

# RICCI CURVATURE AND NORMALIZED RICCI FLOW ON GENERALIZED WALLACH SPACES

N. A. ABIEV

ABSTRACT. We proved that the normalized Ricci flow does not preserve the positivity of Ricci curvature of Riemannian metrics on every generalized Wallach space with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ , in particular on the spaces  $SU(k+l+m)/SU(k) \times SU(l) \times SU(m)$  and  $Sp(k+l+m)/Sp(k) \times Sp(l) \times Sp(m)$  independently on  $k, l$  and  $m$ . The positivity of Ricci curvature is preserved for all original metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  on generalized Wallach spaces  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$  if the conditions  $4(a_j + a_k)^2 \geq (1 - 2a_i)(1 + 2a_i)^{-1}$  hold for all  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We also established that the spaces  $SO(k+l+m)/SO(k) \times SO(l) \times SO(m)$  satisfy the above conditions for  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$ , moreover, additional conditions were found to keep  $\text{Ric} > 0$  in cases when  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  is violated. Similar questions have also been studied for all other generalized Wallach spaces given in the classification of Yuriï Nikonorov.

Key words and phrases: generalized Wallach space, invariant Riemannian metric, normalized Ricci flow, sectional curvature, Ricci curvature, dynamical system.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 53C44, 53E20, 37C10.

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper we continue our studies [3, 7] related to the evolution of invariant Riemannian metrics on a class of homogeneous Riemannian spaces called generalized Wallach spaces (GWS) under the normalized Ricci flow (NRF)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{g}(t) = -2 \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}} + 2 \mathbf{g}(t) \frac{S_{\mathbf{g}}}{n}, \quad (1)$$

introduced in [23] by Hamilton, where  $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$  is the Ricci tensor and  $S_{\mathbf{g}}$  is the scalar curvature of an one-parametric family of Riemannian metrics  $\mathbf{g}(t)$  on a given Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ .

According to [27] a generalized Wallach space is a homogeneous almost effective compact space  $G/H$  with a compact semisimple connected Lie group  $G$  and its closed subgroup  $H$  (with corresponding Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ ) such that the isotropy representation of  $G/H$  admits a decomposition into a direct sum  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_3$  of three  $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant irreducible modules  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_3$  satisfying  $[\mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{p}_i] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to a bi-invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -B(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by the Killing form  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any fixed bi-invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the Lie group  $G$ , any  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $\mathbf{g}$  on  $G/H$  can be determined by an  $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = x_1 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + x_2 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{p}_2} + x_3 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{p}_3}, \quad (2)$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are positive real numbers (see [24, 25, 26, 27] and references therein for details). We complete a brief description of these spaces recalling that one of their important characteristics is that every generalized Wallach space can be described by a triple of real numbers  $a_i := A/d_i \in (0, 1/2]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , where  $d_i = \dim(\mathfrak{p}_i)$  and  $A$  is some positive constant (see [25] for details). In [1, 5, 6, 28] classifications of singular (equilibria) points of (1) and their parametric bifurcations are studied on generalized Wallach spaces and other classes of homogeneous spaces. The interesting

facts are that equilibria points are exactly Einstein metrics and vice versa, moreover, the number of singular points and their types (hyperbolic, semi-hyperbolic, nilpotent or linearly zero) are most responsible for evolution of Riemannian metrics under NRF. In [7] we initiated the study of new aspects related to evolution of positively curved Riemannian metrics on GWS.

Historically the classification of homogeneous spaces admitting homogeneous metrics with positive sectional curvature were obtained as a result of a joint effort of Aloff and Wallach [8], Bérard Bergery [11], Berger [14], Wallach [29] and Wilking [30]. Note that Bérard Bergery's classification odd-dimensional case was recently revisited by Wolf and Xu in [32] and a new version of the classification with refined proofs was suggested by Wilking and Ziller in [31]. The classification of homogeneous spaces which admit metrics with positive Ricci curvature was obtained by Berestovskii in [12], see also [13, Section 4.15] where (in particular) homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of positive sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature are described.

Novadays an interesting question is to understand whether the positivity of sectional or (and) Ricci curvature of Riemannian metrics on a given Riemannian manifold is preserved or not when metrics are evolved by the Ricci flow. Cheung and Wallach showed in Theorem 2 in [21] non-maintenance of the sectional curvature for certain metrics on the Wallach spaces

$$W_6 := \text{SU}(3)/T_{\max}, \quad W_{12} := \text{Sp}(3) / \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1), \quad W_{24} := F_4 / \text{Spin}(8)$$

which are the only even-dimensional homogeneous spaces admitting metrics with positive sectional curvature according to [29]. Böhm and Wilking gave an example of metrics of positive sectional curvature which can lose even the positivity of the Ricci curvature. They proved in Theorem 3.1 in [16] that the (normalized) Ricci flow deforms some metrics of positive sectional curvature on  $W_{12}$  into metrics with mixed Ricci curvature. An analogous result was obtained for  $W_{24}$  in Theorem 3 of [21]. In [3, 7] we considered similar questions on a class of generalized Wallach spaces with coincided parameters  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 := a \in (0, 1/2)$  (see Section 1 for definitions). Note that a complete classification of generalized Wallach spaces was obtained by Nikonorov [26]. Chen et al. in [20] obtained similar results with simple  $G$ .

It should be also noted that the Wallach spaces  $W_6, W_{12}$  and  $W_{24}$  are exactly partial cases of generalized Wallach spaces with  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a$  equal to  $1/6, 1/8$  and  $a = 1/9$  respectively.

