Trends in recurrence analysis of dynamical systems

Norbert Marwan^{1,2,a} and K. Hauke Kraemer¹

- ¹ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Member of the Leibniz Association, Telegrafenberg A31, 14473 Potsdam, Germany
- ² University of Potsdam, Institute of Geoscience, Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 32, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

Abstract. The last decade has witnessed a number of important and exciting developments that had been achieved for improving recurrence plot based data analysis and to widen its application potential. We will give a brief overview about important and innovative developments, such as computational improvements, alternative recurrence definitions (event-like, multiscale, heterogeneous, and spatio-temporal recurrences) and ideas for parameter selection, theoretical considerations of recurrence quantification measures, new recurrence quantifiers (e.g., for transition detection and causality detection), and correction schemes. New perspectives have recently been opened by combining recurrence plots with machine learning. We finally show open questions and perspectives for futures directions of methodical research.

1 Introduction

Recurrence in dynamical systems is a fundamental feature, indicating different types of dynamics, such as periodic, chaotic, or random variations, or predictable and unpredictable variability. The study of recurrences in dynamical systems by recurrence plot (RP) based methods¹, such as recurrence quantification analysis (RQA) and recurrence networks (RNs) is receiving a growing interest in many different scientific disciplines [3–30], well represented by the increasing number of publications (Fig. 1) and the diverse scientific disciplines these studies cover (Fig. 2A). The increase in the number of studies citing the seminal works introducing RPs, RQA, and RNs [31–33, 2, 34, 35] is even stronger (Fig. 1), which can be interpreted as a growing general popularity of these methods not limited to the (still small although growing) community of researchers. Nowadays, studies which are actually not using RP based methods refer to them, e.g., as alternative useful approaches or some kind of standard methods. Obviously, RP based methods are meanwhile well-accepted in data science.

A growing number of available software is supporting this positive development (Fig. 2B). Progress in theoretical understanding of RP analysis, GPU based comput-

^a e-mail: marwan@pik-potsdam.de

¹ A RP is a matrix $R_{i,j} = \Theta (\varepsilon - ||\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j||)$, representing all the times j when a state at time i is recurring. Further information on RPs and RQA can be found, e.g., in this special issue in [1] or in the review [2].

Will be inserted by the editor



Fig. 1. Number of publications and studies using recurrence plot based methods (based on the database available at [36], May 2022) and citations referring to seminal studies as retrieved from a Web of Science search (May 2022, details in Appendix A.1).

ing, and software development in general have allowed very efficient and fast packages for Python and Julia (cp. Subsect. 2.1). Such packages are beneficial for working with the challenges of big data and integrating them to machine learning approaches. A list of software is available at [37].



Fig. 2. (A) Subjects covered by publications using RP based methods (based on Scopus subject classification database in [36], May 2022, see also the notes in Appendix A.2); (B) software for RP based analysis is available as standalone applications and as packages for the most frequently used high-level programming languages (based on information at [37], May 2022).

Big and ever growing data sets, multi-scale and spatial data, very long or very short data, data with gaps, irregular sampling and uncertainties are challenges in many scientific disciplines. Novel ideas and concepts are required to answer the research questions of today. The ongoing technical developments of RP based approaches in both theoretical and practical domains provide tailored tools for the specific challenges. Here we have selected a multitude of directions, ranging from computational developments, over new theoretical insight and new recurrence definitions, to novel extensions and applications of RP based research. It allows the interested reader to catch up on hot topics and recent developments in RP based analysis.

2 Trends and novel directions

2.1 Efficient RQA computation



Fig. 3. (A) Computation speed for recurrence plots and recurrence quantification measures for the Rössler system (details in Appendix A.3). (B) The approximative RQA allows calculation times of a few seconds for time series of length larger than 1 million data points, where standard single-thread calculations need hours (details can be found in [38]).

The recurrence matrix $R_{i,j} = \Theta(\varepsilon - \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|)$ is the basis for RP, RQA, and RN, but the calculation of this recurrence matrix is an $N \times N$ pairwise test (with N the length of the phase space trajectory \vec{x}_i , i = 1, ..., N), thus, comes with large computational costs in the order of $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$. Some of the subsequent quantification (RQA measures, network measures) add a further amount of computational complexity, usually an additional $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$. Therefore, long time series and big data applications require a fast and efficient calculation of the recurrence matrix and the RP based quantifiers. Several approaches would be possible: an efficient implementation, a parallelisation of the computation, and approximation of the calculations.

Fast calculations can be performed, e.g., using Python, a widely used software framework in the scientific community. The *pyunicorn* package [39] uses a very efficient implementation based on Cython and provides recurrence network measures.

Recently, the Julia language was introduced with the aim to provide a fast and very efficient tool for scientific computations. In this line, the Julia package *Recurrence-Analysis.jl* (meanwhile integrated into *DynamicalSystems.jl*) was developed which also provides calculations for RPs and the main RQA measures [40]. The calculation of RPs and RQA measures using Julia is much faster than comparable implementations in R, MATLAB, and Python, in particular for longer time series N > 10,000 (Fig. 3A).

Will be inserted by the editor

Much shorter calculation times can be achieved by parallelising the computations. For example, the Python package PyRQA uses a *divide* \mathcal{C} recombine approach to distribute the computations on multi-core processors or on an array of graphics processing units (GPUs). The improvements can be of several magnitudes of reduced calculation time (Fig. 3A).

A completely different approach is using an approximation of the RQA measures [41, 38]. Instead of pairwise testing the distance between all points of phase space trajectory, the recurrences are estimated using a coarse graining of the phase space, leading, e.g., to the recurrence rate

$$RR^{(m)} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-m+1} \Theta\left(\varepsilon - \|\vec{x}_i^m - \vec{x}_j^m\|\right) \approx \sum_{\vec{x} \in X} (h_X(\vec{x}))^2,$$
(1)

with m the current (embedding) dimension and h_X the histogram of the phase space points. The line based RQA measures can be estimated by approximative RQA, e.g., for determinism

$$DET^{(m)} \approx \frac{m \cdot RR^{(m)} - (m-1) \cdot RR^{(m+1)}}{RR^{(1)}},$$
 (2)

(similar approximations for the other RQA measures are available, see [41, 38]). The computational complexity including the RQA measures is, thus, reduced to $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$, resulting in an extreme reduction of the calculation time (Fig. 3B). However, this acceleration of RQA calculations comes with the cost of some inaccuracies in the results.

2.2 Alternative recurrence definitions for recurrence plots

The original definition of a recurrence in phase space for creating a RP was to consider a certain number of nearest neighbours [31]. This was soon changed to define a recurrence in terms of a thresholded distance between points in phase space² [43, 44]. For most applications both definitions work very well. Later, extensions were suggested to add further criteria. Recurring points should lie on a perpendicular plane [45] or phase space trajectories should be parallel [46], aiming to reduce the effect of sojourn points. Order patterns are also a very powerful extension [47, 48], reducing the effects of non-stationarity, or to characterise the dynamics (cf. Subsect. 2.3). In the last years, some additional ideas were suggested for specific research questions.

Specific applications require tailored recurrence definitions. For the identification of laminar regimes or to have a variance-independent distance measure, it can be helpful to apply the **exponential function** to the actual distance $D_{i,j} = \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|$ between states \vec{x}_i and \vec{x}_j [49, 50]

$$R_{i,j} = \Theta\left(\exp\left[-\frac{D_{i,j}^2}{2\lambda^2}\right] - \varepsilon\right).$$
(3)

This transformation of the distances $D_{i,j}$ provides values between 0 and 1, where 1 represents the closest and 0 the longest distances. Therefore, the thresholding is now opposite. Such modification is, e.g., used to identify laminar regimes (cf. Subsect. 2.5).

² A comparison of the different concepts (from the recurrence networks point of view) to define recurrence by the ε -neighbourhood or by the nearest neighbours approach can be found in [42].

If only phase differences are of interest, e.g., in material testing using ultrasonic signal processing, or in acoustic signal analysis, the actual amplitude should be neglected. Here, the **angular distance** is a better recurrence criterion than the spatial distance in phase space [51]

$$R_{i,j} = \Theta(\varepsilon - \alpha) = \Theta\left(\varepsilon - \arccos\frac{\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{x}_j}{\|\vec{x}_i\| \cdot \|\vec{x}_j\|}\right),\tag{4}$$

where α is the phase difference between both points \vec{x}_i and \vec{x}_j . Although the spatial difference between \vec{x}_i and \vec{x}_j can be large, they can be considered to be recurrent because of a very small phase difference α (Fig. 4). Such a recurrence criterion is particularly useful in the analysis of ultrasonic waves for material testing or in diagnosing atrial fibrillations [52, 53].



Fig. 4. Instead of using the spatial distance, the angular distance, represented as the angle α between two states in phase space, can be used to define recurrences. Although the spatial difference between two points at the phase space trajectory is large, both points can be considered to be recurrent because of the similar phase, indicated by very small α .

Another specific type of data, where the construction of a phase space and measuring of distances between states at different time points might be difficult or even impossible, are event like data. For such data, Suzuki et al. [54] have introduced the **edit distance** metric, which is based on transforming one sequence S_i of events into another one S_j (thus, the time series of events x_i is segmented into short sequences of length w of events $S_i = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i+w-1}\}$). The cost for the minimum operations required for such a transformation is an appropriate distance measure. The edit distance has been further extended to better understand the parameters within this measure [55],

$$D_{i,j} = \min_{C} \left\{ \mid I \mid + \mid J \mid -2 \mid C \mid + \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in C} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k(\|t_i(\alpha) - t_j(\beta)\| - \tau)}} \right\},$$
(5)

with I and J the set of indices of events in sequences S_i and S_j , C is the set of *pairs* of event indices in I and J, $t_i(\alpha)$ and $t_j(\beta)$ are the time points of the events in S_i and S_j . The parameter τ can be used to set the maximal delay between events when considering them as recurrent. This edit distance can be further interpreted as a difference filter, allowing us to construct an equidistantly sampled time series from an irregularly sampled time series, as typical in different geoscience and astrophysics applications [12, 56]. Consequently, a further application would even allow us

to construct RPs directly from irregularly sampled time series using such edit distance measure [57, 58].

Such data from geosciences and astrophysics (and not only from there) has often a certain fraction of uncertainties, e.g., from age uncertainties in palaeoclimate archives. Instead of a series of scalar values, a time series would then be a series of probabilities p(x,t). A recent development has combined a Bayesian approach with RPs to derive a RP which explicitly represents also the uncertainties [59]. Instead of a binary recurrence matrix, we get a matrix with **probabilities of recurrences** $Q_{i,j}(\varepsilon) = p(||\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|| < \varepsilon)$. Although such representation is very helpful for data with uncertainties, the quantification is not as straight forward as for binary recurrence matrices. It is still an open question how line based measures could be defined in most reliable way (there are already some suggestions [60]). Nevertheless, complex network based analysis is, of course, possible, as it was used to identify palaeoclimate regime changes, changes in the sea surface temperature distribution of the equatorial central Pacific, or in financial markets [59].

An alternative for data with uncertainties are **fuzzy recurrences**. Here, a fuzzy objective function is minimized and the fuzzy cluster membership is used to define a recurrence [61] and is beneficial when working with physiological data. This approach can also be used for creating recurrence networks [62] and for cross recurrence analysis [63].

