Field Theory of Non-Newtonian Turbulence

Esteban Calzetta*

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Física, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires (IFIBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina

Providing a compelling derivation of Kolmogorov turbulence is a fascinating open challenge in field theory. Here, we pose a more modest question: if we had a field-theoretic description of Kolmogorov turbulence, could we use it to describe deviations caused, for example, by adding a polymer additive or by relativistic corrections? To investigate this issue, we assume a description of developed, homogeneous, and isotropic turbulence along the lines of Martin, Siggia, and Rose, and we work out the first corrections to the equal-time, two-point spectrum caused by adding non-Newtonian terms to the fluid stress tensor. While the results are not conclusive, they show a promising resemblance to turbulent spectra found both in experiments and in large-scale numerical simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Almost since the inception of the Kolmogorov theory of turbulence [1-4], there have been attempts to derive it from the Navier-Stokes equations by treating them as a non-relativistic field theory [5]. While substantial contributions have been made [6, 7], it is fair to say that this is still an open challenge [8]. But we can also ask whether, if we had a field theoretic description of Kolmogorov turbulence, we could use it to investigate other situations of interest, such as turbulence in strongly relativistic fluids [9, 10], or in viscoelastic fluids [11–15].

Actually, there are grounds to expect that such an extension of the theory is feasible. Some time ago Goldenfeld, Gioia, Chakraborthy and others [16–23] proposed a quantitative link between friction in a wall bounded flow and the turbulent spectrum in isotropic turbulence. Subsequently the original authors and others showed that the relevant correlation between friction and spectrum subsisted in more general situations, such as flows in different number of dimensions [24], time dependent flows [25], and polymeric solutions [26]. In the present work we likewise ask whether a theory built to describe Kolmogorov turbulence under the Navier-Stokes equations, may still be relevant when the fundamental equations are perturbed.

Concretely, we shall begin by assuming that the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) provide the "bare" description of the flow and will use the Martin - Siggia and Rose (MSR) formalism [27–31] to build an "effective action" (EA) [32–38] from which the full correlations of the theory may be derived. If the EA were computed in a diagrammatic expansion it would reproduce the formalism by Wyld and Lee, see [28, 39–42]. Actually, we shall never need to write down this effective action, but only assume we know what the full correlations are in homogeneous, isotropic Kolmogorov turbulence.

Then we shall perturb the NSE by the addition of non-Newtonian terms in the fluid stress tensor [43–46]. The particular perturbation we shall consider arises in models of viscoelastic behavior, such as characteristic of polymeric solutions [47–50]. We shall show in Appendix A that this model also describes the nonrelativistic limit of a conformal fluid [51–53]. We then work out the first order correction to the spectrum from the perturbed EA. We compare this result with spectra found in viscoelastic turbulence both in experiment and numerical simulations.

This paper is organized as follows. Next section II presents the basic notations and the equations of the fluid, both the NES and the perturbed one. To make the work self-contained, we have included a basic introduction to the MSR approach and the EA therefrom. In section III we proceed to compute the kernels which are necessary to write down the perturbed Schwinger-Dyson equations. In section IV we solve the Schwinger-Dyson equations and find the energy spectrum. In section V we compare this spectrum with published results in the literature. We conclude with some brief final remarks in section VI.

We have included three appendices. In Appendix A we show how the model in Section II describes the nonrelativistic limit of a conformal fluid. In Appendix B we discuss how to account for the random Galilean invariance [54–58] of the NSE in the EA formalism, a subject that we left out of the main text for simplicity, but has deep implications for the development of the theory. Appendix (C) fills in the details of one of the derivations in the text.

II. THE MODEL

The model is represented by the equations

^{*} calzetta@df.uba.ar

$$Q^{i} = V_{,t}^{j} + V^{k}V_{,k}^{j} + P_{,k}^{jk} + \frac{1}{\rho}P^{,j} = 0$$

$$Q^{ij} = \tau_{1} \left[P_{,t}^{jk} + V^{l}P_{,l}^{jk} \right] - \tau_{2} \left[P^{jl}V_{,l}^{k} + V_{,l}^{j}P^{lk} \right] + P^{jk} + \nu\Sigma^{jk} = 0$$
(1)

$$V_{,j}^{j} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where V^{j} is the incompresible fluid velocity, P^{ij} is the stress tensor, P is the pressure, μ is the constant fluid mass density, Σ^{ij} is the shear tensor

$$\Sigma^{jk} = V^{j,k} + V^{k,j} \tag{3}$$

and ν is the kinematic viscosity. When $\tau_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ at fixed ν we get an ordinary Newtonian fluid. When $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$, the derivative terms in the second of eqs. (1) add up to the upper convected derivative of P^{ij} [46]. When $\tau_2 = 0$ it reduces to a material derivative, which is the case that describes the nonrelativistic limit of a conformal fluid, see Appendix A. In this note we shall assume $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$.

We note that the right hand side of equations (1) ought to display stochastic sources necessary to put the fluid in motion. However, since we wish to work in the regime where fluid fluctuations are self-sustained, we shall not consider these sources explicitly.

To be able to derive equations (1) from a variational principle we introduce Lagrange multipliers A_j and B_{jk} such that $A_j^j = 0$, and write

$$S = \int d^3y dt \,\left\{A_j Q^j + B_{jk} Q^{jk}\right\} \tag{4}$$

We delete the pressure term from Q^j , since it integrates to zero anyway.

A. The MSR EA and its perturbations

We see that the action functional eq. (4) depends on four different fields, the physical fields V^j and P^{ij} and the auxiliary fields A_j and B_{ij} . This diversity makes for a rather complex field theory.

To avoid unnecessary complications, we shall adopt an scheme based on three levels of description. Eqs. (1) and (4) belong to the first level, where we treat both physical and auxiliary fields as distinct. In the second level, however, we drop this distinction and gather together the physical fields into a single string $V^a = (V^j, P^{jk})$, and similarly the auxiliary fields into a string $A_a = (A_j, B_{jk})$. For higher compression, in the third level of description we regard all variables as components of a single object $X^J = (V^a, A_a)$. In the second and third levels space-time indexes are included into the indexes a, J and we apply Einstein's convention to sums over indexes, both discrete and continuous.

