

CO-KASCH MODULES

RAFAIL ALIZADE AND ENGIN BÜYÜKAŞIK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the modules M every simple subfactors of which is a homomorphic image of M and call them co-Kasch modules. These modules are dual to Kasch modules M every simple subfactors of which can be embedded in M . We show that a module is co-Kasch if and only if every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ is a homomorphic image of M . In particular, a projective right module P is co-Kasch if and only if P is a generator for $\sigma[P]$. If R is right max and right H -ring, then every right R -module is co-Kasch; and the converse is true for the rings whose simple right modules have locally artinian injective hulls. For a right artinian ring R , we prove that: (1) every finitely generated right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if every right R -module is a co-Kasch module if and only if R is a right H -ring; and (2) every finitely generated projective right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if the Cartan matrix of R is a diagonal matrix. For a Prüfer domain R , we prove that, every nonzero ideal of R is co-Kasch if and only if R is Dedekind. The structure of \mathbb{Z} -modules that are co-Kasch is completely characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION

A ring R is said to be right Kasch if every simple right R -module embeds in R . Commutative artinian rings and Quasi-Frobenius rings are well-known examples of Kasch rings. Kasch rings were generalized to modules by several authors. For example, in [1], a right R -module M is called a right Kasch module if every simple subfactor of M can be embedded into M . By a subfactor of M , we mean a submodule of a factor module of M .

Recall that, a ring R is said to be a right H -ring if the injective hulls of nonisomorphic simple right R -modules are homologically independent, that is, $\text{Hom}_R(E(S_1), E(S_2)) = 0$ for each nonisomorphic simple right R -modules S_1 and S_2 (see, [18]). Commutative Noetherian rings, and commutative semiartinian rings are H -rings (see, [8]). Thus, commutative perfect rings are H -rings, as left perfect rings are right semiartinian. Right artinian rings that are right H -ring are characterized in [19]. A ring R is said to be right max-ring if every nonzero right R -module has a maximal submodule.

In this paper, a notion dual to Kasch modules is proposed and studied. A natural dualization of Kasch modules is obtained as follows. We call a right module M right co-Kasch module if every simple subfactor of M is a homomorphic image of M . Semisimple and free modules are trivial examples of co-Kasch modules. More generally, for each right R -module M , the module $M \oplus R$ is a right co-Kasch module.

The paper consists of three sections, and is organized as follows.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D10, 16D90, 16P20, 16P40.

Key words and phrases. Kasch modules; co-Kasch modules; H -rings; max-rings.

In section 2, we give some examples, closure properties and some characterizations of co-Kasch modules. We show that, M is a right co-Kasch module if and only if every simple S in $\sigma[M]$ is a homomorphic image of M . A projective right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if P is a generator for $\sigma[P]$. We prove that, arbitrary direct sum of co-Kasch modules is again co-Kasch. On the other hand, direct summands or homomorphic image of co-Kasch modules need not be co-Kasch. It is shown that, if M is a co-Kasch right module, then $\frac{M}{K}$ is co-Kasch for each $K \subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$.

In section 3, mainly we focus on investigation of the rings whose right R -modules are co-Kasch. Over a right V -ring, every right module is co-Kasch. If R is a local ring, then every right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if R is right perfect. We prove that, all right R -modules are co-Kasch if R is right H -ring and right max-ring; and the converse statement is true if the injective hulls of simple right R -modules are locally artinian. If R is right noetherian, then every right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if R is right artinian right H -ring. Note that a right R -module M is locally artinian if every finitely generated submodule of M is artinian; and R is right H -ring if there is no nonzero homomorphism between the injective hulls of nonisomorphic simple right R -modules.

We also deal with rings whose cyclic or finitely generated right modules are co-Kasch. Over a commutative ring every cyclic module is co-Kasch. If R is either local or right H -ring, then every finitely generated right R -module is co-Kasch. Over a right artinian ring R , all right modules are right co-Kasch if and only if every finitely generated right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if $\text{Ext}_R(U, V) = 0$ for each nonisomorphic simple right R -modules U and V . For a right artinian ring R , we prove that every finitely generated projective right R -module is co-Kasch if and only if the Cartan matrix of R is a diagonal matrix. If $E(R)$ is projective, then $E(R)$ is right co-Kasch if and only if R is right self-injective. For a commutative Noetherian ring R , we obtain that $E(R)$ is co-Kasch if and only if R is a Kasch ring.

If M is a co-Kasch right R -module which is either right max-projective or a right semiartinian, then M is retractable. We obtain a characterization of right H -rings in terms of right Kasch modules. We prove that, R is a right H -ring if and only if $E(S)$ is a right Kasch module for each simple right R -module S . The rings whose right modules are Kasch are not completely known. Some sufficient conditions for such rings are given in [5]. We give a necessary condition for such rings. Namely, we show that if every right R -module is right Kasch, then R is a right H -ring and right semiartinian.

In section 4, co-Kasch modules are studied over commutative rings. For a Prüfer domain R , we prove that R is Dedekind if and only if every nonzero ideal of R is co-Kasch. A characterization of co-Kasch modules over the ring of integers is obtained. Namely, we prove that, a nonzero torsion \mathbb{Z} -module M is a co-Kasch module if and only if $pM \neq M$ for each prime p with $T_p(M) \neq 0$, where $T_p(M)$ is the p -primary component of the torsion submodule of M . If $M \neq T(M)$, then M is a co-Kasch module if and only if $pM \neq M$ for each prime p .

