






Doubly heavy tetraquark bound and resonant states

Wei-Lin Wu ^{1,*} Yao Ma ^{2,†} Yan-Ke Chen ^{1,‡} Lu Meng ^{3,§} and Shi-Lin Zhu ^{2,¶}

¹*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

²*School of Physics and Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

³*Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

We calculate the energy spectrum of the S-wave doubly heavy tetraquark systems, including the $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{q}$, and $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ ($Q^{(\prime)} = b, c$ and $q = u, d$) systems within the constituent quark model. We use the complex scaling method to obtain bound states and resonant states simultaneously, and the Gaussian expansion method to solve the complex-scaled four-body Schrödinger equation. With a novel definition of the root-mean-square radii, we are able to distinguish between meson molecules and compact tetraquark states. The compact tetraquarks are further classified into three different types with distinct spatial configurations: compact even tetraquarks, compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquarks and compact diquark-centered tetraquarks. In the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system, there exists the D^*D molecular bound state with a binding energy of -14 MeV, which is the candidate for $T_{cc}(3875)^+$. The shallow $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ molecular bound state is the bottom analogue of $T_{cc}(3875)^+$. Moreover, we identify two resonant states near the D^*D^* and $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ thresholds. In the $J^P = 1^+$ $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ ($I = 0$) and $bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$ systems, we obtain deeply bound states with a compact diquark-centered tetraquark configuration and a dominant $\chi_{\bar{3}_c\otimes\bar{3}_c}$ component, along with resonant states with similar configurations as their radial excitations. These states are the QCD analogue of the helium atom. We also obtain some other bound states and resonant states with “QCD Hydrogen molecule” configurations. Moreover, we investigate the heavy quark mass dependence of the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ bound states. We strongly urge the experimental search for the predicted states.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2021, the LHCb Collaboration discovered the first doubly charmed tetraquark state $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ in the $D^0D^0\pi^+$ invariant mass spectrum [1, 2]. It is a narrow state with a mass extremely close to the $D^{*+}D^0$ threshold, having a binding energy of only around -300 keV. The observation of $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ significantly advances the hadron spectroscopy and may open a new chapter for the discovery of other doubly heavy exotic states in the future.

Theoretical investigations on the possible existence of doubly heavy tetraquark bound states dated back to the 1980s [3–6]. Many studies aimed at predicting the masses of doubly charmed tetraquark states using various frameworks [7–35], but their conclusions were wildly inconsistent, with the predicted masses ranging from -300 MeV to $+300$ MeV relative to the DD^* threshold. After the discovery of $T_{cc}(3875)^+$, its exotic properties have attracted much attention and reignited interest in doubly heavy tetraquark states [36–66]. The proximity of $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ to the $D^{*+}D^0$ threshold favors its interpretation as a D^*D molecular state, while for other doubly heavy tetraquark systems, both the compact tetraquark picture and the hadronic molecular picture have been proposed. More discussions can be found in recent reviews [67–72].

The discovery of the doubly charmed tetraquark bound state implies the existence of other doubly heavy tetraquark states. While many studies focus on the existence and properties of

bound states, some also explore possible resonant states. In Ref. [32], the authors employed the complex scaling method to study the $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d$) bound and resonant states in the chiral quark model. However, they predicted a deeply bound $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ state with a binding energy of around -150 MeV, which contradicts with the experimental results. In Ref. [42], the author used the heavy quark spin symmetry to predict a resonant pole T'_{cc} below the D^*D^* channel as a partner of $T_{cc}(3875)$. Similar results were obtained in the constituent quark model [63] and lattice QCD [64]. In Ref. [58], the authors adopted a constituent quark model including the one-pion exchange interaction to study the $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems using the real scaling method. They did not find any resonant states in the doubly charmed sector, but reported a $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ resonant state.

In this work, we conduct a comprehensive study on the S-wave doubly heavy tetraquark systems, including the $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{q}$, and $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ ($Q^{(\prime)} = b, c$ and $q = u, d$) systems, within the constituent quark model. We utilize the complex scaling method [73–75] to obtain possible bound states and resonant states simultaneously. We employ the Gaussian expansion method [76] to solve the four-body Schrödinger equation, which has been successfully used in our previous work on tetraquark bound states [77] and resonant states [78–81]. Moreover, we calculate the root-mean-square radii of the tetraquark states to analyze their spatial structures and distinguish between meson molecular states and compact tetraquark states. We further classify the compact tetraquark states into three different types with distinct spatial configurations, unraveling the rich internal structures and different forming mechanisms of the tetraquark states.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the theoretical framework, including the constituent quark model, the complex scaling method and the wave function construction. In Sec. III, we demonstrate different spatial

* wlu@pku.edu.cn

† yaoma@pku.edu.cn

‡ chenyanke@stu.pku.edu.cn

§ lu.meng@rub.de

¶ zhushi@pku.edu.cn

structures of tetraquarks and how to distinguish them by calculating the root-mean-square radii. In Sec. IV, we present the numerical results and discuss the properties of doubly heavy tetraquark states. We summarize our findings in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Hamiltonian

In a nonrelativistic quark potential model, the Hamiltonian of a tetraquark system in the center-of-mass frame reads

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} \right) + \sum_{i<j=1}^4 V_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where the last term represents the two-body interaction between the i -th and j -th (anti)quark. We adopt the AL1 potential [82, 83], which includes the one-gluon-exchange interaction and a linear quark confinement interaction,

$$V_{ij} = -\frac{3}{16} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_j \left(-\frac{\kappa}{r_{ij}} + \lambda r_{ij} - \Lambda + \frac{8\pi\kappa'}{3m_i m_j} \frac{\exp(-r_{ij}^2/r_0^2)}{\pi^{3/2} r_0^3} \boldsymbol{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i$ and \boldsymbol{S}_i are the SU(3) color Gell-Mann matrix and the spin operator acting on quark i , respectively. The parameters of the AL1 model were determined by fitting the meson spectra across all flavor sectors [83]. No additional free parameters are introduced. The theoretical masses as well as the root-mean-square (rms) radii of the corresponding mesons are listed in Table I. It can be seen that the theoretical results for the $1S$ mesons agree with the experimental values within tens of MeV. We also list possible experimental candidates for the $2S$ excited mesons, whose theoretical masses deviate from the experimental values by up to 100 MeV. However, these candidates are not yet well established as $2S$ mesons. Moreover, relativistic effects and coupled-channel effects may play a crucial role in understanding these excited mesons, which is beyond the scope of this work. Nonetheless, since we focus only on the tetraquark states below the $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson thresholds in this work, we expect the uncertainties to be within tens of MeV, similar to those of the $1S$ mesons.

B. Complex scaling method

In contrast to bound states, the wave functions of resonant states are not square-integrable and cannot be obtained by solving the eigenequation of the Hermitian Hamiltonian directly. The complex scaling method (CSM) enables us to obtain possible bound states and resonant states simultaneously. In the CSM [73–75], the coordinate \boldsymbol{r} and its conjugate momentum \boldsymbol{p} are transformed as

$$U(\theta)\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{r}e^{i\theta}, \quad U(\theta)\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{p}e^{-i\theta}. \quad (3)$$

TABLE I. The masses (in MeV) and rms radii (in fm) of singly heavy mesons in the AL1 quark model, compared with the experimental results taken from Ref. [84]. The “†” indicates possible experimental candidates for the $2S$ excited mesons [72, 85].

Mesons	$m_{\text{Exp.}}$	m_{AL1}	$r_{\text{AL1}}^{\text{rms}}$	Mesons	$m_{\text{Exp.}}$	m_{AL1}	$r_{\text{AL1}}^{\text{rms}}$
D	1867	1862	0.61	$D(2S)$	2549†	2643	1.23
D^*	2009	2016	0.70	$D^*(2S)$	2627†	2715	1.27
D_s	1968	1965	0.50	$D_s(2S)$	2591†	2663	1.03
D_s^*	2112	2103	0.57	$D_s^*(2S)$	2714†	2721	1.06
B	5279	5293	0.62	$B(2S)$	5863†	6013	1.20
B^*	5325	5350	0.66	$B^*(2S)$	5971†	6041	1.21
B_s	5367	5362	0.49	$B_s(2S)$...	5998	0.98
B_s^*	5415	5419	0.52	$B_s^*(2S)$...	6022	0.99

Under such a transformation, the Hamiltonian is analytically continued to the complex plane and no longer Hermitian,

$$H(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2 e^{-2i\theta}}{2m_i} \right) + \sum_{i<j=1}^4 V_{ij}(r_{ij} e^{i\theta}). \quad (4)$$

By solving the eigenvalue equation of the complex-scaled Hamiltonian, we can obtain the eigenenergies of bound states, scattering states and resonant states simultaneously. Bound states are located on the negative real axis in the energy plane and remain unchanged as θ varies. Scattering states align along rays starting from threshold energies with $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. If the complex scaling angle θ is chosen to be larger than the angle of the resonant state $\theta_r = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}(\Gamma_r/2M_r)$, where M_r and Γ_r represent its mass and width, the wave function of the resonant state becomes square integrable and can be obtained with eigenenergy $E_r = M_r - i\Gamma_r/2$.

