

BOUNDEDNESS AND FINITE-TIME BLOW-UP IN A REPULSION-CONSUMPTION SYSTEM WITH FLUX LIMITATION

ZIYUE ZENG AND YUXIANG LI*

ABSTRACT. We investigate the following repulsion-consumption system with flux limitation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (uf(|\nabla v|^2)\nabla v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - uv, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (\star)$$

under no-flux/Dirichlet boundary conditions, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain and $f(\xi)$ generalizes the prototype given by $f(\xi) = (1 + \xi)^{-\alpha}$ ($\xi \geq 0$). We are mainly concerned with the global existence and finite time blow-up of system (\star) . The main results assert that, for $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$, then when $\tau = 1$ and under radial settings, or when $\tau = 0$ without radial assumptions, for arbitrary initial data, the problem (\star) possesses global bounded classical solutions; for $\alpha < 0$, $\tau = 0$, $n = 2$ and under radial settings, for any initial data, whenever the boundary signal level large enough, the solutions of the corresponding problem blow up in finite time.

Our results can be compared respectively with the blow-up phenomenon obtained by Ahn & Winkler (2023) for the system with nonlinear diffusion and linear chemotactic sensitivity, and by Wang & Winkler (2023) for the system with nonlinear diffusion and singular sensitivity .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following repulsion-consumption system with flux limitation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (uf(|\nabla v|^2)\nabla v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - uv, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ (\nabla u + uf(|\nabla v|^2)\nabla v) \cdot \nu = 0, \quad v = M, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \tau v(x, 0) = \tau v_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

posed on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\tau \in \{0, 1\}$, M is a given parameter and the function f appropriately generalizes the prototype determined by

$$f(\xi) = (1 + \xi)^{-\alpha}, \quad \xi \geq 0$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K55, 35B44, 92C17.

Key words and phrases. Repulsion-consumption system, classical solution, global boundedness, finite time blow up, flux limitation.

*Corresponding author.

Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271092, No. 11671079) and the Jiangsu Provincial Scientific Research Center of Applied Mathematics (No. BK20233002).

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The scalar functions u and v represent the cell density and the chemical concentration consumed by cells, respectively. $f(|\nabla v|^2)$ represents the chemotactic sensitivity of cells which is sensitive to gradient of v . Detailed biological backgrounds about the Keller-Segel model with flux limitation can be found in [2, 19, 42]. In the present work, we aim to find the critical blow-up exponent of flux limitation in system (1.1).

When $\alpha = 0$ and the chemotactic sensitivity function depends on u and v , rather than the gradient of v , the system is given by

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (uS(u, v)\nabla v), \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - uv, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $D(u)$ denotes the diffusivity of the cells and $S(u, v)$ stands the chemotactic sensitivity. The system (1.2) with $D(u) = 1$ and $S(u, v) = \chi$ is a well-known chemotaxis model which describes the intricate patterns formed by the colonies of *Bacillus subtilis* as they seek oxygen [6, 16, 27]. In the past decades, system (1.2) with $\tau = 1$, subjected to homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, has been studied extensively on the existence of global bounded solutions. When $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ is sufficiently small and $n \geq 2$, Tao [21] proved that system (1.2) possesses global bounded classical solutions. For arbitrary large initial data, Tao and Winkler [23] demonstrated that if $n = 2$, the global classical solutions of (1.2) are bounded; if $n = 3$, there is at least one global weak solution, which eventually becomes bounded and smooth. In [29], Wang and Li showed that this model possesses at least one global renormalized solution when $n \geq 4$. We refer to [30, 31, 33] and survey [11] for more related results.

The system (1.2), subjected to no-flux/Dirichlet boundary conditions, has been investigated by some authors. For the parabolic-parabolic system (1.2) with $D(u) = S(u, v) = 1$, Lankeit and Winkler [10] found that the radially symmetric problem possesses global bounded classical solutions when $n = 2$ and global weak solutions when $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. For the parabolic-elliptic system (1.2) with $D(u) = 1$ and $S(u, v) = S(v)$, where $S(v)$ may allow singularities at $v = 0$, Yang and Ahn [39] established the global existence and boundedness of radial large data solutions when $n \geq 2$. The system (1.2) with $S(u, v) < 0$ is called the repulsion-consumption system, and there are some results on the occurrence of blow-up in finite time for the parabolic-elliptic radially symmetric system. For system (1.2) with $D(u) = (1 + u)^{-\alpha}$ and $S(u, v) = -1$, Ahn and Winkler [1] demonstrated that when $\alpha > 0$ and the boundary signal level is sufficiently large, the corresponding 2D problem admits a finite-time blow-up classical solution; when $\alpha \leq 0$, a global bounded classical solution exists. For system (1.2) with $D(u) = 1$, $S(u, v) = -u^\beta$, under radial settings, Zeng and Li [43] proved that, when $0 < \beta < \frac{n+2}{2n}$ and $\tau \in \{0, 1\}$, the system possesses global bounded classical solutions; when $\beta > 1$, $\tau = 0$ and $n = 2$, under the condition that the boundary signal level large enough, there exists a finite-time blow-up solution. For $D(u) = (1 + u)^{-\alpha}$ and the chemotactic term in (1.2) is replaced by $+\nabla \cdot (u\nabla \log v)$, Wang and Winkler [32] showed

that when $\alpha > 0$, for all initial data from a considerably large set of radial functions, the system (1.2) possess a finite-time blow-up solution.

Bellomo and Winkler [3] considered the following degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u \nabla u}{\sqrt{u^2 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) - \chi \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u \nabla v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2}} \right), \\ 0 = \Delta v - \mu + u, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

under no-flux/Dirichlet boundary conditions, and they proved that when either $\chi < 1$ ($n \geq 2$), or $\int_{\Omega} u_0 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\chi^2 - 1)_+}}$ ($n = 1$), solutions are global and bounded. Later, they [4] showed that when $\chi > 1$ ($n \geq 2$) or $\int_{\Omega} u_0 dx > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi^2 - 1}}$ ($n = 1$), there exist positive initial data u_0 such that the corresponding solutions blow up in finite time. Some systems related to (1.3) were investigated recent years, see [5, 17, 26, 38, 40].

In 2018, Negreanu and Tello [18] considered the system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (\chi u |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) + g(u), \\ 0 = \Delta v - \mu + u, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

subjected to homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, and obtained global bounded solutions when $p \in (1, \frac{n}{(n-1)_+})$ ($n \geq 1$) and $g(u) = 0$. Later, Tello [25] demonstrated that there exist initial data satisfying $\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx > 6$ such that the radially symmetric solutions of system (1.4) with $g(u) = 0$ blow up in finite time when $p \in (\frac{n}{n-1}, 2)$ ($n > 2$) and χ large enough. Kohatsu [8] proved that system (1.4) with $g(u) = \lambda u - \mu u^k$, when $p > 1$ ($n = 1$) or $p \in (1, \frac{n}{n-1})$ ($n \geq 2$), for all initial data, the problem admits a global bounded weak solution; when $p > \frac{n}{n-1}$ ($n \geq 2$) and $k > 1$ is small enough, the radially symmetric problem has a finite-time blow-up weak solution.

The system (1.4) with the chemotactic term replaced by $-\nabla \cdot (u(1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-\alpha} \nabla v)$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u(1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-\alpha} \nabla v), \\ 0 = \Delta v - \mu + u, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

has attracted interest by some mathematicians. Winkler [35] proved that when $0 < \alpha < \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}$ ($n \geq 3$), throughout a considerably large set of radially symmetric initial data, the problem (1.5) admits radially symmetric solutions blowing up in finite time with respect to the L^∞ norm of u ; when $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}$ ($n \geq 2$) or $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($n = 1$), the problem exists global bounded classical solutions without radial assumptions. Subsequently, Marras, Vernier-Piro and Yokota [14] demonstrated that a solution for system (1.5) which blows up in finite time in L^∞ -norm, blows up also in L^p -norm for $\frac{n}{2} < p < n$ ($n \geq 3$) under the same conditions as those in [35] regarding f and initial data. Moreover, they derived a lower bound of blow-up time. For system with source term and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)})$ ($n \geq 3$), Marras, Vernier-Piro and Yokota [15] illustrated that weak logistic dampening cannot prevent the occurrence of

finite-time blow-up phenomenon in an appropriate and explicit sense. Furthermore, Mao and Li [13] considered the instability of large homogeneous steady state when $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}]$.

