

Risk measures on incomplete markets: a new non-solid paradigm

VASILY MELNIKOV

SEPTEMBER 2024

ABSTRACT

The abstract theory of risk measures is well-developed for certain classes of solid subspaces of L^0 . We provide an example to illustrate that this framework is insufficient to deal with the subtleties of incomplete markets. To remedy this problem, we consider risk measures on the subspace generated by a closed, absolutely convex, and bounded subset $K \subset L^0$, which represents the attainable securities. In this context, we introduce the equicontinuous Fatou property. Under the existence of a certain topology τ on $\text{span}(K)$, interpreted as a generalized weak-star topology, we obtain an equivalence between the equicontinuous Fatou property, and lower semicontinuity with respect to τ . As a corollary, we obtain tractable dual representations for such risk measures, which subsumes essentially all known results on weak-star representations of risk measures. This dual representation allows one to prove that all risk measures of this form extend, in a maximal way, to the ideal generated by $\text{span}(K)$ while preserving a Fatou-like property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal contribution of [Del02], functional-analytic techniques and methods have been crucial to the theory of risk measures. In particular, the abstract theory of risk measures has connections with vector lattices and order convergence (see [CL09] or [BF09]), James's compactness theorem (see [JST06] or [DO21]), and the Fenchel-Moreau theorem (see [Del02] or [GX17]). At the same time, risk measures have become an important tool in a diverse range of issues in mathematical finance, including indifference valuation (see [KS07] or [BFG10]), g -expectations (see [Gia06]), and model uncertainty (see [BK12]).

The typical assumption made about risk measures is that their domain forms a solid subspace of the space L^0 of all random variables. Although mathematically convenient and reasonable in many applications, this is not entirely sensible in incomplete markets: if one interprets the value $\varphi(\xi)$ of a risk measure at a contingent claim ξ to represent an 'empirically measurable quantity of risk', then ξ must be attainable. Indeed, it is impossible to directly empirically test any property about a *non*-attainable contingent claim. This poses a problem: the set of attainable claims is certainly not solid, but the theory of risk measures has been developed only for solid subspaces of L^0 .

In particular, risk measures and their dual representations have been extensively studied and developed on L^∞ (see [Del02]), L^Φ for Φ a Young function (see [GX17] or [Gao+18]), and the Orlicz heart H^Φ (see [CL09] or [GLX19]). However, these results are quite restrictive: all of the domains considered above are solid subspaces of L^0 , and they may be ‘too large’ to analyze in incomplete markets.

To remedy this issue, we assume that the domain of definition of a risk measure is merely a *subspace* E of L^0 , generated by a set of attainable claims. The requisite functional analysis is developed through the use of various topologies and dualities on E . In particular, we assume the existence of a topology τ sharing many of the useful properties of the weak-star topology on a dual Banach space. For example, τ is assumed to satisfy analogues of the Bourbaki-Alaoglu theorem, and the Krein-Šmulian theorem. This topology τ facilitates our study by providing an equivalence between lower semicontinuity with respect to τ , and a ‘Fatou-like property’ which we introduce. As a corollary, one obtains an equivalence between a Fatou-like property and the existence of tractable dual representations. We note the level of generality allows one to recover essentially all known weak-star dual representation theorems in the solid case; in particular, subsuming Delbaen’s original result (see [Del02]), as well as its extension to general Orlicz spaces (see [GX17]).

Although we cannot use the tools and techniques of vector lattice theory in this context, much of this paper is inspired by it. Indeed, consider the following definition.

Definition 1. *A topology τ on a vector lattice E is said to have the C -property if, for any convex $C \subset E$, and any $x \in \overline{C}^\tau$, there exists $\{x_n\}_n \subset C$ with $\{x_n\}_n$ converging in order to x .*

In [BF09] it was claimed, erroneously, that $\sigma(X, X_n^\sim)$ has the C -property if X is an ideal in some $L^1(\mu)$; this is not true for infinite-dimensional $L^\infty(\mu)$ spaces. However, it was noticed by [GX17] that the C -property holds for dual Orlicz spaces when equipped with the weak-star topology, if order convergence is replaced by almost everywhere convergence (which corresponds, in arbitrary vector lattices, to the notion of *unbounded order convergence*; see [GTX17]); as a corollary, they proved the existence of weak-star dual representations of risk measures on Orlicz spaces.

Unfortunately, the proof depends heavily on vector lattice theory, which is not available in our setting. To replace their analogue of the C -property, we will instead use compactness arguments on topologies derived from the duality between $L^1(\nu)$ (where ν is a suitably chosen measure, equivalent to the reference probability) and L^∞ .

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we establish our notation, and some preliminaries. In Section 3, we provide and analyze the following canonical example of non-solidity: whenever a stock price process generates an incomplete market, the set of attainable contingent claims cannot be solid. In Section 4, we introduce the equicontinuous Fatou property, and show that lower semicontinuity with respect to a certain ‘generalized weak-star

topology' τ is equivalent to the equicontinuous Fatou property. In Section 5, we apply these results to obtain dual representations and extensions of risk measures with the equicontinuous Fatou property.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a μ -complete probability space. It will sometimes be necessary to consider a filtration $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} ; for this purpose, we will assume that the 'usual conditions' apply to \mathbb{F} (namely, right-continuity and μ -completeness). Furthermore, assume $\sigma\left(\bigcup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \mathcal{F}$, and \mathcal{F}_0 is the μ -completion of the trivial σ -algebra $\{\Omega, \emptyset\}$.

