

Admissibility Conditions for Multi-window Gabor Frames on Discrete Periodic Sets

Najib Khachiaa^{1*†} and Mohamed Rossafi^{2†}

¹Laboratory Partial Differential Equations, Spectral Algebra and Geometry, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco.

²Laboratory Partial Differential Equations, Spectral Algebra and Geometry, Higher School of Education and Training, University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): khachiaa.najib@uit.ac.ma;
Contributing authors: mohamed.rossafi1@uit.ac.ma;

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this paper, $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ denotes a L -window Gabor system on a periodic set \mathbb{S} , where $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{g} = \{\mathbf{g}_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. We characterize which \mathbf{g} generates a complete multi-window Gabor system and a multi-window Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ on \mathbb{S} using the Zak transform. Admissibility conditions for a periodic set to admit a complete multi-window Gabor system, multi-window Gabor (Parseval) frame, and multi-window Gabor (orthonormal) basis $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ are given with respect to the parameters \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} .

Keywords: Multi-window Discrete Gabor Frame, Discrete Periodic Set, Discrete Zak-transform.

MSC Classification: 42C15; 42C40.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

When a signal appears periodically but intermittently, it can be considered within the entire space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and analyzed in the standard manner. However, if the signal is only emitted for short periods, this method might not be the best approach. To

perform Gabor analysis of the signal most efficiently while preserving all its features, Li and Lian studied single window Gabor systems on discrete periodic sets. They derived density results and frame characterizations. Compared to single window Gabor systems, multiwindow Gabor systems can be both interesting and beneficial, as they allow for more flexibility by using windows of different types and widths. For certain parameters N and M , there does not exist an associated Gabor frame with a single window. However, allowing the use of multiple windows guarantees the existence of Gabor frames. For example, for $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, a Gabor frame with one window exists only if $N \leq M$. N. Khachiaa, M. Rossafi, and S. Kabbaj showed in [3] that when it is not the case, by allowing the use of multiple windows, the existence of Gabor frames associated with L -windows is ensured, where L is an integer satisfying $N \leq LM$ (which, of course, exists).

A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where \mathcal{I} is a countable set, in a separable Hilbert space H is said to be frame if there exist $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ (called frame bounds) such that for all $f \in H$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

If only the upper inequality holds, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called a Bessel sequence with Bessel bound B . If $A = B$, the sequence is called a tight frame and if $A = B = 1$, it is called a Parseval frame for H . For more details on frame theory, the reader can refer to [1].

Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, i.e. $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for a given $K \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathbb{N}_K := \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$. Let $N, M, L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{pgcd}(p, q) = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. A nonempty subset \mathbb{S} of \mathbb{Z} is said to be $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic set if for all $j \in \mathbb{S}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j + nN \in \mathbb{S}$. For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathbb{S}_K := \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{N}_K$. We denote by $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ the closed subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by,

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{S}) := \{f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : f(j) = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Define the modulation operator $E_{\frac{m}{M}}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the translation operator T_{nN} with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ by:

$$E_{\frac{m}{M}} f(\cdot) := e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} f(\cdot), \quad T_{nN} f(\cdot) := f(\cdot - nN).$$

The modulation and translation operators are unitary operators of $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.

For $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, the associated multiwindow discrete Gabor system (M-D-G) is given by,

$$\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N) := \{E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $\mathcal{K}_j = \{k \in \mathbb{N}_p : j + kM \in \mathbb{S}\}$ and $\mathcal{K}(j) := \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1))$.

Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$. The discrete Zak transform z_K of $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by,

$$z_K f(j, \theta) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j + kK) e^{2\pi i k \theta}.$$

$z_K f$ is quasi-periodic. i.e. $\forall j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$z_K f(j + kK, \theta + l) = e^{-2\pi i k \theta} z_K f(j, \theta).$$

Then z_K is, completely, defined by its values for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $\theta \in [0, 1[$. The reader can refer to [2] for more details on discrete Zak transform.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present some auxiliary lemmas to be used in the following sections. In section 3, we characterize which $g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ generates a complete multi-window discrete Gabor system and a multi-window discrete Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ using the Zak transform. In section 4, we provide an admissibility characterization for complete multi-window discrete Gabor systems and multi-window discrete Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ on a discrete periodic set \mathbb{S} , and we finish with an example.

2 Auxiliary lemmas

In this section, we present several lemmas and introduce the notations that will be utilized in the following sections. In addition to the notations introduced in the introduction, let $\mathcal{M}_{s,t}$ denote the set of all $s \times t$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} . We use $p \wedge q$ to indicate that p and q are coprime. For a given matrix A , A^* is the conjugate transpose of A , $N(A)$ represents its kernel, and $A_{s,t}$ refers to its (s, t) -component. When A is a column matrix, we denote its r -component simply by A_r . Following this, we provide several definitions and results that will be useful throughout the rest of the paper.

Lemma 1. [4] *Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, and \mathbb{S} be a $K\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic set in \mathbb{Z} . Write $\mathbb{S}_K = \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{N}_K$. Then the restriction of z_K to $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ is a unitary linear operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ to the Hilbert space $\ell^2(Q)$ where $Q = \mathbb{S}_K \times [0, 1[$ and*

$$\ell^2(Q) := \left\{ \psi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}_K} \int_0^1 |\psi(j, \theta)|^2 d\theta < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that A is $K\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to B if there exists a partition $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of A such that $\{A_k + kK\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a partition of B .