For the parabolic-parabolic system,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (uf(|\nabla v|)\nabla v), \\ v_t = \Delta v - v + u, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Yan and Li [37] proved that the system possesses global weak solutions which are uniformly bounded when $f(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha-2}$ with $\alpha \in (1, \frac{n}{(n-1)_+})$. Kohatsu and Yokota [9] revealed the stability of constant equilibria under some smallness conditions for the initial data. Winkler [36] demonstrated that when $f(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}$ ($n \geq 2$) or $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($n = 1$), system (1.6) admits a unique global bounded classical solution. When $f(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha-2}$ and the second equation is replaced by $v_t = \Delta v - uv$, Wang and Li [28] showed that if $\|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ is small enough, the model admits at least one global weak solution for $n < \frac{8-2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha-1}$ and possesses at least one global renormalized solution for $n \geq \frac{8-2(\alpha-1)}{\alpha-1}$.

In view of [1, 32, 43], the blow-up phenomenon of the repulsion-consumption system may occur when diffusion is inhibited or the chemotactic sensitivity is sufficiently strong. Motivated by these observations, we investigate the effect of the flux limitation on the occurrence of blow-up phenomenon for the repulsion-consumption parabolic-elliptic system and establish the boundeness of solutions for the repulsion-consumption system to find the critical exponent.

Main results. Suppose that f and the initial data satisfy

$$f \in C^2([0, \infty)) \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$u_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ is nonnegative with } u_0 \not\equiv 0. \quad (1.8)$$

When $\tau = 1$, we assume that

$$v_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ is positive in } \bar{\Omega} \text{ and radially symmetric with } v_0 = M \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.9)$$

Before we state our main results, we give the local existence of classical solutions to (1.1), which can be proved by a direct adaptation of well-known fixed-point arguments [24].

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Suppose that (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) are valid. Then there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$ and a uniquely pair (u, v) which solves (1.1) with $\tau = 1$ classically in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) \quad \text{and} \\ v \in \bigcap_{q>n} C([0, T_{\max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) \end{cases}$$

and $u, v > 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$. Besides, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\text{if } T_{\max} < \infty, \quad \text{then} \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty$$

as well as

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, if $\Omega = B_R(0)$ with some $R > 0$, u_0 and v_0 are radially symmetric with respect to the origin, then $u(\cdot, t)$ and $v(\cdot, t)$ are radially symmetric for each $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Suppose that (1.7) and (1.8) are valid. Then there exist $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$ and a unique pair (u, v) which solves (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ classically in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_{\max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})), \\ v \in \bigcap_{q>n} L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, T_{\max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap C^{2,0}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_{\max})) \end{cases}$$

and $u, v > 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$. Besides, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\text{if } T_{\max} < \infty, \quad \text{then} \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty$$

as well as

$$v \leq M, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T_{\max}).$$

Moreover, if $\Omega = B_R(0)$ with some $R > 0$ and u_0 is radially symmetric with respect to the origin, then $u(\cdot, t)$ and $v(\cdot, t)$ are radially symmetric for each $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Now we state our main results. The existence of global bounded solutions for system (1.1) with $\tau \in \{0, 1\}$ is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) with $R > 0$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and*

$$f(\xi) \leq K_1(1 + \xi)^{-\alpha}, \quad \xi \geq 0 \quad (1.13)$$

with some $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ and $K_1 > 0$. Then for any radially symmetric u_0 and v_0 complying with (1.8) and (1.9) respectively, the radially symmetric solution (u, v) of (1.1) with $\tau = 1$ is global and bounded in the sense that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad t > 0$$

with some $C > 0$ depending on α , K_1 and R .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and*

$$f(\xi) \leq K_0(1 + \xi)^{-\alpha}, \quad \xi \geq 0 \quad (1.14)$$

with some $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ and $K_0 > 0$. Then for any u_0 complying with (1.8), the solution (u, v) of (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ is global and bounded in the sense that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad t > 0$$

with some $C > 0$ depending on α and K_0 .

The following theorem is concerned with the finite-time blow-up solution of the 2D system (1.1) with $\tau = 0$, which indicates that $\alpha = 0$ is the optimal critical exponent for the occurrence of blow-up phenomenon in the 2D parabolic-elliptic problem:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $R > 0$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and*

$$f(\xi) \geq k(1 + \xi)^{-\alpha}, \quad \xi \geq 0 \quad (1.15)$$

with some $\alpha < 0$ and $k > 0$. Then, for any radially symmetric u_0 complying with (1.8), there exists a constant $M^ = M^*(u_0) > 0$, whenever $M \geq M^*$, the corresponding classical solution (u, v) of (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ blows up in finite time.*

Remark 1.1. Owing to the limitation of the method, the question of whether finite-time blow-up occurs in the parameter region $\alpha < \frac{n-2}{2n}$ remains unresolved.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove the existence of global bounded solutions for system (1.1) with $\tau = 1$ and $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ under radial settings. In section 3, we obtain global bounded classical solutions for system (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ and $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ without any radial assumptions. In section 4, we demonstrate that the solution of the 2D radially symmetric problem (1.1) with $\tau = 0$ and $\alpha < 0$ blows up in finite time when the boundary signal level sufficiently large.

2. BOUNDEDNESS WHEN $\tau = 1$ AND $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$

In this section, we assume that $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and $\tau = 1$. The purpose of this section is to derive the boundedness result stated in Theorem 1.3 under radial settings. The estimates of boundary terms are crucial in this section because v satisfies the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Inspired by [10], we use the radial symmetry of the domain to consider the properties of the solution near the boundary, as listed in Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. These properties help us to deal with the boundary integrals proposed in the study of the differential inequality.

We collect two lemmas which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. (*[22, Lemma 3.1]*) Let $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be such that $\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$a^\beta b^\gamma \leq \varepsilon(a + b) + C_1(\varepsilon), \quad \text{for all } a \geq 0 \text{ and } b \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2. (*[34, Lemma 3.4]*) Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, T \in (t_0, \infty], h > 0$ and $b > 0$. Assume that the nonnegative function $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} g(s) ds \leq b, \quad t \in (t_0, T).$$

Then for any $a > 0$ we have

$$\int_{t_0}^t e^{-a(t-s)} g(s) ds \leq \frac{bh}{1 - e^{-ah}}, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

Consequently, if $y \in C^0([t_0, T]) \cap C^1((t_0, T))$ satisfies

$$y'(t) + ay(t) \leq g(t), \quad t \in (t_0, T),$$

then

$$y(t) \leq y(t_0) + \frac{bh}{1 - e^{-ah}}, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

We provide a basic observation for v as follows:

Lemma 2.3. Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) are valid. Then, for each $a \in [1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, $\sigma \in (1, +\infty)$ and $r_0 \in (0, R)$, there exist constants $C_2 = C_2(a) > 0$ and $C_3 = C_3(\sigma, r_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^a(\Omega)} \leq C_2, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|v_r(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\sigma(r_0, R)} \leq C_3, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$, due to the known smoothing properties of the Dirichlet heat semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ on Ω ([7] [20, section 48.2]), we can find positive constants λ, C_4 and C_5 such that

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq C_4 \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}, \quad \varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ and } \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 \cdot \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1})}\right) e^{-\lambda t} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p_2}(\Omega)}, \quad \varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ and } \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2.5)$$

For $a \in [1, \frac{n}{n-1})$, by means of the Duhamel representation of v , along with (2.4) and (2.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^a(\Omega)} &= \|\nabla(v(\cdot, t) - M)\|_{L^a(\Omega)} \\
&= \left\| \nabla e^{t\Delta}(v_0 - M) - \int_0^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left(u(\cdot, s)v(\cdot, s) \right) ds \right\|_{L^a(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C_4 \|v_0 - M\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + C_5 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \int_0^t \left(1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2a}} \right) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \\
&\leq C_4 \|v_0 - M\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + C_5 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2a}} \right) e^{-\lambda t} dt, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.2).