Throughout this paper, R is a ring with unity and modules are unital right R -modules. As usual, we denote the radical, the socle and the injective hull of a module M by $\text{Rad}(M)$,

$\text{Soc}(M)$ and $E(M)$, respectively. We write $J(R)$ for the Jacobson radical of the ring R . We write $N \subseteq M$ if N is a submodule of M . We also write $M^{(I)}$ for the direct sum of I -copies of M . Ω will stand for the set of maximal right ideals of a ring R . The torsion submodule of a module M over a commutative domain is denoted by $T(M)$. We refer to [3], [12], [15] and [21] for all undefined notions in this paper.

2. CO-KASCH MODULES

As a dual notion to Kasch modules we investigate the following modules.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a right R -module.

- (a) A module N is said to be a subfactor of M if $N \subseteq \frac{M}{K}$ for some $K \subseteq M$. If, in addition, N is a simple module, N is called a simple subfactor of M .
- (b) A right module M is said to be a co-Kasch module if every simple subfactor of M is a homomorphic image of M .

Proposition 2.2. *The following are equivalent for a right R -module M .*

- (1) M is co-Kasch.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$ for each simple subfactor S of M .
- (3) If $\text{Hom}_R(mR, S) \neq 0$ for some $m \in M$ and simple right R -module S , then $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear from the definition of co-Kasch module.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $\text{Hom}_R(mR, S) \neq 0$ for some $m \in M$ and simple right R -module S . Then $\frac{mR}{K} \cong S$ for some $K \subseteq mR$. Thus S is isomorphic to simple subfactor of M , so $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$ by (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\frac{N}{K}$ be a simple subfactor of M , where $K \subseteq N \subseteq M$. Let n be an element of N which is not in K . Then $\text{Hom}_R(nR, \frac{N}{K}) \neq 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(nR, \frac{N}{K}) \neq 0$ by (3). Hence M is a co-Kasch module. \square

In the following proposition we give some examples of modules that are co-Kasch.

Proposition 2.3. *The following are hold.*

- (1) *The module $N \oplus R$ is right co-Kasch module for every right R -module N .*
- (2) *Semisimple right R -modules and free right R -modules are co-Kasch modules.*
- (3) *For every right R -module M , the modules*

$$M_1 = M \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} \frac{R}{P} \right) \text{ and } M_2 = M \oplus \left(\prod_{P \in \Omega} \frac{R}{P} \right)$$

are right co-Kasch modules, where Ω is the set of all maximal right ideals of R .

Proof. (1) Every simple right R -module is a homomorphic image of R . Thus $\text{Hom}_R(N \oplus R, S) \neq 0$ for each simple right R -module S . Hence $N \oplus R$ is a right co-Kasch module.

(2) Clearly semisimple modules are co-Kasch. On the other hand, free right modules are co-Kasch by (1).

(3) It is easy to see that every simple right R -module is a homomorphic image of $\bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} \frac{R}{P}$ and $\prod_{P \in \Omega} \frac{R}{P}$. Hence, M_1 and M_2 are right co-Kasch modules. \square

A right R -module N is said to be M -generated if there exists an epimorphism $M^{(I)} \rightarrow N$ for some index set I . Following Wisbauer [21], $\sigma[M]$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Mod} - R$, whose objects are the submodules of M -generated modules, that is,

$$\sigma[M] = \{N \in \text{Mod-}R \mid N \subseteq \frac{M^{(I)}}{K} \text{ for some index set } I\}.$$

In [1], it is shown that a right R -module M is a Kasch module if and only if every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ can be embedded into M . Now we shall prove a corresponding result for co-Kasch modules. First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ is isomorphic to a subfactor of M .*

Proof. Let S be a simple module in $\sigma[M]$. Then there is a module N such that $S \subseteq N$ and an epimorphism $f : M^{(I)} \rightarrow N$ for some index set I . Let $S = aR$, where $a \in N$. Then $a = f(b)$ for some $b \in M^{(I)}$. Since $b \in M^{(F)}$ for some finite subset F of I , $S \subseteq f(M^{(F)})$. Therefore we can replace N by $f(M^{(F)})$. So we have an epimorphism $f : M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n \rightarrow N$ for some positive integer n , where each $M_i = M$ and $S \subseteq N$. By induction on n we will prove that S is a subfactor of some M_i , that is a subfactor of M . The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Let $n = k > 1$, and assume that the statement is true for all $n < k$. Denote $M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ by L . If $f(M_1) \cap S \neq 0$, then $S \subseteq f(M_1)$, therefore S is a subfactor of M_1 . If $f(M_1) \cap S = 0$, then for the canonical epimorphism $\sigma : N \rightarrow \frac{N}{f(M_1)} = A$ we have $\text{Ker}(\sigma) \cap S = f(M_1) \cap S = 0$, so $S \cong (\sigma f)(S) \subseteq A$. On the other hand, for the projection $p : M_1 \oplus L \rightarrow L$, we have $\text{Ker}(p) = M_1 \subseteq \text{Ker}(\sigma f)$, therefore by Factor Theorem there is a homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow A$ such that $\sigma f = gp$. Since $gp = \sigma f$ is an epimorphism g is an epimorphism as well. So we have an epimorphism $g : M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n \rightarrow A$ and S is isomorphic to a submodule of A . By the assumption of induction S is a subfactor of M_i for some $i = 2, \dots, n$, that is a subfactor of M . \square

