

LIMIT OF ITERATION OF THE INDUCED ALUTHGE TRANSFORMATIONS OF CENTERED OPERATORS

HIROYUKI OSAKA AND TAKEAKI YAMAZAKI

ABSTRACT. Aluthge transform is a well-known mapping defined on bounded linear operators. Especially, the convergence property of its iteration has been studied by many authors. In this paper, we discuss the problem for the induced Aluthge transforms which is a generalization of the Aluthge transform defined in 2021. We give the polar decomposition of the induced Aluthge transformations of centered operators and show its iteration converges to a normal operator. In particular, if T is an invertible centered matrix, then iteration of any induced Aluthge transformations converges. Using the canonical standard form of matrix algebras we show that the iteration of any induced Aluthge transformations with respect to the weighted arithmetic mean and the power mean converge. Those observation are extended to the C^* -algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and as an application we show the stability of \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} properties under the iteration of the induced Aluthge transformations. We also provide concrete forms of their limit points for centered matrices and several examples. Moreover, we discuss the limit point of the induced Aluthge transformation with respect to the power mean in the injective II_1 -factor \mathcal{M} and determine the form of its limit for some centered operators in \mathcal{M} .

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. The induced Aluthge transformation of centered operators | 5 |
| 3. Semi-hyponormal centered operators case | 9 |
| 4. Centered matrices case | 13 |
| 5. Standard form and its applications | 15 |
| 6. Compact operators case | 21 |
| 7. \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators | 22 |
| 8. Limit point | 26 |

Date: 6 September 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A56. Secondary 15A27, 47A10, 47A64, 47A67, 47B07, 47B20, 47L05, 47L65.

Key words and phrases. Aluthge transform, induced Aluthge transform, centered operators, operator mean, semi-hyponormal operators, \mathcal{AN} -operators, \mathcal{AM} -operators, power mean, von Neumann mean ergodic theorem.

*Research of the first author is partially supported by the JSPS grant for Scientific Research No.20K03644.

| | |
|---|----|
| 9. Iteration of induced Aluthge transformation with respect to the power mean in finite v | |
| 10. Conclusion | 29 |
| References | 30 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a complex Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be a C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on H . $\mathcal{S}_2(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators, and let $\|X\|_2 := (Tr(X^*X))^{1/2}$ be the Schatten 2-norm for $X \in \mathcal{S}_2(H)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all m -by- m matrices with complex entries. Let $\mathcal{F}(H)$ and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ be the set of all finite rank operators and the set of all compact operators on H , respectively. For a C^* -algebra M , M_+ and M_{++} are defined as the set of all positive elements in M , and the set of all positive invertible elements in M , respectively. For self-adjoint $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $A \leq B$ (resp. $A < B$) is defined by $B - A \in \mathcal{B}(H)_+$ (resp. $B - A \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$). In what follows, the ‘‘lim’’ means the strong operator topology. A continuous function f defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called operator monotone if $f(A) \leq f(B)$ holds for all self-adjoint $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfying $A \leq B$ and $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq I$, where $\sigma(X)$ means the spectrum of $X \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition of T . The *Aluthge transformation* [1] $\Delta(T)$ is defined as follows:

$$\Delta(T) = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Aluthge transform has a lot of nice properties. Especially, the following properties are well known: (i) $\sigma(\Delta(T)) = \sigma(T)$ [22], (ii) $\Delta(T)$ has a non-trivial subspace if and only if so does T [24], (iii) if T is semi-hyponormal (i.e. $|T^*| \leq |T|$), then $\Delta(T)$ is hyponormal (i.e., $|\Delta(T)^*|^2 \leq |\Delta(T)|^2$) [1]. More generally, for any scalar $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the λ -Aluthge transformation of T is defined by $\Delta_\lambda(T) := |T|^{1-\lambda}U|T|^\lambda$ in [22]. There are many papers on Aluthge transform, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 22, 24, 38]. In [27], as another operator mapping, *mean transform* \hat{T} is defined as follows:

$$\hat{T} := \frac{U|T| + |T|U}{2}.$$

The mean transform has similar properties to the Aluthge transform, for example, [6, 10, 11, 25]. However, $\sigma(\hat{T}) = \sigma(T)$ does not hold, in general [27].

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$, an operator connection

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is called the operator geometric mean. It was first considered by Pusz-Woronowicz in [32]. Then Kubo and Ando generalized it with an axiomatic definition in [26]. Moreover, for any operator mean \mathfrak{m} , there

exists a positive operator monotone function f defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f(1) = 1$ and

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{m}(A, B) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} f(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}) A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)_+$ in [26]. In this case, f is called the *representing function* of an operator mean \mathbf{m} . In what follows, we write \mathbf{m}_f by an operator mean with the representing function f .

Recently, one of the author defined the induced Aluthge transform in the viewpoint of operator means, which is defined by using double operator integrals in [39]. It interpolates between mean and Aluthge transformations when $|T|$ is invertible.

Definition 1.1 (Induced Aluthge transformation, [39]). Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with the spectral decomposition $|T| = \int_{\sigma(T)} s dE_s$. For an operator mean \mathbf{m}_f with a representing function f , the *induced Aluthge transformation* (IAT, for short) $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}(T)$ of T with respect to \mathbf{m}_f is defined as follows.

(i) If $|T|$ is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}(T) := \int_{\sigma(|T|)} \int_{\sigma(|T|)} P_f(s, t) dE_s U dE_t,$$

where $P_f(s, t) = s f(\frac{t}{s})$ for $s, t \in (0, \infty)$.

(ii) If $|T|$ is not invertible, and if there exists an isometry V such that $T_\varepsilon = V(|T| + \varepsilon I_H)$ is the polar decomposition for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} T_\varepsilon = T$, then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}(T) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}(T_\varepsilon).$$

We remark that $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}(T)$ can be defined if f is a representing function of an operator mean [39].

We often use the symbol Δ_f instead of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}_f}$, simply.

Example 1.2 ([39, Example 2]). Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $|T|$ is invertible with the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$.

(i) Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $f_\lambda(x) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$, i.e., the corresponding operator mean \mathbf{m}_{f_λ} is called the λ -weighted arithmetic mean. Then the IAT with respect to \mathbf{m}_{f_λ} is

$$\Delta_{f_\lambda}(T) = (1 - \lambda)|T|U + \lambda U|T|.$$

Especially, $\Delta_{f_{1/2}}(T) = \hat{T}$, the mean transformation of T defined in [27].

(ii) Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $g_\lambda(x) = x^\lambda$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$, i.e., the corresponding operator mean \mathbf{m}_{g_λ} is called the λ -weighted geometric mean. Then the IAT with respect to \mathbf{m}_{g_λ} is

$$\Delta_{g_\lambda} = |T|^{1-\lambda} U |T|^\lambda.$$

We obtain $\Delta_{g_{1/2}} = \Delta$, the Aluthge transform [1].

- (iii) Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{\frac{1}{r}}$. If $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, then $f_{r,\lambda}$ is a representing function of the operator power mean. For a natural number n , the IAT with respect to the power mean with the parameter $r = \frac{1}{n}$ is given as follows.

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{f_{\frac{1}{n},\lambda}}(T) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} |T|^{\frac{k}{n}} U |T|^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \right).$$

In this paper, we shall consider iteration of IATs. For a non-negative integer n and a representing function f of an operator mean, define $\Delta_f^n(T)$ as follows.

$$\Delta_f^0(T) = T \text{ and } \Delta_f^n(T) = \Delta_f(\Delta_f^{n-1}(T))$$

for invertible $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. We call $\{\Delta_f^n(T)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ the induced Aluthge sequence (the IAS, for short). It is known that if $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ or $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$ and $\dim H < +\infty$, then the IAS converges to a normal matrix in [4, 39], respectively. However concrete forms of their limit points are not known. Moreover the proof for the case $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is complicated.