Finally, for analysing spatio-temporal recurrences, we need a recurrence criterion that considers the spatial variability in a temporal sequence of images X(t). A promising distance measure is based on the mapogram $m_{b,i,j}$ of an image X which can be compared to another image X' using the Bhattacharyya distance [64]

$$D_{X,X'} = \sum_{b=1}^{B} \sqrt{\frac{n_b n'_b}{(\sum_b n_b)(\sum_b n'_b)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} \sqrt{m_{b,i,j} m'_{b,i,j}}$$
(6)

with $X = X(t_1)$ an image at time t_1 , $X' = X(t_2)$ an image at time t_2 , i and j the indices of a pixel in an image, N_i and N_j the size of the image, n_b the histogram of grey values in the image (with *B* histogram bins), and $m_{b,i,j}$ the mapogram (indicating the class of a pixel with respect to the histogram). See Subsect. 2.7 for further details on spatio-temporal recurrence analysis.

2.3 Theoretical and parametric RQA and testing

The first years of RP based method development were founded by empirical findings and mainly lacking some theoretical background, although some connections between dynamical properties, line lengths, and recurrence times were already framed 1983 by Grassberger and Procaccia [65, 66]. Meanwhile, several theoretical findings directly related to RPs have been achieved.

A fundamental finding was elaborated by Grendár et al. [67], who mathematically developed the connection between correlation sum $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$ and the RQA measures recurrence rate $RR^{(m)}$, determinism $DET^{(m)}$, and average diagonal line length $L^{(m)}$. Even more important are their formulation of the asymptotic behaviour of these measures, i.e., to which values these measures will converge when the length of the considered data goes to infinity. They also show analytically that DET and L for Gaussian white noise do not depend on the embedding dimension. These considerations have been further elaborated by Ramdani et al. [68, 69], which have further derived the analytical expressions for several RQA measures for certain stochastic processes, fractional Gaussian noise, and correlated noise (first analytical solutions were already given in [70]). Analytical and asymptotical expressions for RQA measures of specific random processes are important for defining baselines for benchmarking and testing. Moreover, the fundamental relationship between $RR^{(m)}$ and other RQA measures can be used to define approximative RQA measures, such as Eq. (2).

Further research has considered to derive empirical distributions for testing serial dependencies [71, 72] and estimate KS entropy from recurrence times [73].

Another remarkable development is the use of RP based analysis to characterise stochastic dynamics, although the original intention of RPs was to investigate the evolution of a phase space trajectory of a deterministic dynamical system. Based on very specific distribution of recurrence points in geometric pattern in the RP, the type of the stochastic process can be determined [74]. It was shown that this approach can be used to distinguish stochastic from deterministic dynamics and works even for short data. Order patterns π_i are also useful for this purpose, because some order patterns are very unlikely to occur for certain dynamics, called "forbidden order patterns" [75, 48, 76]. For example, an order pattern RP can be coloured by the specific recurring order pattern [75], providing the information about the distribution of occurring order patterns (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Order pattern RPs coloured with the corresponding order pattern for (A) Gaussian white noise, (B) autoregressive process of 1st order, and (C) *x*-component of the Rössler system. Length of order pattern m = 3 and delay $\tau = 1$, resulting in six different order patterns π_i , i.e., six different colours. The fraction of the specific recurring order pattern on all recurrences is provided in brackets.

From a more mathematical perspective, an independence test for stochastic data was proposed, based on recurrence rate and the Cramér-von Mises functional applied to a *U*-process defined from these recurrence rates [77]. The test works very well in comparison to alternative tests, like Pearson, Spearman, or Kendall correlations, or even more advanced tests (e.g., covariance distance).

The idea of identifying slow driving forces from time series using RPs has also been regularly considered [78–80]. Riedl et al. [79] combined the approach by Casdagli [81] with spatial RPs (cp. Subsec. 2.7) to identify an external forcing on marine ecological data. A novel concept to infer driving forces from data feeds the RP as an image-like data representation of the original time series into a deep learning framework [80]. The presented preliminary results are rather promising (see also Subsect. 2.9 for further combinations with machine learning approaches).

Few studies have investigated the small-scale structures of RPs and found links to characteristic dynamics. We mention here two examples: First, the shape of the block patterns in RPs is related to specific types of intermittency [82]. Second, because of the very different time scales in slow–fast dynamics, such dynamics causes thickening of lines or even short lines in the RP almost perpendicular to the main diagonal line direction [83] (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6. (A) Slow-fast dynamics derived from the Izhikevich model with a = 0.15, b = 0.2, c = -65, d = 8, I = 5, and smapling time $\Delta t = 0.1$ [84]. (B) The very different time scales in the data of the Izhikevich model cause small appendages at the diagonal lines that look like sword-like structures.

2.4 Causal and directed relationships

Different RP based approaches have been proposed and successfully implemented to detect causal and directed relationships in data. Among them are network based approaches [85] and joint RPs [86–88]. The network approach uses the inter-system recurrence network, a combination of individual RPs for both systems X and Y and their cross RPs

$$\mathbf{IR} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^X & \mathbf{CR}^{XY} \\ \mathbf{CR}^{YX} & \mathbf{R}^Y \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

with $\mathbf{CR}^{YX} = (\mathbf{CR}^{XY})^T$ being the cross RPs between systems X and Y. Applying geometrical considerations, the cross-transitivity coefficient (and similar crossnetwork measures) quantify how information flows between the systems, providing an indicator on the coupling direction [85].

Approaches using joint RPs are closely related to mutual information [2]. The recurrence measure of dependence (RMD) is a recurrence based probability measure similar to transfer entropy [89]. Its extension is a conditional version, the recurrence measure of conditional dependence [87]

$$RMCD(X,Y|Z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} JR_{i,j}^{XYZ} \times \log\left(\frac{\sum_{j} JR_{i,j}^{XYZ} \sum_{j} R_{i,j}^{Z}}{\sum_{j} JR_{i,j}^{XZ} \sum_{j} JR_{i,j}^{YZ}} \right) \right]$$
(8)

(with \mathbf{JR}^{XYZ} the joint RP between systems X, Y, Z), which can be used to study indirect couplings or even causal dependencies (when considering lagged values of one variable, e.g., $Z(t) = Y(t + \tau)$). A similar approach is conditioning already the joint RP [88]

$$\mathbf{CJR} = \mathbf{JR}^{XY|Z} \circ \left(1 - \mathbf{JR}^{YZ}\right).$$
(9)

This conditional joint RP can be easily extended to more variables. *RMCD* and **CJR** have been shown to indicate the correct causality relationship for different kinds of challenging data [87, 88].

2.5 New RQA measures and phase space segmentation based recurrences

Although the quantification of RPs has its roots in the early 1990s, there are still some aspects that require innovative ideas for quantifying the apparently different visual impression of RPs. Inspired by the research on fractal geometries, the **lacunarity** measure was adopted to RPs [90]. It characterises the homogeneity of the RP and allows to detect characteristic time scales, such as periodicities or extended laminar regimes (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. Lacunarity for different prototypical systems representing more homogeneous (white noise) and quite heterogneous RPs (AR(2) and multifractal Gaussian noise), as well as a RP with characteristic temporal scales (Rössler system). Technical details can be found in [90].

Laminar regimes or transient trapping of states are represented in the RP by extended blocks of recurrence points. Usually, a sliding windowing procedure is applied to identify the changes between different dynamics. A new measure has been suggested that can identify the temporal variation of transient trapping without windowing. It is based on a **block invariant measure** [50]

$$v(i) = \frac{t_{\parallel}(i)}{t_{\parallel}(i) + t_{\perp}(i) - 1},$$
(10)

where $t_{\parallel}(i), t_{\parallel}(i), t_{\perp}(i)$ are the geometric extensions of the blocks in the RP. This promising new measure was successfully applied to detect transient trapping events in intracellular and plasma membrane compartmentalisation.

In data analysis it can be important to identify the times of a specific dynamical behaviour. This corresponds to a segmentation of the phase space. beim Graben and Hutt [91] have suggested several approaches to segment the phase space into **recurrence domains**, e.g., using the chain of transitions from one recurrence to another one, calling it **recurrence grammars**. Such recurrence grammars are related to Markov chain description of the data and can be used to symbolise the RP or to

specify a new RP based entropy measure (cf. Subsect. 2.8 for an application of this specific entropy).

The suggested method by Yang and Chen [92] goes in a similar direction, which also segments the phase space but using a Q-tree segmentation. The result is a classification of recurrences to delineate **heterogeneous recurrences**, an interesting concept to reveal the fractal nature of state transitions.

2.6 Border effects, tangential motion & alternative RP definitions

In RQA, border effects and tangential motion (sojourn points) can heavily bias diagonal line based characteristics. In a finite size RP these lines can be cut by the borders of the RP, thus, bias the length distribution of diagonal lines and, consequently, the line based RQA measures. Moreover, temporal correlations in the data, especially when highly sampled flow data is used, noise and an insufficient embedding of the time series combined with the effect of discretization and an inadequate choice of parameters needed to construct the RP can cause a thickening of diagonal lines ("tangential motion").



Fig. 8. Parallel and close parts of a phase space trajectory (A) correspond to diagonal lines of length ℓ in a RP (B). Diagonal lines can be cut by the border of the RP (green circles). High sampling can cause tangential motion, a thickening of diagonal lines (orange circles). Modified after [93].

Both effects can have a substantial impact on certain RQA quantifiers, e.g., the diagonal line length entropy *ENTR* (cf. Subsec. 3.4), especially for regular dynamics. The border effects can be tackled in two ways. Either by a special treatment in the according histogram of any diagonal line which "touches" the RP-border [93] or by rotating the RP by 45° ("window masking") [93, 94], in order to distribute the induced bias equally on all lines. For the histogram correction, we investigated in a previous study [93] the *window masking* together with the ideas to either discard all border lines (*dibo* correction), to only keep the longest of all border lines (*kelo* correction), or to replace all border lines by the length of the line of identity, which had already been proposed by Censi et al. [95] (cp. Fig. 9 for a simple sinusoidal signal). In general, for noise free or slightly noise corrupted map data all these correction schemes solve the problem of the biased diagonal line length entropy due to lines cut by the borders of the RP.

However, for flow data the effect of tangential motion has a much bigger influence on the entropy bias than the border effects. Alternative criteria of defining the RP were proposed to solve this problem (cp. Subsect 2.2). The already mentioned *perpendicular*



Fig. 9. Diagonal line length histograms of (A) the conventional line length computation and (B) to (E) of the correction schemes proposed in [93] for a monochromatic time-delay embedded sinusoidal with an oscillation period T = 100 time units ($m = 2, \tau = T/4$). (F) Enlargement of the histograms from panels (A) to (D), focusing on the shorter line lengths. A corresponding enlargement of (E) does qualitatively look the same, but with reduced frequencies, due to the smaller effective window size. For a better visibility, we enlarged single bars in (B) to (E) and limited the view to a frequency range [0 3] in (A) to (E) (in (F) the full range is used). Modified after [93].

RP [45] contains only those points of the d-dimensional phase space trajectory that fall into the neighbourhood of a reference point and lie in the (d-1)-dimensional subspace (Poincaré section) that is perpendicular to the phase space trajectory at the reference point (Fig. 10B). In practice, an additional parameter is needed to account for a certain deviations of a reference point being exact on that surface of section. The iso-directional RP [46] also promises to cope with the tangential motion, but needs two additional parameters (Fig. 10C). In this approach two points in phase space are denoted recurrent, if their mutual distance falls within the recurrence threshold ε and the distance of their trajectories throughout T consecutive time steps falls within another recurrence threshold ε_2 . A further idea is the true RP [96] counts only those points to be recurrent, which first enter the ε -neighbourhood of a reference point (Fig. 10D). Finally, a definition of recurrences by means of local minima was suggested (LM2P approach) [97, 98]. In the latter approach only local minima of the distance matrix make up the RP (Fig. $10\mathrm{E})$. In practice a local minima detection method needs to be defined including an additional parameter $\tau_{\rm m}$, which regulates the tolerated spacing in between consecutive minima.

