

MOMENTS OF TRACES OF RANDOM SYMPLECTIC MATRICES AND HYPERELLIPTIC L -FUNCTIONS

ALEXEI ENTIN AND NOAM PIRANI

ABSTRACT. We study matrix integrals of the form

$$\int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} \prod_{j=1}^k \mathrm{tr}(U^j)^{a_j} dU,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_k are natural numbers and integration is with respect to the Haar probability measure. We obtain a compact formula (the number of terms depends only on $\sum a_j$ and not on n, k) for the above integral in the non-Gaussian range $\sum_{j=1}^k j a_j \leq 4n + 1$. This extends results of Diaconis-Shahshahani and Hughes-Rudnick who obtained a formula for the integral valid in the (Gaussian) range $\sum_{j=1}^k j a_j \leq n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^k j a_j \leq 2n + 1$ respectively. We derive our formula using the connection between random symplectic matrices and hyperelliptic L -functions over finite fields, given by an equidistribution result of Katz and Sarnak, and an evaluation of a certain multiple character sum over the function field $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$.

We apply our formula to study the linear statistics of eigenvalues of random unitary symplectic matrices in a narrow bandwidth sampling regime.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important tool in the study of random matrices drawn from the classical compact groups $G = \mathrm{U}(n), \mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathrm{SO}(n)$ are *trace moments*, which are integrals of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \int_G \prod_{j=1}^k (\mathrm{tr}(U^j)^{a_j} \mathrm{tr}(U^{-j})^{b_j}) dU, \quad a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where integration is with respect to the Haar probability measure on G . The study of such integrals was initiated by Dyson [Dys62], who evaluated (1.1) in the case $G = \mathrm{U}(n)$, $\sum_j (a_j + b_j) \leq 2$, namely he showed that

$$\int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \mathrm{tr}(U^j) dU = 0, \quad \int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \mathrm{tr}(U^i) \mathrm{tr}(U^{-j}) dU = \delta_{ij} \min(j, n), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}$$

(δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta). He used these identities to compute the pair correlation of eigenvalues of random unitary matrices. It was later conjectured by Montgomery [Mon73] that the zeros of the Riemann zeta function have the same pair correlation, an observation which was an early cornerstone in the study of the connections between L -functions and random matrices. For a survey of these connections see [KS99b].

Diaconis and Shahshahani [DS94] (see also [DE01] or [Mec19, §3.3] for a slightly corrected exposition) showed that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \prod_{j=1}^k (\mathrm{tr}(U^j)^{a_j} \mathrm{tr}(U^{-j})^{b_j}) dU = \prod_{j=1}^k \delta_{a_j, b_j} j^{a_j} a_j! = \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (j^{1/2} Z_j)^{a_j} \overline{(j^{1/2} Z_j)^{b_j}} \right),$$

where Z_1, \dots, Z_k are independent standard complex Gaussians and $\sum_{j=1}^k j(a_j + b_j) \leq 2n$. The relation (1.2) breaks down beyond this range, a phenomenon described in [HR03b] as the mock Gaussian behavior of $\mathrm{tr}(U^j)$. Diaconis and Shahshahani also derived corresponding formulae for the groups $G = \mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathrm{SO}(n)$ ¹. Before describing these we introduce some convenient notation and terminology.

¹Diaconis and Shahshahani computed trace moments for $\mathrm{O}(n)$ instead of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. It is easy to deduce the same formula for $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ from the corresponding formulae for $\mathrm{O}(n), \mathrm{USp}(2m)$ using the Weyl integration formulae [Mec19, Theorem 3.5].

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of sequences of nonnegative integers with finite support. We denote elements of \mathcal{P} by $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}$ etc. We define the length and the size of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ to be

$$\ell(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j, \quad |\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j a_j.$$

An element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ can be viewed as a partition of the number $|\mathbf{a}|$ with a_j parts of size j (the total number of parts being $\ell(\mathbf{a})$), and henceforth we will refer to elements of \mathcal{P} as partitions. Alternatively, an element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ can be viewed as a finite multiset of natural numbers with each element j having multiplicity a_j (the cardinality of this multiset is $\ell(\mathbf{a})$). The set \mathcal{P} is partially ordered by

$$\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a} \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : b_j \leq a_j.$$

If $\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}$ we denote

$$\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} = (a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We also define

$$\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{a_j}{b_j},$$

$$(1.3) \quad g(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} g_j(a_j), \quad g_j(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & 2 \nmid j a, \\ j^{a/2} (a-1)!!, & 2 \nmid j, 2|a, \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{2l} j^l (2l-1)!!, & 2|j, \end{cases}$$

where $(2m)!! = 2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2m$, $(2m-1)!! = 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2m-1)$ is the double factorial (in particular $0!! = (-1)!! = 1$). Note that all the sums and products appearing above are actually finite.

If $G = \text{USp}(2n), \text{SO}(n)$ and $U \in G$ then $\text{tr}(U^j) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{tr}(U^{-j}) = \text{tr}(U^j)$, so it is enough to study integrals of the form

$$M(G, \mathbf{a}) = \int_G \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(U^j)^{a_j} dU, \quad \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}$$

(note that the product is actually finite).

Diaconis and Shahshahani [DS94] (see also [DE01] or [Mec19, §3.3] for a slightly corrected exposition) proved that

$$(1.4) \quad M(\text{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a}) = (-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (j^{1/2} X_j - \eta_j)^{a_j} \right), \quad |\mathbf{a}| \leq n$$

$$(1.5) \quad M(\text{SO}(n), \mathbf{a}) = g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (j^{1/2} X_j + \eta_j)^{a_j} \right), \quad |\mathbf{a}| \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad \eta_j = \begin{cases} 1, & 2 \nmid j \\ 0, & 2 \mid j, \end{cases}$$

and X_1, X_2, \dots are independent standard real Gaussians. Hughes and Rudnick [HR03b] showed that (1.4) and (1.5) hold in the extended ranges $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 2n+1$ and $|\mathbf{a}| \leq n-1$ respectively. Their method (based on cumulant expansions) is completely different from the representation-theoretic method of Diaconis-Shahshahani. Stolz [Sto05] gave an alternative derivation of (1.4), (1.5) in the extended ranges of Hughes-Rudnick using the original approach of Diaconis-Shahshahani. Pastur and Vasilchuk [PV04] gave alternative proofs of (1.2), (1.4), (1.5) using a new method inspired by statistical mechanics.