In this paper, we shall discuss convergence properties of IASs under the centered condition. Moreover, we give a concrete limit point. As an application we shall show that limit point of IASs stable under \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} properties (the definitions of \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} properties will be introduced in the Section 7). Recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is called *centered* if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = \{U^{m*}|T|U^m, |T|, U^n|T|U^{n*}; n, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a commuting set, i.e., for each two elements in $\mathcal{O}(T)$ are commuting, [28]. The set of centered operators includes scalar weighted shifts and isometries. Moreover it is shown that the partial isometry part of the polar decomposition of centered operators is a power partial isometry [28].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we shall give the polar decomposition of IATs of centered operators. In Section 3, we shall show that the IAS with respect to arbitrary operator mean of an invertible semi-hyponormal centered operator converges to a normal operator. In Section 4, we shall show that if T is an invertible centered matrix, then the IAS with respect to an arbitrary operator mean converges to a normal matrix. Using the canonical standard form of matrix algebras, we show that the IAS with respect to the weighted arithmetic mean converges, which is a generalization of [39, Theorem 5.1], and the power mean converges. Moreover it is shown that the limit point does not depend on the weight parameter in Section 5. Those observations can be extended in the C^* -algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space in Section 6. As applications

of the results in Section 6, we show the stability of \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} properties under the limit of IAS in Section 7. In section 8 we shall discuss the concrete form of the limit point of IAS for a centered matrix and give an example of the limit point. Lastly, we discuss the limit point of the IAS with respect to the power mean in the injective II_1 -factor and determine the form of its limit which is a generalization of [13, Theorem 5.2].

2. THE INDUCED ALUTHGE TRANSFORMATION OF CENTERED OPERATORS

In this section, we shall give the polar decomposition of the IAT of centered operators. The following result gives another formula of the IAT.

Theorem 2.1. ([39, Theorem 4.1]) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be invertible and the polar decomposition of T is $T = U|T|$. For an operator mean \mathbf{m}_f , the *induced Aluthge transformation* $\Delta_f(T)$ of T with respect to \mathbf{m}_f is computed as follows. There exists a positive probability measure $d\mu$ on $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\Delta_f(T) := \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)s|T|^{-1}} U e^{-\lambda s|T|^{-1}} ds d\mu(\lambda).$$

To consider IAS, we need the polar decomposition of the IAT. The polar decomposition of IATs are obtained in case of the arithmetic and geometric means in [10] and [23], respectively. Unfortunately, it has not been obtained in the cases of arbitrary operator means. However we can get the polar decomposition of IAT if T is an invertible centered operator.

Definition 2.2 (Centered operators [28]). Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition.

- (i) T is called *binormal* if and only if $[|T|, |T^*|] = 0$ (i.e., $|T||T^*| = |T^*||T|$), and
- (ii) T is called *centered* if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = \{U^{m*}|T|U^m, |T|, U^n|T|U^{n*}; n, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a commuting set, i.e., each pair of elements in $\mathcal{O}(T)$ commutes.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition, and T is invertible. Assume that one of the following conditions are satisfied,

- (i) \mathbf{m} is a weighted operator arithmetic mean,
- (ii) \mathbf{m} is an operator mean and T is binormal.

Then the polar decomposition of IAT of T with respect to \mathbf{m} is given as follows.

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T) = U\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|).$$

Hence $|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)| = \mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|)$.

To prove this, we refer to the following result.

Theorem 2.4 ([18]). Let f be a positive operator monotone function defined on the positive half-line satisfying $f(1) = 1$. Then there exists a bounded positive probability measure μ on the closed interval $[0, 1]$ such that

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^{-1}]^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$ and any operator mean \mathbf{m} , we have

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{m}(A, B) = \int_0^1 [(1 - \lambda)A^{-1} + \lambda B^{-1}]^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$

by (1) and Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (i) Assume that \mathbf{m} is a weighted operator arithmetic mean. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T) &= (1 - \lambda)|T|U + \lambda U|T| \\ &= U[(1 - \lambda)U^*|T|U + \lambda|T|] \\ &= U\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|), \end{aligned}$$

since U is unitary. $|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)| = [(1 - \lambda)U^*|T|U + \lambda|T|]$ can be shown easily.

(ii) If T is binormal, then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} [U^*|T|U, |T|] &= U^*|T|U|T| - |T|U^*|T|U \\ &= U^*(|T|U|T|U^* - U|T|U^*|T|)U \\ &= U^*(|T||T|^* - |T^*||T|)U = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$ holds for self-adjoint $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfying $[A, B] = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)s|T|^{-1}} U e^{-\lambda s|T|^{-1}} ds d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{by Theorem 2.1} \\ &= U \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty U^* e^{-(1-\lambda)s|T|^{-1}} U e^{-\lambda s|T|^{-1}} ds d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\quad \text{(since } T \text{ is invertible and } U \text{ is unitary)} \\ &= U \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)s(U^*|T|U)^{-1}} e^{-\lambda s|T|^{-1}} ds d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= U \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)s(U^*|T|U)^{-1} - \lambda s|T|^{-1}} ds d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{(by (4))} \\ &= U \int_0^1 [(1 - \lambda)(U^*|T|U)^{-1} + \lambda|T|^{-1}]^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= U\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|) \quad \text{(by (3)).} \end{aligned}$$

■

Next, we shall consider IASs. We will show that (i) if $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is invertible and centered, then $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)$ is so. Hence $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T)$ is centered for all non-negative integer n , and (ii) we will give a concrete formula of the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T)$ for an invertible centered $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. To show them, we prepare the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. Let \mathbf{m} be an operator mean with the representing function f , and let $\{A, B, C, D\} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$ be a commuting set. Then

$$[\mathbf{m}(A, B), \mathbf{m}(C, D)] = 0.$$

Proof. We note that, if $[X, Y] = 0$, then $[f(X), f(Y)] = 0$. Since $\mathbf{m}(A, B) = A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})A^{1/2}$ and $\{A, B, C, D\}$ is a commuting set, we have $[\mathbf{m}(A, B), \mathbf{m}(C, D)] = 0$. ■

Lemma 2.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be invertible and centered. Then $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)$ is invertible and centered.

Proof. Since T is centered, T is binormal, and we have the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)$ as $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T) = U\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|)$ by Theorem 2.3. Then for any natural numbers n and m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)|, U^{*m}|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)|U^m, U^n|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)|U^{*n}\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|), U^{*m}\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|)U^m, U^n\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|)U^{*n}\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|), \mathbf{m}(U^{*(m+1)}|T|U^{m+1}, U^{*m}|T|U^m), \\ & \quad \mathbf{m}(U^{n-1}|T|U^{*(n-1)}, U^n|T|U^{*n})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is centered and Lemma 2.5, it is a commuting set for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)$ is centered. Invertibility of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)$ is obtained by Theorem 2.3 since $|\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(T)| = \mathbf{m}(U^*|T|U, |T|)$ is invertible. ■

Theorem 2.7. Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the polar decomposition, and T is invertible. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) If \mathbf{m} is an weighted operator arithmetic mean, then for each non-negative integer n , the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T)$ is

$$(5) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T) = U\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|),$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_0(U, |T|) = |T|$ and

$$\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|) := \mathbf{m}\left(U^*\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(U, |T|)U, \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(U, |T|)\right) > 0$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- (ii) If \mathbf{m} is an operator mean and T is centered. Then for each non-negative integer n , $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T)$ is centered and the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}^n(T)$ is given as the form (5).

Proof. (i) can be shown in the same way as (ii). Hence we only prove (ii). (ii) Firstly, we can obtain that $\Delta_m^n(T)$ is centered by Lemma 2.6. Hence we only show (5). It can be shown by mathematical induction on n . The $n = 0$ case is obvious. In fact, since T is centered, $\Delta_m^0(T) = T = U|T|$ is centered. Assume that Theorem 2.7 holds for all non-negative integer n such that $0 \leq n \leq k$.

We shall prove $n = k + 1$ case. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\Delta_m^k(T) = U\mathfrak{M}_k(U, |T|)$$

is the polar decomposition, and $\Delta_m^k(T)$ is binormal. Then by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m^{k+1}(T) &= U\mathfrak{m}(U^*|\Delta_m^k(T)|U, |\Delta_m^k(T)|) \\ &= U\mathfrak{m}(U^*\mathfrak{M}_k(U, |T|)U, \mathfrak{M}_k(U, |T|)) \\ &= U\mathfrak{M}_{k+1}(U, |T|). \end{aligned}$$

■

We can obtain a concrete form of $\mathfrak{M}_k(U, |T|)$ if T is an invertible centered operator and \mathfrak{m} is the power mean case. For $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$. Then $f_{r,\lambda}$ is an operator monotone function, and the corresponding operator mean is called the power mean. We remark that $f_{r,\lambda}(x)$ is monotone increasing on $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_{r,\lambda}(x) = x^\lambda$.

Proposition 2.8. Let T be an invertible centered operator with the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$ and \mathfrak{m} be an operator mean with a representing function $f_{r,\lambda}$. Then

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right]^{1/r}$$

for all non-negative integer n .