In addition to these recurrence criteria, a more geometric based approach was proposed using a skeletonisation schema [93]. Since a "thickened" line consists of many adjacent diagonal lines, this parameter-free algorithm shrinks all "thickened" diagonal lines in a RP down to the longest line contained in such a "thickened" line.



Fig. 10. Different approaches for avoiding the effect of tangential motion in a recurrence plot (RP), exemplary shown for the Rössler system (with parameters a = 0.15, b = 0.2, c = 10, sampling time $\Delta t = 0.2$). (A) Standard RP with fixed recurrence threshold ensuring 4% global recurrence rate as a basis to all other RPs shown in this figure. (B) Perpendicular RP with angle threshold $\varphi = 15^{\circ}$, (C) isodirectional RP with T = 5 [sampling units] and $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon/2$, (D) true recurrence point RP (TRP) with $T_{\min} = 5$ [sampling units], which coincides with the first minimum of the mutual information, (E) thresholded local minima approach with two parameters (LM2P) and $\tau_m = 5$, and (F) "skeletonized" diagonal RP. Modified after [93].

The result is a RP, which only consists of diagonal lines with unity width (Fig. 10F). Even though the true RP and the LM2P RP (Fig. 10D, E) do not look to differ much from the skeletonised RP, in practice the computation of the skeletonised RP yields the most robust results. Together with the border effect corrections of the line length histograms this approach yields meaningful estimates for the diagonal line length entropy *ENTR*. Furthermore, when computing the so corrected *ENTR* for increasing minimum considered line lengths $\ell_{\min} > 2$ the noise level can be estimated and the skeletonised RP can then be used as a noise filter. The effect of these corrections on other RQA-quantifiers, including those based on white vertical lines (recurrence times) need to be studied as further described in Subsec. 3.4.

2.7 Spatio-temporal recurrence analysis

The fast development of the computational power of computers makes the application of RPs and RQA for spatial and spatio-temporal data analysis possible. A simple idea considered only static images and transformed the two-dimensional images to one-dimensional series of grey values [99, 100]. Unfortunately, the RQA based on this approach is influenced by the orientation of the spatial structures. The more advanced approach is to compare each spatial direction of the image, finally resulting in a RP of four or even six dimensions (for two-dimensional or three-dimensional

12

data, respectively) [101]. This latter concept is challenging because the quantification of the recurrence structures in such dimensions is not trivial. Moreover, although all different spatial directions are compared, objects with rotational symmetries still have an impact on the results. Consequently, an extension was introduced by incorporating rotations and allowing the identification of irregular circular patterns [102]. Recently, another extension was suggested to weight the grey value distances by the distances between the pixel values [103]

$$w_{i,j} = \left(1 - \frac{\|x_i - x_j\|}{\operatorname{range}(\vec{X})}\right) \cdot \mathcal{D}(i,j)$$
(11)

with $\mathcal{D}(i, j)$ a Gaussian weighted distance function of the spatial distance between pixels *i* and *j*. The authors have used this recurrence criterion to construct and analyse recurrence networks of spatial data.

Spatio-temporal data such as surveillance videos or satellite data are another interesting application field of RP based analysis. The most simple approach would be to compare the images pixel-wise (each pixel forms the component of phase space vector), but this would be a very sensitive approach resulting in very low detection rates of recurrences. An alternative would be to compare the grey value histograms. However, here the spatial information in an image is completely lost. A powerful approach combining both concepts was suggested by Agustí et al. [64]. They suggest to apply mapograms to compare images (cp. Subsect. 2.2). Mapograms come with a scaling factor which even allows the specific focus on different spatial scales that can be used in a multi-scale analysis [104]. As already mentioned, RPs based on mapograms can be used to infer the driving force from spatio-temporal data [102].

The identification of spatio-temporal recurrences becomes challenging when only a small part of an image represents a dynamical pattern. Bonizzi et al. [105] proposed to apply a singular value decomposition (SVD) to identify the regions of interest (i.e., the regions with some variability) and use only the data within these regions in a regular RP. All the pixels in such a region are considered to be the components of a phase space vector (like the simple approach mentioned before).

2.8 Selection of the recurrence threshold

Discussions on selecting the recurrence threshold ε have been included already several times in many publications [2, 106, 49, 107–109]. This shows the importance of this topic, as the selection of ε is a trade-off from having as small threshold as possible but at the same time a sufficient number of recurrences which strongly depends on the research question.

An easy approach which helps in most cases is to use a quantile of the distance distribution $D_{i,j} = \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|$ (Fig. 11A). Selecting the threshold by using the 5%-quantile, $\varepsilon = D_{0.05}$, would result in a recurrence rate of 5%. This approach provides a robust recurrence characteristics for different embedding dimensions [108].

Another criterion for selecting ε is based on topological similarity, where such a value for ε is selected where small changes $\varepsilon \pm \delta \varepsilon$ have minimal impact on the structures in the RP. We can think about several criteria that measure the topological similarity of RPs. One idea for testing this is based on measuring the Hamming distance Δ_H between the RPs thresholded with $\varepsilon, \varepsilon - \delta \varepsilon$, and $\varepsilon + \delta \varepsilon$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_H(\varepsilon, \pm \delta \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j} |R_{i,j}(\varepsilon) - R_{i,j}(\varepsilon \pm \delta \varepsilon)|.$$
(12)

And readis et al. [110] have suggested to chose such an ε where the difference

$$D_H(\varepsilon) = \left| \Delta_H(\varepsilon, +\delta\varepsilon) - \Delta_H(\varepsilon, -\delta\varepsilon) \right|$$
(13)

is minimal (Fig. 11B). A similar idea is to consider the RP as a RN and find network modules, again for threshold ε and small deviations in the threshold $\varepsilon - \delta \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon + \delta \varepsilon$ [107]. The first criterion is to have exactly the same number C of modules, i.e., $C(\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon - \Delta \varepsilon)) = C(\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon)) = C(\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon + \Delta \varepsilon)) > 1$. The second criterion tries to minimize the difference in the size (number of nodes) of a given module k in $\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon + \Delta \varepsilon)$,

$$\arg\min_{\varepsilon} \left| \left| M_k \big(\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon + \Delta \varepsilon) \big) \right| - \left| M_k \big(\mathbf{R}(\varepsilon) \big) \right| \right|$$
(14)

with M_k the k^{th} module in the network and $|M_k|$ the size of the module (the number or nodes or phase space states in this module). This procedure identifies such thresholds where structures in a RP do not change much for small deviation in ε .

The next criterion which was suggested by several authors tries to maximize the homogeneity of RPs. We had already seen the symbolisation based on the recurrence grammars in Subsect. 2.5. beim Graben and Hutt [91] suggest to select ε in a way to have the distribution of the symbols as uniform as possible. This corresponds to a maximisation of the entropy of the symbol distribution. A very similar approach was suggested by Prado et al. [111], which is using local recurrence patterns of specific size (e.g., n = 2, corresponding to $\{R_{i,j}, R_{i,j+1}, R_{i+1,j}, R_{i+1,j+1}\}$), so called micro-states. The criterion is to maximise the diversity of structures/patterns in the RP, i.e., the micro-states should be equally distributed, leading to the criterion that the entropy of the micro-states distribution should be maximal

$$\underset{\varepsilon}{\arg\max} S\left(P(\mu)\right). \tag{15}$$

As an alternative to recurrence grammars, the transition probabilities between recurrence domains can be used [112]. Again, we find an optimal ε where the entropy of these transition probabilities is maximal, ensuring equally frequent transitions between different recurrence domains.



Fig. 11. Finding optimal recurrence thresholds ε using (A) quantiles, (B) topological similarity, and (C) network connectivity for the Roessler system (using 2,000 values of the *x*-component, embedded into 3-dimensional phase space using time delay embedding). The quantile approach (with 5% quantile) suggests $\varepsilon = 3.54$, the topological similarity $\varepsilon = 6.0$, and the network connectivity $\varepsilon = 1.84$.

Whereas the preceding suggestions for selecting ε are mainly based on empirical arguments and without specifying for which research question it might work or not,

Medrano et al. [109] elaborated a procedure with a deliberate theory. The goal is to estimate dynamical invariants, like correlation dimension C_2 or K_2 entropy. Usually, such measures should be estimated in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. However, Medrano et al. [109] could show that there will be a lower limit required, i.e., $\varepsilon \in [\beta \varepsilon_{opt}, \varepsilon_{opt}]$, with $0 < \beta < 1$. Moreover, they found that ε should be selected in such a range which minimises the estimation errors of $C_2(\varepsilon)$ (the estimation errors when estimating K_2 can also be used).

As the final approach for selecting ε we mention a method derived from complex networks. In networks, the eigenvalues of the Laplace matrix $L_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \sum_j A_{i,j} - A_{i,j}$ (with $A_{i,j} = R_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}$ the RN) provide information about the connectivity of the network [113]. As soon as the second smallest eigenvalue λ_2 becomes larger than 0, the corresponding ε ensures that the RN will not have isolated parts, but is a connected network (Fig. 11C). This approach is related to former ideas of a *percolation* threshold suggested for network based recurrence analysis [33, 114].

2.9 Recurrence and machine learning

Machine learning is currently a very fast-growing field. Not surprisingly that recurrence analysis and machine learning approaches are combined and tailored to specific research questions. Generally speaking, computing a RP of a time series is one way of transforming a sequence of data into an image, called "time series imaging". This transformation is even more complicated, when the time series gets embedded into a higher dimensional space beforehand (c.f., Subsect. 3.1). The image, i.e., the RP, can be the starting point of a consecutive machine learning workflow (Fig. 12A). This seems the natural way to go, since many machine learning tools, such as convolutional neural networks (CNN), were developed for image classification. Of course, other image encoding techniques such as Gramian angular fields or Markov transition fields instead of RPs are possible and have also been used [115].

Starting from the RP many different ways of setting up a ML workflow are possible and researchers combined several established ML-methods for classification and prediction tasks. First attempts started more than 15 years ago using RQA measures as features in support vector machines (SVM) for regression and classification purposes [116, 117] (Fig. 12B). Features based on RQA measures are meanwhile frequently used for classification purposes using SVMs, CNNs, k-nearest neighbour or random forest classifications [118–124] and the ML-toolbox offers a variety of other methods for clustering and feature classification (Fig. 12B). Also other RP based quantifiers, such as based on JRPs for synchronisation can serve as powerful features for ML classification methods [125]. Instead of using the physically motivated RQA measures, which use certain RP-structures, such as diagonal lines, automated image feature extraction techniques, e.g., spatial bag-of-features (SBoF) or internal layer representation of a pre-trained CNN, are possible and have been used for forecasting in combination with another neural net, e.g., a long short term memory (LSTM) [126]. All suitable time series features can be used for forecast model averaging [e.g., 127], and RP-based features appear to be a valuable complement to established features such as mean, autocorrelation, etc. [128]. The basic idea is to use the features in a regression model for estimating weights of a number of given forecast models, such that the weighted model forecast minimizes the prediction error (Fig. 12B). Technically, a given set of forecast models (e.g., ARIMA³, ETS⁴, NAIVE, etc.) are fitted to the training period of each time series of the training data and produce forecasts for the

³ autoregressive integrated moving average

 $^{^4}$ exponential smoothing state space model



Fig. 12. Simplified exemplary and schematic machine learning workflows for classification and prediction using (A) the RP as an image of the time series. (B) Features of the RP can be extracted via RQA yielding established features like the recurrence rate (RR), determinism (DET), laminarity (LAM), etc. or via an autonomous image feature extraction algorithm, e.g., spatial bag-of-features (SBoF), or pretrained convolutional neural network layers (CNN). These features can be used for classification or - in combination with another regression algorithm - for averaging/weighting of prediction models (e.g., ARIMA, ETS, NAIVE, etc.) in order to obtain an optimally weighted prediction model. (C) The RP can also be used directly as input to a CNN in order to classify or predict the underlying time series.

corresponding test periods. At the same time features are computed/extracted for the training period of each time series of the training data. Finally the features and the prediction errors for each of the given forecast model are used to compute optimal weights of the models via a regression model (e.g., XGBoost [127, 128]). Assuming that the time series from the training data and the actual data to be predicted are generated by the same process, these weights are finally used to produce the final, improved prediction.