Using the methods of Hughes-Rudnick, Keating and Odgers [KO08, Lemma 2] derived formulae for $\int_G \text{tr}(U^{j_1}) \text{tr}(U^{j_2}) dU$, $G = \text{USp}(2n), \text{SO}(2n)$ valid for all j_1, j_2 . These do not generally agree with (1.4), (1.5) beyond the ranges established by Hughes and Rudnick, so the corresponding trace moments are not Gaussian beyond these ranges.

The main result of the present work is a formula for $M(\mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a})$ in the extended non-Gaussian range $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$.

Theorem 1. *Assume $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$. Then*

$$(1.7) \quad M(\mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a}) = (-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}} \binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} g(\mathbf{b}) \phi(n, \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}),$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad \phi(n, \mathbf{c}) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{|\mathbf{d}| \leq |\mathbf{c}|/2 - n - 1}^{\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{c}} (-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{d})} \binom{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{d}}, & |\mathbf{c}| > 0, 2 \nmid |\mathbf{c}|, \\ 1, & |\mathbf{c}| = 0, \\ 0, & |\mathbf{c}| > 0, 2 \nmid |\mathbf{c}|. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 2n + 1$ then the only nonzero term in (1.7) is with $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}$ and (1.7) reduces to (1.4) in this case.

Our method of proving Theorem 1 is completely different from the combinatorial and representation-theoretic approaches underlying previous results on trace moments for the classical compact groups. Instead we use the deep connection between random symplectic matrices and hyperelliptic L -functions over finite fields. Using an equidistribution result of Katz and Sarnak we relate the trace moments $M(\mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a})$ to certain multiple character sums over primes in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ for large q . We are able to precisely estimate these sums provided $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$, which allows us to derive (1.7). The non-Gaussian correction terms $\phi(n, \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})$, $\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a}$ arise from quadratic reciprocity and the functional equation for hyperelliptic L -functions over \mathbb{F}_q .

Previously the first author [Ent14] gave a new derivation of (1.2) based on a similar approach, but using Artin-Schreier instead of hyperelliptic L -functions. The same approach was utilized by the first author, Roditty-Gershon and Rudnick [ERGR13] to compute the n -level density of the eigenvalues of random symplectic matrices for an improved range of test functions (a combinatorial calculation of this density, as well as a generalization to the orthogonal group, was later given by Mason and Snaith [MS17]). The strategy of proving a complicated combinatorial identity with arithmetic significance by first proving the underlying arithmetic results over function fields also appears in the spectacular proof of the Langlands fundamental lemma by Ngô [Ngô10].

Remark 1.1. It is natural to try to obtain an analog of Theorem 1 for the orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ using the family of Hasse-Weil L -functions of quadratic twists of a fixed elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$, which is known to have orthogonal symmetry. Unfortunately, it is hard to estimate the corresponding character sums directly beyond the Gaussian range $|\mathbf{a}| < n$.

1.1. Applications to linear statistics of eigenvalues.

Notation 1.2. $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$.

Integrals of the form (1.1) are useful for the study of linear statistics of eigenvalues of random matrices drawn from the classical compact ensembles. These are expressions of the form $W_F(U) = \sum_{i=k}^N F(\theta_k)$, where $e(\theta_1), \dots, e(\theta_N)$ are the eigenvalues of U , the matrix U is drawn at random from one of the groups $\mathrm{U}(n), \mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathrm{SO}(n)$ and $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a periodic test function. One is interested in the statistical behavior of $W_F(U)$, e.g. its moments, for large values of n . The test function F may depend on n and various regimes have been considered. Diaconis and Evans [DE01] studied the regime of fixed F and showed that the moments of $W_F(U)$ become Gaussian in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. Soshnikov [Sos00] generalized this to a mesoscopic sampling regime. Hughes and Rudnick [HR03b, HR03a] studied the local sampling regime, that is when $F(t) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} f(N(t+u))$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is a fixed Schwartz function. In this regime the m -th moment of $W_F(U)$ is generally Gaussian only if \hat{f} is supported on $[-1/m, 1/m]$ (resp. $[-2/m, 2/m]$) in the symplectic and orthogonal case (resp. unitary case). This is closely related to the breakdown of (1.2), (1.4), (1.5) beyond the corresponding Gaussian ranges.

Rudnick and Waxman [RW19, §5] studied a narrow bandwidth sampling regime, which we now describe. We focus on the case $G = \mathrm{USp}(2n)$. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ be a fixed even and real-valued test function. For a

matrix $U \in \mathrm{USp}(2n)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$W_{f,\nu}(U) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} f(\theta_k) e(\nu\theta_k),$$

where $e(\theta_1), \dots, e(\theta_{2n})$ are the eigenvalues of U . The Fourier coefficients of the function $f(t)e(\nu t)$ are concentrated around ν as ν becomes large. Note that $W_{f,\nu}(U) \in \mathbb{R}$ because the eigenvalues of U come in conjugate pairs and f is even and real-valued. Rudnick and Waxman [RW19, Proposition 5.3] computed the variance of $W_{f,\nu}(U)$ in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, where f is fixed and ν grows linearly with n . Here we apply Theorem 1 to compute higher moments of $W_{f,\nu}(U)$ in a restricted range of ν .

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ be a fixed even real-valued function, m a fixed natural number, $\epsilon > 0$ a fixed constant. Assume $\epsilon n \leq \nu \leq \frac{4n}{m} - n^{1/2}$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} W_{f,\nu}(U)^m dU = \eta_m \cdot (m-1)!! \cdot \|f\|_{L^2}^m \nu^{m/2} + O_{f,m,\epsilon} \left(n^{(m-1)/2} \right),$$

where $\|f\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_0^1 f(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$, η_m is defined by (1.6) and the implicit constant may depend on f, m, ϵ . Note that the main term is the m -th moment of the real Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \nu$.

The derivation of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 will be given in §6.

Remark 1.3. The assertion of Theorem 2 does not hold beyond the range $\nu < 4n/m$. For example if $m = 2$ the correct main term is $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \min(\nu, 2n)$ by [RW19, Proposition 5.3]. It is an interesting question whether the moments remain (approximately) Gaussian with variance $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \min(\nu, 2n)$ beyond the range $\nu < 4n/m$.

