frac{\alpha_0}{\kappa}\right\}$$

For $H_{1,2}^{(I)}$: The YBO that satisfies the braided YBE

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i - 2f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.22)

This simplifies both sides of the non-braided YBE to

$$\mathbb{1} - 2f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - 2f_{i+1} f_{i+2} f_{i+\frac{3}{2}} - 2f_i f_{i+2} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} + 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+2} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} + 4f_i f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} + 4f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} + 4f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} P_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+\frac{1$$

Notice that this operator is the adjoint of the operator (4.19). It also squares to the identity and resembles a non-hermitian permutation-like operator.

This operator is gauge equivalent to $H_{1,2}^{(I)}$ (2.18), when the representation (3.10) is chosen, by the transformation

$$\left\{q_1 \to 0, \ q_4 \to 0, \ k \to -\frac{2q_3^2}{\kappa q_2^2}, \ p \to \frac{1}{\kappa}, \ q \to \frac{1}{\kappa}\right\}.$$

In this case, the other YBO is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = \alpha \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} \right) + \beta f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(4.23)$$

Its inverse is

$$\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.24)

This is gauge equivalent to $H_{1,2}^{(I)}$ (2.18), when the representation (3.10) is chosen, by the transformation

$$\left\{q_2 \to 0, \ q_3 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{q_1^2 \left(\beta - \alpha_0\right)}{\kappa q_4^2}, \ p \to \frac{\beta}{\kappa}, \ q \to -\frac{\alpha_0}{\kappa}\right\}.$$

For $H_{1,2}^{(III)}$: Now the braided YBO is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = \alpha \left(\mathbb{1} - f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \beta f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(4.25)$$

The inverse of this operator

$$\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.26)

The Q operator is given by

$$\left\{q_2 \to 0, \ q_3 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{q_4^2 \left(\beta - \alpha_0\right)}{\kappa q_1^2}, \ p \to \frac{\beta}{\kappa}, \ q \to -\frac{\alpha_0}{\kappa}\right\}.$$

For $H_{1,2}^{(I \times III)}$: The last representative of the (1,2) class is obtained from the qubit representation of the braided YBO,

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = \alpha \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i \right) + \beta f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(4.27)$$

The inverse of this operator

$$\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\mathbb{1} - f_{i+1}f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.28)

The Q operator is given by

$$\left\{q_2 \to 0, \ q_3 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{q_1^2 \left(\beta - \alpha_0\right)}{\kappa q_4^2}, \ p \to \frac{\beta}{\kappa}, \ q \to -\frac{\alpha_0}{\kappa}\right\}.$$

4.5 The *H*1, 3 class

This class is also obtained from the Jones representation (4.9) when the A and B matrices take the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ -a_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{a_2} \\ -\frac{1}{a_2} & b_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above pair leads to the matrix representation of e (4.11) for $\eta = 2$. The corresponding constant YBO for $\beta = -\alpha$ is

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{a_1}{a_2} & \frac{a_1}{a_2} & -a_1b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a_2b_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a_2b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.29)

This falls into H1, 3 class with

$$\left\{q_3 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}, \ p \to \frac{a_1 q_4}{\sqrt{\kappa} a_2 q_1}, \ q \to -\frac{a_2 b_4 q_4}{\sqrt{\kappa} q_1}\right\}.$$

4.6 The *H*1, 4 class

As in the (0, 1) class we have two ways to obtain the (1, 4) class, from Clifford algebras and from TL algebras *via* the Jones representation.

From Clifford algebras : We use the constant YBO (4.2) with A and B operators given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These satisfy

$$A^2 = -1; \quad B^2 = 1,$$

and hence can be realized using Clifford algebras of order 2, $\mathbf{CL}(1,1)$. The resulting YBO rotates to $H_{1,4}$ under the gauge transformation

$$\left\{\kappa \to -\frac{2\alpha}{k - \sqrt{p}\sqrt{q}}, q_1 \to -q_2, q_3 \to -\frac{\sqrt[4]{q}q_2}{\sqrt[4]{p}}, q_4 \to -\frac{\sqrt[4]{q}q_2}{\sqrt[4]{p}}, \beta \to \frac{\alpha\left(k + \sqrt{p}\sqrt{q}\right)}{\sqrt{p}\sqrt{q} - k}\right\}.$$

