

The characterizations of monotone functions which generate associative functions*

Meng Chen[†] Yun-Mao Zhang[‡] Xue-ping Wang[§]
*School of Mathematical Sciences, Sichuan Normal University,
 Chengdu 610066, Sichuan, People's Republic of China*

Abstract Associativity of a two-place function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $T(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y)))$ where $F : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an associative function, $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a monotone function which satisfies either $f(x) = f(x^+)$ when $f(x^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ or $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for any $y \neq x$ when $f(x^+) \notin \text{Ran}(f)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $f^{(-1)} : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a pseudo-inverse of f depends only on properties of the range of f . The necessary and sufficient conditions for the T to be associative are presented by applying the properties of the monotone function f .

Keywords: Associative function; monotone function; Pseudo-inverse; Associativity; Triangular norm

1 Introduction

The constructing methods of associative functions starting from an one-place function play an indispensable role in the theory of solving an associative equations [2]. The idea that a strictly monotone function may generate an associative function traces to the old work of Abel [1]. The relation between triangular norms (t-norms for short) and continuous strictly decreasing additive generators was originally explored by Schweizer and Sklar [9] and Ling [5]. Note that the concept of an additive generator, which is first introduced in Schweizer and Sklar [9], of a t-norm has been generalized several times in the literature, see e.g., [3–8, 10, 13–15]. In particular, Klement, Mesiar and Pap [4] define an additive generator as a strictly decreasing function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ that is right-continuous at 0 with $f(1) = 0$ such that for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$f(x) + f(y) \in \text{Ran}(f) \cup [f(0), \infty], \quad (1)$$

and

$$T(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(f(x) + f(y)) \quad (2)$$

where $f^{(-1)}$ is a pseudo-inverse of f and $\text{Ran}(f)$ is a range of f . Based on Viceník [11, 12] they claim that there exists a generalized additive generator just satisfying (2), and this was identified by Viceník [13] when f is a strictly decreasing function and by Zhang and Wang [16] when f is a monotone right continuous functions, respectively. Yao Ouyang et al. [8] also generalized the concept of additive generator of t-norms as that there are a strictly decreasing function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with $f(1) = 0$ and a binary operator $\star : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $([0, \infty], \star, \leq)$ is a fully ordered Abel semigroup with neutral element 0, such that

$$f(x)\star f(y) \in \text{Ran}(f) \cup [f(0^+), \infty] \quad (3)$$

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12071325)

[†] E-mail address: mathchen2019@163.com

[‡] E-mail address: 2115634219@qq.com

[§]Corresponding author. xpwang1@hotmail.com; fax: +86-28-84761502

for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and

$$T(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(f(x) \star f(y)) \quad (4)$$

where $f^{(-1)}$ is the pseudo-inverse of f and $\text{Ran}(f)$ is the range of f . Motivated by the work of Vicenik [13], Yao Ouyang [8] and Zhang and Wang [16], this article further considers the problem: what are characterizations of monotone functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that the function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$T(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y))) \quad (5)$$

is associative where $f^{(-1)}$ is the pseudo-inverse of f and $F : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an associative function?

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show some preliminaries concerned on basic concepts and known results of t-norms and t-conorms, respectively. In Section 3, we give a representation of the range $\text{Ran}(f)$ of a kind of non-decreasing functions f . In Section 4, we first define an operation \otimes on the $\text{Ran}(f)$, and then supply a necessary and sufficient condition for the operation \otimes being associative. In Section 5, we characterize what properties of $\text{Ran}(f)$ are equivalent to the associativity of the function T defined by Eq.(5). A conclusion is drawn in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

This section recalls some known elementary concepts and results in the literature, respectively.

Definition 2.1 ([4]) A t-norm is a binary operator $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (T1) $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$,
- (T2) $T(T(x, y), z) = T(x, T(y, z))$,
- (T3) $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$,
- (T4) $T(x, 1) = T(1, x) = x$.

A binary operator $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called t-subnorm if it satisfies (T1), (T2), (T3), and $T(x, y) \leq \min\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 ([4]) A t-conorm is a binary operator $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (S1) $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$,
- (S2) $S(S(x, y), z) = S(x, S(y, z))$,
- (S3) $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$ whenever $y \leq z$,
- (S4) $S(x, 0) = S(0, x) = x$.

A binary operator $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called t-subconorm if it satisfies (S1), (S2), (S3), and $T(x, y) \geq \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 ([4, 13]) Let $p, q, s, t \in [-\infty, \infty]$ with $p < q, s < t$ and $f : [p, q] \rightarrow [s, t]$ be a non-decreasing (resp. non-increasing) function. Then the function $f^{(-1)} : [s, t] \rightarrow [p, q]$ defined by

$$f^{(-1)}(y) = \sup\{x \in [p, q] \mid f(x) < y\} \text{ (resp. } f^{(-1)}(y) = \sup\{x \in [p, q] \mid f(x) > y\})$$

is called a pseudo-inverse of a non-decreasing (resp. non-increasing) function f .

Denoted by $A \setminus B = \{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}$ for two sets A and B .

3 The range of a non-decreasing function

This section describes the range of a kind of non-decreasing functions.

Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. We write $f(a^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x)$ for each $a \in (0, 1]$ and $f(a^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x)$ for each $a \in [0, 1)$. Define $f(0^-) = 0$ and $f(1^+) = \infty$ whenever f is non-decreasing.

Let $M \subseteq [0, \infty]$. We say a point $x \in [0, \infty]$ an accumulation point of M from the left if there is a strictly increasing sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points $x_n \in M$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. We say a point $x \in [0, \infty]$ an accumulation point of M from the right if there is a strictly decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

of points $x_n \in M$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. We say a point $x \in [0, 1]$ an accumulation point of M if x is an accumulation point of M from the left or from the right. Denote the set of all accumulation points of M by $\text{Acc}(M)$.

Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all non-decreasing functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ which satisfies either $f(x) = f(x^+)$ when $f(x^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ or $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for any $y \neq x$ when $f(x^+) \notin \text{Ran}(f)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that both a strictly increasing and non-decreasing right continuous functions are special elements of \mathcal{F} . Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{M \mid \text{there is a function } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } \text{Ran}(f) = M\}.$$

Then the following lemma describes the range of a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with $M \neq [0, \infty]$. Then there are a uniquely determined non-empty countable system $S = \{[b_k, d_k] \subseteq [0, \infty] \mid k \in K\}$ of pairwise closed intervals of a positive length which satisfies that for all $[b_k, d_k], [b_l, d_l] \in S$, $[b_k, d_k] \cap [b_l, d_l] = \emptyset$ or $[b_k, d_k] \cap [b_l, d_l] = \{d_k\}$ when $d_k \leq b_l$, and a uniquely determined non-empty countable set $C = \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\}$ such that $[b_k, d_k] \cap C \in \{\{b_k\}, \{d_k\}, \{b_k, d_k\}\}$ for all $k \in K$ and*

$$M = \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\} \cup \left([0, \infty] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} [b_k, d_k] \right) \right)$$

where $|K| \leq |\overline{K}|$.