Remark 2.9. (i) If $r = 1$, then the centered condition of T can be removed by Theorem 2.7 (i).

(ii) Proposition 2.8 is an extension of [10] which showed the case $r = 1$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ without the centered condition.

Proof of Proposition 2.8. We note that for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$ such that $[A, B] = 0$, the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(A, B) &= A^{1/2} [(1 - \lambda)I + \lambda(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^r]^{1/r} A^{1/2} \\ &= [(1 - \lambda)A^r + \lambda B^r]^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove (6) by mathematical induction on n . The case $n = 0$. It is easy to see $\mathfrak{M}_0(U, |T|) = |T|$. Assume that (6) holds for some non-negative integers n , and we shall prove $n + 1$ case. Since T is

centered, $U\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|)$ is centered and it is the polar decomposition for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by Theorem 2.7. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}(U, |T|)^r &= \mathfrak{m}(U^*\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|)U, \mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|))^r \\
&= (1 - \lambda)U^*\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|)^rU + \lambda\mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|)^r \\
&= (1 - \lambda)U^* \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right] U \\
&\quad + \lambda \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k+1} |T|^r U^{k+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n+1-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n+1-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

Remark 2.10. $f_{0,\lambda}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_{r,\lambda}(x) = x^\lambda$ case, we have

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{M}_n(U, |T|) = \prod_{k=0}^n U^{*k} |T| \binom{n}{k}^{(1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}} U^k$$

for all non-negative integer n .

It can be shown by the following fact [14, Proof of Lemma 3.1]: Let n be a non-negative integer, and $A_k \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). For $a_k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = 1$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \log A_k \right)$$

holds, uniformly. Put $a_k = \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}$ and $A_k = U^{*k} |T| U^k$.

Then we have (7) because T is centered.

3. SEMI-HYPONORMAL CENTERED OPERATORS CASE

In this section, we shall show that IAS of an invertible semi-hyponormal centered operator converges to a normal operator. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be semi-hyponormal if and only if $|T^*| \leq |T|$ holds. In [17], we showed that if T is an invertible semi-hyponormal operator and \mathfrak{m} is a non-weighted arithmetic mean, then the IAS of T converges

to a normal operator. However, we could not have any result when \mathfrak{m} is an arbitrary operator mean. In this section, we discuss the problem when T is a centered operator.

Because an arbitrary operator mean can be represented via the harmonic mean by Theorem 2.4 and (3), we first consider the harmonic mean case before proving a general result.

Proposition 3.1. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible centered operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and \mathfrak{h} be an operator weighted harmonic mean, i.e., the representing function f is $f(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^{-1}]^{-1}$. If T is semi-hyponormal, then $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^n(T)\}$ converges to a normal operator UL , where $L := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n}|T|U^n$.

We remark that if $T = U|T|$ is a semi-hyponormal operator, then $U|T|U^* = |T^*| \leq |T|$ holds. Hence we have

$$|T| \leq U^*|T|U \leq U^{*2}|T|U^2 \leq \cdots \leq U^{*n}|T|U^n \leq \cdots \leq \|T\|I.$$

Therefore there exists $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n}|T|U^n$. L is called the polar symbol [37, page 28]. To prove Proposition 3.1, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the following hold.

- (1) If T is semi-hyponormal, then there exists $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n}|T|U^n$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k}|T|U^k \nearrow L \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty).$$

- (2) If T^* is semi-hyponormal, then there exists $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n}|T|U^n$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k}|T|U^k \searrow L \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Proof. Proof of (1) is given in the similar way as [17, Theorem 3.4] (also see [10]).

Proof of (2). If T^* is semi-hyponormal if and only if $|T| \leq |T^*| = U|T|U^*$, then we have

$$|T| \geq U^*|T|U \geq U^{*2}|T|U^2 \geq \cdots \geq U^{*n}|T|U^n \geq 0.$$

Hence there exists $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n}|T|U^n$. The latter part can be proven in the similar way as (1). ■

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since T is centered and by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(T) &= U\mathfrak{h}(U^*|T|U, |T|) \\ &= U [(1 - \lambda)(U^*|T|U)^{-1} + \lambda|T|^{-1}]^{-1} \\ &= U [(1 - \lambda)U^*|(T^*)^{-1}|U + \lambda|(T^*)^{-1}]^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(8) \quad |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(T)|^{-1} = (1 - \lambda)U^*|(T^*)^{-1}|U + \lambda|(T^*)^{-1}|.$$

On the other hand, we have the polar decomposition $(T^*)^{-1} = U|(T^*)^{-1}|$, and $(T^*)^{-1}$ is centered since $|(T^*)^{-1}| = |T|^{-1}$. Moreover let \mathfrak{a} be a weighted operator arithmetic mean. We shall show $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n((T^*)^{-1})| = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^n(T)|^{-1}$ holds for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by mathematical induction on n . The $n = 0$ case has already been shown. We shall show the $n = 1$ case. By (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}((T^*)^{-1}) &= U\mathfrak{a}(U^*|(T^*)^{-1}|U, |(T^*)^{-1}|) \\ &= U [(1 - \lambda)U^*|(T^*)^{-1}|U + \lambda|(T^*)^{-1}|] = U|\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(T)|^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

we have $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}((T^*)^{-1})| = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(T)|^{-1}$. Assume that it holds for $n = k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{k+1}((T^*)^{-1})| &= (1 - \lambda)U^*|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^k((T^*)^{-1})|U + \lambda|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^k((T^*)^{-1})| \\ &= (1 - \lambda)U^*|\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^k(T)|^{-1}U + \lambda|\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^k(T)|^{-1} = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^{k+1}(T)|^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n((T^*)^{-1})| = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^n(T)|^{-1}$ holds for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore by Proposition 2.8 for $r = 1$, we have

$$|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n((T^*)^{-1})| = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k (1 - \lambda)^{n-k} U^{*k} |(T^*)^{-1}| U^k.$$

On the other hand, since T is semi-hyponormal, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T^*)^{-1}[(T^*)^{-1}]^* &= (T^*)^{-1}T^{-1} \\ &= (TT^*)^{-1} \\ &\geq (T^*T)^{-1} = [(T^*)^{-1}]^*(T^*)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and hence $[(T^*)^{-1}]^*$ is semi-hyponormal. Hence by Lemma 3.2 (2), $\{|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n((T^*)^{-1})|\}$ converges to

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n} |(T^*)^{-1}| U^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^{*n} |T|^{-1} U^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U^{*n} |T| U^n)^{-1} = L^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^n(T) = U \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^n(T)| = U \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n((T^*)^{-1})|^{-1} = U(L^{-1})^{-1} = UL.$$

Normality of UL can be shown as follows: Since U is unitary, $UL = LU$ holds, i.e., UL is quasinormal. Moreover since T is invertible, UL is also invertible, and hence UL is normal (see [37, Corollary 1.3]). ■

Theorem 3.3. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible centered operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, and \mathfrak{m}_f be an operator mean, s.t.,

$$(9) \quad [1 - \lambda + \lambda t^{-1}]^{-1} \leq f(t) \leq 1 - \lambda + \lambda t$$

holds for all $t > 0$, where f is a representing function of \mathfrak{m}_f and $\lambda = f'(1)$. If T is semi-hyponormal, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_f^n(T) = UL.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(T)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^n(T)| = L$ holds. Then by Theorem 2.3 and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}(T)| &= \mathfrak{h}(U^*|T|U, |T|) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{m}_f(U^*|T|U, |T|) = |\Delta_f(T)| \\ &\leq \mathfrak{a}(U^*|T|U, |T|) = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}(T)|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the monotonicity of operator means,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^2(T)| &= \mathfrak{h}(U^*|\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}(T)|U, |\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}(T)|) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{m}_f(U^*|\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}(T)|U, |\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}(T)|) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{m}_f(U^*|\Delta_f(T)|U, |\Delta_f(T)|) = |\Delta_f^2(T)| \\ &\leq \mathfrak{a}(U^*|\Delta_f(T)|U, |\Delta_f(T)|) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{a}(U^*|\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}(T)|U, |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}(T)|) = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(T)|. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above, we have

$$|\Delta_{\mathfrak{b}}^n(T)| \leq |\Delta_f^n(T)| \leq |\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(T)| \leq L$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where the last inequality holds by Lemma 3.2 (1). Moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle |\Delta_f^n(T)|x, x \rangle = \langle Lx, x \rangle$ for all $x \in H$ by Proposition 3.1. Therefore $L - |\Delta_f^n(T)| \geq 0$ and

$$\|(L - |\Delta_f^n(T)|)^{1/2}x\|^2 = \langle Lx, x \rangle - \langle |\Delta_f^n(T)|x, x \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $x \in H$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_f^n(T)| = L$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_f^n(T) = UL$. ■

Remark 3.4. (i) If $f(t) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda t$ in Theorem 3.3. We do not need the centered condition of T (see [17, Theorem 3.4]). (ii) We cannot remove the semi-hyponormality of T in Theorem 3.3. In fact, there exists a weighted shift (weighted shift is centered) such that $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(T)\}$ does not converge in [39, Theorem 5.2].