From TL algebras : Choose the A and B operators as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_2^2}{a_4} + \frac{1}{b_1} & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & -\frac{a_2b_1}{a_4} \\ -\frac{a_2b_1}{a_4} & \frac{b_1a_2^2 + a_4}{a_4^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This pair leads to the matrix representation of e (4.11) for $\eta = 2$, resulting in the constant YBO for $\beta = -\alpha$ (4.9),

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} & \frac{a_2(a_2^2b_1 + a_4)}{a_4^2} & \frac{a_2(a_2^2b_1 + a_4)}{a_4^2} & -\frac{(a_2^2b_1 + a_4)^2}{a_4^3b_1} \\ -a_2b_1 & \frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} + 1 & \frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} & -\frac{a_2(a_2^2b_1 + a_4)}{a_4^2} \\ -a_2b_1 & \frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} & \frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} + 1 & -\frac{a_2(a_2^2b_1 + a_4)}{a_4^2} \\ -a_4b_1 & a_2b_1 & a_2b_1 & -\frac{a_2^2b_1}{a_4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(4.30)$$

This falls into $H_{1,4}$ class with

$$\left\{q_3 \to 0, \ q_4 \to \frac{a_4 q_2}{a_2}, \ k \to \frac{1}{\kappa}, \ p \to -\frac{a_4 q_2^2}{\kappa a_2^2 b_1 q_1^2}, \ q \to -\frac{a_2^2 b_1 q_1^2}{\kappa a_4 q_2^2}\right\}.$$

4.7 The *H*2,1 class

This class is also obtained from the TL algebras via the Jones representation. For this case we choose the A and B matrices as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ -a_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ -\frac{1}{a_2^2 b_2} & b_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above pair leads to the matrix representation of e (4.11) for $\eta = a_2b_2 + \frac{1}{a_2b_2}$, that results in the constant YBO for $\beta = -\alpha a_2 b_2$,

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - a_2^2 b_2^2 & 1 & -a_2^2 b_2 b_4 \\ 0 & a_2^2 b_2^2 & 0 & a_2^2 b_2 b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.31)

This falls into a one-parameter sub class of the (2,1) class with

$$\left\{q_3 \to 0, \ q_4 \to \frac{q_2\left(\frac{1}{a_2^2} - b_2^2\right)}{b_2 b_4}, \ p \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}, \ q \to a_2^2 b_2^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}, \ k \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right\}.$$

4.8 The *H*2, 3 class

This class is obtained from a pair of operators that are either both projectors or are both nilpotent. Furthermore, they satisfy AB = BA = 0 and so can be considered as both commuting and anticommuting. We will use the algebraic solutions constructed from commuting operators to find the YBO's.

Consider a pair of commuting projectors:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ 0 & -\frac{b_2 a_1}{b_1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.32)

with the matrix entries being complex parameters. It is easily seen that they satisfy the properties

$$A^2 = A; \quad B^2 = B; \quad A.B = 0.$$

Substituting them into the ansatz (4.35), we can get $H_{2,37}^{7}$

$$\{q_3 = 0, \ a_1 = 0, \beta_1 = \frac{qq_4 - \alpha_1 b_1 kq_1}{b_2 kq_1}, \ \beta_2 = \frac{pq_4 - \alpha_2 b_1 kq_1}{b_2 kq_1}, \ \gamma_1 = \frac{q_4^2 s - b_2^2 \gamma_4 kq_1^2}{b_1^2 kq_1^2}, \ \kappa = \frac{1}{k}\}.$$

Next, we consider a pair of commuting nilpotent operators

$$A = X \frac{(\mathbb{1} + Z)}{2}, \quad B = Y \frac{(\mathbb{1} + Z)}{2}.$$
(4.33)

Substituting them into the algebraic solution (4.35), we obtain the H2, 3 class with the following gauge conditions

$$\left\{q_1 \to 0, \ k \to \frac{1}{n}, \ p \to \frac{q_2(\alpha_2 + i\beta_2)}{\kappa q_3}, \ q \to \frac{q_2(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)}{\kappa q_3}, \ s \to \frac{q_2^2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_4 + i\gamma_3 + i\gamma_2)}{\kappa q_3^2}\right\}.$$
(4.34)

4.9 The *H*3, 1 class

There are three ways to arrive at the (3, 1) class through algebraic solutions. These include the solutions constructed from commuting operators, from anticommuting operators realized using Clifford algebras, and from partition algebras.