Proof. The existence of both S and C will be proved. From $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with $M \neq [0, \infty]$, there is a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\text{Ran}(f) = M$. Take $S = \{[f(x^-), f(x)] \mid x \in [0, 1], f(x^-) < f(x)\} \cup \{[f(x), f(x^+)] \mid x \in [0, 1], f(x) < f(x^+)\}$ and $C = \{f(x^+) \mid x \in [0, 1], f(x^+) \in M\} \cup \{f(x^-) \mid x \in [0, 1], f(x^-) \in M\} \cup \{f(x) \mid x \in [0, 1], f(x^-) < f(x^+)\}$. Clearly the set S is countable. Let K be a countable index set with $|K| = |S|$ and rewrite S as $S = \{[b_k, d_k] \subseteq [0, \infty] \mid k \in K\}$ in which either $b_k = f(k^-), d_k = f(k)$ or $b_k = f(k), d_k = f(k^+)$ for each $k \in K$. The set C is also countable. Let \overline{K} be a countable index set with $|\overline{K}| = |C|$. It is obvious that $|K| \leq |\overline{K}|$. Rearrange C from small to large and assign its every element an index $k \in \overline{K}$, for instance, the k -th element in the rearranged set C is denoted by c_k with $k \in \overline{K}$. This follows that $C = \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\}$. Note that for every $k \in K$, there is an $i \in \overline{K}$ such that $b_k = f(k) = c_i$ when $f(k^-) \in M$ and $f(k) = f(k^-)$, there are two elements $s, t \in \overline{K}$ with $s + 1 = t$ satisfying that $d_k = f(k) = c_t$ and $b_k = f(k^-) = c_s$ when $f(k^-) \in M$ and $f(k) \neq f(k^-)$, and there is a $j \in \overline{K}$ such that $d_k = f(k) = c_j$ when $f(k^-) \notin M$. Obviously, S and C have all required properties, respectively.

In what follows, the uniqueness of both S and C will be proved. Suppose that a system $S_1 = \{[u_l, v_l] \subseteq [0, \infty] \mid l \in K_1\}$ and a set $C_1 = \{c_l \in [0, \infty] \mid l \in \overline{K}_1\}$ also have all required properties. Then we shall prove that $S = S_1$. Let $[b_k, d_k]$ be an arbitrary element of S . Choosing $t \in [b_k, d_k]$ such that $t \notin M$, this means that there is a $[u_l, v_l] \in S_1$ such that $t \in [u_l, v_l]$ where $[u_l, v_l] \cap M \in \{\{u_l\}, \{v_l\}, \{u_l, v_l\}\}$. Next, we shall prove that $[b_k, d_k] = [u_l, v_l]$.

First supposing that $d_k \leq u_l < v_l$, this contradicts the fact that $t \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $t \in [u_l, v_l]$ with $t \notin M$. Now, assume $u_l < d_k < v_l$. If $d_k \in M$, then $d_k \in [u_l, v_l] \cap M$, contrary to $[u_l, v_l] \cap M \in \{\{u_l\}, \{v_l\}, \{u_l, v_l\}\}$. If $d_k \notin M$, then d_k is an accumulation point of M from the right. This follows that the set $[u_l, v_l] \cap M$ is infinite, a contradiction since $[u_l, v_l] \cap M \in \{\{u_l\}, \{v_l\}, \{u_l, v_l\}\}$. Therefore, $u_l < v_l \leq d_k$.

In a completely analogous way, we have $b_k \leq u_l < v_l$.

Consequently, $b_k \leq u_l < v_l \leq d_k$.

Below, we shall prove that $v_l = d_k$, the proof of $b_k = u_l$ being analogous. Suppose that $v_l < d_k$. Then there exists a $t_1 \in (v_l, d_k)$ such that $t_1 \notin M$, and there exist $a \in K_1$ and an interval $[u_a, v_a] \in S_1$ such that $t_1 \in [u_a, v_a]$ where $[u_a, v_a] \cap M \in \{\{u_a\}, \{v_a\}, \{u_a, v_a\}\}$. Obviously, $v_a \leq d_k$. Let $m \in [u_l, v_l] \cap M$, $n \in [u_a, v_a] \cap M$. Then $[m, n] \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Since $b_k \leq u_l < v_l \leq u_a < v_a \leq d_k$, we have $[m, n] \cap M \subseteq [b_k, d_k]$. In the case of $v_l = u_a$, we have $v_l \in [b_k, d_k] \cap M$, which contradicts the fact $[b_k, d_k] \cap M \in \{\{b_k\}, \{d_k\}, \{b_k, d_k\}\}$. In the case of $v_l \neq u_a$, $[m, n] \cap M$ is an infinite set. This means that $[b_k, d_k] \cap M$ is also infinite, a contradiction. Therefore, $v_l = d_k$.

We finally come to $[b_k, d_k] = [u_l, v_l]$. From the arbitrariness of $[b_k, d_k]$, we have $S \subseteq S_1$. The case $S \supseteq S_1$ is completely analogous. Therefore, $S = S_1$. This follows that $C = C_1$. In particular, both S and C are independent of choice of f . \square

Definition 3.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$. A pair (S, C) is said to be associated with $M \neq [0, \infty]$ if $S = \{[b_k, d_k] \subseteq [0, \infty] \mid k \in K\}$ is a non-empty system of pairwise closed intervals of a positive length which satisfies that for all $[b_k, d_k], [b_l, d_l] \in S$, $[b_k, d_k] \cap [b_l, d_l] = \emptyset$ or $[b_k, d_k] \cap [b_l, d_l] = \{b_l\}$ when $d_k \leq b_l$, and $C = \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\}$ is a non-empty countable set such that $[b_k, d_k] \cap C \in \{\{b_k\}, \{d_k\}, \{b_k, d_k\}\}$ for all $k \in M$, and

$$M = \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\} \cup \left([0, \infty] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} [b_k, d_k] \right) \right).$$

A pair (S, C) is said to be associated with $M = [0, \infty]$ if $S = \{[\infty, \infty]\}$ and $C = \{\infty\}$.