C. Wave function

The basis functions of the tetraquark wave function are expressed as

$$\psi = \mathcal{A}(\phi \otimes \chi), \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the antisymmetric operator of identical particles, ϕ and χ represent the spatial wave function and color-spin wave function, respectively.

For the spatial wave function, we employ the Gaussian expansion method (GEM) [76]. We consider three sets of spatial configurations (dimeson and diquark-antidiquark), which are denoted by (jac) = (a), (b), (c). In each configuration, there are three independent Jacobian coordinates $r_{jac}, \lambda_{jac}, \rho_{jac}$, as shown in Fig. 1. The S-wave spatial basis function is written as

$$\phi_{n_1, n_2, n_3}^{(\text{jac})} = \phi_{n_1}(r_{jac}) \phi_{n_2}(\lambda_{jac}) \phi_{n_3}(\rho_{jac}), \quad (6)$$

where $\phi_{n_i}(r)$ takes the Gaussian form,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n_i}(r) &= N_{n_i} e^{-\nu_{n_i} r^2}, \\ \nu_{n_i} &= \nu_1 \gamma^{n_i-1} \quad (n_i = 1 \sim n_{\text{max}}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

N_{n_i} is the normalization factor.

For the color-spin wave function, we choose a complete set of bases written as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes \bar{3}_c}^{s_1, s_2, S} &= [(Q_1 Q_2)_{\bar{3}_c}^{s_1} (\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2)_{\bar{3}_c}^{s_2}]_{1_c}^S, \\ \chi_{\bar{6}_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}^{s_1, s_2, S} &= [(Q_1 Q_2)_{\bar{6}_c}^{s_1} (\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2)_{\bar{6}_c}^{s_2}]_{1_c}^S,\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where the subscripts and superscripts denote the color and spin representations, respectively.




FIG. 1. The Jacobian coordinates for two types of spatial configurations: (a), (b) for the meson configurations, and (c) for the diquark-antidiquark configuration.

III. SPATIAL STRUCTURES

Tetraquark states are generally classified into meson molecules and compact tetraquarks. In the molecular scheme, the (anti)quarks cluster into two color-singlet mesons, and their relative distance is expected to be larger than the typical range of color confinement, $\Lambda_{QCD}^{-1} \sim 1$ fm, which is also the typical size of a meson. In contrast, in the compact tetraquark scheme, all four (anti)quarks are confined together, with their relative distances being on the order of Λ_{QCD}^{-1} . The compact tetraquark scheme can be further subdivided into several types, particularly for doubly heavy systems, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In compact even tetraquarks, the relative distance between four (anti)quarks is of similar size. In compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquarks, two quarks and two antiquarks form two clusters, respectively. The sizes of the clusters are smaller than the distance between them. In compact diquark-centered tetraquarks, the two heavy quarks form a very compact diquark cluster, while the two light antiquarks orbit around the diquark, similar to the way two electrons orbit around the nucleus in a helium atom. The compact diquark-centered tetraquarks correspond to the ‘‘QCD Helium atom’’ in Ref. [69]. The compact even tetraquarks roughly correspond to the ‘‘QCD Hydrogen molecule’’ states as coined in Ref. [69]. The two light antiquarks are shared by two heavy quarks as in the Hydrogen molecule where two electrons are shared by two protons. It should be noted that in this paper (anti)diquark only refers to two (anti)quarks that form a spatially compact cluster, rather than the color-spin-isospin configuration of two (anti)quarks as discussed in Ref. [86].

The root-mean-square (rms) radius is a commonly used criterion to analyze the spatial structures of tetraquark states and distinguish between different tetraquark configurations.

However, we found that the conventional rms radii calculated using the complete wave function could be misleading and fail to identify molecular configuration due to the antisymmetrization of identical particles [78, 79]. In order to eliminate the ambiguity, we proposed a new approach to calculate the rms radius. For systems without identical particles such as $bc\bar{s}\bar{q}$, such an ambiguity does not exist and we can calculate the rms radii using the complete wave function directly. For systems with one pair of identical particles ($bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ ($qq\bar{b}\bar{c}$), $bc\bar{s}\bar{s}$ ($ss\bar{b}\bar{c}$), $cc\bar{s}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$), we decompose the complete antisymmetric wave function as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\theta) &= [(q_1 \bar{q}')_{1_c} (q_2 \bar{q}'')_{1_c}]_{1_c} \otimes |\psi_1(\theta)\rangle \\ &\quad + [(q_2 \bar{q}')_{1_c} (q_1 \bar{q}'')_{1_c}]_{1_c} \otimes |\psi_2(\theta)\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{A} [(q_1 \bar{q}')_{1_c} (q_2 \bar{q}'')_{1_c}]_{1_c} \otimes |\psi_1(\theta)\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A} \Psi_{nA}(\theta).\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

For systems with two pairs of identical particles ($cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{s}\bar{s}$, $bb\bar{s}\bar{s}$), we decompose the complete antisymmetric wave function as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\theta) &= \sum_{s_1 \geq s_2} ([(Q_1 \bar{q}_1)_{1_c}^{s_1} (Q_2 \bar{q}_2)_{1_c}^{s_2}]_{1_c}^S \otimes |\psi_1^{s_1 s_2}(\theta)\rangle \\ &\quad + [(Q_1 \bar{q}_1)_{1_c}^{s_2} (Q_2 \bar{q}_2)_{1_c}^{s_1}]_{1_c}^S \otimes |\psi_2^{s_1 s_2}(\theta)\rangle \\ &\quad + [(Q_1 \bar{q}_2)_{1_c}^{s_1} (Q_2 \bar{q}_1)_{1_c}^{s_2}]_{1_c}^S \otimes |\psi_3^{s_1 s_2}(\theta)\rangle \\ &\quad + [(Q_1 \bar{q}_2)_{1_c}^{s_2} (Q_2 \bar{q}_1)_{1_c}^{s_1}]_{1_c}^S \otimes |\psi_4^{s_1 s_2}(\theta)\rangle) \\ &= \mathcal{A} \sum_{s_1 \geq s_2} [(Q_1 \bar{q}_1)_{1_c}^{s_1} (Q_2 \bar{q}_2)_{1_c}^{s_2}]_{1_c}^S \otimes |\psi_1^{s_1 s_2}(\theta)\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A} \Psi_{nA}(\theta),\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

where s_1, s_2 sum over spin configurations with total spin S . Instead of using the complete wave function $\Psi(\theta)$, we use the decomposed non-antisymmetric wave function $\Psi_{nA}(\theta)$ to calculate the rms radius:

$$r_{ij}^{\text{rms}} \equiv \text{Re} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\langle \Psi_{nA}(\theta) | r_{ij}^2 e^{2i\theta} | \Psi_{nA}(\theta) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{nA}(\theta) | \Psi_{nA}(\theta) \rangle}} \right]. \quad (11)$$

For a meson molecule, r_{13}^{rms} and r_{24}^{rms} are expected to be the sizes of the constituent mesons, and much smaller than the other rms radii. The novel definition of the rms radius is useful for distinguishing the molecular configuration from the others. For a compact tetraquark state, it may seem more reasonable to calculate the rms radii using the complete wave function. However, we find that the results from the conventional definition and the novel definition are qualitatively the same for compact tetraquarks, as shown in Appendix A. Therefore, we will only use the novel definition to calculate the rms radii.

The inner products in the CSM are defined using the c-product [87],

$$\langle \phi_n | \phi_m \rangle \equiv \int \phi_n(r) \phi_m(r) d^3r, \quad (12)$$

where the complex conjugate of the ‘‘bra’’ state is not taken. The c-product definition ensures that the expectation values




FIG. 2. Categorization of spatial structures of tetraquark states. The blue background represents the range of the confinement.

of physical quantities are independent of the complex scaling angle θ . The rms radius calculated by the c-product is generally not real, but its real part can still reflect the internal quark clustering behavior if the width of the resonant state is not too large, as discussed in Refs. [88, 89].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$

With the CSM, we calculate the complex eigenenergies of the S-wave $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems. The results for $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ are shown in Figs. 3, 4 and 5, respectively. We only focus on the energy spectra below the $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson thresholds. We identify resonant states by varying complex scaling angles θ . We obtain a series of $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ bound and resonant states, which are labeled as $T_{QQ^{(\prime)},I(J)}(M)$ in the following discussions, where M represents the mass of the state. The complex energies, proportions of different color configurations and rms radii of these states are summarized in Table II.