Lemma 2. [4] *Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Then the set*

$$\Delta := \{j + kM - rN : j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}, k \in \mathbb{N}_p, r \in \mathbb{N}_q\} \text{ is } qN\text{-congruent to } \mathbb{N}_{pM}.$$

For each $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we associate a matrix-valued function $Z_f : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q,p}$ whose entry at the r -th row and the k -th column is defined by

$$Z_f(j, \theta)_{r,k} = z_{pM} f(j + kM - rN, \theta).$$

Lemma 3. [4] *Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Then $z_{pM} f$ is completely determined by the matrices $Z_f(j, \theta)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Conversely, a matrix-valued function $Z : \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q,p}$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$,*

$Z(j, \cdot)_{r,k} \in L^2([0, 1])$ also determines a unique $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $Z_f(j, \theta) = Z(j, \theta)$.

For $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we associate the matrix-valued function $Z_g : \mathbb{N}_{\frac{N}{M}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{qL,p}$ defined for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ by the block matrix:

$$Z_g(j, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{g_0}(j, \theta) \\ Z_{g_1}(j, \theta) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{g_{L-1}}(j, \theta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4. [4] Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique $(k_0, l_0) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{Z}$ and a unique $(k_0, m_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_q$ such that $j = k_0q + l_0p = k_0q + (m_0q + r_0)p$.

Lemma 5. [4] Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$ and $p \wedge q = 1$. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique $(j, r, k, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times \mathbb{N}_q \times \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = j + kM - rN + \ell qN$.

The following proposition characterizes which Multi-window Gabor frames are Multi-window Gabor Riesz beses using the parameters L, M and N .

Proposition 1. [3] Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.

1. $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ only when $\frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M} \leq L$.
2. Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Riesz basis (exact frame) for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.
 - (b) $\frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M} = L$.

Lemma 6. [4] Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\{e^{2\pi im/M} \cdot \chi_E(\cdot) : m \in \mathbb{N}_M\}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M .
2. $\{e^{2\pi im/M} \cdot \chi_E(\cdot) : m \in \mathbb{N}_M\}$ is complete in $\ell^2(E)$.
3. E is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M .
4. $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_E(\cdot + kM) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 7. [1] Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where \mathcal{I} is a countable sequence, be a Parseval frame for a separable Hilbert space H . Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a Riesz basis.
2. $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an orthonormal basis.
3. For all $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\|f_i\| = 1$.

Lemma 8. Let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$Z_f(j, \theta)\mathcal{K}(j) = Z_f(j, \theta).$$

Proof. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_q$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(Z_f(j, \theta)\mathcal{K}(j))_{s,t} &= \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ p-1}}^{p-1} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,k} \mathcal{K}(j)_{k,t} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,k} \delta_{k,t} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(t) \\
&= Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(t) \\
&= \begin{cases} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{K}_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} = z_{pM} f(j + tM - sN, \theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j + tM - sN + kpM) e^{2\pi i k \theta} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j + tM - sN + kqN) e^{2\pi i k \theta}$ since $pM = qN$. Then, if $t \notin \mathcal{K}_j$, $j + tM \notin \mathbb{S}$, then, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j + tM - sN + kqN \notin \mathbb{S}$ by the $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodicity of \mathbb{S} , thus $f(j + tM - sN + kqN) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} = 0$ if $t \notin \mathcal{K}_j$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 9. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{K}(j)$ is an orthogonal projection on \mathbb{C}^p . i.e.

1. $\mathcal{K}(j)^2 = \mathcal{K}(j)$.
2. $\mathcal{K}(j)^* = \mathcal{K}(j)$.

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(j)^2 &= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0)^2, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1)^2, \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)^2) \\
&= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)) = \mathcal{K}(j).
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(j)^* &= \text{diag}(\overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0)}, \overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1)}, \dots, \overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)}) \\
&= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)) = \mathcal{K}(j).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

3 Characterizations of complete multiwindow discrete Gabor systems and multiwindow discrete Gabor frames

In this section we use all the notations already introduced Without introducing them again. Let $L, M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$ and denote $\mathbb{S}_N = \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{N}_N$. We characterise what $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ generates a complete Gabor system and a Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$. We first present the following proposition: **Proposition 2.** Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$. Then the integer-valued function $(j, \theta) \rightarrow \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta))$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic with

respect to j and 1-periodic with respect to θ . Moreover, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j). \quad (1)$$

For the proof, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 10. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k' \in \mathbb{N}_p$ and $r' \in \mathbb{N}_q$, we have:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j + k'M + r'N, \theta)).$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $C_k(j, \theta)$ the k -th column of $Z_g(j, \theta)$.

We have for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_q$, $z_{pM}g_l((j + k'M) + kM - rN, \theta) = z_{pM}g_l(j + (k + k_0)M - rN, \theta)$. If $0 \leq k \leq p - k' - 1$, then $C_k(j + k_0M, \theta) = C_{k+k_0}(j, \theta)$. Otherwise ($p - k' \leq k \leq p - 1$), we have by quasi-periodicity of the Zak transform $z_{pM}g_l((j + k'M) + kM - rN, \theta) = e^{-2\pi i \theta} \cdot z_{pM}g_l(j + (k + k' - p)M - rN, \theta)$. Then $C_k(j + k'M, \theta) = e^{-2\pi i \theta} \cdot C_{k+k'-p}(j, \theta)$.