We shall briefly write $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ instead of $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \subseteq [0, \infty] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \in [0, \infty] \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$.

The following remark is immediately from Definition 3.1.

Remark 3.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$, $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M and $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\text{Ran}(f) = M$.

- (i) Let $x \in M \setminus C$. Then there is a $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(t) = x$. It is easily seen that f is continuous at t and x is an accumulation point of M . In particular, $M \setminus C \subseteq \text{Acc}(M)$.
- (ii) For all $a, b \in M \setminus C$, if $a < b$ then $(a, b) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) If $[b_k, d_k] \cap M = \{b_k\}$ for a certain $k \in K$, then d_k is an accumulation point of M from the right.
- (iv) If $[b_k, d_k] \cap M = \{d_k\}$ for a certain $k \in K$, then b_k is an accumulation point of M from the left.
- (v) If $[b_k, d_k] \cap M = \{b_k, d_k\}$ for a certain $k \in K$, then there exist two elements $x, y \in [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = f(y) = b_k$.

Example 3.1

- (i) Let the function $f_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 4x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \\ 9 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}), \\ 10 & \text{if } x = \frac{7}{8}, \\ \frac{2}{1-x} & \text{if } x \in (\frac{7}{8}, 1), \\ \infty & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then the pair $(\{[2, 9], [9, 10], [10, 16]\}, \{9, 10\})$ is associated with $[0, 2) \cup \{9\} \cup (10) \cup [16, \infty] \in \mathcal{A}$.

- (ii) Let the function $f_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 8x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}), \\ 3 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 5 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \\ 8x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}], \\ 7 & \text{if } x \in (\frac{7}{8}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then the pair $(\{[2, 3], [3, 5], [5, 6], [7, \infty]\}, \{3, 5, 6, 7\})$ is associated with $[0, 2) \cup \{3\} \cup \{5\} \cup [6, 7] \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) Let the function $f_3 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f_3(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{10}), \\ 10x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{5}), \\ 8 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}), \\ 10 & \text{if } x = \frac{3}{5}, \\ 20x & \text{if } x \in (\frac{3}{5}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then the pair $(\{[0, 1], [4, 8], [8, 10], [10, 12], [20, \infty], \{1, 8, 10, 20\}\})$ is associated with $[1, 4) \cup \{8\} \cup \{10\} \cup (12, 20] \in \mathcal{A}$.

4 An operation on $\text{Ran}(f)$ and its properties

This section first defines an operation \otimes on $\text{Ran}(f)$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and then gives a necessary and sufficient conditions for the operation \otimes being associative that exactly depends on $\text{Ran}(f)$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in [0, \infty]$. Then by convention, $\sup \emptyset = 0$ and $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

Definition 4.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Define a function $G_M : [0, \infty] \rightarrow M$ by

$$G_M(x) = \text{Max}\{M \cap \{\sup([0, x] \cap M), \inf([x, \infty] \cap M)\}\} \text{ for all } x \in [0, \infty].$$

The next proposition shows the relationship between M and G_M .

Proposition 4.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Then for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ and $k \in K$,

(i) $G_M(x) = x$ if and only if $x \in M$.

(ii) if $x \notin M$ and $x < f(0)$ then $G_M(x) = f(0)$.

(iii) if $x \notin M$ and $f(0) < x < f(1)$, then $G_M(x) = d_k$ if and only if $x \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \in M$.

(iv) if $x \notin M$ and $f(0) < x < f(1)$, then $G_M(x) = b_k$ if and only if $x \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \notin M$.

(v) if $x \notin M$ and $f(1) < x$ then $G_M(x) = f(1)$.

(vi) G_M is a non-decreasing function.

Proof. Statements (i), (ii), (v) and (vi) are immediately from Definition 4.1.

(iii) Let $x \notin M$ and $f(0) < x < f(1)$. If $G_M(x) = d_k$, then from the definition of $G_M(x)$, $x \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \in M$. The converse implication is obvious.

(iv) Let $x \notin M$ and $f(0) < x < f(1)$. If $G_M(x) = b_k$, then from the definition of $G_M(x)$, $x \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \notin M$. Conversely, if $x \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \notin M$, then $b_k \in M$, we get $\sup([0, x] \cap M) = b_k$ and $\inf([x, \infty] \cap M) = d_k$, therefore, $G_M(x) = b_k$. \square

Example 4.1 In Example 3.1,

(i)

$$G_M(x) = \begin{cases} 9 & \text{if } x \in [2, 9], \\ 10 & \text{if } x \in (9, 16], \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$G_M(x) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } x \in (2, 3], \\ 5 & \text{if } x \in (3, 5], \\ 6 & \text{if } x \in (5, 6], \\ 7 & \text{if } x \in [7, \infty], \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii)

$$G_M(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 8 & \text{if } x \in [4, 8], \\ 10 & \text{if } x \in (8, 12], \\ 20 & \text{if } x \in [20, \infty], \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the forthcoming content, let $F : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an associative function.

Definition 4.2 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and G_M be determined by M . Define an operation $\otimes : M^2 \rightarrow M$ by

$$x \otimes y = G_M(F(x, y)).$$

Example 4.2 In Example 4.1,

(i)

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} 9 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in [2, 9], \\ 10 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in (9, 16], \\ F(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in (2, 3], \\ 5 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in (3, 5], \\ 6 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in (5, 6], \\ 7 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in [7, \infty], \\ F(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii)

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in [0, 1], \\ 8 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in [4, 8], \\ 10 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in (8, 12], \\ 20 & \text{if } F(x, y) \in [20, \infty], \\ F(x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.2 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Then for all $x, y \in M$ and $k \in K$,

(i) $x \otimes y = F(x, y)$ if and only if $F(x, y) \in M$.

(ii) if $F(x, y) \notin M$ and $F(x, y) < f(0)$ then $x \otimes y = f(0)$.

(iii) if $F(x, y) \notin M$ and $f(0) < F(x, y) < f(1)$, then $x \otimes y = d_k$ if and only if $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \in M$.

(iv) if $F(x, y) \notin M$ and $f(0) < F(x, y) < f(1)$, then $x \otimes y = b_k$ if and only if $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $d_k \notin M$.

(v) if $F(x, y) \notin M$ and $f(1) < F(x, y)$ then $x \otimes y = f(1)$.

(vi) \otimes is a non-decreasing function.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.1 and Definition 4.2. □

Lemma 4.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Then $G_M(x) = f(f^{(-1)}(x))$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$.

Proof. If $x \in \text{Ran}(f)$, then $f(f^{(-1)}(x)) = x$ since $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $x \notin \text{Ran}(f)$ then there are three cases as follows.