1. $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$

For the $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system, we obtain one bound state, three resonant states with quantum number $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ and a resonant state with $I(J^P) = 1(2^+)$. The isoscalar bound state $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$ is located 14 MeV below the D^*D threshold. It has a molecular configuration, with $r_{c_1\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$ and $r_{c_2\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$ close to the sizes of D^* and D mesons, respectively, indicating that it is a D^*D molecular state. Comparing with the experimentally observed $T_{cc}(3875)^+$, whose binding energy is around -300 keV and characteristic size is around 7 fm [2], $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$ is lower in energy and smaller in size. However, considering that the uncertainties of quark model are up to tens of MeV, it may still serve as a candidate for $T_{cc}(3875)^+$. The discrepancies suggest that improvements of the constituent quark model are needed to better describe the long-range interactions between hadrons. Additionally, in Section IV D, we calculate the rms radii of $T_{QQ,0(1)}$ with different binding energies by varying the heavy quark mass. When the binding energy is adjusted to match that of the experimental $T_{cc}(3875)^+$, the distance between the (anti)quarks in D and D^* is approximately

6 fm. This result is in excellent agreement with the characteristic size estimated in Ref. [2], further supporting the interpretation of the $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ as a very loosely bound molecular state.

We obtain an isoscalar resonant state $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ with a width of $\Gamma = 54$ MeV, located 1 MeV below the D^*D^* threshold. This state is in agreement with the results from previous works using heavy quark spin symmetry [42], constituent quark model [63] and lattice QCD [64]. It can be searched for in the D^*D and $DD\pi$ channel in future experiments. One may expect that $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ is the partner of the D^*D molecular bound state $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$ and have a D^*D^* molecular configuration. However, the rms radii for $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ is highly numerically unstable and change dramatically as θ varies. The numerical instability of the rms radii may result from the state being sandwiched between the D^*D and D^*D^* thresholds, which makes it strongly coupled to the scattering states. Calculations with higher numerical precision are needed to identify its spatial structure.

We also obtain three higher resonant states $T_{cc,0(1)}(4466)$, $T_{cc,0(1)}(4542)$, and $T_{cc,1(2)}(4673)$, which have compact tetraquark configurations. The state $T_{cc,1(2)}(4673)$ has 10% isovector $(\bar{q}\bar{q})_{6_c}^1$ component, where the spatial wave function between two light antiquarks must be antisymmetric. Similar configurations also appear in other $2^+ QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ states. Antisymmetric spatial wave function is possible in our S-wave calculations since we only restrict the total orbital angular momentum to be S-wave. The states $T_{cc,0(1)}(4466)$ and $T_{cc,0(1)}(4542)$ can decay into the D^*D and D^*D^* channels while the isovector state can only decay into the D^*D^* channel. These three states were not found in Ref. [63], where the authors used the same constituent quark model as we do. The reason for the discrepancies may be that we use a larger set of wave function bases compared to the previous work, as discussed in Ref. [79]. Future experimental explorations of these states may help resolve the discrepancies between different methods.

2. $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$

We first focus on the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system, which is the bottom analogue of the T_{cc} state. We obtain a deeply bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$ with a binding energy of -153 MeV, and a shallow bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$ with a bind-

TABLE II. The complex energies $E = M - i\Gamma/2$ (in MeV), proportions of different color configurations and rms radii (in fm) of the $Q_1Q_2\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2$ bound and resonant states. The fourth column shows the binding energies ΔE of the bound states. The last column shows the spatial configurations of the states, where C.E., C.DA., C.DC. and M. represent compact even tetraquark, compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquark, compact diquark-centered tetraquark and molecular configurations, respectively. The constituent mesons of the molecular states are shown in the parentheses. The “?” indicates that the rms radii results are numerically unstable as the complex scaling angle θ varies.

System	$I(J^P)$	$M - i\Gamma/2$	ΔE	$\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$	$\chi_{6_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}$	$r_{Q_1\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1Q_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	Configuration
$cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$	$0(1^+)$	3864	-14	58%	42%	0.71	0.64	1.13	1.16	1.02	1.22	M. (D^*D)
		4031 - 27i		0%	100%	0.71	0.75	?	?	?	?	?
		4466 - 4i		91%	9%	1.12	1.09	1.12	1.13	0.63	0.86	C.DA.
		4542 - 10i		62%	38%	0.95	0.92	1.02	1.07	0.89	1.18	C.E.
	$1(2^+)$	4673 - 2i		90%	10%	1.15	1.15	1.19	1.19	0.68	1.08	C.DC.
$bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$	$0(0^+)$	11195	-111	99%	1%	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.39	1.08	C.DC.
	$0(1^+)$	10491	-153	97%	3%	0.68	0.67	0.70	0.71	0.33	0.78	C.DC.
		10642	-1	33%	67%	0.66	0.63	2.06	2.07	1.98	2.15	M. ($\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$)
		10700 - 1i		44%	56%	0.67	0.67	1.96	1.96	1.88	2.02	M. ($\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$)
		11025 - 1i		98%	2%	1.08	1.07	1.08	1.08	0.33	0.83	C.DA.
		11152 - 0.5i		60%	40%	0.89	0.88	0.96	0.97	0.77	1.07	C.E.
		11249 - 0.3i		40%	60%	0.91	0.89	1.12	1.14	0.75	1.49	C.E.
		11291 - 1i		77%	23%	1.06	0.98	1.10	1.15	0.76	1.20	C.E.
		11315 - 1i		82%	18%	1.00	0.98	1.05	1.07	0.85	1.07	C.E.
	$0(2^+)$	11370	-20	91%	9%	1.04	1.04	1.09	1.09	0.41	1.47	C.DC.
	$1(0^+)$	11200 - 1i		88%	12%	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	0.42	1.03	C.DC.
		11262 - 4i		57%	43%	0.91	0.91	0.99	0.99	0.63	1.25	C.E.
	$1(1^+)$	10685 - 7i		91%	9%	0.73	0.71	0.74	0.76	0.31	0.94	C.DC.
		11210 - 0.1i		90%	10%	1.10	1.09	1.10	1.10	0.40	1.02	C.DC.
		11286 - 5i		63%	37%	0.96	0.92	0.95	0.98	0.62	1.14	C.E.
	11312		98%	2%	0.99	1.01	0.99	1.00	0.43	1.16	C.DC.	
$1(2^+)$	10715 - 2i		90%	10%	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.37	0.93	C.DC.	
	11227 - 0.1i		93%	7%	1.11	1.11	1.12	1.12	0.38	1.02	C.DC.	
	11305 - 5i		59%	41%	0.94	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.66	1.16	C.E.	
$bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$	$0(0^+)$	7129	-26	48%	52%	0.64	0.64	0.91	0.95	0.76	1.03	C.E.
		7301 - 73i		31%	69%	0.70	0.75	0.79	0.81	0.43	0.89	C.DC.
		7750 - 4i		94%	6%	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.11	0.46	0.85	C.DA.
		7843 - 9i		63%	37%	0.75	1.05	0.90	1.04	0.82	1.06	C.E.
	$0(1^+)$	7185	-27	60%	40%	0.67	0.66	0.88	0.93	0.71	1.00	C.E.
		7764 - 2i		95%	5%	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.11	0.47	0.84	C.DA.
		7855 - 9i		69%	31%	0.76	1.06	0.92	1.04	0.82	1.05	C.E.
	$0(2^+)$	7363	-3	27%	73%	0.66	0.70	1.95	1.97	1.86	2.05	M. (\bar{B}^*D^*)
		7975		26%	74%	0.75	1.11	1.18	1.07	0.86	1.42	C.E.
	$1(2^+)$	7430 - 28i		93%	7%	0.74	0.77	0.75	0.76	0.40	0.94	C.DC.
7965 - 1i			92%	8%	1.13	1.12	1.14	1.14	0.53	1.05	C.DC.	
8025 - 2i			80%	20%	0.80	1.12	0.95	1.03	0.73	1.10	C.E.	

ing energy of -1 MeV. The lower state is a compact diquark-centered tetraquark, which is similar to the helium atom. The two bottom quarks form a very compact diquark cluster, with the rms radius between them ($r_{b_1b_2}^{\text{rms}} = 0.33$ fm) being approximately the size of the bottomonia, as listed in Table III. The two light antiquarks orbit around the diquark, similar to the way two electrons orbit around the nucleus in a helium atom. The rms radii between the bottom quarks and light antiquarks $r_{b\bar{q}}^{\text{rms}}$ are around 0.7 fm. The dominant color configuration of

the state is $\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$, where the color electric interactions are attractive between two (anti)quarks. Note that the $\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$ component reaches 97%! The strong attraction between two bottom quarks contribute to the deep binding energy of the state. A deeply bound state in the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+) bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system has been anticipated since the 1980s [5] and was recently predicted in lattice QCD studies [28, 55, 66]. Our findings agree with the previous works. The bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$ is below the $\bar{B}\bar{B}$ threshold and can only decay weakly.