Remark 1.4. Using [HR03b, Theorem 3(ii)] in place of Theorem 1 one can derive a version of Theorem 2 with $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ in place of $\mathrm{USp}(2n)$ (the RHS remains the same) in the narrower range $\epsilon n \leq \nu \leq \frac{2n}{m} - n^{1/2}$. Versions for $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ and $U(n)$ can also be obtained in suitable ranges.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Zeév Rudnick for suggesting the application to linear statistics of eigenvalues. The first author was partially supported by Israel Science Foundation grant no. 2507/19.

2. HYPERELLIPTIC L -FUNCTIONS AND EQUIDISTRIBUTION

Let q be an odd prime power. We will work in the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, which has many parallels with \mathbb{Z} . For an introduction to number theory in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ see [Ros02]. We will use P, P_i, P_{ji} etc. to denote monic primes of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and notation such as \sum_P will always denote summation over monic primes. Similarly, we will use Q, Q_i, Q_{ji} etc. to denote monic prime powers in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and sums such as \sum_Q will always denote summation over monic prime powers. Moreover, P_{ji} (resp. Q_{ji}) will always denote a prime (resp. prime power) of degree j . We denote by $\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)$ the Jacobi symbol and by

$$\Lambda(Q) = \begin{cases} \deg P, & Q = P^e, \\ 0, & Q \text{ not a prime power.} \end{cases}$$

the von Mandoldt function.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{2n+1} = \{h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \text{ monic squarefree, } \deg h = 2n+1\}.$$

We have ([Ros02, Proposition 2.3])

$$(2.2) \quad |\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}| = q^{2n+1}(1 - q^{-1}).$$

A polynomial $h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}$ defines a hyperelliptic curve C_h with affine model given by $y^2 = h(x)$. With it one associates an L -function

$$(2.3) \quad L(z, C_h) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{F \text{ monic} \\ \deg F=j}} \left(\frac{h}{F}\right) z^j = \prod_P \left(1 - \left(\frac{h}{P}\right) z\right)^{-1},$$

which is a polynomial with integer coefficients of degree $2n$. Moreover by the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields

$$(2.4) \quad L(z, C_h) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - \alpha_{h,i} z), \quad |\alpha_{h,i}| = q^{1/2}.$$

Taking $\frac{d}{dz} \log$ of the RHS of (2.3) and (2.4) and comparing coefficients at z^j gives the *Weil explicit formula*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_{h,i}^j = - \sum_{\deg Q=j} \Lambda(Q) \left(\frac{h}{Q} \right).$$

One also associates with C_h the *Frobenius class* Θ_h , which is a conjugacy class in $\mathrm{USp}(2n)$ with eigenvalues $q^{-1/2} \alpha_{h,1}, \dots, q^{-1/2} \alpha_{h,2n}$ (see [KS99a, 9.2.4, 10.1.18.3, 9.1.16]). A deep equidistribution theorem due to Katz and Sarnak says that for fixed n and $q \rightarrow \infty$, the classes Θ_h , $h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}$ become equidistributed in the space of conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{USp}(2n)$.

Theorem 3 (Katz-Sarnak). *Let f be a continuous class function on $\mathrm{USp}(2n)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}} f(\Theta_h) = \int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} f(U) dU + O_n(q^{-1/2})$$

(here the implicit constant depends on n).

Proof. Combine [KS99a, Theorem 9.6.10] with [KS99a, Theorem 10.1.18.3]. \square

Convention 2.1. Throughout §2-5, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ are fixed and all asymptotic notation has implicit constants which may depend on n, \mathbf{a} and possibly other parameters explicitly fixed, but not on q .

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathbf{a} be supported on $[1, k]$. Then*

$$(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji}=j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{tr}(U^j)^{a_j} dU + O(q^{-1/2}).$$

Here (and elsewhere where similar notation appears) the internal sum on the LHS is over all choices of (monic) prime powers Q_{ji} , $1 \leq j \leq k$, $1 \leq i \leq a_j$ with $\deg Q_{ji} = j$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{h,1}, \dots, \alpha_{h,2n}$ be the inverse zeros of $L(z, C_h)$. Recall that $q^{-1/2} \alpha_{h,i}$ are the eigenvalues of Θ_h . By the explicit formula (2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad q^{j/2} \mathrm{tr}(\Theta_h^j) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_{h,i}^j = - \sum_{\deg Q=j} \Lambda(Q) \left(\frac{h}{Q} \right)$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Raising (2.6) to the a_j -th power, taking the product over j and expanding the RHS, we obtain (recalling that \mathbf{a} is supported on $[0, k]$)

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{tr}(\Theta_h^j)^{a_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathrm{tr}(\Theta_h^j)^{a_j} = (-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} q^{-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji}=j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right).$$

Summing over $h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}$ and using Theorem 3 with $f(U) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{tr}(U^j)^{a_j}$ and (2.2) we obtain the assertion of the proposition. \square

Taking $q \rightarrow \infty$, Proposition 2.2 reduces Theorem 1 to the next

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathbf{a} be supported on $[1, k]$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji}=j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}} \binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} g(\mathbf{b}) \phi(n, \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) + O(q^{-1/2}).$$

Sections 3-5 are dedicated to proving Proposition 2.3. In §3 we analyze the contribution of sets of distinct primes to the LHS of (2.7), which will give the $\phi(n, \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})$ term. In §4 we analyze the contribution of prime squares and pairs of equal primes, which will give the $g(\mathbf{b})$ term. Finally in §5 we put all the pieces together and establish (2.7).

3. MULTIPLE CHARACTER SUMS OVER DISTINCT PRIMES

Throughout this section \mathbf{a} is supported on $[1, k]$, where k is a fixed natural number. Define

$$(3.1) \quad T(n; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\substack{h \text{ monic} \\ \deg h = 2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{(P_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes} \\ \deg P_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(P_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{P_{ji}} \right).$$

These multiple character sums, initially studied in [ERGR13], will be needed in order to estimate the LHS of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$. Then*

$$q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} T(n; \mathbf{a}) = \phi(n, \mathbf{a}) + O\left(q^{-1/2}\right),$$

where ϕ is defined by (1.8).