The following theorems were proved involving the apparatus of dynamical systems and asymptotic analysis (by *generic* metrics we meant metrics satisfying  $x_i \neq x_j \neq x_k \neq x_i, i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ):

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 1 in [7]). *On the Wallach spaces  $W_6, W_{12}$ , and  $W_{24}$ , the normalized Ricci flow evolves all generic metrics with positive sectional curvature into metrics with mixed sectional curvature.*

Using the same tools the following results were obtained about the Ricci curvature:

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 3 in [7]). *Let  $G/H$  be a generalized Wallach space with  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 =: a$ , where  $a \in (0, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1/2)$ . If  $a < 1/6$ , then the normalized Ricci flow evolves all generic metrics with positive Ricci curvature into metrics with mixed Ricci curvature. If  $a \in (1/6, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1/2)$ , then the normalized Ricci flow evolves all generic metrics into metrics with positive Ricci curvature.*

**Theorem 3** (Theorem 4 in [7]). *Let  $G/H$  be a generalized Wallach space with  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1/6$ . Suppose that it is supplied with the invariant Riemannian metric (2) such that  $x_k < x_i + x_j$  for all indices with  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then the normalized Ricci flow on  $G/H$  with this metric as the initial point, preserves the positivity of the Ricci curvature.*

**Theorem 4** (Theorem 3 in [3]). *The normalized Ricci flow on a generalized Wallach space  $G/H$   $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1/4$  evolves all generic metrics into metrics with positive Ricci curvature.*

Recent time there are some new results on evolution of positively curved Riemannian metrics via Ricci flow. Among them Bettiol and Krishnan showed in [15] that Ricci flow does not preserve positive sectional curvature of Riemannian metrics on  $S^4$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Some extended results on positive intermediate curvatures for an infinite series of homogeneous spaces  $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n-1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$  was obtained in [22] by González-Álvaro and Zarei, where the space  $W_{12}$  is included as the first member corresponding to  $n = 2$ . The group of authors Cavenaghi et al. proved in [19] that  $\mathrm{SU}(3)/T_{\max}$  admits metrics of positive intermediate Ricci curvature losing positivity under Ricci flow. They established similar properties for a family of homogeneous spaces  $\mathrm{SU}(m+2p)/\mathrm{SU}(m) \times \mathrm{SU}(p) \times \mathrm{SU}(p)$  as well. In this paper we consider generalized Wallach spaces  $\mathrm{SO}(k+l+m)/\mathrm{SO}(k) \times \mathrm{SO}(l) \times \mathrm{SO}(m)$ ,  $\mathrm{SU}(k+l+m)/\mathrm{SU}(k) \times \mathrm{SU}(l) \times \mathrm{SU}(m)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(k+l+m)/\mathrm{Sp}(k) \times \mathrm{Sp}(l) \times \mathrm{Sp}(m)$  and other ones listed in Table 1 in accordance with [26], where GWS  $\mathbf{n}$  means a generalized Wallach space with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  positioned in line  $\mathbf{n}$ . From the geometrical point of view only a countable number of natural triples  $(k, l, m)$ , which correspond to actual generalized Wallach spaces, can be interesting for us. Knowing that not every triple  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (0, 1/2)^3$  can correspond to some generalized Wallach spaces, nevertheless we will consider the problem in a more global context for any reals  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ , using an opportunity to involve fruitful methods of continuous mathematics. Such an approach justified itself introducing in [5, 6] a special algebraic surface defined by a polynomial of degree 12 in three real variables  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$ , which provides degenerate singular points to a three-dimensional dynamical system obtained as a reduction of the normalized Ricci flow on generalized Wallach spaces. Topological characteristics of that surface such as the number of connected components was found by [2], and a deeper structural analysis was given in [9, 10, 17, 18]. It should be also noted that in [4] results of Theorem 1 were generalized to the case  $a \in (0, 1/2)$  considering (3) as an abstract dynamical system. In the present paper we extend Theorems 2–4 to the case of arbitrary  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ . Our main results are contained in Theorems 5–7 and Corollary 1.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G/H$  be a generalized Wallach space with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ . Then the normalized Ricci flow evolves some metrics (2) with positive Ricci curvature into metrics with mixed Ricci curvature.*

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $G/H$  be a generalized Wallach space with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ .*

- (1) *The normalized Ricci flow (1) evolves all metrics (2) with positive Ricci curvature into metrics with positive Ricci curvature if  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ ,*
- (2) *At least some metrics (2) with positive Ricci curvature can be evolved into metrics with positive Ricci curvature, if  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  fails,*

where  $\theta := a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - 1/2 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\theta_i := a_i - 1/2 + \sqrt{(1 - 2a_i)(1 + 2a_i)^{-1}}/2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Note that  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  is equivalent to  $4(a_j + a_k)^2 \geq (1 - 2a_i)(1 + 2a_i)^{-1}$  announced in abstract for all  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

For the spaces  $\mathrm{SO}(k+l+m)/\mathrm{SO}(k) \times \mathrm{SO}(l) \times \mathrm{SO}(m)$  (GWS 1 in Table 1) which satisfy  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$  we proved the following special theorem under the assumptions  $k \geq l \geq m > 1$  based on symmetry.

**Theorem 7.** *The following assertions hold for  $\mathrm{SO}(k+l+m)/\mathrm{SO}(k) \times \mathrm{SO}(l) \times \mathrm{SO}(m)$ ,  $k \geq l \geq m > 1$  (see also Table 2):*

- (1) *The normalized Ricci flow (1) evolves all metrics (2) with  $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$  into metrics with  $\mathrm{Ric} > 0$  if either  $k \leq 11$  or one of the conditions  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  or  $l + m \geq Y(k)$  is satisfied for  $k \in \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}$ ,*

**Table 1.** The list of generalized Wallach spaces  $G/H$  with  $G$  simple according to [26]

GWS	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$\theta$
1	$\mathfrak{so}(k+l+m)$	$\mathfrak{so}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(l) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m)$	$\frac{k}{2(k+l+m-2)}$	$\frac{l}{2(k+l+m-2)}$	$\frac{m}{2(k+l+m-2)}$	$\frac{1}{k+l+m-2}$
2	$\mathfrak{su}(k+l+m)$	$\mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(l) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(m)$	$\frac{k}{2(k+l+m)}$	$\frac{l}{2(k+l+m)}$	$\frac{m}{2(k+l+m)}$	0
3	$\mathfrak{sp}(k+l+m)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(l) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(m)$	$\frac{k}{2(k+l+m+1)}$	$\frac{l}{2(k+l+m+1)}$	$\frac{m}{2(k+l+m+1)}$	$\frac{-1}{2(k+l+m+1)}$
4	$\mathfrak{su}(2l), l \geq 2$	$\mathfrak{u}(l)$	$\frac{l+1}{4l}$	$\frac{l-1}{4l}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1/4
5	$\mathfrak{so}(2l), l \geq 4$	$\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(l-1)$	$\frac{l-2}{4(l-1)}$	$\frac{l-2}{4(l-1)}$	$\frac{1}{2(l-1)}$	0
6	$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus 2\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	1/4	1/4	1/6	1/6
7	$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$	1/6	1/6	1/6	0
8	$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	1/4	1/8	7/24	1/6
9	$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus 3\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	2/9	2/9	2/9	1/6
10	$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	2/9	1/6	5/18	1/6
11	$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$	5/18	5/18	5/18	1/3
12	$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus 2\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	1/5	1/5	4/15	1/6
13	$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(8)$	4/15	4/15	4/15	3/10
14	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus 2\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	5/18	5/18	1/9	1/6
15	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$	1/9	1/9	1/9	-1/6

(2) The normalized Ricci flow (1) evolves some metrics (2) with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  into metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  if  $X(k) < l+m < Y(k)$  for  $k \geq 12$ .