Question 1. What is the equivalent condition of $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ for which $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(T)\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4. CENTERED MATRICES CASE

In the previous section, we considered semi-hyponormal operators. This condition cannot be removed. However, if T is a centered matrix, then the IAS converges. In this section, we shall show the fact. We note that, if T is a matrix and there exists a limit point of $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^n(T)\}$, then the limit point is a normal matrix [39, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 4.1. Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be invertible and centered, and let \mathfrak{m}_f be an operator mean with a representing function f satisfying $f(x) \leq 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$ for all $x > 0$, where $\lambda := f'(1) \in (0, 1)$. Then $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^n(T)\}$ converges to a normal matrix as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4.2. The case $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$ has been already shown in [39, Theorem 5.1] without the centered condition of T . By the similar way, the case $f(x) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ in Theorem 4.1 also holds without the centered condition of T , too.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we prepare the following results.

Lemma 4.3. Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible centered matrix. Then there exists a non-negative integer n such that $|T| = U^{*n}|T|U^n$.

Proof. Since U is unitary, $U^{*k}|T|U^k$ has the same characteristic function for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, that is, every $\sigma(U^{*k}|T|U^k)$ is the same with the same multiplicity for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If T is centered, then $\{U^{*k}|T|U^k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is commuting. Hence all $U^{*k}|T|U^k$ are simultaneous diagonalizable. Therefore we may assume $|T| = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$, and every $U^{*k}|T|U^k$ is also a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are a permutation of $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. The number of permutations is at most $m!$. This means that there exists n such that $n \leq m!$ and $|T| = U^{*n}|T|U^n$. ■

Theorem 4.4. Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}_2(H)_{++}$. Let \mathfrak{m}_f be an operator mean with a representing function f such that $f'(1) := \lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f(x) \leq 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$ for all $x > 0$. Define $A_k^{(1)} = A_k$, $A_k^{(\ell+1)} := \mathfrak{m}_f(A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}, A_k^{(\ell)})$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $A_n^{(\ell+1)} := \mathfrak{m}_f(A_1^{(\ell)}, A_n^{(\ell)})$. Then for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the sequences $\{A_k^{(\ell)}\}$ converge to the same limit as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.4 is firstly considered by Pálfi [30] for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. The above result is a generalization of Pálfi's result. Proof is just a modification, but we introduce it for the reader's convenience (see also [19, Theorem 5.18]).

Proof. From the assumption

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{m}_f(X, Y) \leq (1 - \lambda)X + \lambda Y \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++},$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_k^{(\ell+1)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^{(\ell)}.$$

Therefore the decreasing positive sequence has a limit L :

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^{(\ell)} \rightarrow L \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, by (10), for $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_2(H)_{++}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \text{Tr} \{[(1-\lambda)X + \lambda Y + \mathbf{m}_f(X, Y)][(1-\lambda)X + \lambda Y - \mathbf{m}_f(X, Y)]\} \\ &= \text{Tr} \{(1-\lambda)X + \lambda Y\}^2 - \text{Tr} (\mathbf{m}_f(X, Y))^2 \\ &= (1-\lambda)\text{Tr}(X^2) + \lambda\text{Tr}(Y^2) - \lambda(1-\lambda)\text{Tr}(X-Y)^2 - \text{Tr} (\mathbf{m}_f(X, Y))^2 \\ &= (1-\lambda)\|X\|_2^2 + \lambda\|Y\|_2^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda)\|X-Y\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{m}_f(X, Y)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathbf{m}_f(X, Y)\|_2^2 \leq (1-\lambda)\|X\|_2^2 + \lambda\|Y\|_2^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda)\|X-Y\|_2^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\ell+1} &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k^{(\ell+1)}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|A_k^{(\ell)}\|_2^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|A_k^{(\ell)} - A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}\|_2^2 + \|A_n^{(\ell)} - A_1^{(\ell)}\|_2^2 \right) \\ &:= a_\ell - c_\ell \leq a_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Since the numerical sequence a_ℓ is decreasing, it has a limit and it follows that $c_\ell \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\|A_k^{(\ell)} - A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|A_n^{(\ell)} - A_1^{(\ell)}\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Combining formulas with (11), we have

$$A_k^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n}L \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

■

The limit L can be considered as a multivariate operator mean.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Assume that T is a centered matrix. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a natural number n such that $|T| = U^{*n}|T|U^n$. Let $A_k := U^{*k}|T|U^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, and define $\{A_k^{(\ell)}\}$ by the same way to Theorem 4.4, i.e., $A_k^{(0)} := A_k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $A_k^{(\ell+1)} := \mathbf{m}_f(A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}, A_k^{(\ell)})$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ and $A_{n-1}^{(\ell+1)} := \mathbf{m}_f(A_0^{(\ell)}, A_{n-1}^{(\ell)})$.

Firstly, we shall prove that

$$(12) \quad U^*A_k^{(\ell)}U = A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}, \text{ and } U^*A_{n-1}^{(\ell)}U = A_0^{(\ell)} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Since $A_k^{(0)} = A_k = U^{*k}|T|U^k$,

$$U^*A_k^{(0)}U = U^{*(k+1)}|T|U^{k+1} = A_{k+1} = A_{k+1}^{(0)}$$

holds for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$, and $U^*A_{n-1}^{(0)}U = A_0^{(0)}$ is shown similarly by $U^{*n}|T|U^n = |T|$. Hence (12) holds for $\ell = 0$. Assume that (12) holds for some ℓ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} U^*A_k^{(\ell+1)}U &= U^*\mathfrak{m}(A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}, A_k^{(\ell)})U \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(U^*A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}U, U^*A_k^{(\ell)}U) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(A_{k+2}^{(\ell)}, A_{k+1}^{(\ell)}) = A_{k+1}^{(\ell+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $U^*A_{n-1}^{(\ell+1)}U = A_0^{(\ell+1)}$ holds, too. Hence we have (12) for all $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Next, we shall prove that $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)| = A_0^{(\ell)}$ holds for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by mathematical induction on ℓ . The case $\ell = 0$, we have

$$|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^0(T)| = |T| = A_0^0.$$

Assume that $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)| = \mathfrak{M}_\ell(U, |T|) = A_0^{(\ell)}$ for some ℓ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^{\ell+1}(T)| &= \mathfrak{m}(U^*\mathfrak{M}_\ell(U, |T|)U, \mathfrak{M}_\ell(U, |T|)) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(U^*A_0^{(\ell)}U, A_0^{(\ell)}) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(A_1^{(\ell)}, A_0^{(\ell)}) = A_0^{(\ell+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)| = A_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 4.4, $\{|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)|\} = \{A_0^{(\ell)}\}$ converges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.7, the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)$ is $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T) = U|\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)|$. Therefore $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)\}$ converges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

We put UL as the limit point of $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}^\ell(T)\}$. Then $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}_f}(UL) = UL$ holds. Hence UL is normal by [39, Theorem 2.4]. \blacksquare

5. STANDARD FORM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and ϕ be a weight of M . In the case that M is finite, we may consider ϕ as a faithful state. In our case we consider $M = \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. So, ϕ be a normalized trace on $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$, that is, $\phi = \frac{1}{m}\text{Tr}$.