Proposition 2.5. *The following statements are equivalent for a right module M :*

- (1) M is a right co-Kasch module,
- (2) Every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ is a homomorphic image of M ,
- (3) Every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ is generated by M .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let S be a simple module in $\sigma[M]$. Then S is isomorphic to simple subfactor of M by Lemma 2.4. Since M is a co-Kasch module, $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$. That is, S is a homomorphic image of M . This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear. (3) \Rightarrow (1) Let S be a simple subfactor of M . Then $S \in \sigma[M]$, and so there is a nonzero homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow S$ by (3). Thus $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$, and so M is a co-Kasch module. \square

Recall that, given two right R -modules P and M , the module P is said to be M -projective if for any epimorphism $g : M \rightarrow N$ and homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow N$, there exists $h : P \rightarrow M$ such that $f = gh$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let P be an M -projective right R -module. Then P is a co-Kasch module if and only if P is a generator for the category $\sigma[M]$.*

Proof. By [21, 18.5], an M -projective right R -module P is a generator for $\sigma[M]$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(P, S) \neq 0$ for each simple module in $\sigma[M]$. Hence the conclusion follows by Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.7. *If $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of co-Kasch modules, for an index set I , then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a co-Kasch module.*

Proof. Let S be a simple subfactor of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. Then $S \subseteq \frac{\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i}{K}$ for some $K \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. Set $N = \frac{\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i}{K}$ and let $f : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N$ be the canonical epimorphism. Now, exactly the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 shows that S is isomorphic to a subfactor of M_i for some $i \in I$. Since M_i is co-Kasch module, $\text{Hom}_R(M_i, S) \neq 0$, and so $\text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, S) \neq 0$. Thus the module $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is co-Kasch. \square

Co-Kasch modules are not closed under direct summands or under factor modules. For example, the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ is co-Kasch by Proposition 2.3(1). On the other hand, \mathbb{Q} is not a co-Kasch \mathbb{Z} -module since it has no any simple factor.

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be right co-Kasch module. Then $\frac{M}{K}$ is co-Kasch for every $K \subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$.*

Proof. Let S be a simple subfactor of $\frac{M}{K}$. Clearly, S is a simple subfactor of M , as well. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, S) \neq 0$, so there is a nonzero epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow S$. Since S is a simple module, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a maximal submodule of M . Thus $\text{Rad}(M) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$ and so, by factor theorem, there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : \frac{M}{K} \rightarrow S$ such that $g\pi = f$, where $\pi : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$ is the canonical epimorphism. Therefore $\text{Hom}_R(\frac{M}{K}, S) \neq 0$, and so $\frac{M}{K}$ is a co-Kasch module. \square

3. RINGS WHOSE MODULES ARE CO-KASCH

In this section, we shall deal with the rings whose right modules are co-Kasch.

Proposition 3.1. *The following are hold.*

- (1) *If M is a nonzero right co-Kasch module, then $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$.*
- (2) *If R is a local ring, then a nonzero right R -module M is co-Kasch if and only if $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$.*
- (3) *If every right R -module is co-Kasch, then R is a right max-ring.*

Proof. (1) Let N be a nonzero right R -module. Let $0 \neq x \in N$. Then, xR has a maximal submodule say K . Then $S = \frac{xR}{K}$ is a simple subfactor of N . By the hypothesis, N is a co-Kasch module and so $\text{Hom}_R(N, S) \neq 0$. Therefore N has a maximal submodule, and so $\text{Rad}(N) \neq N$.

(2) Necessity follows from (1). Suppose that $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$. Then M has a simple factor. Since R is local, $\frac{R}{J(R)}$ is the unique simple right R -module up to isomorphism. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{R}{J(R)}) \neq 0$. Thus M is co-Kasch.

(3) Let M be a nonzero right R -module. If M is co-Kasch then $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$ by (1). Thus, every nonzero right R -module has a maximal submodule. Hence R is a right max-ring. \square

In the following theorem we give some sufficient conditions for the rings whose right modules are co-Kasch.

Theorem 3.2. *Consider the following statements for a ring R .*

- (1) R is a right H -ring and a right max-ring.
- (2) Every right R -module is a co-Kasch module.
- (3) Right co-Kasch modules are closed under factor modules.
- (4) Right co-Kasch modules are closed under submodules.
- (5) Right co-Kasch modules are closed under direct summands.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \iff (3) \iff (4) \iff (5)

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be a right R -module and $S = \frac{N}{K}$ be a simple subfactor of M . Then there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : \frac{M}{K} \rightarrow E(S)$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{N}{K} & \xrightarrow{i} & \frac{M}{K} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & M \\ & & \downarrow f & \searrow g & & & \\ & & E(S) & & & & \end{array}$$