Given an action S[X] we define a generating functional

$$e^{iW[J]} = \int DX \ e^{i\left(S[X] + J_K X^K\right)} \tag{5}$$

were the J_K are a string of external sources. Differentiation yields the mean fields

$$\bar{X}^J = \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_J} \tag{6}$$

We shall work under conditions where symmetry forces all background fields to zero, namely homogeneous, isotropic turbulence. Further differentiation produces the higher cumulants, in particular the two-point correlations

$$\frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta J_J \delta J_K} = i \left\langle X^J X^K \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

where we are already using that the mean fields vanish. It is convenient to choose the mean fields, rather than the sources, as independent variables. To achieve this, we introduce the effective action Γ as the Legendre transform of the generating functional

$$\Gamma\left[\bar{X}\right] = W\left[J\right] - J_K \bar{X}^K \tag{8}$$

whereby we get the equations of motion for the mean fields

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{X}^J} = -J_J \tag{9}$$

Differentiating eq. (6) with respect to the mean fields and using eq. (7) we get

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{X}^J \delta \bar{X}^K} \left\langle X^K X^L \right\rangle = i \delta^L_J \tag{10}$$

 δ_{J}^{L} denotes the identity operator in the corresponding functional space. Similarly, from eq. (9) we get

$$\left\langle X^J X^K \right\rangle \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{X}^K \delta \bar{X}^L} = i \delta_L^J \tag{11}$$

These are the Schwinger-Dyson equations of the theory. From either of these equations we can derive the two-point correlations from the effective action.

B. Auxiliary and physical fields

We will now elaborate on the analysis above by distinguishing physical fields V^a from auxiliary fields A_a . We also distinguish the external sources J_a coupled to physical fields from the sources K^a coupled to auxiliary fields. The action eq. (4) is written as

$$S = A_a Q^a \left[V \right] \tag{12}$$

The equations of motion Q^a are causal and we assume ([59])

$$Det \frac{\delta Q^a}{\delta V^b} = \text{ constant}$$
(13)

We may choose the constant to be 1. The generating functional eq. (5) is expanded into

$$e^{iW[J,K]} = \int DADV \ e^{i(A_a Q^a[V] + A_a K^a + J_a V^a)}$$
(14)

Observe that

$$W[0,K] = 0$$
 (15)

identically, so all the expectation values of products of auxiliary fields vanish. Eq. (10) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{,\bar{A}_{a}\bar{A}_{b}} & \Gamma_{,\bar{A}_{a}\bar{V}^{b}} \\ \Gamma_{,\bar{V}^{a}\bar{A}_{b}} & \Gamma_{,\bar{V}^{a}\bar{V}^{b}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle A_{b}V^{c} \rangle \\ \langle V^{b}A_{c} \rangle & \langle V^{b}V^{c} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{a}_{c} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{c}_{a} \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

This implies that $\Gamma_{\bar{A}_c \bar{V}^b}$ and $\langle \bar{V}^b \bar{A}_c \rangle$ are non singular, since

$$\Gamma_{,\bar{A}_a\bar{V}^b}\left\langle V^bA_c\right\rangle = i\delta^a_c \tag{17}$$

and then it must be

$$\Gamma_{,\bar{V}^a\bar{V}^b} = 0 \tag{18}$$

when the mean auxiliary fields vanish.

III. COMPUTING THE EA WITH THE BACKGROUND FIELD METHOD

According to the usual rule [33], the EA is the classical action plus a "quantum" correction

$$\Gamma = S + \Gamma_Q \tag{19}$$

To compute Γ_Q , we split all fields into a background value plus a fluctuation $X^J \to \bar{X}^J + x^J$ etc., expand the action eq. (4) and discard terms independent or linear in the fluctuations. Then

$$\Gamma_Q = (-i) \ln \int Dx^J \ e^{i \left(S[x] + \bar{X}^J \bar{S}_J[x] + J_{QJ} x^J\right)}$$
(20)

where S is just the action eq. (4) evaluated on the fluctuation fields, and $\bar{X}^J \bar{S}_J$ is linear on the background fields. The sources J_Q enforce the constraints that the expectation value of the fluctuations vanish $\langle x^J \rangle = 0$. For this reason all one particle insertions in the diagrammatic evaluation of the effective action vanish, and it is enough to consider one-particle irreducible graphs only.

Expanding the exponential, we see that the contribution to the quadratic part of the EA from Γ_Q is

$$\Gamma_Q^{(2)} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{X}^J \bar{X}^K \left\langle \bar{S}_J \left[x \right] \bar{S}_K \left[x \right] \right\rangle \tag{21}$$

where

$$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle = \int Dx^J \, e^{i \left(S[x] + J_{QA} x^A \right)} \mathcal{X} \tag{22}$$

We are using that $\langle \bar{S}_J [x] \rangle = 0$ at zero background fields, which is easily verified. Expanding

$$S = S_0 + \tau S_1 \tag{23}$$

then to first order in τ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_0 + i\tau \, \langle \mathcal{X}S_1 \rangle_0 \tag{24}$$

where

$$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_0 = \int Dx^J \ e^{i \left(S_0[x] + J_{QA} x^A\right)} \mathcal{X}$$
⁽²⁵⁾

The \bar{S}_J may be similarly expanded

$$\bar{S}_J = \bar{S}_{0J} + \tau \bar{S}_{1J}$$
 (26)

Therefore, up to first order in τ we get

$$\Gamma_Q^{(2)} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{X}^J \bar{X}^K \left\{ \left\langle \bar{S}_{0J} \left[x \right] \bar{S}_{0K} \left[x \right] \left(1 + i\tau S_1 \right) \right\rangle_0 + 2\tau \left\langle \bar{S}_{0J} \left[x \right] \bar{S}_{1K} \left[x \right] \right\rangle_0 \right\}$$
(27)

We shall assume viscosity effects are negligible in computing Γ_Q , whereby

$$S_{0} = \int d^{3}y ds \left[a_{j} \left(v_{,t}^{j} + v^{k} v_{,k}^{j} + p_{,k}^{jk} \right) + b_{jk} p^{jk} \right]$$

$$S_{1} = \int d^{3}y ds \, b_{jk} \left(p_{,t}^{jk} + v^{l} p_{,l}^{jk} - p^{jl} v_{,l}^{k} - v_{,l}^{j} p^{lk} \right)$$
(28)

Because S_0 only contains b_{jk} in the combination $b_{jk}p^{jk}$, we find a Novikov-type formula [60].

$$\langle p_{jk}\mathcal{X}\rangle_0 = i\left\langle \frac{\delta\mathcal{X}}{\delta b_{jk}}\right\rangle_0$$
(29)

To apply this formula, we use

$$\frac{\delta b_{jk}(y,s)}{\delta b_{j'k'}(y',s')} = \delta(s-s')\,\Delta_{jk}^{j'k'}(y-y') \tag{30}$$

where

$$\Delta_{jk}^{j'k'}(y-y') = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \delta_j^{j'} \delta_k^{k'} + \delta_k^{j'} \delta_j^{k'} \right\} \delta(y-y')$$
(31)

For our purposes we need the noise kernels, when both \bar{X}^J and \bar{X}^K are auxiliary fields, and the self-energies, when one is an auxiliary field and the other a physical field. The noise kernels are computed with the substitutions

$$\bar{X}^{J} = \bar{A}_{j} \Rightarrow \bar{S}_{J} = S^{j}_{a}(x,t) = S^{j}_{0a}(x,t) = \left(v^{k}v^{j}_{,k}\right)(x,t)$$
(32)

or

$$\bar{X}^{J} = \bar{B}_{jk} \Rightarrow \bar{S}_{J} = S_{b}^{jk}(x,t) = \tau S_{1b}^{jk}(x,t) = \tau \left(v^{l} p_{,l}^{jk} - p^{jl} v_{,l}^{k} - v_{,l}^{j} p^{lk} \right)(x,t)$$
(33)

