

REPRESENTATION RINGS OF FUSION SYSTEMS AND BRAUER CHARACTERS

THOMAS LAWRENCE

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on a p -group S . We study the ring $R(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} -stable characters by exploiting a new connection to the modular characters of a finite group G with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. We utilise this connection to find the rank of the \mathcal{F} -stable character ring over fields with positive characteristic. We use this theory to derive a decomposition of the regular representation for a fixed basis B of the ring of complex \mathcal{F} -stable characters and give a formula for the absolute value of the determinant of the \mathcal{F} -character table with respect to B (the matrix of the values taken by elements of B on each \mathcal{F} -conjugacy class) for a wide class of saturated fusion systems, including all non-exotic fusion systems, and prove this value squared is a power of p for all saturated fusion systems.

INTRODUCTION

A fusion system \mathcal{F} on a p -group S is a category with objects $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}) = \{P : P \leq S\}$ and morphism sets $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ consisting of injective group homomorphisms designed to mimic conjugation (see Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 for a precise exposition). This definition is too general for our purposes, we only work with *saturated* fusion systems, which are fusion systems with morphism sets satisfying some technical conditions (see Definition 1.2). From this, we will omit the adjective “saturated” when appropriate.

The basic example of a fusion system is the inner fusion system $\mathcal{F}_S(S)$, which is the fusion system with $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_S(P, Q) := \{c_s \in \text{Inn}(S) : c_s(P) \leq Q\}$.

Let G be a finite group and $S \leq G$ be a p -subgroup, then we denote the (not necessarily saturated) fusion system with $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_G(P, Q)$ as $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$. We say that \mathcal{F} is *realised* by G if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ (see Definition 1.7). It is key to note that our definition of realised *does not* require $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and we will explicitly mention when S must be Sylow.

If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ then $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is guaranteed to be saturated (Theorem I.2.3 in [1]) and for every saturated fusion system there is some finite group G with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ (see Theorem 3 in [9]).

If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ we say that \mathcal{F} is *non-exotic*, this is because there exist *exotic* fusion systems \mathcal{F} that are saturated but there are no finite groups G with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ simultaneously.

Date: September 13, 2024

Keywords: Fusion Systems, Modular Character Theory

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20C20, 20D20, 20C99

Acknowledgements: We thank Jason Semeraro for posing the original problem and numerous helpful discussions and Benjamin Sambale for his helpful comments.

Two subgroups $P, Q \leq S$ are \mathcal{F} -conjugate if $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, two elements $x, y \in S$ are \mathcal{F} -conjugate if there is an \mathcal{F} -isomorphism ϕ with $\phi(x) = y$. We write the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy class of x as $x^{\mathcal{F}}$ and the set of all \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of elements as $\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$.

A function f with domain S is \mathcal{F} -stable if $f|_Q \circ \phi = f|_P$ for all $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ and $P, Q \leq S$. This definition may be applied to both characters and Brauer characters of S .

There has been recent interest (see [2], [4], [5], [8], [7]) in exploring the ring $R(\mathcal{F})$ of virtual complex \mathcal{F} -stable characters of S , which is the Grothendieck completion of the semiring $R^+(\mathcal{F})$ of complex \mathcal{F} -stable characters. We will expand this study to the ring $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$ of \mathcal{F} -stable ℓ -Brauer characters at a prime ℓ .

An element $\chi \in R^+(\mathcal{F})$ is \mathcal{F} -indecomposable if $\chi \neq \psi + \psi'$ for all $\psi, \psi' \in R^+(\mathcal{F})$ (see Definition 1.10) and we write $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ for the set of \mathcal{F} -indecomposable characters. Unlike ordinary character theory, $R(\mathcal{F})$ is not always freely generated by $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ (see Example A.2 in [4]). Despite this, we still know that $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R(\mathcal{F})) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$ (see Corollary 2.2 of [2]) therefore, there is a basis B of $R(\mathcal{F})$ of size $|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$.

The basis B defines the \mathcal{F} -character table with respect to B : $X_B(\mathcal{F}) := (\chi(x_K))_{\chi \in B, K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})}$ where the $x_K \in K$ is some \mathcal{F} -conjugacy class representative. We refer the curious reader to [4] and [5] for some in-depth calculations of $X_B(\mathcal{F})$ for various examples.

Based on unpublished calculations, Jason Semeraro has conjectured the following:

Conjecture A. (Semeraro) Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on a p -group S and B be any basis of $R(\mathcal{F})$, then $|\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_S(x_K)|$, where $x_K \in K$ is a fully \mathcal{F} -centralised conjugacy class representative.

We note that this conjecture implies that this determinant is independent from our choice B up to sign, a fact we prove in Lemma 4.3. Additionally, because x_K is chosen to be fully \mathcal{F} -centralised, $|C_S(x_K)| \geq |C_S(y)|$ for all $y \in x_K^{\mathcal{F}}$ so this product is independent of our choice of x_K .

To provide some motivation for this conjecture we will quickly prove it for the inner fusion system $\mathcal{F}_S(S)$: Characters are class functions on S , so $R(S) = R(\mathcal{F}_S(S))$ and $X_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F}_S(S))}(\mathcal{F}_S(S))$ is just the character table for S . So, we have that

$$|\det(X_{\mathcal{F}_S(S)}(\mathcal{F}_S(S)))|^2 = \det\left(X_{\mathcal{F}_S(S)} \overline{X_{\mathcal{F}_S(S)}^T}\right) = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(S)} |C_S(x_K)|$$

by column orthogonality. Combined with the fact that this determinant does not depend on our choice of B (Lemma 4.3), Conjecture A holds for $\mathcal{F}_S(S)$.

In this paper we show that this conjecture holds for all non-exotic fusion systems and transitive fusion systems:

Theorem A. (Proposition 4.5, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.14, Theorem 2.6) Conjecture A holds when \mathcal{F} is transitive or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ with $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ for some finite group G . Additionally, if Conjecture A holds for $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$, then it holds for $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$.

We were unable to solve this conjecture for all fusion systems, but have managed to prove a weakened version:

Theorem B. (Corollary 4.14) Given any fusion system \mathcal{F} on a p -group S , $|\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))|^2$ is a power of p .

The proof of this theorem is arguably more interesting than the theorem itself. We introduce a new symmetry between \mathcal{F} -stable characters for \mathcal{F} realised by a group G and Brauer characters (characters of modular representations) of G . For some prime p we will write the p -Brauer

characters of G to refer to the characters of p -modular representations of G , p will be omitted if the specific prime is unimportant.