Thus $g\pi : M \rightarrow E(S)$ is a nonzero homomorphism, where $\pi : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$ is the canonical epimorphism. Then for $L = \text{Ker}(g\pi)$, we have $\frac{M}{L} \cong \text{Im}(g\pi) \subseteq E(S)$. Since R is a right max-ring, $\frac{M}{L}$ has a simple factor say X . Then $X \cong S$ by the right H -ring assumption. Hence, M has a simple factor isomorphic to S , and so M is a right co-Kasch module. This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) are clear. (5) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be a right R -module. Then $M \oplus R$ is a right co-Kasch module by Proposition 2.3. Then M is a right co-Kasch module by (5). Therefore, every right R -module is a co-Kasch module.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) are clear. \square

A ring R is said to be right co-noetherian if the injective hull of each simple right R -module is artinian. Right V -rings and QF -rings are trivial examples of right co-noetherian rings. Commutative noetherian rings are co-noetherian (see [17]). A ring R is said to satisfy the (\diamond) property if $E(S)$ is locally artinian for each simple right R -module S . Right co-noetherian and right artinian rings satisfy (\diamond) . For characterizations of certain rings and algebras that satisfy the (\diamond) property we refer to [6], [9], [10], [14].

We do not know whether the statement (1) in Theorem 3.2 is necessary for the implication (2), in general. For certain rings including the rings satisfy (\diamond) , right noetherian rings and local rings the assumption that all right modules are co-Kasch implies the ring is right H -ring and right max-ring. We begin with the following.

Theorem 3.3. *The following are equivalent for a ring R that satisfy (\diamond) .*

- (1) R is a right H -ring and right max-ring.
- (2) Every right R -module is co-Kasch.
- (3) Every subfactor of $E(S)$ is co-Kasch, for each simple right R -module S .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Theorem 3.2, and (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) First, let us prove that R is a right H -ring. Assume the contrary. Then there are two nonisomorphic simple right R -modules S_1 and S_2 with $\text{Hom}_R(E(S_1), E(S_2)) \neq 0$. Let $f : E(S_1) \rightarrow E(S_2)$ be a nonzero homomorphism. Since S_2 is essential in $E(S_2)$, S_2 is essential in $\text{Im}(f)$. Denote $\text{Ker}(f)$ by K_1 . Let $A_1 = f^{-1}(S_2)$. Since S_2 is cyclic, without loss of generality, we may assume that A_1 is a cyclic module as well. Since $S_1 \not\cong S_2$ and S_2 is essential in $E(S_2)$, $f(S_1) = 0$, so $S_1 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq A_1$. By (2), K_1 is a co-Kasch module, therefore there is a nonzero homomorphism $g_1 : K_1 \rightarrow S_1$, which is clearly an epimorphism. Taking a pushout diagram we will have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & \\
 E' : & 0 & \longrightarrow & S_1 & \xrightarrow[h]{s} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{k} & S_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \uparrow g_1 & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \\
 E_1 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \longrightarrow & S_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \uparrow i_1 & & & & & & \\
 & & & K_2 & & & & & & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & & & & \\
 & & & 0 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where $K_2 = \text{Ker}(g_1)$ and $i_1 : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ is the inclusion map. Since B_1 is a co-Kasch module there is a nonzero homomorphism $s : B_1 \rightarrow S_1$. If $\text{Ker}(k) = \text{Im}(h) \subseteq \text{Ker}(s)$, then there is an epimorphism $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ by the factor theorem, contradiction. So $\text{Im}(h) \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(s)$, therefore $sh : S_1 \rightarrow S_1$ is an isomorphism, that is E' is splitting. If $K_1 = S_1$, then $g_1 = 1_{S_1}$, $B_1 = A_1$, so S_1 is a direct summand of A_1 , a contradiction. Let $K_1 \neq S_1$. From the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R(S_2, K_2) \xrightarrow{i_{1*}} \text{Ext}_R(S_2, K_1) \xrightarrow{g_{1*}} \text{Ext}_R(S_2, S_1) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

induced by the short exact sequence $E'' : 0 \rightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{i_1} K_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} S_1 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $E_1 \in \text{Ker}(g_{1*}) = \text{Im}(i_{1*})$, therefore $E_1 = i_{1*}(E_2)$ for some

$$E_2 : 0 \longrightarrow K_2 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow S_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $S_1 \not\subseteq K_2$ then E'' is splitting, so K_1 contains a direct summand X isomorphic to S_1 . Then either $X \cap S_1 = 0$ or $X = S_1$. Both cases contradicts with the fact that S_1 is essential in K_1 ,

and so $S_1 \subseteq K_2$. Since K_2 is a co-Kasch module, there is an epimorphism $g_2 : K_2 \rightarrow S_1$. In a similar way we will have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, first row of which is splitting

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & S_1 & \longrightarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & S_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & S_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & & & \\
 & & K_3 & & & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Continuing in this way we will have a descending chain

$$A_1 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_1$$

and corresponding exact diagrams. Since $E(S_1)$ is locally artinian and A_1 is cyclic, A_1 artinian. Thus $K_n = S_1$ for some positive integer n , and so S_1 is a direct summand in A_n , a contradiction. Therefore, R is a right H -ring.