We see that expressions containing S_b^{jk} display more p^{jk} than b_{jk} factors, therefore according to the Novikov formula are obviously zero. So

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{A}_j(x,t) \,\delta \bar{B}_{j'k'}(x',t')} = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{B}_{jk}(x,t) \,\delta \bar{B}_{j'k'}(x',t')} = 0 \tag{34}$$

The calculation of $\delta^2 \Gamma_Q / \delta \bar{B}_{jn}(x,t) \, \delta \bar{V}^k(y,t')$ involves S_b^{jk} and

$$\bar{X}^{J} = \bar{V}^{j} \Rightarrow \bar{S}_{0J} = S_{0vj}(x,t) = \left(a_{k}v_{,j}^{k} - a_{k,j}v^{k}\right)(x,t)$$
$$\bar{X}^{J} = \bar{V}^{j} \Rightarrow \bar{S}_{1J} = S_{1vj}(x,t) = \left[b_{lk}p_{,j}^{kl} + 2\left(b_{jk}p^{kl}\right)_{,l}\right](x,t)$$
(35)

Once again we see that there are more p^{jk} than b_{jk} factors, therefore

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{B}_{jn}\left(x,t\right) \delta \bar{V}^k\left(y,t'\right)} = 0 \tag{36}$$

Let's spell out the expectation values involved in computing $\delta^2 \Gamma_Q / \delta \bar{A}_j(x,t) \, \delta \bar{V}^k(y,t')$

$$\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left(a_{m}v_{,k}^{m}-a_{m,k}v^{m}\right)(y,t')\left(1+i\tau S_{1}\right)\right\rangle _{0}+2\tau\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left[b_{mn}p_{,k}^{mn}+2\left(b_{kn}p^{mn}\right)_{,m}\right](y,t')\right\rangle _{0}$$
(37)

Now

$$\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left(a_{m}v_{,k}^{m}-a_{m,k}v^{m}\right)(y,t')\,i\tau S_{1}\right\rangle _{0}=\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left[b_{mn}p_{,k}^{mn}+2\left(b_{kn}p^{mn}\right)_{,m}\right](y,t')\right\rangle _{0}=0\tag{38}$$

We conclude that there are no first order corrections to the noise and dissipation kernels, which remain at their Kolmogorov values

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_Q}{\delta\bar{A}_j(x,t)\delta\bar{V}^k(y,t')} = \delta(t-t')\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})}\Delta^k_j\kappa_k \tag{39}$$

where on dimensional grounds

$$\kappa_k = \zeta \left(\epsilon k^2\right)^{1/3} \tag{40}$$

 ζ is a dimensionless constant, and ϵ is the constant in Kolmogorov's 4/5 law, which we also assume ([61–63])

$$\left\langle \left[\hat{r}_{j} \left(v^{j} \left(\boldsymbol{r} \right) - v^{j} \left(\boldsymbol{0} \right) \right) \right]^{3} \right\rangle = -\frac{4}{5} \epsilon r$$

$$\tag{41}$$

We also have

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{Q0}}{\delta\bar{A}_j(x,t)\delta\bar{A}_k(y,t')} = i\delta(t-t')\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})}\Delta^{kj}N_k$$
(42)

Since A_i has dimensions of $L^{-4}T$, N_k has dimensions of L^5T^{-3} . Since we are working in a frame where the fluid is globally at rest, see Appendix (B), we expect $N_k \to 0$ when $k \to 0$. Because N_k is analytical and isotropic near $k \approx 0$, there $N_k \approx k^2$. It peaks at a scale $k_c \approx 1/L$, where L is the linear dimension of the flow. In the inertial range, N_k may depend only on ϵ and k, so $N_k \approx \epsilon/k^3$. We interpolate between these behaviors as

$$N_{k} = \frac{C\zeta\epsilon k^{2}}{\left(k_{c}^{2} + k^{2}\right)^{5/2}}$$
(43)

where $C \approx 55/18C_K$ is a dimensionless constant, with $C_K \approx 0.5$ being the Kolmogorov constant [64]. To compute variations with respect to P^{km} we need

$$\bar{X}^J = \bar{P}^{jk} \Rightarrow \bar{S}_J = \tau S_{1pkm} = -\tau \left[v^l b_{km,l} + b_{kl} v^l_{,m} + b_{ml} v^l_{,k} \right]$$

$$\tag{44}$$

It is clear that $\delta^2 \Gamma_Q / \delta \bar{B}_{jn}(x,t) \, \delta \bar{P}^{km}(y,t') = O(\tau^2)$ at least. The only remaining kernel we need to compute the Schwinger-Dyson equations to first order in τ is

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{A}_j(x,t) \,\delta \bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = -\tau \left\langle \left(v^l v^j_{,l} \right)(x,t) \left[v^n b_{km,n} + b_{kn} v^n_{,m} + b_{mn} v^n_{,k} \right](y,t') \right\rangle_0 \tag{45}$$

We assume this kernel is local in time, and then on dimensional grounds $(P^{km}$ has units of L^2T^{-2} , B_{km} has units of $L^{-5}T$)

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{A}_j(x,t) \,\delta \bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = \frac{i}{2} \tau \delta(t-t') \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})} \left[\Delta_j^k k^m + \Delta_j^m k^k\right] \Lambda \kappa_k \tag{46}$$

where Λ is dimensionless

$$\Lambda \approx \beta \left(\frac{k}{k_c}\right)^{2/3} \tag{47}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{C}{12\pi^2 \zeta^2} \frac{\Gamma[13/6] \,\Gamma[1/3]}{\Gamma[5/2]} \approx 0.018 \frac{C}{\zeta^2} \tag{48}$$

See appendix (C).

Because of eq. (34), the velocity-velocity correlation reduces to

$$\left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)v^{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = i\int d^{3}yds \ d^{3}y'ds' \ \left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)a_{l}\left(y,s\right)\right\rangle \frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma}{\delta\bar{A}_{l}\left(y,s\right)\delta\bar{A}_{m}\left(y',s'\right)}\left\langle a_{m}\left(y',s'\right)v^{k}\left(x',t''\right)\right\rangle \tag{49}$$

To find the causal propagator, we ought to solve the system

$$\int d^{3}y dt' \left\{ \frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}\left(x,t\right)\delta\bar{V}^{k}\left(y,t'\right)} \left\langle v^{k}\left(y,t'\right)a_{l}\left(x',t''\right)\right\rangle + \frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}\left(x,t\right)\delta\bar{P}^{km}\left(y,t'\right)} \left\langle p^{km}\left(y,t'\right)a_{l}\left(x',t''\right)\right\rangle \right\} = i\Delta_{l}^{j}$$

$$\int d^{3}y dt' \left\{ \frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma}{\delta\bar{B}_{jn}\left(x,t\right)\delta\bar{V}^{k}\left(y,t'\right)} \left\langle v^{k}\left(y,t'\right)a_{l}\left(x',t''\right)\right\rangle + \frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma}{\delta\bar{B}_{jn}\left(x,t\right)\delta\bar{P}^{km}\left(y,t'\right)} \left\langle p^{km}\left(y,t'\right)a_{l}\left(x',t''\right)\right\rangle \right\} = 0$$
(50)