In some heuristic sense the \mathcal{F} -stable characters behave as if they were the p' -Brauer characters of some group G . This connection is made clear when $|G| = p^a q^b$ and \mathcal{F} is the fusion system realised by G over its Sylow p -subgroup S : the \mathcal{F} -indecomposable characters are the irreducible q -Brauer characters restricted to S (see Proposition 3.2).

We may frame these results as a generalisation of the theory of π -partial characters (see Chapter 3 in [12]) in the case that π is every prime dividing $|G|$ except p . The theory in [12] only holds for π -separable groups, but our methods will work for any group and any fusion system.

There are analogues of the decomposition and Cartan matrices (Definition 3.3, Definition 3.10) and the associated projective indecomposables (Definition 3.4). We refer the reader to Chapter 2 of [6] for the necessary background on these objects in the context of modular representation theory.

Using this machinery we describe the ring of \mathcal{F} -stable Brauer characters:

Theorem C. (Proposition 4.8, Theorem 4.12) *Let \mathcal{F} be any fusion system on a p -group S , ℓ a prime. Let $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$ be the ring of \mathcal{F} -stable ℓ -Brauer characters, then $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \cong R(\mathcal{F})$ as rings if $p \neq \ell$ and $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if $p = \ell$.*

We use this theorem to prove Theorem A by utilising the isomorphism between representation rings to show that the rows of $X_B(\mathcal{F})$ are linearly independent “mod ℓ ” for all primes $\ell \neq p$. (see Definition 4.9 for how this is made rigorous). Combined with the fact that $\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Corollary 3.18), we have that $\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))$ is a power of p . The refinement of this result to the full statement of Conjecture A for non-exotic fusion systems is where the parallels with modular character theory are fully utilised. A corollary to this approach (Corollary 4.13) is that any basis of $R(\mathcal{F})$ explicitly gives a basis of $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$ for $\ell \neq p$ by reducing B “mod ℓ ”.

Additionally, we are able to elaborate on a recent conjecture (Conjecture 2.18 in [5]) asking if all \mathcal{F} -indecomposable characters appear as subcharacters of the regular character ρ_S of S . This was shown to be false in [8] but we prove that the decomposition of ρ_S with respect to a basis B is still well behaved:

Proposition D. (Proposition 3.9) *Let ρ_S denote the regular character of S . Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ for some G and B a basis for $R(\mathcal{F})$. For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ we write the decomposition of $\chi|_S$ over B as $\sum_{\psi \in B} d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G} \psi$ for some $d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Phi_{\psi}^{B,G} := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G} \chi \in R(G)$, then we have*

$$\rho_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} \frac{\Phi_{\psi}^{B,G}(1)}{[G : S]} \psi$$

And that these coefficients are integers.

I - AN OVERVIEW OF \mathcal{F} -STABLE CHARACTERS

Definition 1.1. A fusion system \mathcal{F} over a p -group S is a category with $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}) = \{P : P \leq S\}$ and morphism sets $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ consisting of injective group homomorphisms such that

$$\text{Hom}_S(P, Q) := \{\phi \in \text{Hom}(P, Q) : \phi = c_s|_P \text{ for some } s \in S\} \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$$

Furthermore, each $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ decomposes as an isomorphism followed by an inclusion.

If $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_G(P, Q)$ for all $P, Q \leq S$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then we say that \mathcal{F} is realised by G and write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. If $\text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) \neq \emptyset$ we say that P and Q are \mathcal{F} -conjugate.

Definition 1.2. A fusion system \mathcal{F} on a p -group S is *saturated* if every subgroup $P \leq S$ is \mathcal{F} -conjugate to some $Q \leq S$ with $\text{Aut}_S(Q) := \text{Hom}_S(Q, Q) \in \text{Syl}_p(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q))$ and such that for each $Q' \leq S$, $\phi \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q', Q)$ then there exists a map $\bar{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_{\phi}, S)$ that restricts to ϕ , where $N_{\phi} := \{n \in N_S(Q) : \phi \circ c_n \circ \phi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_S(Q)\}$.

A reminder that all fusion systems we work with are assumed to be saturated and we will omit mentioning that they are.

Definition 1.3. Given an $s \in S$, the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy class of s is the set

$$s^{\mathcal{F}} := \{s' \in S : \exists P, Q \text{ such that there exists a } \phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) \text{ with } \phi(s') = s\}$$

We will write $\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$ for the set of \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes. We will adopt the notation x_K to stand for an arbitrary representative of $K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 1.4. For a finite group G , we define $R^+(G)$ to be the *semiring of characters of G* , and $R(G)$ to be the *ring of (virtual) characters*, which is the Grothendieck completion of $R^+(G)$.

We will also write $\text{cf}(G)$ for the set of complex valued class functions on G and $\text{cf}(\mathcal{F})$ for the set of \mathcal{F} -stable class functions on S , defined as follows:

Definition 1.5. Let $f \in \text{cf}(S)$. Then f is \mathcal{F} -stable if for each $P \leq S$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, S)$, $f|_P = f|_Q \circ \phi$.

Lemma 1.6. A class function f is \mathcal{F} -stable if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$ for all $x \in y^{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. See Lemma 1.3 in [4]. □

Since characters of S are class functions, this definition of \mathcal{F} -stability may be applied. It also follows that the product and sum of two \mathcal{F} -stable characters is again \mathcal{F} -stable. We will denote the semiring of \mathcal{F} -stable characters as $R^+(\mathcal{F})$. We call the Grothendieck completion of $R^+(\mathcal{F})$ the ring of (virtual) \mathcal{F} -stable characters and denote it as $R(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 1.7. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on S . We say \mathcal{F} is *realised by G* if $S \leq G$ and for all $P, Q \leq S$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) = \{\phi : P \rightarrow Q : \exists x \in G \text{ such that } \phi = c_x|_P\}$$

We will write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ to indicate this.

Lemma 1.8. For any fusion system \mathcal{F} on S , there exists some finite group G such that \mathcal{F} is realised by G .

Proof. See Theorem 3 in [9]. □

If there exists a G with $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$, then \mathcal{F} is *non-exotic*.