(3) There exists a finite number of GWS 1 on which any metric with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  maintains  $\text{Ric} > 0$  under NRF (1). But there are infinitely (countably) many GWS 1 on which  $\text{Ric} > 0$  can be kept at least for some metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$ ,

where  $X(k) = \frac{2k(k-2)}{k+2+\sqrt{k^2-12k+4}} - k + 2$ ,  $Y(k) = \frac{2k(k-2)}{k+2-\sqrt{k^2-12k+4}} - k + 2$  and  $2 < X(k) < Y(k)$  for all  $k \geq 12$ .

**Table 2.** Behavior of NRF (1) on GWS 1 for  $k \geq 12$  and  $k \geq \max\{l, m\}$ 

	$X(k) < l+m < Y(k)$	$2 < l+m \leq X(k)$ or $l+m \geq Y(k)$
$12 \leq k \leq 16$	Some metrics with $\text{Ric} > 0$ remain $\text{Ric} > 0$	All metrics with $\text{Ric} > 0$ remain $\text{Ric} > 0$
$k \geq 17$	Some metrics with $\text{Ric} > 0$ remain $\text{Ric} > 0$	—

Theorems 5 and 6 imply

**Corollary 1.** *Under the normalized Ricci flow (1) the positivity of the Ricci curvature*

- (1) *is not preserved on GWS 2, 3, 5, 7, 15;*
- (2) *is preserved on GWS 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.*

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In [25] the following explicit expressions  $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{r}_1 \text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \mathbf{r}_2 \text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{p}_2} + \mathbf{r}_3 \text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{p}_3}$  and  $S_{\mathbf{g}} = d_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{r}_2 + d_3 \mathbf{r}_3$  were found for the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$  and the scalar curvature  $S_{\mathbf{g}}$  of the metric (2) on a generalized Wallach space  $G/H$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_i := \frac{1}{2x_i} + \frac{a_i}{2} \left( \frac{x_i}{x_j x_k} - \frac{x_k}{x_i x_j} - \frac{x_j}{x_i x_k} \right)$  are the principal Ricci curvatures,  $d_i$  are the dimensions of the modules  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  such that  $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = n$  and  $a_i$  are real numbers in the interval  $(0, 1/2]$  for  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Substituting the above mentioned expressions for  $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$  and  $S_{\mathbf{g}}$  into (1) it can be reduced to the following system of three ordinary differential equations studied in [5, 6]:

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad \frac{dx_3}{dt} = f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (3)$$

where  $x_i = x_i(t) > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), are parameters of the invariant metric (2) and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -1 - a_1 x_1 \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2 x_3} - \frac{x_2}{x_1 x_3} - \frac{x_3}{x_1 x_2} \right) + x_1 B, \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -1 - a_2 x_2 \left( \frac{x_2}{x_1 x_3} - \frac{x_3}{x_1 x_2} - \frac{x_1}{x_2 x_3} \right) + x_2 B, \\ f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -1 - a_3 x_3 \left( \frac{x_3}{x_1 x_2} - \frac{x_1}{x_2 x_3} - \frac{x_2}{x_1 x_3} \right) + x_3 B, \end{aligned}$$

$$B := \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{a_i x_i} - \sum_{\substack{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\} \\ j < k}} \frac{x_i}{x_j x_k} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i^{-1} \right)^{-1}.$$

Recall that the function  $\text{Vol} = c$  is a first integral of the system (3) for any  $c > 0$ , where  $\text{Vol} := x_1^{1/a_1} x_2^{1/a_2} x_3^{1/a_3}$  (see [4]). The surface  $\text{Vol} = 1$  is important which corresponds to parameters of unite volume metrics. Denote it  $\Sigma$ . The case  $\text{Vol} = c$  could actually be reduced to the case  $c = 1$  using homogeneity of the functions  $f_i$  and autonomy property in (3). This could be made by introducing new variables  $x_i = \tilde{x}_i \sqrt[3]{c}$  and  $t = \tau \sqrt[3]{c}$ , then we get a new system of ODEs in new functions  $\tilde{x}_i(\tau)$  but of the same form as the original one (3) (see also [4]). Therefore we can consider metrics with  $\text{Vol} = 1$  without loss of generality. Being a first integral of the system (3) the identity  $\text{Vol} = 1$  allows to reduce (3) to the following system of two ODEs (see details in [5]):

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \tilde{f}_1(x_1, x_2), \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = \tilde{f}_2(x_1, x_2), \quad (4)$$

where  $\tilde{f}_i(x_1, x_2) := f_i(x_1, x_2, \varphi(x_1, x_2))$ ,  $\varphi(x_1, x_2) := x_1^{-a_3/a_1} x_2^{-a_3/a_2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Introduce surfaces  $\Lambda_i$  in  $(0, +\infty)^3$  defined by the equations  $\lambda_i := a_i (x_i^2 - x_j^2 - x_k^2) + x_j x_k = 0$  (equivalent to  $\mathbf{r}_i = 0$ ) for  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Denote by  $R$  the set of points  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (0, +\infty)^3$  satisfying the inequalities  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}} > 0$  is equivalent to  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Introduce the parameter  $\omega := (a_i^{-1} + a_j^{-1} + a_k^{-1})^{-1}$ . We will often use real roots

$$m_i = m(a_i) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2}}{2a_i} \quad \text{and} \quad M_i = M(a_i) := \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2}}{2a_i} \quad (5)$$

of the quadratic equation  $t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1 = 0$  which depend on the parameter  $a_i$ . Clearly  $M_i = m_i^{-1}$ . We also need the following obvious inequalities for all  $a_i \in (0, 1/2)$ :

$$0 < a_i < m_i < 2a_i < 1 < \frac{1}{2a_i} < M_i < \frac{1}{a_i}. \quad (6)$$




FIGURE 1. The cones  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_3$  (in yellow, aquamarine and burlywood colors) at  $a_1 = 5/26, a_2 = 4/26, a_3 = 3/26$