Now let us prove that R is right max-ring as well. For this, suppose the contrary that there is a nonzero right R -module M such that $\text{Rad}(M) = M$. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of representatives of nonisomorphic simple right R -modules. Then $E = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}} E(S)$ is an injective cogenerator for the category of right R -modules. Thus there is a monomorphism $f : M \rightarrow E^I$ for some index set I . Since f is nonzero, there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow E(S)$ for some $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\frac{M}{\text{Ker}(g)} \cong g(M) \subseteq E(S)$ implies that, $\text{Rad}(g(M)) = g(M)$. Thus, $g(M)$ is not a co-Kasch module. This contradicts the assumption (2). Therefore, $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$ for every right R -module M . Hence R is a right max-ring. \square

A ring R is said to be right V -ring if every simple right R -module is injective.

Corollary 3.4. *Over a right V -ring, every right R -module is a co-Kasch module.*

Proof. Let R be a right V -ring. Every simple right R -module is injective, so R is a right H -ring. On the other hand, $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$, for every right R -module M (see [15]). Thus R is a right max-ring. Now the proof follows by Theorem 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a right noetherian ring. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *Every right R -module is co-Kasch.*
- (2) *R is right artinian right H -ring.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose every right R -module is co-Kasch. Then R is right max by Proposition 3.1. Being right noetherian and right max, R is right artinian by [16]. Then R is right H -ring by Theorem 3.3. This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) By Theorem 3.2. \square

Local rings are right H -rings, because a local ring has a unique simple right module up to isomorphism. Semilocal right max-rings are perfect by [16], and a local ring R is right perfect if and only if R is right max-ring. Hence the following is clear by Proposition 3.1(2).

Corollary 3.6. *If R is a local ring, then every right R -module is a co-Kasch module if and only if R is right perfect.*

There are local rings that are right perfect which do not satisfy the (\diamond) property (see, [9, Example 5.2]).

Proposition 3.7. *The following statements are equivalent for a right artinian ring R .*

- (1) *Every right R -module is a co-Kasch module.*
- (2) *Every finitely generated right R -module is a co-Kasch module.*
- (3) *$\text{Ext}_R(S_1, S_2) = 0$ for each nonisomorphic simple right R -modules S_1, S_2 .*
- (4) *R is a right H -ring.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (4) Note that right artinian rings satisfy the (\diamond) property. With same notation as in the the proof of Theorem 3.2 (2) \Rightarrow (1) we have A_1 is cyclic. So that by the right artinian assumption every submodule of A_1 is finitely generated. Hence similar arguments shows that R is right H -ring.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) By [19, Theorem 9] a ring R is a right H -ring if and only if $\text{Ext}_R(S_1, S_2) = 0$ for each nonisomorphic simple right R -modules S_1, S_2 .

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Right artinian rings are right max. Thus (1) follows by Proposition 3.17. \square

A nonzero idempotent $e \in R$ is said to be primitive if eR is indecomposable as a right R -module (see, [16, Proposition 21.8]). Such modules eR are called principal indecomposable modules. Principal indecomposable right modules are local, and so each principal indecomposable module eR has a unique maximal submodule which is eJ , where J denotes the Jacobson radical of R . Every right artinian ring can be written as a direct sum of principal indecomposable right R -modules.

For a right artinian ring R , let e_1R, \dots, e_rR represent a complete set of isomorphism classes of principal indecomposable right R -modules, and let $V_j = \frac{e_jR}{e_jR}$ so that V_1, \dots, V_r represent a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple right R -modules [16, Theorem 25.3 (1)]. Let $c_{ij} \geq 0$ be the number of composition factors of e_iR which are isomorphic to V_j . The matrix

$$C = (c_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_r(\mathbb{Z})$$

is called the (right) Cartan matrix of R . Note that the diagonal elements c_{ii} are ≥ 1 , and the sum of the i th row of C is just the (composition) length of e_iR .

Proposition 3.8. *Let R be a right artinian ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) Every finitely generated projective right R -module is a co-Kasch module;
- (2) Every principal indecomposable right R -module is a co-Kasch module;
- (3) The Cartan matrix of R is a diagonal matrix.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) Let e_1R, \dots, e_sR be the principal indecomposable right R -modules. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let

$$0 = A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_{n_i} = e_iR$$

be a composition series of e_iR . Set $V_t = \frac{A_t}{A_{t-1}}$ for $t = 1, \dots, n_i$. Since e_iR is a local right R -module, $\frac{e_iR}{e_iJ}$ is the unique simple factor of e_iR . Now it is easy to see that $V_t \cong \frac{e_iR}{e_iJ}$ for each $t = 1, \dots, n_i$ if and only if $c_{ii} = cl(e_iR)$, and $c_{ij} = 0$ for each $j \neq i$. Hence the proof follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Over a right artinian ring every finitely generated projective right R -module P is a direct sum of principal indecomposable right R -modules (see, [16, Theorem 25.3(2)]). Now, (1) follows by (2) and Proposition 2.7. \square

At this point, it is natural to ask what are the rings whose cyclic or finitely generated right modules are co-Kasch modules. It is easy to see that local rings have this property by Proposition 3.1(2).