⁷Note that for H2, 3, we have solved for the internal parameters of the operators A, B, Q, and κ .

From commuting operators : For a pair of commuting operators A and B the operator

$$R_{ij} = \mathbb{1} + \alpha_1 A_i + \alpha_2 A_j + \beta_1 B_i + \beta_2 B_j + \gamma_1 A_i A_j + \gamma_2 A_i B_j + \gamma_3 B_i A_j + \gamma_4 B_i B_j,$$
(4.35)

with complex parameters α 's, β 's and γ 's, readily satisfies the non-braided form of the YBE (2.2). This follows from the index structure of the non-braided YBE (2.2). In fact, this operator solves the non-braided YBE when the *R*-matrices on each side of the equation are written in any order. However, note that the YBO's of (3.1) multiplied by the permutation operator, solve the braided form of the YBE (2.1) in a more non-obvious manner. At first glance, these solutions may appear to be trivial solutions as everything commutes with each other. Nevertheless, we will now see that it contains the (3, 1) Hietarinta class.

Consider the following \mathbb{C}^2 representations of the pair A, B:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.36}$$

with $a_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting this into (4.35) results in a diagonal YBO (*R*-matrix) satisfying the non-braided form of the YBE (2.2). The braided form, obtained by multiplying the latter with the permutation operator, transforms into H3, 1 when

$$\begin{split} q_1 &= 0, \; q_4 = 0, \\ p &= \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 a_1 + \alpha_7 a_1 d_1 + \alpha_5 a_1 d_2 + \alpha_4 a_2 + \alpha_6 a_2 d_1 + \alpha_8 a_2 d_2 + \alpha_1 d_1 + \alpha_3 d_2}{\kappa}, \\ q &= \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_7 a_1 d_1 + \alpha_6 a_1 d_2 + \alpha_3 a_2 + \alpha_5 a_2 d_1 + \alpha_8 a_2 d_2 + \alpha_2 d_1 + \alpha_4 d_2}{\kappa}, \\ s &= \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_7 a_1^2 + \alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_2 a_1 + \alpha_5 a_1 a_2 + \alpha_6 a_1 a_2 + \alpha_8 a_2^2 + \alpha_3 a_2 + \alpha_4 a_2}{\kappa}, \\ k &= \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_7 d_1^2 + \alpha_1 d_1 + \alpha_2 d_1 + \alpha_5 d_1 d_2 + \alpha_6 d_1 d_2 + \alpha_8 d_2^2 + \alpha_3 d_2 + \alpha_4 d_2}{\kappa}. \end{split}$$

From Clifford algebras : Consider a pair of anticommuting projectors represented on \mathbb{C}^2 ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ 0 & -\frac{b_2 a_1}{b_1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.37)

with the matrix entries being complex parameters. These operators satisfy

$$A^2 = A; \quad B^2 = B; \quad A.B = 0.$$

Thus these operators also commute with each other. Hence we can substitute them in the YBO (4.35) to obtain the YBO,

$$R_{ij} = \alpha A_i A_j + \beta B_i B_j + \gamma A_i B_j + \delta B_i A_j.$$

$$(4.38)$$

Then the braided matrix $\check{R} = P \cdot R_{ij}$ is identified with H3, 1 if the following conditions hold:

$$q_{1} = 0, q_{4} = \frac{b_{1}q_{3}}{a_{1}}, \quad p = -\frac{a_{1}^{2}b_{2}\gamma}{b_{1}\kappa},$$
$$q = -\frac{a_{1}^{2}b_{2}\delta}{b_{1}\kappa}, \quad s = \frac{\alpha a_{1}^{2}}{\kappa}, \quad k = \frac{a_{1}^{2}\beta b_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}\kappa}$$

From partition algebras : Consider the following YBO's satisfying the braided form of the YBE (2.1) [30],

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i \, \left(\mathbb{1} + \alpha \, f_i + \beta \, f_{i+1} + \gamma \, f_i f_{i+1} \right), \tag{4.39}$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = P_i \left(\mathbb{1} + \alpha \ f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right), \tag{4.40}$$

with the complex parameters α , β and γ . It is easily verified using the partition algebra relations (3.8) and (3.9) that these operators satisfy the braided YBE (2.1). In fact, it is even easier to check that they satisfy the non-braided YBE upon multiplication by the permutation operator P. We then observe that both these solutions are special cases of the ones obtained from commuting operators. For the partition algebra representations on \mathbb{C}^2 (3.10), the solution in (4.39) is the case when both A and B are the same diagonal matrix and the solution in (4.40) is the case when both A = B = X. Thus it is clear these two solutions fall into the (3, 1) Hietarinta class.