To prepare for deriving local estimates, we choose a cut off function $\chi(r) \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ fulfilling $\chi \equiv 0$ in $[0, \frac{r_0}{2}]$, and $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[r_0, R]$. According to the second equation in (1.1) with $\tau = 1$, we have

$$(\chi(r)(v(r, t) - M))_t = (\chi(r)(v(r, t) - M))_{rr} + b(r, t), \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b(r, t) &= \left(\frac{n-1}{r} \chi(r) - 2\chi_r(r) \right) v_r(r, t) - \chi_{rr}(r)(v(r, t) - M) \\
&\quad - \chi(r)u(r, t)v(r, t), \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

By means of (1.10) and (2.2), we can deduce that

$$b(r, t) \in L^\infty \left((0, T_{\max}); L^1 \left(\frac{r_0}{2}, R \right) \right). \quad (2.8)$$

For all $\sigma \in (1, \infty)$, We then again employ the standard smoothing estimates for the Dirichlet heat semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ on Ω in one dimension as in Lemma 2.3, and use (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), to fix $C_3 = C_3(r_0, \sigma) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_r\|_{L^\sigma((r_0, R))} &\leq \left\| \partial_r (\chi \cdot (v(\cdot, t) - M)) \right\|_{L^\sigma((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&= \left\| \partial_r e^{t\Delta} (\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)) + \int_0^t \partial_r e^{(t-s)\Delta} b(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{L^\sigma((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&\leq C_4 \|\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)\|_{W^{1,\infty}((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} \\
&\quad + C_5 \int_0^t \left(1 + (t-s)^{-1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma}} \right) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|b(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1((\frac{r_0}{2}, R))} ds \\
&\leq C_3, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),
\end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which implies (2.3). \square

The following Lemma presents an estimate for the integral of $u(R, t)$ with respect to t .

Lemma 2.4. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha \in (\frac{n-2}{2n}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $K_1 > 0$. Then, for any radially symmetric u_0 and v_0 satisfy (1.8) and (1.9) respectively, there exists a constant $C_6 = C_6(\alpha, R, K_1)$ such that*

$$\int_t^{t+h} u(R, \sigma) d\sigma \leq C_6, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}), \quad (2.10)$$

where $h = \min \{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$.

Proof. We choose $\zeta \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ fulfilling $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $\bar{B}_{\frac{R}{4}}(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \bar{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)$. Testing the first equation in (1.1) by $\zeta^2 u^{q-1}$ ($q \in (0, 1)$) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q dx &= \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-1} (\Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla v)) dx \\ &= (1-q) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (1-q) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-1} f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u^{q-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u^q f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Next, we show that the first term on the right-hand side of (2.11) is spatio-temporally integrable. Applying Young's inequality and (1.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (1-q) \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-1} f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1-q)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (1-q) K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 \frac{u^q}{(1+|\nabla v|^2)^{2\alpha}} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{(1-q)}{4} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (1-q) K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\left| -2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u^{q-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \right| \leq \frac{1-q}{4} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{4}{(1-q)} \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \quad (2.13)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| -2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u^q f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \right| &\leq K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 \frac{u^q}{(1+|\nabla v|^2)^{2\alpha}} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \\ &\leq K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx + \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

For the same terms on the right side of (2.12)-(2.14), we use Hölder's inequality with $0 < q < 1$ to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u \right)^q \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^{\frac{2}{1-q}} dx \right)^{1-q} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u \right)^q \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \zeta^{\frac{2}{1-q}} |\nabla v|^{\frac{2-4\alpha}{1-q}} dx \right)^{1-q} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u \right)^q \cdot (\omega_n R^{n-1})^{1-q} \left(\int_{\frac{R}{4}}^R |v_r|^{\frac{2-4\alpha}{1-q}} dr \right)^{1-q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Summing up (2.11)-(2.16), there exists a constant $C_7 = C_7(q, \alpha, R, K_1) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-q}{2} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q dx + \left(\frac{4}{(1-q)} + 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \\ &\quad + (2-q) K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q dx + C_7, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

with the last inequality following from (2.3) with $r_0 = \frac{R}{4}$ and $\sigma = \frac{2-4\alpha}{1-q}$. We integrate both sides of (2.17) and use (1.10) to find positive constants $C_8 = C_8(R)$ and $C_9 = C_9(q, \alpha, R, K_1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R \left| \left(u^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)_r \right|^2 dr ds \\ &\leq C_8 \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^{q-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{2C_8}{q(1-q)} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q(\cdot, t+h) dx - \frac{2C_8}{q(1-q)} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^q(\cdot, t) dx + C_7 h \\ &\leq \frac{2C_8 |\Omega|^{1-q}}{q(1-q)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t+h) dx \right)^q + C_7 \\ &\leq C_9. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and using (1.10) and (2.18) with $q = \frac{2}{3}$, we can find a positive constant $C_{10} = C_{10}(R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) ds &\leq \int_t^{t+h} u^{\frac{5}{3}}(R, s) ds + h \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+h} \|u^{\frac{1}{3}}\|_{L^\infty((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^5 ds + 1 \\ &\leq C_{10} \int_t^{t+h} \|(u^{\frac{1}{3}})_r\|_{L^2((\frac{R}{2}, R))}^2 ds + C_{10} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{\max} - h]$ with $h = \min \{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$, which implies (2.10). \square

The following lemma provides a spatio-temporal uniform bound for v_r near the boundary.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha \in (\frac{n-2}{2n}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $K_1 > 0$. Then, for any radially symmetric u_0 and v_0 satisfy (1.8) and (1.9) respectively, there exists a constant $C_{11} = C_{11}(\alpha, R, K_1)$ such that*

$$|v_r(r, t)| \leq C_{11}, \quad (r, t) \in \left(\frac{4R}{5}, R\right) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. We choose $\zeta \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ fulfilling $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $\bar{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus B_{\frac{3R}{4}}(0)$. Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by $\zeta^2 u$ upon integration by parts, followed by the application of Young's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \zeta u^2 f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \zeta dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{3K_1^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx + 3 \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Next, we estimate the three terms on the right side of (2.20) to derive the boundedness of $\int_{\frac{3R}{4}}^R u^2 dx$. For $q \in (0, 1)$, by Young's inequality, and along with (2.3) where $r_0 = \frac{R}{2}$ and $\sigma = \frac{(2+q)(2-4\alpha)}{q} > 0$ because of $\frac{n-2}{2n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < q < 1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{12} = C_{12}(p_1, \alpha, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx & \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\nabla v|^{\frac{(2-4\alpha)(2+q)}{q}} dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx + C_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

By means of Young's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\nabla \zeta|^{\frac{2(2+q)}{q}} dx \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} \zeta^{\frac{2(2+q)}{q}} dx. \quad (2.23)$$

The Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality guarantees the existence of a positive constant $C_{13} = C_{13}(q, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx &\leq \omega_n R^{n-1} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R u^{2+q}(r, t) dr = \omega_n R^{n-1} \|u^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+p_1)}{q}}(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^{\frac{2(2+p_1)}{q}} \\ &\leq C_{13} \|(u^{\frac{q}{2}})_r\|_{L^2(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^2 \|u^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{q}}(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^{\frac{4}{q}} + C_{13} \|u^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{q}}(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^{\frac{2(2+p_1)}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Besides, we have

$$\|u^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{q}}(\frac{R}{2}, R)}^{\frac{2}{q}} = \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R u(r, t) dr \leq \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R r^{n-1} u(r, t) dr = \left(\frac{2}{R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx.$$