Denote by $L^0(\mu)$ the space of measurable functions from Ω to \mathbb{R} , modulo μ -a.e. equivalence. Equip this space with the topology of convergence in probability. Denote by $L^0_+(\mu)$ the positive cone in this space. Given a subset $C \subset L^0(\mu)$, define the *polar* of C to be

$$C^\circ = \left\{ g \in L^0(\mu) : \sup_{f \in C} \int_{\Omega} |fg| d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We refer to [BS99] for results related to polar sets.

If ν and η are measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , then we will write $\nu \ll \eta$ to mean that ν is *absolutely continuous* with respect to η . Namely, $\eta(A) = 0$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $\nu(A) = 0$. We will write $\nu \sim \eta$ to mean that ν and η are *equivalent*, i.e., $\nu \ll \eta$ and $\eta \ll \nu$.

Recall that an *atom* of μ is an element $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ such that the following holds. If $B \subset A$ and $\mu(B) < \mu(A)$, then $\mu(B) = 0$. The measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is said to be *non-atomic* if it contains no μ -atoms.

The following lemma is well-known, and we use it several times in this article. We provide a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$. If*

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_A g d\mu,$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $f = g$ up to a μ -null set.

Proof. Define a measure $\nu \ll \mu$ by

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = f - g.$$

Then $\nu = 0$. The μ -a.e. uniqueness of Radon-Nikodým derivatives yields the claim. \square

Let $\langle E, F \rangle$ be a dual pair of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . Denote by $\sigma(E, F)$ the *weak topology* on E with respect to this pairing, which is the topology of uniform

convergence on finite subsets of F . Denote by $\tau(E, F)$ the *Mackey topology* on E with respect to this pairing, which is the topology of uniform convergence on $\sigma(F, E)$ -compact disks. If $K \subset E$ is absorbing, denote by p_K the Minkowski functional of K , i.e.,

$$x \mapsto p_K(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda K\},$$

where $x \in E$.

Suppose (E, τ) is a Hausdorff topological vector space. $(E, \tau)^*$ will denote the *topological dual* of E , which consists of all the τ -continuous linear functionals on E .

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *If $x' \in (E, \sigma(E, F))^*$, then there exists $f \in F$ such that*

$$x'(x) = \langle x, f \rangle,$$

for all $x \in E$.

Proof. Let $U = (-1, 1)$. By continuity of x' , the set $V = \{x \in E : x'(x) \in U\}$ is $\sigma(E, F)$ -open. Thus, there exists a finite set $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset F$ such that

$$\{x \in E : \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ one has } \langle x, f_i \rangle \in U\} \subset V.$$

Thus, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in E : \langle x, f_i \rangle = 0\} \subset \ker(x')$, implying x' is a linear combination of functionals $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_n\}$ such that x'_i equals the map $x \mapsto \langle x, f_i \rangle$ pointwise on E . \square

We will use the following lemma several times throughout this article.

Lemma 3. *A linear functional $x' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if, and only if, $\ker(x')$ is closed.*

Proof. Suppose that x' is continuous. Then $\ker(x')$ is the inverse image of the closed set $\{0\}$ under the continuous function x' , hence is closed. Conversely, suppose that $\ker(x')$ is closed. Then, due to abstract nonsense (in particular, the first isomorphism theorem), the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{x'} & \mathbb{R} \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \simeq & \\ E/\ker(x') & & \end{array}$$

where π denotes the quotient map. By closedness, the quotient map π is continuous. Since an isomorphism of finite-dimensional Hausdorff topological vector spaces is continuous, x' is the composition of two continuous maps, hence also continuous, as desired. \square

Recall that, if E is a topological vector space, a subset $C \subset E$ is said to be *bounded in the canonical bornology*, or simply *bounded*, if for any 0-neighborhood $U \subset E$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\delta| \geq \varepsilon$ implies $C \subset \delta U$. We note that boundedness in $L^0(\mu)$ is equivalent to the following: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{f \in C} \mu(\{|f| \geq \delta\}) \leq \varepsilon,$$

which can be seen by equipping $L^0(\mu)$ with the Ky-Fan metric.

Let E be a vector lattice. Given $x \in E$, denote $|x| = (x) \vee (-x)$. Recall that a subset $C \subset E$ is said to be *solid* if $x \in C$, $y \in E$, and $|y| \leq |x|$ implies $y \in C$. An *ideal* in E is a solid vector subspace of E . In particular, we will use these notions when $E = L^0(\mu)$.

For many examples, it will be necessary to consider sequence spaces. We will use the notation c_0 to mean the space of sequences converging to zero, ℓ_1 for the space of summable sequences, and ℓ_∞ for the space of bounded sequences.

3. A CANONICAL EXAMPLE OF NON-SOLIDITY

In the literature on risk measures, it is customary to assume that the domain of definition of a risk measure is solid (see, for example, the definition given in [Del09]). In particular, most of the ‘abstract’ theory of risk measures has been developed in the framework of topological vector lattices (see [CL09] for the case of Banach lattices, or [BF09] for the case of Fréchet lattices).

Unfortunately, this assumption is quite restrictive. Indeed, suppose that X is a d -dimensional stock price process, such that the market generated by X is not complete. Then the set of tradeable securities should *not* be solid, or even positive-solid. Indeed, if the set of attainable securities were solid, then the entire literature on superhedging would be pointless.

To understand this perspective, let us consider the following definition, which formalizes what we mean by ‘tradeable securities’. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^e(X)$ the set of local martingale measures for X , and suppose that X is locally bounded.

Definition 2. *A bounded random variable $\xi \in L^0(\mu)$ is said to be attainable if there exists an X -integrable predictable process H and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\xi = a + \int_0^\infty H dX,$$

and $t \mapsto \int_0^t H dX$ is a uniformly integrable martingale under some $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^e(X)$.