Of course the RP can be used directly as an input for a CNN (Fig. 12C). Either the CNN is trained to classify different RPs [129–132], or to predict time series values [115]. Such combinations of RPs and RQA measures with machine learning were successfully applied for transition detection, monitoring, and anomaly detection [133, 80, 134–136].

Reservoir computing (e.g., liquid state machines, echo state networks) is a specific approach of recurrent neural networks to predict the future states of a dynamical system based on time series without a model [137, 138]. RQA was used to evaluate the results of such model-free prediction [139]. But more interesting are, of course, combinations of the learning algorithm with recurrence features. A promising approach is to use the RQA for fine-tuning of parameters in the learning [140].

So far, we have seen examples where RPs and RQA can help to improve the ML applications. There are only a few studies that use ML approaches to improve the recurrence analysis. One idea is to use a learning algorithm to classify the RP with respect to the underlying dynamics [141].

3 Perspectives for future research

The trends in the methodological developments of RP based methods and their applications show the perspectives for future research.

3.1 The embedding problem

The RP considers recurrences of the trajectory $\{\vec{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ (with $\vec{x}_i = \vec{x}(t_i)$) of the considered dynamical system's phase space. However, in most applications, \vec{x} cannot be measured directly or completely, and only a subset of observables is available. In such cases, \vec{x} must be reconstructed from the measured observables. All of the numerous published methods for reconstruction of the phase space (e.g. [142–146]) introduce a certain number of parameters on which, consequently, the calculated RP and the RQA depend. This is a current field of research with the aim in automatising this process and making it robust with respect to a subsequent recurrence analysis (e.g., recently introduced PECUZAL embedding algorithm [142]). However, it has been shown that the optimization of embedding parameters does depend on the actual research question [147], like computing dynamical invariants or prediction [148–152].

The PECUZAL algorithm can occasionally suggest contradictory embedding parameters. For example, the logistic map is clearly a deterministic system and, therefore, the used test statistic (L-statistic, [145], related to the false nearest neighbor statistic [153–157]), should recommend an embedding with dimension m > 1. However, in chaotic regime, PECUZAL suggests no embedding and treats the input as a stochastic signal. For other maps, e.g., the Ikeda or Hénon map, this is not the case. This does not seem to be a problem of the specific test statistic or the PECUZAL algorithm. When running the "stochastic indicator" proposed by Cao [155], it also values the chaotic logistic map as a stochastic source and does not suggest any embedding. A similar problem arises when analyzing map-like data in a geoscientific context. These time series are often interpolated and despite their inherent non-stationarity we should be able to embed small pieces with approximately constant parameters. In many cases, ranging from drill core data under a certain age model to climate index data such as the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) and to Earth system models of intermediate complexity (EMICs), PECUZAL does not suggest any embedding and also other stochastic indicators would treat the signals as stochastic.

Will be inserted by the editor

Therefore, the following research questions should be addressed in the future: (1) How does interpolation affect the estimation of the embedding parameters? (2) How does the sampling resolution affect the estimation of the embedding parameters (flow-like vs. map-like data)? (3) Countless real world processes can be described by a Langevin equation. Yet, to our best knowledge there is no study which systematically investigates the embedding of systems described by such a stochastic differential equation. (4) The impact of the embedding on phase space based causality measures such as convergent cross mapping [158] (and its extensions), joint recurrences [87, 159], or recurrence networks [85] have only been investigated briefly [147, 160]. Since causality analysis is of great interest in many scientific (and commercial) fields, more thorough research on this topic is of high importance.

3.2 Recurrence definitions

In order to visualise recurrences of a phase space trajectory, we have to define what recurrence or actually similarity of states actually means in the context of the current research question. For this purpose, dynamical similarity is mostly measured in terms of some metric distance $D_{i,j} = \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|$ defined in the underlying system's d-dimensional phase space. However, specific data or research questions can require modifications of this similarity measure (Subsect. 2.2). Depending on the further growing field of recurrence analysis and ever new applications as such as in machine learning, novel similarity measures or metrics will be required, such as for comparing field data and spatial patterns, or time series with uncertainties and gaps [e.g., 161].

3.3 Recurrence threshold

Even though many studies (Subsect. 2.8) have considered the question of how to objectively find an optimal recurrence threshold, this is still not yet answered satisfactorily. In most applications where comparisons or relative results are of interest, fixing the recurrence rate at a certain value and adjusting the threshold accordingly [108] will be appropriate. For other research questions (such as characterising the specific dynamical properties), a reasonable, very specific threshold should be selected. Although several ideas for an objective selection were suggested [107, 109–111, 113, 162], they are mainly based on heuristic ideas and the used criteria miss an objective physical foundation (e.g., why should be a topological invariance desirable, why should be the diversity of structures in RP maximised, why should be the recurrence network connected?). An objective criterion should either minimise the estimation error for the dynamical invariants [109] or be a trade-off of maximising the number and length of diagonal line structures and minimising the threshold value itself. Besides the specific selection criterion, a systematic overview generalising typical applications of RP based analysis and best suited threshold selections would be helpful in particular for new users of the method.

3.4 Analytical RQA

The analytical explanation of various RQA measures has made great progress in recent years (Subsec. 2.3). However, the relation between the line structures in the RP and dynamical invariants has not yet been satisfactorily answered. For example, as shown in [93], the analytically derived relation between ENTR and K_2 [163] does not yield meaningful results for real-world time series – neither for the border effect corrected [93], nor for the uncorrected ENTR.

For an analytical expression of ENTR, we use the limit of infinitely large RPs, thus, infinitely long diagonal lines $\ell_{\text{max}} = \infty$ [163]:

$$ENTR_{\text{theo}} = -\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} p(\ell) \ln p(\ell), \qquad (16)$$

with $p(\ell)$ being the theoretical probabilities of observing a line of length ℓ . By using the scaling property of the correlation sum with the correlation entropy K_2 [65], $p(\ell)$ can be expressed in terms of K_2 , $p(\ell) = (1 - e^{-K_2}) e^{-K_2(\ell-1)}$, thus, we find a theoretical expression for the diagonal line length entropy [163]

$$ENTR_{\text{theo}} = K_2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - 1\right) - \ln\gamma, \qquad (17)$$

with $\gamma = (1 - e^{-K_2})$. For increasing K_2 , ENTR will decrease (Fig. 13). Eq. (17) holds only in the limit of $N \to \infty$, but in real world applications, we have finite time series lengths, i.e., $N \ll \infty$, thus, the upper limit in the sum of Eq. (16) is $\ell_{\max} \ll \infty$. This results in significant deviations of ENTR from the theoretical value in the weak chaotic regime, $0 \le K_2 \le 0.01$ (Fig. 13) and is especially important for real world applications with data set lengths < 5,000. In principle, it should be possible to get the "right" approximation by considering the length of the available data.



Fig. 13. Theoretical values of the diagonal line length entropy, Eq. (16), for different upper limits of the maximum encountered diagonal line ℓ_{max} . The case $\ell_{\text{max}} = \infty$ corresponds to the analytical expression in Eq. (17).

However, when calculating ENTR from RPs and comparing it with the approximated values derived from Eq. (16), we find strong discrepancies in particular for $K_2 < 0.3$ (Fig. 14). These differences remain also for many different parameter settings (e.g., very small ε) and different systems (the correction for border effects, as briefly discussed in Subsec. 2.6, also do not improve this negative result). Of course, the main problem when using real world data or even model flow data is that it is not trivial to estimate K_2 properly, which could be the potential reason for such strong deviation. But even for a very simplistic system like the logistic map, where we can analytically compute K_2 by the positive Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(r) = \langle \log(|r - 2rx|) \rangle$, the theoretical relationship as visible in Fig. 13 cannot be approximated.



Fig. 14. Relationship between ENTR and K_2 for the logistic map, calculated from time series of length N = 2,000 embedded in two dimensions with unity lag, a fixed recurrence threshold $\varepsilon = 0.05$, a minimum line length $\ell_{\min} = 2$, and the *kelo* correction applied. For lower choices of the recurrence thresholds the graphs looked similar and only for higher thresholds the agreements with the expected values got worse.

Addressing the following questions would be helful in order to make advances in transition and bifurcation detection as well as classifying regimes: (1) Further elaborate the relationships between structures in RPs (diagonal and vertical lines, recurrence times) and dynamical invariants; compare the different estimations based, e.g., on line length distributions [164, 165], recurrence rate [65], recurrence entropy [163], or recurrence times [73]. (2) Investigate the sampling effect on these relations [166] and clarify why some of these relations (such as the $ENTR - K_2$ -relation, Eq. (17) and similar the $DET - K_2$ -relation) do not match observational data. (3) A thorough study on the impact of the correction schemes [93] (see Subsec. 2.6) on the estimation of dynamical invariants is needed.

3.5 Significance tests for RQA

In cases where the experimental design allows the acquisition of distributions of RQA characteristics, it is possible to make statements about the significance of the results. In most passive experiment setups, as it is often the case in medical applications, astrophysics, or geoscience, this is not possible. Hypotheses testing on observations of a system should then be performed using known test models (which correspond to the null). Recent theoretical work which derived the theoretical values for RQA measures of specific systems (mainly stochastic systems) will help in evaluating and benchmarking results [68, 69]. However, this approach works only for specific null-hypotheses (e.g., testing against noise). For more general hypothesis testing, we will rely on *surrogate data*, an appropriate Monte Carlo sample of the underlying data for a given null hypothesis. Surrogates are generated by keeping characteristics of the observed system related to the null, but induce randomness at the same time (constrained randomisation) [167]. In the context of recurrence analysis this translates into the question of how to construct surrogate phase space trajectories, distance or recurrence matrices, which are consistent with the null. It would, thus, be beneficial

to construct surrogates of phase space trajectories in order to obtain distributions of corresponding RQA statistics, which could then be used for statistical testing.

A promising method is using twin surrogates [168], which constructs surrogates from (1) identifying twins in the phase space trajectory (points which share the same neighbourhood) and (2) randomly jump to one of the possible futures of the existing twins. The drawback is, of course, that for proper statistical testing we would seek around 1,000 surrogates or more and in the described method this number is determined by the total number of twins, which is a property of the data and is often too small.

Another idea for line based RQA statistics in the running window approach for transition detection is based on bootstrapping line structures [169]. To estimate the unknown variance of the diagonal line length distribution of a RP, surrogate line length distributions are bootstrapped from the cumulative line length distribution of all windows. Although this approach is working well in most cases, the resulting confidence intervals are sensitive to the number of bootstrapped lines. There is no objective way to determine this number, because the number of lines can vary between the windows.

Thus, there is still an urgent need for robust methods that construct RP surrogates, which preserve the basic properties (correlation structure) of, e.g., the RP or the underlying state space trajectory. This would affect all existing RQA measures and would allow to make statements about the statistical relevance a measured RQA statistic has, even in passive experiments with single runs.