Consider the map:

$$\phi: \mathbb{N}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_p \\ k \mapsto \begin{cases} k + k' & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq p - k' - 1, \\ k + k' - p & \text{if } p - k' \leq k \leq p - 1. \end{cases}$$

We show, easily, that ϕ is injective. It is, then, bijective. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) &= \text{rank}\{C_k(j, \theta) : k \in \mathbb{N}_p\} \\ &= \text{rank}\{C_k(j + k'M, \theta) : k \in \mathbb{N}_p\} \\ &= \text{rank}(Z_g(j + k'M, \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $R_r(j, \theta)$ the r -th row of $Z_g(j, \theta)$. Then there exists a unique $(l, r_0) \in \mathbb{N}_L \times \mathbb{N}_q$ such that $r = lq + r_0$. Then $(\forall j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}) R_r(j_0, \theta)$ is the r_0 -th row of $Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)$. We have $z_{pM}g_l((j + r'N) + kM - r_0N, \theta) = z_{pM}g_l(j + kM - (r_0 - r')N, \theta)$.

If $r' \leq r_0 \leq q - 1$, then $R_r(j + r'N, \theta)$ is the $(r_0 - r')$ -th row of $Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)$, thus $R_r(j + r'N, \theta) = R_{r-r'}(j, \theta)$. Otherwise ($0 \leq r_0 \leq r' - 1$), since $pM = qN$ and by quasi-periodicity of the Zak transform, we have: $z_{pM}g_l((j + r'N) + kM - r_0N, \theta) = z_{pM}g_l(j + kM - (r_0 - r' + q)N, \theta)$. Then $R_r(j + r'N, \theta)$ is the $(r_0 - r' + q)$ -th row of $Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)$, thus $R_r(j + r'N, \theta) = R_{r-r'+q}(j, \theta)$.

Consider the map:

$$\psi: \mathbb{N}_{qL} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{qL} \\ r \mapsto \begin{cases} r - r' & \text{if } lq + r' \leq r \leq (l+1)q - 1, \\ r - r' + q & \text{if } lq \leq r \leq lq + r' - 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that ψ is injective. Then it is bijective. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) &= \text{rank}\{R_r(j, \theta) : r \in \mathbb{N}_{qL}\} \\ &= \text{rank}\{R_r(j + r'N, \theta) : r \in \mathbb{N}_{qL}\} \\ &= \text{rank}(Z_g(j + r'N, \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k' \in \mathbb{N}_p$ and $r' \in \mathbb{N}_q$, we have:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j + k'M + r'N, \theta)).$$

□

Proof of proposition 2. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Given an arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. By lemma 4, there exists a unique $(k_0, m_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_q$ such that $s = k_0q + (m_0q + r_0)p$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}s, \theta) &= Z_g(j + k_0M + m_0pM + r_0N, \theta) \\ &= e^{2\pi im_0\theta} \cdot Z_g(j + k_0M + r_0N, \theta) \\ &= e^{2\pi im_0\theta} \cdot Z_g(j, \theta) \quad \text{lemma 10.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\text{rank}\left(Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}s, \theta)\right) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)).$$

The 1-periodicity with respect to θ is simply due to the 1-periodicity of the Zak transform with respect to θ . On the other hand, if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$, i.e. k is such that $j + kM \notin \mathbb{S}$, then, by $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodicity of \mathbb{S} , for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j + kM - rN \notin \mathbb{S}$, and thus for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_q$, $z_{pMgl}(j + kM - rN, \theta) = 0$ since $pM = qN$. Then the k -th column of $Z_g(j, \theta)$ is identically zero. Hence $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. □

Remark 1. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\{\mathbb{S}_N + nN\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a partition of \mathbb{S} , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \chi_{\mathbb{S}}(j + kM) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + nN + kM) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{np + kq}{q}M) \quad \text{since } N = \frac{pM}{q} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{M}{q}n) \quad \text{lemma 4.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic. Then the inequality (1) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

The following lemma is very useful for the rest.

Lemma 11. Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$.
2. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0$.

Where $F(j, \theta) := \overline{(z_{pM}f(j + kM, \theta))}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p}^t$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

Proof. We have:

f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N) \iff f$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g_l, M, N)$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$.

And we have:

$Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0 \iff Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$.

These equivalences together with lemma 3.1 in [4] complete the proof. \square

The following proposition characterizes complete multi-window Gabor systems on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 3. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.
2. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$.
3. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$.

Proof. By proposition 2, $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta))$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic with respect to j and 1-periodic with respect to θ . And by remark 1, $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic. Hence (2) \iff (3).

We will use the notations in lemma 11. It is obvious that for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $F(j, \theta) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ if and only if $f = 0$. Then by lemma 11, (1) is equivalent to the fact that: for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$,

$(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_M, \text{a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[, Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0) \implies (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_M, \text{a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[, F(j, \theta) = 0)$.

- (1) \implies (3) Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ and suppose, by contradiction, that (3) fails. Then by proposition 2, there exist $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $E_0 \subset [0, 1[$ with positive measure such that for all $\theta \in E_0$:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)) < \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_{j_0}).$$