Closely related to the partition algebra solution (4.39) is another algebraic solution,

$$\hat{R}_{ij} = P_i + \alpha \ f_i f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_{i+1} + \beta \ f_{i+1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} f_i \ ; \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.41)

This satisfies the braided YBE (2.1). Though it looks rather non-trivial in the braided form, its non-braided form, obtained by multiplying by P_i , reduces to a YBO that is a linear combination of just the identity operator 1, f_i and f_{i+1} due to the partition algebra relations (3.8) and (3.9). This provides an example of two solutions that look entirely different in the braided form even though their non-braided forms are quite similar.

4.10 Summary

Out of the 10 Hietarinta classes in (2.17), we obtain 9 classes. Some of these 9 classes are obtained by just one of the algebraic solutions whereas some others are contained in algebraic solutions with different origins. These are succinctly summarized in Table 2 which captures the entire essence of this work.

Class	Clifford	Commuting	Temperley-Lieb	Partition algebra
$H_{3,1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
$H_{2,1}$			\checkmark	
$H_{2,2}$				
$H_{2,3}$	\checkmark	\checkmark		
$H_{1,1}$			\checkmark	
$H_{1,2}$				\checkmark
$H_{1,3}$			\checkmark	
$H_{1,4}$	\checkmark		\checkmark	
$H_{0,1}$	\checkmark			\checkmark
$H_{0,2}$	\checkmark			

Table 2: Summary of results indicating all possible methods to generate a given Hietarinta class. The cases $\checkmark \checkmark$ indicate algebraic solutions that fall into a subclass of the specified class.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we have shown different algebraic methods to construct constant YBO's, also known as braid group generators. The resulting solutions are representation independent helping us construct braid generators in any dimension. In particular, when the local Hilbert space is two dimensional (qubit representation) our solutions are gauge equivalent to the constant 4 by 4 solutions of Hietarinta [11, 14, 13, 12]. We recover 10 of the 11 Hietarinta classes including the singleton comprising the permutation group generator. Some of these classes arise from different algebraic solutions and this is summarized in Table 2.

In this process, we have discovered several new algebraic solutions to the constant Yang-Baxter equation or the braid relation which can be contrasted with the Jones representation. Our solutions come from partition algebras, which can be seen as generalizations of the Temperley-Lieb algebra and from Clifford algebras. These are :

- 1. Equation (4.3) generating the (0,1) class.
- 2. Equation (4.5) generating the (0, 2) class.

- 3. Equations (4.19) and (4.20) generating the subclass of (1, 2) from H1, 2.
- 4. Equations (4.22) and (4.23) generating the subclass of (1,2) from $H_{1,2}^{(I)}$.
- 5. Equation (4.25) generating the subclass of (1,2) from $H_{1,2}^{(III)}$.
- 6. Equation (4.27) generating the subclass of (1,2) from $H_{1,2}^{(I \times III)}$.
- 7. Equation (4.41) generating the (3,1) class.

The (2,2) class has remained elusive to our methods. However, we do find this in a representation dependent manner. For example, the following operator

$$R_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\right) P_i + \lambda_1 P_i f_i + \lambda_2 f_i P_i - \frac{\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2\right)}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} f_{i+1},$$
(5.1)

constructed from partition algebra generators is a solution of the braided YBE for the representation (3.10). This solution falls into the (2, 2) class. This solution is not an algebraic solution of the YBE. There are more ways of finding this class from ansätze constructed using partition algebras. But all of them are representation-dependent.