The relevant kernels are computed in eqs. (34), (36), (39), (42) and (46), so we may finally write down the Schwinger-Dyson equations. Write

$$\left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)a_{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)}e^{i\left[\boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x'}\right)-\omega\left(t-t'\right)\right]}\Delta_{k}^{j}G\left[k,\omega\right]$$

$$\left\langle p^{jm}\left(x,t\right)a_{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = i\int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)}e^{i\left[\boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x'}\right)-\omega\left(t-t'\right)\right]}\left[\Delta_{k}^{j}k^{m}+\Delta_{k}^{m}k^{j}\right]G'\left[k,\omega\right]$$

$$(51)$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i\omega + \kappa_k \end{bmatrix} G - k^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \Lambda \kappa_k \tau \end{bmatrix} G' = i$$

$$\nu G + \begin{bmatrix} -i\omega \tau + 1 \end{bmatrix} G' = 0$$
(52)

Eliminating G'

$$\left[-i\omega + \kappa_k + \nu k^2 \frac{[1 + \Lambda \kappa_k \tau]}{[1 - i\omega \tau]}\right] G = i$$
(53)

The correction is only meaningful when $i\omega \approx \kappa_k$, so we may write

$$\left[-i\omega + \kappa_k + \nu k^2 \frac{[1 + \Lambda \kappa_k \tau]}{[1 - \kappa_k \tau]}\right] G = i$$
(54)

To first order in τ

$$\left[-i\omega + \kappa_k + \nu k^2 + \nu k^2 \kappa_k \tau \left[1 + \Lambda\right]\right] G = i$$
(55)

In the inertial range $\nu k^2 \leq \kappa_k$, so we may write further

$$\left\{-i\omega + \kappa_k \left[1 + \nu k^2 \tau \left(1 + \Lambda\right)\right]\right\} G = i$$
(56)

Once G is known, we may compute

$$\left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)v^{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)}e^{i\left[\boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x'}\right)-\omega\left(t-t'\right)\right]}\Delta^{jk}G_{1}\left[k,\omega\right]$$
(57)

where

$$G_1[k,\omega] = \left|G[k,\omega]\right|^2 N_k \tag{58}$$

The energy spectrum is computed from the coincidence limit of the velocity-self correlation

$$E[k] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} k^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)} G_1[k,\omega] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k^2 N_k}{\kappa_k \left[1 + \nu k^2 \tau \left(1 + \Lambda\right)\right]}$$
(59)

V. RESULTS

To be able to compare the spectrum found in eq. (59) with results in the literature we need to introduce several relevant scales. The first of these is the Kolmogorov scale k_K which marks the upper limit of the inertial range

$$k_K = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu^3}\right)^{1/4} \tag{60}$$

The spectrum eq. (59) defines a velocity scale

$$u_1^2 = \frac{2}{3} \int dk \, E[k] \tag{61}$$

Since the integral is dominated by the infrared, it is mostly independent of τ

$$u_1^2 = \gamma C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{k_c}\right)^{2/3} \tag{62}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{\Gamma [13/6] \Gamma [1/3]}{2 (2\pi)^2 \Gamma [3/2]} \approx 0.04$$
(63)

Finally we introduce the Taylor microscale λ [65]

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2} = \frac{10}{u_1^2} \int dk \; k^2 \; E[k] \tag{64}$$

If $\tau \to 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} = \delta_0 k_c^{2/3} k_K^{4/3} \tag{65}$$

where

$$\delta_0 = \frac{10}{3\left(2\pi\right)^2 \gamma} \approx 2\tag{66}$$

We next introduce two dimensionless parameters, the Newtonian Reynolds number

$$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{u_1 \lambda_0}{\nu} \tag{67}$$

and the Weissenberg number [46]

$$Wi = \frac{\tau\nu}{\lambda_0^2} \tag{68}$$

Observe that in the terms of the viscoelastic model presented in ref. [15] we are working in the limit where the Bingham number goes to infinity.

We want to leave λ_0 and ϵ as the only dimensionful parameters. Indeed

$$\nu \tau = \operatorname{Wi} \lambda_0^2$$

$$\lambda_0 k_c = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma C}}{\delta_0 \operatorname{Re}}$$
(69)

We plot a typical spectrum in fig. (1)



FIG. 1. (Color online) A typical energy spectrum as given by eq. (59). For this particular plot we chose $\text{Re}_0 = 435$, $\text{Wi} = 10^{-3}$ and the constant ζ in eq. (40) as $\zeta = 85$. The full line is the actual spectrum; we have included two more lines, dashed corresponding to the Kolmogorov spectrum $E \approx k^{-5/3}$ and dashed-dotted corresponding $E \approx k^{-2.3}$ for comparison. We have normalized the spectrum so that it converges to the universal Kolmogorov spectrum for $k \approx \lambda_0^{-1}$. Compare with fig. 2 of [15].

VI. FINAL REMARKS

Our goal in this paper has been to explore whether the well known difficulties of field theoretic approaches to turbulence were an intrinsic limitation of field theory, or just confined to Kolmogorov turbulence. We have attempted to show that if one had a field theory capable to account for the Kolmogorov spectrum and 4/5 Law, then this theory would retain some predictive power even in more general situations.

A more close to Earth goal was to establish a bridge between the theory of turbulence in non-Newtonian and in relativistic turbulence, with the hope that our growing understanding of the former could guide us in the exploration of the latter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank P. Mininni for multiple talks.

E. C. acknowledges financial support from Universidad de Buenos Aires through Grant No. UBACYT 20020170100129BA, CONICET Grant No. PIP2017/19:11220170100817CO and ANPCyT Grant No. PICT 2018: 03684.

Appendix A: Non-Newtonian fluid as the non-relativistic limit of a conformal fluid

We consider a relativistic fluid of massless particles.