3.6 Machine learning combined with recurrence analysis

Machine learning (ML) approaches become more and more accepted and used also in complex systems science. RPs and RQA are already used as features in ML applications mainly for classification purposes, but also automated feature extraction methods are increasingly used (Subsect. 2.9). The main question here is whether the RQA features, some of which have a relationship to dynamical invariants (i.e., have physical meaning), are a useful preprocessing step before applying a particular ML method for classification or prediction. Or whether suitable image feature extraction methods, such as CNNs are the way to go. Certainly, the computation of RQA features does not depend on too many free parameters and, thus, does not require any additional hyperparameter optimization or training. This is an important point, as multiple stacked ML methods easily become unmanageably complex models that are potentially prone to overfitting and additionally require a large amount of (stationary) training data. For the direct application of CNNs to the time series image (Fig. 12C) a sound study is also needed examining the difference between feeding a RP or the unthresholded distance matrix.

New directions in using ML approaches are time series based predictions using reservoir computing, which might benefit by applying concepts from RPs and the according recurrence networks.

The future developments with respect to ML and RPs will see further crossfertilisations. For example, ideas of time series imaging used for ML based classifications such as gramian summation fields and markov transition fields [170, 171] could provide new definitions for recurrences.

4 Conclusions

Methodical research on recurrence plots (RPs) and recurrence quantification analysis (RQA) is still a lively field. The last years have revealed a number of important new

solutions for specific research questions, but also gave some answers to more general challenges in RP based data analysis. Nevertheless, there are still further open ends and directions which should be considered in the future.

Acknowledgements

Supported by the DFG, projects MA4759/9-1 (Recurrence plot analysis of regime changes in dynamical systems) and MA4759/11-1 (Nonlinear empirical mode analysis of complex systems: Development of general approach and application in climate).

Data and Code

Code used to prepare the figures is available via Zenodo doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6623542.

A Appendix

A.1 Citations

To measure the number of citations per year for the basic, most cited works, a search query was placed at Web of Science (2022-05-04) using the DOIs of the paper [31–33, 2, 34, 35]. The search query for DOIs was

```
10.1016/j.physrep.2006.11.001 or 10.1209/0295-5075/4/9/004
or 10.1152/jappl.1994.76.2.965 or 10.1016/0375-9601(92)90426-M
or 10.1016/j.physrep.2018.10.005 or 10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033025
```

The search results are available via

https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/citation-report/bd5b7e1a-63b3-4345-9626-215d23f8e7e1-35998ece

A.2 Subjects

The database of publications (N = 3, 618 by May 2022) on or using RP based methods [36] is used to retrieve the Scopus subjects of them. This has been performed using a Python script to get this information via the Altmetric web service. Some of the Scopus subjects were summarised because of significant overlap (Tab. 1). A publication can cover multiple Scopus subjects, therefore, the information in Fig. 2B does not mean exclusive subjects per publication and the total sum of presented subjects does not correspond to the total number of publications.

A.3 Measuring the calculation time for recurrence analysis

We measured the calculation time for creating a RP and calculation of the standard RQA measures depending on data length N for the Rössler system with the standard parameters (a = 0.25, b = 0.25, and c = 4) [172] and a sampling time of $\Delta t = 0.05$. We used only the x-component of the Rössler system after removing the first 1,000 points as transients and applied a simple time delay embedding with m = 3 and $\tau = 6$. The RP and RQA calculations were implemented in MATLAB (Version R2022a), R

22

bjects

Summary subject	Subjects included
Health and Life Sciences	Health Sciences
	Medicine
	Health Professions
	Nursing
	Life Sciences
Physics and Astronomy	Physics and Astronomy
	Physical Sciences
Economics, Finance, Business	Decision Sciences
	Business, Management and Accounting
	Economics, Econometrics and Finance
Engineering	Engineering
	Energy
	Materials Science
Chemistry	Chemistry
	Chemical Engineering
	Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics
Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology	Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
	Immunology and Microbiology
Neuroscience, Psychology	Neuroscience
	Psychology
Environmental, Earth and Planetary Sciences	Environmental Science
	Earth and Planetary Sciences

(Version 4.0.2), Julia (Version 1.6.4), and Python (Version 3.8.8). For MATLAB we used the rp code v1.1 provided by [173], for R the crqa package v2.0.2 [174], for Julia the package DynamicalSystems.jl v1.4.0 (RecurrenceAnalysis v1.5.2) [40], for Python the pyunicorn v0.6.1 package [39], as well as the PyRQA v8.0.0 package [175]. The CRP Toolbox for MATLAB was not used, because the implementation is interwoven with a graphical user interface and, thus, the new rendering engine of MATLAB is strongly interfering and slowering the calculations since its introduction in 2014 [176].

The recurrence analysis was performed on the time series obtained from the Rössler system with growing length, starting with N = 200, increasing in steps to provide equidistant points along the x-axis in a log-log plot. The increase of length was stoped when it exceeded 100,000 or when the calculation time exceeded 30 sec (i.e., final time series had lengths 200, 237, 282, 335, 398, 473, 562, 668, 794, 944, 1,122, 1,334, 1,585, 1,884, 2,239, 2,661, 3,162, 3,758, 4,467, 5,309, 6,310, 7,499, 8,913, 10,s593, 12,589, 14,962, 17,783, 21,135, 25,119, 29,854, 35,481, 42,170, 50,119, 59,566, 70,795, 84,140, and, 100,000). For each selected length, the calculation time was measured 5 times and then averaged.

The calculations were performed on a 2.3 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i7 with 16GB RAM, except the calculations using the PyRQA package, which were performed on a Nvidia GPU Tesla V100 with OpenCL 1.2.

References

 R. Pánis, K. Adámek, and N. Marwan. Averaged recurrence quantification analysis. *European Physical Journal – Special Topics*, in press. doi: 10.1140/epjs/s11734-022-00686-4.

- N. Marwan, M. C. Romano, M. Thiel, and J. Kurths. Recurrence Plots for the Analysis of Complex Systems. *Physics Reports*, 438(5–6):237–329, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2006.11.001.
- M. Abe. Functional advantages of Lévy walks emerging near a critical point. In Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, volume 117, pages 24336– 24344, 2020. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2001548117.
- D. Angus, B. Watson, A. E. Smith, C. Gallois, and J. Wiles. Visualising Conversation Structure across Time: Insights into Effective Doctor-Patient Consultations. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6):e38014, 2012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038014.
- C. Austin, P. Curtin, A. Curtin, C. Gennings, M. Arora, K. Tammimies, J. Isaksson, C. Willfors, and S. Bolte. Dynamical properties of elemental metabolism distinguish attention deficit hyperactivity disorder from autism spectrum disorder. *Translational Psychiatry*, 9:238, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0567-6.
- M. C. Bisi and R. Stagni. Development of gait motor control: what happens after a sudden increase in height during adolescence? *Biomedical Engineering* Online, 15(1):47, 2016. doi: 10.1186/s12938-016-0159-0.
- W. J. Bosl, H. Tager-Flusberg, and C. A. Nelson. EEG Analytics for Early Detection of Autism Spectrum Disorder: A data-driven approach. *Scientific Reports*, 8:6828, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24318-x.
- W. Chen, N. Takahashi, Y. Hirata, J. Ronald, S. Porco, S. Davis, D. Nusinow, S. Kay, and P. Mas. A mobile ELF4 delivers circadian temperature information from shoots to roots. *Nature Plants*, 6(4):416–426, 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41477-020-0634-2.
- P. Curtin, C. Austin, A. Curtin, C. Gennings, M. Arora, K. Tammimies, C. Willfors, S. Berggren, P. Siper, D. Rai, K. Meyering, A. Kolevzon, J. Mollon, A. S. David, G. Lewis, S. Zammit, L. Heilbrun, R. F. Palmer, R. O. Wright, S. Bölte, and A. Reichenberg. Dynamical features in fetal and postnatal zinc-copper metabolic cycles predict the emergence of autism spectrum disorder. *Science Advances*, 4(5):eaat1293, 2018. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aat1293.
- R. V. Donner, V. Stolbova, G. Balasis, J. F. Donges, M. Georgiou, S. M. Potirakis, and J. Kurths. Temporal organization of magnetospheric fluctuations unveiled by recurrence patterns in the Dst index. *Chaos*, 28(8):085716, 2018. doi: 10.1063/1.5024792.
- H. Drews, S. Wallot, P. Brysch, H. Berger-Johannsen, S. Weinhold, P. Mitkidis, P. Baier, J. Lechinger, A. Roepstorff, and R. Göder. Bed-Sharing in Couples Is Associated With Increased and Stabilized REM Sleep and Sleep-Stage Synchronization. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11:583, 2020. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00583.
- D. Eroglu, F. H. McRobie, I. Ozken, T. Stemler, K.-H. Wyrwoll, S. F. M. Breitenbach, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. See-saw relationship of the Holocene East Asian-Australian summer monsoon. *Nature Communications*, 7:12929, 2016. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12929.
- M. Frasch, C. Herry, Y. Niu, and D. Giussani. First evidence that intrinsic fetal heart rate variability exists and is affected by hypoxic pregnancy. *Journal of Physiology*, 598(2):249–263, 2020. doi: 10.1113/JP278773.
- M. Fukino, Y. Hirata, and K. Aihara. Coarse-graining time series data: Recurrence plot of recurrence plots and its application for music. *Chaos*, 26(2):023116, 2016. doi: 10.1063/1.4941371.
- E. Gandon, T. Nonaka, and J. A. Endler R. Sonabend. Assessing the influence of culture on craft skills: A quantitative study with expert Nepalese potters. *PLoS ONE*, 15(10):e0239139, 2020. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0239139.
- 16. T. Hachijo, H. Gotoda, T. Nishizawa, and J. Kazawa. Experimental study on early detection of cascade flutter in turbo jet fans using combined methodology of symbolic dynamics, dynamical systems theory, and machine learning. *Journal*

of Applied Physics, 127(23):234901, 2020. doi: 10.1063/1.5143373.