For a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, denote $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta) : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of $Z_g(j_0, \theta)$. Let $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^p . Suppose that $F = \text{span}\{e_k : k \in \mathcal{K}_j\} \subset N(\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta))$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then $F \oplus N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$ is an orthogonal sum. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} p &\geq \dim(F \oplus N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))) \\ &= \dim F + \dim(N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))) \\ &= \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) + (p - \text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)) \geq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. Contradiction. Then there exist $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{j_0}$ and $E'_0 \subset [0, 1[$ with positive measure such that $e_{k_0} \notin N(\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta))$ for a.e $\theta \in E'_0$. i.e. $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \neq 0$ for a.e $\theta \in E'_0$. Define for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $F(j, \theta) = \delta_{j, j_0} \cdot \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0}$. Observe that if $k \in \mathbb{N}_p - \mathcal{K}_{j_0}$, then $e_k \in N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_p - \mathcal{K}_{j_0}$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_k = e_k$. Thus for $k \in \mathbb{N}_p - \mathcal{K}_j$, $F(j_0, \theta)_k = \langle F(j_0, \theta), e_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0}, e_k \rangle = \langle e_{k_0}, \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_k \rangle = \langle e_{k_0}, e_k \rangle = 0$. Define $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $z_{pM}f(j + kM, \theta) = F(j, \theta)_k$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then by lemma 1, $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Since $F(j_0, \theta) = \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \neq 0$ for all $\theta \in E'_0$ which is with

positive measure, then $f \neq 0$.

On the other hand, we have $Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. In fact, if $j \neq j_0$, then, by definition of F , for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $F(j, \theta) = 0$, hence $Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0$. Otherwise, $F(j_0, \theta) = \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0}$, then $Z_g(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta) = Z_g(j_0, \theta)\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} = 0$ since $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \in N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$. Then, by lemma 11, f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ but $f \neq 0$. Contradiction with (1).

(2) \implies (1) Assume (3) and let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$:

$$Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Let's prove that $F(j, \theta) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$. If $\mathcal{K}_j = \emptyset$, then by the definition of $z_{pM}f$ and since $pM = qN$, $F(j, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Otherwise, i.e. $\mathcal{K}_j \neq \emptyset$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_p - \mathcal{K}_j$, then, by the definition of the Zak transform and since $pM = qN$, the k -th column of $Z_g(j, \theta)$ is identically zero and we also have $z_{pM}f(j + kM, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. From (3), the submatrix of $Z_g(j, \theta)$ of size $qL \times \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ obtained by removing all the columns with indices not in \mathcal{K}_j has the same rank than $Z_g(j, \theta)$ which is $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. Then this submatrix is injective, thus, by equality (2), $z_{pM}f(j + kM, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_p$, $z_{pM}f(j + kM, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Hence $F(j, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. \square

Now we characterize multi-window Gabor frames for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ using the Zak transform.

Proposition 4. *Given $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bounds $0 < A \leq B$.
- 2.

$$\frac{A}{M} \mathcal{K}(j) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \mathcal{K}(j). \quad (3)$$

for all $j \in \frac{M}{q}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

3. The inequality (3) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

For the proof, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 12. *Denote $L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[)$ the set of functions F on $\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_{pM}$, $F(j, \cdot) \in L^\infty([0, 1[)$, and $\Delta := z_{pM}^{-1} \ell^2(\mathbb{S}) (L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[))$. Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bounds $A \leq B$.
2. For all $f \in \Delta$, we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \leq \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \quad (4)$$

Where $F(j, \theta)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, is as defined in lemma 11.

Proof. By density of $L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1])$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1])$ and by the unitarity of z_{pM} from $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1])$ (Since $pM = qN$, then \mathbb{S} is $qN\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic in \mathbb{Z}), Δ is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bounds $A \leq B$ if

and only if for all $f \in \Delta$, $A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle f, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - nN) \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2$. Let $f \in \Delta$, it is clear that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_q$, $Z_{g_l}(j, \cdot)F(j, \cdot)_r \in L^2([0, 1])$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle f, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - nN) \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle f, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - (r+nq)N) \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - (r+nq)N)) \rangle|^2 \quad \text{by unitarity of } z_{pM} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle|^2 \quad \text{since } qN = pM.
\end{aligned}$$

By a simple calculation, we obtain that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM))(j, \theta) = z_{pM} g_l(j - rN, \theta) e^{2\pi i n \theta} e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j}.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{pM-1} \int_0^1 z_{pM} f(j, \theta) \overline{z_{pM} g_l(j - rN, \theta)} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \cdot e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \int_0^1 z_{pM} f(j + kM, \theta) \overline{z_{pM} g_l(j + kM - rN, \theta)} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \cdot e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \cdot e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} T(j) \cdot e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \quad \text{where } T(j) = \int_0^1 \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that T is M -periodic. Since $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_M)$; the space of M -periodic sequences, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle T, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \|T\|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left| \int_0^1 \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{e^{2\pi i n \theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0, 1])$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_0^1 \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \right|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \cdot) F(j, \cdot)_r}, e^{2\pi i n \cdot} \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left\| \overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \cdot) F(j, \cdot)_r} \right\|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 |Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r|^2 d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} |Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)_r|^2 d\theta \\
&= M \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

The norm in the last line is the 2-norm in \mathbb{C}^q . Thus:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle z_{pM} f, z_{pM} (e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} g_l(\cdot - rN - npM)) \rangle|^2 = M \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta.$$

Hence:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle f, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot g_l(\cdot - nN)} \rangle|^2 = M \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, we have by unitarity of z_{pM} :

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &= \|z_{pM} f\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{pM-1} \int_0^1 |z_{pM} f(j, \theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \int_0^1 |z_{pM}(j + kM, \theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} |F(j, \theta)_k|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \end{aligned}$$

The norm in the last line is the 2-norm in \mathbb{C}^p . Thus:

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \quad (6)$$

Then, combining (5) and (6), the proof is completed. \square

Proof of proposition 4.