FIG. 3. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The bound and resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.

TABLE III. The rms radii (in fm) of bottomonia.

Mesons	$r_{\text{Theo.}}^{\text{rms}}$	Mesons	$r_{\text{Theo.}}^{\text{rms}}$
η_b	0.20	$\eta_b(2S)$	0.48
Υ	0.21	$\Upsilon(2S)$	0.49

The higher bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$ has a molecular configuration, with $r_{b_1\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$ and $r_{b_2\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$ being close to the sizes of \bar{B}^* and \bar{B} mesons, respectively, indicating that it is a $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ molecular state. It is the bottom analogue of the D^*D molecular bound state $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$. The bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$ can decay radiatively to $\bar{B}\bar{B}\gamma$.

In addition to bound states, we also obtain six isoscalar $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ resonant states with $J^P = 1^+$. The state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10700)$ is located near the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ threshold and identified as a $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ molecular state. It is dominated by the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ component, resulting in a small decay width of only 2 MeV to the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ channel. In contrast, its charmed partner $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ is a relatively broad state with a width of 54 MeV. This discrepancy may indicate that the nature of the two states is different,

namely $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ may have sizable contributions from both the D^*D and D^*D^* channels. The state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10700)$ can be searched for in the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ channel.

The state $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$ is a compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquark state. Comparing its internal structures with those of the bound state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$, we find an interesting resemblance. Both states are dominated by the $\chi_{\bar{3}_c\otimes 3_c}$ color configuration. The rms radii between two bottom quarks $r_{b_1b_2}^{\text{rms}} = 0.33$ fm are the same for these two states, while the rms radii between the bottom quarks and light antiquarks $r_{b\bar{q}}^{\text{rms}}$ are around 1 fm for $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$, larger than those for $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$. This clearly suggests that $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$ is the radial excitation in the light degree of freedom of $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$. The state $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$ can decay into the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ channels.

Two isoscalar bound states $T_{bb,0(0)}(11195)$ and $T_{bb,0(2)}(11370)$ are found in the 0^+ and 2^+ systems, respectively. The $T_{bb,0(0)}(11195)$ does not decay into the S-wave BB pair and $T_{bb,0(2)}(11370)$ does not decay into the S-wave B^*B^* pair, since the total wave functions of two identical bosons must be interchange symmetric. Hence,

their lowest S-wave dimeson thresholds are $M(1S)M'(2S)$. However, it should be noted that P-wave dimeson channels exist below these states. The coupling between the P-wave channels and these states may alter their positions and nature.

In contrast to the isoscalar systems, no bound state is obtained in the isovector $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems. The absence of isovector bound state suggests that the configuration $(\bar{q}\bar{q})_{3_c}^0$ with isospin 0, which is referred to as ‘‘good antiquark’’ in Ref. [47, 86], is essential for forming the doubly bottomed tetraquark bound states. We obtain two resonant states with $J^P = 0^+$, four resonant states with $J^P = 1^+$, and three resonant states with $J^P = 2^+$. They are identified as either compact diquark-centered tetraquarks or compact even tetraquarks. The two lowest states $T_{bb,1(1)}(10685)$ and $T_{bb,1(2)}(10715)$ may be more likely to be observed in experiments. It is worth noting that in Ref. [58], the authors used a different constituent quark model including the one-pion exchange interaction, and identified an isovector resonant state with $J^P = 1^+$, whose mass and width are in agreement with the state $T_{bb,1(1)}(10685)$ obtained in our calculations. We recommend experimental exploration of this state in the $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$ channel.

3. $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$

We identify three isoscalar bound states $T_{bc,0(0)}(7129)$, $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$, and $T_{bc,0(2)}(7363)$ in the $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems. $T_{bc,0(0)}(7129)$ and $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$ are compact even tetraquark states, where the rms radii between four (anti)quarks are of similar size. Unlike the $\chi_{\bar{3}_c\otimes\bar{3}_c}$ dominated compact diquark-centered tetraquark $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$, these two compact even tetraquarks have sizable components of both $\chi_{\bar{3}_c\otimes\bar{3}_c}$ and $\chi_{6_c\otimes\bar{6}_c}$ configurations. This suggests that both the interactions between two (anti)quarks and the interactions between quarks and antiquarks are important for these two states. The shallow bound state $T_{bc,0(2)}(7363)$ with a binding energy of -3 MeV is identified as a \bar{B}^*D^* molecular state. The scalar bound state $T_{bc,0(0)}(7129)$ can only decay weakly, while $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$ can decay radiatively to $\bar{B}D\gamma$, and $T_{bc,0(2)}(7363)$ can decay strongly to $\bar{B}^*D\pi$. In contrast to the isoscalar case, no isovector $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ bound state is found. This again shows that the $(\bar{q}\bar{q})_{3_c}^0$ configuration plays a vital role in forming doubly heavy tetraquark bound states, as in the $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems. In Refs. [20, 90], the authors investigated possible $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ bound states from the molecular picture. It was shown in Ref. [90] that for the isovector system the interactions between charmed and bottomed mesons are repulsive and no bound state exists, which is consistent with our findings. Moreover, both studies [20, 90] suggested that shallow bound states with binding energies of several MeV may exist in the $I(J^P) = 0(0^+), 0(1^+)$, and $0(2^+)$ $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems. For comparison, we also obtain a loosely bound molecular state in the isoscalar 2^+ system. But for the isoscalar 0^+ and 1^+ systems, our quark model calculations lead to $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ compact tetraquark states with binding energies around -30 MeV.

We also obtain a series of resonant states with different quantum numbers. The two lowest states $T_{bc,0(0)}(7301)$ and

$T_{bc,1(2)}(7430)$ may be more likely to be observed in experiments. They can be searched for in the $\bar{B}D$ and \bar{B}^*D^* channels, respectively. Moreover, in the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ system, we find that the scattering states of $\bar{B}D^*$ and \bar{B}^*D^* deviate from the continuum lines, indicating possible existence of a resonant state, which may be the $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ analogue of $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$ and $T_{bb,0(1)}(10700)$. However, we cannot determine this state accurately due to the limitations of numerical precision in the present calculations.

B. $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{q}$

The complex eigenenergies of the S-wave $cc\bar{s}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$, and $bc\bar{s}\bar{q}$ systems are shown in Figs. 6, 7 and 8, respectively. We obtain a series of $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{q}$ bound and resonant states, which are labeled as $T_{QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s},J}(M)$ in the following discussions, where M represents the mass of the state. The complex energies, proportions of different color configurations and rms radii of these states are summarized in Table IV.

We obtain two resonant states in the $cc\bar{s}\bar{q}$ system. They are located near the $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson threshold and have compact even tetraquark configurations. $T_{cc\bar{s},1}(4578)$ can decay into the D_s^*D , D_sD^* , and $D_s^*D^*$ channel while $T_{cc\bar{s},2}(4723)$ can only decay into the $D_s^*D^*$ channel.

For the $bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$ system, we identify a bound state $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10647)$ with a binding energy of -64 MeV. It is a deeply bound state with compact diquark-centered tetraquark configuration, and can be considered as the strange partner of $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$. It is below the $\bar{B}_s\bar{B}$ threshold and can only decay weakly. Moreover, we also obtain four resonant states with $J^P = 1^+$. The state $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10766)$ is located 3 MeV below the $\bar{B}_s^*\bar{B}^*$ threshold and have a compact diquark-centered tetraquark configuration. It is the radial excitation in the light degree of freedom of $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10647)$, similar to $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$ being the radial excitation of $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$. It can decay into the $\bar{B}_s^*\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}_s\bar{B}^*$ channel, while the three higher resonant states can also decay into the $\bar{B}_s^*\bar{B}^*$ channel. In addition, we obtain two resonant states with $J^P = 0^+$ and three resonant states with $J^P = 2^+$. The 0^+ states can decay into the $\bar{B}_s\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}_s^*\bar{B}^*$ channels while the 2^+ states can only decay into the $\bar{B}_s^*\bar{B}^*$ channel.

For the $bc\bar{s}\bar{q}$ system, we obtain two resonant states each in the 0^+ , 1^+ , and 2^+ systems. Similar to the resonant state $T_{cc,0(1)}(4031)$, $T_{bc\bar{s},1}(7437)$ is sandwiched between two thresholds and its rms radii results are numerically unstable. The lowest 0^+ resonant state can decay into the \bar{B}_sD and $\bar{B}D_s$ channels. The lowest 1^+ resonant state can decay into the \bar{B}_s^*D , \bar{B}^*D_s , \bar{B}_sD^* , and $\bar{B}D_s^*$ channels. The lowest 2^+ resonant state can decay into the $\bar{B}_s^*D^*$ and $\bar{B}^*D_s^*$ channels.