Up until the end of the proof of Theorem 1 below, denote by E the vector space of attainable random variables.

Essentially, the attainability of a security is equivalent to whether an actor can, at any given time, actually buy the given security (without taking an extreme amount of risk, like in the St. Petersburg paradox).

The following theorem shows that, in incomplete markets (i.e., where there is more than one local martingale measure), the set of attainable securities cannot satisfy a solidity condition.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $|\mathcal{M}^e(X)| \geq 2$. Then, the following set of attainable random variables,*

$$K = E \cap \{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1\}, \quad (1)$$

is closed in probability, bounded in probability, and absolutely convex, but not solid.

Proof. Absolute convexity is clear from (Theorem 5.2, [DS94]). For boundedness, note that $L^\infty(\mu)$ -boundedness implies boundedness in probability. We will now show that K is closed in probability. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem and $L^\infty(\mu)$ -boundedness, K is closed in probability if, and only if, K is closed in the Mackey topology $\tau(L^\infty, L^1)$; applying (Corollary 5.3, [DS94]) yields the claim.

Thus, it suffices to show that K is not solid. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that K was solid. Since $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in K$, it follows that $\mathbf{1}_A \in K$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. By (Theorem 5.2, [DS94]), the function $\nu \mapsto \nu(A)$ is therefore constant as a function of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^e(X)$. Thus, $\nu(A) = \eta(A)$ whenever $\nu, \eta \in \mathcal{M}^e(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, contradicting the assumption that $|\mathcal{M}^e(X)| \geq 2$. \square

Theorem 1 therefore shows that the framework of vector lattices—though mathematically convenient—does not capture the subtleties of incomplete markets. On the other hand, since Theorem 1 concludes that K is absolutely convex, and closed and bounded in $L^0(\mu)$, our results in Section 4 and 5 allow us to develop a theory of risk measures for $\text{span}(K)$.

We note that the failure of the lattice property (and, in particular, solidity) may be rather drastic. Indeed, if the probability space is separable and non-atomic, there exists a security $\xi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that the subspace spanned by the ‘option securities’ $(\xi - a) \vee 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ -dense in $L^\infty(\mu)$ (see Theorem 5, [GT10]). Thus, one can start with a 2-dimensional subspace $E \subset L^\infty(\mu)$ (spanned by ξ and $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$), apply the usual lattice operations finitely many times, and obtain a weak-star dense subspace.

4. EQUICONTINUOUS FATOU PROPERTY

Let $K \subset L^0(\mu)$ be absolutely convex, and closed and bounded in $L^0(\mu)$. In light of Section 3, we do not require solidity. Denote

$$E_K = \text{span}(K),$$

$$E'_K = \text{span}(K^\circ).$$

One should think of the vector space E_K as the set of ‘attainable securities’ (like in Definition 2), while E'_K should be thought of as the vector space generated by a set of prices.

Remark 1. *Note that $E_K \neq L^0(\mu)$ whenever μ is non-atomic. Indeed, $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty nK = E_K$ by absolute convexity, so that if $E_K = L^0(\mu)$ then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{int}(n_0 K) \neq \emptyset$ by the Baire category theorem. However, nonempty open sets in $L^0(\mu)$ must be unbounded if μ is non-atomic, while $n_0 K$ is bounded.*

Let us call a sequence $\{f_n\}_n \subset E_K$ *K-bounded* if there exists a $\lambda > 0$ with $\{f_n\}_n \subset \lambda K$; equivalently, $\{f_n\}_n$ is bounded with respect to the Minkowski functional p_K of K . More generally, we will call a subset $A \subset E_K$ *K-bounded* if $\sup_{f \in A} p_K(f) < \infty$.

Definition 3. A convex and proper function φ is said to have the *equicontinuous Fatou property* if every *K-bounded* sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ converging to $f \in E_K$ in probability satisfies

$$\varphi(f) \leq \liminf_n \varphi(f_n).$$

Remark 2. It is easy to see that φ satisfies Definition 3 if, and only if,

$$\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K,$$

is closed in probability for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 3 differs from the usual formulation of the Fatou property in that it does not require the sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ to be dominated from above. In particular, the usual formulation of the Fatou property is in terms of order convergence. The chief reason to abandon this assumption is that it is unnatural without the presence of solidity or a lattice structure. We note also that Definition 3 is not in general equivalent to the usual formulation of the Fatou property, even in the solid case (see Proposition 3.3, [GLX19]).

To prove dual representation theorems for risk measures satisfying a Fatou-like property, one usually begins by proving lower semi-continuity with respect to some topology. In the literature, this is usually the weak-star topology on a dual Banach lattice (see [GX17], for example). To replace the weak-star topology, we will use the following definition.

Definition 4. A topology τ on E_K is said to be an *equicontinuous Köthe topology* if K is τ -compact, and τ restricted to *K-bounded* sets equals the weak topology $\sigma(E_K, F)$ with respect to a duality pairing of the form $\langle E_K, F \rangle$, where $F \subset E'_K$ is solid, separates the points of E_K , and contains a strictly positive element. In this case, F is said to induce τ .

Remark 3. The condition that F separates points is superfluous, and is actually implied by solidity and the existence of a strictly positive element. These assumptions are made because they imply that all equicontinuous Köthe topologies are Hausdorff.