There are a few directions that this work initiates :

- 1. An immediate application of the algebraic solutions in this work is to construct 9 by 9 constant YBO's, which act on local Hilbert spaces of dimension 3. These can be compared with those considered in [15,16]. This will help us understand better the algebraic solutions and more generally the classification.
- 2. The spectral parameter dependent 4 by 4 solutions help to construct both local and non-local integrable models depending on whether the *R*-matrices are regular or not respectively. We can approach this problem [8] in an algebraic way analogous to the one used in this work. Moreover, this approach will help us to systematically construct and study higher spin chains.
- 3. Hietarinta's work also includes constant 4 by 4 YBO's that are non-invertible. He describes 12 such classes in [11]. It would be interesting to construct these algebraically as well. Though they are non-invertible they can be used to construct integrable models after Baxterization [19].

Acknowledgments

We thank Jarmo Hietarinta for valuable comments on the manuscript. VKS and PP thank the organizers of the conference "Quantum Information and Matter", May 27-May 31, 2024 at NYU Abu Dhabi, where part of this work was developed. SM and PP thank Indrajit Jana for useful discussions.

VK is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, National Quantum Information Science Research Centers, Co-Design Center for Quantum Advantage (C^2QA) under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. C^2QA led the research through discussions and idea generations, and participated in preparing and editing the draft. The work of VKS is supported by "Tamkeen under the NYU Abu Dhabi Research Institute grant CG008 and ASPIRE Abu Dhabi under Project AARE20-336".

References

- AKUTSU, Y., DEGUCHI, T., AND WADATI, M. Exactly solvable models and new link polynomials. 2: Link polynomials for closed three braids. J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 56 (1987), 3464–3479.
- [2] AKUTSU, Y., AND WADATI, M. Exactly Solvable Models and New Link Polynomials. 1. N State Vertex Models. J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 56 (1987), 3039–3051.
- [3] AKUTSU, Y., AND WADATI, M. Knots, Links, Braids and Exactly Solvable Models in Statistical Mechanics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 117 (1988), 243.
- [4] ALEINER, I. L. Bethe ansatz solutions for certain periodic quantum circuits. Annals of Physics 433 (2021), 168593.
- [5] BAXTER, R. J. Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model. Annals of Physics 70, 1 (1972), 193–228.
- [6] BAXTER, R. J. Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics.
- [7] BRAUER, R. On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups. Annals of Mathematics 38, 4 (1937), 857–872.
- [8] DE LEEUW, M., PRIBYTOK, A., AND RYAN, P. Classifying integrable spin-1/2 chains with nearest neighbour interactions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 52*, 50 (2019), 505201.
- FEI, S.-M., GUO, H.-Y., AND SHI, H. Multiparameter solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. J. Phys. A 25 (1992), 2711–2720.
- [10] HALVERSON, T., AND RAM, A. Partition algebras. arXiv:math/0401314 (2004).
- [11] HIETARINTA, J. All solutions to the constant quantum yang-baxter equation in two dimensions. *Physics Letters A* 165, 3 (1992), 245–251.
- [12] HIETARINTA, J. Solving the constant quantum yang-baxter equation in 2 dimensions with massive use of factorizing gröbner basis computations. In *Papers from*

the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (New York, NY, USA, 1992), ISSAC '92, Association for Computing Machinery, p. 350–357.

- [13] HIETARINTA, J. The Complete Solution to the Constant Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation in Two Dimensions. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1993, pp. 149–154.
- [14] HIETARINTA, J. Solving the two-dimensional constant quantum yang-baxter equation. Journal of mathematical physics 34, 5 (1993), 1725–1756.
- [15] HIETARINTA, J. The upper triangular solutions to the three-state constant quantum yang-baxter equation. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 26, 23 (1993), 7077.
- [16] HIETARINTA, J., MARTIN, P., AND ROWELL, E. C. Solutions to the constant yangbaxter equation: additive charge conservation in three dimensions. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society A 480, 2294 (2024), 20230810.
- [17] HLAVATY, L. Unusual solutions to the yang-baxter equation. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 20, 7 (may 1987), 1661.
- [18] JONES, V. F. R. A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 12 (1985), 103–111.
- [19] JONES, V. F. R. Baxterization. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 5-11.
- [20] KAUFFMAN, L. H. Knots and Physics, 3rd ed. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2001.
- [21] KAUFFMAN, L. H. Majorana fermions and representations of the braid group. International Journal of Modern Physics A 33, 23 (Aug. 2018), 1830023.
- [22] KAUFFMAN, L. H., AND LOMONACO, S. J. Braiding operators are universal quantum gates. New Journal of Physics 6, 1 (2004), 134.
- [23] KAUFFMAN, L. H., AND LOMONACO, S. J. Braiding with majorana fermions. In Quantum Information and Computation IX (May 2016), E. Donkor and M. Hayduk, Eds., SPIE.
- [24] KOREPIN, V. E., BOGOLIUBOV, N. M., AND IZERGIN, A. G. Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions. Cambridge university press.
- [25] KULISH, P. P., MANOJLOVIC, N., AND NAGY, Z. Quantum symmetry algebras of spin systems related to temperley-lieb r-matrices. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 49, 2 (Feb. 2008).
- [26] MARTIN, P. Temperley-lieb algebras for non-planar statistical mechanics—the partition algebra construction. Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications 3, 01 (1994), 51–82.