C. $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$

The complex eigenenergies of the S-wave $cc\bar{s}\bar{s}$, $bb\bar{s}\bar{s}$, and $bc\bar{s}\bar{s}$ systems are shown in Figs. 9, 10 and 11, respectively. We obtain a series of $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ resonant states, which are labeled as $T_{QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s},J}(M)$ in the following discussions, where




FIG. 4. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The bound and resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.

M represents the mass of the state. The complex energies, proportions of different color configurations and rms radii of these states are summarized in Table V.

The $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ systems and the isovector $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems share the same internal symmetries, and their energy spectra bear a resemblance. In the $cc\bar{s}\bar{s}$ system, we obtain a resonant state $T_{cc\bar{s}\bar{s},2}(4808)$ below the $D_s^*(2S)D_s^*$ threshold. It can be considered as the strange partner of $T_{cc,1(2)}(4673)$. In the $bb\bar{s}\bar{s}$ system, the two lowest resonant states $T_{bb\bar{s}\bar{s},1}(10846)$ and $T_{bb\bar{s}\bar{s},2}(10877)$ are the strange partners of $T_{bb,1(1)}(10685)$ and $T_{bb,1(2)}(10715)$, respectively. In the $bc\bar{s}\bar{s}$ system, two resonant states with $J^P = 2^+$ are found. The lowest state $T_{bc\bar{s}\bar{s},2}(7605)$ is the strange partner of $T_{bc,1(2)}(7430)$. The mass differences between the isovector $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ resonant states and their strange partners $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ resonant states are around 150 MeV. For higher resonant states, the correspondence between $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states and isovector $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ states is less clear. Compared to the isovector $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems, the $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ systems have fewer resonant states near the $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson thresholds. All of the $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states are identified as compact tetraquarks and can be searched for in corresponding dimeson decay channels.

The state $T_{bc\bar{s}\bar{s},2}(7605)$ has a negative proportion of color configuration $\chi_{6_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}$, which is rather confusing. However, it should be emphasized that the inner products calculated by the c-product in Eq. (12) are not positive-definite and generally not real. Moreover, the physical quantities calculated by the c-product may only have probabilistic interpretation for resonant states that are not too broad [88, 89]. Therefore, the negative proportions of the broad resonance $T_{bc\bar{s}\bar{s},2}(7605)$ should not be taken too seriously.

D. Heavy quark mass dependence of $T_{QQ,0(1)}$ bound states

The $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems, in which both loosely bound molecular states and deeply bound compact states are observed in our calculations, have attracted great attention. We shall investigate very carefully how the properties of the bound states change with the heavy quark mass m_Q . The binding energies of the ground state and the first excited state with varying m_Q are shown in Fig. 12. We see that the ground state is bound for m_Q larger than around 1200 MeV, and the binding energy increases as m_Q increases. When m_Q reaches




FIG. 5. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The bound and resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.




FIG. 6. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $cc\bar{s}\bar{q}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.

TABLE IV. The complex energies $E = M - i\Gamma/2$ (in MeV), proportions of different color configurations and the rms radii (in fm) of the $Q_1 Q_2 \bar{s} \bar{q}$ bound and resonant states. The fourth column shows the binding energies ΔE of the bound states. The last column shows the spatial configurations of the states, where C.E., C.DA. and C.DC. represent compact even tetraquark, compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquark and compact diquark-centered tetraquark, respectively. The “?” indicates that the rms radii results are numerically unstable as the complex scaling angle θ varies.

System	J^P	$M - i\Gamma/2$	ΔE	$\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$	$\chi_{6_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}$	$r_{Q_1 \bar{s}}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2 \bar{q}}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1 \bar{q}}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2 \bar{s}}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1 Q_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{\bar{s} \bar{q}}^{\text{rms}}$	Configuration
$cc\bar{s}\bar{q}$	1^+	$4578 - 3i$		74%	26%	1.02	0.90	1.26	1.29	1.03	1.23	C.E.
	2^+	$4723 - 2i$		81%	19%	1.07	0.95	1.17	1.24	0.83	1.19	C.E.
$bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$	0^+	$11243 - 1i$		89%	11%	0.98	0.91	0.95	0.99	0.41	0.98	C.DC.
		$11280 - 1i$		90%	10%	0.84	0.84	0.88	0.84	0.45	1.00	C.DC.
	1^+	10647	-64	91%	9%	0.56	0.67	0.71	0.61	0.36	0.76	C.DC.
		$10766 - 16i$		92%	8%	0.58	0.71	0.74	0.63	0.36	0.85	C.DC.
		$11138 - 0.5i$		97%	3%	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.96	0.34	0.80	C.DA.
		$11254 - 0.1i$		93%	7%	0.99	0.94	0.97	1.00	0.39	0.96	C.DC.
	2^+	$11256 - 5i$		60%	40%	0.77	0.84	0.91	0.82	0.71	0.99	C.E.
		$10798 - 8i$		90%	10%	0.59	0.72	0.77	0.66	0.39	0.88	C.DC.
		11272		95%	5%	1.00	0.96	0.98	1.00	0.37	0.95	C.DC.
		$11360 - 5i$		62%	38%	0.80	0.87	0.88	0.83	0.62	1.04	C.E.
$bc\bar{s}\bar{q}$	0^+	$7420 - 19i$		61%	39%	0.63	0.78	0.77	0.71	0.60	0.90	C.E.
		$7853 - 3i$		74%	26%	0.97	0.87	1.12	1.19	0.84	1.17	C.E.
	1^+	$7437 - 10i$		24%	76%	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
		$7872 - 2i$		83%	17%	0.97	0.92	1.04	1.09	0.68	1.02	C.E.
	2^+	$7518 - 45i$		100%	0%	0.61	0.75	0.72	0.63	0.37	0.84	C.DC.
		$8010 - 1i$		88%	12%	1.04	0.97	0.99	1.05	0.58	1.06	C.DC.

TABLE V. The complex energies $E = M - i\Gamma/2$ (in MeV), proportions of different color configurations and the rms radii (in fm) of the $Q_1 Q_2 \bar{s} \bar{s}$ resonant states. The last column shows the spatial configurations of the states, where C.E. and C.DC. represent compact even tetraquark and compact diquark-centered tetraquark, respectively.

System	J^P	$M - i\Gamma/2$	$\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$	$\chi_{6_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}$	$r_{Q_1 \bar{s}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2 \bar{s}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1 \bar{s}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2 \bar{s}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1 Q_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{\bar{s}_1 \bar{s}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	Configuration	
$cc\bar{s}\bar{s}$	2^+	$4808 - 4i$	83%	17%	0.94	0.94	1.11	1.11	0.80	1.06	C.E.	
$bb\bar{s}\bar{s}$	0^+	$11317 - 2i$	89%	11%	0.87	0.87	0.89	0.89	0.43	0.86	C.DC.	
		$10846 - 29i$	96%	4%	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.60	0.30	0.73	C.DC.	
	1^+	$11328 - 1i$		92%	8%	0.90	0.86	0.89	0.91	0.40	0.84	C.DC.
		$10877 - 16i$		93%	7%	0.58	0.58	0.62	0.62	0.34	0.75	C.DC.
		11346		94%	6%	0.89	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.38	0.83	C.DC.
2^+	$11430 - 4i$		66%	34%	0.75	0.75	0.78	0.78	0.59	0.94	C.E.	
$bc\bar{s}\bar{s}$	2^+	$7605 - 64i$	108%	-8%	0.58	0.63	0.62	0.66	0.40	0.75	C.DC.	
		$8090 - 2i$		91%	9%	0.92	0.91	0.96	0.97	0.55	0.90	C.DC.




FIG. 7. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bb\bar{s}q$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The bound and resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.




FIG. 8. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bc\bar{s}q$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.




FIG. 9. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $cc\bar{s}s$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.




FIG. 10. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bb_s\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.




FIG. 11. The complex energy eigenvalues of the $bc_s\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states with varying θ in the CSM. The solid lines represent the continuum lines rotating along $\text{Arg}(E) = -2\theta$. The resonant states do not shift as θ changes and are highlighted by the orange circles.

around 4600 MeV, the first excited state also becomes a bound state. The rms radii of the two bound states with varying m_Q are shown in Fig. 13. As m_Q increases, $r_{Q_1\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$ and $r_{Q_2\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$ remain stable and match the sizes of the ground state $Q\bar{q}$ mesons with spin 0 and 1 (denoted as M and M^*) respectively, while the other four rms radii decreases significantly. For the ground state, the rms radii between the clusters $Q_1\bar{q}_1$ and $Q_2\bar{q}_2$ are larger than 1 fm when m_Q is less than around 2 GeV, indicating a M^*M molecular configuration. In this scenario, the ground state is a loosely bound state with a binding energy $|\Delta E| < 20$ MeV. For a larger m_Q , the two heavy quarks form a compact diquark, and the ground state transforms into a deeply bound compact diquark-centered tetraquark state. For the first excited state, the rms radii between the clusters $Q_1\bar{q}_1$ and $Q_2\bar{q}_2$ decreases more slowly compared to the ground state. The first excited state remains a molecular state for $m_Q < 6$ GeV.