## 2.1. Structural properties of some surfaces and curves related to the set of metrics $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For an arbitrary generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  the set  $R$  is bounded by the conic surfaces  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_3$ , each consisting of two connected components. Moreover, for all  $a_i, a_j \in (0, 1/2)$  the cones  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  intersect each other along two straight lines: the first of them is defined by  $x_i = x_j, x_k = 0$ , and the second one has an equation*

$$x_i = up, \quad x_j = vp, \quad x_k = p > 0, \quad \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$u = \Phi(a_i, a_j) := \begin{cases} \phi(a_i, a_j), & \text{if } a_i \neq a_j, \\ a_j, & \text{if } a_i = a_j, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$v = \Psi(a_i, a_j) := \begin{cases} \psi(a_i, a_j), & \text{if } a_i \neq a_j, \\ a_i, & \text{if } a_i = a_j, \end{cases}$$

with  $\phi(a_i, a_j) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{4a_i^2 - 1 + \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}}}{a_i^2 - a_j^2} a_j > 0$ ,  $\psi(a_i, a_j) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{4a_j^2 - 1 + \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}}}{a_j^2 - a_i^2} a_i > 0$  and  $\Delta_{ij} := (1 - 4a_i^2)(1 - 4a_j^2) > 0$ . See Fig. 1 for illustrations.

**Proof.** The inequality  $\lambda_i = a_i(x_i^2 - x_j^2 - x_k^2) + x_j x_k > 0$  is equivalent to  $x_i^2 > T := x_j^2 - a_i^{-1} x_j x_k + x_k^2$ . Any  $x_i > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda_i > 0$  if  $T \leq 0$ . If  $T > 0$  then  $\lambda_i > 0$  is equivalent to  $x_i > \sqrt{T}$ . Considering  $T > 0$  as a quadratic inequality with respect to  $x_j$  which admits solutions  $0 < x_j < m_i x_k$  or  $x_j > M_i x_k$  we conclude that the set  $R$  is bounded by the coordinate planes  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0$  and by two components of the cone  $\Lambda_i$ , defined by the same equation  $x_i = \sqrt{T}$  in two different domains  $\{x_k > 0, 0 < x_j < m_i x_k\}$  and  $\{x_k > 0, x_j > M_i x_k\}$  of the coordinate plane  $(x_k, x_j)$ .

Due to symmetry analogous assertions can be obtained for the surfaces  $\Lambda_j$  and  $\Lambda_k$  using permutations of indices. Thus we established that  $R$  is bounded by the cones  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_3$ . To find common points of the surfaces  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  consider the system of equations  $\lambda_i = a_i(x_i^2 - x_j^2 - x_k^2) + x_j x_k = 0$  and  $\lambda_j = a_j(x_j^2 - x_i^2 - x_k^2) + x_i x_k = 0$ . We can pass to a new system  $a_i(u^2 - v^2 - 1) + v = 0$ ,  $a_j(v^2 - u^2 - 1) + u = 0$ , where  $x_i = ux_k, x_j = vx_k$ . It follows then  $a_i u + a_j v - 2a_i a_j = 0$  or  $v = a_i a_j^{-1}(2a_j - u)$ . Substituting this expression into one of the previous equations, we obtain a quadratic equation with respect to  $u$ :

$$(a_i^2 - a_j^2)u^2 - (4a_i^2 - 1)a_j u + (4a_i^2 - 1)a_j^2 = 0.$$

The case  $a_i = a_j$  leads to a solution  $u = a_j$ . It follows then  $v = a_i$ .

Assume that  $a_i \neq a_j$ . The case  $a_i > a_j$ . Then  $4a_i^2 - 1 + \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} > 0$  and the latter quadratic equation admits a positive root  $u^{(1)}$ , equal to  $\phi(a_i, a_j)$  shown in (8). Another root  $u^{(2)}$ , associated with the summand  $-\sqrt{\Delta_{ij}}$  is out of our interest because of  $4a_i^2 - 1 - \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} = -(1 - 4a_i^2 + \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}}) < 0$  independently on  $a_i$  and  $a_j$ . Substituting  $u^{(1)} = \phi(a_i, a_j)$  into  $v^{(1)} = a_i a_j^{-1}(2a_j - u^{(1)})$  gives  $v^{(1)} = \psi(a_i, a_j)$  in (8).

The case  $a_i < a_j$ . In this case  $u^{(1)} > 0$  since  $4a_i^2 - 1 + \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} < 0$ . The second root  $u^{(2)}$  we reject again although it is positive, because the corresponding  $v^{(2)}$  occurs negative.

Summing  $a_j \lambda_i = 0$  and  $a_i \lambda_j = 0$  we can find another family of solutions  $x_i = x_j, x_k = 0$  of the system of  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_j = 0$  which will also be useful in sequel. It should be noted that there are

also negative solutions of the system of  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_j = 0$  non permissible for our aims. Lemma 1 is proved. ■

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that  $0 < a_j < a_i < 1/2$ . Then  $u$  and  $v$  in (8) satisfy  $0 < \tilde{u} < 1 < \tilde{v}$ , where  $\tilde{u} := ua_j^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{v} := va_i^{-1}$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $a_i \neq a_j$  both of the inequalities  $\tilde{u} < 1$  and  $\tilde{v} > 1$  are equivalent to  $\sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} < 1 - 2(a_i^2 + a_j^2)$  or the same  $(a_i - a_j)^2(a_i + a_j)^2 > 0$  after raising to square based on  $1 - 2(a_i^2 + a_j^2) > 0$ . Lemma 2 is proved. ■

Denote by  $\Lambda_{ij}$  and  $\Lambda_{ji}$  connected components of the cones  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  respectively, which contain the common straight line (7). For components of  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  meeting each other along  $x_i = x_j$ ,  $x_k = 0$  accept notations  $\Lambda_{ik}$  and  $\Lambda_{jk}$  respectively. Then each curve  $r_i$  formed as  $\Sigma \cap \Lambda_i$  consists of two connected components  $r_{ij} := \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik} := \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{ik}$ . By analogy  $r_j := \Sigma \cap \Lambda_j = r_{ji} \cup r_{jk}$ , where  $r_{ji} := \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{ji}$  and  $r_{jk} := \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{jk}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For an arbitrary generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  each curve  $r_i = \Lambda_i \cap \Sigma$  consists of two connected components  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik}$ , which are smooth curves and can be represented by parametric equations*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \phi_i(t) := t^{-\omega a_j^{-1}} \left( \sqrt{t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1} \right)^{\omega(a_j^{-1} + a_k^{-1})}, \\ x_j &= \phi_j(t) := tx_k, \\ x_k &= \phi_k(t) := \left( \sqrt{t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1} \right)^{-1} x_i \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