Combining this with (2.18) and (2.24), there exists a constant $C_{14} = C_{14}(p_1, R) > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx ds \leq C_{14}, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h]. \quad (2.25)$$

Substituting (2.21)-(2.23) into (2.20) implies the existence of a positive constant $C_{15} = C_{15}(q, \alpha, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \zeta^2 u^2 dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3K_1^2}{2} + 3\right) \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} u^{2+q} dx + C_{15} \\ &\triangleq g(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Combining (2.25) with (2.26), and applying Lemma 2.2 to (2.26), we have

$$\int_{\frac{3R}{4}}^R u^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{4}{3R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 dx + \left(\frac{4}{3R}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega_n} \frac{((3K_1^2 + 6)C_{14} + 2C_{15})}{1 - e^{-2}} \quad (2.27)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

We fix $\chi \in C^\infty([0, R])$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ fulfilling $\chi \equiv 0$ in $[0, \frac{3R}{4}]$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in $[\frac{4R}{5}, R]$. According to (2.7), (2.27) and (2.3) with $r_0 = \frac{3R}{4}$ and $\sigma = 2$, there exists a constant $C_{16} = C_{16}(\alpha, R, K_1) > 0$ such that

$$\|b(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\frac{3R}{4}, R)} \leq C_{16}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.28)$$

Using (2.28), (2.4) and (2.5), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_r(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\frac{4R}{5}, R)} &\leq \|\partial_r(\chi \cdot (v(\cdot, t) - M))\|_{L^\infty(\frac{3R}{4}, R)} \\ &\leq C_4 \|\chi \cdot (v_0 - M)\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\frac{3R}{4}, R)} + C_5 C_{16} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right) e^{-\lambda t} dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$ which implies (2.19). \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) and (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ and $K_1 > 0$. Assume that (1.8) and (1.9) are valid. Then for $q > \max\{1, \frac{n-2}{2}\}$ and $p \in [2q + 2, \frac{(2n-2)q}{n-2} + 1]$, there exists a constant $C_{17} > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{17} \left\| |\nabla v|^{q-1} |D^2 v| \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-2}{pq}} + C_{17}. \quad (2.29)$$

If $n = 2$, for $q \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2q + 2$, we also have

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{17} \left\| |\nabla v|^{q-1} |D^2 v| \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-2}{pq}} + C_{17}. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof. For all $\frac{n-2}{2n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, by means of (2.19) and $p > 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx \leq |\partial\Omega| \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|v_r\|_{L^\infty((\frac{4R}{5}, R) \times (0, T_{\max}))}^{p-1} \leq |\partial\Omega| \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} C_{11}^{p-1} \quad (2.31)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Similar to Lemma 3.3 in [33], together with (2.31) and (1.11), this leads to (2.29). Combining (2.31) with (2.2) and (1.11), and following a proof similar to that of Lemma 2.1 in [12], we can deduce (2.30). \square

To derive a uniform estimate for u , we construct a following differential inequality.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha \in (\frac{n-2}{2n}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $K_1 > 0$. Assume that u_0 and v_0 are radially symmetric and satisfy (1.8) and (1.9) respectively. Then, for any*

$$k > \max\left\{1, \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n}{2} - \alpha n - 2\alpha\right\} \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$\max\left\{1, k + 1 - \frac{2}{n}\right\} < p < \frac{2k + 4\alpha}{n(1 - 2\alpha)}, \quad (2.33)$$

there exist constants $C_{18} = C_{18}(\alpha, R, K_1, k, p) > 0$ and $C_{19} = C_{19}(\alpha, R, K_1, k, p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \frac{1}{2k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx \right) + C_{18} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx + C_{18} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{19} \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. We first verify (2.33) using (2.32), which justifies the choice of p . Because of $k > \frac{n}{2} - \alpha n - 2\alpha$, we have

$$\frac{2k + 4\alpha}{n(1 - 2\alpha)} > \frac{2(\frac{n}{2} - \alpha n - 2\alpha) + 4\alpha}{n(1 - 2\alpha)} = 1. \quad (2.35)$$

Due to $\frac{n-2}{2n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $k > 1$, we infer that

$$\left(k + 1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) - \frac{2k + 4\alpha}{n(1 - 2\alpha)} = \frac{2n(\frac{n-2}{2n} - \alpha)(k + 1)}{n(1 - 2\alpha)} < 0. \quad (2.36)$$

Combining (2.35) with (2.36), (2.33) is justified.

By $p > k + 1 - \frac{2}{n} > (1 - \frac{2}{n})k + 1 - \frac{2}{n}$, we deduce that

$$\left(\frac{p}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}\right) > \frac{(1 - \frac{2}{n})(k+1)}{2(k+1)} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

The assumption $k > \frac{n}{2} - \alpha n - 2\alpha > \frac{n}{2} - \alpha n - 1$ ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{\frac{2}{n}(k+1) - (1-2\alpha)}{2(k+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1-2\alpha}{2(k+1)} \\ &> \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1-2\alpha}{2(\frac{n}{2} - \alpha n)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2} < -\frac{1}{n} < 0 \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$\frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2} < \frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1}\right) = 0 \quad (2.40)$$

as consequences of $p > 1 > 1 - \frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1}$. According to $p < \frac{2k+4\alpha}{n(1-2\alpha)}$ and (2.39), we have

$$\frac{\frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} + \frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1} - 1 = \frac{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{p}{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} < \frac{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k+2\alpha}{n(1-2\alpha)}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} = 0. \quad (2.41)$$

Combining $p > k + 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ with (2.39), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{\frac{p}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} + \frac{k-1}{k+1} - 1 &= \frac{-\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{k-1}{k+1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2})}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
 &< \frac{-\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{k-1}{k+1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - (\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}))}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{-\frac{k}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} - 1 \\
 &= \frac{\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{k}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \\
 &< 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by u^{p-1} , integrating by parts and applying (1.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx &= - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\
 &\leq - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{K_1^2(p-1)}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^p}{(1+|\nabla v|^2)^{2\alpha}} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\
 &\leq - \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{K_1^2(p-1)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^p |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Using the second equation in (1.1), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2k} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_t dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (\Delta v - uv) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (uv) dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Due to $\nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla v|^2 - |D^2 v|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \Delta v dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \Delta |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\
 &= - \frac{k-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(uv) dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \Delta v dx - (k-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-2)} uv \nabla |\nabla v|^2 \cdot \nabla v dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

By means of Hölder's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \Delta v dx &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |\Delta v|^2 dx + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} u^2 v^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} u^2 v^2 dx
\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (k-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-2)} uv \nabla |\nabla v|^2 \cdot \nabla v dx \\
&\leq \frac{k-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx + (k-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} u^2 v^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

Inserting (2.45)-(2.48) into (2.44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2k} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx + \frac{k-1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-2)} |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\
&\leq (k-1 + \frac{n}{2}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} u^2 v^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} dx
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Combining this with (2.43), and using $|\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 = 4|\nabla v|^2 |D^2 v|^2$ and (1.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \right) + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (k - \frac{1}{2}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx \\
&\leq \left(k - 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} dx + \frac{K_1^2(p-1)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^p |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx
\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

In the following, we estimate the first term on the right side of (2.49). Applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{k+1}}. \tag{2.50}$$

According to Lemma 2.6 with $q = k$ and $p = 2k + 2$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k+1}} = \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2k+2}(\Omega)}^{2k-2} \leq C_{17} \|\nabla v\|^{k-1} \|D^2 v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(k-1)}{k+1}} + C_{17}. \quad (2.51)$$

The Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality implies the existence of a positive constant $C_{20} = C_{20}(p, k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{k+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{k+1}} &= \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4}{p}} \\ &\leq C_{20} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{4\theta_1}{p}} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4(1-\theta_1)}{p}} + C_{20} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{4}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

where $\theta_1 = \frac{\frac{p}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ because of (2.37) and (2.39). Inserting (2.51) and (2.52) into (2.50), and using (2.1) due to (2.42), for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{21} = C_{21}(\alpha, R, K_1, \varepsilon_1, k, p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(k-1 + \frac{n}{2}) \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx + \varepsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_{21}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

For the second term on the right side of (2.49), by means of Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{p(k+1)}{k+2\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{k+2\alpha}{k+1}}. \quad (2.54)$$

According to Lemma 2.6 with $q = k$ and $p = 2k + 2$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{k+1}} = \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2k+2}(\Omega)}^{2(1-2\alpha)} \leq C_{17} \|\nabla v\|^{q-1} \|D^2 v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(1-2\alpha)}{k+1}} + C_{17}. \quad (2.55)$$

Applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, there exists a constant $C_{22} = C_{22}(k, \alpha, p) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{p(k+1)}{k+2\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{k+2\alpha}{k+1}} = \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k+2\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_{22} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2\theta_2} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta_2)} + C_{22} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^2, \quad (2.56)$$

where $\theta_2 = \frac{\frac{k+2\alpha}{2(k+1)} - \frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ because of (2.38), (2.39) and (2.40). Inserting (2.56) and (2.55) into (2.54), and using (2.1) due to (2.41), for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{23} = C_{23}(\alpha, R, K_1, \varepsilon_2, k, p) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{K_1^2(p-1)}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^p |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \leq \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} |D^2 v|^2 dx + \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_{23}. \quad (2.57)$$

Inserting (2.53) and (2.57) into (2.49), and choosing ε_1 and ε_2 small enough yields (2.34). \square

Considering (2.34), the associated boundary integrals will be estimated by making use of the radial symmetry of v . Thus, the following L^p estimate for u can be derived.

Lemma 2.8. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha \in (\frac{n-2}{2n}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $K_1 > 0$. Assume that u_0 and v_0 are radially symmetric and satisfy (1.8) and (1.9) respectively. Then, for any k and p satisfy (2.32) and (2.33) respectively, there exists a positive constant $C_{24} = C_{24}(\alpha, R, K_1, p, k) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq C_{24}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.58)$$

Proof. Applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Young's inequality, using (2.2), there exists a constant $C_{26} = C_{26}(k) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx &= \|\ |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{26} \|\ |\nabla |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\ |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^{\frac{2}{k}}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta_3)} + C_{26} \|\ |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^{\frac{2}{k}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{26} C_2^{2k(1-\theta_3)} \|\ |\nabla |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\ |\nabla v|^k \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_{26} C_2^{2k} \\ &\leq k^{2\theta_3} C_{26} C_2^{2k(1-\theta_3)} \|\ |\nabla v|^{k-1} |D^2 v| \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_{26} C_2^{2k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

where $\theta_3 = \frac{2kn-na}{2a-na+2kn} \in (0, 1)$ because of $k > 1$ and $a > 1$. Similarly, by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we can find a constant $C_{27} = C_{27}(p) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq C_{27} \|\ |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\ |u^{\frac{p}{2}} \|^2_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{2(1-\theta_4)} + C_{27} \|\ |u^{\frac{p}{2}} \|^2_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}, \quad (2.60)$$

where $\theta_4 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}-\frac{p}{2}} \in (0, 1)$ due to (2.39) and $p > 1$. Combining (2.34) with (2.59) and (2.60), using Young's inequality, there exists a constant $C_{28} = C_{28}(\alpha, k, p, K_1, R) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \frac{1}{2k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx \right) + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \frac{1}{2k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{28}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

For the boundary integrals, using the second equation in (1.1) and $v = M$ on $\partial\Omega$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial \nu} &= 2v_r v_{rr} \\ &= 2v_r \cdot \left\{ v_{rr} + \frac{1}{R} v_r \right\} - \frac{2}{R} v_r^2 \\ &= 2uvv_r - \frac{2}{R} v_r^2 \\ &\leq 2uvv_r, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.10), (2.19) and $k > 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx ds &\leq 2M \int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) v_r^{2k-1}(R, s) dx ds \\ &\leq 2M \|v_r\|_{L^\infty(\frac{4R}{5}, R)}^{2k-1} \int_t^{t+h} u(R, s) dx ds \\ &\leq 2M C_{11}^{2k-1} C_6, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h). \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

Similarly, there exists a constant $C_{25} = C_{25}(\alpha, R, K_1, k) > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx ds \leq C_{25}, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max} - h). \quad (2.63)$$

We define

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (1+u)^p dx + \frac{1}{2k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2k} dx$$

and

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} \frac{\partial |\nabla v|^2}{\partial n} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(k-1)} uv \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right| dx + C_{28},$$

which satisfies $\int_t^{t+h} g(s) ds \leq C_{29}(\alpha, R, K_1, k, p)$ for all $t \in (0, T_{\max} - h)$ with $h = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}T_{\max}\}$, as given by (2.62) and (2.63). It follows from (2.61) that

$$y'(t) + y(t) \leq g(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies (2.58) by means of Lemma 2.2. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 1$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.13) with $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $K_1 > 0$. Assume that u_0 and v_0 are radially symmetric and satisfy (1.8) and (1.9) respectively. Then, for any $p > 2$, there exists a positive constant $C_{30} = C_{30}(\alpha, R, K_1, p) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq C_{30}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (2.64)$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by u^{p-1} ($p > 1$), and integrating by parts, followed by the application of Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx &= -p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - p(p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} f(|\nabla v|^2) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ &\leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 dx + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_1^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{(1+|\nabla v|^2)^{2\alpha}} dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Due to $\frac{x}{(1+x)^{2\alpha}} \leq 1$ by $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 dx + \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_1^2 + 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \quad (2.66)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. By (2.60) and Young's inequality, there exists a constant $C_{31} = C_{31}(p, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2}K_1^2 + 1\right) \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_{31}.$$

Inserting this into (2.66), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq C_{31}, \quad (2.67)$$

which implies (2.64). \square

Applying the standard Moser-type iterative argument, we finally obtain the L^∞ estimate of u .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Due to $\frac{2k+4\alpha}{n(1-2\alpha)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9, for any $p > 1$, we have

$$\sup_{0 < t < T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{32}.$$

Using the standard Dirichlet heat semigroup estimates again, we fix $p > n$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &= \|\nabla(v(\cdot, t) - M)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\| \nabla e^{t\Delta}(v_0 - M) - \int_0^t \nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta}(u(\cdot, s)v(\cdot, s)) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_3 \|v_0 - M\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C_4 \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}}\right) e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &\leq C_{33}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the statement of Theorem 1.3 can be established through a Moser-type iterative argument (cf. [22, Lemma A.1]). \square

3. BOUNDEDNESS WHEN $\tau = 0$ AND $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$

In this section, we aim to prove the boundedness of solution when $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ and $\tau = 0$. Throughout this section, we assume that Ω is a general bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We first give a basic observation on the regularity of signal gradient.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 0$. Suppose that f and u_0 satisfy (1.7) and (1.8), then there exists a constant $C_{34} > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C_{34}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Testing the second equation in (1.1) by $(M - v)$ and integrating by parts, applying the fact that $v \leq M$ and (1.12), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} uv(M - v) \leq M^2 \int_{\Omega} u dx = M^2 \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies (3.1). \square

The following lemma provides the L^p estimate of u .

Lemma 3.2. *Let (u, v) be the classical solution of problem (1.1) with $\tau = 0$. Suppose that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.14) with $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ and $K_0 > 0$. Then, for $p > 2$ and u_0 fulfilling (1.8), there exists a constant $C_{35} = C_{35}(\alpha, p, K_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p(x, t) dx \leq C_{35}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. *Case 1:* $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Its proof is the same as Lemma 2.9.