The physical interpretation for the above findings is straightforward. As m_Q increases, the kinetic energies of the heavy quarks are suppressed. The distance between two heavy quarks gets smaller and the attractive color electric interaction gets stronger, leading to a deeper bound state and a potential second bound state. Interestingly, a similar conclusion on the existence of two types of tetraquark bound states in the limit of large heavy quark mass was reached in Ref. [91] using the Born-Oppenheimer approximation within the framework of large N QCD. Future experimental explorations of the two $T_{bb,0(1)}$ bound states may help test theoretical predictions.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we calculate the energy spectra of the S-wave doubly heavy tetraquark systems $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{q}$, and $QQ^{(\prime)}\bar{s}\bar{s}$ ($Q^{(\prime)} = b, c$) using the AL1 quark potential model. We apply the complex scaling method to study possible bound states and resonant states simultaneously, and the Gaussian expansion method to solve the four-body Schrödinger equation. We focus on the low-lying states below the lowest $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson threshold. The uncertainties of these tetraquark states are expected to be of the same order as those of the $1S$ mesons, which are around tens of MeV.

We obtain bound states in the $cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $bc\bar{q}\bar{q}$, and $bb\bar{s}\bar{q}$ systems. The shallow bound state $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$ serves as a candidate for the experimental $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ state. The bound state $T_{bc,0(2)}(7363)$ can decay strongly to $\bar{B}^*D\pi$. The bound states $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$ and $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$ can decay radiatively. The bound states $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$, $T_{bc,0(0)}(7129)$, and $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10647)$ can only decay weakly. In addition, a series of doubly heavy resonant states are found. We urge future experimental explorations of these predicted states.

We use the rms radii to distinguish between meson molecular states and compact tetraquark states. The compact tetraquark states are further classified into three different configurations: compact even tetraquark, compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquark and compact diquark-centered tetraquark. The shallow bound states $T_{cc,0(1)}(3864)$, $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$, and $T_{bc,0(2)}(7363)$ have molecular configurations,




FIG. 12. The binding energies ΔE of the ground states and the first excited states in the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems with varying heavy quark mass m_Q . The lower panel shows the energy region near the threshold more clearly. The physical points are indicated by black points. The red star point corresponds to the binding energy adjusted to match that of the experimental $T_{cc}(3875)^+$.

and resonant states simultaneously, and the Gaussian expansion method to solve the four-body Schrödinger equation. We focus on the low-lying states below the lowest $M(1S)M'(2S)$ dimeson threshold. The uncertainties of these tetraquark states are expected to be of the same order as those of the $1S$ mesons, which are around tens of MeV.

rations, which are QCD molecules. The deeply bound states $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$ and $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10647)$ are compact diquark-centered tetraquarks, which are coined as the “QCD Helium atom” in Ref. [69]. The $T_{bc,0(0)}(7129)$ and $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$ are compact even tetraquarks, which are ideal candidates of the “QCD Hydrogen molecule” as noted in Ref. [69].

Most of the resonant states are compact tetraquark states, except that $T_{bb,0(1)}(10700)$ is a $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ molecular state. The resonant states $T_{bb,0(1)}(11025)$ and $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10766)$ are considered as the radial excitations in the light degree of freedom of the bound states $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$ and $T_{bb\bar{s},1}(10647)$, respectively. It is worth noting that all of the compact diquark-centered tetraquarks and compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquarks identified in our calculations are dominated by the $\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$ color configuration, except for the broad resonant state $T_{bc,0(0)}(7301)$. In these states, the attractive color electric interactions between two heavy quarks play an important role. On the other hand, mixing effect between $\chi_{\bar{3}_c \otimes 3_c}$ and $\chi_{6_c \otimes \bar{6}_c}$ configurations is important in compact even tetraquarks and meson molecules. Similar classifications of tetraquark configurations were made in Ref. [91]. The compact diquark-centered tetraquarks and compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquarks resemble the type-I tetraquarks in Ref. [91], and the compact even tetraquarks and meson molecules resemble the type-II tetraquarks. The classifications of tetraquarks based on their color-spatial con-




FIG. 13. The rms radii (in fm) of (a) the ground states and (b) the first excited states in the $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ systems with varying heavy quark mass m_Q . The solid lines show the rms radii within the clusters $Q_1\bar{q}_1$ and $Q_2\bar{q}_2$, while the dashed lines show the rms radii between them. The rms radii of the ground state $Q\bar{q}$ mesons with spin 0 and 1 (denoted as M and M^*) are shown by the dotted lines for comparison. The vertical dotted lines indicate the physical masses of charm and bottom quarks. The red star point corresponds to the relative distance between the clusters $Q_1\bar{q}_1$ and $Q_2\bar{q}_2$ when the binding energy is adjusted to match that of the experimental $T_{cc}(3875)^+$.

figurations help unravel the rich internal structures and various forming mechanisms of tetraquark states.

We also explore the heavy quark mass dependence of the $T_{QQ,0(1)}$ bound states. As the heavy quark mass increases from 1.2 GeV to 6 GeV, the ground state transforms from a loosely bound molecular state to a deeply bound compact diquark-centered tetraquark state, with the emergence of a second loosely bound molecular state. The future experimental explorations of the two $T_{bb,0(1)}$ bound states may help test theoretical predictions and deepen our understanding of quantum chromodynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Zi-Yang Lin for the helpful discussions. This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12475137). This project was also funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation, Project ID 196253076-TRR 110). The computational resources were supported by High-performance Computing Platform of Peking University.

Appendix A: Two definitions of root-mean-square radius

In our calculations, we use the decomposed non-antisymmetric wave function to calculate the rms radii. It seems more reasonable to calculate the rms radii of compact tetraquark states using the complete wave function, which considers contributions from both direct terms and exchange terms. However, our primary interest lies in the general clustering behavior of tetraquark states, rather than in specific numerical results of the rms radii, which are not experimentally

observables at present. The rms radii calculated using the decomposed non-antisymmetric wave function are already capable of distinguishing between different tetraquark configurations. To illustrate this, we compare the results of rms radii calculated using the complete wave function Ψ and the decomposed non-antisymmetric term Ψ_{nA}^J in Table VI. We take four states with different configurations as examples. For the compact tetraquark states $T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$, $T_{cc,0(1)}(4466)$, and $T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$, the results from Ψ and Ψ_{nA} are similar. We can draw the same conclusion on their spatial structures from both results. However, for the molecular state $T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$, the results from Ψ_{nA} can clearly demonstrate the clustering behaviour of a molecular state, while the results from Ψ are more ambiguous due to the antisymmetrization.

In conclusion, the novel definition of rms radii, which are calculated using only the decomposed non-antisymmetric wave function, can reflect the internal spatial structure of tetraquark states more transparently.

TABLE VI. The rms radii (in fm) calculated using the complete wave functions Ψ and the decomposed non-antisymmetric wave functions Ψ_{nA} in Eqs. (9) and (10). The last column shows the spatial configurations of the states, where C.E., C.DA., C.DC. and M. represent compact even tetraquark, compact diquark-antidiquark tetraquark, compact diquark-centered tetraquark and molecular configurations, respectively.

State	Wave function	$r_{Q_1\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_2\bar{q}_1}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{Q_1Q_2}^{\text{rms}}$	$r_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}^{\text{rms}}$	Configurations
$T_{bc,0(1)}(7185)$	Ψ_{nA}	0.67	0.66	0.88	0.93	0.71	1.00	C.E.
	Ψ	0.80	0.82	0.80	0.82	0.75	1.04	C.E.
$T_{cc,0(1)}(4466)$	Ψ_{nA}	1.12	1.09	1.12	1.13	0.63	0.86	C.DA.
	Ψ	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	0.63	0.87	C.DA.
$T_{bb,0(1)}(10491)$	Ψ_{nA}	0.68	0.67	0.70	0.71	0.33	0.78	C.DC.
	Ψ	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.34	0.79	C.DC.
$T_{bb,0(1)}(10642)$	Ψ_{nA}	0.66	0.63	2.06	2.07	1.98	2.15	M. ($\bar{B}^*\bar{B}$)
	Ψ	1.52	1.52	1.52	1.52	1.96	2.13	