Proof. We have

$$(3.2) \quad T(n; \mathbf{a}) = \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} j^{a_j} \right) S(n; \mathbf{a}),$$

where the sums

$$(3.3) \quad S(n; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\substack{h \text{ monic} \\ \deg h = 2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{(P_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes} \\ \deg P_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \left(\frac{h}{P_{ji}} \right)$$

were estimated in [ERGR13, §4] (the notation there is slightly different: our $S(n; \mathbf{a})$ is written there as $S(2n+1; \vec{r})$ where \vec{r} is a vector with entries equal to $1 \leq j \leq k$, each repeated a_j times). We divide the proof into cases depending on the value of $|\mathbf{a}|$.

For $|\mathbf{a}| = 0$ we have $T(n; \mathbf{a}) = q^{2n+1}$ since each term in the external sum in (3.1) consists of a single empty product (which equals 1 by convention). Since $\phi(n; \mathbf{a}) = 1$ the assertion follows in this case.

For $0 < |\mathbf{a}| \leq 2n+1$, by [ERGR13, Lemma 4.1] the sum $S(n; \mathbf{a})$ (and therefore $T(n; \mathbf{a})$) is zero, and so is $\phi(n, \mathbf{a})$ because the defining sum in (1.8) is empty. The assertion follows in this case as well.

For $|\mathbf{a}| = 2n+2$, by [ERGR13, equation (4.5)] we have

$$S(n; \mathbf{a}) = -q^n \prod_{j=1}^k \pi(j)^{a_j} + O\left(q^{3n+1}\right),$$

where $\pi(j)$ denotes the number of monic primes in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree j (the cited equation as written gives an error term of $O(q^{3n+2})$, but the argument actually saves another factor of q in the error term). By the Prime Polynomial Theorem we have $\pi(j) = \frac{q^j}{j} + O(q^{j/2})$. Hence (using (3.2))

$$T(n; \mathbf{a}) = -q^{3n+2} + O\left(q^{3n+1}\right)$$

and

$$q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} T(n; \mathbf{a}) = q^{-3n-2} T(n; \mathbf{a}) = -1 + O(q^{-1}) = \phi(n; \mathbf{a}) + O(q^{-1})$$

(using (1.8) and noting that the only summand is with $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, the trivial partition).

In the remaining case $|\mathbf{a}| \geq 2n+3$, by [ERGR13, Proposition 4.3] we have

$$S(n; \mathbf{a}) = \phi(n, \mathbf{a}) q^{2n+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\pi(j)}{q^{j/2}} \right)^{a_j} + O\left(q^{|\mathbf{a}|}\right) = \phi(n; \mathbf{a}) q^{2n+1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \prod_{j=1}^k \pi(j)^{a_j} + O\left(q^{|\mathbf{a}|}\right).$$

Once again using (3.2) and $\pi(j) = \frac{q^j}{j} + O(q^{j/2})$ we obtain

$$q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|} T(n; \mathbf{a}) = \phi(n, \mathbf{a}) \left(1 + O(q^{-1/2})\right) + O\left(q^{|\mathbf{a}|/2-2n-1}\right) = \phi(n, \mathbf{a}) + O\left(q^{-1/2}\right),$$

using the assumption $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$ in the last equality. This completes the proof in all cases. \square

Lemma 3.2 (Weil bound). *Let $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $2n + 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\deg P=j} \left(\frac{h}{P}\right) = O(q^{j/2}).$$

Proof. Write $h = h_1 h_2^2$, where $h_1 \neq 1$ is squarefree. Then

$$\sum_{\deg P=j} \left(\frac{h}{P}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\deg P=j \\ P \nmid h_2}} \left(\frac{h_1}{P}\right) = \sum_{\deg P=j} \left(\frac{h_1}{P}\right) + O(1),$$

since the number of distinct prime divisors of h_2 is at most $\deg h = O(1)$. Now use the Weil explicit formula (2.6) with conductor h_1 , noting that the number of prime powers of degree k which are not primes is $O(q^{j/2})$. \square

We will need the following generalization of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $2n + 1$, r, j_1, \dots, j_r fixed natural numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, P_r \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots P_r}\right) = O\left(q^{\frac{j_1 + \dots + j_r}{2}}\right).$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on r , the case $r = 1$ being Lemma 3.2. Assume that $r > 1$ and that the assertion holds for $r - 1$. We have (below a term marked with $\hat{}$ is skipped)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, P_r \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots P_r}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots P_{r-1}}\right) \sum_{\deg P_r = j_r} \left(\frac{h}{P_r}\right) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq r-1 \\ j_m = j_r}} \sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots P_m^2 \cdots P_{r-1}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots P_{r-1}}\right) \sum_{\deg P_r = j_r} \left(\frac{h}{P_r}\right) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq r-1 \\ j_m = j_r}} \sum_{\substack{\deg P_m = j_r \\ P_m \nmid h}} \sum_{\substack{P_1, \dots, \hat{P}_m, \dots, P_{r-1} \\ \text{distinct} \\ \deg P_l = j_l}} \left(\frac{h}{P_1 \cdots \hat{P}_m \cdots P_{r-1}}\right) \\ &\ll q^{\frac{j_1 + \dots + j_{r-1}}{2}} q^{\frac{j_r}{2}} + q^{j_r} q^{\frac{j_1 + \dots + j_{r-1} - j_r}{2}} \ll q^{\frac{j_1 + \dots + j_r}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

the first inequality here being a consequence of the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.2. \square

In what follows we say that Q is prime² to mean that Q is the square of a prime. The following lemma allows us to restrict the sum on the LHS of Proposition 2.3 to a sum over primes and prime²'s.