(i) If $f(0) < x < f(1)$, then there is a $k \in K$ such that $x \in [b_k, d_k]$. Obviously, if $d_k \in M$ then $f(f^{(-1)}(x)) = d_k$; if $d_k \notin M$ then $f(f^{(-1)}(x)) = b_k$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1(iii) and (iv), we get $G_M(x) = f(f^{(-1)}(x))$.

(ii) If $x < f(0)$, then $G_M(x) = f(0)$ and $f(f^{(-1)}(x)) = f(\sup\{y \in [0, 1] \mid f(y) < x\}) = f(\sup \emptyset) = f(0)$.

(iii) If $f(1) < x$, then $G_M(x) = f(1)$ and $f(f^{(-1)}(x)) = f(\sup\{y \in [0, 1] \mid f(y) < x\}) = f(1)$.

In summary, $G_M(x) = f(f^{(-1)}(x))$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$. \square

It is obvious that the function f is a constant function then $G_M(x) = f(f^{(-1)}(x))$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$. Therefore, in the following we further suppose that all functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$ are non-constant. In particular, we have the following remark.

Remark 4.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. In Lemma 4.1, the condition that $f(x) = f(x^+)$ when $f(x^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ can not be deleted when f is not strictly monotone. For instance, let the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 4x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ 8x & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f(\frac{1}{4}^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ but $f(\frac{1}{4}) \neq f(\frac{1}{4}^+)$ and $G_M(2) = 2 > 1 = f(f^{(-1)}(2))$, i.e., $G_M \neq f(f^{(-1)})$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Denote

$$E = \{c_k \mid \text{there are an } x_0 \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that } f|_{[x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon]} = c_k\},$$

$$N = \{\min\{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) = y\} \mid y \in E\}, \quad J = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) \in M \setminus E\},$$

$$B = N \cup J.$$

One easily check that $f^{(-1)}(x) \in B$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$.

We further give the following definition.

Definition 4.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Define a function $f^* : B \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$f^*(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B$$

and a two-place function $F_0 : B^2 \rightarrow B$ by

$$F_0(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(x), f^*(y)))$$

for all $x, y \in B$, respectively.

Then from Definition 4.3, one can check the following remark.

Remark 4.2 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

(i) f^* is a strictly increasing function.

(ii) $f^{(-1)}(f^*(x)) = x$ for all $x \in B$.

Furthermore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$x \otimes y = f^*(F_0(f^{(-1)}(x), f^{(-1)}(y)))$$

for all $x, y \in M$, and

$$F_0(x, y) = f^{(-1)}(f^*(x) \otimes f^*(y))$$

for all $x, y \in B$. Moreover, F_0 is associative if and only if \otimes is associative.

Proof. For any $x, y \in M$, it follows from Definitions 4.2 and 4.3, Lemma 4.1 and Remark 4.2 that we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x \otimes y &= G_M(F(x, y)) \\
&= f(f^{(-1)}(F(x, y))) \\
&= f^*(f^{(-1)}(F(x, y))) \\
&= f^*(f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(f^{(-1)}(x)), f^*(f^{(-1)}(y)))) \\
&= f^*(F_0(f^{(-1)}(x), f^{(-1)}(y))).
\end{aligned}$$

There are two elements $u, v \in B$ such that $f^*(u) = x$, $f^*(v) = y$ since $x, y \in M$. Then from Remark 4.2,

$$f^*(u) \otimes f^*(v) = x \otimes y = f^*(F_0(f^{(-1)}(x), f^{(-1)}(y))) = f^*(F_0(u, v)),$$

which deduces $f^{(-1)}(f^*(u) \otimes f^*(v)) = f^{(-1)}(f^*(F_0(u, v))) = F_0(u, v)$. Clearly, this follows that F_0 is associative if and only if \otimes is associative. \square

Lemma 4.2 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by Eq.(5). Then, for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$, there exist two elements $m, n \in B$ such that $f^*(m) = f(x)$, $f^*(n) = f(y)$ and $T(x, y) = F_0(m, n)$. In particular, $T(x, y) = F_0(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in B$.

Proof. If $x, y \in B$, then from Definition 4.3, we are sure that $f^*(x) = f(x)$, $f^*(y) = f(y)$. If $x \notin B$, Then $f(x) \in E$. Let $m = \min\{s \in [0, 1] \mid f(s) = f(x), f(x) \in E\}$. Obviously, $m \in B$ and $f^*(m) = f(x)$. Analogously, if $y \notin B$ then there exists an $n \in B$ such that $f^*(n) = f(y)$. Therefore, from Definition 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(x, y) &= f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y))) \\
&= f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(m), f^*(n))) \\
&= F_0(m, n).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 4.4 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by Eq.(5). Then F_0 is associative if and only if T is associative.

Proof. Suppose that F_0 is associative. Let us prove that $T(T(x, y), z) = T(x, T(y, z))$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$. For any $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$, by Lemma 4.2 there exist three elements $m, n, t \in B$ such that $f^*(m) = f(x)$, $f^*(n) = f(y)$, $f^*(t) = f(z)$, respectively, and $T(x, y) = F_0(m, n)$, $T(x, z) = F_0(m, t)$, $T(y, z) = F_0(n, t)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T(T(x, y), z) &= T(F_0(m, n), z) \\
&= F_0(F_0(m, n), t) \\
&= F_0(m, F_0(n, t)) \\
&= F_0(m, T(y, z)) \\
&= T(x, T(y, z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if T is associative, i.e., $T(T(x, y), z) = T(x, T(y, z))$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$, then by Eq.(5),

$$f^{(-1)}(F(f \circ f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y))), f(z))) = f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f \circ f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y))))).$$

Therefore, for all $x, y, z \in B$,

$$f^{(-1)}(F(f^* \circ f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(x), f^*(y))), f^*(z))) = f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(x), f^* \circ f^{(-1)}(F(f^*(x), f^*(y))))).$$

Finally, from Definition 4.3, $F_0(F_0(x, y), z) = F_0(x, F_0(y, z))$, i.e., F_0 is associative. \square

The following is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, which means that the associativity of T defined by Eq.(5) relies only on $\text{Ran}(f)$.

Theorem 4.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by Eq.(5). Then T is associative if and only if \otimes is associative.

5 Associativity of the function T defined by Eq.(5)

This section shows two necessary and sufficient conditions for the function T defined by Eq.(5) being associative.