Lemma 1.9. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ for some G , then for any $\chi \in R(G)$, $\chi|_S \in R(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Clearly $\chi|_S \in R(S)$, all that remains is to show that $\chi|_S$ is \mathcal{F} -stable. Because \mathcal{F} is realised by G , $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_G(P, Q)$ so $s' \in s^{\mathcal{F}} \iff s' \in s^G$ for all $s, s' \in S$. Since $\chi \in \text{cl}(G)$, we have that for any two $s, s' \in S$ with $s' \in s^G$ then $\chi|_S(s) = \chi|_S(s')$. □

Definition 1.10. If $\chi \in R^+(\mathcal{F})$ cannot be written as a sum of two other elements in $R^+(\mathcal{F})$ we say that χ is \mathcal{F} -*indecomposable*. We write the set of \mathcal{F} -indecomposable characters as $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 1.11. We have $\langle \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = R(\mathcal{F})$ and $\langle \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{cf}(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Lemma 2.1 in [2]. □

Unfortunately, in contrast to ordinary character theory of groups, the representation ring $R(\mathcal{F})$ is not *freely* generated by the \mathcal{F} -indecomposable characters (see Example A.2 in [4]). However, we still have some information about the rank of $R(\mathcal{F})$:

Theorem 1.12. *Viewed as free abelian group, the rank of $R(\mathcal{F})$ is $|cl(\mathcal{F})|$.*

Proof. See Corollary 2.2 of [2]. □

Corollary 1.13. *$R(\mathcal{F})$ is freely generated by $Ind(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $|Ind(\mathcal{F})| = |cl(\mathcal{F})|$.*

Proof. See Corollary 2.9 in [4]. □

Finally, we will need the following definition:

Definition 1.14. For $s \in S$, we say that s is *fully \mathcal{F} -centralised* when $|C_S(s)| \geq |C_S(s')|$ for all $s' \in s^{\mathcal{F}}$.

II - PRODUCT FUSION SYSTEMS AND \mathcal{F} -CHARACTER TABLES

Given a fusion system \mathcal{F} on S , and a \mathbb{Z} -basis B of $R(\mathcal{F})$, we define the *\mathcal{F} -character table with respect to B* as the matrix $(X_B(\mathcal{F}))_{\psi \in B, K \in cl(\mathcal{F})} = \psi(x_K)$. Note that this matrix does not depend on our choice of $x_K \in K$ because ψ is \mathcal{F} -stable.

We show that if \mathcal{F} is a minimal counterexample to Conjecture A, \mathcal{F} cannot be a product $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ of two strictly smaller fusion systems.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{F}_i be a fusion system over S_i for $i = 1, 2$, then *the product fusion system $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$* is a fusion system over $S_1 \times S_2$ with

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2}(P, Q) = \{(\phi_1, \phi_2)|_P : \phi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_i}(P_i, Q_i), (\phi_1, \phi_2)(P) \leq Q\}$$

Such that $P, Q \leq S_1 \times S_2$ and P_i, Q_i denoting the projections of P, Q to S_i .

This definition is from Theorem I.6.6 in [1], which also proves that $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ is saturated over $S_1 \times S_2$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $(p_1, p_2) \in (q_1, q_2)^{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2} \iff p_1 \in q_1^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ and $p_2 \in q_2^{\mathcal{F}_2}$.*

Proof. By definition, if there is an $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ -isomorphism sending $(p_1, p_2) \mapsto (q_1, q_2)$ it is of the form $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(P_1, Q_1) \times \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(P_2, Q_2)$ where $P_i \leq S_i$ containing p_i and likewise for Q_i and q_i . So $p_i \in q_i^{\mathcal{F}_i}$ with ϕ_i being a map in \mathcal{F}_i such that $\phi_i(p_i) = q_i$.

If we now assume $p_i \in q_i^{\mathcal{F}_i}$ with ϕ_i being the isomorphism mapping p_i to q_i . Define P_i, Q_i as before, then $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2}((P_1, P_2), (Q_1, Q_2))$, so $(p_1, p_2) \in (q_1, q_2)^{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{F}_i be a fusion system on S_i for $i = 1, 2$, then (x_1, x_2) is fully $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ -centralised if and only if x_i is fully \mathcal{F}_i -centralised.*

Proof. It is clear that $|C_{S_1 \times S_2}((x_1, x_2))| = |C_{S_1}(x_1) \times C_{S_2}(x_2)|$. So if x_i is fully \mathcal{F}_i -centralised, then $|C_{S_1 \times S_2}((x_1, x_2))| = |C_{S_1}(x_1)| |C_{S_2}(x_2)| \geq |C_{S_1}(x'_1)| |C_{S_2}(x'_2)| = |C_{S_1 \times S_2}((x'_1, x'_2))|$ for all $x'_i \in x_i^{\mathcal{F}_i}$. Because $(x_1, x_2)^{\mathcal{F}} = x_1^{\mathcal{F}_1} \times x_2^{\mathcal{F}_2}$ by Lemma 2.2, we have our result. □

With the structure of $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ known, we are able to describe $R(\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2)$:

Theorem 2.4. *If $\chi \in R(\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2)$ then $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2$ with $\chi_i \in R(\mathcal{F}_i)$. So $R(\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2) \cong R(\mathcal{F}_1) \times R(\mathcal{F}_2)$.*

Proof. We first assume χ_i are \mathcal{F}_i -stable. If $(p_1, p_2) \in (q_1, q_2)^{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2}$ then $p_1 \in q_1^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ and $p_2 \in q_2^{\mathcal{F}_2}$. Then since χ_1, χ_2 are \mathcal{F}_1 -stable and \mathcal{F}_2 -stable respectively, we have $\chi(p_1, p_2) = \chi_1(p_1)\chi_2(p_2) = \chi_1(q_1)\chi_2(q_2) = \chi(q_1, q_2)$. Thus χ is $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ -stable.

Now, take an $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ -stable character χ . Since $\chi \in R(S_1 \times S_2)$ we can decompose it as $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$ with $\chi_i \in R(S_i)$.