Lemma 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_{2n+1}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}}\right) &= \\ &= q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h = 2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ Q_{ji} \text{ prime or prime}^2 \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}}\right) + O(q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let h be monic of degree $2n + 1$. We have

$$\sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \left(\sum_{\deg Q_{ji} = j} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) \right).$$

From Lemma 3.2,

$$\sum_{\substack{\deg Q_{ji} = j \\ Q_{ji} \text{ not prime or prime}^2}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \sum_{\substack{l=3 \\ l|j}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{Q_{ji} = f^l, \\ f \text{ prime}}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \sum_{\substack{l=3 \\ l|j}}^{\infty} O(q^{j/2l}) = O(q^{j/2-1}).$$

We obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{\prod_{i,j} Q_{ji}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\deg Q_{ji} = j \\ Q_{ji} \text{ prime or prime}^2}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) + O(q^{j/2-1}) \right).$$

Now we note that

- (i) $\sum_{\substack{\deg Q_{ji} = j \\ \text{prime}}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = O(q^{j/2})$. This follows from Lemma 3.2.
- (ii) $\sum_{\substack{\deg Q_{ji} = j \\ \text{prime}^2}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = O(q^{j/2})$. This is because the number of monic squares of degree j is $O(q^{j/2})$.

Opening up the parentheses on the RHS of (3.4) and using (i-ii) we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{\prod_{i,j} Q_{ji}} \right) = \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ Q_{ji} \text{ prime or prime}^2 \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{\prod_{i,j} Q_{ji}} \right) + O(q^{|\mathbf{a}|/2-1}).$$

Summing over all h monic of degree $2n + 1$ we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h = 2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{\deg h = 2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ Q_{ji} \text{ prime or prime}^2 \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) + O(q^{-1}).$$

To complete the proof of the lemma we need to show that the LHS of (3.5) changes by $O(q^{-1})$ if we restrict the summation to squarefree h . We note that the number of non-squarefree h is q^{2n} (by (2.2)) and for a given h ,

$$\sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \deg Q_{ji} = j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{\prod_{i,j} Q_{ji}} \right) = O(q^{|\mathbf{a}|/2})$$

by Lemma 3.2, so the contribution of non-squarefree h is $O(q^{-1})$. \square

4. THE CONTRIBUTION OF SQUARES

We introduce some notational conventions that will be used in §4-5.

- Notation 4.1.** (i) In what follows the notation ji (and similar) is a shorthand for the pair (j, i) .
(ii) In what follows by Q_{ji} we always denote a monic prime or prime² in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $\deg Q_{ji} = j$. In particular summation over collections (Q_{ji}) will always mean summation over all suitable collections with Q_{ji} satisfying the above requirements.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ be a partition. A *pairing* of \mathbf{b} is a permutation σ of (the finite set) $\{ji : 1 \leq j \leq \infty, 1 \leq i \leq b_j\}$ such that σ preserves the j -coordinate, $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$ and σ has no fixed point ji with odd j .

Note that the set of indices appearing in the above definition enumerates the parts of \mathbf{b} by taking the (ji) -th part to be j . A pairing can be viewed as a way to partially divide the parts of \mathbf{b} into pairs of equal sizes, such that no odd part is left unpaired (σ transposes each pair and fixes the unpaired parts).

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathbf{b} be a partition. For a pairing σ of \mathbf{b} denote by $b_j^{(\sigma)} = \frac{1}{2}|\{1 \leq i \leq b_j : \sigma(ji) \neq ji\}|$ the number of pairs of j -parts induced by σ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{b_j^{(\sigma)}} = g(\mathbf{b}),$$

where the sum is over all pairings of \mathbf{b} and $g(\mathbf{b})$ is defined by (1.3).

Proof. By the structure of pairings (namely that they preserve the j -coordinate) and the multiplicative definition of $g(\mathbf{b})$, it suffices to prove the claim for the case of $\mathbf{b} = (0, \dots, 0, b, 0, \dots)$ supported on a single $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we note that the number of ways to divide a set of size b into (unordered) pairs is $\frac{b!}{2^{b/2}(b/2)!} = (b-1)!!$ if $2|b$ and 0 otherwise. This proves the result for the case of $2 \nmid j$ (because in this case all the parts of \mathbf{b} must be paired and $b_j^{(\sigma)} = b/2$).

In the case $2|j$, we need to choose a subset of even size $2l$ of $\{i : 1 \leq i \leq b\}$ and divide this subset into pairs, which can be done in $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b}{2l} (2l-1)!!$ ways and gives a total contribution of $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b}{2l} (2l-1)!! j^l = g_j(b) = g(\mathbf{b})$ to (4.1) (here $b_j^{(\sigma)} = l$). This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.4. Let \mathbf{b} be a partition supported on $[1, k]$. Then,

$$(4.2) \quad q^{-|\mathbf{b}|/2} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j) \\ \prod Q_{ji} = \square}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) = g(\mathbf{b}) + O(q^{-1}),$$

where $\prod Q_{ji}$ denotes the product over $1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j$.

Proof. First note that if for some odd j_1 we have $2 \nmid b_{j_1}$, then no suitable choice of the Q_{ji} can make $\prod Q_{ji}$ a square (because the $Q_{j_1 i}$ must be prime and the total multiplicity of primes of degree j_1 in the product is odd), in agreement with $g(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ in this case. Thus we may assume that for every odd j we have $2|b_j$.

We say that a choice $(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j)$ respects a pairing σ of \mathbf{b} if

- (i) Whenever $\sigma(ji_1) = ji_2 \neq ji_1$ are paired we have $Q_{ji_1} = Q_{ji_2} = P$ for P prime.
- (ii) If $\sigma(ji_1) = ji_1$ is not paired (by our definition of a pairing j is even in this case), then $Q_{ji_1} = P^2$ for P prime of degree $j/2$.

If (Q_{ji}) respects a pairing σ then $\prod Q_{ji} = \square$. Conversely it is easy to see that every suitable choice of Q_{ji} with $\prod Q_{ji} = \square$ respects some (not necessarily unique) pairing σ of \mathbf{b} . We denote the contribution of the pairing σ to (4.2) by

$$S_{\sigma} = q^{-|\mathbf{b}|/2} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j) \\ Q \text{ respects } \sigma}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}).$$

Let $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ be two different pairings of \mathbf{b} . The sums $S_{\sigma}, S_{\sigma'}$ might share terms, but the contribution of the shared terms is negligible. Indeed, if a choice of (Q_{ji}) respects both σ and σ' , then there must be a prime P such that $P^4 | \prod Q_{ji}$ (otherwise the pairing σ is determined uniquely by which of the prime values of Q_{ji} coincide). The number of possible values of $\prod Q_{ji}$ is therefore $O(q^{|\mathbf{b}|/2-1})$ (since its square root is not squarefree) and since each of them has $O(1)$ possible divisors, the contribution of such terms to S_{σ} is $O(q^{-1})$. Noting that the number of pairings is also $O(1)$, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad q^{-|\mathbf{b}|/2} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j) \\ \prod Q_{ji} = \square}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) = \sum_{\sigma \text{ pairing of } \mathbf{b}} S_{\sigma} + O(q^{-1}).$$