Let $M \subseteq [0, \infty]$. Define $O(M) = \bigcup_{x,y \in M} [\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}]$ when $M \neq \emptyset$ (where $[x, x] = \emptyset$), and $O(M) = \emptyset$ when $M = \emptyset$. It is clear that $O(M) = \emptyset$ if and only if $M = \emptyset$ or M contains only one element. Denote $F(A, c) = \{F(x, c) \mid x \in A\}$, $F(c, A) = \{F(c, x) \mid x \in A\}$ and $F(\emptyset, A) = F(A, \emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let $I_k = O(\{c_k\}) \cup \{z \in (b_k, d_k) \mid \text{there are two elements } x, y \in M \text{ such that } F(x, y) = z\}$, $F(c, O(M)) = O(F(c, M))$ and $F(O(M), c) = O(F(M, c))$ for all $c \in [0, \infty]$.

In what follows we shall explore other characterizations that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative. To achieve the goal, the following lemma plays a centre role.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . For any $x, y \in [0, 1]$, if $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \cap M = \emptyset$ then $G_M(x) = G_M(y)$.*

Proof. Supposing $G_M(x) \neq G_M(y)$, from Proposition 4.1 we get $G_M(\min\{x, y\}) = s < t = G_M(\max\{x, y\})$ with $s, t \in M$. Obviously, $[s, t] \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Choose $w \in [s, t] \cap M$. Then $w = G_M(w)$, this follows that $G_M(\min\{x, y\}) \leq G_M(w) < G_M(\max\{x, y\})$ and $\min\{x, y\} \leq w < \max\{x, y\}$ since G_M is non-decreasing. Hence, $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \cap M \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. \square

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition that the operation \otimes on M is associative.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$, then the operation \otimes on M is associative.*

Proof. Supposing that $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$, we shall prove that for any $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in M$, $G_M(F(F(x_1, x_2), x_3)) = (x_1 \otimes x_2) \otimes x_3$. Indeed, from Definition 4.2 we have $x_1 \otimes x_2 = G_M(F(x_1, x_2))$.

We distinguish two cases as follows.

(i) If $F(x_1, x_2) \in M$, then $x_1 \otimes x_2 = F(x_1, x_2)$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} G_M(F(F(x_1, x_2), x_3)) &= G_M(F(x_1 \otimes x_2, x_3)) \\ &= (x_1 \otimes x_2) \otimes x_3. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $F(x_1, x_2) \notin M$, then there is a $k \in K$ such that $F(x_1, x_2) \in [b_k, d_k] \setminus \{c_k\}$, where $\{c_k\} = M \cap [b_k, d_k]$. Thus from $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$,

$$F(I_k, x_3) \cap M = \emptyset.$$

This means

$$F([\min\{c_k, F(x_1, x_2)\}, \max\{c_k, F(x_1, x_2)\}], x_3) \cap M = \emptyset$$

since $[\min\{c_k, F(x_1, x_2)\}, \max\{c_k, F(x_1, x_2)\}] \in I_k$. Further, from Lemma 5.1,

$$G_M(F(F(x_1, x_2), x_3)) = G_M(F(c_k, x_3)),$$

which deduces

$$G_M(F(c_k, x_3)) = G_M(F(x_1 \otimes x_2, x_3)) = (x_1 \otimes x_2) \otimes x_3$$

since $c_k = x_1 \otimes x_2$. Hence $G_M(F(F(x_1, x_2), x_3)) = (x_1 \otimes x_2) \otimes x_3$.

$G_M(F(x_1, F(x_2, x_3))) = x_1 \otimes (x_2 \otimes x_3)$ is completely analogous when $(F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k)) \cap M = \emptyset$.

Therefore, $(x_1 \otimes x_2) \otimes x_3 = x_1 \otimes (x_2 \otimes x_3)$ since F is associative. \square

Proposition 5.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If F is commutative and $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$, then the operation \otimes on M is associative.*

In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If $F(M, M) \subseteq M$, then the operation \otimes on M is associative.*

Proof. In fact, if $F(M, M) \subseteq M$ then $\cup_{k \in K} I_k = \emptyset$, implying $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) = \emptyset$ and $(F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k)) = \emptyset$. Further, by Proposition 5.1, the operation \otimes on M is associative. \square

Proposition 5.1 implies the following remark.

Remark 5.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by Eq.(5) in which $F : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a non-decreasing and commutative function.

- (i) Let 0 be a neutral element of F , $(F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M)) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$. Then T is associative. Meanwhile, T is not necessary a t-subconorm since $T(x, 0) = f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(0))) \geq f^{(-1)}(f(x))$ and $f^{(-1)}(f(x)) \leq x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. However, if f is further a strictly increasing function with $f(0) = 0$, then T is a t-conorm.
- (ii) Let ∞ be neutral element of F , $(F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M)) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$. Then T is a t-subnorm. Moreover, T is not necessary a t-norm since $T(x, 1) = f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(1))) \leq f^{(-1)}(f(x)) \leq x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. However, if f is further a strictly increasing function with $f(1) = \infty$, then T is a t-norm. Another way is to slightly modify the function T as

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} f^{(-1)}(F(f(x), f(y))) & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2, \\ \min\{x, y\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Then one may check that T is a t-norm.

Generally, the converse of Proposition 5.1 is not true.

Example 5.1 Let $F = x + y$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x + y < 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that T is associative, $M = [0, 1]$, $\cup_{k \in K} I_k = [1, 2]$ and $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) = [1, 3]$. Thus $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

Fortunately, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If F is cancellative satisfying $F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The operation \otimes is associative.
- (ii) $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$.

Proof. From Proposition 5.1, it is enough to prove that (i) implies (ii). We shall prove $F(M, M) \subseteq M$. Indeed, if $F(M, M) \not\subseteq M$, then there exist two elements $x, y \in M$ such that $F(x, y) \notin M$. Thus $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k] \setminus \{c_k\}$ for some $k \in K$ where $\{c_k\} = [b_k, d_k] \cap M$. Now, let $z \in M \setminus C$. Then by $F((M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, we have $F(y, z) \in M \setminus C$. This means $x \otimes (y \otimes z) = x \otimes F(y, z) = G_M(F(x, F(y, z)))$. On the other hand $(x \otimes y) \otimes z = c_k \otimes z = G_M(F(c_k, z))$. Thereby, the associativity of \otimes on M yields $G_M(F(x, F(y, z))) = G_M(F(c_k, z))$. This follows $G_M(F(x, F(y, z))) = F(c_k, z)$ since $F(c_k, z) \in M \setminus C$. Therefore, by Propositions 4.1(i), $F(x, F(y, z)) = F(c_k, z)$ since $F(x, F(y, z)) \in F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, which results in $F(F(x, y), z) = F(c_k, z)$ since F associative. So that $F(x, y) = c_k$ since F is cancellative, contrary to $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k] \setminus \{c_k\}$. Therefore, $F(M, M) \subseteq M$, then $\cup_{k \in K} I_k = \emptyset$, implying $(F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M)) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$. \square

Then Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.2 imply the following characteristic theorem that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative.