- I. Konvalinka, D. Xygalatas, J. Bulbulia, U. Schjodt, E. M. Jegindø, S. Wallot, G. C. Van Orden, and A. Roepstorff. Synchronized arousal between performers and related spectators in a fire-walking ritual. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(20):8514–8519, 2011. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1016955108.
- T. Kovacs. Recurrence network analysis of exoplanetary observables. *Chaos*, 29 (7):071105, 2019. doi: 10.1063/1.5109564.
- M. Lang, Krátký J., J. H. Shaver, D. Jerotijević, and D. Xygalatas. Effects of Anxiety on Spontaneous Ritualized Behavior. *Current Biology*, 25(14):1892– 1897, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.05.049.
- N. Malik. Uncovering transitions in paleoclimate time series and the climate driven demise of an ancient civilization. *Chaos*, 30(8):083108, 2020. doi: 10. 1063/5.0012059.
- J. Michael, K. Bogart, K. Tylén, J. Krueger, M. Bech, J. R. Ostergaard, and R. Fusaroli. Training in compensatory strategies enhances rapport in interactions involving people with Möbius syndrome. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 6:213, 2015. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2015.00213.
- A. Paxton and R. Dale. Interpersonal Movement Synchrony Responds to Highand Low-Level Conversational Constraints. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8:1135, 2017. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01135.
- E. Pitsik, N. Frolov, K. H. Kraemer, V. Grubov, V. Maksimenko, J. Kurths, and A. Hramov. Motor execution reduces EEG signals complexity: Recurrence quantification analysis study featured. *Chaos*, 30:023111, 2020. doi: 10.1063/1. 5136246.
- S. Shima, K. Nakamura, H. Gotoda, Y. Ohmichi, and S. Matsuyama. Formation mechanism of high-frequency combustion oscillations in a model rocket engine combustor. *Physics of Fluids*, 33(6):064108, 2021. doi: 10.1063/5.0048785.
- Y. Shinchi, N. Takeda, H. Gotoda, T. Shoji, and S. Yoshida. Early Detection of Thermoacoustic Combustion Oscillations in Staged Multisector Combustor. *AIAA Journal*, 59(10):4086–4093, 2021. doi: 10.2514/1.J060268.
- J. Twose, G. Licitra, H. McConchie, K. Lam, and J. Killestein. Early-warning signals for disease activity in patients diagnosed with multiple sclerosis based on keystroke dynamics. *Chaos*, 30(11):0022031, 2020. doi: 10.1063/5.0022031.
- M. Ushio, C.-H. Hsieh, R. Masuda, E. R. Deyle, H. Ye, C.-W. Chang, G. Sugihara, and M. Kondoh. Fluctuating interaction network and time-varying stability of a natural fish community. *Nature*, 554(7692):360–363, 2018. doi: 10.1038/nature25504.
- G. Varni, G. Dubus, S. Oksanen, G. Volpe, M. Fabiani, R. Bresin, J. Kleimola, V. Välimäki, and A. Camurri. Interactive sonification of synchronisation of motoric behaviour in social active listening to music with mobile devices. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 5(3–4):157–173, 2012. doi: 10.1007/ s12193-011-0079-z.
- 29. T. Westerhold, N. Marwan, A. J. Drury, D. Liebrand, C. Agnini, E. Anagnostou, J. S. K. Barnet, S. M. Bohaty, D. De Vleeschouwer, F. Florindo, T. Frederichs, D. A. Hodell, A. E. Holbourn, D. Kroon, V. Lauretano, K. Littler, L. J. Lourens, M. Lyle, H. Pälike, U. Röhl, J. Tian, R. H. Wilkens, P. A. Wilson, and J. C. Zachos. An astronomically dated record of Earth's climate and its predictability over the last 66 million years. *Science*, 369(6509):1383–1387, 2020. doi: 10.1126/science.aba6853.
- J. Zubek, K. Ziembowicz, M. Pokropski, P. Gwiazdzinski, M. Denkiewicz, and A. Boros. Rhythms of the day: How electronic media and daily routines influence mood during COVID-19 pandemic. *Applied Psychology – Health and Well-Being*, in press. doi: 10.1111/aphw.12317.

- J.-P. Eckmann, S. Oliffson Kamphorst, and D. Ruelle. Recurrence Plots of Dynamical Systems. *Europhysics Letters*, 4(9):973–977, 1987. doi: 10.1209/ 0295-5075/4/9/004.
- Y. Zou, R. V. Donner, N. Marwan, J. F. Donges, and J. Kurths. Complex network approaches to nonlinear time series analysis. *Physics Reports*, 787:1– 97, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2018.10.005.
- R. V. Donner, Y. Zou, J. F. Donges, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Recurrence networks – A novel paradigm for nonlinear time series analysis. *New Journal of Physics*, 12(3):033025, 2010. doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033025.
- C. L. Webber, Jr. and J. P. Zbilut. Dynamical assessment of physiological systems and states using recurrence plot strategies. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 76(2):965–973, 1994. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1994.76.2.965.
- J. P. Zbilut and C. L. Webber, Jr. Embeddings and delays as derived from quantification of recurrence plots. *Physics Letters A*, 171(3–4):199–203, 1992. doi: 10.1016/0375-9601(92)90426-M.
- 36. Recurrence Plots and Cross Recurrence Plots: A Comprehensive Bibliography About RPs, RQA And Their Applications. http://www.recurrence-plot.tk/ bibliography.php, 2022.
- 37. Recurrence Plots and Cross Recurrence Plots: Software/ Programmes. http: //www.recurrence-plot.tk/programmes.php, 2022.
- 38. S. Spiegel, D. Schultz, and N. Marwan. Approximate Recurrence Quantification Analysis (aRQA) in Code of Best Practice. In C. L. Webber, Jr., C. Ioana, and N. Marwan, editors, *Recurrence Plots and Their Quantifications: Expanding Horizons*, pages 113–136. Springer, Cham, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-29922-8_6.
- 39. J. F. Donges, J. Heitzig, B. Beronov, M. Wiedermann, J. Runge, Q. Y. Feng, L. Tupikina, V. Stolbova, R. V. Donner, N. Marwan, H. A. Dijkstra, and J. Kurths. Unified functional network and nonlinear time series analysis for complex systems science: The pyunicorn package. *Chaos*, 25:113101, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4934554.
- G. Datseris. Dynamicalsystems.jl: A julia software library for chaos and nonlinear dynamics. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(23):598, mar 2018. doi: 10.21105/joss.00598.
- D. Schultz, S. Spiegel, N. Marwan, and S. Albayrak. Approximation of diagonal line based measures in recurrence quantification analysis. *Physics Letters A*, 379 (14–15):997–1011, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2015.01.033.
- R. V. Donner, M. Small, J. F. Donges, N. Marwan, Y. Zou, R. Xiang, and J. Kurths. Recurrence-based time series analysis by means of complex network methods. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 21(4):1019– 1046, 2011. doi: 10.1142/S0218127411029021.
- 43. G. Mayer-Kress and A. Hübler. Time Evolution of Local Complexity Measures and Aperiodic Perturbations of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems. In N. B. Abraham, A. M. Albano A.M., A. Passamante, and P. E. Rapp, editors, *Measures* of Complexity and Chaos, pages 155–171. Plenum Press, New York, 1989. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-0623-9_18.
- 44. J. P. Zbilut, M. Koebbe, H. Loeb, and G. Mayer-Kress. Use of Recurrence Plots in the Analysis of Heart Beat Intervals. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference* on Computers in Cardiology, Chicago, 1990, pages 263–266. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1990. doi: 10.1109/CIC.1990.144211.
- J. M. Choi, B. H. Bae, and S. Y. Kim. Divergence in perpendicular recurrence plot; quantification of dynamical divergence from short chaotic time series. *Physics Letters A*, 263(4–6):299–306, 1999. doi: 10.1016/S0375-9601(99)00751-3.

- S. Horai, T. Yamada, and K. Aihara. Determinism Analysis with Iso-Directional Recurrence Plots. *IEEE Transactions - Institute of Electrical Engineers of Japan* C, 122(1):141–147, 2002. doi: 10.1541/ieejeiss1987.122.1_141.
- 47. A. Groth. Visualization and detection of coupling in time series by order recurrence plots. *Preprint series of the DFG priority program 1114 "Mathematical methods for time series analysis and digital image processing*", 67, December 2004.
- S. Lu, S. Oberst, G. Zhang, and Z. Luo. Novel Order Patterns Recurrence Plot-Based Quantification Measures to Unveil Deterministic Dynamics from Stochastic Processes. In O. Valenzuela, F. Rojas, H. Pomares, and I. Rojas, editors, *Theory and Applications of Time Series Analysis*, pages 57–70. Springer, Cham, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-26036-1 5.
- D. Eroglu, T. K. D. Peron, N. Marwan, F. A. Rodrigues, L. d. F. Costa, M. Sebek, I. Z. Kiss, and J. Kurths. Entropy of weighted recurrence plots. *Physical Review E*, 90:042919, 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.90.042919.
- Y. Lanoiselée, J. Grimes, Z. Koszegi, and D. Calebiro. Detecting transient trapping from a single trajectory: A structural approach. *Entropy*, 23(8):1044, 2021. doi: 10.3390/e23081044.
- 51. C. Ioana, A. Digulescu, A. Serbanescu, I. Candel, and F.-M. Birleanu. Recent Advances in Non-stationary Signal Processing Based on the Concept of Recurrence Plot Analysis. In N. Marwan, M. A. Riley, A. Giuliani, and C. L. Webber, Jr., editors, *Translational Recurrences – From Mathematical Theory to Real-World Applications*, volume 103, pages 75–93. Springer, Cham, 2014. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-09531-8_5.
- 52. C. Brandt. Recurrence Quantification Analysis as an Approach for Ultrasonic Testing of Porous Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymers. In C. L. Webber, Jr., C. Ioana, and N. Marwan, editors, *Recurrence Plots and Their Quantifications: Expanding Horizons*, pages 355–377. Springer, Cham, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-29922-8 19.
- 53. O. Meste, S. Zeemering, J. Karel, T. Lankveld, U. Schotten, H. Crijns, R. Peeters, and P. Bonizzi. Noninvasive recurrence quantification analysis predicts atrial fibrillation recurrence in persistent patients undergoing electrical cardioversion. In *Proceedings of the Computing in Cardiology Conference (CinC* 2016), volume 43, pages 677–680, 2017. doi: 10.22489/CinC.2016.199-342.
- 54. S. Suzuki, Y. Hirata, and K. Aihara. Definition of distance for marked point process data and its application to recurrence plot-based analysis of exchange tick data of foreign currencies. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 20(11):3699–3708, 2010. doi: 10.1142/S0218127410027970.
- A. Banerjee, B. Goswami, Y. Hirata, D. Eroglu, B. Merz, J. Kurths, and N. Marwan. Recurrence analysis of extreme event-like data. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, 28:213–229, 2021. doi: 10.5194/npg-28-213-2021.
- C. Ozdes and D. Eroglu. Transformation Cost Spectrum for Irregularly Sampled Time Series. *European Physical Journal – Special Topics*, in press. doi: 10.1140/ epjs/s11734-022-00512-x.
- Y. Hirata and K. Aihara. Edit distance for marked point processes revisited: An implementation by binary integer programming. *Chaos*, 25(12):123117, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4938186.
- 58. I. Ozken, D. Eroglu, S. F. M. Breitenbach, N. Marwan, L. Tan, U. Tirnakli, and J. Kurths. Recurrence plot analysis of irregularly sampled data. *Physical Review E*, 98:052215, 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.98.052215.
- B. Goswami, N. Boers, A. Rheinwalt, N. Marwan, J. Heitzig, S. F. M. Breitenbach, and J. Kurths. Abrupt transitions in time series with uncertainties. *Nature Communications*, 9:48, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02456-6.