Now for a pairing σ of \mathbf{b} we have (using $\pi(j) = \frac{q^j}{j} + O(q^{j-1})$)

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma &= \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j \\ \sigma(ji) = ji', i' > i}} q^{-j} \sum_{\substack{\deg P = j \\ \text{prime}}} \Lambda(P)^2 \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j \\ \sigma(ji) = ji}} q^{-j/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg P = j/2 \\ \text{prime}}} \Lambda(P) \right) = \\ &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j \\ \sigma(ji) = ji', i' > i}} (j + O(q^{-1})) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j \\ \sigma(ji) = ji}} (1 + O(q^{-1})) = \prod_{j=1}^k j^{b_j^{(\sigma)}} + O(q^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $b_j^{(\sigma)}$ was defined in Lemma 4.3. Summing over all pairings σ of \mathbf{b} and using (4.3) and Lemma 4.3 we obtain the assertion (4.2). \square

5. FINAL ASSEMBLY

In the present section we combine the estimates of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.4 to derive Proposition 2.3, which as we have seen in §2 completes the proof of Theorem 1. We maintain Notation 4.1 throughout this section, in particular Q_{ji} is always a prime or prime² with $\deg Q_{ji} = j$.

Throughout this section \mathbf{a} is supported on $[1, k]$, where k is a fixed natural number. Denote

$$(5.1) \quad A = \{ji : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j\}.$$

Definition 5.1. Let $K \subset A$ be a subset and \sim an equivalence relation on $A \setminus K$. We say that a collection $(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j)$ is *compatible with* \sim if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $Q_{ji} = \text{prime}^2$ iff $ji \in K$ (so Q_{ji} is prime iff $ji \in A \setminus K$).
- (ii) If $ji, j'i' \in A \setminus K$ then $Q_{ji} = Q_{j'i'}$ iff $ji \sim j'i'$ (in particular $j = j'$ if $ji \sim j'i'$).

Lemma 5.2. Let $K \subset A$ be a subset and \sim an equivalence relation on $A \setminus K$ such that at least one equivalence class has size ≥ 3 . Then

$$(5.2) \quad q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{compatible with } \sim}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = O(q^{-1}).$$

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{C} the set of equivalence classes of \sim . For any $\alpha = ji \in A$ denote $j(\alpha) = j$ and for $C \in \mathcal{C}$ let $j(C) = j(\alpha)$ for some (and therefore any) $\alpha \in C$. Denote

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{C \in \mathcal{C} : 2 \mid |C|\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_1 = \{C \in \mathcal{C} : 2 \nmid |C|\}.$$

The LHS of (5.2) can be rewritten (identifying the Q_{ji} that must be equal due to compatibility with \sim) as

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \prod_{\kappa \in K} \left(\sum_{\substack{Q=\text{prime}^2 \\ \deg Q=j(\kappa)}} \Lambda(Q) \left(\frac{h}{Q} \right) \right) \sum_{\substack{(P_C : C \in \mathcal{C}) \\ \text{distinct primes} \\ \deg P_C = j(C)}} \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \Lambda(P_C)^{|C|} \left(\frac{h}{P_C} \right)^{|C|} \\ &= q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \prod_{\kappa \in K} \left(\sum_{\substack{Q=\text{prime}^2 \\ \deg Q=j(\kappa)}} \Lambda(Q) \left(\frac{h}{Q} \right) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{(P_C : C \in \mathcal{C}_0) \\ \text{distinct primes} \\ \deg P_C = j(C) \\ P_C \nmid h}} \left(\prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}_0} j(C)^{|C|} \prod_{D \in \mathcal{C}_1} j(D)^{|D|} \sum_{\substack{(P_D : D \in \mathcal{C}_1) \\ \text{distinct primes} \\ \deg P_D = j(D)}} \left(\frac{h \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}_0} P_C^2}{P_D} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 to the innermost sum, the above expression is

$$(5.3) \quad \ll q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} q^{2n+1} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\kappa \in K} j(K)} q^{\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_0} j(C)} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{C}_1} j(D)} \leq q^{-1},$$

the latter inequality is because by the assumption of the lemma we have either $|C| \geq 4$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ (note that $|C| \geq 2$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$) or $|D| \geq 3$ for some $D \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\kappa \in K} j(K) + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_0} j(C) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{C}_1} j(D) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k j a_j - 1 = \frac{|\mathbf{a}|}{2} - 1$$

($j(C)$ is counted $|C|$ times in the sum on the RHS but only once on the LHS, same for $j(D)$). The bound (5.3) completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let \mathbf{a} be supported on $[1, k]$, and assume that $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$. Then*

$$R := q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}} \binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} g(\mathbf{b}) \phi(n, \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) + O(q^{-1/2}).$$

Proof. In what follows \subset denotes non-strict inclusion of sets. We split the sum on the LHS of the proposition into terms in the following way: for every choice of subset $J \subset A$ of the indices (recall that A is defined by (5.1)), we say that a choice $(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j)$ respects J if

- (i) $\prod_{ji \in J} Q_{ji} = \square$,
- (ii) Q_{ji} for $ji \notin J$ are distinct primes.

We now consider the sums

$$R_J = q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{respects } J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right).$$

We also define for subsets $J_1, \dots, J_s \subset A$,

$$R_{J_1, \dots, J_s} = q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{respects } J_1, \dots, J_s}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right).$$

Since any choice of Q_{ji} respects some subset J (collect all the squares and pairs of repeating primes so that only distinct primes remain), by inclusion-exclusion we have

$$(5.4) \quad R = \sum_{J_1} R_{J_1} - \sum_{J_1, J_2} R_{J_1, J_2} + \sum_{J_1, J_2, J_3} R_{J_1, J_2, J_3} - \dots,$$

where summation in each term is over unordered tuples of distinct subsets of A . We will show that $R_{J_1, \dots, J_s} = O(q^{-1})$ for $s \geq 2$ (and J_1, \dots, J_s distinct) and evaluate R_J for every J , which will complete the evaluation of R (up to an $O(q^{-1})$ error term).

