arXiv:2409.04385v2 [hep-ph] 27 Sep 2024

First measurement of the weak mixing angle in direct detection experiments

Tarak Nath Maity ¹, * and Céline Bœhm ¹, 2, †

¹School of Physics, The University of Sydney and ARC Centre of

Excellence for Dark Matter Particle Physics, NSW 2006, Australia

² The University of Edinburgh, School of Physics and Astronomy, EH9 3FD Edinburgh, UK

(Dated: September 30, 2024)

Current ton-scale dark matter direct detection experiments have reached an important milestone with the detection of solar neutrinos. In this paper, we show that these data can be used to determine a critical parameter of the Standard Model in particle physics, across an energy regime that has never been probed before. In particular, we show that the value of the weak mixing angle (θ_W) which relates the mass of the W and Z bosons can be derived from 1) the recent measurements of coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering by PandaX-4T and XENONnT in the sub-GeV energy range – a regime which is usually only probed by low energy neutrino experiments – and from 2) XENONnT electron recoil data through neutrino-electron scattering at energy scale $\simeq 0.1$ MeV, corresponding to a momentum transfer region over an order of magnitude smaller than that explored by atomic parity violation experiments. Future observation of neutrinos in the next generation of dark matter direct detection experiments have therefore the potential to provide accurate tests of the Standard Model weak interactions in the keV-MeV regime.

INTRODUCTION

The proposal for the search of dark matter (DM) particles using direct detection (DD) experiments [1] was initially inspired by the potential observation of MeVrange neutrinos through coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering ($CE\nu NS$) [2]. Ironically, after decades of unfruitful searches for DM interactions with ordinary matter, current ton-scale DD experiments have started observing solar neutrinos through both $CE\nu NS$ [3, 4] and neutrinoelectron scattering [5-7], as anticipated in [8-16]. The observation of ⁸B solar neutrinos using $CE\nu NS$ has already reached moderate statistical significance — 2.64σ and 2.73σ for PandaX-4T [3] and XENONnT [4], respectively and is likely to lead to a discovery in the future. The detection of neutrino-electron scattering has vet to achieve a similar statistical significance, even though $\mathcal{O}(10)$ solar neutrino events have been detected already [5-7]. However, the detection of these two types of scattering events by DM direct detection experiments represents a significant step-change for this technology, positioning it as a potential competitor to more conventional neutrino detectors. This paper explores whether these data can provide new insights into the Standard Model (SM) of Particle Physics. Interestingly, the answer turns out to be yes!

In the SM, neutrinos interact through weak forces [23]. $CE\nu NS$ arises from the interactions of neutrinos with quarks via neutral current Z-mediated processes [24]. The momentum transfer induced by neutrinos in $CE\nu NS$ interactions is small enough that the corresponding de Broglie wavelength is larger than the typical nuclear radius. As a result, neutrinos perceive the nucleus as a whole, leading to a coherently enhanced cross section. The situation is different in the case of neutrino-electron scattering. Firstly, in addition to the neutral current



FIG. 1. Weak mixing angle as a function of the energy scale Q. Our 1σ measurements using the latest unpaired PandaX-4T ⁸B neutrino [3], XENONnT ⁸B neutrino [4], and XENONnT electron recoil [5] data are shown in red, magenta, and blue, respectively. The SM prediction is represented by the dashed black line. Measurements from other considerations [17–22] are also shown by thin lines.

(Z-mediated) interactions, there is an additional contribution from the charged current (W-mediated) process [25]. Secondly, since the electron is a point particle, there is no coherence effect in neutrino-electron scattering. Both of these processes depend on the weak mixing angle, θ_W , a parameter that describes the mixing between the gauge boson of $U(1)_Y$ and the third component of the $SU(2)_L$ gauge boson. The θ_W parameter is related to the gauge couplings g for $SU(2)_L$ and g' for $U(1)_Y$ through $\sin^2 \theta_W = {g'}^2/(g^2 + {g'}^2)$. The renormalization group equation [26] indicates that the value of the gauge coupling depends on the energy scale, and so does the weak mixing angle. Over the decades, θ_W has been measured by many different experiments across various energy scales using different physical processes, such as atomic parity violation (APV) [17], electrondeuteron deep inelastic scattering (eDIS) [17, 18], polarized Møller scattering (by SLAC E158) [17, 19], elastic electron-proton scattering (by Q_{weak}) [17, 20], and neutrino scattering (by COHERENT [21], Dresden-II [22]). The lowest energy probe among these measurements corresponds to the one obtained by the APV experiment, around 3 MeV. Here, we show that the detection of solar neutrinos by current DD experiments can be used to measure $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a momentum transfer regime which is an order of magnitude smaller than APV, thus making it the lowest energy probe achieved so far.