defined for  $t \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t \in (M_i, +\infty)$  respectively, where  $\omega = (a_i^{-1} + a_j^{-1} + a_k^{-1})^{-1}$  and  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} x_i &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} x_k = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} x_j = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow m_i-} x_j = \lim_{t \rightarrow m_i-} x_k = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow m_i-} x_i = 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow M_i+} x_j &= \lim_{t \rightarrow M_i+} x_k = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow M_i+} x_i = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_j = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_k = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Substituting  $x_j = tx_k$  into the equation  $a_i(x_i^2 - x_j^2 - x_k^2) + x_j x_k = 0$  of  $\Lambda_i$  and solving the quadratic equation  $(t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1)x_k^2 = x_i^2$  with respect to  $x_k > 0$ , we obtain the third expression in (9). Then the expression for  $x_i$  is obtained using  $\text{Vol} = x_i^{1/a_i} x_j^{1/a_j} x_k^{1/a_k} = 1$ .

As it follows from Lemma 1, the curve  $r_i = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_i$  consists of two components  $r_{ij} = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik} = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_{ik}$ . This fact is also clear from  $t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1 = (t - m_i)(t - M_i) > 0$ ,  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$ , where  $m_i = m(a_i)$  and  $M_i = M(a_i)$  are functions given in (5). The components  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik}$  are connected curves being continuous images of the connected sets  $(0, m_i)$  and  $(M_i, +\infty)$ . Moreover since  $x_i = \phi_i(t)$ ,  $x_j = \phi_j(t)$  and  $x_k = \phi_k(t)$  are differentiable functions of  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$ , the components  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik}$  are smooth curves. Recall that analogous parametric equations can be obtained for the curves  $r_j = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_j$  and  $r_k = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_k$  using permutations of the indices  $i, j$  and  $k$  in (9).

Let us study behavior of the curves  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik}$  in neighborhoods of the boundaries of the intervals  $(0, m_i)$  and  $(M_i, +\infty)$ . Clearly  $x_i(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0+$  and  $x_i(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow m_i-$  or  $t \rightarrow M_i+$ . Analogously  $x_i = \phi_i(t) \sim t^{-\omega a_j^{-1}} t^{\omega(a_j^{-1} + a_k^{-1})} = t^{\omega a_k^{-1}} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $1 - \omega(a_j^{-1} + a_k^{-1}) >$



0, we easily can find the limits in (10) for  $x_j = \phi_j(t) = t^{1-\omega a_j^{-1}} \left( \sqrt{(t-m_i)(t-M_i)} \right)^{-1+\omega(a_j^{-1}+a_k^{-1})}$  and  $x_k = \phi_k(t) = t^{-\omega a_j^{-1}} \left( \sqrt{(t-m_i)(t-M_i)} \right)^{-1+\omega(a_j^{-1}+a_k^{-1})}$ . Lemma 3 is proved. ■




FIGURE 2. The curves  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  (in blue, teal, red colors) after removing extra pieces, the curves  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  (steel blue dash lines) and the points  $P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}$  (in red, teal, blue colors) at  $a_1 = 5/26, a_2 = 4/26, a_3 = 3/26$

**Lemma 4.** For each pair of the curves  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  corresponding to an arbitrary generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  the curve  $I_k$  defined by the equations

$$x_i = x_j = \tau > 0, \quad x_k = \tau^{-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}, \quad \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (11)$$

does not intersect their components  $r_{ik}$  and  $r_{jk}$ , but approximates them as accurately as desired at infinity, at the same time  $I_k$  intersects both  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  on their components  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ji}$ . In

addition,  $I_k$  can not intersect the curve  $r_k$ , moreover,  $r_k$  moves away from  $I_k$  in both directions as  $\tau \rightarrow 0+$  and  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . See Fig. 2 for illustrations.

**Proof.**  $I_k$  is exactly the curve that belongs to the surface  $\Sigma$  and have a projection  $x_i = x_j$  on the coordinate plane  $x_k = 0$ . Substituting  $x_i = x_j = \tau$  into the equation  $x_i^{1/a_i} x_j^{1/a_j} x_k^{1/a_k} = 1$  of  $\Sigma$  we find  $x_k$  given in (11). Substituting the expressions (11) into the functions  $\lambda_i = a_i (x_i^2 - x_j^2 - x_k^2) + x_j x_k$  and  $\lambda_j = a_j (x_j^2 - x_i^2 - x_k^2) + x_i x_k$  (recall that the cones  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  are defined by  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_j = 0$  respectively) we obtain

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\tau) = \frac{1 - a_i \tau^{-1-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}}{2\tau}, \quad \lambda_j = \lambda_j(\tau) = \frac{1 - a_j \tau^{-1-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}}{2\tau}.$$

In what follows that  $I_k$  intersects the curve  $r_i = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_i$  at the unique point with coordinates  $x_i^0 = x_j^0 = \tau_0$ ,  $x_k^0 = \tau_0^{-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}$  where  $\tau_0 = a_i^{(1+a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1}))^{-1}}$  is the unique root of the equation  $\lambda_i(\tau) = 0$ . Using Lemma 3, write out the equation  $\tau_0 = x_j^0 = t x_k^0 = t \tau_0^{-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}$  admitting the unique root  $t = t_k := \tau_0^{1+a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} = a_i$ , at which the mentioned point  $I_k \cap r_i$  belongs to  $r_i$ . The inequalities  $0 < t_k = a_i < m_i$  (see (6)) imply that  $I_k$  must intersect  $r_i$  on its component  $r_{ij}$ . Since such an intersection with  $r_i$  happens only once, we conclude that  $I_k$  can not intersect the component  $r_{ik}$ . Moreover, the value of the limit  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} (\tau^{-1} - a_i \tau^{-2-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}) = 0$  shows that  $r_{ik}$  can be approximated by  $I_k$  at infinity as accurately as desired.

From the analysis of the function  $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(\tau)$ , similar conclusions will follow regarding the components  $r_{ji}$  and  $r_{jk}$  of the curve  $r_j$ . For the curve  $r_k$  we have  $\lambda_k(\tau) = \frac{a_k \tau^{-2a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} + (1-2a_k)\tau^2}{2\tau^{2-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})}}$ .