- 60. J. Donath. Recurrence quantification analysis of probabilistic recurrence plots. Masters thesis, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, 2019.
- T. D. Pham. Fuzzy recurrence plots. *Europhysics Letters*, 116(5):50008, 2016. doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/116/50008.
- T. D. Pham. Fuzzy weighted recurrence networks of time series. *Physica A*, 513: 409–417, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2018.09.035.
- 63. T. Pham and F. Al. Fuzzy cross recurrence analysis and tensor decomposition of major-depression time-series data. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Science, E-learning and Information Systems (DATA'21)*, pages 28–34, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3460620.3460626.
- P. Agustí, V. J. Traver, M. J. Marin-Jimenez, and F. Pla. Exploring Alternative Spatial and Temporal Dense Representations for Action Recognition. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6855:364–371, 2011. doi: 10.1007/ 978-3-642-23678-5 43.
- P. Grassberger and I. Procaccia. Estimation of the Kolmogorov entropy from a chaotic signal. *Physical Review A*, 9(1–2):2591–2593, 1983. doi: 10.1103/ PhysRevA.28.2591.
- P. Grassberger. Generalized Dimensions of Strange Attractors. *Physics Letters* A, 97(6):227–230, 1983. doi: 10.1016/0375-9601(83)90753-3.
- M. Grendár, J. Majerová, and V. Špitalský. Strong laws for recurrence quantification analysis. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 23(8):1350147, 2013. doi: 10.1142/S0218127413501472.
- S. Ramdani, F. Bouchara, J. Lagarde, and A. Lesne. Recurrence plots of discretetime Gaussian stochastic processes. *Physica A*, 330:17–31, 2016. doi: 10.1016/ j.physd.2016.04.017.
- S. Ramdani, A. Boyer, S. Caron, F. Bonnetblanc, F. Bouchara, H. Duffau, and A. Lesne. Parametric recurrence quantification analysis of autoregressive processes for pattern recognition in multichannel electroencephalographic data. *Pat*tern Recognition, 109:107572, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2020.107572.
- M. Thiel, M. C. Romano, and J. Kurths. Analytical Description of Recurrence Plots of white noise and chaotic processes. *Izvestija vyssich ucebnych zavedenij/ Prikladnaja nelinejnaja dinamika – Applied Nonlinear Dynamics*, 11(3):20–30, 2003.
- T. Aparicio, E. F. Pozo, and D. Saura. Detecting determinism using recurrence quantification analysis: Three test procedures. *Journal of Economic Behavior* & Organization, 65(3–4):768–787, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2006.03.005.
- Y. Hirata and K. Aihara. Statistical tests for serial dependence and laminarity on recurrence plots. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 21(4): 1077–1084, 2011. doi: 10.1142/S0218127411028908.
- 73. M. S. Baptista, E. J. Ngamga, P. R. F. Pinto, M. Brito, and J. Kurths. Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy from recurrence times. *Physics Letters A*, 374(9): 1135–1140, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2009.12.057.
- Y. Hirata. Recurrence plots for characterizing random dynamical systems. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 94:105552, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2020.105552.
- M. V. Caballero-Pintado, M. Matilla-García, and M. R. Marín. Symbolic recurrence plots to analyze dynamical systems. *Chaos*, 28(6):063112, 2018. doi: 10.1063/1.5026743.
- 76. Y. Hirata and M. Shiro. Detecting nonlinear stochastic systems using two independent hypothesis tests. *Physical Review E*, 100(2):022203, 2019. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022203.
- 77. J. Kalemkerian and D. Fernández. An independence test based on recurrence rates. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 178:104624, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.jmva.

2020.104624.

- M. Tanio, Y. Hirata, and H. Suzuki. Reconstruction of driving forces through recurrence plots. *Physics Letters A*, 373(23–24):2031–2040, 2009. doi: 10.1016/ j.physleta.2009.03.069.
- M. Riedl, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Visualizing driving forces of spatially extended systems using the recurrence plot framework. *European Physical Journal* - Special Topics, 226(15):3273–3285, 2017. doi: 10.1140/epjst/e2016-60376-9.
- Y. Hirata and K. Aihara. Deep Learning for Nonlinear Time Series: Examples for Inferring Slow Driving Forces. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 30(15):2050226, 2020. doi: 10.1142/S0218127420502260.
- M. C. Casdagli. Recurrence plots revisited. *Physica D*, 108(1–2):12–44, 1997. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(97)82003-9.
- K. Klimaszewska and J. J. Żebrowski. Detection of the type of intermittency using characteristic patterns in recurrence plots. *Physical Review E*, 80:026214, 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.80.026214.
- P. Kasthuri, I. Pavithran, A. Krishnan, S. A. Pawar, R. I. Sujith, R. Gejji, W. Anderson, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Recurrence analysis of slow-fast systems. *Chaos*, 30:063152, 2020. doi: 10.1063/1.5144630.
- E.M. Izhikevich. Simple model of spiking neurons. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 14(6):1569–1572, nov 2003. ISSN 1045-9227. doi: 10.1109/TNN.2003. 820440.
- J. H. Feldhoff, R. V. Donner, J. F. Donges, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Geometric detection of coupling directions by means of inter-system recurrence networks. *Physics Letters A*, 376(46):3504–3513, 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2012.10.008.
- M. C. Romano, M. Thiel, J. Kurths, and W. von Bloh. Multivariate Recurrence Plots. *Physics Letters A*, 330(3–4):214–223, 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2004. 07.066.
- 87. A. M. T. Ramos, A. Builes-Jaramillo, G. Poveda, B. Goswami, E. E. N. Macau, J. Kurths, and N. Marwan. Recurrence measure of conditional dependence and applications. *Physical Review E*, 95:052206, 2017. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.95. 052206.
- E. Peluso, T. Craciunescu, and A. Murari. A refinement of recurrence analysis to determine the time delay of causality in presence of external perturbations. *Entropy*, 22(8):865, 2020. doi: 10.3390/e22080865.
- B. Goswami, N. Marwan, G. Feulner, and J. Kurths. How do global temperature drivers influence each other? A network perspective using recurrences. *European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 222:861–873, 2013. doi: 10.1140/epjst/e2013-01889-8.
- 90. T. Braun, V. R. Unni, R. I. Sujith, J. Kurths, and N. Marwan. Detection of dynamical regime transitions with lacunarity as a multiscale recurrence quantification measure. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 104:3955–3973, 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11071-021-06457-5.
- 91. P. beim Graben and A. Hutt. Detecting Recurrence Domains of Dynamical Systems by Symbolic Dynamics. *Physical Review Letters*, 110(15):154101, 2013. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.154101.
- 92. H. Yang and Y. Chen. Heterogeneous recurrence monitoring and control of nonlinear stochastic processes. *Chaos*, 24:013138, 2014. doi: 10.1063/1.4869306.
- K. H. Kraemer and N. Marwan. Border effect corrections for diagonal line based recurrence quantification analysis measures. *Physics Letters A*, 383(34):125977, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2019.125977.
- C. L. Webber, Jr. Alternate entropy computations by applying recurrence matrix masking. *Entropy*, 24(1):16, 2022. doi: 10.3390/e24010016.

- 95. F. Censi, G. Calcagnini, and S. Cerutti. Proposed corrections for the quantification of coupling patterns by recurrence plots. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 51(5):856–859, 2004. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2004.826594.
- 96. C. Ahlstrom, P. Hult, and P. Ask. Thresholding distance plots using true recurrence points. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2006)*, volume 3, pages III688–III691, 2006. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP.2006.1660747.
- A. Schultz, Y. Zou, N. Marwan, and M. T. Turvey. Local Minima-based Recurrence Plots for Continuous Dynamical Systems. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 21(4):1065–1075, 2011. doi: 10.1142/S0218127411029045.
- 98. D. Wendi and N. Marwan. Extended recurrence plot and quantification for noisy continuous dynamical systems. *Chaos*, 28(8):085722, 2018. doi: 10.1063/ 1.5025485.
- D. B. Vasconcelos, S. R. Lopes, R. L. Viana, and J. Kurths. Spatial recurrence plots. *Physical Review E*, 73:056207, 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.73.056207.
- 100. A. Facchini, C. Mocenni, and A. Vicino. Generalized recurrence plots for the analysis of images from spatially distributed systems. *Physica D*, 238(2):162– 169, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.physd.2008.09.013.
- 101. N. Marwan, J. Kurths, and P. Saparin. Generalised Recurrence Plot Analysis for Spatial Data. *Physics Letters A*, 360(4–5):545–551, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j. physleta.2006.08.058.
- 102. M. Riedl, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Extended generalized recurrence plot quantification of complex circular patterns. *European Physical Journal B*, 90 (58):1–9, 2017. doi: 10.1140/epjb/e2017-70560-7.
- 103. C. B. Chen, H. Yang, and S. Kumara. Recurrence network modeling and analysis of spatial data. *Chaos*, 28(8):085714, 2018. doi: 10.1063/1.5024917.
- 104. M. Riedl, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Multiscale recurrence analysis of spatiotemporal data. *Chaos*, 25:123111, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4937164.
- 105. P. Bonizzi, R. Peeters, S. Zeemering, A. van Hunnik, O. Meste, and J. Karel. Detection of Spatio-Temporal Recurrent Patterns in Dynamical Systems. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 5(26):1–13, 2019. doi: 10.3389/fams. 2019.00036.
- 106. N. Marwan. How to avoid potential pitfalls in recurrence plot based data analysis. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 21(4):1003–1017, 2011. doi: 10.1142/S0218127411029008.
- 107. I. Vega, C. Schütte, and T. O. F. Conrad. Finding metastable states in realworld time series with recurrence networks. *Physica A*, 445:1–17, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2015.10.041.
- 108. K. H. Kraemer, R. V. Donner, J. Heitzig, and N. Marwan. Recurrence threshold selection for obtaining robust recurrence characteristics in different embedding dimensions. *Chaos*, 28(8):085720, 2018. doi: 10.1063/1.5024914.
- 109. J. Medrano, A. Kheddar, A. Lesne, and S. Ramdani. Radius selection using kernel density estimation for the computation of nonlinear measures. *Chaos*, 31 (8):083131, 2021. doi: 10.1063/5.0055797.
- 110. I. Andreadis, A. Fragkou, and T. Karakasidis. On a topological criterion to select a recurrence threshold. *Chaos*, 30(1):013124, 2020. doi: 10.1063/1.5116766.
- 111. T. L. Prado, G. Corso, G. Z. Santos, R. C. Budzinski, B. R. R. Boaretto, F. A. S. Ferrari, E. E. N. Macau, and S. R. Lopes. Maximum entropy principle in recurrence plot analysis on stochastic and chaotic systems. *Chaos*, 30(4):043123, 2020. doi: 10.1063/1.5125921.
- 112. P. beim Graben, K. K. Sellers, F. Fröhlich, and A. Hutt. Optimal estimation of recurrence structures from time series. *Europhysics Letters*, 114(3):38003, 2016. doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/114/38003.

- 113. D. Eroglu, N. Marwan, S. Prasad, and J. Kurths. Finding recurrence networks' threshold adaptively for a specific time series. *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, 21:1085–1092, 2014. doi: 10.5194/npg-21-1085-2014.
- 114. J. F. Donges, J. Heitzig, R. V. Donner, and J. Kurths. Analytical framework for recurrence network analysis of time series. *Physical Review E*, 85:046105, 2012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.85.046105.
- 115. A. Estebsari and R. Rajabi. Single residential load forecasting using deep learning and image encoding techniques. *Electronics*, 9(1):68, 2020. doi: 10.3390/electronics9010068.
- 116. O. Herrera and A. Kuri. An approach to support vector regression with genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Mexican International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, MICAI*, pages 178–186, 2006. doi: 10.1109/MICAI.2006.8.
- 117. J. Mitra, P. K. Mundra, B. D. Kulkarni, and V. K. Jayaraman. Using Recurrence Quantification Analysis Descriptors for Protein Sequence Classification with Support Vector Machines. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, 25(3):289–297, 2007. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2007.10507177.
- 118. M. Mohebbi and H. Ghassemian. Prediction of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation using recurrence plot-based features of the RR-interval signal. *Physiological Measurement*, 32(8):1147, 2011. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/32/8/010.
- 119. A. Malekzadeh, A. Zare, M. Yaghoobi, and R. Alizadehsani. Automatic diagnosis of epileptic seizures in EEG signals using fractal dimension features and convolutional autoencoder method. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 5(4): 78, 2021. doi: 10.3390/bdcc5040078.
- 120. M. Dahmani and M. Guerti. Recurrence quantification analysis of glottal signal as non linear tool for pathological voice assessment and classification. *International Arab Journal of Information Technology*, 17(6):857–866, 2020. doi: 10.34028/iajit/17/6/4.
- 121. S. Vajiha Begum and M. Pushpa Rani. Classification of gait dynamics in neurodegenerative disease patients using machine learning techniques. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(2):6250-6254, 2020. URL http://www.ijstr.org/paper-references.php?ref=IJSTR-0220-30860.
- 122. Y. X. Yang, Z. Gao, X. M. Wang, Y. L. Li, J. W. Han, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. A recurrence quantification analysis-based channel-frequency convolutional neural network for emotion recognition from EEG. *Chaos*, 28(8):085724, 2018. doi: 10.1063/1.5023857.
- 123. L. Kirichenko, T. Radivilova, and V. Bulakh. Classification of Fractal Time Series Using Recurrence Plots. In Proceedings of the International Scientific-Practical Conference: Problems of Infocommunications. Science and Technology (PIC S&T 2018), pages 719–724, 2018. doi: 10.1109/INFOCOMMST.2018. 8632010.
- 124. L. Kirichenko, T. Radivilova, and V. Bulakh. Binary classification of fractal time series by machine learning methods. *Lecture Notes in Computational Intelligence* and Decision Making, 1020:701–711, 2020. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-26474-1 49.
- 125. Y. Yang, Z. Gao, Y. Li, Q. Cai, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. A Complex Network-Based Broad Learning System for Detecting Driver Fatigue From EEG Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 51(9), 2021. doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2019.2956022.
- 126. J. Bi, H. Li, and Z. Fan. Tourism demand forecasting with time series imaging: A deep learning model. Annals of Tourism Research, 90:103255, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.annals.2021.103255.
- 127. P. Montero-Manso, G. Athanasopoulos, R. J. Hyndman, and T. S. Talagala. FFORMA: Feature-based forecast model averaging. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36(1):86–92, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.02.011. M4 Com-

petition.