Current Xenon (Xe)-based DD experiments use a twophase time projection chamber, consisting of liquid and gas phases, to detect potential DM events. An energy deposition in liquid Xe results in atomic motion which produces some unmeasurable heat, excitation, and ionization. Excitation leads to the emission of scintillation photons, observed as the S1 signal, while ionization leads to the S2 signal. Electron recoils are expected to produce more ionization than nuclear recoils. Therefore, in the \sim keV scale recoil energy regime, these experiments can efficiently discriminate between nuclear and electron recoil events by comparing the S2/S1 ratio [27]. This unique feature enables these experiments to search for new physics in both nuclear and electron recoil scenarios. However, below the \sim keV scale recoil energy regime, the smallness of the S1 signal leads to a focus on S2-only analysis [28–30]. An S2-only analysis loses the experimental capability to differentiate between nuclear and electron recoils due to the untraceable S2/S1 ratio [31-34].

The measurement of solar ⁸B neutrinos through $CE\nu NS$ by XENONnT was performed using a S1-S2 analvsis (paired) [4], whereas PandaX-4T conducted the same measurement using both S1-S2 and S2-only analyses (unpaired) [3]. In the PandaX-4T unpaired analysis, the contamination from neutrino-electron events is very small owing to its small cross section. We utilized PandaX-4T unpaired and XENONnT data to estimate $\sin^2 \theta_W$ using $CE\nu NS$ and the corresponding best fit values at 1σ are shown by the red and magenta data points in Fig. 1. Clearly, current DD data not only provides competitive results compared to neutrino experiments but does so in a different momentum transfer regime. While the energy threshold of ⁸B solar neutrino search is low, the heavy Xe nuclear mass shifts the momentum transfer to the $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ regime. This suggests that electrons would be a better target to probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in the lowest momentum transfer regime. This prompts us to use the latest XENONnT electron recoil results [5] to find the

best fit value for $\sin^2 \theta_W$. The corresponding result at 1σ is shown by the blue point in Fig. 1. Remarkably, XENONnT is probing $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at the lowest energy scale, an order of magnitude smaller than the APV measurement. Any DD experiment observing neutrino-electron scattering can achieve this, which implies that our work broadens the horizon of all DD experiments, enabling them to test the SM in an uncharted domain. With many planned DD experiments [35], a precise measurement of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in these unexplored regimes may potentially indicate the presence of new physics.

NEUTRINO EVENT RATE

In this section, we briefly discuss the neutrino-induced event rate following [12]. In our analysis, the source of the neutrinos is the Sun, as it produces neutrinos with the desired flux and energy. The neutrino-induced event rate is given by [12]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_i} = N_T \int_{E_{\nu,i}^{\min}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu} \mathrm{d}E_\nu \,, \tag{1}$$

where $i \in N, e$ for nuclear and electron recoil respectively, N_T is the number of target particles, E_{ν} refers to neutrino energy. The solar neutrino fluxes $(d\phi/dE_{\nu})$ and related uncertainties are adapted from [36]. The differential CE ν NS or ν -e cross section is represented by $d\sigma/dE_i^{1}$. The minimum required neutrino energies $E_{\nu,i}^{\min}$ for nuclear and electron recoil are different. For the case of nuclear recoil the differential CE ν NS cross section is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E_N} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} Q_W^2 m_N \left(1 - \frac{m_N E_N}{2E_\nu^2}\right) F^2(E_N) \,, \qquad (2)$$

with m_N as the mass of the nucleus, the Fermi coupling constant $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$, and $F(E_N)$ is the Helm form factor. For a nucleus having Z protons and N neutrons, the weak nuclear hypercharge, Q_W , related to weak mixing angle through

$$Q_W = N - Z(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) \tag{3}$$

Unlike the tree level $CE\nu NS$ cross-section, the neutrinoelectron scattering cross section is flavour dependent, and is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_l}}{\mathrm{d}E_e} = Z_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{Xe}} \left(E_e\right) \frac{G_F^2 m_e}{2\pi} \left[\left(g_V^{\nu_l} + g_A^{\nu_l}\right)^2 + \left(g_V^{\nu_l} - g_A^{\nu_l}\right)^2 - \left(g_V^{\nu_l^2} - g_A^{\nu_l^2}\right) \frac{m_e E_e}{E_\nu^2} \right], \qquad (4)$$

¹ We use tree level cross sections, see Refs. [37–40] for the effect of radiative corrections.

where $Z_{\text{eff}}^{\text{Xe}}$ is the recoil energy dependent effective electron charge of Xe, adapted from [41, 42] and m_e is the electron mass. The neutrino flavour specific vector (which depends on the weak mixing angle) and axial couplings to electrons are respectively

$$g_V^{\nu_l} = 2\sin^2\theta_W - \frac{1}{2} + \delta_{le} \,, \quad g_A^{\nu_l} = -\frac{1}{2} + \delta_{le} \,, \quad (5)$$

where the Kronecker delta function δ_{le} accounts for the effect of charged current interaction in $\nu_e - e^-$ scattering. Finally, including the effect of neutrino oscillation, the total neutrino-electron cross section is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E_e} = P_{ee} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_e}}{\mathrm{d}E_e} + \sum_{l=\mu,\tau} P_{el} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_l}}{\mathrm{d}E_e}.$$
 (6)

The survival probability of ν_e is P_{ee} . The conversion probabilities of ν_e to ν_{μ} and ν_{τ} are denoted by $P_{e\mu}$ and $P_{e\tau}$. These probabilities depend on neutrino mixing angles [43], which are taken from Ref. [44], assuming normal ordering. Here we have assumed that $\sin^2 \theta_W$ is independent of the transfer momentum, which is consistent with SM expectation [45, 46], for the range of interest.