(1) \implies (3) Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then for all $f \in \Delta$,

$$\frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta.$$

Fix $x := \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $h \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, and define for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $F(j, \theta) := \{\delta_{j, j_0} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(k) x_k h(\theta)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p}$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta = \frac{A}{M} \|\mathcal{K}(j_0)x\|^2 \int_0^1 |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \frac{A}{M} \langle \mathcal{K}(j_0)x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta$$

(lemma 9). On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta &= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} |(Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta))_r|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} F(j_0, \theta)_k \right|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_{j_0}}(k) x_k h(\theta) \right|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} (\mathcal{K}(j_0)x)_k \right|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} |(Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)\mathcal{K}(j_0)x)_r|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)\mathcal{K}(j_0)x\|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)x\|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \quad \text{by lemma 8} \\
&= \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $f \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot \langle \mathcal{K}(j)x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot \langle \mathcal{K}(j)x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \quad (7)$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$ fixed, denote $C = \frac{A}{M} \cdot \langle \mathcal{K}(j)x, x \rangle$ and $D = \frac{B}{M} \cdot \langle \mathcal{K}(j)x, x \rangle$.

Assume, by contradiction, that

$$C > \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle, \quad (8)$$

on a subset of $[0, 1[$ with a positive measure. Denote $D = \{\theta \in [0, 1[: (8) \text{ holds}\}$.

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $D_k := \left\{ \theta \in [0, 1[: C - \frac{C}{k} \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle \leq C - \frac{C}{k-1} \right\}$.

It is clear that $\{D_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a partition for D . Since $mes(D) > 0$, then there

exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{mes}(D_k) > 0$. Let $h := \chi_{D_k}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta &= \int_{D_k} \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle d\theta \\ &\leq (C - \frac{C}{K}) \cdot \text{mes}(D_k) \\ &< C \cdot \text{mes}(D_k) \\ &= C \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \quad \text{Contradiction with (7)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, again by contradiction, that

$$D < \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle, \quad (9)$$

on a subset of $[0, 1[$ with a positive measure. Denote $D' = \{\theta \in [0, 1[: (9) \text{ holds}\}$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$D'_{k,m} := \left\{ \theta \in [0, 1[: D(k + \frac{1}{m+1}) \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle \leq D(k + \frac{1}{m}) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\{D'_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a partition for D' . Since $\text{mes}(D') > 0$, then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{mes}(D'_{k,m}) > 0$. Let $h := \chi_{D'_{k,m}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta &= \int_{D'_{k,m}} \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle d\theta \\ &\geq D(k + \frac{1}{m+1}) \cdot \text{mes}(D'_{k,m}) \\ &> D \cdot \text{mes}(D'_{k,m}) \\ &= D \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \quad \text{Contradiction with (7)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot \mathcal{K}(j) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot \mathcal{K}(j).$$

(3) \implies (1) Assume (3). For $f \in \Delta$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, the k -th component of $F(j, \theta)$ is zero if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$. Then $\mathcal{K}(j)F(j, \theta) = F(j, \theta)$. Then:

$$\frac{A}{M} \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta), F(j, \theta) \right\rangle \leq \frac{B}{M} \|F(j, \theta)\|^2.$$

Hence:

$$\frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2.$$

Then lemma 12 implies (1).

(3) \implies (2) Since $\mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \subset \mathbb{N}_M$.

(2) \implies (3) Assume (2). Then the inequality (3) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let's prove that it holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$. By Lemma 5, there exists a unique $(j', r', k', \ell') \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times \mathbb{N}_q \times \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $j = j' + k'M - r'N + \ell'qN$. Then, by the quasiperiodicity of the discrete Zak transform, we have, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, after a simple calculation:

$$(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta))_{k_1, k_2} = \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \overline{(Z_{qNg_l}(j' + (k_1 + k')M - (r + r')N, \theta))} (Z_{qNg_l}(j' + (k_2 + k')M - (r + r')N, \theta))$$

$$= \begin{cases} (Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j', \theta))_{k_1+k', k_2+k'}, & \text{if } k_1 + k' < p, k_2 + k' < p \\ e^{-2\pi i\theta} (Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j', \theta))_{k_1+k', k_2+k'-p}, & \text{if } k_1 + k' < p, k_2 + k' \geq p \\ e^{2\pi i\theta} (Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j', \theta))_{k_1+k'-p, k_2+k'}, & \text{if } k_1 + k' \geq p, k_2 + k' < p \\ (Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j', \theta))_{k_1+k'-p, k_2+k'-p}, & \text{if } k_1 + k' \geq p, k_2 + k' \geq p. \end{cases}$$

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_p$ and a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Define $V : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ by $Vx = y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{p-1})^t$:

$$y_k = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi i\theta} x_{k-k'+p}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < k' \\ x_{k-k'}, & \text{if } k' \leq k < p \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Then V is a unitary operator, and

$$\langle Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \rangle = \langle Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j', \theta)Vx, Vx \rangle,$$

for a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1[$ and all $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Then, by (2), we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \langle V^* \mathcal{K}(j') Vx, x \rangle \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)^* Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle \leq \frac{B}{M} \langle V^* \mathcal{K}(j') Vx, x \rangle, \quad (10)$$

for a.e. $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and each $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$. When $k + k' < p$, $k + k' \in \mathcal{K}_{j'}$ if and only if $j' + (k + k')M \in \mathbb{S}$, equivalently, $j' + k'M - r'N + \ell'qN + kM \in \mathbb{S}$, i.e. $j + kM \in \mathbb{S}$. Therefore, $k + k' \in \mathcal{K}_j$ if and only if $k \in \mathcal{K}_j$ when $k + k' < p$. Similarly, $k + k' - p \in \mathcal{K}_{j'}$ if and only if $k \in \mathcal{K}_j$ when $k + k' \geq p$. It follows that:

$$V^* \mathcal{K}(j') V = \mathcal{K}(j).$$

Which together with (10) gives (3). The proof is completed.