At the macroscopic level, the theory is described by the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) $T^{\mu\nu}$. Adopting the Landau prescription for the four velocity u^{μ} and the energy density ρ

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu}u^{\nu} = -\rho u^{\mu} \tag{A1}$$

and observing that $T^{\mu\nu}$ is traceless, we are led to write

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho \left[u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \Pi^{\mu\nu} \right]$$
 (A2)

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu} \tag{A3}$$

and

$$\Pi^{\mu}_{\nu}u^{\nu} = \Pi^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0 \tag{A4}$$

We must also provide an entropy flux. For an ideal fluid, namely when $\Pi^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$, the entropy density is

$$s = s_0 = \frac{1}{T} \left(\rho + P \right) \tag{A5}$$

where T is the temperature and $P = \rho/3$ is the pressure. From the thermodynamic relation

$$s_0 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \tag{A6}$$

we conclude that

$$\rho = \sigma_{SB} T^4 \tag{A7}$$

for some constant σ_{SB} . The entropy flux is then

$$S_0^{\mu} = s_0 u^{\mu} \tag{A8}$$

When we consider the real fluid, $\Pi^{\mu}_{\nu} \neq 0$, we observe that because of (A4) we cannot make a vector out of u^{μ} and $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$. Therefore it makes sense to write

$$S^{\mu} = su^{\mu} \tag{A9}$$

The entropy density ought to be maximum when the fluid is in equilibrium, namely when $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ vanishes. So at least close to equilibrium we should have

$$s = \frac{4}{3}\sigma_{SB}T^{3}e^{-\frac{3}{2}\lambda\Pi^{\mu\nu}\Pi_{\mu\nu}}$$
(A10)

for some dimensionless constant λ . If we further write

$$T = T_0 e^{\delta} \tag{A11}$$

Then the conservation laws are

$$0 = \delta_{,\nu} u^{\nu} + \frac{1}{3} u^{\nu}_{,\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \Pi^{\mu\nu} u_{\mu,\nu}$$

$$0 = \delta_{,\nu} \left[\Delta^{\mu\nu} + 3\Pi^{\mu\nu} \right] + u^{\mu}_{,\nu} u^{\nu} + \frac{3}{4} \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho} \Pi^{\rho\nu}_{,\nu}$$
(A12)

On the other part, positive entropy creation yields

$$0 \le \frac{1}{3} S^{\mu}_{\mu} = u^{\nu} \left[\delta_{,\nu} - \lambda \Pi^{\rho\sigma} \Pi_{\rho\sigma,\nu} \right] + \frac{1}{3} u^{\nu}_{,\nu}$$
(A13)

which using the conservation laws and the transversality of $\Pi^{\rho\sigma}$ may be written as

$$\Pi^{\rho\sigma} \left[\lambda u^{\nu} \Pi_{\rho\sigma,\nu} + \frac{1}{8} \sigma_{\rho\sigma} \right] \le 0 \tag{A14}$$

where

$$\sigma^{\rho\sigma} = \left[\Delta^{\rho\mu}\Delta^{\sigma\nu} + \Delta^{\rho\nu}\Delta^{\sigma\mu} - \frac{2}{3}\Delta^{\rho\sigma}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\right]u_{\mu,\nu} \tag{A15}$$

is the covariant form of the shear tensor eq. (3). Therefore, positive entropy creation is achieved by adopting the Cattaneo-Maxwell equation

$$\lambda u^{\nu} \Pi^{\rho\sigma}_{,\nu} + \frac{1}{t_R} \Pi^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{8} \sigma^{\rho\sigma} = 0$$
(A16)

We shall now consider the nonrelativistic limit. We write explicitly $x^0 = ct$ and

$$u^{\mu} = \frac{(1, u^{k}/c)}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}/c^{2}}}$$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{lm} u^{l} u^{m}/c^{2} & \Pi_{kl} u^{l}/c \\ \Pi_{jm} u^{m}/c & \Pi_{jk} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\Pi_{lm} u^{l} u^{m}/c^{2}}{3 - u^{2}/c^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u^{2}/c^{2} & u^{k}/c \\ u^{j}/c & \delta_{jk} \end{pmatrix}$$
(A17)

where $\Pi_j^j = 0$. Observe that

$$\Delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{1 - u^2/c^2} \begin{pmatrix} -u^2/c^2 & u^k/c \\ -v_j/c & \delta^{jk} + \left(u^j u^k - u^2 \delta^{jk}\right)/c^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A18)

The first nontrivial terms in the energy conservation equation are of order 1/c and read

$$0 = \delta_{,t} + u^j \delta_{,j} + \frac{1}{3} u^j_{,j} + \frac{1}{4} \Pi^{jk} v_{j,k}$$
(A19)

From the momentum conservation equation we get

$$0 = \delta_{,k} \left[\delta^{jk} + 3\Pi^{jk} \right] + \frac{3}{4} \Pi^{jk}_{,k} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[u^j_{,t} + u^k u^j_{,k} \right]$$
(A20)

The Cattaneo-Maxwell equation (A16) yields

$$\lambda \left[\Pi_{,t}^{jk} + u^{l} \Pi_{,l}^{jk} \right] + \frac{1}{t_{R}} \Pi^{jk} + \frac{1}{8} \left(v_{j,k} + v_{k,j} \right) = 0$$
(A21)

A consistent nonrelativistic limit requires δ , $\Pi^{jk} \propto 1/c^2$. Then from energy conservation we get $u_{,j}^j = 0$ to lowest order. Let us write

$$u_{j} = v_{j} + \frac{1}{c^{2}}\phi_{,j}$$

$$\Pi^{jk} = \frac{4}{3c^{2}}p^{jk}$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{c^{2}}\epsilon$$

$$\lambda = \frac{3c^{2}}{32\nu}\tau$$

$$t_{R} = \frac{32\nu}{3c^{2}}$$
(A22)

where $v_{,j}^{j} = 0$. Collecting again the leading terms we get

$$0 = \epsilon_{,t} + v^j \epsilon_{,j} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta \phi + \frac{1}{3} v^{j,k} p_{jk}$$
(A23)

$$0 = \epsilon_{,j} + p_{,k}^{jk} + \left[v_{,t}^{j} + v^{k} v_{,k}^{j} \right]$$
(A24)

Taking the divergence of this equation we get

$$0 = \mathbf{\Delta}\epsilon + v^{k,j}v^j_{,k} + p^{jk}_{,jk} \tag{A25}$$

so we may write a scalar-free equation of motion

$$Q^{l} = \Delta_{j}^{l} \left[v_{,t}^{j} + v^{k} v_{,k}^{j} + p_{,k}^{jk} \right] = 0$$
(A26)

where

$$\Delta_{jk} = \delta_{jk} - \partial_j \Delta^{-1} \partial_k \tag{A27}$$

Finally

$$Q^{jk} = \tau \left[p_{,t}^{jk} + v^l p_{,l}^{jk} \right] + p^{jk} + \nu \left[v_{j,k} + v_{k,j} \right] = 0$$
(A28)

We may define a mass density

$$\mu = \frac{\rho}{c^2} \tag{A29}$$

Then μ is constant to order $1/c^2$. We see that $\epsilon = P/\mu$, where P is the non-constant part of the pressure. P is not a dynamical variable but it is determined from the constraint

$$0 = \frac{1}{\mu} \Delta P + p_{,jk}^{jk} + v_{,j}^{k} v_{,k}^{j}$$
(A30)

We see that we recover equations (1) in the particular case $\tau_2 = 0$.

Appendix B: Random Galilean invariance

Let us go back to the action functional eq. (4) and the corresponding generating functional eq. (5), whose Legendre transform yields the 1PI effective action Γ , eq. (8).