ANALYSIS & RESULTS

Building on the theoretical event rates discussed in the previous section, we now describe how we infer the value of the weak mixing angle using current DD results. While we primarily focus on Xe-based experiments, our analysis is generally applicable to most DD experiments. The analysis is divided into two parts: nuclear recoil and electron recoil.

Nuclear recoil: In this case, neutrinos coherently scatter off the nucleus of the target material. As mentioned earlier, DD experiments have already started observing these events at more than 2.5σ . The CE ν NS is searched for in two ways: (i) using both S1 and S2 signals (paired) and (ii) using S2-only analysis (unpaired). The paired search is relatively clean but comes with a higher energy threshold. While XENONnT [4] and PandaX-4T [3] have observed ⁸B solar neutrinos using this method, there is no energy spectrum information available yet for PandaX-4T. In contrast, the unpaired search has only been conducted by PandaX-4T. The unpaired signal is generated by ionized electrons accelerated through the electric field. Thus, even a small energy deposition can be amplified by the electric field to produce an observable signal. This results in a lower energy threshold compared to the paired search but at the cost of a larger background. Due to the lower threshold, the number of observed events is relatively high. For instance, in PandaX-4T, the number of best-fit ⁸B signal events for paired and unpaired data samples are 3.5 and 75 [3], respectively. We thus utilized the paired XENONnT and the unpaired PandaX-4T data sample to measure $\sin^2 \theta_W$.

Given the $CE\nu NS$ differential event rate in Eq. (1) as a function of energy, we convert it into a differential event rate as a function of the number of electrons (n_e) for the unpaired data sample of PandaX-4T using

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}n_e} = \mathcal{E} \times \frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_N} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{Charge yield}} \times \mathrm{efficiency.}$$
(7)

The PandaX-4T exposure (\mathcal{E}) is 1.04 ton-year. For charge yield, we use the best-fit value of the same given in Fig. 4 of Ref. [3]. We have also used the selection efficiency from Fig. 1 of Ref. [3], as the region of interest efficiency is already included in the charge yield. This approach reproduces the PandaX-4T ⁸B event rate appreciably, with a maximum difference of 15%.

For the XENONnT ⁸B data, we utilized the top panel of Fig. 2 in Ref. [4]. The event rate for each corrected S2 (cS2) bin is calculated using

$$R = \mathcal{E}' \int d(cS2) \int dE_N \frac{dR}{dE_N} \epsilon(E_N) \, pdf(cS2|E_N), \quad (8)$$

where the exposure, \mathcal{E}' , is 3.51 ton-years. The energydependent acceptance, $\epsilon(E_N)$, is obtained from Fig. 1 of [4]. Following [47], we translate recoil energy to cS2. We have assumed a normalized Gaussian PDF to obtain the probability using the charge yield from [48] with the standard deviation derived from the error in electron gain (g2), quoted in Ref. [4].

In our numerical analysis, we employ the profile likelihood ratio test statistic [49, 50]

$$q_0 = -2\ln\left[\frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}|\mathcal{M}_{\nu+b})}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}|\mathcal{M}_b)}\right],\tag{9}$$

where \mathcal{M}_b represents the background-only model, and $\mathcal{M}_{\nu+b}$ represents the model combining both the signal (neutrinos, in our case) and the background. The nuisance parameter, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, accounts for uncertainties in the relevant backgrounds for the background-only likelihood, $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{M}_b)$, and both the uncertainties in the neutrino fluxes (ϕ^j) and backgrounds for the combined one. The background-only and combined likelihood maximised at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, respectively. Note that q_0 follows a χ^2 distribution. The combined likelihood is obtained using

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{M}_{\nu+b}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}(D^{i}|\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\nu}} N_{\nu}^{i}(\phi^{j}) + N_{b}^{i}) \prod_{k=1}^{n_{\nu+b}} \mathcal{G}(\theta^{k}).$$
(10)

Here \mathcal{P} denotes the Poisson probability. The Gaussian distributions, \mathcal{G} , account for uncertainties in the neutrino fluxes and backgrounds. The background rate and the data in the i^{th} bin are represented by N_b^i and D^i , respectively. The quantity $N_i^{\nu}(\phi^j)$ represents events generated by j^{th} type solar neutrinos in the i^{th} bin. The total number of solar neutrinos and background contributions



FIG. 2. The variation of $\Delta \chi^2$ with $\sin^2 \theta_W$. The red, magenta and blue solid lines correspond to the latest unpaired PandaX-4T ⁸B solar neutrino, XENONnT ⁸B solar neutrino and XENONnT electron recoil data samples, respectively. The SM prediction for very low momentum transfer is shown by the dashed black line. Our 1σ limits on $\sin^2 \theta_W$ can be followed from the dashed purple line.

is $n_{\nu+b}$, while for neutrinos alone, it is n_{ν} . The maximum number of bins included in the analysis is n. The background only likelihood can be obtained excluding the contribution of neutrinos from Eq. (10).