Theorem 5.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If F is cancellative satisfying $F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, then the following are equivalent:

(i) T is associative.

(ii) $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$.

Notice that in Theorem 5.1 the condition that F is cancellative satisfying $F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ can not be deleted generally.

Example 5.2 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M .

(1) Let $F = x + y - xy$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \times [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ x + y - xy & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ \max\{x, y\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that F is not cancellative, $M = \{0\} \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $F(M, M \setminus C) = (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \subseteq M \setminus C$ and $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) = \{0\}$. Thus, $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. However, T is associative.

(2) Let $F = x + y$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min\{x, y\} & \text{if } x + y < 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that F is cancellative, $M = [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup \{1\}$, $F(M, M \setminus C) = [0, \frac{3}{2}) \not\subseteq M \setminus C$ and $(F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M)) = [\frac{1}{2}, 3)$. Thus, $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. However, T is associative.

Below, we shall develop another characterization that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative. We first need the following definition.

Definition 5.1 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Define for all $y \in M$, and $k, l \in K$, $M_k^y = \{x \in M \mid F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]\}$, $M_y^k = \{x \in M \mid F(y, x) \in [b_k, d_k]\}$,

$$J_{k,l}^y = \begin{cases} O(F(M_k^y, c_l) \cup F(c_k, M_y^l)), & \text{if } M_k^y \neq \emptyset, M_y^l \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$M^y = \{x \in M \mid F(x, y) \in M \setminus C\}$, $M_y = \{x \in M \mid F(y, x) \in M \setminus C\}$, $I_k^y = O(\{c_k\} \cup F(M_k^y, y))$ and $I_y^k = O(\{c_k\} \cup F(y, M_y^k))$. Put $\mathfrak{J}_1(M) = \bigcup_{y \in M} \bigcup_{k \in K} F(I_k^y, M_y)$, $\mathfrak{J}_2(M) = \bigcup_{y \in M} \bigcup_{k \in K} F(M^y, I_y^k)$, $\mathfrak{J}_3(M) = \bigcup_{y \in M} \bigcup_{k, l \in K} J_{k,l}^y$ and,

$$\mathfrak{J}(M) = \mathfrak{J}_1(M) \cup \mathfrak{J}_2(M) \cup \mathfrak{J}_3(M).$$

Then we have the following sufficient condition that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative.

Proposition 5.3 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M , \otimes be the operation on M . If $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M = \emptyset$ then the operation \otimes on M is associative.

Proof. Suppose that the operation \otimes is not associative. Then there exist three elements $x, y, z \in M$ such that $(x \otimes y) \otimes z \neq x \otimes (y \otimes z)$. We claim that $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ or $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$. Otherwise, from

Definition 4.1, $F(x, y) \in M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \in M \setminus C$ would imply $(x \otimes y) \otimes z = G_M(F(F(x, y), z)) = G_M(F(x, F(y, z))) = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$, a contradiction. The following proof is completed by three steps.

(i) Let $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \in M \setminus C$. Then $y \otimes z = F(y, z)$ and there exists a $k \in K$ such that $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$. Thus $x \otimes y = c_k$ where $M \cap [b_k, d_k] = \{c_k\}$. It follows from Definition 4.1 that $G_M(F(c_k, z)) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z \neq x \otimes (y \otimes z) = G_M(F(x, F(y, z)))$. On the other hand, by associativity of F , we have $G_M(F(x, F(y, z))) = G_M(F(F(x, y), z))$. Thus $G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(F(x, y), z))$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, $F([\min\{c_k, F(x, y)\}, \max\{c_k, F(x, y)\}], z) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Obviously, $z \in M_y$, and $x \in M_k^y$. Thus by $I_k^y = O(\{c_k\} \cup F(M_k^y, y))$, $F([\min\{c_k, F(x, y)\}, \max\{c_k, F(x, y)\}], z) \subseteq F(I_k^y, M_y)$, this infers $F(I_k^y, M_y) \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) Let $F(x, y) \in M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$. In a completely analogous way, we have $F(M^y, I_y^k) \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) Let $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$. Then from $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$, there exists a $k \in K$ such that $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$. Thus $x \otimes y = c_k$ where $M \cap [b_k, d_k] = \{c_k\}$. Similarly, there exists an $l \in K$ such that $F(y, z) \in [b_l, d_l]$ since $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$. Hence $y \otimes z = c_l$ where $M \cap [b_l, d_l] = \{c_l\}$. Therefore, $G_M(F(c_k, z)) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z \neq x \otimes (y \otimes z) = G_M(F(x, c_l))$. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that $[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}] \cap M \neq \emptyset$, which together with $x \in M_k^y$, $F(x, c_l) \in F(M_k^y, c_l)$, $z \in M_y^l$ and $F(c_k, z) \in F(c_k, M_y^l)$ yields that $[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}] \subseteq J_{k,l}^y$. Therefore, $J_{k,l}^y \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

From (i), (ii) and (iii), we deduce that $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. \square

Generally, the converse of Proposition 5.3 is not true. For instance, in Example 5.1, $M = [0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{J}_1(M) = \mathfrak{J}_2(M) = [1, 3]$ and $\mathfrak{J}_3(M) = [1, 2]$, thus, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

To obtain the necessary condition that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative, we further suppose that $F : [0, \infty]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an associative function with neutral element in $[0, 1]$. Then we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Let $M_1, M_2 \subseteq [0, \infty]$ be two non-empty sets and $c \in [0, \infty]$. Then*

(1) $F(O(M_1 \cup M_2), c) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ if and only if there exist $x_1 \in M_1$ and $x_2 \in M_2$ such that

$$[\min\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}, \max\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset.$$

(2) $F(c, O(M_1 \cup M_2)) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ if and only if there exist $x_1 \in M_1$ and $x_2 \in M_2$ such that

$$[\min\{F(c, x_1), F(c, x_2)\}, \max\{F(c, x_1), F(c, x_2)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. (1) We only provide the proof of statement (1) when F is non-decreasing, the statement being analogous when F is non-increasing. We just prove that if there exist an $x_1 \in M_1$ and an $x_2 \in M_2$ such that

$$[\min\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}, \max\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$$

then $F(O(M_1 \cup M_2), c) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ since it is easy to see that there exist an $x_1 \in M_1$ and an $x_2 \in M_2$ such that

$$[\min\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}, \max\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$$

when $F(O(M_1 \cup M_2), c) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $F(O(M_1 \cup M_2), c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist two elements $m, n \in M_1 \cup M_2$ with $m < n$ such that $F([m, n], c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. The following proof is split into three cases.