The canonical example of an equicontinuous Köthe topology is the weak-star topology on $L^\infty(\mu)$ (with $K = \{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1\}$). Indeed, in this case, K is τ -compact by the Bourbaki-Alaoglu theorem. To further this analogy with weak-star topologies, let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 5. An equicontinuous Köthe topology τ is said to have the *Krein-Šmulian property* if a convex set $C \subset E_K$ is τ -closed if, and only if, $C \cap \lambda K$ is τ -closed for each $\lambda > 0$.

The above definition is essentially the conclusion of the Krein-Šmulian theorem which, when applied to the weak-star topology on $L^\infty(\mu)$, has played an important role in mathematical finance, including in the proof of the fundamental theorem of asset pricing (see Theorem 1.1, [DS94]).

We note that even in the solid case, not all equicontinuous Köthe topologies with the Krein-Šmulian property arise from a pairing of the form $\langle E_K, E'_K \rangle$. Indeed, suppose that Ω is countably infinite, $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$, and $\mu(A) \neq 0$ whenever $A \neq \emptyset$; in this case, we may identify $L^1(\mu)$ with ℓ_1 . Let $K = \{f : \|f\|_{\ell_1} \leq 1\}$ (the unit ball of ℓ_1); then $E_K = \ell_1$, and $E'_K = \ell_\infty$. If $F = c_0$, then $\sigma(E_K, F)$ is an equicontinuous Köthe topology with the Krein-Šmulian property (indeed, ℓ_1 is the dual space of c_0), but $E'_K = \ell_\infty \neq c_0$.

We can now connect Definition 4 to Definition 3; indeed, under the existence of an equicontinuous Köthe topology τ satisfying Definition 5, it is possible to provide an equivalent formulation of the equicontinuous Fatou property, in terms of lower semicontinuity with respect to τ . This follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex and proper function. Then the following are equivalent, for any equicontinuous Köthe topology τ .*

1. $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ is τ -closed for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$.
2. φ has the equicontinuous Fatou property.

If, furthermore, τ has the Krein-Šmulian property, then the above is also equivalent to lower semicontinuity of φ with respect to τ .

Before we prove Theorem 2, we will need several lemmas and auxiliary results. In particular, we will need to study the following definition, which was essentially introduced in [Kar14], Remark 2.6.

Definition 6. *An absolutely convex, closed, and bounded $K \subset L^0(\mu)$ is said to be weakly compactizable if there exists an equivalent probability $\nu \sim \mu$ such that K is uniformly ν -integrable. In this case, we say that E_K is σ -weakly compactizable.*

Remark 4. *Equivalently, by the Dunford-Pettis theorem, K is weakly compactizable if, and only if, there exists a probability $\nu \sim \mu$ such that K is relatively $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -compact. This justifies the terminology ‘weakly compactizable’.*

Lemma 4. *E_K admits an equicontinuous Köthe topology if, and only if, E_K is σ -weakly compactizable.*

Furthermore, if F induces an equicontinuous Köthe topology, then one can choose ν from Definition 6 to satisfy $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in F$.

Proof of Lemma 4. If there exists a probability $\nu \sim \mu$ with K uniformly ν -integrable, then the $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -subspace topology is an equicontinuous Köthe topology on E_K by Remark 4. Indeed, K is clearly relatively compact in this topology, so it suffices to show that it is $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -closed. This follows from

the fact that a convex set is weakly closed if, and only if, it is norm closed, and closedness in probability implies $L^1(\nu)$ -closedness due to Markov's inequality.

We will now prove the converse. Suppose that E_K admits an equicontinuous Köthe topology τ , induced by $F \subset E'_K$. There exists a strictly positive element $\xi \in F$. Define a probability measure $\nu \sim \mu$ by

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{C}(\xi \wedge 1) \in F,$$

where $C = \int_{\Omega} (\xi \wedge 1) d\mu$ (which clearly satisfies $0 < C < \infty$). By Remark 4, it suffices to show that K is relatively $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -compact.

Let $\{f_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset K$ be an arbitrary net. There exists a subnet $\{g_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\} \subset K$ which converges in τ to $f \in K$. Fix $\zeta \in L^\infty(\mu)$; since $\left| \zeta \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right| \leq \frac{1}{C} \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty} \xi \in F$, it follows from solidity that $\zeta \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in F$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\delta} \int_{\Omega} g_\delta \zeta d\nu = \int_{\Omega} f \zeta d\nu,$$

so that $\{g_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\}$ converges to f in $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$, as ζ was arbitrary. Since $\{f_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ was arbitrary, this proves the claim. Furthermore, $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \in F$. \square

Lemma 4 allows us to give several surprising and interesting interpretations to Definition 4. We have already seen that, to some extent, an equicontinuous Köthe topology has many of the properties enjoyed by the weak-star topology on a dual Banach space (so that the existence of an equicontinuous Köthe topology implies that the underlying space behaves *like* a dual Banach space). However, Lemma 4 gives an even more convincing interpretation, which we will now describe.

Let us restrict ourselves to the case where K is solid (so that, in particular, (E_K, p_K) is a Banach lattice). Then, by (Theorem 3.2, [Kar14]) and Lemma 4, E_K admits an equicontinuous Köthe topology if, and only if, K is locally convex for the $L^0(\mu)$ -subspace topology.

In the general case (i.e., where K is not necessarily solid), the conclusion of the above paragraph is not entirely correct. We refer the readers to (Theorem 1.3, [GLX23]) and (Theorem 1.5, [GLX23]) for more details.