Proposition 3.9. *If R is commutative, then every cyclic R -module is a co-Kasch module.*

Proof. Let $C \cong \frac{R}{I}$ be a cyclic R -module and X be a simple subfactor of $\frac{R}{I}$. Then $X \cong \frac{A}{J} \subseteq \frac{R}{I}$ for some ideal J with $I \subseteq J$. Let $P = ann_R(\frac{A}{J})$. Note that $\text{Hom}_R(\frac{R}{I}, \frac{R}{P}) \neq 0$. Then, as X is a simple R -module, P is a maximal ideal of R with $J \subseteq P$. Then $\frac{A}{J} \cong \frac{R}{P}$ and so $\frac{A}{J}$ is isomorphic to a simple factor of $\frac{R}{I}$. Hence $\frac{R}{I}$ is a co-Kasch module. \square

Proposition 3.10. *If R is a right H -ring, then every finitely generated right R -module is a co-Kasch module.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a right H -ring, and M a finitely generated right R -module. Let $S = \frac{A}{B} \subseteq \frac{M}{B}$ be a simple subfactor of M . There is a nonzero homomorphism $f : \frac{M}{B} \rightarrow E(S)$. Then $\frac{M}{\text{Ker}(f)}$ is isomorphic to a nonzero submodule of $E(S)$. Since $\frac{M}{\text{Ker}(f)}$ is finitely generated and nonzero, there is a maximal submodule K of M such that $\frac{M}{K} \cong U$, where U is a simple subfactor of $E(S)$. By the hypothesis, R is a right H -ring, thus $U \cong S$. Therefore every simple subfactor of M is isomorphic to a simple factor of M . Hence M is a co-Kasch module. \square

A ring R is said to be right dual Kasch if every simple right R -module is a homomorphic image of an injective right R -module. Dual Kasch rings are investigated and studied in [7].

Proposition 3.11. *$E(R)$ is a right co-Kasch module if and only if R is right dual Kasch.*

Proof. Suppose $E(R)$ is a right co-Kasch module. Since $R \subseteq E(R)$, every simple right R -module is a subfactor of $E(R)$. Thus every simple right R -module is a homomorphic image of $E(R)$, and so R is right dual Kasch. This proves the necessity. Sufficiency follows by [7, Theorem 2.1(2)]. \square

Corollary 3.12. *If R is a right max and right H -ring, then R is right dual Kasch.*

A commutative ring is said to be classical if every element is either a zero-divisor or a unit.

Corollary 3.13. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $E(R)$ is a co-Kasch module.
- (2) R is a classical ring.
- (3) R is a dual Kasch ring.
- (4) R is a Kasch ring.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) By Proposition 3.11. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) By [7, Theorem 3.4.]. \square

Proposition 3.14. *If $E(R)$ is projective, then $E(R)$ is a right co-Kasch module if and only if R is right self-injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $E(R)$ is a right co-Kasch module. Then every simple right R -module is a homomorphic image of $E(R)$. Then projectivity of $E(R)$ implies that, $E(R)$ is a generator for $\text{Mod-}R$. Thus $E(R)^n \cong K \oplus R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Therefore R is right self-injective. Sufficiency is clear. \square

Recall that, a right R -module M is said to be retractable if $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ for each nonzero submodule N of M . A right R -module M is said to be max-projective if for every maximal right ideal I of R , any homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow R/I$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow R$ (see, [2]).

Lemma 3.15. *Let M be a right max-projective module. If M is a co-Kasch module, then M is retractable.*

Proof. Let N be a nonzero submodule of M , and $0 \neq x \in N$. Let K be a maximal submodule of xR . Since M is a co-Kasch module and $\frac{xR}{K}$ is a simple subfactor of M , $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{xR}{K}) \neq 0$. Let $f : M \rightarrow \frac{xR}{K}$ be a nonzero homomorphism. By the max-projectivity assumption on M , there is a homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow xR$ such that $\pi g = f$, where $\pi : xR \rightarrow \frac{xR}{K}$ is the canonical epimorphism. Then $gi : M \rightarrow N$ is a nonzero homomorphism, where $i : xR \rightarrow N$ is the inclusion map. Therefore, M is a retractable right R -module, as desired. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let M be a right semiartinian co-Kasch module. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{N}{K}) \neq 0$ for each submodules $K \subsetneq N \subsetneq M$. In particular, M is retractable.*

Now we shall mention several results about Kasch modules. We observe the following characterization of right H -rings in terms of Kasch modules.

Proposition 3.17. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is a right H -ring;
- (2) every simple subfactor of $E(S)$ is isomorphic to S for each simple right R -module S ;

- (3) for every simple right R -module S , each submodule of $E(S)$ is a Kasch module;
(4) $E(S)$ is a Kasch module for each simple right R -module S .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose A is a right H -ring. Let S be a simple right R -module and $E(S)$ be its injective hull. Let $U = \frac{X}{Y}$ be a simple subfactor of $E(S)$. We need to show that, $S \cong U$. Assume the contrary that U and S are not isomorphic. Let $f : \frac{X}{Y} \rightarrow \frac{E(S)}{Y}$ and $g : \frac{X}{Y} \rightarrow E(\frac{X}{Y})$ be the inclusion homomorphisms. Then by injectivity of $E(\frac{X}{Y})$, there is a (nonzero) homomorphism $h : \frac{E(S)}{Y} \rightarrow E(\frac{X}{Y})$. Therefore for the natural epimorphism $\pi : E(S) \rightarrow \frac{E(S)}{Y}$, we obtain $0 \neq h\pi \in \text{Hom}(E(S), E(\frac{X}{Y}))$. This contradicts the fact that, R is right H -ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Let S_1 and S_2 be nonisomorphic simple right R -modules. Suppose that there is a nonzero homomorphism $f : E(S_1) \rightarrow E(S_2)$. Then $\frac{E(S_1)}{\text{Ker}(f)} \cong f(E(S_2))$. Thus, there is a simple factor $\frac{X}{\text{Ker}(f)} \cong S_2$. This contradicts the assumption that simple subfactors of $E(S_1)$ are isomorphic to S_1 . Thus, we must have $f = 0$, and so R is a right H -ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let K be a nonzero submodule of $E(S)$ and U be a simple subfactor of K . Then U is also a subfactor of $E(S)$, and so U is isomorphic to S by (2). Since $\text{Soc}(E(S)) = S$ and K is nonzero, $\text{Soc}(K) = S$. Therefore U embeds in K , and so K is a Kasch module.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (4) are clear. \square