This construction misses the fact that the equations of motion (1) are random galilean invariant, that is, they are invariant under the transformation

$$v^{j}(x^{j},t) \rightarrow v^{j}(x^{j}-\epsilon^{j}(t),t) + \dot{\epsilon}^{j}(t)$$

$$p^{jk}(x^{j},t) \rightarrow p^{jk}(x^{j}-\epsilon^{j}(t),t)$$

$$A_{j}(x^{j},t) \rightarrow A_{j}(x^{j}-\epsilon^{j}(t),t)$$

$$A_{jk}(x^{j},t) \rightarrow A_{jk}(x^{j}-\epsilon^{j}(t),t)$$
(B1)

where $\epsilon^{j}(t)$ is an arbitrary time dependent field. Of course we are using that

$$\int d^3x \ A_j \ddot{\epsilon}^j = \int d^3x \ A_j \partial^j \left(\ddot{\epsilon}_k x^k \right) = 0 \tag{B2}$$

For this reason the path integral defining the generating functional, eq. (5), is redundant. To eliminate the overcounting, we consider the non-invariant function

$$P^{j}(t) = \int d^{3}x \ \mu v^{j} \tag{B3}$$

Assuming that μ transforms as $\mu\left(x^{j},t\right) \rightarrow \mu\left(x^{j}-\epsilon^{j}\left(t\right),t\right)$ we see that

$$P^j \to P^j + M\dot{\epsilon}^j(t)$$
 (B4)

where M is the total mass of the fluid. We now observe that

$$1 = \int D\epsilon^{j} \det \frac{\delta P^{j}[\epsilon]}{\delta \epsilon^{k}} \delta \left(P^{j}[\epsilon] - C^{j} \right)$$
(B5)

Introducing this identity into the path integral, we can take the ϵ integral out as a constant factor (for this we make a change of variables within the integral, with unit Jacobian), integrate over the C^j with a Gaussian weight and exponentiate the determinant introducing Grassmann variables ζ_j and η^j , where now

$$e^{iW[Z_a, H^a, z_a, h^a]} = \int DX^a DA_a \ e^{i(S_{RGI} + Z_a X^a + H^a A_a + z_a \eta^a + h^a \zeta_a)}$$
(B6)

where

$$S_{RGI} = \int dt d^3x \left\{ A_j Q^j + B_{jk} Q^{jk} \right\} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int dt P_j P^j + i \int dt \zeta_j M \dot{\eta}^j \tag{B7}$$

Note that the ghost fields are decoupled. This action is still invariant under a BRST transformation defined as follows: the matter and auxiliary fields transform as in a random galilean transformation with parameter $\epsilon^j = \theta \eta^j$, where θ is a Grassmann constant, ζ_j transforms into $\zeta_j + i\theta P_j/\alpha$, and η^j is invariant. We thus obtain the Zinn-Justin equation [59]

$$\int d^{d}x dt \left\{ \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{V}^{j}} \left(\left\langle \eta^{l}\left(t\right) v_{,l}^{j}\left(x^{l},t\right) \right\rangle - \dot{\bar{\eta}}^{j}\left(t\right) \right) + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{P}^{jk}} \left\langle \eta^{l}\left(t\right) p_{,l}^{jk}\left(x^{l},t\right) \right\rangle + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}} \left\langle \eta^{l}\left(t\right) a_{j,l}\left(x^{l},t\right) \right\rangle + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{B}_{jk}} \left\langle \eta^{l}\left(t\right) b_{jk,l}\left(x^{l},t\right) \right\rangle \right\} - \frac{i}{\alpha} \int dt \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{\zeta}_{j}} \left\langle P_{j}\left(t\right) \right\rangle = 0$$
(B8)

Since the integral over ghost fields is just a decoupled Gaussian integral, the binary products decouple, namely

$$\left\langle \eta^{l}\left(t\right)v_{,l}^{j}\left(x^{l},t\right)\right\rangle = \bar{\eta}^{l}\left(t\right)\bar{V}_{,l}^{j}\left(x^{l},t\right)$$
(B9)

etc., and

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{\zeta}_j} = iM\bar{\eta}^j \tag{B10}$$

Moreover

$$\int d^{d}x dt \,\bar{\eta}^{l}\left(t\right) \bar{V}_{,l}^{j}\left(x^{l},t\right) \frac{\delta}{\delta v^{j}} \int dt \,P_{k}\left(t\right) P^{k}\left(t\right) = 0 \tag{B11}$$

So eq. (B8) is consistent with

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int dt \ P_j(t) \ P^j(t)$$
(B12)

where Γ_0 is independent of α . Γ_0 is an effective action without the Fadeev-Popov procedure. This implies that Γ_0 is identically zero when the auxiliary fields vanish, independently of the physical fields.

In the presence of the gauge-fixing term $\langle vA \rangle$ and $\langle vv \rangle$ are unchanged, and now

$$\langle AA \rangle = \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha} \frac{\delta(k)}{\omega^2 + \nu^2[0]}$$
(B13)

When $\alpha \to 0$ this forces the noise kernels and the self energies to vanish at zero momentum, as we have assumed in the text.

Appendix C: Derivation of eq. (47)

We may estimate Λ as follows. First note that at $\tau = 0 p^{jk}$ becomes a lagrange multiplier enforcing the constraint

$$b_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \left[a_{j,k} + a_{k,j} \right]$$
(C1)

Now use a quasi-Gaussian approximation to get

$$\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma_{Q}}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}(x,t)\,\delta\bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = -\frac{\tau}{2}\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left[v^{n}\left[a_{k,mn}+a_{m,kn}\right]+\left[a_{k,n}+a_{n,k}\right]v_{,m}^{n}+\left[a_{m,n}+a_{n,m}\right]v_{,k}^{n}\right](y,t')\right\rangle_{0} \\
= -\frac{\tau}{2}\left\langle \left(v^{l}v_{,l}^{j}\right)(x,t)\left[\partial_{m}\left(v^{n}a_{k,n}\right)+\partial_{k}\left(v^{n}a_{m,n}\right)+a_{n,k}v_{,m}^{n}+a_{n,m}v_{,k}^{n}\right](y,t')\right\rangle_{0} \\
= -\frac{\tau}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{m}\partial y^{n}}\left[\left\langle v^{l}\left(x,t\right)v^{n}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle\left\langle v_{,l}^{j}\left(x,t\right)a_{k}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle v^{l}\left(x,t\right)a_{k}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle\left\langle v_{,l}^{j}\left(x,t\right)v^{n}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle\right]\right] \\
+ \left\langle v^{l}\left(x,t\right)a_{n,k}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle\left\langle v_{,l}^{j}\left(x,t\right)v_{,m}^{n}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle v^{l}\left(x,t\right)v_{,m}^{n}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle\left\langle v_{,l}^{j}\left(x,t\right)a_{n,k}\left(y,t'\right)\right\rangle+\left(k\leftrightarrow m\right)\right\} \tag{C2}$$