□

Remark 2. In the case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition (2) in the proposition 3 is equivalent to $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = p$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. And the condition (2) in the proposition 4 is equivalent to: For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$,

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot I_{p,p} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot I_{p,p}.$$

Where $I_{p,p}$ is the identity matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{p,p}$.

4 Admissibility conditions for a complete multiwindow Gabor system and a multiwindow Gabor frame

Note that, in what follows, we will use all the notations already introduced Without introducing them again. In this section, we study conditions for a periodic set \mathbb{S} to admit a complete multi-window Gabor system, and a multi-window Gabor frame. Let $L, M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$ and $p \wedge q = 1$.

In what follows, we give some useful lemmas for the rest.

Lemma 13.

1. $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \implies \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) \leq LM$.
2. Assume that $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Then:

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \iff \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM.$$

Proof.

1. Assume that $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{M}{q}n) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \quad \text{by remark 1} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{q} \cdot qL = LM. \end{aligned}$$

2. Assume that $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.

Assume that $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Then by the proof of (1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \\ &= \frac{M}{q} \cdot qL = LM. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$. Again by the proof of (1), we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM = \frac{M}{q} \cdot qL. \text{ Since } \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}},$$

then $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. □

Lemma 14. *Let $L, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be mutually disjoint. Denote $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E_l$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is complete in $\ell^2(E)$.
2. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is complete in $\ell^2(E_l)$.

Proof.

(1) \implies (2) Assume (1). Fix $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and let $f \in \ell^2(E_{l_0})$ be orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_{l_0}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$. Define $\bar{f} \in \ell^2(E)$ by $\bar{f}(j) = f(j)$ if $j \in E_{l_0}$ and 0 otherwise. It is clear that if $l \neq l_0$, \bar{f} is orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$. And we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{f}, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_{l_0}} \rangle &= \sum_{j \in E} \bar{f}(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_{l_0}}(j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in E_{l_0}} f(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_{l_0}}(j) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } f \text{ is orthogonal to } \{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_{l_0}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \bar{f} is orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ which is complete in $\ell^2(E)$, thus $\bar{f} = 0$ on E , and then $f = 0$ on E_{l_0} .

(2) \implies (1) Assume (2) and let $h \in \ell^2(E)$ be orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, define $h_l \in \ell^2(E_l)$ as the restriction of h on E_l , i.e. $h_l := h|_{E_l}$. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. Since h is orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$, then $\sum_{j \in E} h(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_l}(j) = 0$, then $\sum_{j \in E_l} h(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_l}(j) = 0$, thus $\sum_{j \in E_l} h_l(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_l}(j) = \langle h_l, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l} \rangle = 0$. Hence h_l is orthogonal to $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ which is complete in $\ell^2(E_l)$. Hence $h_l = 0$ on E_l . This for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, therefore $h = 0$ on E . Hence $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is complete in $\ell^2(E)$.

□

Lemma 15. Let $L, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be mutually disjoint. Denote $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E_l$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M .
2. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E_l)$ with frame bound M .

Proof.

- (1) \implies (2) Assume (1). Fix $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and let $f \in \ell^2(E_{l_0})$. Define $\bar{f} \in \ell^2(E)$ by $\bar{f}(j) = f(j)$ if $j \in E_{l_0}$ and 0 otherwise. It is clear that $\langle \bar{f}, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l} \rangle = 0$ if $l \neq l_0$ and that $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|$. Together with the fact that $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} M\|f\|^2 &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left| \sum_{j \in E} \bar{f}(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_{l_0}}(j) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left| \sum_{j \in E_{l_0}} f(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \chi_{E_{l_0}}(j) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle f, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_{l_0}} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_{l_0}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E_{l_0})$ with frame bound M . And this for all $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}_L$.

- (2) \implies (1) Assume (2). Let $h \in \ell^2(E)$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, define $h_l \in \ell^2(E_l)$ as the restriction of h on E_l , i.e. $h_l = h|_{E_l}$. We have $\sum_{j \in E} |h(j)|^2 = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{j \in E_l} |h_l(j)|^2$, then $\|h\|^2 = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|h_l\|^2$. It is also clear that $\langle h, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l} \rangle = \langle h_l, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l} \rangle$. Since For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E_l)$ with frame bound M , then for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, we have $M\|h_l\|^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle h_l, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l} \rangle|^2$. Hence:

$$M\|h\|^2 = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle h, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l} \rangle|^2.$$

This for all $h \in \ell^2(E)$. Hence $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M .

□

Lemma 16. Let $L, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be mutually disjoint. Denote $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E_l$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M .
2. $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \cdot \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is complete in $\ell^2(E)$.
3. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, E_l is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M .
4. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{E_l}(\cdot + kM) \leq 1$ on \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. It is a direct result of lemma 6, lemma 14 and lemma 15 together. \square

The following proposition presents a characterization for the admissibility of \mathbb{S} to admit a complete multi-window Gabor system $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$.

Proposition 5. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. There exist $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.
2. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, we have:

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL. \quad (11)$$

3. (5) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By remark 1, $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic. Then (2) \iff (3).

(1) \implies (2) Assume (1). Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Then by proposition 3, $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) \leq qL$ since $Z_g(j, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{qL, p}$.