For PandaX-4T, the ⁸B neutrino-induced rate N_{ν}^{i} can be evaluated from Eq. (7). The uncertainties in the background rates are obtained from Table III of [3]. Like PandaX-4T [3], we have included only the first 8 bins (i.e., $n_e = 4$ to $n_e = 8$) in our analysis. The corresponding $\Delta \chi^2$ against $\sin^2 \theta_W$ is displayed by the red solid line in Fig. 2, labelled as PandaX-4T ⁸B. For the XENONnT ⁸B data, neutrino generated events are calculated using Eq. (8) and the background uncertainties are adopted from Table I of Ref. [4]. The associated $\Delta \chi^2$ is displayed by the magenta line in Fig. 2. Remarkably, in both analyses, the best-fit value is close to the SM prediction, indicated by the dashed black line in Fig. 2. The best-fit values of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at 1σ for PandaX-4T ⁸B unpaired data and XENONnT ⁸B data are depicted by the red and magenta points respectively in Fig. 1. The SM prediction against Q is shown by the dashed black line in Fig.1. Further, we have displayed results from various other experiments including the results using dedicated neutrino experiments, such as COHERENT [21] and DRESDEN-II [22], which lie in a similar momentum

transfer regime². Our results probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a different momentum transfer regime.

We stress that while numerous studies explore the prospect of probing beyond the SM physics using CE ν NS at future and current DD [66–90], to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to probe a SM parameter using current DD data. We provide the numerical value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ for PandaX-4T analysis below

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2180^{-0.0868}_{+0.0687} (1\,\sigma)^{-0.1652}_{+0.1078} (90\%\,\text{CL}) \,. \tag{11}$$

The quoted values are for the momentum transfer range [0.012 - 0.016] GeV, which is determined by the recoil energy regime of PandaX-4T's unpaired ⁸B data sample. For XENONnT ⁸B dataset, $\sin^2 \theta_W$ is

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2741^{-0.0935}_{+0.0839} (1\,\sigma)^{-0.1666}_{+0.1349} (90\% \,\text{CL}) \,.$$
(12)

The values mentioned above are for the momentum transfer range [0.011 - 0.026] GeV.

Although the thresholds of the aforesaid analysis are low, the heavy Xe nucleus drives the momentum transfer to the ~ 10 MeV range. This implies that an electron recoil search would be an ideal setup to probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at the lowest energy scale. We now turn to this discussion.

Electron recoil: As mentioned earlier, Xe-based experiments can efficiently discriminate between nuclear and electron recoil by comparing the ratio of S2/S1 in the \gtrsim keV recoil energy range. Thus a search for ν -e scattering using electron recoil data enables these experiments to measure $\sin^2 \theta_W^3$. We utilized the latest XENONnT electron recoil data sample in our analysis ⁴. The neutrino-induced electron recoil events are evaluated using Eq. (1) with the cross section given in Eq. (6). The differential event rate with respect to the reconstructed energy (E_e^{res}) is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_e^{\mathrm{res}}} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_e} \,\epsilon\left(E_e^{\mathrm{res}}\right) \, G(E_e^{\mathrm{res}}, E_e, \sigma) \,\mathrm{d}E_e, \qquad (13)$$

where $\epsilon (E_e^{\text{res}})$ is the total efficiency given in Fig.1 of [5]. The event rate is smeared with a normalised Gaussian function, G, having energy resolution σ , stated in Ref. [93]. In our statistical analysis we have again used Eq. (9) with N_{ν}^i obtained from Eq. (13). The data D_i is extracted from Ref. [5]. The background rate provided in Ref. [5] includes the SM ν -e rate. Since our analysis focuses on searching for ν -e scattering in the same data, our background model excludes this rate (and associated uncertainty), assuming the experiment used the expected

 $^{^2}$ Please see Refs. [51–65] for other similar searches.

 $^{^3}$ This has also been realised in Refs. $[67,\,91,\,92],$ however we used current data.

⁴ We have not used the LZ [6] and PandX-4T [7] electron recoil data due to their lower sensitivity.

low energy SM value for $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2386$ [46], to avoid double counting. We have also excluded first bin from data analysis as the efficiency falls below 10% at energies $\lesssim 1 \text{ keV}_{ee}$, hence n = 29 in Eq. (10).

The corresponding $\Delta \chi^2$ is depicted by the solid blue line in Fig. 2. The best fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ at 1σ is shown in Fig. 1 by the blue data point. Expectedly the error bar is rather large as the experiment itself has not observed ν -e scattering events with desirable significance. We note that above ~ 1.16 σ , we could only get an upper limit in the value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$. We now present the numerical value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ from the electron recoil analysis.