Case 2: $\frac{n-2}{2n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau = 0$. Applying Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.1, it follows from (2.65) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx &\leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 dx + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_0^2 \int_{\Omega} u^p |\nabla v|^{2-4\alpha} dx \\ &\leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 dx + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_0^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{1-2\alpha} \\ &\leq -\frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 dx + C_{34}^{1-2\alpha} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_0^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{2\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Since $\alpha > \frac{n-2}{2n}$ implies $\frac{2n(p-2\alpha)}{pn+2-n} < 2$, we use Young's inequality and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality to pick constants $C_{36} = C_{36}(p, \alpha, K_0) > 0$ and $C_{37} = C_{37}(p, \alpha, K_0) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{34}^{1-2\alpha} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_0^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}} dx \right)^{2\alpha} &= C_{34}^{1-2\alpha} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} K_0^2 \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{36} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2n(p-2\alpha)}{pn+2-n}} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(2-n+2n\alpha)}{pn+2-n}} + C_{36} \|u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{p-1}{p} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_{37}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \|\nabla u^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_{37}. \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.3) with (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p dx + \int_{\Omega} u^p dx \leq 2C_{37} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$, which implies (3.2). \square

Applying the standard Moser-type iterative argument, we finally obtain the L^∞ estimate of u .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. For any $p \geq 2$, by Lemma 3.2 and the standard elliptic regularity theory, we can find a constant $C_{38}(\alpha, p, K_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{38}(\alpha, p, K_0), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}).$$

Based on this and Lemma 3.2, the statement of Theorem 1.4 can be established through a Moser-type iterative argument. \square

4. BLOW-UP WHEN $\alpha < 0$, $n = 2$ AND $\tau = 0$

In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 1.5, and assume that $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\tau = 0$. In the spirit of [1, 32, 35], we introduce the mass distribution function

$$w(s, t) := \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \rho u(\rho, t) d\rho, \quad (s, t) \in [0, R^2] \times [0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.1)$$

According to (1.1) and (4.1), w satisfies the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t(s, t) = 4sw_{ss}(s, t) + 2\sqrt{s}w_s(s, t)f(v_r^2(\sqrt{s}, t))v_r(\sqrt{s}, t), & s \in (0, R^2), t \in (0, T_{\max}), \\ w(0, t) = 0, \quad w(R^2, t) = \frac{m}{2\pi}, & t \in [0, T_{\max}), \\ w(s, 0) = w_0(s), & s \in (0, R^2), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $m := \int_\Omega u_0 dx$ and $w_0(s) = \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \rho u_0(\rho) d\rho$. By Proposition 1.2, we know that $w \in C^0([0, T_{\max}); C^1([0, R^2]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}))$ satisfies

$$w_s(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}u(\sqrt{s}, t), \quad (s, t) \in [0, R^2] \times [0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.3)$$

The following two lemmas introduce some useful estimates to derive the lower bound for w_t . The estimate of $rv_r(r, t)$ can be derived by a ODE comparison argument, referring to [32, Lemma 3.1] for details of the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that f and radially symmetric u_0 satisfy (1.7) and (1.8), then*

$$rv_r(r, t) \geq \frac{U(r, t)v(r, t)}{1 + \int_0^r \frac{U(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho}, \quad (r, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, T_{\max}), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$U(r, t) := w(r^2, t), \quad (r, t) \in [0, R] \times [0, T_{\max}).$$

Considering Lemma 4.1, we estimate v from below which detailed proof can be found in [1, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that f and radially symmetric u_0 satisfy (1.7) and (1.8), then*

$$v(r, t) \geq M \exp \left[- \left(\frac{m}{2\pi} \cdot \ln \frac{R}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \quad (r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.5)$$

The following estimate of w_t comes from the above lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that radially symmetric u_0 satisfies (1.8). Assume that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.15) with $\alpha < 0$ and $k > 0$. Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{39} = C_{39}(\delta, R) > 0$, such that*

$$w_t(s, t) \geq 4s w_{ss}(s, t) + M^{1-2\alpha} k C_{39} \cdot s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha} \frac{w^{1-2\alpha}(s, t) w_s(s, t)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma\right)^{1-2\alpha}} \quad (4.6)$$

for all $s \in (0, R^2)$ and $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

Proof. By means of Young's inequality, for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi} \cdot \ln \frac{R}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\delta \ln \frac{R}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{m}{16\pi\delta} \\ &= \ln \frac{R^{2\delta}}{s^\delta} + \frac{m}{16\pi\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (4.5), we deduce that

$$v(\sqrt{s}, t) \geq MR^{-2\delta} e^{-\frac{m}{16\pi\delta}} s^\delta, \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.7)$$

According to the definitions of U and w , we obtain

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{U(\rho, t)}{\rho} d\rho = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma. \quad (4.8)$$

By Lemma 4.1, (4.7), (4.8) and the positivity of w , we derive that

$$v_r(\sqrt{s}, t) \geq MR^{-2\delta} e^{-\frac{m}{16\pi\delta}} \frac{s^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} w(s, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma}, \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^2) \times (0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.9)$$

Using (1.15), and inserting (4.9) into (4.2), there exists a constant $C_{39}(m, R, \delta) = 2R^{-2\delta(1-2\alpha)} e^{-\frac{m(1-2\alpha)}{16\pi\delta}} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_t(s, t) &\geq 4s w_{ss}(s, t) + 2k\sqrt{s} w_s(s, t) (1 + v_r^2(\sqrt{s}, t))^{-\alpha} v_r(\sqrt{s}, t) \\ &\geq 4s w_{ss}(s, t) + 2k\sqrt{s} w_s(s, t) v_r^{1-2\alpha}(\sqrt{s}, t) \\ &\geq 4s w_{ss}(s, t) + M^{1-2\alpha} k_f C_{39} \cdot \frac{s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha} w^{1-2\alpha}(s, t) w_s(s, t)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma\right)^{1-2\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for all $s \in (0, R^2)$ and $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. We complete our proof. \square

The method of detecting blow-up depends on a differential inequality of a moment-type functional $\phi(t)$. For any given $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$, we define such a positive functional

$$\phi(t) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) ds, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max}), \quad (4.11)$$

which is well-defined and belongs to $C^0([0, T_{\max})) \cap C^1((0, T_{\max}))$. To prepare our subsequent analysis of $\phi(t)$, given $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, we further introduce an auxiliary functional

$$\psi(t) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma-1} w^{2-2\alpha}(s, t) ds, \quad t \in [0, T_{\max}) \quad (4.12)$$

$\psi \in C^0[0, T_{\max})$.

In the following lemma, we establish a basic differential inequality of the moment-type functional $\phi(t)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that radially symmetric u_0 satisfies (1.8). Assume that $f(\xi)$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.15) with $\alpha < 0$ and $k > 0$. Then, for any given $\delta = \delta(\alpha) \in (0, \frac{-\alpha}{1-2\alpha})$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\delta) \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $C_{40} = C_{40}(\delta, R) > 0$, such that the functions $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfy*

$$\phi'(t) \geq \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{C_{40}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)} - C_{40} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t) - C_{40}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}) \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\phi(t) \leq C_{40} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}). \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Due to $0 < \delta < \frac{-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}$, we deduce that

$$\delta(1-2\alpha) + \alpha - \frac{-\alpha - \delta(1-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} = (\delta(1-2\alpha) + \alpha) \frac{2-2\alpha}{1-2\alpha} < 0.$$

By $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\delta(1-2\alpha) + \alpha < \delta(1-2\alpha) + 2 - \alpha.$$

Thus, we can fix $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ fulfilling

$$\delta(1-2\alpha) + \alpha < \gamma < \min \left\{ \frac{-\alpha - \delta(1-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}, \delta(1-2\alpha) + 2 - \alpha \right\}. \quad (4.15)$$