Let $(H_\phi, \pi_\phi, \eta_\phi)$ be the GNS construction of M . Set $N_\phi = \{a \in M | \phi(a^*a) < \infty\}$. Then, N_ϕ is σ -weak dense in M and $\eta_\phi: N_\phi \rightarrow H_\phi$ is a linear map such that $\overline{\eta_\phi(N_\phi)} = H_\phi$. Then, $\pi_\phi(a)\eta_\phi(b) = \eta_\phi(ab)$. Then, $\pi_\phi: M \rightarrow B(H_\phi)$ is a normal representation. Set a conjugate-linear operator S_ϕ on a dense subspace $\eta_\phi(N_\phi \cap N_\phi^*)$ of H_ϕ by $S_\phi(\eta_\phi(a)) = \eta_\phi(a^*)$, which is closable. By taking the polar decomposition $\overline{S_\phi} = J_\phi \Delta_\phi^{1/2}$ of $\overline{S_\phi}$ which is the closure of S_ϕ , we define a positive self-adjoint operator Δ_ϕ called the modular operator, and a conjugate involution

J_ϕ ($J_\phi^2 = 1$) called the modular conjugation. Then by the Tomita's fundamental theorem [7] says that

- (1) $J_\phi M J_\phi = M'$,
- (2) $\Delta_\phi^{it} M \Delta_\phi^{-it} = M$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).

In the case of $M = \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Then, $H_\phi = \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ as a set and $S_\phi = J_\phi$. We do not need the general theory when $M = \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $(H_\phi, \pi_\phi, \eta_\phi)$ be the standard representation of $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$, $U \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ a unitary. Define $\alpha_U \in \mathcal{B}(H_\phi)$ by $\alpha_U(\eta_\phi(a)) = \eta_\phi(U^*aU)$. Then, $(\alpha_U)^* = \alpha_{U^*}$ and $\|\alpha_U\| = 1$.

Proof. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_U(\eta_\phi(a)) | \eta_\phi(b)) &= (\eta_\phi(U^*aU) | \eta_\phi(b)) \\ &= \phi(b^*U^*aU) = \phi(Ub^*U^*a) \\ &= (\eta_\phi(a) | \alpha_{U^*}(\eta_\phi(b))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\alpha_U)^* = \alpha_{U^*}$.

For $a \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$

$$\|\alpha_U(\eta_\phi(a))\|^2 = \|\eta_\phi(U^*aU)\|^2 = \phi(U^*a^*aU) \leq \|a\|^2.$$

Hence, $\|\alpha_U\| \leq 1$. Since $\alpha_U(1) = 1$, $\|\alpha_U\| = 1$. ■

Lemma 5.2. Let $U \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be a unitary. Then, $\ker(\alpha_U - I) = \{U\}'$, the relative commutant of U .

Proof. For any $a \in \ker(\alpha_U - I)$. Then, $(\alpha_U - I)(a) = 0$. That is, $U^*aU = a$. Hence, $aU = Ua$, $a \in \{U\}'$. The converse inclusion is easy. ■

The following is the natural extension of [13, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 5.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a contraction, i.e., $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, for every $v \in H$, the vector

$$L_n(\lambda)(v) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} T^k v$$

converges to Pv as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where P is the orthogonal projection of H onto its subspace $\ker(T - I) = \{x \in H | Tx = x\}$.

The case $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ has been shown in [13, Theorem 4.1]. Hence Proposition 5.3 is a generalization of [13, Theorem 4.1]. However, the proof is simpler than [13, Theorem 4.1].

Proof. Set $a_{n,k} := (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$). Note that $L_n(\frac{1}{2}) = H_n$ in [13, Theorem 4.1] and $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} = 1$.

As in the same argument of [13, Theorem 4.1], we may assume that $v = Ty - y$ for some $y \in H$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\lambda)(v) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} (T^{k+1}y - T^k y) \\ &= -a_{n,0}y + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\binom{n}{k-1} a_{n,k-1} - \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} \right] T^k y + a_{n,n} T^{n+1}y. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left| \binom{n}{k-1} a_{n,k-1} - \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} \right| = \frac{|k - (1-\lambda)(n+1)|}{k\lambda} \binom{n}{k-1} a_{n,k-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n(\lambda)(v)\| &\leq \left(a_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|k - (1-\lambda)(n+1)|}{k\lambda} \binom{n}{k-1} a_{n,k-1} + a_{n,n} \right) \|y\| \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} n a_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|(k+1) - (1-\lambda)(n+1)|}{\lambda(k+1)} \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} \right) \|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation holds for $n \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$, i.e., it holds for sufficiently large n .

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} n a_{n,0} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} = 0$, we may show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|(k+1) - (1-\lambda)(n+1)|}{\lambda(k+1)} \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} = 0.$$

Put $M = \lfloor (1 - \lambda)n - \lambda \rfloor$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|(k+1) - (1-\lambda)(n+1)|}{\lambda(k+1)} \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{(1-\lambda)(n+1) - (k+1)}{\lambda(k+1)} \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} + \sum_{k=M+1}^n \frac{(k+1) - (1-\lambda)(n+1)}{\lambda(k+1)} \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^M \left[\frac{(n+1)!}{(k+1)!(n-k)!} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} - \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=M+1}^n \left[\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} - \frac{(n+1)!}{(k+1)!(n-k)!} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^M \left[\binom{n+1}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=M+1}^n \left[\binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n+1}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^M \left[\binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} + \binom{n}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=M+1}^n \left[\binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^M \left[\binom{n}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} - \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=M+1}^n \left[\binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} - \binom{n}{k+1} (1-\lambda)^{k+1} \lambda^{n-k-1} \right] \\
&= \binom{n}{M+1} (1-\lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} - \binom{n}{1} (1-\lambda) \lambda^{n-1} \\
&\quad + \binom{n}{M+1} (1-\lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} - \binom{n}{n+1} (1-\lambda)^{n+1} \lambda^{-1} \\
&= 2 \binom{n}{M+1} (1-\lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} - n(1-\lambda) \lambda^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{n}{n+1} = 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1-\lambda) \lambda^{n-1} = 0$, we only prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{n}{M+1} (1-\lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} = 0$$

for $M = \lfloor (1-\lambda)n - \lambda \rfloor$.

We note that since $(1 - \lambda)n - \lambda - 1 \leq M \leq (1 - \lambda)n - \lambda$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M}{n} = 1 - \lambda$. By Starling's formula,

$$\sqrt{2\pi n} n^{n+1/2} e^{-n} \leq n! \leq e n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}$$

holds for all natural number n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \binom{n}{M+1} (1 - \lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} = \frac{n!}{(M+1)!(n-M-1)!} (1 - \lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} \\ &\leq \frac{e n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}}{\sqrt{2\pi} (M+1)^{M+1+1/2} e^{-M-1} \sqrt{2\pi} (n-M-1)^{n-M-1+1/2} e^{-n+M+1}} (1 - \lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} \\ &= \frac{e}{2\pi} \frac{n^n}{(M+1)^{M+1} (n-M-1)^{n-M-1}} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M+1} \sqrt{n-M-1}} (1 - \lambda)^{M+1} \lambda^{n-M-1} \\ &= \frac{e}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{1}{n})}} \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda)n}{M+1} \right)^{M+1} \left(\frac{\lambda n}{n-M-1} \right)^{n-M-1} \\ &\leq \frac{e}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{1}{n})}} \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda)n}{(1 - \lambda)n - \lambda} \right)^{M+1} \left(\frac{\lambda n}{n - (1 - \lambda)n + \lambda - 1} \right)^{n-M-1} \\ &\quad \text{(by } (1 - \lambda)n - \lambda - 1 \leq M \leq (1 - \lambda)n - \lambda) \\ &= \frac{e}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{1}{n})}} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)n} \right)^{-n(\frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n})} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda n} \right)^{-n(1 - \frac{M}{n} - \frac{1}{n})} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \cdot 0 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(1 - \lambda)}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence the proof is completed. \blacksquare

Corollary 5.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a contraction, i.e., $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, for every $v \in H$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(\lambda)(v)$, and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.3. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.5. Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, f_λ be a function by $f_\lambda(x) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$. Then, for any invertible element $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_\lambda}^n(T)$ exists, and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. Let $(H_\phi, \pi_\phi, \eta_\phi)$ be the standard form of $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha_U \in \mathcal{B}(H_\phi)$ as in Lemma 5.1. Then, by Proposition 2.8.

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\phi(\Delta_{f_\lambda}^n(T)) &= \eta_\phi\left(U\left[\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}a_{n,k}U^{*k}|T|U^k\right]\right) \\ &= \pi_\phi(U)\left(\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}a_{n,k}\alpha_U^k\right)(\eta_\phi(|T|)).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}a_{n,k}\alpha_U^k\right)(\eta_\phi(|T|)) = P\eta_\phi(|T|)$ for a projection $P: H_\phi \rightarrow \eta_\phi(\{U\}')$ by Proposition 5.3, where $\{U\}' = \{X \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C}) \mid UX = XU\}$.

Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ is equivalent to the standard norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$, $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\Delta_{f_\lambda}^n(T)$ exists, and $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\Delta_{f_\lambda}^n(T) = UP$. Moreover we obtain that the limit point does not depend on λ by Corollary 5.4. \blacksquare

The following corollary is a generalization of [39, Theorem 5.1].

Corollary 5.6. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and f_λ be a function by $f_\lambda(x) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda x$. For an invertible $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$, let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition. Then, there exists $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\Delta_{f_\lambda}^n(T)$, and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. It follows from the proof in Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.4. \blacksquare

Remark 5.7. Let $(H_\phi, \pi_\phi, \eta_\phi)$ be the standard form of $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $U \in B(H_\phi)$ be a unitary. Then, for any $S \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$, $\left\{\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}a_{n,k}U^{*k}S U^k\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the same argument in Theorem 5.5.

Corollary 5.8. Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ and define $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$ on $x > 0$. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible centered matrix $T \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Then, $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)$ exists, and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, we have the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)$ as follows:

$$\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = U\left[\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}(1-\lambda)^k\lambda^{n-k}U^{*k}|T|^rU^k\right]^{1/r}.$$

By Remark 5.7, $\{|\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)|^r\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by Corollary 5.6, the limit point does not depend on λ . The proof is completed. \blacksquare

6. COMPACT OPERATORS CASE

In this section, we shall show that IAS converges to a normal operator in the case of centered compact operators.

Proposition 6.1. Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unitary and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)_+$, there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} F U^k \in \mathcal{F}(H),$$

and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. Set $a_{n,k} = (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be the Schatten 2-norm. Then for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)_+$, $\|F\| \leq \|F\|_2$. Hence, from the same argument in Theorem 5.5 (here $H_\phi = \mathcal{S}_2(H)$)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k$$

exists. Moreover, from Proposition 5.3 there exists a projection $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}_2(H))$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k = P F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$. ■

Corollary 6.2. Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unitary, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$. Then, there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} K U^k$$

and it does not depend on λ .

Proof. Let $a_{n,k} = (1-\lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)_+$ such that $\|K - F\| < \epsilon$.

Since $\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Proposition 6.1, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n, m \geq n_0$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} a_{m,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} K U^k - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} a_{m,k} U^{*k} K U^k \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} (K - F) U^k \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} a_{m,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} a_{m,k} U^{*k} (K - F) U^k \right\| \\
&\leq 2\|K - F\| + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} a_{m,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right\| \\
&< 3\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} K U^k \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ exists because the sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

By Proposition 6.1, we get the conclusion. \blacksquare

Using Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 6.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 6.3. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, let $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$. Assume that $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is invertible and centered. If $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ or a n -by- n matrix, then there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)$. Moreover, the limit point does not depend on λ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, we have the polar decomposition of $\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)$ as follows:

$$\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = U \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right]^{1/r}.$$

By Corollary 6.2, $\{|\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T)|^r\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by Corollary 6.2, the limit point does not depend on λ . The proof is completed. \blacksquare

Remark 6.4. If $r = 1$ in Theorem 6.3, then the centered condition of T is not needed. See Remark 2.9.

7. \mathcal{AN} AND \mathcal{AM} OPERATORS

In this section, we shall consider larger classes of operators than the class of compact operators. We shall treat \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators. Let H_1 and H_2 be infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. We recall

that $T \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is said to be an *absolutely norm attaining operator* or an \mathcal{AN} operator for short, if $T|_M$, the restriction of T to M , is norm attaining for every non-zero closed subspace $M \subseteq H_1$, that is, there exists a unit vector $x \in M$ such that $\|T|_M\| = \|T|_M x\|$ [8]. We denote $\mathcal{AN}(H_1, H_2)$ as the class of \mathcal{AN} operators in $\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$. Especially, if $H_1 = H_2$, then we write $\mathcal{AN}(H)$ for short. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is said to be an *absolutely minimal attaining operator* or an \mathcal{AM} operator for short, if $T|_M$, the restriction of T to M , is minimal attaining for every non-zero closed space $M \subseteq H_1$, that is, there exists a unit vector $x \in M$ such that $m(T|_M) = \|T|_M x\|$, where $m(T) = \inf\{\|Tx\| \mid x \in S_{H_1}\}$ [9] and [5, Definition 2.4]. We denote $\mathcal{AM}(H_1, H_2)$ as the class of \mathcal{AM} operators in $\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$. Especially, if $H_1 = H_2$, then we write $\mathcal{AM}(H)$ for short. The class of \mathcal{AN} operators includes all compact operators and partial isometries with finite dimensional kernels. The class of \mathcal{AM} operators includes finite rank operators and partial isometries with finite dimensional kernels or ranges. We note that the class of \mathcal{AM} operators includes non-injective compact operators. Essential spectrum of every \mathcal{AN} or \mathcal{AM} operator is a singleton [34, Theorem 2.4] and [5, Theorem 3.10], respectively. Properties of \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators are introduced in [5, 8, 9, 16, 17, 29, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36] and reference there in.

In this section, we shall show that the IASs of invertible \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators with respect to the power means converge. Moreover, the limit operation preserves \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} properties, respectively.

We shall start this section by introducing the following basic properties of \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators. The following results are shown in [29, Theorem 2.5], [16, Theorem 5.9] and [16, Theorem 5.6].

Theorem 7.1. The following statements hold.

- (i) $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ if and only if there exist a positive number $\alpha \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ and a self-adjoint $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ such that

$$T = \alpha I + K + F \quad ([29, \text{Theorem 2.5}]).$$

- (ii) $T \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$ if and only if there exist a positive number $\alpha \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)_+$ such that

$$T = \alpha I - K + F,$$

$KF = 0$ and $0 \leq K \leq \alpha I$. The decomposition is unique ([16, Theorem 5.9]).

- (iii) Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ be self-adjoint and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$. Then for every positive number α satisfying $\frac{\|K\|}{2} \leq \alpha$, we have

$$\alpha I - K + F \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad ([16, \text{Theorem 5.6}]).$$

Lemma 7.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be invertible. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ if and only if $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H)$,

(ii) $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ if and only if $T^* \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$.

Remark 7.3. In general, $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ does not imply $T^* \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ [8, Proposition 3.14].

Proof of Lemma 7.2. (i) Let $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T \in \mathcal{AN}(H) &\leftrightarrow |T| \in \mathcal{AN}(H) \quad ([31, \text{Lemma 6.2}]) \\ &\leftrightarrow |T|^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad ([5, \text{Theorem 3.8}]) \\ &\leftrightarrow |(T^*)^{-1}| \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad (|T|^{-1} = |(T^*)^{-1}|) \\ &\leftrightarrow (T^*)^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad ([16, \text{Proposition 3.2}]) \\ &\leftrightarrow T^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad ([5, \text{Corollary 3.15}]). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$. By (i), it is equivalent to $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H) &\rightarrow |T^{-1}| \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad ([29, \text{Proposition 3.2}]) \\ &\rightarrow |T^*|^{-1} \in \mathcal{AM}(H) \quad (|T|^{-1} = |(T^*)^{-1}|) \\ &\rightarrow |T^*| \in \mathcal{AN}(H) \quad ([5, \text{Corollary 3.15}]) \\ &\rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{AN}(H) \quad ([31, \text{Lemma 6.2}]) \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 7.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)_{++}$, and f be a positive continuous function defined on $(0, \infty)$. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Assume that $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_{++}$
 - (i) If f is a strictly increasing function, then $f(T) \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$,
 - (ii) if f is a strictly decreasing function, then $f(T) \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$.
- (2) Assume that $T \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_{++}$
 - (iii) If f is a strictly increasing function, then $f(T) \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$,
 - (iv) if f is a strictly decreasing function, then $f(T) \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$.

Proof. (i) has been already shown in [36, Theorem 2.12].

Proof of (ii). Assume that f is a positive and strictly decreasing function. Then $1/f(x)$ is a positive and strictly increasing function. If $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_{++}$. Then $f(T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ by (i). Hence $f(T) \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$ by Lemma 7.2 (i).

(2) If $T \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_{++}$, then $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_{++}$ by Lemma 7.2 (i).

Proof of (iii). Assume that f is a positive, continuous and strictly increasing function. Then $g(x) := f(x^{-1})$ is a positive, continuous and strictly decreasing function. Then by (ii), $f(T) = g(T^{-1}) \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$.