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2606^{-0.1830}_{+0.1094} (1\,\sigma)_{+0.1679} (90\%\,\text{CL}) \,. \tag{14}$$

The reported values correspond to a momentum transfer range of $[3.20 \times 10^{-5} - 1.75 \times 10^{-4}]$ GeV. While the recoil energy regime of this analysis is similar to the nuclear one, the significant mass ratio between the Xe nucleus and the electron allows us to probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a momentum transfer region that has not been explored by any other experiments before. The closest comparison is with the APV result, which is in a momentum transfer regime more than an order of magnitude higher. Therefore, even obtaining an upper limits using current data is a remarkable achievement for DD^5 . Furthermore, these experiments are expected to improve their understanding of the electron recoil background in the near future. Notably, within two years, the XENONnT data sample [5] has reduced background events by almost a factor of 5 compared to the XENON1T electron recoil excess data sample [93]. A potential discovery of solar neutrino-electron interactions would definitely reduce the error bars on our results [91] and may indicate possible presence of new physics. Thus, we encourage experimental collaborations to make a dedicated effort to study the weak mixing angle, particularly in the electron recoil channel.

CONCLUSIONS

In this letter, we demonstrate that current DD data can be used to measure the weak mixing angle. We show that the latest ⁸B solar neutrino measurements from PandaX-4T and XENONnT can probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a region complementary to the dedicated neutrino experiments. Furthermore, we emphasize that electron recoil measurements can explore $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a completely new energy scale through neutrino electron scattering. The current XENONnT electron recoil data already probe $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a momentum transfer region that is an order of magnitude smaller than that of the APV result. Our results agree with SM expectation; however, it is too early to draw conclusions about the possible presence of new physics given our error bars. With improved background understanding and increased exposure, we anticipate significant improvements in these findings.

While we have focused specifically on Xe-based experiments, our exploration is generically applicable to all DD experiments. In the context of currently running experiments, DarkSide [96] would be able to study $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a different region once it starts observing a significant number of neutrino events. The ability of such experiments to discriminate between nuclear and electron recoil using pulse shape analysis would be particularly useful for investigating $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in a previously unexplored region, similar to our result using the XENONnT electron recoil search. Proposed low-threshold DD experiments like Oscura [97] would also be valuable for this purpose. In the future, if these low-threshold DD experiments can differentiate between electron and nuclear recoil and begin observing neutrino events then they may be able to probe the weak mixing angle in the lowest possible momentum transfer region due to their extremely low threshold. In summary, our work opens pathway to probe a SM parameter in a previously unexplored domain using DD experiments, thus offering a potential opportunity to discover new physics.

Acknowledgments – We thank Qing Lin for useful correspondence regarding PandaX-4T ⁸B solar neutrino result. We also thank Theresa Fruth, Ranjan Laha and Ciaran O'hare for discussions. The work of TNM is supported by the Australian Research Council through the ARC Centre of Excellence for Dark Matter Particle Physics.

- [†] celine.boehm@sydney.edu.au
- M. W. Goodman and E. Witten, Detectability of Certain Dark Matter Candidates, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 3059.
- [2] A. Drukier and L. Stodolsky, Principles and Applications of a Neutral Current Detector for Neutrino Physics and Astronomy, Phys. Rev. D 30 (1984) 2295.
- [3] PANDAX collaboration, Z. Bo et al., First Measurement of Solar ⁸B Neutrino Flux through Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering in PandaX-4T, 2407.10892.
- [4] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., First Measurement of Solar ⁸B Neutrinos via Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering with XENONnT, 2408.02877.
- [5] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., Search for New Physics in Electronic Recoil Data from XENONnT, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129** (2022) 161805, [2207.11330].

 $^{^5}$ We note that data of experiments like Borexino [94], SNO+ [95] could fill the gap between our XENONnT and APV results in Fig. 1.