- [27] MARTIN, P. The structure of the partition algebras. Journal of Algebra 183, 2 (1996), 319–358.
- [28] MARTIN, P., AND SALEUR, H. On an algebraic approach to higher dimensional statistical mechanics. *Communications in mathematical physics* 158, 1 (1993), 155– 190.
- [29] PADMANABHAN, P., AND KOREPIN, V. Solving the yang-baxter, tetrahedron and higher simplex equations using clifford algebras. *Nuclear Physics B* (2024), 116664.
- [30] PADMANABHAN, P., SUGINO, F., AND TRANCANELLI, D. Braiding quantum gates from partition algebras. *Quantum* 4 (Aug. 2020), 311.
- [31] PADMANABHAN, P., SUGINO, F., AND TRANCANELLI, D. Local invariants of braiding quantum gates—associated link polynomials and entangling power. *Journal* of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 54, 13 (Mar. 2021), 135301.
- [32] SKLYANIN, E. K., TAKHTADZHYAN, L. A., AND FADDEEV, L. D. Quantum inverse problem method. i. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 40, 2 (1979), 688–706.
- [33] SLAVNOV, N. A. Algebraic bethe ansatz. arXiv:1804.07350 [math-ph] (2019).
- [34] SOGO, K., UCHINAMI, M., AKUTSU, Y., AND WADATI, M. Classification of Exactly Solvable Two-Component Models: . Progress of Theoretical Physics 68, 2 (08 1982), 508–526.
- [35] SOPENA, A., GORDON, M. H., GARCÍA-MARTÍN, D., SIERRA, G., AND LÓPEZ,
 E. Algebraic bethe circuits. *Quantum 6* (Sept. 2022), 796.
- [36] TAKHTADZHAN, L. A., AND FADDEEV, L. D. The quantum method of the inverse problem and the heisenberg xyz model. *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 34, 5 (oct 1979), 11.
- [37] TEMPERLEY, H. N., AND LIEB, E. H. Relations between the 'percolation'and 'colouring'problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the 'percolation'problem. Condensed Matter Physics and Exactly Soluble Models: Selecta of Elliott H. Lieb (2004), 475–504.
- [38] TEMPERLEY, H. N. V., AND LIEB, E. H. Relations between the 'percolation' and 'colouring' problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the 'percolation' problem. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 322* (1971), 251–280.
- [39] TURAEV, V. The yang-baxter equation and invariants of links. Inventiones mathematicae 92 (1988), 527–553.

- [40] YANG, C. N. Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 (Dec 1967), 1312–1315.
- [41] ZHANG, K., HAO, K., YU, K., KOREPIN, V., AND YANG, W.-L. Geometric representations of braid and yang-baxter gates. arXiv:2406.08320[quant-ph] (2024).
- [42] ZHANG, R. B. Multiparameter dependent solutions of the yang-baxter equation. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 24, 10 (may 1991), L535.
- [43] ZHANG, Y. Braid group, temperley-lieb algebra, and quantum information and computation, 2008.
- [44] ZHANG, Y., KAUFFMAN, L. H., AND GE, M.-L. Universal quantum gate, yangbaxterization and hamiltonian. *International Journal of Quantum Information 3*, 04 (2005), 669–678.
- [45] ZHANG, Y., KAUFFMAN, L. H., AND GE, M.-L. Yang-baxterizations, universal quantum gates and hamiltonians. *Quantum Information Processing* 4 (2005), 159– 197.