We use the Kolmogorov values

$$\left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)a_{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k}^{j}e^{\left[ik(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')-\kappa_{k}\left(t-t'\right)\right]}\theta\left(t-t'\right)$$

$$\left\langle v^{j}\left(x,t\right)v^{k}\left(x',t'\right)\right\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k}^{j}e^{\left[ik(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')-\kappa_{k}\left|t-t'\right|\right]}\frac{N_{k}}{2\kappa_{k}}$$
(C3)

Where κ is defined in eq. (40) and N_k in eq. (43). Then

$$\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma_{Q}}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}(x,t)\,\delta\bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = i\frac{\tau}{2}\theta\,(t-t')\int\frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \\
\left[\left(k_{m}k_{n}\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}\,(k-k')_{l}\,\Delta_{(k-k')k}^{j}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\left(k_{m}k_{n}\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{jn}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{l}\Delta_{(k-k')k}^{l}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{jn}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{l}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{l}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{l}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{l}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{l}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{l}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k-k')n}^{j}(k-k')_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\left[\kappa_{k'}+\kappa_{(k-k')}\right]}\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{k}\,\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+$$

Since the k^\prime integral is dominated by the infrared band, we approximate $k^\prime \ll k$

$$\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma_{Q}}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}(x,t)\,\delta\bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = i\frac{\tau}{2}\theta\left(t-t'\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{i\boldsymbol{k}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})} \\
\left[\left(k_{m}k_{n}\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\kappa_{k}\left(t-t'\right)}k_{l}\Delta_{(k)k}^{j}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}\right. \\
\left.+\left(k_{m}k_{n}\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{jn}e^{-\kappa_{k}\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{l}\Delta_{(k)k}^{l}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
\left.+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{jn}e^{-\kappa_{(k)}\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{l}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k)n}^{l}k_{k}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
\left.+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{-\kappa_{(k)}\left(t-t'\right)}k'_{m}\Delta_{(k)n}^{j}k_{k}k\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}\right] + (k\leftrightarrow m)$$
(C5)

The k' integral now has spherical symmetry, so it simplifies to

$$\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma_{Q}}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}\left(x,t\right)\delta\bar{P}^{km}\left(y,t'\right)} = i\frac{\tau}{2}\theta\left(t-t'\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)} \\
\left[\left(k_{m}k_{n}\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{ln}e^{\kappa_{k}\left(t-t'\right)}k_{l}\Delta_{(k)k}^{j}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} \\
+\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}\Delta_{k'}^{jn}e^{-\kappa_{(k)}\left(t-t'\right)}k_{l}'k_{m}'\Delta_{(k)n}^{l}k_{k}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}}\right] + \left(k\leftrightarrow m\right)$$
(C6)

Using the symmetry again this becomes (we also filter out a term proportional to k^{j})

$$\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma_{Q}}{\delta\bar{A}_{j}(x,t)\,\delta\bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = i\frac{\tau}{2}\theta\left(t-t'\right)\int\frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})} \\
\left[\frac{2}{3}k_{m}k^{2}\Delta_{(k)k}^{j}\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{-\kappa_{k}(t-t')}\frac{N_{k'}}{2\kappa_{k'}} + \frac{1}{15}\Delta_{m}^{j}k_{k}\int\frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}}e^{-\kappa_{(k)}(t-t')}\frac{N_{k'}k'^{2}}{2\kappa_{k'}}\right] + (k\leftrightarrow m)$$
(C7)

The dominant integral is the first. We also approximate

$$e^{-\kappa_k(t-t')} \approx \frac{\delta(t-t')}{\kappa_k}$$
 (C8)

The resulting integral over k' has the scale k as an effective upper limit. Since it is dominated by the infrared anyway, we scale k' = rk and take the upper limit to infinity, whereby

$$\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma_Q}{\delta \bar{A}_j(x,t) \,\delta \bar{P}^{km}(y,t')} = i \frac{C\tau}{2\zeta^2} \delta\left(t-t'\right) \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}(x-y)} \kappa_k \left[k_m \Delta^j_{(k)k} + k_k \Delta^j_{(k)m}\right] \\\int \frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{N_0 r^{4/3}}{3 \left[r_c^2 + r^2\right]^{5/2}}$$
(C9)

Where $r_c = k_c/k$. Computing the integral we find eq. (47)

^[1] S. Chandrasekhar, The theory of turbulence, editado por E. Spiegel, Springer (2011).

^[2] A. S. Monin and A. M. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics*, MIT Press, 1971.