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark, *Nature Phys.* **18**, 751 (2022), [arXiv:2109.01038 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [2] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Study of the doubly charmed tetraquark T_{cc}^+ , *Nature Commun.* **13**, 3351 (2022), [arXiv:2109.01056 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [3] J. P. Ader, J. M. Richard, and P. Taxil, DO NARROW HEAVY MULTI - QUARK STATES EXIST?, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 2370 (1982).
- [4] J. I. Ballot and J. M. Richard, FOUR QUARK STATES IN ADDITIVE POTENTIALS, *Phys. Lett. B* **123**, 449 (1983).
- [5] S. Zouzou, B. Silvestre-Brac, C. Gignoux, and J. M. Richard, FOUR QUARK BOUND STATES, *Z. Phys. C* **30**, 457 (1986).
- [6] J. Carlson, L. Heller, and J. A. Tjon, Stability of Dimesons, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 744 (1988).
- [7] B. Silvestre-Brac and C. Semay, Systematics of $L = 0$ q-2 anti-q-2 systems, *Z. Phys. C* **57**, 273 (1993).
- [8] S. Pepin, F. Stancu, M. Genovese, and J. M. Richard, Tetraquarks with color blind forces in chiral quark models, *Phys. Lett. B* **393**, 119 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9609348](#).
- [9] B. A. Gelman and S. Nussinov, Does a narrow tetraquark cc anti-u anti-d state exist?, *Phys. Lett. B* **551**, 296 (2003), [arXiv:hep-ph/0209095](#).
- [10] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez, A. Valcarce, and B. Silvestre-Brac, Tetraquarks in a chiral constituent quark model, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **19**, 383 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0310007](#).
- [11] D. Janc and M. Rosina, The $T_{cc} = DD^*$ molecular state, *Few Body Syst.* **35**, 175 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0405208](#).
- [12] Y. Cui, X.-L. Chen, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, The Possible Heavy Tetraquarks qQ anti-q anti-Q, qq anti-Q anti-Q and qQ anti-Q anti-Q, *HEPNP* **31**, 7 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0607226](#).
- [13] F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and S. H. Lee, QCD sum rules study of QQ - anti-u anti-d mesons, *Phys. Lett. B* **649**, 166 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0703071](#).
- [14] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and W. Lucha, Masses of tetraquarks with two heavy quarks in the relativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 114015 (2007), [arXiv:0706.3853 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] S. H. Lee and S. Yasui, Stable multi-quark states with heavy quarks in a diquark model, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **64**, 283 (2009), [arXiv:0901.2977 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] Y. Yang, C. Deng, J. Ping, and T. Goldman, S-wave Q Q anti-q anti-q state in the constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 114023 (2009).
- [17] J. M. Dias, S. Narison, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and J. M. Richard, Relation between $T_{cc,bb}$ and $X_{c,b}$ from QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 274 (2011), [arXiv:1105.5630 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] S. Ohkoda, Y. Yamaguchi, S. Yasui, K. Sudoh, and A. Hosaka, Exotic mesons with double charm and bottom flavor, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 034019 (2012), [arXiv:1202.0760 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] M.-L. Du, W. Chen, X.-L. Chen, and S.-L. Zhu, Exotic $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$, $QQ\bar{q}\bar{s}$ and $QQ\bar{s}\bar{s}$ states, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 014003 (2013), [arXiv:1209.5134 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] N. Li, Z.-F. Sun, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Coupled-channel analysis of the possible $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$, $\bar{B}^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ molecular states, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 114008 (2013), [arXiv:1211.5007 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] Y. Ikeda, B. Charron, S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, T. Inoue, N. Ishii, K. Murano, H. Nemura, and K. Sasaki, Charmed tetraquarks T_{cc} and T_{cs} from dynamical lattice QCD simulations, *Phys. Lett. B* **729**, 85 (2014), [arXiv:1311.6214 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [22] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Discovery of doubly-charmed Ξ_{cc} baryon implies a stable ($bb\bar{u}\bar{d}$) tetraquark, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 202001 (2017), [arXiv:1707.07666 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Heavy-quark symmetry implies stable heavy tetraquark mesons $Q_iQ_j\bar{q}_k\bar{q}_l$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 202002 (2017), [arXiv:1707.09575 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] S.-Q. Luo, K. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Exotic tetraquark states with the $qq\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ configuration, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 709 (2017), [arXiv:1707.01180 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] H. Xu, B. Wang, Z.-W. Liu, and X. Liu, DD^* potentials in chiral perturbation theory and possible molecular states, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 014027 (2019), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 104, 119903 (2021)], [arXiv:1708.06918 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] G. K. C. Cheung, C. E. Thomas, J. J. Dudek, and R. G. Edwards (Hadron Spectrum), Tetraquark operators in lattice QCD and exotic flavour states in the charm sector, *JHEP* **11**, 033, [arXiv:1709.01417 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [27] W. Park, S. Noh, and S. H. Lee, Masses of the doubly heavy tetraquarks in a constituent quark model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **983**, 1 (2019), [arXiv:1809.05257 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [28] P. Jannarkar, N. Mathur, and M. Padmanath, Study of doubly heavy tetraquarks in Lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 034507 (2019), [arXiv:1810.12285 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [29] C. Deng, H. Chen, and J. Ping, Systematical investigation on the stability of doubly heavy tetraquark states, *Eur. Phys. J. A*