tarak.maity.physics@gmail.com

- [6] LZ collaboration, J. Aalbers et al., Search for new physics in low-energy electron recoils from the first LZ exposure, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 072006, [2307.15753].
- [7] PANDAX collaboration, X. Zeng et al., Exploring New Physics with PandaX-4T Low Energy Electronic Recoil Data, 2408.07641.
- [8] A. K. Drukier, K. Freese and D. N. Spergel, *Detecting Cold Dark Matter Candidates*, *Phys. Rev. D* 33 (1986) 3495–3508.
- J. Monroe and P. Fisher, Neutrino Backgrounds to Dark Matter Searches, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 033007, [0706.3019].
- [10] L. E. Strigari, Neutrino Coherent Scattering Rates at Direct Dark Matter Detectors, New J. Phys. 11 (2009) 105011, [0903.3630].
- [11] A. Gutlein et al., Solar and atmospheric neutrinos: Background sources for the direct dark matter search, Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 90–96, [1003.5530].
- [12] J. Billard, L. Strigari and E. Figueroa-Feliciano, Implication of neutrino backgrounds on the reach of next generation dark matter direct detection experiments, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 023524, [1307.5458].
- [13] A. Gütlein et al., Impact of coherent neutrino nucleus scattering on direct dark matter searches based on CaWO₄ crystals, Astropart. Phys. **69** (2015) 44–49, [1408.2357].
- [14] F. Ruppin, J. Billard, E. Figueroa-Feliciano and L. Strigari, Complementarity of dark matter detectors in light of the neutrino background, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 083510, [1408.3581].
- [15] C. A. J. O'Hare, Dark matter astrophysical uncertainties and the neutrino floor, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 063527, [1604.03858].
- [16] C. A. J. O'Hare, New Definition of the Neutrino Floor for Direct Dark Matter Searches, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 251802, [2109.03116].
- [17] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, R. L. Workman et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [18] C. Y. Prescott et al., Further Measurements of Parity Nonconservation in Inelastic electron Scattering, Phys. Lett. B 84 (1979) 524–528.
- [19] SLAC E158 collaboration, P. L. Anthony et al., Precision measurement of the weak mixing angle in Moller scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 081601, [hep-ex/0504049].
- [20] QWEAK collaboration, D. Androić et al., Precision measurement of the weak charge of the proton, Nature 557 (2018) 207–211, [1905.08283].
- [21] V. De Romeri, O. G. Miranda, D. K. Papoulias, G. Sanchez Garcia, M. Tórtola and J. W. F. Valle, *Physics implications of a combined analysis of COHERENT CsI and LAr data*, *JHEP* 04 (2023) 035, [2211.11905].
- [22] D. Aristizabal Sierra, V. De Romeri and D. K. Papoulias, Consequences of the Dresden-II reactor data for the weak mixing angle and new physics, JHEP 09 (2022) 076, [2203.02414].
- [23] S. Weinberg, A Model of Leptons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264–1266.
- [24] D. Z. Freedman, Coherent Neutrino Nucleus Scattering as a Probe of the Weak Neutral Current, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 1389–1392.

- [25] S. Sarantakos, A. Sirlin and W. J. Marciano, Radiative Corrections to Neutrino-Lepton Scattering in the SU(2)-L x U(1) Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 217 (1983) 84–116.
- [26] K. G. Wilson, Renormalization group and critical phenomena. 1. Renormalization group and the Kadanoff scaling picture, Phys. Rev. B 4 (1971) 3174–3183.
- [27] E. Aprile, C. E. Dahl, L. DeViveiros, R. Gaitskell, K. L. Giboni, J. Kwong et al., Simultaneous measurement of ionization and scintillation from nuclear recoils in liquid xenon as target for a dark matter experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 081302, [astro-ph/0601552].
- [28] R. Essig, A. Manalaysay, J. Mardon, P. Sorensen and T. Volansky, *First Direct Detection Limits on sub-GeV Dark Matter from XENON10*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 021301, [1206.2644].
- [29] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., Light Dark Matter Search with Ionization Signals in XENON1T, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 251801, [1907.11485].
- [30] PANDAX collaboration, S. Li et al., Search for Light Dark Matter with Ionization Signals in the PandaX-4T Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 261001, [2212.10067].
- [31] R. Essig, M. Sholapurkar and T.-T. Yu, Solar Neutrinos as a Signal and Background in Direct-Detection Experiments Searching for Sub-GeV Dark Matter With Electron Recoils, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 095029, [1801.10159].
- [32] J. Wyenberg and I. M. Shoemaker, Mapping the neutrino floor for direct detection experiments based on dark matter-electron scattering, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 115026, [1803.08146].
- [33] G. Herrera, A neutrino floor for the Migdal effect, JHEP 05 (2024) 288, [2311.17719].
- [34] B. Carew, A. R. Caddell, T. N. Maity and C. A. J. O'Hare, Neutrino fog for dark matter-electron scattering experiments, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 083016, [2312.04303].
- [35] D. S. Akerib et al., Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier Dark Matter Direct Detection to the Neutrino Fog, in Snowmass 2021, 3, 2022. 2203.08084.
- [36] C. A. J. O'Hare, Can we overcome the neutrino floor at high masses?, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 063024, [2002.07499].
- [37] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Radiative Corrections to Neutrino Induced Neutral Current Phenomena in the SU(2)-L x U(1) Theory, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2695.
- [38] J. N. Bahcall, M. Kamionkowski and A. Sirlin, Solar neutrinos: Radiative corrections in neutrino - electron scattering experiments, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 6146-6158, [astro-ph/9502003].
- [39] J. Erler and S. Su, The Weak Neutral Current, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 71 (2013) 119–149, [1303.5522].
- [40] O. Tomalak, P. Machado, V. Pandey and R. Plestid, Flavor-dependent radiative corrections in coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering, JHEP 02 (2021) 097, [2011.05960].
- [41] J.-W. Chen, H.-C. Chi, C. P. Liu and C.-P. Wu, Low-energy electronic recoil in xenon detectors by solar neutrinos, Phys. Lett. B 774 (2017) 656–661, [1610.04177].
- [42] M. Atzori Corona, W. M. Bonivento, M. Cadeddu, N. Cargioli and F. Dordei, New constraint on neutrino magnetic moment and neutrino millicharge from

LUX-ZEPLIN dark matter search results, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 053001, [2207.05036].