(i) In the case that exact one of $\{m, n\}$ is contained in M_1 and the other is contained in M_2 , it is obvious that

$$[\min\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}, \max\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset.$$

(ii) In the case that $m, n \in M_1$ with $m < n$. Choose $t \in M_2$.

(a) If $t \leq m$ then put $x_1 = t$ and $x_2 = n$.

(b) If $t \geq n$ then put $x_1 = m$ and $x_2 = t$.

- (c) If $m < t < n$, then $F([m, t], c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ or $F([t, n], c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. If $F([m, t], c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, put $x_1 = m$ and $x_2 = t$. If $F([t, n], c) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, put $x_2 = n$ and $x_1 = t$.

In any case of (a),(b) and (c), we get

$$[\min\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}, \max\{F(x_1, c), F(x_2, c)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset.$$

(iii) The case $m, n \in M_2$ is completely analogous to (ii).

(2) The proof is analogy to the proof of statement (1). \square

Lemma 5.3 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If $G_M(x) = G_M(y)$ for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$ then $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \neq y$, say $x < y$, thus we have $[x, y] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in [x, y] \cap (M \setminus C)$. Then $x \leq a < y$ and $G_M(a) = a$, which deduces that $G_M(x) \leq G_M(a) \leq G_M(y)$ since G_M is a non-decreasing function. If $y \in M \setminus C$, then from Proposition 4.1, $G_M(y) = y$, thus, $G_M(x) \leq a < y = G_M(y)$, a contradiction. If $y \notin M \setminus C$, then there exists a $k \in K$ such that $y \in [b_k, d_k]$ and $G_M(y) = b_k$ or $G_M(y) = d_k$. So that $a < b_k$ since $a \in M \setminus C$ and $a < y$, hence $G_M(a) < G_M(y)$. Therefore, $G_M(x) \leq G_M(a) < G_M(y)$, a contradiction. \square

Note that under the conditions of Lemma 5.3 we can not get $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \cap M = \emptyset$ generally.

Example 5.3 Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}), \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2x & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $M = [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\} \cup (1, 2]$ and $G_M(\frac{3}{8}) = \frac{1}{2} = G_M(\frac{3}{4})$. Thus $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] = [\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{4}]$ and $[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{4}] \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$. However, $[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{4}] \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the converse of Lemma 5.1 is not true generally.

We further have following proposition that is almost the converse of Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 5.4 *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . If the operation \otimes on M is associative then $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist a $y \in M$ and two elements $k, l \in K$ such that $F(I_k^y, M_y) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ or $F(M^y, I_y^k) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ or $J_{k,l}^y \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish three cases as follows.

(i) If $F(I_k^y, M_y) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a $z \in M_y$ such that $F(I_k^y, z) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Thus by the definition of I_k^y , $F(M_k^y, y) \neq \emptyset$. Applying Lemma 5.2, there are a $u \in C$ and a $v \in F(M_k^y, y)$ such that $[\min\{F(u, z), F(v, z)\}, \max\{F(u, z), F(v, z)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, there is an $x \in M_k^y$ such that $F(x, y) = v$ since $v \in F(M_k^y, y)$. Thereby, there are a $u \in C$ and an $x \in M_k^y$ such that $[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(F(x, y), z)\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(F(x, y), z)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, from Lemma 5.3, we get $G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(F(x, y), z))$. On the other hand, from $x \in M_k^y$ we have $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$. Thus $x \otimes y = c_k$ where $M \cap [b_k, d_k] = \{c_k\}$. Again from $z \in M_y$, we get $F(y, z) \in M \setminus C$. This follows $y \otimes z = F(y, z)$. Therefore, $(x \otimes y) \otimes z = G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(F(x, y), z)) = G_M(F(x, F(y, z))) = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$.

(ii) If $F(M^y, I_y^k) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, then in complete analogy to (i), one can check that $(x \otimes y) \otimes z \neq x \otimes (y \otimes z)$.

(iii) If $J_{k,l}^y \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$, then $J_{k,l}^y \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of $J_{k,l}^y$, $F(O(F(M_k^y, c_l) \cup F(c_k, M_y^l)), e) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ where e is a neutral element of F , $F(M_k^y, c_l) \neq \emptyset$ and $F(c_k, M_y^l) \neq \emptyset$. Using Lemma 5.2, there are a $u \in F(c_k, M_y^l)$ and a $v \in F(M_k^y, c_l)$ such that $[\min\{F(u, e), F(v, e)\}, \max\{F(u, e), F(v, e)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Since $u \in F(c_k, M_y^l)$ and $v \in F(M_k^y, c_l)$, there are an $x \in M_k^y$ and a $z \in M_y^l$ such that $u = F(c_k, z)$, $v = F(x, c_l)$. Therefore, there are an $x \in M_k^y$ and a $z \in M_y^l$ such that

$$[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$$

since e is a neutral element of F . Furthermore, by Lemma 5.3 we have $G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(x, c_l))$. On the other hand, from $x \in M_k^y$ we have $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$. Thus $x \otimes y = c_k$ where $M \cap [b_k, d_k] = \{c_k\}$. Again from $z \in M_y^l$, we get $F(y, z) \in [b_l, d_l]$. Thus $y \otimes z = c_l$ where $M \cap [b_l, d_l] = \{c_l\}$. We finally come to $(x \otimes y) \otimes z = G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(x, c_l)) = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$. \square

Generally, the converse of Proposition 5.4 is not true.

Example 5.4 (i) Let $F = x + y$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}), \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x + y \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } (x, y) \in \{0\} \times (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) \times \{0\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that $M = [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cup \{1\}$, $M \setminus C = [0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $\mathfrak{J}(M) = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{2}]$, thus, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$. However, T is not associative.

(ii) Let $F = x + y$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1), \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x + y < 1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that $M = [0, 1) \cup \{2\}$, $M \setminus C = [0, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(M) = [1, 5)$. Thus $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ and T is associative. However, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

With Propositions 5.3 and 5.4, we have following proposition.

Proposition 5.5 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(S, C) = (\{[b_k, d_k] \mid k \in K\}, \{c_k \mid k \in \overline{K}\})$ be associated with M . Then $F(C, M) \cup F(M, C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ implies that the following are equivalent:

- (1) The operation \otimes is associative.
- (2) $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) implying (2) is immediately from Proposition 5.4. Now, suppose that the operation \otimes is not associative. Then by proof of Proposition 5.3, there exist three elements $x, y, z \in M$ such that either $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ or $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$.