The equation  $\lambda_k(\tau) = 0$  can not admit any solution due to positiveness of the numerator of the latter fraction. Therefore  $I_k$  never cross  $r_k$ . Moreover, they move away from each other as much as desired in both directions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k(\tau) &= \frac{a_k}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \tau^{-2-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} + \frac{1-2a_k}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \tau^{a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} = 0 + \infty = +\infty, \\ \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \lambda_k(\tau) &= \frac{a_k}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \tau^{-2-a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} + \frac{1-2a_k}{2} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \tau^{a_k(a_i^{-1}+a_j^{-1})} = +\infty + 0 = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 is proved. ■

**Lemma 5.** For any generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  the following assertions hold:

- (1) For each pair of the curves  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  (respectively  $r_k$ ) their components  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ji}$  (respectively  $r_{ik}$  and  $r_{ki}$ ) admits exactly one common point  $P_{ij}$  (respectively  $P_{ik}$ ) with coordinates  $x_i = \alpha p^0$ ,  $x_j = \beta p^0$ ,  $x_k = p^0$  (respectively  $x_i = \gamma q^0$ ,  $x_k = \delta q^0$ ,  $x_j = q^0$ ), where

$$\begin{aligned} p^0 &:= \alpha^{-\omega a_i^{-1}} \beta^{-\omega a_j^{-1}}, & \alpha &:= \Phi(a_i, a_j), & \beta &:= \Psi(a_i, a_j), \\ q^0 &:= \gamma^{-\omega a_i^{-1}} \delta^{-\omega a_k^{-1}}, & \gamma &:= \Phi(a_i, a_k), & \delta &:= \Psi(a_i, a_k) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with the functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  defined in (8),  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , whereas their other components  $r_{ik}$  and  $r_{jk}$  (respectively  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{kj}$ ) are disjoint approximating each other at infinity as close as desired (see Fig. 2).

- (2) The values  $t_{ij}$  and  $t_{ik}$  of the parameter  $t$ , which correspond to the points  $P_{ij}$  and  $P_{ik}$  at the parametrization (9), can be found by the formula

$$t_{ij} = \beta, \quad t_{ik} = \delta^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

In addition  $a_i \leq t_{ij} < m(a_i)$  and  $M(a_i) < t_{ik} \leq a_i^{-1}$  for all  $a_i, a_j, a_k \in (0, 1/2)$ .

**Proof.** (1) By definition  $r_i = \Lambda_i \cap \Sigma$  and  $r_j = \Lambda_j \cap \Sigma$ . It follows then  $r_i \cap r_j = (\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j) \cap \Sigma$ , and hence, the problem of finding  $r_i \cap r_j$  reduces to searching for possible common points of the straight line  $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j$  with the surface  $\Sigma$ . As it was proved in Lemma 1, the intersection  $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j$  equal to  $\Lambda_{ij} \cap \Lambda_{ji}$  is the straight line  $x_i = \alpha p$ ,  $x_j = \beta p$ ,  $x_k = p$ . Substituting these expressions into the equation  $x_i^{1/a_i} x_j^{1/a_j} x_k^{1/a_k} = 1$  of  $\Sigma$  we obtain the following equation regarding to the parameter  $p$ :

$$\alpha^{1/a_i} \beta^{1/a_j} p^{1/a_i + 1/a_j + 1/a_k} = 1.$$

This yields coordinates  $x_i = \alpha p^0$ ,  $x_j = \beta p^0$ ,  $x_k = p^0$  of an unique point which belong to  $r_{ij} \cap r_{ji} = (\Lambda_{ij} \cap \Lambda_{ji}) \cap \Sigma$  for  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $p^0$  exposed in (12). Let us denote that point by  $P_{ij}$ . Thus  $\{P_{ij}\} = r_{ij} \cap r_{ji} = r_i \cap r_j$ . Repeating the same reasoning for the curves  $r_i$  and  $r_k$ , we find coordinates  $x_i = \gamma q^0$ ,  $x_k = \delta q^0$ ,  $x_j = q^0$  of the unique point  $P_{ik} \in r_{ik} \cap r_{ki} = r_i \cap r_k$ .

In order to highlight disjoint components of the curves  $r_i$  and  $r_j$ , recall another common line  $x_i = x_j$ ,  $x_k = 0$  of the cones  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  (more precisely, the common line of their components  $\Lambda_{ik}$  and  $\Lambda_{jk}$ ), mentioned in Lemma 1. The components  $r_{ik}$  and  $r_{jk}$  are situated on opposite sides of  $I_k$ , therefore they can not admit a common point. Moreover,  $r_{ik}$  and  $r_{jk}$  approximate the same curve  $I_k$  at infinity by Lemma 4, therefore at infinity they approximate each other.

(2) Assume that  $r_i$  is parameterized as in Lemma 3. Let  $t = t_{ij}$  be a value of  $t$  which corresponds to the point  $P_{ij}$  on  $r_{ij}$ . The coordinates of  $P_{ij}$  are  $x_i = \alpha p^0$ ,  $x_j = \beta p^0$ ,  $x_k = p^0$  as we established. Then the equalities  $\phi_j(t) = \beta p^0$ ,  $\phi_k(t) = p^0$  and  $\phi_j(t) = t \phi_k(t)$  in (9) easily imply  $t_{ij} = \beta := \Psi(a_i, a_j)$ .

Now we claim that  $t_{ij} \in [a_i, m_i)$  for all  $a_i, a_j \in (0, 1/2)$ .

Indeed if  $a_i = a_j$  for  $i \neq j$  then  $t_{ij} = a_i$  obviously.

If  $a_i \neq a_j$  then assume  $a_i > a_j$  without loss of generality. Then Lemma 2 implies  $t_{ij} > a_i$ . The inequality  $t_{ij} < m_i$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 4a_j^2) a_i^2 - a_i^2 \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} &< (a_i^2 - a_j^2) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2}\right) \\ \Leftrightarrow a_i^2 - a_j^2 &< a_i^2 \sqrt{1 - 4a_j^2} - a_j^2 \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2} \Leftrightarrow (a_i^2 - a_j^2)^2 < \left(a_i^2 \sqrt{1 - 4a_j^2} - a_j^2 \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2}\right)^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\Delta_{ij}} < 1 - 2(a_i^2 + a_j^2) \Leftrightarrow (a_i - a_j)^2 (a_i + a_j)^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t_{ij} \in (a_i, m_i)$  for  $a_i \neq a_j$ . Coordinates  $x_i = \gamma q^0$ ,  $x_k = \delta q^0$ ,  $x_j = q^0$  of the point  $P_{ik}$  can be clarified by the same way. The value  $t_{ik} = \delta^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Psi(a_i, a_k)}$  is obtained as an unique root of the equation  $q^0 = \phi_j(t) = t \phi_k(t) = t \delta q^0$  (see (8)).