(2) \implies (1) Assume (2). By proposition 3, it suffices to find a matrix-valued function $Z : \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{qL, p}$ such that $Z(j, \cdot)_{r, k} \in L^2([0, 1[)$ for all $(j, r, k) \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times \mathbb{N}_{qL} \times \mathbb{N}_p$ and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$, the k -th column of $Z(j, \cdot)$ is identically zero, and such that $\text{rank}(Z(j, \theta)) = \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. Indeed, in this case, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, define Z_l as the matrix-valued function $Z_l : \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q, p}$ defined for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\theta \in [0, 1[$ by $Z_l(j, \theta) := Z(j, \theta)_{lq \leq r \leq (l+1)q-1, 0 \leq k \leq p-1}$. Then by lemma 10, there exists a unique $g_l \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $Z_{g_l} = Z_l$. Denote $g = \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$, then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $Z(j, \theta) = Z_g(j, \theta)$, hence by proposition 3, $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ since $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$.

For the existence of a such matrix-valued function: Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Define a $qL \times p$ constant matrix-valued function $Z(j, \cdot) := (Z^0(j, \cdot), Z^1(j, \cdot), \dots, Z^{p-1}(j, \cdot))$ on $[0, 1[$, where $Z^k(j, \cdot)$ is the k -th column of $Z(j, \cdot)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_p$, such that $Z^k(j, \cdot) = 0$ if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$ and $\{Z^k(j, \cdot), k \in \mathcal{K}_j\}$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{C}^{qL} . This is possible since $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$. Then for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\text{rank}(Z(j, \theta)) = \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. Hence we obtain the desired matrix-valued function Z . \square

Proposition 6. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. There exist $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ mutually disjoint such that $\mathcal{G}(\{\chi_{E_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bound M .
2. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, we have:

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL. \quad (12)$$

3. (5) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By remark 1, $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic. Then (2) \iff (3).

(1) \implies (2) By proposition 5.

(2) \implies (1) It suffices to find $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ mutually disjoint such that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, E_l is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M and $E := \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E_l$ is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to

\mathbb{S}_N . In fact, in this case, we have $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell^2(E + nN)$ and, by lemma 16,

$\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E)$ with frame bound M . Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}(\cdot - nN)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(E + nN)$ with frame bound M . Hence, by similar arguments used in the proof of lemma 15, $\mathcal{G}(\{\chi_{E_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N) := \{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M}} \chi_{E_l}(\cdot - nN)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}_M, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ is a tight frame for $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell^2(E + nN) = \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bound M .

For the construction of the desired E_l :

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Let K be the maximal integer satisfying $Kq \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_K$, define \mathcal{K}_j^l as the set of the $(l+1)$ -th q elements of \mathcal{K}_j , \mathcal{K}_j^K as the set of the rest elements of \mathcal{K}_j and for $l \in \mathbb{N}_L - \mathbb{N}_{K+1}$, take $\mathcal{K}_j^l = \emptyset$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ such that $\mathcal{K}_j^l \neq \emptyset$, write $\mathcal{K}_j^l := \{k_{l,j,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}\}$ and choose $\{r_{l,j,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}\} \subset \mathbb{N}_q$ such that $r_{l,j,i} \neq r_{l,j,i'}$ if $i \neq i'$. This choice is guaranteed since $\text{car}(\mathcal{K}_j^l) \leq q$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, define:

$$E_j^l = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \mathcal{K}_j^l = \emptyset \\ \{j + k_{l,j,i}M - r_{l,j,i}N : i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Take for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $E_l := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} E_j^l$.

\rightarrow Let's show that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, E_l is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M . Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. For this, it suffices to show that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}$, we have:

$$M|(j + k_{l,j,i}M - r_{l,j,i}N) - (j' + k_{l,j',i'}M - r_{l,j',i'}N) \implies j = j' \text{ and } i = i'.$$

Let $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}$ and suppose that $M|(j + k_{l,j,i}M - r_{l,j,i}N) - (j' + k_{l,j',i'}M - r_{l,j',i'}N)$. Then $M|j - j' + (k_{l,j,i} - k_{l,j',i'})M - (r_{l,j,i} - r_{l,j',i'})N$.

Put $s = \frac{M}{q}$, then $M = sq$ and $N = sp$. Thus $sq|j - j' + (k_{l,j,i} - k_{l,j',i'})sq - (r_{l,j,i} - r_{l,j',i'})sp$, then $s|j - j'$, hence $j = j'$ since $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_s$. On the other hand, we have $sq|(r_{l,j,i} - r_{l,j',i'})sp$, then $q|(r_{l,j,i} - r_{l,j',i'})p$, thus $q|r_{l,j,i} - r_{l,j',i'}$ since $p \wedge q = 1$, hence $r_{l,j,i} = r_{l,j',i'}$ since $r_{l,j,i}, r_{l,j',i'} \in \mathbb{N}_q$. And then $i = i'$.

Hence for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, E_l is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M .