If $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \in M \setminus C$ then there is a $k \in K$ such that $F(x, y) \in [b_k, d_k]$. Thus $x \otimes y = c_k$ where $M \cap [b_k, d_k] = \{c_k\}$. Hence $G_M(F(c_k, z)) \neq G_M(F(x, G_M(F(y, z))))$, this together with $F(C, M) \subseteq M \setminus C$ deduces that $[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(x, F(y, z))\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(x, F(y, z))\}] \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Then, by proof of Proposition 5.3 $F(I_{k,l}^y, M_y) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$.

If $F(x, y) \notin M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$, then there exists an $l \in K$ such that $F(y, z) \in [b_l, d_l]$. Hence $y \otimes z = c_l$ where $M \cap [b_l, d_l] = \{c_l\}$. Thus by proof of Proposition 5.3,

$$[\min\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}, \max\{F(c_k, z), F(x, c_l)\}] \subseteq J_{k,l}^y.$$

Therefore, $J_{k,l}^y \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. This follows that $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$.

The case $F(x, y) \in M \setminus C$ and $F(y, z) \notin M \setminus C$ is completely analogous. \square

Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.5 imply the following characteristic theorem that the function T defined by Eq.(5) is associative.

Theorem 5.2 Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by Eq.(5). If $F(C, M) \cup F(M, C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, then the function T is associative if and only if $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M \setminus C = \emptyset$.

In general, the condition $F(C, M) \cup F(M, C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ in Theorem 5.2 can not be dropped .

Example 5.5 (1) Let $F(x, y) = \frac{xy}{2}$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

From Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \frac{xy}{2} \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

One may check that $M = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\mathfrak{J}(M) = \emptyset$ and $F(C, M) = F(M, C) = [0, \frac{1}{8}] \subseteq M \setminus C$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ and T is an associative function.

(2) Let $F(x, y) = x + y + 1$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{1}{1-x} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x + y < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{2-x-y}{3-2x-2y+xy} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that $M = [0, 1) \cup [2, \infty]$, $\mathfrak{J}(M) = [2, 4) \cup (4, \infty]$ and $F(C, M) = F(M, C) = [3, \infty] \subseteq M \setminus C$. Thus, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ and T is not an associative function.

(3) Let $F(x, y) = xy$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{4})^2, \\ 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]^2, \\ \min\{x, y\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that $M = [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cup \{1\}$, $F(C, M) = F(M, C) = [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cup \{1\} \not\subseteq M \setminus C$ and $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = [0, \frac{1}{4})$. However, T is an associative function.

(4) Let $F(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$ and the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1), \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then from Eq.(5),

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{x, y\} & \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1)^2, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One may check that $M = [0, 1) \cup \{2\}$ and $F(C, M) = F(M, C) = \{2\} \not\subseteq M \setminus C$. However, $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap (M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ and T is an associative function.

6 Conclusions

In this article, we proved that the function $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by Eq.(5) is associative if and only if $F(\cup_{k \in K} I_k, M) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $F(M, \cup_{k \in K} I_k) \cap M = \emptyset$ when F is cancellative satisfying $F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ if and only if $\mathfrak{J}(M) \cap M \setminus C = \emptyset$ when $F(C, M) \cup F(M, C) \subseteq M \setminus C$, where $M = \text{Ran}(f)$ with $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a non-decreasing function which satisfies either $f(x) = f(x^+)$ when $f(x^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ or $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for any $y \neq x$ when $f(x^+) \notin \text{Ran}(f)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Example 5.2 shows the condition that F is cancellative satisfying $F(M, M \setminus C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ can not be deleted in general. Meanwhile, Example 5.5 reveals that the condition $F(C, M) \cup F(M, C) \subseteq M \setminus C$ can not be moved generally. It is worth pointing out that one can verify that all the results obtained here hold when f is a non-increasing function. Therefore, our results are applicable for the monotone functions f which satisfy either $f(x) = f(x^+)$ when $f(x^+) \in \text{Ran}(f)$ or $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for any $y \neq x$ when $f(x^+) \notin \text{Ran}(f)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, it is evident that our results are suitable for all monotone right continuous functions and all strictly monotone ones, respectively. Therefore, they generalize the work of Viceník [13] and Zhang and Wang [16]. Comparing with Yao Ouyang [8], our function f needs not to be strictly decreasing and satisfy the formula (3), this means that our results deeply generalize the work of Yao Ouyang [8]. An interesting problem is whether our results can be applied to all monotone functions or not.

References

- [1] N. H. Abel, Untersuchung der Function zweier unabhangig veranderlichen Grossen x und y wie $f(x, y)$, welche die Eigenschaft haben, dass $f(z, f(x, y))$ eine symmetrische Function von x, y und z ist, J. Reine Angew. Math. 1 (1826) 11-15.
- [2] C. Alsina, M. J. Frank, B. Schweizer, Associative Functions: Triangular Norms and Copulas, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006.
- [3] S. Jenei, Fibred triangular norms, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 103 (1999) 67-82.
- [4] E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Triangular Norms, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [5] C. H. Ling, Representation of associative functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 12 (1965) 189-212.
- [6] A. Mesiarova-Zemankova, Continuous triangular subnorms, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 142 (2004) 75-83.
- [7] Y. Ouyang, On the construction of boundary weak triangular norms through additive generators, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007) 125-130.
- [8] Y. Ouyang, J. Y. Fang, Z. J. Zhao, A generalization of additive generator of triangular norms, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 49 (2008) 417-421.
- [9] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Associative functions and statistical triangle inequalities, Publ. Math. Debrecen 8 (1961) 169-186.
- [10] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Associative functions and abstract semigroups, Publ. Math. Debrecen 10 (1963) 69-81.
- [11] P. Vicenık, A note on generators of t-norms, BUSEFAL 75 (1998) 33-38.
- [12] P. Vicenık, Additive generators and discontinuity, BUSEFAL 76 (1998) 25-28.
- [13] P. Vicenık, Additive generators of associative functions, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 153 (2005) 137-160.
- [14] P. Vicenık, Additive generators of border-continuous triangular norms, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 159 (2008) 1631-1645.
- [15] D. X. Zhang, Triangular norms on partially ordered sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 153 (2005) 195-209.
- [16] Y. M. Zhang, X. P. Wang, Characterizations of monotone right continuous functions which generate associative functions, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 477 (2024) 108799.