The authors in [1], ask about the rings all whose right modules are Kasch. To the best of our knowledge, this question is not solved completely. In [5], the authors gives some sufficient conditions for the rings having this property. We observed the following necessary conditions for the rings whose right modules are Kasch.

Proposition 3.18. *If every right R -module is a Kasch module, then R is a right H -ring and right semiartinian.*

Proof. Suppose every right R -module is Kasch. Then R is a right H -ring by Theorem 3.17. Let M be a nonzero right R -module and $0 \neq m \in M$. Then mR has a maximal submodule K , and $\frac{mR}{K}$ is a simple subfactor of M . Therefore $\frac{mR}{K}$ embeds in M , because M is a Kasch module and so $\text{Soc}(M) \neq 0$. Thus the assumption implies that every nonzero right R -module contains a simple submodule. Hence R is right semiartinian. \square

The converse of Proposition 3.18 is not true in general. That is, there are right semiartinian right H -rings that admit right modules that are not right Kasch. For example the ring given in [1, Remarks 3.14 (3)], is a semiartinian V-ring (hence an H-ring) which has a module that is not Kasch.

4. CO-KASCH MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

In this section we study co-Kasch modules over commutative rings. We prove that, a Prüfer domain R is Dedekind if and only if every ideal of R is a co-Kasch module. We also characterize co-Kasch modules over the ring of integers.

Proposition 4.1. *Let R be a domain and M be an R -module. If $M \neq T(M)$, then M is a co-Kasch module if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(M, \frac{R}{P}) \neq 0$ for each $P \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Suppose that, M is a co-Kasch module. Since $M \neq T(M)$, there is an $m \in M$ such that $mR \cong R$. Thus every simple module is a homomorphic image of M by the co-Kasch module assumption. Then for each maximal ideal P of R , there is an epimorphism $f_P : M \rightarrow \frac{R}{P}$. This follows the necessity. Sufficiency is clear. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a valuation domain. Every ideal of R is a co-Kasch module if and only if R is a DVR.*

Proof. Sufficiency is clear. To prove the necessity, let \mathfrak{p} be the unique maximal ideal of R . By the hypothesis \mathfrak{p} is a co-Kasch module, and so $\mathfrak{p}^2 \neq \mathfrak{p}$, otherwise \mathfrak{p} would have no simple factors. Let $p \in \mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{p}^2$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = Rp$, because R is a valuation domain. Now consider the ideal $I = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} Rp^n$. Then by [2, Proposition 15] I has no maximal submodules i.e. $\text{Rad}(I) = I$. Thus, since I is co-Kasch by the assumption, $I = 0$, by Proposition 3.1(1). Therefore R is a DVR by [4, page 99, Exercise 4]. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let R be a Prüfer domain. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *Every ideal of R is a co-Kasch module.*
- (2) *Every ideal of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a co-Kasch module for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$.*
- (3) *$R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a DVR for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$.*
- (4) *R is Dedekind.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of R , and B be a nonzero proper ideal of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there is a proper ideal A of R such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = B$. By the hypothesis, A is a co-Kasch module. Thus there is a maximal submodule C of A such that $\frac{A}{C} \cong \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}$. Localizing at \mathfrak{p} gives: $(\frac{A}{C})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$, because localization preserves isomorphisms (see, [12, page 26: B]) Then $\frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}{C_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong \frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ by [12, page 26: C)]. Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = B$, we get $\frac{B}{C_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong \frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is simple. Thus $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal ideal of B , and so B is a co-Kasch module by Proposition 3.1(b).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since R is Prüfer, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation domain for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$. Thus (3) follows by Lemma 4.2.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Every nonzero ideal of a DVR is isomorphic to R . Hence (2) follows.

(3) \iff (4) is clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let I be a nonzero ideal of R . Note that I is finitely generated. Since R embeds in I , I has a subfactor isomorphic to every simple R -module. For the proof, we need to show that $\text{Hom}(I, \frac{R}{J}) \neq 0$ for each maximal ideal J of R . Assume that $\text{Hom}(I, \frac{R}{J}) = 0$ for some maximal ideal J of R . Then $I = J \cdot I$. Localizing at the maximal ideal J , we obtain $I_J = J_J \cdot I_J$. Since R_J is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal J_J , the fact that I_J is finitely generated and $I_J = J_J \cdot I_J$ implies that $I_J = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma. As R is a domain $I_J = 0$ gives $I = 0$. Contradiction. Therefore $I \neq J \cdot I$ for each maximal ideal J of R , and so $\text{Hom}(I, \frac{R}{J}) \neq 0$. Hence I is a co-Kasch module, and this proves (1). \square

Now, we shall give a characterization of co-Kasch modules over the ring of integers. For a \mathbb{Z} -module M , $T(M)$ is the torsion submodule of M . If p is a prime integer the submodule $T_p(M) = \{m \in M \mid p^n m = 0 \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$ is said to be the p -primary component of M . It is well known that $T(M) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} T_p(M)$, where \mathcal{P} is the set of prime integers.