According to $\gamma < \frac{-\alpha - \delta(1-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}$ in (4.15) and $\alpha < 0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-\alpha + \gamma + 1 - \delta(1-2\alpha) - \gamma(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} \\ & > \frac{-\alpha + \gamma + 1 - \delta(1-2\alpha) - (\gamma+1)(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} \\ & = \frac{-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} - (\gamma - 1 + \delta) \\ & > -1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Noting that the assumption $\gamma > \delta(1 - 2\alpha) + \alpha$ in (4.15) warrants that

$$\frac{-\alpha + \gamma + 1 - \delta(1 - 2\alpha) - (2 - 2\alpha)}{1 - 2\alpha} - (-1) = -\alpha + \gamma - \delta(1 - 2\alpha) > 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Inserting (4.6) into the definition of $\phi(t)$ as (4.11), we derive that

$$\phi'(t) \geq 4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) + M^{1-2\alpha} k_f C_{39} \cdot \int_0^{R^2} \frac{s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma} w^{1-2\alpha}(s, t) w_s(s, t)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma\right)^{1-2\alpha}} \quad (4.18)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$. Due to $\gamma < 1$ and $\gamma < \delta(1 - 2\alpha) + 2 - \alpha$, we obtain

$$s^{1-\gamma} w_s(s, t) \rightarrow 0, \quad s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma} w^{2-2\alpha}(s, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.19)$$

for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

In the following, we first deal with the first term on the right side of (4.18). We integrate by parts and combine (4.19) with $w_s(s, t) \geq 0$ inferred from (4.3) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) ds &= -4(1 - \gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_s(s, t) ds + 4R^{2(1-\gamma)} w_s(R^2, t) \\ &\geq -4(1 - \gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_s(s, t) ds \\ &= -4\gamma(1 - \gamma) \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma-1} w(s, t) ds - 4(1 - \gamma) \frac{R^{-2\gamma} m}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Due to the definition of $\psi(t)$, applying Young's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma-1} w(s, t) ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma-1} w^{2-2\alpha}(s, t) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{\frac{-\alpha+\gamma+1-\delta(1-2\alpha)-(\gamma+1)(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} ds \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}} \\ &\leq C_{41} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $C_{41} = C_{41}(\alpha, R) = \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{\frac{-\alpha+\gamma+1-\delta(1-2\alpha)-(\gamma+1)(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} ds \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}$ is finite because of (4.16). Substituting (4.21) into (4.20), we obtain

$$4 \int_0^{R^2} s^{1-\gamma} w_{ss}(s, t) ds \geq -4\gamma(1 - \gamma) C_{41} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t) - 4(1 - \gamma) \frac{R^{-2\gamma} m}{2\pi}. \quad (4.22)$$

Next, we deal with the second term on the right side of (4.18). Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma &\leq \left(\int_0^s \sigma^{\frac{-\alpha+\gamma+1-\delta(1-2\alpha)-(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}} \cdot \left(\int_0^s \sigma^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma-1} w^{2-2\alpha}(s, t) d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} \\ &\leq C_{42} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where $C_{42} = C_{42}(\alpha, R) = \left(\int_0^{R^2} \sigma^{\frac{-\alpha+\gamma+1-\delta(1-2\alpha)-(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}} > 0$ is finite because of (4.17). Integrating by parts, and using (4.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{R^2} s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma} w^{1-2\alpha}(s, t) w_s(s, t) ds &= -\frac{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma}{2-2\alpha} \int_0^{R^2} s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma-1} w^{2-2\alpha} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{R^{2\delta(1-2\alpha)+2\alpha-2\gamma}}{2-2\alpha} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \\ &\geq C_{43} \psi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where $C_{43} = C_{43}(\alpha, R) = -\frac{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma}{2-2\alpha} > 0$ due to $\gamma > \delta(1-2\alpha) + \alpha$ and $\alpha < 0$. As a consequence of (4.23) and (4.24), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{R^2} \frac{s^{\delta(1-2\alpha)+\alpha-\gamma} w^{1-2\alpha}(s, t) w_s(s, t)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{w(\sigma, t)}{\sigma} d\sigma\right)^{1-2\alpha}} &\geq \frac{2^{1-2\alpha} C_{43} \psi(t)}{2^{1-4\alpha} + 2^{-2\alpha} C_{42}^{1-2\alpha} \psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)} \\ &\geq \frac{2C_{43}}{\max\{2^{1-2\alpha}, C_{42}^{1-2\alpha}\}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, (4.13) can be deduced from (4.18), (4.22) and (4.25).

Applying Young's inequality and (4.16), there exists a positive constant $C_{40} = C_{40}(\alpha, R)$ large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w(s, t) ds \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{\alpha-\gamma-1+\delta(1-2\alpha)} w^{2-2\alpha} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} \cdot \left(\int_0^{R^2} s^{\frac{-\alpha+\gamma+1-\delta(1-2\alpha)-\gamma(2-2\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}} \\ &\leq C_{40} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}}(t), \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.14). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We denote

$$\phi_0 = \phi_0(u_0) := \int_0^{R^2} s^{-\gamma} w_0(s) ds \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$S := \left\{ t \in (0, T_{\max}) \mid \phi(t) > \frac{\phi_0}{2} \text{ on } (0, t) \right\}, \quad (4.27)$$

where $w_0(s)$ is defined in (4.2). We note that S is not empty due to the continuity of $\phi(t)$, (4.11) and (4.26). Thus, $T := \sup S \in (0, T_{\max}] \subset (0, \infty]$ is well-defined.

We first prove $\phi'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. Writing

$$f_M(z) := \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{2C_{40}} \cdot \frac{z}{1 + z^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}} - C_{40} z^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} - C_{40}$$

and

$$C_{44} := \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{2-2\alpha},$$

then we have

$$\inf_{z \geq C_{44}} f_M(z) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Due to (4.14) and (4.27), we have

$$\psi(t) \geq \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{C_{40}} \right)^{2-2\alpha} \geq \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{2-2\alpha}, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Thus, there exists a constant $M^*(u_0) > 0$ such that

$$f_M(\psi(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad M \geq M^*(u_0). \quad (4.28)$$

We choose $M \geq M^*(u_0)$ in the following proof. The non-decreasing of $g(z) := \frac{z^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}}{1+z^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}}$ on $z \in (0, +\infty)$, together with (4.13), (4.14) and (4.28) warrants that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(t) &\geq \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{2C_{40}} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)} + f_M(\psi(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{2C_{40}} \cdot \frac{\psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)}{1 + \psi^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2-2\alpha}}(t)} \psi^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} \\ &\geq \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{2C_{40}} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{1-2\alpha}}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{1-2\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\phi(t)}{C_{40}} \\ &= C_{45} \phi(t), \quad t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where $C_{45} = C_{45}(\alpha, R, M) = \frac{M^{1-2\alpha}}{2C_{40}^2} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{1-2\alpha}}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi_0}{2C_{40}} \right)^{1-2\alpha}}$. By continuity of $\phi(t)$ and the definition of T , we have $\phi(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2}$ which is evidently incompatible with the nondecrease of $\phi(t)$ on $[0, T)$ asserted by (4.29). Thus, we deduce that $T = T_{\max}$.