Let $(p_1, p_2) \in (q_1, q_1)^{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2}$, so $\chi(p_1, p_2) = \chi(q_1, q_2)$ and $\chi_1(p_1)\chi_2(p_2) = \chi_1(q_1)\chi_2(q_2)$. So if we set $p_2 = 1$ we have $\chi_1(p_1)\chi_2(1) = \chi_1(q_1)\chi_2(1) \Rightarrow \chi_1(p_1) = \chi_1(q_1)$. Since $(p_1, 1) \in (q_1, 1)^{\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2} \iff p_1 \in q_1^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ we can conclude that χ_1 is \mathcal{F}_1 -stable. An identical argument holds for χ_2 by setting $p_1 = 1$ and the result is shown. \square

Corollary 2.5. *If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ and B_i a basis for $R(\mathcal{F}_i)$, define $B_1B_2 := \{\psi\mu: \psi \in B_1, \mu \in B_2\}$ then B_1B_2 is a basis for $R(\mathcal{F})$ and $X_{B_1B_2}(\mathcal{F}) = X_{B_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes X_{B_2}(\mathcal{F}_2)$, where we use \otimes to denote the Kronecker product of matrices.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 we have for any $\chi \in R(\mathcal{F})$ that $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$ for some $\chi_i \in R(\mathcal{F}_i)$, hence

$$\chi = \chi_1\chi_2 = \left(\sum_{\psi \in B_1} \alpha_\psi \psi \right) \left(\sum_{\mu \in B_2} \alpha_\mu \mu \right) = \sum_{\psi \in B_1} \sum_{\mu \in B_2} \alpha'_\psi \psi \mu$$

So B_1B_2 is a generating set for $R(\mathcal{F})$. We have the equality of matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{B_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes X_{B_2}(\mathcal{F}_2))_{(\psi, \mu) \in B_1B_2, (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2} &= \psi(s_1)\mu(s_2) \\ &= \psi\mu(s_1, s_2) \\ &= (X_{B_1B_2}(\mathcal{F}))_{(\psi, \mu) \in B_1 \times B_2, (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2} \end{aligned}$$

And then since the Kronecker product of two full rank matrices is of full rank, we conclude that B_1B_2 is a basis for $R(\mathcal{F})$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *If Conjecture A holds for $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$, it holds for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$.*

Proof. We begin by computing $\det(X_{B_1 \otimes B_2}(\mathcal{F})) = \det(X_{B_1}(\mathcal{F}_1)) \otimes \det(X_{B_2}(\mathcal{F}_2))$. The eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ is the set of products $a_i b_j$ where a_i and b_j are eigenvalues of A, B with multiplicity. Hence:

$$\det(A \otimes B) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} \prod_{j=1}^{\dim(B)} (a_i b_j) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} a_i \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\dim(B)} b_i \right) = \det(A)^{\dim(B)} \det(B)^{\dim(A)}$$

Thus

$$\det(X_{B_1 \otimes B_2}(\mathcal{F})) = \det(X_{B_1}(\mathcal{F}_1))^{\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)} \det(X_{B_2}(\mathcal{F}_2))^{\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)}$$

Where $\dim(X_{B_i}(\mathcal{F})) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_i)|$ from Theorem 1.12. Since we're assuming Conjecture A holds for \mathcal{F}_i , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(X_{B_1 \otimes B_2}(\mathcal{F})) &= \left(\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)} |C_{S_1}(x_K)| \right)^{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)|} \left(\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)} (|C_{S_2}(x_K)|) \right)^{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)|} \\ &= \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)} \prod_{J \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)} |C_{S_1}(x_K)| |C_{S_2}(x_J)| \\ &= \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)} \prod_{J \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)} |C_{S_1 \times S_2}((x_K, x_J))| \end{aligned}$$

Since x_K, x_J are fully $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ -centralised respectively, $x_L := (x_K, x_J)$ is fully $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ -centralised by Lemma 2.3, combined with

$$\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_1)} \prod_{J \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}_2)} |C_{S_1 \times S_2}((x_K, x_J))| = \prod_{L \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_{S_1 \times S_2}(x_L)|$$

the result is shown. \square

Therefore, if \mathcal{F} is a minimal counter-example to the Conjecture A, we cannot have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$, as one of $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ would be a smaller counter-example.

III - ANALOGY WITH BRAUER CHARACTERS

We fix a fusion system \mathcal{F} over S , fix a basis B of $R(\mathcal{F})$ and a G that realises \mathcal{F} . While this will not directly impact any of our results, we remark that this dependence on G can usually be mitigated. For example, if \mathcal{F} is constrained then there are models for \mathcal{F} which are unique up to isomorphism (see Definition I.4.8 and Theorem I.4.9 in [1]). More generally we will take G to have minimal order.

Definition 3.1. For a finite group G we write $\text{cl}_p(G)$ and $\text{cl}_{p'}(G)$ for the set of p -conjugacy classes and p' -conjugacy classes of G .

The entire view of \mathcal{F} -stable character theory being “ p' -Brauer character theory” was initially motivated by the following result:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $|G| = p^a q^b$, $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. Write $\text{IBr}_q(G)$ for the set of irreducible q -Brauer characters of G . Then $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ is the set of Brauer lifts of $\text{IBr}_q(G)|_S := \{\psi|_S : \psi \in \text{IBr}_q(G)\}$.*

Proof. Note that $\text{cl}_{q'}(G) = \text{cl}_p(G)$ and $\text{cl}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{cl}_p(G) \cap S$. Now take $\psi \in \text{IBr}_q(G)$. Because S is a q' -group, we have a bijection $\psi \mapsto \psi'$ between $\text{IBr}_q(S)$ and $\text{Irr}(S)$ given by Brauer lifting (see Theorem 2.12 in [6], Theorem 43.ii in [10]). It is clear that $\psi|_S = \psi'|_S$.

Because restrictions of Brauer characters are Brauer characters and S is a q' -group we have $\psi'|_S \in R(S)$ for $\psi \in \text{IBr}_q(G)$, furthermore ψ' is invariant on $\text{cl}_{q'}(G) = \text{cl}_p(G)$ so we have $\psi'|_S \in R(\mathcal{F})$.

As all Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate, $x \in \bigcup_{K \in \text{cl}_p(G)} K$ is conjugate to some $\tilde{x} \in S$. We define an additive map ϕ extending \mathcal{F} -stable characters to class functions on $\text{cl}_{q'}(G)$ by $\phi(\chi)(x) := \chi(\tilde{x})$. Since χ is \mathcal{F} -stable this map is well defined and does not depend on the choice of \tilde{x} and it is clear that ϕ is a ring homomorphism.

We show that the $\chi \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ is the restriction to S of the lift of an irreducible q -Brauer character of G . Firstly we note that χ is the restriction of a non-virtual q -Brauer character ψ of G because $\psi|_S$ is still non-virtual, and then because Brauer lifting is a ring homomorphism that maps $\text{IBr}_q(S) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(S)$ bijectively, lifts of non-virtual q -Brauer characters of S are non-virtual characters.