We note, as a corollary of Lemma 4, that $L^1(\mu)$, where \mathcal{F} contains no μ -atoms, cannot admit an equicontinuous Köthe topology. This can be viewed as an analogue of the following fact: an equicontinuous Köthe topology behaves to a large degree like a weak-star topology, and $L^1(\mu)$ *cannot* be a dual Banach space. To prove the latter, note that non-atomicity of μ implies the unit ball of $L^1(\mu)$ has no extreme points (which, if $L^1(\mu)$ were a dual space, would contradict the Krein-Milman theorem).

Proof of Theorem 2. We will first show that (1) implies (2). Fix an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\{f_n\}_n \subset \{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\{f_n\}_n$ converges to f in probability. We must show that $f \in \{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ (see Remark 2).

Let $\nu \sim \mu$ be from Lemma 4. Restricted to any scalar multiple of K , τ and $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ agree. It follows that $\{f_n\}_n \subset \{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ lies in a $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -compact; by Vitali's convergence theorem, it follows that $\{f_n\}_n$ converges in $L^1(\nu)$ -norm to f . Since $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ is closed in $L^1(\nu)$ (being a $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ -compact), it follows that $f \in \{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$, as desired.

We will now show that (2) implies (1). Fix an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$; it suffices to show that $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ is τ -closed, for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Since τ and $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$ agree on any scalar multiple of K , it suffices to show that $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ is closed in $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem and convexity, this is equivalent to $L^1(\nu)$ -closedness of $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$. However, this is a trivial consequence of Markov's inequality.

For the last part of Theorem 2, suppose that τ has the Krein-Šmulian property. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\}$ is τ -closed if, and only if, $\{\varphi \leq \lambda\} \cap \varepsilon K$ is τ -closed for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Since τ is an equicontinuous Köthe topology, the previous paragraphs yield the claim. \square

Remark 5. *If one weakens the requirements on μ to merely be a σ -finite measure, then Theorem 2 still applies in some form (as one can simply switch the measure to a finite one). This may become useful when considering the σ -finite measure space $(\Omega \times [0, \infty), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \nu \otimes \lambda)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the $\nu \otimes \lambda$ -completion of the optional σ -algebra \mathcal{O} , λ is the Lebesgue measure (i.e., the Haar measure on \mathbb{R} , modulo a positive multiplicative constant) restricted to $[0, \infty)$, and ν is some probability measure on Ω .*

Remark 6. *The existence of dual representations will be addressed in Section 5. We note that a suitable dual representation exists under mild conditions whenever τ has the Krein-Šmulian property.*

We note that the solidity of F from Definition 4 plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, suppose that Ω is countably infinite, $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$, and $\mu(A) \neq 0$ whenever $A \neq \emptyset$; in this case, we may identify $L^1(\mu)$ with ℓ_1 . Let $K = \{f : \|f\|_{\ell_1} \leq 1\}$ (the unit ball of ℓ_1); then $E_K = \ell_1$, and $E'_K = \ell_\infty$. Now, E_K admits many isometric preduals inducing different weak-star topologies, and every distinct weak-star topology arising from an isometric predual induces a distinct (see Lemma 2.2, [Daw+12]) 'isometric concrete predual' $F \subset \ell_\infty$. Suppose that, for a given 'isometric concrete predual' $F \subset \ell_\infty$, the conclusion of Theorem 2 was true for $\sigma(\ell_1, F)$. Then the evaluation functionals $\pi_n = ((x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto x_n) \in \ell_\infty$ are $\sigma(\ell_1, F)$ -continuous for each n . Indeed, both π_n and $-\pi_n$ are convex, proper, and satisfy the equicontinuous Fatou property, so that both $\{\pi_n \leq 0\}$ and $\{-\pi_n \leq 0\} = \{\pi_n \geq 0\}$ are $\sigma(\ell_1, F)$ -closed (due to the Krein-Šmulian theorem); thus, $\ker(\pi_n) = \{\pi_n \leq 0\} \cap \{\pi_n \geq 0\}$ must be $\sigma(\ell_1, F)$ -closed, which shows that π_n is a $\sigma(\ell_1, F)$ -continuous linear functional (see Lemma 3). Since F is norm closed and $\overline{\text{span}\{\pi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\ell_\infty} = c_0$, it follows that $c_0 \subset F$. By the remark after the proof of (Lemma 2.2, [Daw+12]), $\sigma(\ell_1, c_0) = \sigma(\ell_1, F)$. Thus, Theorem 2 must exclude weak-star topologies on ℓ_1 induced by sufficiently exotic isometric ℓ_1 -preduals (such as a suitable renorming of the Banach space Y constructed by J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen [BD80]).

5. EXTENSIONS AND DUAL REPRESENTATIONS

Although the results developed in Section 4 apply to very general classes of spaces, they are not strong enough to guarantee the existence of dual representations (with respect to F from Definition 4).

There are actually several technical difficulties preventing such a result. The first is quite subtle. It may be that an element of F is continuous (when viewed as a linear functional) when restricted to K -bounded sets, but not globally (see Remark 7 below for an example). However, in the presence of the Krein-Šmulian property, this already implies continuity, as is demonstrated by the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let τ be an equicontinuous Köthe topology with the Krein-Šmulian property, induced by $F \subset E'_K$. Fix $g \in F$. Then the map*

$$E_K \ni f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu,$$

is τ -continuous.