Let J_1, \dots, J_s , $s \geq 2$ be distinct. Consider a collection $(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j)$. Denote $K = \{ji : Q_{ji} = \text{prime}^2\}$ and define an equivalence relation \sim on $A \setminus K$ (which consists of the prime Q_{ji}) by $ji \sim j'i'$ iff $Q_{ji} = Q_{j'i'}$. This is the unique choice of (K, \sim) that is compatible with (Q_{ji}) in the sense of Definition 5.1. The collection (Q_{ji}) respects J_l iff the following conditions hold:

- (i) $K \subset J_l$.
- (ii) For each equivalence class C of \sim we have that $|C \cap J_l|$ is even and $|C \setminus J_l| \leq 1$.

Therefore the condition that (Q_{ji}) respects J_1, \dots, J_s depends only on (K, \sim) . Moreover if (i-ii) above hold with two distinct J_1, J_2 then one of the equivalence classes C must be of size ≥ 3 . To see this let (WLOG) $uv \in J_1 \setminus J_2$. Then Q_{uv} is a prime which appears at least twice in $(Q_{ji})_{ji \in J_1}$ (because $\prod_{ji \in J_1} Q_{ji} = \square$) and therefore at least once in $(Q_{ji})_{ji \in J_2}$ (because $(Q_{ji})_{ji \in A \setminus J_2}$ are distinct primes). It follows that Q_{uv} appears at least twice in $(Q_{ji})_{ji \in J_2}$ (because $\prod_{ji \in J_2} Q_{ji} = \square$) and since $uv \notin J_2$ it appears ≥ 3 times in $(Q_{ji})_{ji \in A}$. Its equivalence class C has therefore size ≥ 3 .

Using Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$R_{J_1, \dots, J_s} = \sum_{K, \sim} q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \text{compatible with } \sim}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = O(q^{-1}).$$

Here the sum $\sum_{K, \sim}$ is over all (K, \sim) such that compatibility with it implies respecting J_1, \dots, J_s .

We conclude that $R_{J_1, \dots, J_s} = O(q^{-1})$ and from (5.4) that

$$(5.5) \quad R = \sum_{J \subset A} R_J + O(q^{-1}).$$

Next we evaluate R_J for $J \subset A$. With each $J \subset A$ we associate a partition $\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}$ by $b_j = |\{1 \leq i \leq a_j : ji \in J\}|$. The number of subsets J corresponding to a given $\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}$ is exactly $\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}}$ and R_J depends only on \mathbf{b} and not on the specific J . Hence from (5.5) we have

$$(5.6) \quad R = \sum_{\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}} \binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}} R_{\mathbf{b}} + O(q^{-1}),$$

where

$$R_{\mathbf{b}} = q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j) \\ \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} Q_{ji} = \square}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right)$$

$(Q_{ji})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ b_j < i \leq a_j}} \text{ distinct primes}$

(the latter expression is just R_J for $J = \{ji : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j\}$, which corresponds to \mathbf{b}). It remains to evaluate $R_{\mathbf{b}}$ for each $\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}$.

Fix a partition $\mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{a}$. Let $(Q_{ji} : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j)$ be a choice respecting $J = \{ji : 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j\}$. Recall that this means that $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} Q_{ji} = \square$ and $Q_{ji}, 1 \leq j \leq k, b_j < i \leq a_j$ are distinct primes.

If we fix Q_{ji} for $1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, b_j < i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \\ &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, b_j < i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ b_j < i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) = \\ & \quad \left(h, \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j} Q_{ji} \right) = 1 \\ &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, b_j < i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ b_j < i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) + O(q^{2n+|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}|/2}), \end{aligned}$$

When \mathbf{b} is not the empty partition, the second equality holds because there are $O(q^{2n})$ polynomials h which are not coprime with $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} Q_{ji}$ and by Lemma 3.3 each of them contributes $O(q^{|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}|/2})$ to the sum. When

\mathbf{b} is the empty partition, the transition is immediate. Summing over the $O(q^{|\mathbf{b}|/2})$ appropriate choices of $(Q_{ji})_{1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j}$ (i.e. such that the product is a square) and using (3.1), Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.4 (the propositions applied to $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$ and \mathbf{b} respectively) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbf{b}} &= q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq b_j) \\ \prod Q_{ji} = \square}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{\deg h=2n+1 \\ \text{monic}}} \sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, b_j < i \leq a_j) \\ \text{distinct primes}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ b_j < i \leq a_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \left(\frac{h}{Q_{ji}} \right) \right) \\ + O(q^{-1}) &= q^{-2n-1-|\mathbf{a}|/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{(Q_{ji}: 1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq a_j) \\ \prod Q_{ji} = \square}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq b_j}} \Lambda(Q_{ji}) \right) T(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) + O(q^{-1}) = g(\mathbf{b})\phi(n, \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) + O(q^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.6) gives the assertion of Proposition 5.3. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.3. The assertion follows by combining Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 5.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. As observed in §2, Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 5.3. \square

6. APPLICATION TO LINEAR STATISTICS OF EIGENVALUES

In the present section we prove Theorem 2. For the rest of the section we assume that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a fixed even real-valued function, m is a fixed natural number, $\epsilon > 0$ is a fixed constant. All asymptotic notation pertains to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and has implicit constants and rate of convergence which may depend on f, m, ϵ .