\rightarrow Let's prove now that $E = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E_l$ is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to \mathbb{S}_N . We show first that E is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_N . For this, let $(l, j, i), (l', j', i') \in \mathbb{N}_L \times \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times$

$\mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)}$ and suppose that $N|(j-j') + (k_{l,j,i} - k_{l',j',i'})M - (r_{l,j,i} - r_{l',j',i'})N$. Put $s = \frac{M}{q}$, then $M = sq$ and $N = sp$. Thus $sp|j-j' + (k_{l,j,i} - k_{l',j',i'})sq - (r_{l,j,i} - r_{l',j',i'})sp$, then $s|j-j'$, hence $j = j'$ since $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_s$. On the other hand, we have $sp|(k_{l,j,i} - k_{l',j',i'})sq$, then $p|k_{l,j,i} - k_{l',j',i'}$, hence $k_{l,j,i} = k_{l',j',i'}$ since $k_{l,j,i}, k_{l',j',i'} \in \mathbb{N}_p$. Then $l = l'$ and $i = i'$ by definition of the elements $k_{l,j,i}$. Thus E is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_N . Observe that $E \subset \mathbb{S}$, then E is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{S}_N . By what above, we have, in particular, that the E_j^l are mutually disjoint (and also the E_l are mutually disjoint). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{card}(E) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{M}{q}n) \quad \text{remark 1} \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j) \\
&= \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence E is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to \mathbb{S}_N . □

The following result presents an admissibility characterization for \mathbb{S} to admit a multi-window Gabor (Parseval) frame $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$.

Corollary 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *There exist $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.*
2. *There exist $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.*
3. *For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ (for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$), we have:*

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL.$$

Proof. We have (1) implies (2). And since a frame is in particular a complete sequence, then (2) implies (3) by proposition 5. And by proposition 6, (3) implies the existence of $\emptyset \neq E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\{\chi_{E_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a tight frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bound M . Hence $\mathcal{G}(\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \chi_{E_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. □

The following proposition presents a characterization for the admissibility of \mathbb{S} to admit a L -window Gabor basis and L -window Gabor orthonormal basis $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$.

Proposition 7. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. There exist $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.
2. There exist $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.
3. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ (for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$), we have:

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL.$$

Proof. It is well known that (1) implies (2). Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, then by corollary 1 we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$) $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$. And by proposition 1, we have $\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$. Then by lemma 13, we have $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL$. Hence (2) implies (3). Assume that $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL$. Then by corollary 1, There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. By lemma 13, we have that $\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$ and then by proposition 1, $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, then is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ (lemma 7). Hence (3) implies (1). \square

Remark 3. In the case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition (3) in the corollary 1 is equivalent to $p \leq Lq$ which is equivalent to $N \leq LM$. Then we obtain the proposition 3.5 in [3]. And also the condition (3) in the proposition 7 is equivalent to $N = LM$. Then we obtain the proposition 3.11 in [3].

We finish this work by the following example:

Example 1. In this example, we use the notations already introduced in what above. Let $M = 3$ and $N = 5$. Let $\mathbb{S} = \{0, 1, 2, 4\} + 5\mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $p = 5$ and $q = 3$. Then $\frac{M}{q} = 1$, then $\mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} = \{0\}$. We have clearly $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_0) = 4 > q$. Then, by corollary 1, there does not exist a Gabor frame with a signe window for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, but by the same corollary, we can always find a Multiwindow Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with L -window for all $L \geq 2$ since $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = 4 \leq 3 \times 2 = 6$. Here is an example of 2-window Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.

Define $g_0 := \chi_{\{-1, 0, 1\}}$ and $g_1 := \chi_{\{-4, 4, 12\}}$, since $-1, 0, 1, -4, 4, 12 \in \mathbb{S}$, then $g_0, g_1 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Observe, also, that $\mathbb{S} = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, -, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14\} + 15\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have g_0 vanishes on $\{-10, -5, -4, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13\} + 15\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-1, 0, 1\} + 15(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$, and g_1 vanishes on $\{-10, -5, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13\} + 15\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-4, 4, 12\} + 15(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$. Then, after a simple computation, we have for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$:

$$Z_{g_0}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z_{g_1}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Then for all $x := \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we have: $\langle Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle = |x_0|^2 + |x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2$ and $\langle Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle = |x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2 + |x_4|^2$. Then $\langle Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle + \langle Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle = |x_0|^2 + 2|x_2|^2 + 2|x_3|^2 + |x_4|^2$. Since $\langle \mathcal{K}(0)x, x \rangle = |x_0|^2 + |x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2 + |x_4|^2$, then

we obtain:

$$\langle \mathcal{K}(0)x, x \rangle \leq \langle Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle + \langle Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)^* Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)x, x \rangle \leq 2\langle \mathcal{K}(0)x, x \rangle.$$

Hence, by proposition 4, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_0, g_1\}, 2, 3, 5)$ is a 2-window Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bounds 3 and 6.

Acknowledgments

It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper and for several helpful suggestions.

Ethics declarations

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Fundings

Not applicable.

References

- [1] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [2] C. Heil, *A Discrete Zak Transform*, Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 1999, pp. 1465-1468.
- [3] N. Khachiaa, M. Rossafi and S. Kabbaj, *Multi-window Gabor frames on discrete periodic sets*, arXiv:2407.05495v1 [math.FA] 07 Jul 2024.
- [4] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Gabor systems on discrete periodic sets*, Sci. China Ser. A, 52(2009), 1639-1660.
- [5] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Tight Gabor sets on discrete periodic sets*, Acta Appl. Math., 107 (2009), 105-119.
- [6] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Multindow Gabor frames and oblique Gabor duals on discrete periodic sets*, Sci. China Math., 54(2011), 987-1010.

- [7] Q.-F. Lian, J. Gong and M.-H. You, *Time domain characterization of multi-window Gabor systems on discrete periodic sets*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 44(1):47-76, February 2013.
- [8] Q.-F. Lian and Y.-Z. Li, *The duals of Gabor frames on discrete periodic sets*, J. Math. Phys., 50(2009), 013534, 22pp.