- X. Li, Y. Kang, and F. Li. Forecasting with time series imaging. Expert Systems with Applications, 160:113680, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113680.
- 129. N. Hatami, Y. Gavet, and J. Debayle. Classification of time-series images using deep convolutional neural networks. *Proceedings of SPIE*, 10696:106960Y, 2018. doi: 10.1117/12.2309486.
- 130. J. Nam and J. Kang. Classification of chaotic signals of the recurrence matrix using a convolutional neural network and verification through the lyapunov exponent. *Applied Sciences*, 11(1):1–14, 2021. doi: 10.3390/app11010077.
- J. Nam and J. Kang. Classification of chaotic squeak and rattle vibrations by cnn using recurrence pattern. Sensors, 21(23):8054, 2021. doi: 10.3390/s21238054.
- 132. L. Kirichenko, T. Radivilova, and J. Stepanenko. Applying recurrence plots to classify time series. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Systems (COLINS2021)*, volume 1, pages 1770–1780, 2021. URL http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2870/paper128.pdf.
- 133. Y. Chen, S. Su, and H. Yang. Convolutional Neural Network Analysis of Recurrence Plots for Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 30(1):2050002, 2020. doi: 10.1142/S0218127420500029.
- 134. D. Seo, J. Ahn, and H. Nam. Comparison of CNN Architectures using RP Algorithm for Burst Signal Detection. In *International Conference on ICT Con*vergence, volume 2020-October, pages 809–812, 2020. doi: 10.1109/ICTC49870. 2020.9289320.
- 135. J. Seon, Y. Sun, S. Kim, and J. Kim. Time-lapse image method for classifying appliances in nonintrusive load monitoring. *Energies*, 14(22):7630, 2021. doi: 10.3390/en14227630.
- 136. Y. Cui, W. Wang, Y. Liu, P. Fuhr, and M. Morales-Rodriguez. Spatio-Temporal Synchrophasor Data Characterization for Mitigating False Data Injection in Smart Grids. In *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PESGM* 2019), page 8973586, 2019. doi: 10.1109/PESGM40551.2019.8973586.
- 137. W. Maass, T. Natschläger, and H. Markram. Real-Time Computing Without Stable States: A New Framework for Neural Computation Based on Perturbations. *Neural Computation*, 14(11):2531–2560, 2002. doi: 10.1162/ 089976602760407955.
- 138. J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott. Model-Free Prediction of Large Spatiotemporally Chaotic Systems from Data: A Reservoir Computing Approach. *Physical Review Letters*, 120(2):024102, 2018. doi: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.120.024102.
- 139. B. Thorne, T. Jüngling, M. Small, D. Corrêa, and A. Zaitouny. Reservoir time series analysis: Using the response of complex dynamical systems as a universal indicator of change. *Chaos*, 32(3):033109, 2022. doi: 10.1063/5.0082122.
- 140. G. Lee and C. Loo. On the Post Hoc Explainability of Optimized Self-Organizing Reservoir Network for Action Recognition. *Sensors*, 22(5):1905, 2022. doi: 10. 3390/s22051905.
- 141. E. Garcia-Ceja, M. Z. Uddin, and J. Torresen. Classification of Recurrence Plots' Distance Matrices with a Convolutional Neural Network for Activity Recognition. *Proceedia Computer Science*, 130:157–163, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018. 04.025.
- 142. K. H. Kraemer, G. Datseris, J. Kurths, I. Z. Kiss, J. L. Ocampo-Espindola, and N. Marwan. A unified and automated approach to attractor reconstruction. *New Journal of Physics*, 23:033017, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/abe336.
- 143. M. Casdagli, S. Eubank, J. D. Farmer, and J. Gibson. State space reconstruction in the presence of noise. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 51(1):52 – 98, 1991. doi: 10.1016/0167-2789(91)90222-U.

- 144. J. F. Gibson, J. D. Farmer, M. Casdagli, and S. Eubank. An analytic approach to practical state space reconstruction. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 57 (1):1 – 30, 1992. doi: 10.1016/0167-2789(92)90085-2.
- 145. L. C. Uzal, G. L. Grinblat, and P. F. Verdes. Optimal reconstruction of dynamical systems: A noise amplification approach. *Physical Review E*, 84:016223, Jul 2011. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.84.016223.
- 146. C. Nichkawde. Optimal state-space reconstruction using derivatives on projected manifold. *Physical Review E*, 87:022905, Feb 2013. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.87. 022905.
- 147. K. H. Kraemer, M. Gelbrecht, I. Pavithran, R. I. Sujith, and N. Marwan. Optimal state space reconstruction via Monte Carlo Decision Tree Search. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 108:1525–1545, 2022. doi: 10.1007/s11071-022-07280-2.
- 148. K. Judd and A. Mees. Embedding as a modeling problem. *Physica D*, 120(3): 273–286, 1998. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(98)00089-X.
- 149. D. Holstein and H. Kantz. Optimal markov approximations and generalized embeddings. *Physical Review E*, 79:056202, May 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE. 79.056202.
- 150. E. Bradley and H. Kantz. Nonlinear time-series analysis revisited. Chaos, 25: 097610, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4917289.
- 151. J. Garland and E. Bradley. Prediction in projection. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 25(12):123108, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4936242.
- 152. D. Wendi, N. Marwan, and B. Merz. In search of determinism-sensitive region to avoid artefacts in recurrence plots. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 28(1):1850007, 2018. doi: 10.1142/S0218127418500074.
- 153. M. B. Kennel, R. Brown, and H. D. I. Abarbanel. Determining embedding dimension for phase-space reconstruction using a geometrical construction. *Physical Review A*, 45:3403–3411, Mar 1992. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.45.3403.
- 154. M. B. Kennel and H. D. I. Abarbanel. False neighbors and false strands: A reliable minimum embedding dimension algorithm. *Physical Review E*, 66:026209, Aug 2002. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.66.026209.
- 155. L. Cao. Practical method for determining the minimum embedding dimension of a scalar time series. *Physica D*, 110(1):43–50, 1997. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(97) 00118-8.
- 156. R. Hegger and H. Kantz. Improved false nearest neighbor method to detect determinism in time series data. *Physical Review E*, 60:4970–4973, Oct 1999. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.60.4970.
- 157. A. Krakovská, K. Mezeiová, and H. Budáčová. Use of false nearest neighbours for selecting variables and embedding parameters for state space reconstruction. *Journal of Complex Systems*, 2015:932750, 2015. doi: 10.1155/2015/932750.
- 158. G. Sugihara, R. May, H. Ye, C. Hsieh, E. Deyle, M. Fogarty, and S. Munch. Detecting causality in complex ecosystems. *Science*, 338(6106):496–500, 2012. doi: 10.1126/science.1227079.
- 159. Y. Zou, M. C. Romano, M. Thiel, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Inferring Indirect Coupling by Means of Recurrences. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 21(4):1099–1111, 2011. doi: 10.1142/S0218127411029033.
- 160. K. Schiecke, B. Pester, M. Feucht, L. Leistritz, and H. Witte. Convergent cross mapping: Basic concept, influence of estimation parameters and practical application. In 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC 2015), pages 7418–7421, 2015. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2015.7320106.
- 161. M. Abid and G. Lefebvre. Improving indoor geomagnetic field fingerprinting using recurrence plot-based convolutional neural networks. *Journal of Location Based Services*, 15(1):61–87, 2021. doi: 10.1080/17489725.2020.1856428.

- 162. L. Matassini, H. Kantz, J. A. Hołyst, and R. Hegger. Optimizing of recurrence plots for noise reduction. *Physical Review E*, 65(2):021102, 2002. doi: 10.1103/ PhysRevE.65.021102.
- 163. T. K. March, S. C. Chapman, and R. O. Dendy. Recurrence plot statistics and the effect of embedding. *Physica D*, 200(1–2):171–184, 2005. doi: 10.1016/j. physd.2004.11.002.
- 164. P. Faure and H. Korn. A new method to estimate the Kolmogorov entropy from recurrence plots: its application to neuronal signals. *Physica D*, 122(1–4): 265–279, 1998. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(98)00177-8.
- 165. M. Thiel, M. C. Romano, P. L. Read, and J. Kurths. Estimation of dynamical invariants without embedding by recurrence plots. *Chaos*, 14(2):234–243, 2004. doi: 10.1063/1.1667633.
- 166. P. Grassberger. Finite sample corrections to entropy and dimension estimates. *Physics Letters A*, 128(6-7):369–373, 1988. doi: 10.1016/0375-9601(88)90193-4.
- 167. T. Schreiber and A. Schmitz. Surrogate time series. *Physica D*, 142(3):346–382, 2000. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(00)00043-9.
- 168. M. Thiel, M. C. Romano, J. Kurths, M. Rolfs, and R. Kliegl. Twin Surrogates to Test for Complex Synchronisation. *Europhysics Letters*, 75(4):535–541, 2006. doi: 10.1209/epl/i2006-10147-0.
- 169. N. Marwan, S. Schinkel, and J. Kurths. Recurrence plots 25 years later gaining confidence in dynamical transitions. *Europhysics Letters*, 101:20007, 2013. doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/101/20007.
- 170. D. Dias, A. Pinto, U. Dias, R. Lamparelli, G. Le, and R. Torres. A Multirepresentational Fusion of Time Series for Pixelwise Classification. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 13:4399–4409, 2020. doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3012117.
- 171. A. A. Esmael, H. H. Silva, T. Ji, and R. Silva. Non-Technical Loss Detection in Power Grid Using Information Retrieval Approaches: A Comparative Study. *IEEE Access*, 9:40635–40648, 2021. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3064858.
- 172. O. E. Rössler. An equation for continuous chaos. *Physics Letters A*, 57(5):397 398, 1976. doi: 10.1016/0375-9601(76)90101-8.
- 173. N. Marwan and K. H. Kraemer. Recurrence plot and recurrence quantification analysis implementation for MATLAB, 2021. URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6148329.
- 174. M. I. Coco and R. Dale. Cross-recurrence quantification analysis of categorical and continuous time series: an R package. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5:510, 2014. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00510.
- 175. T. Rawald, M. Sips, and N. Marwan. PyRQA Conducting Recurrence Quantification Analysis on Very Long Time Series Efficiently. Computers & Geosciences, 104:101–108, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.cageo.2016.11.016.
- 176. N. Marwan. CRP Toolbox for MATLAB, 2021. URL https://tocsy. pik-potsdam.de/CRPtoolbox/.