- [43] S. Goswami and A. Y. Smirnov, Solar neutrinos and 1-3 leptonic mixing, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 053011, [hep-ph/0411359].
- [44] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, P. A. Zyla et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, PTEP **2020** (2020) 083C01.
- [45] J. Erler and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, The Weak mixing angle at low energies, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 073003, [hep-ph/0409169].
- [46] J. Erler and R. Ferro-Hernández, Weak Mixing Angle in the Thomson Limit, JHEP 03 (2018) 196, [1712.09146].
- [47] M. Szydagis et al., A Review of Basic Energy Reconstruction Techniques in Liquid Xenon and Argon Detectors for Dark Matter and Neutrino Physics Using NEST, Instruments 5 (2021) 13, [2102.10209].
- [48] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., XENON1T dark matter data analysis: Signal and background models and statistical inference, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 112009, [1902.11297].
- [49] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1554, [1007.1727].
- [50] D. Baxter et al., Recommended conventions for reporting results from direct dark matter searches, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 907, [2105.00599].
- [51] F. Reines, H. S. Gurr and H. W. Sobel, Detection of anti-electron-neutrino e Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 315–318.
- [52] C. Boehm, Implications of a new light gauge boson for neutrino physics, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 055007, [hep-ph/0405240].
- [53] A. N. Khan, Global analysis of the source and detector nonstandard interactions using the short baseline ν-e and ν⁻-e scattering data, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 093019, [1605.09284].
- [54] B. C. Canas, E. A. Garces, O. G. Miranda, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, *The weak mixing angle from low* energy neutrino measurements: a global update, *Phys. Lett. B* **761** (2016) 450–455, [1608.02671].
- [55] A. N. Khan and D. W. McKay, $\sin^2 \theta_W$ estimate and bounds on nonstandard interactions at source and detector in the solar neutrino low-energy regime, JHEP 07 (2017) 143, [1704.06222].
- [56] A. N. Khan, $\sin^2 \theta_W$ Estimate and Neutrino Electromagnetic Properties from Low-Energy Solar Data, J. Phys. G 46 (2019) 035005, [1709.02930].
- [57] B. C. Cañas, E. A. Garcés, O. G. Miranda and A. Parada, Future perspectives for a weak mixing angle measurement in coherent elastic neutrino nucleus scattering experiments, Phys. Lett. B 784 (2018) 159–162, [1806.01310].
- [58] A. de Gouvea, P. A. N. Machado, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez and Z. Tabrizi, *Measuring the Weak Mixing Angle in* the DUNE Near Detector Complex, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 051803, [1912.06658].
- [59] O. G. Miranda, D. K. Papoulias, G. Sanchez Garcia, O. Sanders, M. Tórtola and J. W. F. Valle, *Implications* of the first detection of coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS) with Liquid Argon, JHEP 05 (2020) 130, [2003.12050].
- [60] M. Cadeddu, N. Cargioli, F. Dordei, C. Giunti, Y. F. Li, E. Picciau et al., New insights into nuclear physics and weak mixing angle using electroweak probes, Phys.

Rev. C 104 (2021) 065502, [2102.06153].

- [61] COHERENT collaboration, D. Akimov et al., Measurement of the Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering Cross Section on CsI by COHERENT, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129** (2022) 081801, [2110.07730].
- [62] A. Majumdar, D. K. Papoulias, R. Srivastava and J. W. F. Valle, *Physics implications of recent Dresden-II* reactor data, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 093010, [2208.13262].
- [63] M. Atzori Corona, M. Cadeddu, N. Cargioli, F. Dordei, C. Giunti and G. Masia, Nuclear neutron radius and weak mixing angle measurements from latest COHERENT CsI and atomic parity violation Cs data, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 683, [2303.09360].
- [64] M. Atzori Corona, M. Cadeddu, N. Cargioli, F. Dordei and C. Giunti, *Refined determination of the weak* mixing angle at low energy, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 033005, [2405.09416].
- [65] L. Chen, S. Iguro and Y. Hamada, Determining Weak-Mixing Angle at μTRISTAN, 2406.04500.
- [66] R. Harnik, J. Kopp and P. A. N. Machado, *Exploring nu Signals in Dark Matter Detectors*, JCAP 07 (2012) 026, [1202.6073].
- [67] D. G. Cerdeño, M. Fairbairn, T. Jubb, P. A. N. Machado, A. C. Vincent and C. Bœhm, *Physics from* solar neutrinos in dark matter direct detection experiments, JHEP 05 (2016) 118, [1604.01025].
- [68] E. Bertuzzo, F. F. Deppisch, S. Kulkarni, Y. F. Perez Gonzalez and R. Zukanovich Funchal, Dark Matter and Exotic Neutrino Interactions in Direct Detection Searches, JHEP 04 (2017) 073, [1701.07443].
- [69] B. Dutta, S. Liao, L. E. Strigari and J. W. Walker, Non-standard interactions of solar neutrinos in dark matter experiments, Phys. Lett. B 773 (2017) 242–246, [1705.00661].
- [70] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez and R. Zukanovich Funchal, Neutrino Discovery Limit of Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments in the Presence of Non-Standard Interactions, JHEP 07 (2018) 019, [1803.03650].
- [71] C. Bœhm, D. G. Cerdeño, P. A. N. Machado, A. Olivares-Del Campo, E. Perdomo and E. Reid, *How high is the neutrino floor?*, *JCAP* **01** (2019) 043, [1809.06385].
- [72] G.-Y. Huang and S. Zhou, Constraining Neutrino Lifetimes and Magnetic Moments via Solar Neutrinos in the Large Xenon Detectors, JCAP 02 (2019) 024, [1810.03877].
- [73] J. M. Link and X.-J. Xu, Searching for BSM neutrino interactions in dark matter detectors, JHEP 08 (2019) 004, [1903.09891].
- [74] C. Boehm, D. G. Cerdeno, M. Fairbairn, P. A. N. Machado and A. C. Vincent, *Light new physics in XENON1T*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 115013, [2006.11250].
- [75] D. W. P. d. Amaral, D. G. Cerdeno, P. Foldenauer and E. Reid, Solar neutrino probes of the muon anomalous magnetic moment in the gauged U(1)_{Lµ-Lτ}, JHEP 12 (2020) 155, [2006.11225].
- [76] D. Aristizabal Sierra, V. De Romeri, L. J. Flores and D. K. Papoulias, *Light vector mediators facing XENON1T data*, *Phys. Lett. B* 809 (2020) 135681, [2006.12457].