Proof. Let \tilde{g} be the linear functional $E_K \ni f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu$ associated to $g \in F$. Since \tilde{g} is $\sigma(E_K, F)$ -continuous, $\ker(\tilde{g})$ is $\sigma(E_K, F)$ -closed. Since τ agrees with $\sigma(E_K, F)$ on K -bounded sets, $\ker(\tilde{g}) \cap \varepsilon K$ is τ -closed for each $\varepsilon > 0$. By the Krein-Šmulian property, $\ker(\tilde{g})$ is τ -closed, and we may apply Lemma 3. \square

Remark 7. *The careful reader will notice that Lemma 5 does not hold without the presence of the Krein-Šmulian property.*

Indeed, consider $K = \{f : \|f\|_{L^2} \leq 1\}$; then $E_K = L^2(\mu)$. Let $\tau = \sigma(L^2, L^\infty)$. Then $F = L^2(\mu)$ induces τ . However, the topological dual of (E_K, τ) can be identified with $L^\infty(\mu)$ (see Lemma 2). In particular, if $g \in L^2(\mu)$ but $g \notin L^\infty(\mu)$, then

$$E_K \ni f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu,$$

is not τ -continuous.

As a result of Lemma 5, if τ has the Krein-Šmulian property, there is a natural map from F to the topological dual of (E_K, τ) .

The second technical difficulty is that F may be ‘too small’ to encapsulate the entire topological dual of (E_K, τ) (see Remark 8 below for an example). Indeed, suppose that an equicontinuous Köthe topology τ on E_K with the Krein-Šmulian property is induced by $F \subset E'_K$, but the natural map from F to the topological dual of (E_K, τ) is not surjective. Then there exists a continuous linear functional $f : (E_K, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is not represented by an element of F , but which is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ . Now, if we attempt to write f in terms of a dual representation

$$E_K \ni h \mapsto f(h) = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} gh d\mu - f^*(g) \right\},$$

where $f^*(g) = \sup_{h \in E_K} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} gh d\mu - f(h) \right\}$ for each $g \in F$, we immediately run into a problem, as $f^*(g) = \infty$ for all $g \in F$. In light of this technical difficulty, let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 7. *An equicontinuous Köthe topology τ with the Krein-Šmulian property is said to be F -regular if F induces τ and the natural map (see above) $F \rightarrow (E_K, \tau)^*$ is surjective.*

Remark 8. *F -regularity is not automatically satisfied. Indeed, consider $K = \{f : \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1\}$; then $E_K = L^\infty(\mu)$. Let $\tau = \sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$. Then $F = L^2(\mu)$ induces τ . However, the corresponding map $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ fails to be surjective if the measure space is ‘large’ (for example, if μ is non-atomic, or \mathcal{F} contains infinitely many μ -atoms).*

In our effort to resolve the problems mentioned, we have actually stumbled upon the ‘correct’ conditions for a dual representation result to hold. Indeed, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex and proper function. Fix a locally convex equicontinuous Köthe topology τ with the Krein-Šmulian property which is F -regular. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. φ is lower semi-continuous with respect to τ .
2. φ admits the dual representation

$$E_K \ni f \mapsto \varphi(f) = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi^*(g) = \sup_{h \in E_K} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} hg d\mu - \varphi(h) \right\}$ for all $g \in F$.

3. φ has the equicontinuous Fatou property.

We remark that in our journey of finding the ‘correct’ technical conditions for dual representation theorems to hold, we have also generalized many of the dual representation theorems in the literature (such as Delbaen’s original result (see [Del02]), as well as dual-representation theorems in dual Orlicz spaces (see [GX17]). Indeed, the conditions of Theorem 3 identifies precisely the properties of the weak-star topology that enabled many of the previous results in the literature, but in a significantly more abstract and general fashion.

Remark 9. *In general, even if τ satisfies all of the criteria of Theorem 3, it is not necessarily true that $\tau = \sigma(E_K, F)$. Indeed, the Mackey topology on $\langle \ell_\infty, \ell_1 \rangle$ agrees with the weak topology on $\langle \ell_\infty, \ell_1 \rangle$ on bounded sets.*

Proof of Theorem 3. We will first show that (1) is equivalent to (2); clearly, (2) implies (1). We will now show that (1) implies (2). Since τ is locally convex, and φ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ , it follows from the Fenchel-Moreau theorem that one has the dual representation

$$\varphi(f) = \sup_{x' \in (E_K, \tau)^*} \{ \langle f, x' \rangle - \tilde{\varphi}(x') \}, \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} : (E_K, \tau)^* \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is defined by $\tilde{\varphi}(x') = \sup_{h \in E_K} \{\langle h, x' \rangle - \varphi(h)\}$ for any $x' \in (E_K, \tau)^*$. By Definition 7,

$$\sup_{x' \in (E_K, \tau)^*} \{\langle f, x' \rangle - \tilde{\varphi}(x')\} = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\},$$

for any $f \in E_K$, showing that $\varphi(f) = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\}$ by (3).

The equivalence between (1) and (3) is the content of Theorem 2. \square

We will now deal with the problem of extending a given convex and proper function $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ to $E_{\text{sol}(K)}$ where $\text{sol}(K)$ is the solid hull of K . Explicitly,

$$\text{sol}(K) = \{f \in L^0(\mu) : \exists g \in K \text{ with } |f| \leq |g|\}.$$

The problem of finding extensions, to a suitable space, of a convex and proper function preserving certain properties has already been addressed in the literature, for example, for spaces of bounded random variables (see Theorem 3.5, [Owa14], or Theorem 1.4, [Gao+18]).

Unfortunately, unlike (Theorem 1.4, [Gao+18]), uniqueness will essentially always fail, in a rather trivial manner. Indeed, denote by K the absolutely convex hull of $\{\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}\}$. Then $\text{sol}(K)$ is the unit ball of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$, and there are many possible extensions of the map $a\mathbf{1}_{\Omega} \mapsto a$ on E_K preserving the necessary regularity properties one might impose.