Analogously  $t_{ik} \in (M_i, a_i^{-1}]$ . Indeed if  $a_i = a_k$  then  $t_{ik} = \Psi(a_i, a_k)^{-1} = a_i^{-1} > M_i$  due to (6).

If  $a_i \neq a_k$  then assume  $a_i > a_k$ . The inequality  $t_{ik} < a_i^{-1}$  is clear from Lemma 2. The inequality  $t_{ik} > M_i$  can be established in similar way as above. Lemma 5 is proved. ■

**Remark 1.** It is advisable to remove extra pieces of the curves  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$ , retaining after their pairwise intersections. In fact those extra pieces do not belong to the boundary of the domain  $R$ . Let us consider the example of the curve  $r_1$  which intersects  $r_2$  on the unique point  $P_{12}(\alpha p^0, \beta p^0, p^0)$  known from Lemma 5, where  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $p^0$  are defined by formulas (8) and (12) at  $i = 1, j = 2$ . An

analysis of (9) and (10) shows that the interval  $[t_{12}, m_1)$  corresponds to the extra piece of  $r_{12}$  behind the point  $P_{12}$ , where  $t_{12}$  can be found by (13). Therefore  $(0, t_{12}]$  should be taken as an interval of parametrization for the component  $r_{12}$  instead of the original interval  $(0, m_1)$ . Similarly, the interval  $(M_1, +\infty)$  corresponding to  $r_{13}$  before its intersection with  $r_{31}$  at the point  $P_{13}(\gamma q^0, q^0, \delta q^0)$ , will be reduced to  $[t_{13}, +\infty)$ , where  $\gamma, \delta$  and  $q^0$  are defined by formulas (8) and (12) at  $i = 1, j = 3$ .

**2.2. Normals to cones and the vector field associated with the differential system.** To study the behavior of trajectories of the system (3) we need the sign of the standard inner product

$$(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) = f_i \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_i} + f_j \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_j} + f_k \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_k}$$

on an arbitrarily chosen curve  $r_i$ , where  $\nabla \lambda_i$  is the normal to the surface  $\Lambda_i$  evaluated along  $r_i$  and  $\mathbf{V} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$  is the vector field associated with the differential system (3).

**Lemma 6.** *For any generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, 3$  the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i$  of the surface  $\Lambda_i$  is directed inside  $R$  at every point of the curve  $r_i$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $\Lambda_i \subset \partial(R)$ . It suffices to consider points of  $r_i$ . Using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{a_i (t - m_i) (t - M_i)}{t x_i^2} > 0$$

for all  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  and all  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$ , where  $m_i$  and  $M_i$  are roots of  $t^2 - a_i^{-1}t + 1 = 0$  known from (5). This means that the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i := \left( \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_3} \right)$  is directed into  $R$  at every point of the curve  $r_i$  on the surface  $\Lambda_i \subset \partial(R)$ , because of points of  $R$  lie above the surface  $\Lambda_i$  in the coordinate system  $(i, j, k)$  according to Lemma 1. Note also that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\sqrt{(t - m_i)(t - M_i)}}{2t x_i^2} (2a_i t - 1), \quad \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\sqrt{(t - m_i)(t - M_i)}}{2t x_i^2} (t - 2a_i).$$

Since the inequalities  $0 < m_i < 2a_i < \frac{1}{2a_i} < M_i$  hold for all  $a_i \in (0, 1/2)$  (see (6)), we have  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_j} > 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_k} < 0$  for  $t \in (0, m_i)$ . Respectively,  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_j} < 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_k} > 0$  on the component  $r_{ik}$  corresponding to the interval  $(M_i, +\infty)$ .

Similar reasonings can be repeated for  $\Lambda_j$  and  $\Lambda_k$ .

Everything that was established here also holds for the corresponding „working“ subintervals  $(0, t_{ij}] \subset (0, m_i)$  and  $[t_{ik}, +\infty) \subset (M_i, +\infty)$  for  $t_{ij}$  and  $t_{ik}$  evaluated in Lemma 5. Lemma 6 is proved. ■

**Lemma 7.** *For an arbitrary generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and for all  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$  the sign of the inner product  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i)$  evaluated at an arbitrary point of the curve  $r_i$  coincides with the sign of the polynomial*

$$h(t) = c_0 t^4 + c_1 t^3 + c_2 t^2 + c_1 t + c_0 \tag{14}$$

with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &:= a_i^2 (1 - 2a_i + 2a_j + 2a_k) (2a_i + 2a_j + 2a_k - 1), \\ c_1 &:= a_i (1 - 2a_i)^3 - 4a_i (4a_i^2 + 1) (a_j + a_k)^2, \\ c_2 &:= (16a_i^4 + 16a_i^2 + 1) (a_j + a_k)^2 + 2a_i (1 - a_i) (1 - 2a_i)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

**Proof.** As calculations show  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i)$  can be represented by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) &= f_i \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_i} + f_j \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_j} + f_k \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x_k} \\ &= \frac{a_i}{2(a_i a_j + a_i a_k + a_j a_k) x_i^2 x_j^2 x_k^2} \left[ (a_i + a_j)(a_j + a_k)(a_k + a_i) (x_j^4 + x_k^4 - x_i^4) \right. \\ &\quad + a_k(a_i + a_j) (x_i^2 - x_j^2) x_i x_j + a_j(a_i + a_k) (x_i^2 - x_k^2) x_i x_k - 2a_i(a_j + a_k) (x_j^2 + x_k^2) x_j x_k \\ &\quad + \{ (2a_i a_k + a_i a_j + a_j a_k - a_j) x_j + (2a_i a_j + a_i a_k + a_j a_k - a_k) x_k \} x_i x_j x_k \\ &\quad \left. + (a_j + a_k) (2a_i^2 - 2a_i a_j - 2a_i a_k - 2a_j a_k + 1) x_j^2 x_k^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the parametric equations  $x_i = \phi_i(t)$ ,  $x_j = \phi_j(t)$ ,  $x_k = \phi_k(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$ , of the curve  $r_i$  found in Lemma 3 into the latter expression for  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i)$  we obtain  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) = \frac{1}{2a_i t x_i^2} (F - G)$ , where  $F := (a_j + a_k)(t - 2a_i)(2a_i t - 1)$  and  $G := (1 - 2a_i) a_i (t + 1) \sqrt{t^2 - a_i^{-1} t + 1}$ . The inequalities (6) imply  $F > 0$  and  $G > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$  and all  $a_i, a_j, a_k \in (0, 1/2)$ . Therefore for  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$  the sign of  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i)$  coincides with the sign of the following fourth degree polynomial in  $t$ :

$$h(t) := F^2 - G^2 = (a_j + a_k)^2 (t - 2a_i)^2 (2a_i t - 1)^2 - (1 - 2a_i)^2 a_i (t + 1)^2 (a_i t^2 - t + a_i).$$