(iv) can be proven by the same way to (iii). Therefore the proof is completed. ■

Theorem 7.4 holds for non-invertible operators, too. The proof is the same way to [36, Theorem 2.12].

Theorem 7.5. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, let $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$. Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be invertible and centered. If $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ (resp. $T \in \mathcal{AM}(H)$). Then, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = UL$, and $UL \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$ (resp. $UL \in \mathcal{AM}(H)$). Moreover, the limit point does not depend on λ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, we have

$$(13) \quad \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = U \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right]^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where $a_{n,k} = (1 - \lambda)^k \lambda^{n-k}$. Assume that $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$. Then $|T| \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ by [29, Corollaries 2.10 and 2.11]. Moreover $|T|^r \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ for $r > 0$ and $|T|^r \in \mathcal{AM}(H)_+$ for $r < 0$ by Theorem 7.4.

If $r > 0$, there exist a positive number $\alpha \geq 0$, self-adjoint $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ such that

$$|T|^r = \alpha I + K + F$$

by Theorem 7.1 (i). Then by (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) &= U \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &= U \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} (\alpha I + K + F) U^k \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &= U \left[\alpha I + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} K U^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.2, there exists $K_L \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ and $F_L \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\alpha I + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} K U^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n,k} U^{*k} F U^k \right) \\ &= \alpha I + K_L + F_L = P_L \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_L \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ follows Theorem 7.1 (i).

Hence $L := P_L^{\frac{1}{r}} \in \mathcal{AN}(H)_+$ for $r > 0$ by Theorem 7.4 (i), and there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = UL.$$

If $r < 0$, there exist a positive number $\alpha \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)_+$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(H)_+$ such that

$$|T|^r = \alpha I - K + F,$$

$KF = 0$ and $0 \leq K \leq \alpha I$ by Theorem 7.1 (ii). Then by the same argument to the case $r > 0$, we can prove the case $r < 0$ by Theorems 7.1 (iii) and 7.4 (iv). The case $T \in \mathcal{AM}(H)$ can be proven by the same way to the case $T \in \mathcal{AN}(H)$.

The limit point does not depend on λ by Theorem 6.3. ■

Remark 7.6. The centered condition of T is not needed if $r = 1$. See Remark 2.9 (i).

Question 2. Is it possible to get the same conclusion for arbitrary operator mean in Theorem 7.5?

8. LIMIT POINT

In this section, we shall give a concrete form of the limit point of IAS for centered matrices. To discuss the limit point, we recall the following result [39, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 8.1 ([39, Theorem 5.1]). Let $T \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be invertible with the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$. Let \mathfrak{a} be a non-weighted arithmetic mean. Then the sequence $\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(T)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a normal matrix N such that $\text{Tr}(T) = \text{Tr}(N)$ and $\text{Tr}(|T|) = \text{Tr}(|N|)$.

Corollary 8.2. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, define $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$. Let $T = U|T| \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the polar decomposition of an invertible centered matrix. If $U = V^* \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) V$ such that V is unitary and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) = U[V^*(I \circ V|T|^r V^*)V]^{1/r},$$

where $X \circ Y$ means the Hadamard product of matrices X and Y . Especially, if $r = 1$, then the centered condition of T can be removed.

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, we only prove $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ case. Since $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $|\alpha_k| = 1$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(\frac{1 + \alpha_j \bar{\alpha}_i}{2} \right)^n \right] = I.$$

Hence by Proposition 2.8 and the same argument as in [39, Proof of Theorem 5.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{f_r}^n(T)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V^* \left(\left[\left(\frac{1 + \alpha_j \bar{\alpha}_i}{2} \right)^n \right] \circ V|T|^r V^* \right)^{1/r} V \\ &= [V^*(I \circ V|T|^r V^*)V]^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r = 1$, all of the above discussion can be done without the centered condition. ■

Example 8.3. Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $|T| = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ for $a, b, c > 0$ and $T = U|T| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $U^{*k}|T|U^k$ is a diagonal matrix, and T is centered. For $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, let $f_r(x) = \left(\frac{1+x^r}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$. In this case

$$N_r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{f_r}^n(T) = \left(\frac{a^r + b^r + c^r}{3}\right)^{1/r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\text{Tr}(T) = \text{Tr}(N_r) = 0$,

$$\sigma(T) = \{(abc)^{1/3}, (abc)^{1/3}\omega, (abc)^{1/3}\omega^2\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(N_r) = \left\{ \left(\frac{a^r + b^r + c^r}{3}\right)^{1/r}, \left(\frac{a^r + b^r + c^r}{3}\right)^{1/r} \omega, \left(\frac{a^r + b^r + c^r}{3}\right)^{1/r} \omega^2 \right\},$$

where $\omega \neq 1$ is a cube root of 1. Hence $\sigma(T) \neq \sigma(N_r)$ for $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$.

Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{a^r + b^r + c^r}{3}\right)^{1/r} = (abc)^{1/3}$, we have a limit of IAS

$$N_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^n(T) = (abc)^{1/3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check that it satisfies $\text{Tr}(T) = \text{Tr}(N_0) = 0$ and $\sigma(T) = \sigma(N_0)$.

We have the following question.

Question 3. Can we have a concrete form of the limit of $\{\Delta_m^n(T)\}$ for other operator mean? For example, consider the logarithmic mean case ($f(x) = \frac{x-1}{\log x} = \int_0^1 x^t dt$).

Using the matrix T in Example 8.3, we may obtain a kind of the logarithmic mean for 3-positive numbers as a solution of Question 3 if possible. We may define any other means of n -positive numbers by considering Question 3.

9. ITERATION OF INDUCED ALUTHGE TRANSFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO THE POWER MEAN IN FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

Let G be a locally compact group, A be a C^* -algebra and M be a von Neumann algebra. Let $\text{Aut}(A)$ denote the set of all automorphisms on A .

Definition 9.1. (1) An action $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is said to be strongly continuous if for any $a \in A$, $\|\alpha_g(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$ ($g \rightarrow e$).

- (2) An action $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ is said to be a σ -weakly continuous if for any $x \in M$ and $\phi \in M_*$, $|\phi(\alpha_g(x)) - \phi(x)| \rightarrow 0$ ($g \rightarrow e$)

Note that if $s \in G \mapsto \alpha_s(a) \in A$ is $\sigma(A, A^*)$ -continuous, then, α is strongly continuous.

Definition 9.2. Let G be a locally compact group, μ be a left invariant Haar measure on G , and Δ be a unimodular. A representation $\lambda: G \rightarrow B(L^2(G, \mu))$ is called a left regular representation if for any $\xi \in L^2(G, \mu)$ and $g, h \in G$

$$\lambda(g)\xi(h) = \xi(g^{-1}h).$$

A representation $\rho: G \rightarrow B(L^2(G, \mu))$ is called a right regular representation if for any $\xi \in L^2(G, \mu)$ and $g, h \in G$

$$\rho(g)\xi(h) = \Delta(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi(hg).$$

A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}(G)$ generated by $\{\lambda(g)|g \in G\}$ is called a group von Neumann algebra. Note that $\mathcal{R}(G) = \{\lambda(g)|g \in G\}''$ by von Neumann's double commutant theorem and $\rho(g) \in \mathcal{R}(G)'$, the commutant of $\mathcal{R}(G)$. Note that $\mathcal{R}(G)' = \{\rho(g)|g \in G\}''$.

Definition 9.3. Let M be a von Neumann algebra, G a locally compact group, and α σ -weakly continuous action on M . Set $K = H \otimes L^2(G, \mu)$. Define a representation $\pi: M \rightarrow B(K)$ and a unitary representation $u: G \rightarrow B(K)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_\alpha(x)\xi)(h) &:= \alpha_h^{-1}(x)\xi(h), \quad (x \in M, \xi \in K, t \in G) \\ (u(g)\xi)(h) &:= \xi(g^{-1}h), \quad (g \in G). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\pi_\alpha((\alpha_h)(x)) = u(h)\pi_\alpha(x)u(h)^*, \quad (x \in M, h \in G)$$

The von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_\alpha(x)$ ($x \in M$) and $u(h)$ ($h \in G$) is called the crossed product of M and G , and is written as $M \rtimes_\alpha G$.