Proposition 4.4. *The following are hold.*

- (a) *A nonzero torsion \mathbb{Z} -module M is a co-Kasch module if and only if $pM \neq M$ for each prime p with $T_p(M) \neq 0$.*
- (b) *If $T(M) \neq M$, then M is a co-Kasch module if and only if $pM \neq M$ for each prime p .*

Proof. (a) Since M is torsion, $M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} T_p(M)$, where $T_p(M)$ is the (nonzero) p -primary component of M . Suppose M is an H -module. Let p be a prime such that $T_p(M) \neq 0$. Then $S = \text{Soc}(T_p(M)) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ is a subfactor of M . Since M is a co-Kasch module, $\frac{M}{L} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$. This implies that $pM \neq M$. This show the necessity. Conversely, let $\frac{X}{Y} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ be a simple subfactor of M . We have $\frac{X}{Y} \subseteq \frac{M}{Y} \cong \bigoplus \frac{T_p(M)}{T_p(Y)}$. For a prime $q \neq p$, $\text{Hom}(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{T_q(M)}{T_q(Y)}) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Hom}(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{T_p(M)}{T_p(Y)}) \neq 0$. So that $T_p(M) \neq 0$. Now by the hypothesis $pM \neq M$, and so M has a simple factor isomorphic to $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$.

(b) Sufficiency is clear. For necessity suppose $T(M) \neq M$. Then M has a submodule isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Thus, M has a factor isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p for each prime p , by the co-Kasch module assumption. Therefore $pM \neq M$ for each prime p . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Türkiye (TÜBİTAK) (Project number: 122F130).

REFERENCES

- [1] Albu, T., Wisbauer, R. (1997) Kasch modules, in: *Advances in Ring Theory*, eds. S.K. Jain and S.T. Rizvi, Birkhauser, pp. 1–16.
- [2] Alagöz, Y., Büyükaşık, E. (2021) Max-projective modules, *J. Algebra Appl.* **20** no. 6.
- [3] Anderson, F. W., Fuller, K. R. (1992). *Rings and categories of modules*, New York, NY: Springer.
- [4] Atiyah, M. F., Macdonald, I. G. (1969). *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Company.
- [5] Baziar, M., Haghany, A., and Vedadi, M. R., (2010) Fully Kasch modules and rings, *Alg. Colloq.* Vol. 17, No. 04, pp. 621-628.
- [6] Brown, K., Carvalho, P.A.A.B., (2019) Matczuk, J. Simple modules and their essential extensions for skew polynomial rings. *Math. Z.* 291: 877–903.
- [7] Büyükaşık, E., Lomp C., Yurtsever, B. (2024) Dual Kasch rings, *J. Algebra Appl.* to appear.

- [8] Camillo, V. (1978). Homological independence of injective hulls of simple modules over commutative rings, *Comm. Algebra* 6:1459-1469.
- [9] Carvalho, P. A. A. B., Lomp, C., Smith, P.F., (2018) A note on simple modules over quasi-local rings, *Int. Elect. Jour. Alg.* 24: 91-106.
- [10] Carvalho, P. A. A. B., Hatipoglu, C., Lomp, C., (2015) Injective hulls of simple modules over differential operator rings, *Comm. Algebra*, 43(10): 4221- 4230.
- [11] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R. (2006). *Lifting modules*, Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag.
- [12] Fuchs, L., Salce, L. (2000). *Modules over non-noetherian domains*, Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 84, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence.
- [13] Golan, J. S. (1981). Homological independence of injective hulls of simple modules over certain noncommutative rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 81(3):377–381.
- [14] Hatipoglu, C., Lomp, C., (2012) Injective hulls of simple modules over finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebras, *J. Algebra*, 361:79-91.
- [15] Lam, T. Y. (1999). Lectures on modules and rings. New York, NY: Springer.
- [16] Lam, T. Y. (2001). A First Course in Noncommutative Rings. New York, NY: Springer.
- [17] Matlis, E. (1958). Injective modules over noetherian rings. *Pacific Jour. Math.* 8-3:511-528.
- [18] Sharpe, D. W., Vamos, P. (1972). Injective modules. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Papp, Z. (1975). On stable Noetherian rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 213:107-114.
- [20] Sharpe, D. W., Vamos, P. (1972). *Injective modules*. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [21] Wisbauer, R. (1991). Foundations of module and ring theory, Gordon and Breach, Tokyo.

ADA UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES AND ENG., BAKU, AZERBAIJAN
Email address: ralizada@ada.edu.az

IZMIR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 35430, URLA, İZMİR, TURKEY
Email address: enginbuyukasik@iyte.edu.tr