- [3] U. Frisch, Turbulence, the Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [4] S. B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows*, Cambridge UP, 2000.
- [5] G. Eyink and U. Frisch, Robert H. Kraichnan, in P. Davidson et al. (editors) A Voyage through Turbulence (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 2011).
- [6] W.D. McComb, The Physics of Fluid Turbulence (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994).
- [7] W.D. McComb, Renormalization Methods (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [8] A. Tsinober, An informal conceptual introduction to turbulence (Springer, Dordretch, 2009).
- [9] G. L. Eyink and Th. D. Drivas, Cascades and Dissipative Anomalies in Relativistic Fluid Turbulence, Phys. Rev. X 8, 011023 (2018).
- [10] Esteban Calzetta, Fully developed relativistic turbulence, Phys. Rev. D 103, 056018 (2021).
- [11] Zhang, Y. B., Bodenschatz, E., Xu, H. and Xi, H. D. Experimental observation of the elastic range scaling in turbulent flow with polymer additives. Sci. Adv. 7, eabd3525 (2021).
- [12] Khalid M. Saqr and Iham F. Zidane, On non-Kolmogorov turbulence in blood flow and its possible role in mechanobiological stimulation, Scientific Reports. 12, 13166 (2022).
- [13] Mitishita, R. S., MacKenzie, J. A., Elfring, G. J. and Frigaard, I. A. Fully turbulent flows of viscoplastic fluids in a rectangular duct. J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 293, 104570 (2021).
- [14] Rosti, M. E., Perlekar, P. and Mitra, D. Large is different: non-monotonic behaviour of elastic range scaling in polymeric turbulence at large Reynolds and Deborah numbers. Sci. Adv. 9, eadd3831 (2023).
- [15] Abdelgawad MS, Cannon I, Rosti ME. Scaling and intermittency in turbulent flows of elastoviscoplastic fluids. Nature Physics. 2023 Jul;19(7):1059-63.
- [16] G. Gioia and P. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 044502 (2006). Turbulent friction in rough pipes and the energy spectrum of the phenomenological theory,
- [17] N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett 96, 044503 (2006). Roughness-induced critical phenomena in a turbulent flow,
- [18] G. Gioia and F. A. Bombardelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 014501 (2001). Scaling and similarity in rough channel flows,
- [19] G. Gioia, P. Chakraborty and F. A. Bombardelli, Phys. Fluids 18, 038107 (2006). Rough-pipe flows and the existence of fully developed turbulence,
- [20] M. Mehrafarin and N. Pourtolami, Phys. Rev. E77, 055304 (R) (2008) Intermittency and rough-pipe turbulence,
- [21] N. Guttenberg and N. Goldenfeld, Physical Review E79, 065306 (2009). The friction factor of two-dimensional rough-pipe turbulent flows,
- [22] G. Gioia, N. Guttenberg, N. Goldenfeld and P. Chakraborty, Nature Physics 6, 438 (2010). The turbulent mean-velocity profile: it is all in the spectrum, arXiv: 0909.2714 (2009).
- [23] E. Calzetta, Phys. Rev. E 79, 056311 (2009). Friction factor for turbulent flow in rough pipes from Heisenberg's closure hypothesis,
- [24] T. Tran, P. Chakraborty, N. Guttenberg, A. Prescott, H. Kellay, W. Goldburg, N. Goldenfeld and G. Gioia, Macroscopic effects of the spectral structure in turbulent flows, Nature Physics 6, 438-441 (2010).
- [25] E. Calzetta, Extension of the momentum transfer model to time-dependent pipe turbulence, Phys. Rev. E 85, 026305 (2012).
- [26] E. Calzetta, Drag reduction by polymer additives from turbulent spectra, Phys. Rev. E 82, 066310 (2010).
- [27] P.C. Martin, E.D. Siggia and H.A. Rose, Statistical Dynamics of Classical Systems, Phys. Rev. A8 423 (1973).
- [28] G.L. Eyink, Turbulence Noise, J. Stat. Phys. 83, 955 (1996).
- [29] A. Kamenev, Field Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. (2011).
- [30] J. Zanella and E. Calzetta, Renormalization group and nonequilibrium action in stochastic field theory, Phys. Rev. E 66 036134 (2002).
- [31] C. de Dominicis and I. Giardina, *Random fields and spin glasses: a field theory approach* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2006).
- [32] J. Rammer, Quantum field theory of nonequilibrium states (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (England), 2007)
- [33] E. Calzetta and B-L. Hu, Nonequilibrium Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2008).
- [34] P. Kovtun, Lectures on hydrodynamic fluctuations in relativistic theories, J. Phys. A 45, 473001 (2012).
- [35] P. Kovtun, G.D. Moore and P. Romatschke, Towards an effective action for relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics, JHEP 07, 123 (2014).
- [36] M. Harder, P. Kovtun and A. Ritz, On thermal fluctuations and the generating functional in relativistic hydrodynamics, JHEP 07, 025 (2015).
- [37] F. M. Haehl, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, Effective action for relativistic hydrodynamics: fluctuations, dissipation, and entropy inflow, JHEP 10, 194 (2018).
- [38] N. Mirón Granese, A. Kandus and E. Calzetta, Field Theory Approaches to Relativistic Hydrodynamics. Entropy 24, 1790 (2022).
- [39] Wyld, H.W., Jr. Formulation of the Theory of Turbulence in an Incompressible Fluid. Ann. Phys. 1961, 14, 143–165.
- [40] L. L. Lee, A Formulation of the Theory of Isotropic Hydromagnetic Turbulence in an Incompressible Fluid, Ann. Phys. 32, 292 (1965).
- [41] V. I. Belinicher and V.S. L'vov, A scale-invariant theory of fully developed hydrodynamic turbulence, Zh. Eksp. Teor. fiz. 93, 533 (1987) (Engl. Trans. Sov. Phys. JETP 66, 303 (1987).
- [42] A. Berera, M. Salewski and W. D. McComb, Eulerian field-theoretic closure formalisms for fluid turbulence, Phys. Rev. E 87, 013007 (2013).
- [43] R. J. Gordon and W. R. Schowalter, Anisotropic Fluid Theory: A Different Approach to the Dumbbell Theory of Dilute Polymer Solutions, Trans. Soc. Rheol. 16, 79 (1972).
- [44] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, The theory of polymer dynamics (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [45] Saramito, P. A new constitutive equation for elastoviscoplastic fluid flows. J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 145, 1–14 (2007).
- [46] Alexander Morozov and Saverio E. Spagnolie, Introduction to Complex Fluids, in S. Spagnolie (ed.), *Complex Fluids in Biological Systems* (Springer, New York, 2015).
- [47] J. L. Lumley, Drag reduction by additives, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 1, 367 (1969).
- [48] P. S. Virk, Drag reduction fundamentals. AIChE J. 21, 625–656 (1975).

- [49] P. G. de Gennes, Introduction to polymer dynamics (Cambridge UP, Cambridge (England), 1990)
- [50] R. B. Bird, C. F. Curtiss, R. C. Armstrong and O. Hassager, Dynamics of Polymer Liquids, Vol 2, (John Wiley, New York, 1987)
- [51] L. Rezzolla and O. Zanotti, Relativistic Hydrodynamics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2013).
- [52] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, *Relativistic fluid dynamics in and out equilibrium Ten years of progress in theory and numerical simulations of nuclear collisions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (England), 2019).
- [53] L. Cantarutti and E. Calzetta, Dissipative-type theories for Bjorken and Gubser flows, International Journal of Modern Physics A Vol. 35, 2050074 (2020).
- [54] R. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids 7, 1723 (1964) Kolmogorov's hypotheses and eulerian turbulence theory
- [55] H. Horner and R. Lipowsky, On the Theory of Turbulence: A non Eulerian Renormalized Expansion, Z. Physik B 33, 223 (1979).
- [56] A. Berera, and D. Hochberg, Galilean invariance and homogeneous anisotropic randomly stirred flows, PHYSICAL REVIEW E 72, 057301 2005.
- [57] A. Berera and D. Hochberg, Gauge symmetry and Slavnov-Taylor identities for randomly stirred fluids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 254501 (2007).
- [58] A. Berera, and D. Hochberg, Gauge fixing, BRS invariance and Ward identities for randomly stirred flows, Nuclear Physics B 814 [FS] (2009) 522–548.
- [59] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Clarendon Press, Oxforf, 1993).
- [60] E. A. Novikov, Functionals and the random-force method in turbulence theory, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 47, 1919-1926 (Eng. trans. Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 1290 (1965).
- [61] I. Proudman and W. H. Reid, "On the decay of a normally distributed and homogeneous turbulent velocity field," Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 247, 163 1954.
- [62] Henry Chang, Robert D. Moser, An inertial range model for the three-point third-order velocity correlation, PHYSICS OF FLUIDS 19, 105111 2007
- [63] A. V. Kopyev and K. P. Zybin, Exact result for mixed triple two-point correlations of velocity and velocity gradients in isotropic turbulence, Journal of Turbulence, DOI: 10.1080/14685248.2018.1511055 (2018).
- [64] K. R. Sreenivasan, On the universality of the Kolmogorov constant, Phys. Fluids 7 (11), 2778 (1995).
- [65] H. Tennekes and J. L. Lumley, A first course in turbulence (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1972)