It follows from (4.29) that

$$\phi(t) \geq \phi_0 e^{C_{45}t}, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

According to (4.11) with $\gamma < 1$ and the non-decreasing of w , we infer that

$$\frac{mR^{2(1-\gamma)}}{2\pi(1-\gamma)} \geq \phi(t) \geq \phi_0 e^{C_{45}t}, \quad t \in (0, T_{\max}),$$

which implies

$$T_{\max} \leq \frac{1}{C_{45}} \ln \frac{mR^{2(1-\gamma)}}{2\pi(1-\gamma)\phi_0}.$$

We complete our proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. AHN AND M. WINKLER, *A critical exponent for blow-up in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-consumption system*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 62 (2023), Paper No. 180, 25 pp.
- [2] N. BELLOMO, A. BELLOUQUID, J. NIETO, AND J. SOLER, *Multiscale biological tissue models and flux-limited chemotaxis for multicellular growing systems*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 20 (2010), pp. 1179–1207.
- [3] N. BELLOMO AND M. WINKLER, *A degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation: maximally extended solutions and absence of gradient blow-up*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 42 (2017), pp. 436–473.
- [4] ———, *Finite-time blow-up in a degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 4 (2017), pp. 31–67.
- [5] Y. CHIYODA, M. MIZUKAMI, AND T. YOKOTA, *Finite-time blow-up in a quasilinear degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation*, Acta Appl. Math., 167 (2020), pp. 231–259.
- [6] E.F. KELLER AND L.A. SEGEL, *Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis*, J Theor Biol, 30 (1971), pp. 235–248.
- [7] S. D. ÈIDEL'MAN AND S. D. IVASISHEN, *Investigation of the green's matrix of a homogeneous parabolic boundary value problem*, Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva, 23 (1970), pp. 179–234.
- [8] S. KOHATSU, *Boundedness and finite-time blow-up in a chemotaxis system with flux limitation and logistic source*, Acta Appl. Math., 191 (2024), Paper No. 7, 31 pp.
- [9] S. KOHATSU AND T. YOKOTA, *Stability of constant equilibria in a Keller-Segel system with gradient dependent chemotactic sensitivity*, Matematiche (Catania), 78 (2023), pp. 213–237.
- [10] J. LANKEIT AND M. WINKLER, *Radial solutions to a chemotaxis-consumption model involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary*, Nonlinearity, 35 (2022), pp. 719–749.
- [11] ———, *Depleting the signal: analysis of chemotaxis-consumption models—a survey*, Stud. Appl. Math., 151 (2023), pp. 1197–1229.
- [12] T. LI, A. SUEN, M. WINKLER, AND C. XUE, *Global small-data solutions of a two-dimensional chemotaxis system with rotational flux terms*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 25 (2015), pp. 721–746.
- [13] X. MAO AND Y. LI, *Instability of homogeneous steady states in chemotaxis systems with flux limitation*, Nonlinear Anal., 243 (2024), Paper No. 113527, 18 pp.
- [14] M. MARRAS, S. VERNIER-PIRO, AND T. YOKOTA, *Blow-up phenomena for a chemotaxis system with flux limitation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 515 (2022), Paper No. 126376, 13 pp.
- [15] M. MARRAS, S. VERNIER-PIRO, AND T. YOKOTA, *Behavior in time of solutions of a Keller-Segel system with flux limitation and source term*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 30 (2023), Paper No. 65, 27 pp.
- [16] M. MATSUSHITA AND H. FUJIKAWA, *Diffusion-limited growth in bacterial colony formation*, Physica A, 168 (1990), pp. 498–506.
- [17] M. MIZUKAMI, T. ONO, AND T. YOKOTA, *Extensibility criterion ruling out gradient blow-up in a quasilinear degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation*, J. Differential Equations, 267 (2019), pp. 5115–5164.
- [18] M. NEGREANU AND J. I. TELLO, *On a parabolic-elliptic system with gradient dependent chemotactic coefficient*, J. Differential Equations, 265 (2018), pp. 733–751.
- [19] B. PERTHAME, N. VAUCHELET, AND Z. WANG, *The flux limited Keller-Segel system; properties and derivation from kinetic equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 36 (2020), pp. 357–386.
- [20] P. QUITTNER AND P. SOUPLLET, *Superlinear parabolic problems*, Springer, 2019.

- [21] Y. TAO, *Boundedness in a chemotaxis model with oxygen consumption by bacteria*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 381 (2011), pp. 521–529.
- [22] Y. TAO AND M. WINKLER, *Boundedness in a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with subcritical sensitivity*, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), pp. 692–715.
- [23] ———, *Eventual smoothness and stabilization of large-data solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), pp. 2520–2543.
- [24] ———, *Global smooth solvability of a parabolic-elliptic nutrient taxis system in domains of arbitrary dimension*, J. Differential Equations, 267 (2019), pp. 388–406.
- [25] J. I. TELLO, *Blow up of solutions for a Parabolic-Elliptic chemotaxis system with gradient dependent chemotactic coefficient*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 47 (2022), pp. 307–345.
- [26] X. TU, C. MU, AND P. ZHENG, *On effects of the nonlinear signal production to the boundedness and finite-time blow-up in a flux-limited chemotaxis model*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 32 (2022), pp. 647–711.
- [27] I. TUVAL, L. CISNEROS, C. DOMBROWSKI, C. WOLGEMUTH, J. KESSLER, AND R. GOLDSTEIN, *Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 102 (2005), pp. 2277–2282.
- [28] H. WANG AND Y. LI, *On a parabolic-parabolic system with gradient dependent chemotactic coefficient and consumption*, J. Math. Phys., 60 (2019), No. 011502, 20 pp.
- [29] H. WANG AND Y. LI, *Renormalized solutions to a chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2019), Paper No. 38, 19 pp.
- [30] L. WANG, C. MU, K. LIN, AND J. ZHAO, *Global existence to a higher-dimensional quasilinear chemotaxis system with consumption of chemoattractant*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), pp. 1633–1648.
- [31] L. WANG, C. MU, AND S. ZHOU, *Boundedness in a parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system with nonlinear diffusion*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 65 (2014), pp. 1137–1152.
- [32] Y. WANG AND M. WINKLER, *Finite-time blow-up in a repulsive chemotaxis-consumption system*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 153 (2023), pp. 1150–1166.
- [33] Y. WANG AND Z. XIANG, *Global existence and boundedness in a higher-dimensional quasilinear chemotaxis system*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), pp. 3159–3179.
- [34] M. WINKLER, *A three-dimensional Keller-Segel-Navier-Stokes system with logistic source: global weak solutions and asymptotic stabilization*, J. Funct. Anal., 276 (2019), pp. 1339–1401.
- [35] M. WINKLER, *A critical blow-up exponent for flux limitation in a Keller-Segel system*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 71 (2022), pp. 1437–1465.
- [36] ———, *A unifying approach toward boundedness in Keller-Segel type cross-diffusion systems via conditional L^∞ estimates for taxis gradients*, Math. Nachr., 295 (2022), pp. 1840–1862.
- [37] J. YAN AND Y. LI, *Existence and boundedness of solutions for a Keller-Segel system with gradient dependent chemotactic sensitivity*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2020), Paper No. 122, 14 pp.
- [38] Y. LI AND J. YAN, *Local existence and global boundedness for a chemotaxis system with gradient dependent flux limitation*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 34 (2024), pp. 1701–1737.
- [39] S.-O. YANG AND J. AHN, *Long time asymptotics of small mass solutions for a chemotaxis-consumption system involving prescribed signal concentrations on the boundary*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 79 (2024), Paper No. 104129.
- [40] H. YI, C. MU, S. QIU, AND L. XU, *Global boundedness of radial solutions to a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with flux limitation and nonlinear signal production*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 20 (2021), pp. 3825–3849.

- [41] J. ZHAO AND H. YI, *Global boundedness and large time behavior of solutions to a chemotaxis system with flux limitation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 514 (2022), Paper No. 126321, pp. 11.
- [42] A. ZHIGUN, *Flux limitation mechanisms arising in multiscale modelling of cancer invasion*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad., 122A (2022), pp. 5–26.
- [43] Z. ZENG AND Y. LI, *Boundedness and finite-time blow-up in a repulsion-consumption system with nonlinear chemotactic sensitivity*, arXiv e-prints, (2024), p. arXiv:2409.01853.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 211189, P. R. CHINA
Email address: ziyzzy@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 211189, P. R. CHINA
Email address: lieyx@seu.edu.cn