Thankfully, although uniqueness of extensions may fail, existence does not. Indeed, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex and proper function with the equicontinuous Fatou property. Fix a locally convex equicontinuous Köthe topology τ with the Krein-Šmulian property which is F -regular.*

Then there exists a convex and proper function $\psi : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ such that ψ has the equicontinuous Fatou property, and $\psi|_{E_K} = \varphi$.

We will need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 6. *Let $g \in F$. Then*

$$\{fg : f \in K\},$$

is uniformly μ -integrable.

The knowledgeable reader may notice that Lemma 6 resembles the classical characterization of relative weak compactness in order-continuous Banach function spaces (see [Die51] for details).

Proof of Lemma 6. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem, we must show that every net $\{f_{\gamma}g : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset \{hg : h \in K\}$ admits a $\sigma(L^1, L^{\infty})$ -convergent subnet.

By Definition 4, there exists a subnet $\{f_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\} \subset K$ of $\{f_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $f \in K$ such that

$$\lim_{\delta} \int_{\Omega} f_{\delta} h d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f h d\mu,$$

for all $h \in F$. Notice that $|\xi g| \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty} |g| \in F$, for any $\xi \in L^\infty(\mu)$; thus, $\xi g \in F$ for any $\xi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ by solidity. This implies

$$\lim_{\delta} \int_{\Omega} f_{\delta} g \xi d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f g \xi d\mu.$$

Since $\xi \in L^\infty(\mu)$ was arbitrary, this shows that that $\{f_{\gamma} g : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ admits a $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ -convergent subnet $\{f_{\delta} g : \delta \in \Delta\}$, as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Define $\psi : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

which is well-defined for each $f \in E_{\text{sol}(K)}$. It is clear from Theorem 3 that $\psi|_{E_K} = \varphi$. Properness is trivial, as there exists $f \in E_K \subset E_{\text{sol}(K)}$ with $\psi(f) = \varphi(f) < \infty$.

We now must show that ψ has the equicontinuous Fatou property. Suppose that a sequence $\{f_n\}_n \subset \lambda\text{sol}(K)$ converges to f in probability, where $\lambda \geq 0$ (we note that, in this case, $f \in \lambda\text{sol}(K)$ by the same argument as Proposition 1.8, [Kar15]). It suffices to show that

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n g d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu.$$

Indeed, in this case

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n g d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\} \leq \liminf_n \sup_{g \in F} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f_n g d\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\},$$

so that $\psi(f) \leq \liminf_n \psi(f_n)$.

Fix an arbitrary $g \in F$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 6, $\{hg : h \in \lambda K\}$ is uniformly μ -integrable; thus, $\{hg : h \in \lambda\text{sol}(K)\}$ is uniformly μ -integrable. Thus, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{h \in \lambda\text{sol}(K)} \int_A |hg| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad (5)$$

whenever $\mu(A) < \delta$. Let n be large enough, so that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ |g| |f_m - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right) < \delta, \quad (6)$$

whenever $m \geq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (f_m - f) g d\mu \right| &\leq \int_{\{|g| |f_m - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}} |f g| d\mu + \int_{\{|g| |f_m - f| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}} |f_m g| d\mu \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\{|g| |f_m - f| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}} (f_m - f) g d\mu \right| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

by (5), (6), and the triangle inequality, whenever $m \geq n$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves the claim. \square

We note that the extension provided by Theorem 4 is, in some sense, the ‘maximal’ extension that can arise from a dual representation. Indeed, suppose that ψ is an extension arising from the dual representation (2). By Theorem 1.3 of [BS99], $\text{sol}(K) = K^{\circ\circ}$. Thus, if $f \notin \text{sol}(K)$, there exists $g \in K^\circ$ such that $\int_{\Omega} fgd\mu = \infty$, so that either $\psi(f) = \infty$, or the supremum (4) will be over a set including the meaningless expression $\infty - \infty$.

A natural question is whether one can extend a *monotone*, convex and proper function $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with the equicontinuous Fatou property to a monotone, proper, and convex $\psi : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with the equicontinuous Fatou property (indeed, monotonicity is a key feature of risk measures). Furthermore, all of the extension results mentioned thus far that stem from the literature (for example, [Owa14]) already make the monotonicity assumption on the extensions. To answer this question, we will now introduce the following property.

Definition 8. E_K is said to have the *F-positive Hahn-Banach property* if each positive linear functional $g \in (E_K, \sigma(E_K, F))^*$ admits a positive linear $\sigma(E_{\text{sol}(K)}, F)$ -continuous extension $h : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1. Fix a locally convex equicontinuous Köthe topology τ with the Krein-Šmulian property which is *F-regular*. Then there exists a monotone, convex, and proper extension $\psi : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with the equicontinuous Fatou property for all monotone, convex, and proper $\varphi : E_K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with the equicontinuous Fatou property if E_K has the *F-positive Hahn-Banach property*.

Proof. We assume that φ is increasing (the case of decreasing φ is entirely analogous). Define F_+ to be the set of $g \in F$ which, when viewed as linear functionals on $E_{\text{sol}(K)}$, are positive. We claim that,

$$\varphi(f) = \sup_{g \in F_+} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} fgd\mu - \varphi^*(g) \right\},$$

which easily shows that we may take the extension ψ from Theorem 4 to be increasing.

Suppose that $g \in F$ is such that $\varphi^*(g) < \infty$. Define C to be the set of $h \in F$ which, when viewed as linear functionals on E_K , are positive. We claim that $g \in C$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose $g \notin C$; there exists $f \in E_K$ with $f \geq 0$ μ -a.e. and $\int_{\Omega} fgd\mu < 0$. By properness, there exists $r \in E_K$ with $\varphi(r) < \infty$.