Lemma 6.1. *Assume $\nu \geq \epsilon n$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that $|\mathbf{a}| \leq 4n + 1$ and $a_j = 0$ whenever $|j - \nu| > n^{1/2}$. Then*

$$M(\mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_{a_j} (a_j - 1)!! \right) \nu^{\ell(\mathbf{a})/2} + O\left(n^{(\ell(\mathbf{a})-1)/2}\right).$$

Proof. It follows from (1.3) and the assumption on a_j that only $j = \nu + O(n^{1/2})$ contribute to the RHS of (1.7). By (1.3), for such a j we have $g_j(\mathbf{a}_j) = \eta_{a_j} (a_j - 1)!! \nu^{a_j/2} + O\left(n^{(a_j-1)/2}\right)$ and therefore

$$g(\mathbf{a}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_{a_j} (a_j - 1)!! \right) \nu^{\ell(\mathbf{a})/2} + O\left(n^{(\ell(\mathbf{a})-1)/2}\right)$$

and $g(\mathbf{b}) = O(n^{(\ell(\mathbf{a})-1)/2})$ for any $\mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a}$. Since $\nu \geq \epsilon n$ and $a_j \neq 0$ only if $j = \nu + O(n^{1/2})$, we have $\ell(\mathbf{a}) = O(1)$ and therefore $\phi(n; \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = O(1)$ and the number of possible \mathbf{b} (1.7) is also $O(1)$. The assertion follows from (1.7) and the observations above. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the Fourier series $f(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j) e(jt)$. Since f is even and real valued the same is true for \hat{f} . Since $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ the coefficients $\hat{f}(j)$ decay faster than any fixed power of j as $|j| \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{f,\nu}(U)^m &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j) e((\nu + j)\theta_k) \right]^m = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j_1) \cdots \hat{f}(j_m) \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{tr}(U^{j_i + \nu}) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j_1 - \nu) \cdots \hat{f}(j_m - \nu) \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{tr}(U^{j_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6.1, the condition $\epsilon n \leq \nu \leq \frac{4n}{m} - n^{1/2}$ and the fast decay of \hat{f} we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{USp}_{2n}} W_{f,\nu}(U)^m dU &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j_1 - \nu) \cdots \hat{f}(j_m - \nu) \int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{tr}(U^{j_i}) dU \\
&= \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ |j_i - \nu| < n^{1/2}}} \hat{f}(j_1 - \nu) \cdots \hat{f}(j_m - \nu) \int_{\mathrm{USp}(2n)} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{tr}(U^{j_i}) dU + O(1) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell(\mathbf{a})=m \\ a_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow |j-\nu| < n^{1/2}}} \frac{m!}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j!} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j - \nu)^{a_j} M(\mathrm{USp}(2n), \mathbf{a}) + O(1) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell(\mathbf{a})=m \\ 2|a_j \forall j \\ a_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow |j-\nu| < n^{1/2}}} \frac{m!}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j!} \nu^{m/2} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j - 1)!! \hat{f}(j - \nu)^{a_j} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell(\mathbf{a})=m \\ 2|a_j \forall j \\ a_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow |j-\nu| < n^{1/2}}} \frac{m!}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{a_j/2} (a_j/2)!} \nu^{m/2} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j - \nu)^{a_j} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}) \\
&= \eta_m \frac{m! \nu^{m/2}}{2^{m/2} (m/2)!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell(\mathbf{a})=m \\ 2|a_j \forall j \\ a_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow |j-\nu| < n^{1/2}}} \frac{(m/2)!}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j/2)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j - \nu)^{a_j} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}) \\
&= \eta_m \frac{m! \nu^{m/2}}{2^{m/2} (m/2)!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P} \\ \ell(\mathbf{a})=m \\ 2|a_j \forall j}} \frac{(m/2)!}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j/2)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j - \nu)^{a_j} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}) \\
&= \eta_m (m-1)!! \cdot \nu^{m/2} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j)^2 \right)^{m/2} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}) \\
&= \eta_m (m-1)!! \cdot \nu^{m/2} \|f\|_{L^2}^{m/2} + O(n^{(m-1)/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [DE01] P. Diaconis and S. Evans. Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(7):2615–2613, 2001. [1](#), [1](#), [1.1](#)
- [DS94] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani. On the eigenvalues of random matrices. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 31:49–62, 1994. [1](#), [1](#)
- [Dys62] F. J. Dyson. Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. *J. Math. Phys.*, 3:140–175, 1962. [1](#)
- [Ent14] A. Entin. Artin–Schreier L -functions and random unitary matrices. *J. Number Theory*, 145:340–351, 2014. [1](#)
- [ERGR13] A. Entin, E. Roditty-Gershon, and Z. Rudnick. Low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, hyper-elliptic curves and random matrix theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23:1230–1261, 2013. [1](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [HR03a] C. P. Hughes and Z. Rudnick. Linear statistics of low-lying zeros of L -functions. *Quart. J. Math.*, 54(3):309–333, 2003. [1.1](#)
- [HR03b] C. P. Hughes and Z. Rudnick. Mock-Gaussian behaviour for linear statistics of classical compact groups. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 36:2919–2932, 2003. [1](#), [1](#), [1.1](#), [1.4](#)
- [KO08] J. P. Keating and B. E. Odgers. Symmetry transitions in random matrix theory and L -functions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, page 499–528, 2008. [1](#)
- [KS99a] N. M. Katz and P. Sarnak. *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues and equidistribution*, volume 45 of *Coll. Pub.* Amer. Math. Soc., 1999. [2](#), [2](#)
- [KS99b] N. M. Katz and P. Sarnak. Zeros of zeta functions and symmetry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(1):1–26, 1999. [1](#)
- [Mec19] E. S. Meckes. *Random matrix theory of the classical compact groups*, volume 218 of *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 2019. [1](#), [1](#), [1](#)
- [Mon73] H. Montgomery. The pair correlation of zeroes of the zeta function. *Proc. Sym. Pure Math.*, 24:181–193, 1973. [1](#)

- [MS17] A. Mason and N. Snaith. Orthogonal and symplectic n -level densities. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 251(1194), 2017. [1](#)
- [Ngô10] B. C. Ngô. Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 111:1–169, 2010. [1](#)
- [PV04] L. Pastur and V. Vasilchuk. On the moments of traces of matrices of classical groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 252:149–166, 2004. [1](#)
- [Ros02] M. I. Rosen. *Number Theory in Function Fields*, volume 210 of *Grad. Texts in Math.* Springer-Verlag, 2002. [2](#), [2](#)
- [RW19] Z. Rudnick and E. Waxman. Angles of gaussian primes. *Israel J. Math.*, 232:159–199, 2019. [1.1](#), [1.3](#)
- [Sos00] A. Soshnikov. Central limit theorem for local linear statistics in classical compact groups and related combinatorial identities. *Ann. Probab.*, 28:1353–1370, 2000. [1.1](#)
- [Sto05] M. Stolz. On the Diaconis-Shahshahani method in random matrix theory. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 22:471–491, 2005. [1](#)