- 56**, 9 (2020), arXiv:1811.06462 [hep-ph].
- [30] M.-Z. Liu, T.-W. Wu, M. Pavon Valderrama, J.-J. Xie, and L.-S. Geng, Heavy-quark spin and flavor symmetry partners of the $X(3872)$ revisited: What can we learn from the one boson exchange model?, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 094018 (2019), arXiv:1902.03044 [hep-ph].
- [31] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, Hydrogen bond of QCD in doubly heavy baryons and tetraquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 074002 (2019), arXiv:1908.03244 [hep-ph].
- [32] G. Yang, J. Ping, and J. Segovia, Doubly-heavy tetraquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 014001 (2020), arXiv:1911.00215 [hep-ph].
- [33] Y. Tan, W. Lu, and J. Ping, Systematics of $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ in a chiral constituent quark model, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, 716 (2020), arXiv:2004.02106 [hep-ph].
- [34] Q.-F. Lü, D.-Y. Chen, and Y.-B. Dong, Masses of doubly heavy tetraquarks $T_{QQ'}$ in a relativized quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 034012 (2020), arXiv:2006.08087 [hep-ph].
- [35] Q. Meng, E. Hiyama, A. Hosaka, M. Oka, P. Gubler, K. U. Can, T. T. Takahashi, and H. S. Zong, Stable double-heavy tetraquarks: spectrum and structure, *Phys. Lett. B* **814**, 136095 (2021), arXiv:2009.14493 [nucl-th].
- [36] L. Meng, G.-J. Wang, B. Wang, and S.-L. Zhu, Probing the long-range structure of the T_{cc^+} with the strong and electromagnetic decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 051502 (2021), arXiv:2107.14784 [hep-ph].
- [37] X.-K. Dong, F.-K. Guo, and B.-S. Zou, A survey of heavy-heavy hadronic molecules, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **73**, 125201 (2021), arXiv:2108.02673 [hep-ph].
- [38] A. Feijoo, W. H. Liang, and E. Oset, $D_0D_0\pi^+$ mass distribution in the production of the T_{cc} exotic state, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 114015 (2021), arXiv:2108.02730 [hep-ph].
- [39] R. Chen, Q. Huang, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Predicting another doubly charmed molecular resonance $T_{cc}^+(3876)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 114042 (2021), arXiv:2108.01911 [hep-ph].
- [40] X.-Z. Weng, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Doubly heavy tetraquarks in an extended chromomagnetic model *, *Chin. Phys. C* **46**, 013102 (2022), arXiv:2108.07242 [hep-ph].
- [41] K. Chen, R. Chen, L. Meng, B. Wang, and S.-L. Zhu, Systematics of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 581 (2022), arXiv:2109.13057 [hep-ph].
- [42] M. Albaladejo, T_{cc} coupled channel analysis and predictions, *Phys. Lett. B* **829**, 137052 (2022), arXiv:2110.02944 [hep-ph].
- [43] M.-L. Du, V. Baru, X.-K. Dong, A. Filin, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, and Q. Wang, Coupled-channel approach to T_{cc^+} including three-body effects, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 014024 (2022), arXiv:2110.13765 [hep-ph].
- [44] C. Deng and S.-L. Zhu, T_{cc^+} and its partners, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 054015 (2022), arXiv:2112.12472 [hep-ph].
- [45] K. Chen, B. Wang, and S.-L. Zhu, Heavy flavor molecular states with strangeness, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 096004 (2022), arXiv:2112.13203 [hep-ph].
- [46] J. He and X. Liu, The quasi-fission phenomenon of double charm T_{cc}^+ induced by nucleon, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 387 (2022), arXiv:2202.07248 [hep-ph].
- [47] C.-R. Deng and S.-L. Zhu, Decoding the double heavy tetraquark state T_{cc}^+ , *Sci. Bull.* **67**, 1522 (2022), arXiv:2204.11079 [hep-ph].
- [48] S. Meinel, M. Pflaumer, and M. Wagner, Search for $b^-\bar{b}^-u$ and $b^-\bar{c}^-u$ tetraquark bound states using lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 034507 (2022), arXiv:2205.13982 [hep-lat].
- [49] J.-B. Cheng, Z.-Y. Lin, and S.-L. Zhu, Double-charm tetraquark under the complex scaling method, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 016012 (2022), arXiv:2205.13354 [hep-ph].
- [50] B. Wang and L. Meng, Revisiting the DD^* chiral interactions with the local momentum-space regularization up to the third order and the nature of T_{cc^+} , *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 094002 (2023), arXiv:2212.08447 [hep-ph].
- [51] Y. Lyu, S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, Y. Ikeda, and J. Meng, Doubly Charmed Tetraquark T_{cc^+} from Lattice QCD near Physical Point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 161901 (2023), arXiv:2302.04505 [hep-lat].
- [52] M.-L. Du, A. Filin, V. Baru, X.-K. Dong, E. Epelbaum, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, and Q. Wang, Role of Left-Hand Cut Contributions on Pole Extractions from Lattice Data: Case Study for $T_{cc}(3875)^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 131903 (2023), arXiv:2303.09441 [hep-ph].
- [53] R. J. Hudspith and D. Mohler, Exotic tetraquark states with two b^- quarks and $JP=0^+$ and 1^+ B_s states in a nonperturbatively tuned lattice NRQCD setup, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 114510 (2023), arXiv:2303.17295 [hep-lat].
- [54] L. R. Dai, J. Song, and E. Oset, Evolution of genuine states to molecular ones: The $T_{cc}(3875)$ case, *Phys. Lett. B* **846**, 138200 (2023), arXiv:2306.01607 [hep-ph].
- [55] T. Aoki, S. Aoki, and T. Inoue, Lattice study on a tetraquark state T_{bb} in the HAL QCD method, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 054502 (2023), arXiv:2306.03565 [hep-lat].
- [56] G.-J. Wang, Z. Yang, J.-J. Wu, M. Oka, and S.-L. Zhu, New insight into the exotic states strongly coupled with the DD^* from the T_{cc}^+ , *Science Bulletin* **10.1016/j.scib.2024.07.012** (2023), arXiv:2306.12406 [hep-ph].
- [57] M. Padmanath, A. Radhakrishnan, and N. Mathur, Bound Isoscalar Axial-Vector $b_cu^-d^-$ Tetraquark T_{bc} from Lattice QCD Using Two-Meson and Diquark-Antidiquark Variational Basis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 201902 (2024), arXiv:2307.14128 [hep-lat].
- [58] Q. Meng, E. Hiyama, M. Oka, A. Hosaka, and C. Xu, Doubly heavy tetraquarks including one-pion exchange potential, *Phys. Lett. B* **846**, 138221 (2023), arXiv:2308.05466 [nucl-th].
- [59] J.-Z. Wang, Z.-Y. Lin, and S.-L. Zhu, Cut structures and an observable singularity in the three-body threshold dynamics: The T_{cc^+} case, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, L071505 (2024), arXiv:2309.09861 [hep-ph].
- [60] Y. Ma, L. Meng, Y.-K. Chen, and S.-L. Zhu, Doubly heavy tetraquark states in the constituent quark model using diffusion Monte Carlo method, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 074001 (2024), arXiv:2309.17068 [hep-ph].
- [61] L. Meng, V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, and A. M. Gasparyan, Solving the left-hand cut problem in lattice QCD: $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ from finite volume energy levels, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, L071506 (2024), arXiv:2312.01930 [hep-lat].
- [62] M. Abolnikov, V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, and L. Meng, Internal structure of the $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ from its light-quark mass dependence, (2024), arXiv:2407.04649 [hep-ph].
- [63] Q. Meng, G.-J. Wang, and M. Oka, Mass Spectra of Full-Heavy and Double-Heavy Tetraquark States in the Conventional Quark Model, (2024), arXiv:2404.01238 [hep-ph].
- [64] T. Whyte, D. J. Wilson, and C. E. Thomas, Near-threshold states in coupled $DD^* - D^*D^*$ scattering from lattice QCD, (2024), arXiv:2405.15741 [hep-lat].
- [65] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem, F. Fernandez, and J. Segovia, Exploring doubly-heavy tetraquarks in constituent-quark-model based meson-meson coupled-channels approach, (2024), arXiv:2406.01697 [hep-ph].
- [66] B. Colquhoun, A. Francis, R. J. Hudspith, R. Lewis, K. Maltman, and W. G. Parrott, Improved analysis of strong-interaction-stable doubly-bottom tetraquarks on the lattice, (2024), arXiv:2407.08816 [hep-lat].

- [67] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai, and S. Yasui, Exotic hadrons with heavy flavors: X, Y, Z, and related states, *PTEP* **2016**, 062C01 (2016), [arXiv:1603.09229 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [68] A. Ali, J. S. Lange, and S. Stone, Exotics: Heavy Pentaquarks and Tetraquarks, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **97**, 123 (2017), [arXiv:1706.00610 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [69] Y.-R. Liu, H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Pentaquark and Tetraquark states, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **107**, 237 (2019), [arXiv:1903.11976 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] M. Mai, U.-G. Meißner, and C. Urbach, Towards a theory of hadron resonances, *Phys. Rept.* **1001**, 1 (2023), [arXiv:2206.01477 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] L. Meng, B. Wang, G.-J. Wang, and S.-L. Zhu, Chiral perturbation theory for heavy hadrons and chiral effective field theory for heavy hadronic molecules, *Phys. Rept.* **1019**, 1 (2023), [arXiv:2204.08716 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **86**, 026201 (2023), [arXiv:2204.02649 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] J. Aguilar and J. M. Combes, A class of analytic perturbations for one-body schrodinger hamiltonians, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **22**, 269 (1971).
- [74] E. Balslev and J. M. Combes, Spectral properties of many-body schrodinger operators with dilatation-analytic interactions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **22**, 280 (1971).
- [75] S. Aoyama, T. Myo, K. Katō, and K. Ikeda, The complex scaling method for many-body resonances and its applications to three-body resonances, *Progress of theoretical physics* **116**, 1 (2006).
- [76] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino, and M. Kamimura, Gaussian expansion method for few-body systems, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **51**, 223 (2003).
- [77] L. Meng, Y.-K. Chen, Y. Ma, and S.-L. Zhu, Tetraquark bound states in constituent quark models: Benchmark test calculations, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 114016 (2023), [arXiv:2310.13354 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] Y.-K. Chen, W.-L. Wu, L. Meng, and S.-L. Zhu, Unified description of the Qsq^-q^- molecular bound states, molecular resonances, and compact tetraquark states in the quark potential model, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 014010 (2024), [arXiv:2310.14597 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] W.-L. Wu, Y.-K. Chen, L. Meng, and S.-L. Zhu, Benchmark calculations of fully heavy compact and molecular tetraquark states, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 054034 (2024), [arXiv:2401.14899 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [80] W.-L. Wu, Y. Ma, Y.-K. Chen, L. Meng, and S.-L. Zhu, Fully heavy tetraquark resonant states with different flavors, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 034030 (2024), [arXiv:2406.17824 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [81] Y. Ma, W.-L. Wu, L. Meng, Y.-K. Chen, and S.-L. Zhu, Fully strange tetraquark resonant states as the cousins of $X(6900)$, (2024), [arXiv:2408.00503 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [82] C. Semay and B. Silvestre-Brac, Diquonia and potential models, *Z. Phys. C* **61**, 271 (1994).
- [83] B. Silvestre-Brac, Spectrum and static properties of heavy baryons, *Few Body Syst.* **20**, 1 (1996).
- [84] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
- [85] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 076201 (2017), [arXiv:1609.08928 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [86] R. L. Jaffe, Exotica, *Phys. Rept.* **409**, 1 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ph/0409065](#).
- [87] W. J. Romo, Inner product for resonant states and shell-model applications, *Nuclear Physics A* **116**, 617 (1968).
- [88] T. Berggren, On a probabilistic interpretation of expansion coefficients in the non-relativistic quantum theory of resonant states, *Phys. Lett. B* **33**, 547 (1970).
- [89] M. Homma, T. Myo, and K. Katō, Matrix Elements of Physical Quantities Associated with Resonance States, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **97**, 561 (1997), <https://academic.oup.com/ptp/article-pdf/97/4/561/5262466/97-4-561.pdf>.
- [90] S. Sakai, L. Roca, and E. Oset, Charm-beauty meson bound states from $B(B^*)D(D^*)$ and $B(B^*)\bar{D}(\bar{D}^*)$ interaction, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 054023 (2017), [arXiv:1704.02196 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [91] H. Allaman, M. Ekhterachian, F. Nardi, R. Rattazzi, and S. Stelzl, Tetraquarks at large M and large N , (2024), [arXiv:2407.18298 \[hep-ph\]](#).