Fix $\lambda < 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} fgd\mu + \int_{\Omega} rgd\mu &= \int_{\Omega} (\lambda f + r)gd\mu \leq \varphi^*(g) + \varphi(\lambda f + r) \\ &\leq \varphi^*(g) + \varphi(r) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by monotonicity. Taking λ to negative infinity yields a contradiction, as $\lambda \int_{\Omega} fgd\mu$ can be made arbitrarily large.

Fix $g \in C$. By Definition 8, g has an extension to a positive $\sigma(E_{\text{sol}(K)}, F)$ -continuous extension $h : E_{\text{sol}(K)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now, there exists $\tilde{h} \in F$ such that \tilde{h} , when viewed as a linear functional, is equal to h (see Lemma 2). This proves the claim, as $\tilde{h} \in F_+$. \square

Proposition 1 has a dual-edged nature. On the one hand, it provides a sufficient condition for monotone extensions. On the other hand, this condition is very hard to verify in practice, showing that questions related to risk measures may be more difficult to answer in the setting of incomplete markets. We refer the reader to [SW99] for the Bauer-Namioka theorem, which provides some equivalent formulations of Definition 8 which may be easier to verify.

REFERENCES

- [Die51] Jean Dieudonné. “Sur les espaces de Köthe”. In: *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 1 (1951), pp. 81–115.
- [BD80] Jean Bourgain and Freddy Delbaen. “A class of special \mathcal{L}_∞ spaces”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 145 (1980), pp. 155–176.
- [DS94] Freddy Delbaen and Walter Schachermayer. “A general version of the fundamental theorem of asset pricing”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 300 (1994), pp. 463–520.
- [BS99] Werner Brannath and Walter Schachermayer. “A bipolar theorem for $L_+^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ ”. In: *Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg* 33 (1999), pp. 349–354.
- [SW99] Helmut Schaefer and Manfred Wolff. *Topological Vector Spaces*. Springer, 1999.
- [Del02] Freddy Delbaen. “Coherent risk measures on general probability spaces”. In: *Advances in Finance and Stochastics*. Springer, 2002, pp. 1–37.
- [Gia06] Emanuela Rosazza Gianin. “Risk measures via g -expectations”. In: *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 39 (2006), pp. 19–34.
- [JST06] Elyès Jouini, Walter Schachermayer, and Nizar Touzi. “Law invariant risk measures have the Fatou property”. In: *Advances in Mathematical Economics*. Springer, 2006, pp. 49–71.
- [KS07] Susanne Klöppel and Martin Schweizer. “Dynamic indifference valuation via convex risk measures”. In: *Mathematical Finance* 17 (2007), pp. 599–627.
- [BF09] Sara Biagini and Marco Frittelli. “On the extension of the Namioka-Klee theorem and on the Fatou property for risk measures”. In: *Optimality and Risk — Modern Trends in Mathematical Finance*. Springer, 2009, pp. 1–28.
- [CL09] Patrick Cheridito and Tianhui Li. “Risk measures on Orlicz hearts”. In: *Mathematical Finance* 19 (2009), pp. 189–214.

- [Del09] Freddy Delbaen. “Risk measures for non-integrable random variables”. In: *Mathematical Finance* 19 (2009), pp. 329–333.
- [BFG10] Sara Biagini, Marco Frittelli, and Matheus Grasselli. “Indifference price with general semimartingales”. In: *Mathematical Finance* 21 (2010), pp. 423–446.
- [GT10] Valentina Galvania and Vladimir Troitsky. “Options and efficiency in spaces of bounded claims”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 46 (2010), pp. 616–619.
- [BK12] Jocelyne Bion-Nadal and Magali Kervarec. “Risk measuring under model uncertainty”. In: *Annals of Applied Probability* 22 (2012), pp. 213–238.
- [Daw+12] Matthew Daws et al. “Shift invariant preduals of $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$ ”. In: *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 192 (2012), pp. 541–585.
- [Kar14] Constantinos Kardaras. “Uniform integrability and local convexity in \mathbb{L}^0 ”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 266 (4 2014), pp. 1913–1927.
- [Owa14] Keita Owari. “Maximum Lebesgue extension of monotone convex functions”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 266 (2014), pp. 3572–3611.
- [Kar15] Constantinos Kardaras. “Maximality and numéraires in convex sets of nonnegative random variables”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 268 (2015), pp. 3219–3231.
- [GTX17] Niushan Gao, Vladimir Troitsky, and Foivos Xanthos. “Uo-convergence and its applications to Cesàro means in Banach lattices”. In: *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 220 (2017), pp. 649–689.
- [GX17] Niushan Gao and Foivos Xanthos. “On the C -property and w^* -representations of risk measures”. In: *Mathematical Finance* 28 (2017), pp. 748–754.
- [Gao+18] Niushan Gao et al. “Fatou property, representations, and extensions of law-invariant risk measures on general Orlicz spaces”. In: *Finance and Stochastics* 22 (2018), pp. 395–415.
- [GLX19] Niushan Gao, Denny Leung, and Foivos Xanthos. “Closedness of convex sets in Orlicz spaces with applications to dual representation of risk measures”. In: *Studia Mathematica* 249 (2019), pp. 329–347.
- [DO21] Freddy Delbaen and José Orihuela. “On the range of the subdifferential in non-reflexive Banach spaces”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 281 (2021).
- [GLX23] Niushan Gao, Denny Leung, and Foivos Xanthos. “On local convexity in \mathbb{L}^0 and switching probability measures”. In: *Positivity* 27 (2023).