- [77] A. N. Khan, Can Nonstandard Neutrino Interactions explain the XENON1T spectral excess?, Phys. Lett. B 809 (2020) 135782, [2006.12887].
- [78] S. Karmakar and S. Pandey, XENON1T constraints on neutrino non-standard interactions, 2007.11892.
- [79] O. Seto and T. Shimomura, Signal from sterile neutrino dark matter in extra U(1) model at direct detection experiment, Phys. Lett. B 811 (2020) 135880, [2007.14605].
- [80] A. N. Khan, Constraints on general light mediators from PandaX-II electron recoil data, Phys. Lett. B 819 (2021) 136415, [2008.10279].
- [81] A. Majumdar, D. K. Papoulias and R. Srivastava, Dark matter detectors as a novel probe for light new physics, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 013001, [2112.03309].
- [82] Y.-F. Li and S.-y. Xia, Constraining light mediators via detection of coherent elastic solar neutrino nucleus scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 977 (2022) 115737, [2201.05015].
- [83] A. N. Khan, Light new physics and neutrino electromagnetic interactions in XENONnT, Phys. Lett. B 837 (2023) 137650, [2208.02144].
- [84] S. K. A., A. Majumdar, D. K. Papoulias, H. Prajapati and R. Srivastava, *Implications of first LZ and* XENONnT results: A comparative study of neutrino properties and light mediators, Phys. Lett. B 839 (2023) 137742, [2208.06415].
- [85] D. W. P. Amaral, D. Cerdeno, A. Cheek and P. Foldenauer, A direct detection view of the neutrino NSI landscape, JHEP 07 (2023) 071, [2302.12846].
- [86] C. Giunti and C. A. Ternes, Testing neutrino electromagnetic properties at current and future dark matter experiments, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 095044, [2309.17380].
- [87] M. Demirci and M. F. Mustamin, Solar neutrino constraints on light mediators through coherent elastic

neutrino-nucleus scattering, Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) 015021, [2312.17502].

- [88] V. De Romeri, D. K. Papoulias and C. A. Ternes, Light vector mediators at direct detection experiments, JHEP 05 (2024) 165, [2402.05506].
- [89] D. Aristizabal Sierra, N. Mishra and L. Strigari, Implications of first neutrino-induced nuclear recoil measurements in direct detection experiments, 2409.02003.
- [90] G. Herrera and P. Huber, Anapole moment of neutrinos and radioactive sources near liquid xenon detectors, 2408.11904.
- [91] DARWIN collaboration, J. Aalbers et al., Solar neutrino detection sensitivity in DARWIN via electron scattering, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 1133, [2006.03114].
- [92] J. Aalbers et al., A next-generation liquid xenon observatory for dark matter and neutrino physics, J. Phys. G 50 (2023) 013001, [2203.02309].
- [93] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., Energy resolution and linearity of XENON1T in the MeV energy range, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 785, [2003.03825].
- [94] BOREXINO collaboration, M. Agostini et al., First Simultaneous Precision Spectroscopy of pp, ⁷Be, and pep Solar Neutrinos with Borexino Phase-II, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 082004, [1707.09279].
- [95] SNO+ collaboration, A. Allega et al., Measurement of the ⁸B Solar Neutrino Flux Using the Full SNO+ Water Phase, 2407.17595.
- [96] DARKSIDE-20K collaboration, C. E. Aalseth et al., DarkSide-20k: A 20 tonne two-phase LAr TPC for direct dark matter detection at LNGS, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133 (2018) 131, [1707.08145].
- [97] OSCURA collaboration, A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., *The Oscura Experiment*, 2202.10518.