Let (X, μ) be a probability space and ϕ be an invertible, measure preserving transformation of X . Consider the crossed product algebra $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\alpha_\phi} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\alpha_\phi(f)(x) = f(\phi(x))$ for all $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and $x \in X$. Then, there is a unitary U on $L^2(X, \mu) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha_\phi(f) = UfU^* = f \circ \phi$ for all $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Note that linear span of $\{U^k f | k \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)\}$ is strongly dense in M , and there is a normal state τ on M such that

$$\tau(U^k f) = \delta_{k,0} \int_X f d\mu, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in L^\infty(X, \mu).$$

Any element in $\{U^k f | k \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)\}$ is centered because that $|U^k f| = |f| \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, $U^{m*}|U^k f|U^m = \alpha_{-m}(|f|)$ and $U^n|U^k f|U^{n*} = \alpha_n(|f|) \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$.

Proposition 9.4. Let $T = U|T| \in M$ be centered such that $|T| \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$. For $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) - UH\|_2 = 0,$$

where $H = (E^\phi(|T|^r))^{1/r}$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, we only prove $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ case.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{f_{r,\frac{1}{2}}}^n(T)| &= \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} U^{*k} |T|^r U^k \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} |T|^r \circ \phi^k \right)^{1/r} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.8, $|\Delta_{f_{r,\frac{1}{2}}}^n(T)|$ converges in probability to $(E^\phi(|T|^r))^{1/r}$ by [13, Corollary 4.4]. As in the proof of [13, Theorem 5.2] we get the conclusion. ■

The following is the partial answer to Question 3.

Corollary 9.5. Let $T = U|T| \in M$ such that $|T| \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$. For $r \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f_{r,\lambda}(x) = [1 - \lambda + \lambda x^r]^{1/r}$,

$$\Delta_{f_{r,\lambda}}^n(T) \rightarrow UH \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

in the strongly operator topology, where $H = (E^\phi(|T|^r))^{1/r}$.

10. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we obtain convergence properties of IASs. To consider this matter, we divide four cases as follows.

(i) Matrices case. In this case, for arbitrary operator mean, IAS of an invertible centered matrix converges to a normal matrix in Theorem 4.1. The limit points may depend on the operator mean, especially we give a concrete form of the limit point in the power mean case in Corollary 8.2. Especially, in the arithmetic mean case, the centered condition is not needed.

(ii) Compact, \mathcal{AN} and \mathcal{AM} operators cases. These operators are defined on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. We obtain that IAS of an invertible centered operator converges to a normal operator for the power mean case in Theorems 6.3 and 7.5. It is obtained by using a kind of a generalization of von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem as in Proposition 5.3. In the arithmetic mean case, the centered condition is not needed.

(iii) General operators case. There is an invertible centered operator such that IAS with respect to an arbitrary operator mean does not converge in [39, Theorem 5.2] (see [12]). However, IAS with respect to

an arbitrary operator mean of an invertible centered semi-hyponormal operator converges to a normal operator, and the limit point does not depend on operator means in Theorem 3.3. In the arithmetic mean case, the centered condition for an invertible semi-hyponormal operator is not needed.

(iv) Finite von Neumann algebras case. If $T \in M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_{\alpha_\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|T| \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, then IAS with respect to power means converges. The limit point can be represented by the conditional expectation in Corollary 9.5.

In the all cases, all limit points do not depend on the weight of operator power means. In other words, we may give a classification of means by considering limit points of IASs.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aluthge, *On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [2] T. Ando, *Aluthge transforms and the convex hull of the eigenvalues of a matrix*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **52** (2004), 281–292.
- [3] T. Ando and T. Yamazaki, *The iterated Aluthge transforms of a 2-by-2 matrix converge*, Linear Algebra Appl. **375** (2003), 299–309.
- [4] J. Antezana, E. R. Pujals and D. Stojanoff, *The iterated Aluthge transforms of a matrix converge*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 1591–1620.
- [5] N. Bala and G. Ramesh, *Spectral properties of absolutely minimum attaining operators*. Banach J. Math. Anal. **14** (2020), 630–649.
- [6] C. Benhida, M. Chō, E. Ko and J. E. Lee, *Characterizations of a complex symmetric truncated backward shift type operator matrix and its transforms*, Results Math. **78** (2023), 13.
- [7] B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras. Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, 122. Operator Algebras and Noncommutative geometry III, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [8] X. Carvajal and W. Neves, *Operators that achieve the norm*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **72** (2012), 179–195.
- [9] X. Carvajal and W. Neves, *Operators that attain their minima*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2014), 293–312.
- [10] F. Chabbabi, R. E. Curto and M. Mbekhta, *The mean transform and the mean limit of an operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), 1119–1133.
- [11] F. Chabbabi and M. Mbekhta, *Polar decomposition, Aluthge and mean transforms*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra and Function Spaces, 89–107. Contemp. Math., **750** Centre Rech. Math. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. RI, 2020.
- [12] M. Chō, I. B. Jung and W. Y. Lee, *On Aluthge transforms of p -hyponormal operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **53** (2005), 321–329.
- [13] K. Dykema and H. Schultz, *Brown measure and iterates of the Aluthge transform for some operators arising from measurable actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 6583–6593.
- [14] J.I. Fujii, M. Fujii, and Y. Seo, *The Golden–Thompson–Segal type inequalities related to the weighted geometric mean due to Lawson–Lim*, J. Math. Inequal. **3** (2009), 511–518.
- [15] T. Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators. From matrices to bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space*. Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2001. x+255 pp.

- [16] J. Ganesh, G. Ramesh, and D. Sukumar, A characterization of absolutely minimum attaining operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **468** (2018), 567–583.
- [17] R. Golla, H. Osaka, Y. Udagawa and T. Yamazaki, *Stability of AN-property for the induced Aluthge transformations*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **678** (2023) 206–226.
- [18] F. Hansen, *The fast track to Löwner’s theorem*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013), 4557–4571.
- [19] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *Introduction to matrix analysis and applications*, Universitext. Springer, Cham; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2014. viii+332 pp.
- [20] H. Huang and T. Y. Tam, *On the convergence of Aluthge sequence*, *Oper. Matrices* **1** (2007), 121–141.
- [21] H. Huang and T. Y. Tam, *Aluthge iteration in semisimple Lie group*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), 3250–3257.
- [22] T. Huruya, *A note on p-hyponormal operators*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 3617–3624.
- [23] M. Ito, T. Yamazaki and M. Yanagida, *On the polar decomposition of the Aluthge transformation and related results*, *J. Operator Theory* **51** (2004), 303–319.
- [24] I. B. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy, *Aluthge transforms of operators*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **37** (2000), 437–448.
- [25] S. Jung, E. Ko and M. J. Lee, *On the iterated mean transforms of operators*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **23** (2020), 597–610.
- [26] F. Kubo and T. Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, *Math. Ann.* **246** (1979/80), 205–224.
- [27] S. H. Lee, W. Y. Lee and J. Yoon, *The mean transform of bounded linear operators*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **410** (2014), 70–81.
- [28] B. B. Morrel and P. S. Muhly, *Centered operators*, *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 251–263.
- [29] D. V. Naidu and G. Ramesh, *On absolutely norm attaining operators*, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **129** (2019), Paper No. 54, 17 pp.
- [30] M. Pálfi, *A multivariable extension of two-variable matrix means*, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **32** (2011), 385–393.
- [31] S. K. Pandey and V. I. Paulsen, *A spectral characterization of AN operators*, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **102** (2017), 369–391.
- [32] W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz, *Functional calculus for sesquilinear forms and the purification map*, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **8** (1975), 159–170.
- [33] G. Ramesh, *Structure theorem for AN-operators*, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **96** (2014), 386–395.
- [34] G. Ramesh, *Absolutely norm attaining paranormal operators*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **465** (2018), 547–556.
- [35] G. Ramesh and S. S. Sequeira, *On the closure of absolutely norm attaining operators*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **71** (2023), 2894–2914.
- [36] G. Ramesh, H. Osaka, Y. Udagawa and T. Yamazaki, *Functional calculus for AN-operators*, *Anal. Math.* **49** (2023), 825–839.
- [37] D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 10. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983. xiv+241 pp.
- [38] T. Yamazaki, *An expression of spectral radius via Aluthge transformation*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 1131–1137.
- [39] T. Yamazaki, *The induced Aluthge transformations*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **628** (2021), 1–28.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, KUSATSU,
SHIGA, 525-8577 JAPAN

Email address: `osaka@se.ritsumei.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL, ELECTRONIC AND COMMUNICATIONS ENGI-
NEERING, TOYO UNIVERSITY, KAWAGOE-SHI, SAITAMA, 350-8585, JAPAN

Email address: `t-yamazaki@toyo.jp`