If $\chi \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\chi = \psi'_1|_S + \psi'_2|_S$ for two $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{IBr}_q(G)$ then this immediately contradicts the \mathcal{F} -indecomposability of χ , hence $\chi = \psi'|_S$ for a single $\psi \in \text{IBr}_q(G)$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion system on S . The *decomposition matrix* of \mathcal{F} with respect to B and G is the matrix

$$(D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F}))_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G), \psi \in B} := \langle \chi|_S, \psi \rangle$$

The *decomposition numbers* with respect to B and G are defined as $d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G} = D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})_{\chi\psi}$.

Note that since $\chi|_S \in R^+(\mathcal{F})$ by Lemma 1.9, we know that the decomposition numbers are integers, and will be positive integers when $B \subseteq \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 3.4. For $\psi \in B$, we write $\Phi_\psi^{B,G} := \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G} \chi \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$. We define the matrix $(P_{B,G})_{\psi \in B, K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} := \Phi_\psi^{B,G}(x_K)$.

B and G are fixed so we will omit them from our notation and write $D(\mathcal{F}) := D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$, $d_{\chi\psi} := d_{\chi\psi}^{B,G}$, $\Phi_\psi^{B,G} := \Phi_\psi$, $P_{B,G} := P$. These Φ_ψ are the fusion theoretic versions ‘‘projective indecomposable associated to ψ ’’ from modular character theory. One can quickly see that $\Phi_\psi \in R(G)$ because the decomposition numbers are integers. We list some useful properties of these characters:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X(\mathcal{F})$ be the character table of \mathcal{F} and $\Delta := \text{Diag}_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})}(|C_G(x_K)|)$. Then $\overline{P^T}X(\mathcal{F}) = \Delta$, and therefore $X(\mathcal{F})$ and P are both of full rank.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$, $s \in S$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\psi \in B} \overline{\Phi_\psi(g)} \psi(s) &= \sum_{\psi \in B} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi} \overline{\chi(g)} \psi(s) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \overline{\chi(g)} \sum_{\psi \in B} d_{\chi\psi} \overline{\psi(s)} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \overline{\chi(g)} \chi(s) \\ &= \delta_{gG_sG} |C_G(x)| \end{aligned}$$

By column orthogonality. Writing out $\overline{P^T}Q$ gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{P^T}X(\mathcal{F}))_{K,K' \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} &= \sum_{\psi \in B} \overline{\Phi_\psi(x_K)} \psi(x_{K'}) = \delta_{K,K'} |C_G(x_K)| \\ &\Rightarrow \overline{P^T}X(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Diag}_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})}(|C_G(x_K)|) = \Delta \end{aligned}$$

Since $|C_G(x_K)| \neq 0$ for any K , Δ is of full rank. We know that P is square by Theorem 1.12, therefore

$$|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| = \text{rk}(\Delta) \leq \min(\text{rk}(P), \text{rk}(X(\mathcal{F}))) \leq |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| \Rightarrow \text{rk}(P) \text{ and } \text{rk}(X(\mathcal{F})) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$$

and both results are proven. \square

The degree of the associated projective indecomposables for some group G are always divisible by the size of a Sylow p -subgroup and they are zero on p -elements by Corollary 2.14 and Theorem 2.13 in [6] respectively. We have similar results:

Lemma 3.6. $\Phi_\psi(g) = 0$ whenever g is not a p -element of G .

Proof. Let $g \in G$ such that g is not a p -element. Then we have that $g^G \cap S = \emptyset$, hence $\sum_{\psi \in B} \overline{\Phi_\psi(g)} \psi(s) = 0$ for all $s \in S$ by Lemma 3.5. By the linear independence of B , we conclude that $\Phi_\psi(g) = 0$ for all ψ . \square

Corollary 3.7. $|G|_{p'}$ divides $\Phi_\psi(1)$.

Proof. Let $q \neq p$ be a prime dividing $|G|$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$, then $\langle \Phi_\psi|_Q, 1_Q \rangle_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{q \in Q} \Phi_\psi|_Q(q)$ which is just $\frac{\Phi_\psi(1)}{|Q|}$ because $Q \cap S = 1$ and Φ_ψ is zero everywhere else in Q . Since Φ_ψ is a virtual character of G , $\langle \Phi_\psi|_Q, 1_Q \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $|Q|$ divides $\Phi_\psi(1)$.

Because the above argument holds for every $q \neq p$ dividing $|G|$, $|G|_{p'}$ must divide $\Phi_\psi(1)$. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\text{zcf}_p(G)$ be the set of complex valued class functions f of G with $f(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$ that are not p -elements. Then $\{\Phi_\psi\}_{\psi \in B}$ is a basis for $\text{zcf}_p(G)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 we know $\Phi_\psi \in \text{zcf}_p(G)$ for all $\psi \in B$, and by Lemma 3.5 P is full rank hence $\{\Phi_\psi\}_{\psi \in B}$ is linearly independent. Combining this with Theorem 1.12 we have $\text{rk}(\text{zcf}_p(G)) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| = |B| = \text{rk}(\langle \{\Phi_\psi\}_{\psi \in B} \rangle)$ and we’re done. \square

We are now able to prove Proposition D:

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. Let ρ_S, ρ_G be the regular characters of S and G respectively. Then $\rho_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} \frac{\Phi_\psi(1)}{[G:S]} \psi$ and these coefficients are integers.*

Proof.

$$\rho_G|_S = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi|_S = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \left(\chi(1) \sum_{\psi \in B} d_{\chi\psi} \psi \right) = \sum_{\psi \in B} \left(\psi \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi} \chi(1) \right) = \sum_{\psi \in B} \psi \Phi_\psi(1)$$

Now $\rho_G|_S = [G:S]\rho_S$ thus

$$\rho_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} \frac{\Phi_\psi(1)}{[G:S]} \psi$$

If these coefficients were not integers, then because $\rho_S \in R(\mathcal{F})$ there exists unique integers a_ψ giving a decomposition $\rho_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} a_\psi \psi$. Furthermore, \mathbb{Q} is flat as a \mathbb{Z} -module, so B is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes R(\mathcal{F})$. But then we'd have the linear relation $0 = \rho_S - \rho_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} (a_\psi - \frac{\Phi_\psi(1)}{[G:S]}) \psi$ in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes R(\mathcal{F})$, which is a contradiction. So $\frac{\Phi_\psi(1)}{[G:S]} = a_\psi \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

While we do not use it, we note that this proof generalises Corollary 3.7. Now we borrow our last object from modular character theory:

Definition 3.10. We define the *Cartan matrix* of \mathcal{F} with respect to the basis B of $R(\mathcal{F})$ and group G realising \mathcal{F} to be the matrix $C_{B,G}(\mathcal{F}) := D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})^T D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$. $C_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$ is indexed by $\psi, \mu \in B$. We define the *Cartan numbers* with respect to B and G to be $c_{\psi\mu}^{B,G} = C_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})_{\psi\mu}$.

Remark 3.11. Notice that $C_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$ is an integer matrix whenever $D_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$ is, hence the Cartan numbers are also integers.

Again, since B and G are fixed we omit them from our notation and set $C(\mathcal{F}) := C_{B,G}(\mathcal{F})$, $c_{\psi\mu} := c_{\psi\mu}^{B,G}$.

Proposition 3.12. *We have $\langle \Phi_\psi, \Phi_\mu \rangle_G = c_{\psi\mu}$ for any $\psi, \mu \in B$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_\psi, \Phi_\mu \rangle_G &= \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \sum_{\chi' \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\psi\chi} d_{\mu\chi'} \langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\psi\chi} d_{\mu\chi} \\ &= (D^T D)_{\psi\mu} = c_{\psi\mu} \end{aligned}$$

\square

The Cartan numbers in modular character theory describe how the associative projective indecomposables of G decompose when restricted to the p' -elements of G (see the remark on page 25 of [6]). We have a similar result:

Corollary 3.13. *For all $\psi \in B$, $\Phi_\psi|_S = \sum_{\mu \in B} c_{\psi\mu} \mu$.*

Proof. By definition of the Cartan numbers, $c_{\psi\mu} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi} d_{\chi\mu}$, thus:

$$\Phi_\psi|_S = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} d_{\chi\psi} \chi|_S = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \sum_{\mu \in B} d_{\chi\psi} d_{\chi\mu} \mu = \sum_{\mu \in B} c_{\psi\mu} \mu$$

\square

Our next goal is to show that the determinant of the Cartan matrix is coprime to p , and then relate that determinant to the determinant of $X(\mathcal{F})$. We note that by Corollary 2.18 in [6], that the Cartan matrix of p -Brauer characters has determinant equal to a power of p .

Lemma 3.14. $C(\mathcal{F})^{-1}$ is the matrix defined by $(C(\mathcal{F})^{-1})_{\psi, \mu \in B} := \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\psi(x_K) \overline{\mu(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|}$.

Proof. Write C' for the matrix $(C')_{\psi, \mu \in B} := \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\psi(x_K) \overline{\mu(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (C' C(\mathcal{F}))_{\mu\psi} &= \sum_{\theta \in B} C'_{\mu\theta} C(\mathcal{F})_{\theta\psi} \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in B} \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\mu(x_K) \overline{\theta(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} c_{\theta\psi} \\ &= \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\mu(x_K) \sum_{\theta \in B} c_{\theta\psi} \overline{\theta(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} \\ &= \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\mu(x_K) \overline{\Phi_\psi|_S(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} \quad (\text{applying Corollary 3.13}) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we write $\Delta := \text{Diag}_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})}(|C_G(x_K)|)$, then we know from Lemma 3.5 that

$$X(\mathcal{F}) \overline{P^T} = \Delta \Rightarrow (X(\mathcal{F}) \Delta^{-1} \overline{P^T})_{\mu, \psi} = \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\mu(x_K) \overline{\Phi_\psi(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} = \delta_{\mu, \psi}$$

Since $x_K \in S$ we may replace Φ_ψ in the above expression with $\Phi_\psi|_S$, hence $C' C = I_{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|}$ and the result is proven. \square

Lemma 3.15. Assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $|G|_{p'}^2 C(\mathcal{F})^{-1}$ is an integer valued matrix.

Proof. For $\chi \in R(S)$ we define $\tilde{\chi}$ given by

$$\tilde{\chi}(g) := \begin{cases} |G|_{p'} \chi(g) & g \text{ is a } p\text{-element} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\tilde{\chi} \in R(G)$ by Brauer's characterisation of characters. Hence for any $\mu, \psi \in B$, we have $\langle \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_G \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now since $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\psi}$ are 0 on anything that isn't a p -element:

$$\langle \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_G = \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(G)} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(x_K) \overline{\tilde{\psi}(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} = \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(G), K \cap S \neq \emptyset} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(x_K) \overline{\tilde{\psi}(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|}$$

All Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate in G , so we are free to choose our G -conjugacy class representatives x_K to lie in S :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(G), K \cap S \neq \emptyset} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(x_K) \overline{\tilde{\psi}(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} &= \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(x_K) \overline{\tilde{\psi}(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} \\ &= |G|_{p'}^2 \sum_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\mu(x_K) \overline{\psi(x_K)}}{|C_G(x_K)|} \\ &= |G|_{p'}^2 (C(\mathcal{F})^{-1})_{\mu\psi} \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

And the result is shown. \square

Lemma 3.16. *If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ with $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $\det(C)$ is coprime to p .*

Proof. Using the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.15, define M with $(M)_{\mu, \psi \in B} = \langle \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_G$, which is $|G|_p^2 C(\mathcal{F})^{-1}$ by Lemma 3.15. So $C(\mathcal{F})M = |G|_p^2 I_{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|}$. Since M is in integer matrix, it has an integer determinant. Furthermore, $C(\mathcal{F})$ is an integer matrix by Remark 3.11 and thus also has an integer determinant. Therefore

$$\det(C(\mathcal{F})) = \frac{|G|_p^{2|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|}}{\det(M)} \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \det(C(\mathcal{F})) \text{ is coprime to } p$$

□

Lemma 3.17. *For any fusion system \mathcal{F} over S , we have that*

$$|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = \frac{\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|}{\det(C(\mathcal{F}))}$$

Proof. We suppress our notation and write X, D, C for $X(\mathcal{F}), D(\mathcal{F}), C(\mathcal{F})$ in this proof.

Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$, then:

$$(DX)_{\chi K} = \sum_{\mu \in B} D_{\chi\mu} X_{\mu K} = \sum_{\mu \in B} d_{\chi\mu} \mu(x_K) = \chi|_S(x_K)$$

So by Lemma 3.5, we have that $((DX)^T DX)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} |C_G(x_{K_j})|$. Therefore

$$\det((DX)^T DX) = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \det((DX)^T DX) &= \det(\overline{D^T D}) \det(\overline{X^T X}) = \det(D^T D) |\det(X)|^2 = \det(C) |\det(X)|^2 \\ \implies |\det(X)|^2 &= \frac{\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|}{\det(C)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.18. *We have that $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2$ is an integer.*

Proof. Since $X(\mathcal{F})$ is a matrix of character values, $X(\mathcal{F}) \in M_{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|}(\mathcal{R})$ where $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the ring of algebraic integers. So by Lemma 3.17 and Remark 3.11, $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}$. □

IV - APPLICATION OF THE ANALOGY AND MODULAR \mathcal{F} -STABLE CHARACTERS.

In this section we build towards a proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ with $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $|\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|_p$.*

Remark 4.2. Assuming $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ with $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, we have $|C_G(x_K)|_p = |C_S(x_K)|$ with x_K fully centralised in \mathcal{F} by the remark following Definition I.2.4 in [1] and that x_K is fully $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ -centralised $\iff C_S(x_K) \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(x_K))$. So this theorem is equivalent to Conjecture A for non-exotic fusion systems.

Lemma 4.3. *For any two bases B, B' of $R(\mathcal{F})$, $|\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = |\det(X_{B'}(\mathcal{F}))|^2$.*

Proof. Given two bases B, B' of $R(\mathcal{F})$, there is a change of basis matrix $M \in GL(|B|, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $MX_B(\mathcal{F}) = X_{B'}(\mathcal{F})$, hence $\det(M)\det(X_B(\mathcal{F})) = \pm\det(X_B(\mathcal{F})) = \det(X_{B'}(\mathcal{F}))$ and therefore $|\det(X_B(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = |\det(X_{B'}(\mathcal{F}))|^2$. \square

We give more motivation to Conjecture A by proving it for transitive fusion systems directly:

Definition 4.4. We say that a fusion system \mathcal{F} on S is *transitive* if there are only two \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of S (and these two classes must be $\{1\}$ and $S - \{1\}$).

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a transitive fusion system on S , then Conjecture A holds.*

Proof. Set $B = \text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$, by Lemma 4.3 it is enough to show Conjecture A for this basis.

By Lemma 2.16 in [4], $R(\mathcal{F})$ is freely generated by $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})$ when \mathcal{F} is transitive, so by Corollary 1.13 we have $|\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})| = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| = 2$. It is clear to see that $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F}) = \{1_S, \rho_S - 1_S\}$ where ρ_S is the regular character of S and 1_S is the trivial character, hence

$$X := X_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ |S| - 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $|\det(X)|^2 = |S|^2$. Now we label the two \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes $K_1 = \{1\}, K_2 = S - K_1$. Because S is a p -group, $\mathcal{Z}(S)$ is non trivial. Therefore $K_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}(S) \neq \emptyset$, so a fully \mathcal{F} -centralised representative of K_2 is central. Hence $\prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_S(x_K)| = |S|^2$ and we are done. \square

Following Lemma 4.3, we fix a basis B and omit it from our notation. To continue further we will need to introduce \mathcal{F} -stable modular characters:

Definition 4.6. For a given prime ℓ , we write $R^+(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$ to denote the subsemiring of $R^+(S, \ell)$ consisting of \mathcal{F} -stable ℓ -Brauer characters. As before, we write $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$ for the Grothendieck completion of $R^+(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$.

We remark that we do not identify $R^+(S, \ell)$ with $R^+(S)$ when $\ell \neq p$ as the fact that $R^+(S, \ell)$ consists of functions into a field with positive characteristic will be of interest to us.

Definition 4.7. Recalling Definition 3.1, we will write $\text{cf}_{p'}(G)$ for the set of functions $\text{cl}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Proposition 4.8. *If \mathcal{F} is a fusion system on a p -group S , then $R(\mathcal{F}, p) = \langle 1_S \rangle$ where 1_S is the trivial p -Brauer character.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.10 in [6], we have that $|\text{IBr}_p(G)| = |\text{cl}_{p'}(G)|$, combined with the fact that S is a p -group, we have that $|\text{IBr}_p(S)| = |\text{cl}_{p'}(S)| = 1$, and so we have $\text{IBr}_q(S) = \{1_S\}$. This character is obviously \mathcal{F} -stable, and so we have our result. \square

Definition 4.9. For a given prime ℓ , let \mathcal{M}_ℓ be a maximal ideal of the algebraic integers \mathcal{R} with $\ell\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\ell$. Denote the canonical surjection $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{M}_\ell$ by π_ℓ . Given a function f that maps into \mathcal{R} , we abuse notation slightly and write $\pi_\ell(f)$ for the composition $\pi_\ell \circ f$.

From now on we take $\ell \neq p$ and build up to proving the rest of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.10. *Let G be a finite group. For $K \in \text{cl}_{\ell'}(G)$, let $i_K \in \text{cf}(G)$ be the indicator function for K . Then $\pi_\ell(i_K)|_S \in \langle \text{IBr}_\ell(G)|_S \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, Theorem 1.19 in [6] we have that $\text{IBr}_q(G)$ is linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$. Thus $\text{rk}\langle \text{IBr}_\ell(G) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell} = |\text{cl}_{\ell'}(G)| = \text{rk}(\text{cf}_{\ell'}(G)) \Rightarrow \text{rk}\langle \text{IBr}_\ell(G) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell} = \text{cl}_{\ell'}(G)$, the result then follows upon restricting to S . \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\{f_i: R_1 \rightarrow R_2\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of ring homomorphisms with R_1 a commutative ring and R_2 an integral domain. If the f_i are distinct, they are linearly independent over R_2 .*

Proof. See Lemma 5.2.2 in [3]. □

Theorem 4.12. *Let \mathcal{F} be any fusion system over a p -group S . For $\ell \neq p$, $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$.*

Proof. For any $s \in S$ we define the evaluation map $e_s: R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ with $e_s(\psi) = \psi(s)$. It is clear that these maps are ring homomorphisms.

Take a set of \mathcal{F} -conjugacy class representatives x_K and consider the set $\mathcal{E} := \{e_{x_K}: K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})\}$. We aim to show that each e_{x_K} is distinct, so \mathcal{E} is linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ by Lemma 4.11.

By Lemma 1.8, there exists a finite group G such that \mathcal{F} is realised by G . Consider the set $\text{IBr}_\ell(G)|_S$, these are ℓ -Brauer characters of S by Lemma 2.2 in [6]. They are also \mathcal{F} -stable because \mathcal{F} is realised by G and so are contained in $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)$.

Again, because \mathcal{F} is realised by G and $\ell \neq p$, we have for all G -classes K of elements of S that $K \cap S \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$. Hence by Lemma 4.10 the indicator functions $\pi_\ell(i_K)$ for $K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$ are in the $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -span of $\text{IBr}_\ell(G)|_S$, and are therefore in $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$.

Because e_{x_K} are indicator functions, we have $e_{x_K}(\pi_\ell(i_{K'})) = \delta_{K, K'}$ for any $K, K' \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})$ hence each e_{x_K} is distinct and \mathcal{E} is linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$. Since $R(S, \ell)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_ℓ -algebra, $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ is a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -algebra. Now we have:

$$\text{rk}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}(\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| \leq \text{rk}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}(\text{Hom}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})) = \text{rk}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell))$$

So $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)) \geq |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$. Recalling Definition 4.9, we also have $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \leq \langle \pi_\ell(i_k): K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F}) \rangle$, which has a rank of $|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$. Therefore, $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(R(\mathcal{F}, \ell)) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|$ as desired. □

Corollary 4.13. *π_ℓ induces a bijection $B \mapsto \pi_\ell(B)$ and $\pi_\ell(B)$ is linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$.*

Proof. Take $\chi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$, we may Brauer lift (see Theorem 43.ii in [10]) χ to a $\chi' \in R(G)$. Then because $\chi'|_S$ is \mathcal{F} -stable we may apply Proposition 1.11 to write $\chi'|_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} c_\psi \psi$ for some $c_\psi \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Because each $c_\psi \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{R}$, $\pi_\ell(c_\psi)$ is well defined. By Theorem 43 in [10] we know that $\pi_\ell(\chi') = \chi$. So $\pi_\ell(\chi'|_S) = \chi|_S = \sum_{\psi \in B} \pi_\ell(c_\psi) \pi_\ell(\psi)$.

By Lemma 4.10 we have that $\langle \text{IBr}_\ell(G)|_S \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}} = R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, in particular:

$$R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = \langle \text{IBr}_\ell(G)|_S \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}} = \langle \pi_\ell(B) \rangle_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}$$

Thus $\pi_\ell(B)$ spans $R(\mathcal{F}, \ell) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ as a $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -vector space, which has rank $|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})| = |B|$, so $|B| = |\pi_\ell(B)|$ and $\pi_\ell(B)$ is linearly independent. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1:

By Corollary 3.18 we know that $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2$ is an integer. Viewing an element of B as a tuple in $\mathbb{C}^{|\text{cl}(\mathcal{F})|}$, then the rows of $X(\mathcal{F})$ are elements of B , so Corollary 4.13 implies that $\pi_\ell(\det(X(\mathcal{F}))) \neq 0$ for all $\ell \neq p$. Thus $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 \in \bigcap_{\ell \neq p} \mathbb{Z} - \ell\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow |\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2$ is a power of p . So by Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 3.16 we have that $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|_p$ and $\det(C) = \prod_{K \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{F})} |C_G(x_K)|_{p'}$. □

We would be able to use the above proof combined with Lemma 1.8 to prove Theorem 4.1 for all fusion systems if it weren't for the following obstructions:

1. If S is not Sylow in G then we do not have $C_S(x_K) \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(x_K))$ for x_K fully \mathcal{F} -centralised, so Remark 4.2 does not hold.
2. Lemma 3.16 requires S to be Sylow in G due to a dependence on Lemma 3.15, where we need all Sylow subgroups to be conjugate in order to choose G -conjugacy class representatives that lie in S .

Despite this, we do still have Theorem B as a corollary to Theorem 4.1:

Corollary 4.14. *Let \mathcal{F} be any fusion system on S , then $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2$ is a power of p .*

Proof. This follows as in Theorem 4.1: $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_\ell(\det(X(\mathcal{F}))) \neq 0$ for all primes $\ell \neq p$ by Corollary 3.18 and Corollary 4.13 respectively. Then we again have that $|\det(X(\mathcal{F}))|^2 = p^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ as before. \square

If the two obstructions extending this result to the full statement of Conjecture A cannot be removed, then behaviour of the exponent α as \mathcal{F} varies will remain an open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar, B. Oliver *Fusion Systems in Algebra and Topology* (2011), Cambridge University Press, 1st ed. ISBN 978-1-107-60100-0
- [2] N. Bárcenas, J. Cantarero *A Completion Theorem for Fusion Systems* (2020) Israel J. Math. **236**, pp. 501-531 doi:10.1007/s11856-020-1981-4
- [3] D.J. Benson *Representations and Cohomology I: Basic Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras* (1991) Cambridge University Press ISBN 978-0-511-62361-5
- [4] J. Cantarero, G. Combariza *Uniqueness of Factorization for Fusion-Invariant Representations* (2023) doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.10341
- [5] J. Cantarero, J. Gaspar-Lara *Fusion-Invariant Representation for Symmetric Groups* (2023) Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society **50** doi:10.1007/s41980-024-00867-y
- [6] G. Navarro *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups* (1998) Cambridge University Press ISBN 978-0-521-59513-1
- [7] S. Reeh, E. Yalçım *Representation Rings for Fusion Systems and Dimension Functions* (2018) Math. Z. **288**, pp. 509-530, 1-2. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1603.08237
- [8] B. Sambale *Fusion Invariant Characters of p -groups* (2024), doi:10.48550/arXiv.2401.15706
- [9] S. Park *Realizing a Fusion System by a Single Finite Group* (2010) Arch. Math (Basel), **94**, pp. 405-410. doi:10.1007/s00013-010-0119-z
- [10] J.-P. Serre *Linear Representations of Finite Groups* (1977), Springer Graduate texts in Mathematics No.42, 1st ed. ISBN 978-1-4684-9458-7
- [11] M. Gelvin, S.P. Reeh *Minimal Characteristic Bisets for Fusion Systems* (2014), J. Algebra **427** pp. 345-374 doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.12.020
- [12] I.M. Isaacs *Characters of Solvable Groups* (2018), American Mathematical Society Graduate Studies in Mathematics No. 189, 1st ed. ISBN 978-1-4704-3485-4

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY, LE11 3TU, UNITED KINGDOM
Email: t.lawrence@lboro.ac.uk