Collecting its similar terms we obtain the polynomial (14) with coefficients in (15). Lemma 7 is proved. ■

Since  $t = 0$  is not a root of  $h(t) = 0$  introducing a new variable  $y := t + t^{-1}$  the equation  $h(t) = 0$  can be reduced to a quadratic equation

$$p(y) := c_0 y^2 + c_1 y + (c_2 - 2c_0) = 0. \quad (16)$$

**Lemma 8.** *Two different real roots  $t_1 = \frac{y_0 - \sqrt{y_0^2 - 4}}{2} \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_2 = t_1^{-1} = \frac{y_0 + \sqrt{y_0^2 - 4}}{2} \in (M_i, +\infty)$  of the equation  $h(t) = 0$  correspond to a given root  $y_0 \in (a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$  of the equation  $p(y) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** The proof follows from the properties of the functions  $x \mapsto \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x^2}}{2x}$  (increases) and  $x \mapsto \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x^2}}{2x}$  (decreases) for  $0 < x < 1/2$ . ■

**Lemma 9.** *In an arbitrary generalized Wallach space with  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$  the following assertions hold for the coefficients  $c_n = c_n(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ), of the polynomial  $p(y)$  in (16):*

- $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_2 - 2c_0 > 0$  for all  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ ;
- $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1 < 0$  if  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$ ;
- $c_0 < 0$  if  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ ;
- $c_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 < 0$  if  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ .

**Proof.** The inequality  $c_2 > 0$  follows from  $16a_i^4 + 16a_i^2 + 1 > 0$  (see (15)). Introducing a new variable  $\mu := a_j + a_k$  we obtain the inequality

$$c_2 - 2c_0 = (1 + 4a_i^2) \mu^2 + 2a_i(1 - 2a_i)^2 > 0$$

true for all  $a_i, a_j, a_k \in (0, 1/2)$ .

The case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$ . Replacing  $a_j + a_k$  by  $1/2 - a_i$  in (15), we obtain  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1 = -2(1 + 2a_i)(1 - 2a_i)^2 a_i^2 < 0$ .

The case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ . Clearly  $c_0 < 0$ .

The case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ . Obviously  $c_0 > 0$ . The coefficient  $c_1$  can be considered as a function

$$c_1 = W(\mu) := a_i(1 - 2a_i)^3 - 4a_i(1 + 4a_i^2)\mu^2$$

of the independent variable  $\mu = a_j + a_k$ . Clearly  $W(\mu)$  admits two zeros  $\mu = -\mu_0$  and  $\mu = \mu_0$ , where  $\mu_0 := \frac{1 - 2a_i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2a_i}{1 + 4a_i^2}}$ . Since  $0 < \mu_0 < \frac{1}{2} - a_i$  we have  $W(\mu)|_{\mu=1/2-a_i} < 0$ . Then  $W(\mu) < 0$  for all  $\mu > 1/2 - a_i$  meaning that  $c_1 < 0$  at  $a_i + a_j + a_k > 1/2$ . Lemma 9 is proved. ■

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 5 – 7 AND COROLLARY 1

It suffices to consider the system (3) on its invariant surface  $\Sigma$  defined by the equation  $\text{Vol} := x_1^{1/a_1} x_2^{1/a_2} x_3^{1/a_3} = 1$ . Hence we are interested in parameters of metrics which belong to the domain  $\Sigma \cap R$  bounded by the curves  $r_i = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . In order to establish behavior of trajectories of the system (3) with respect to that domain we will study their interrelations (namely, the number of intersection points) with curves forming the boundary of that domain.

**3.1. The case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ .** Examples 1 and 2 illustrate Theorem 5.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** It suffices to consider the border curve  $r_i$  only. Similar reasoning can be repeated for the curves  $r_j$  and  $r_k$  by simple permutations of indices  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  in (9). Let us consider the cases  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$  separately.

**The case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ .** For  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$  the discriminant

$$c_1^2 + 8c_0^2 - 4c_0c_2$$

of the quadratic equation  $p(y) = c_0y^2 + c_1y + (c_2 - 2c_0) = 0$  is positive, because  $c_0 < 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  by Lemma 9. Hence  $p(y) = 0$  admits two different real roots. We claim that actually a single root of  $p(y) = 0$  can belong to  $(a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$ . Indeed

$$p(a_i^{-1}) = (a_k + a_j)^2(1 - 2a_i)^2(1 + 2a_i)^2 > 0 \quad (17)$$

independently on  $a_i, a_j, a_k$ . It is clear also  $p(+\infty) := \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} p(y) = -\infty$  due to  $c_0 < 0$ . Therefore  $p(y_0) = 0$  for some unique  $y_0 \in (a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$ .

No root of the quadratic polynomial  $p(y)$  can be contained in the interval  $[0, a_i^{-1}]$  since  $p(0) = c_2 - 2c_0 > 0$  by the same Lemma 9. Then another root of  $p(y) = 0$  distinct from  $y_0$  must be negative and is not of interest providing negative roots to  $h(t) = 0$ . Therefore the polynomial  $h(t) = c_0t^4 + c_1t^3 + c_2t^2 + c_1t + c_0$  of degree 4 admits two positive roots  $t_1 \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_2 \in (M_i, +\infty)$  which correspond to  $y_0$  according to Lemma 8. Note also that  $h(t)$  has a „ $\cap$ “-shaped graph for  $t > 0$  and  $h(t) < 0$  for  $t \in (0, t_1) \cup (t_2, +\infty)$  and  $h(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (t_1, m_i) \cup (M_i, t_2)$ . Then according to Lemma 7 we have

$$\text{sign}(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } t \in (0, t_1), \\ 0, & \text{if } t = t_1, \\ +1, & \text{if } t \in (t_1, m_i) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } t \in (M_i, t_2), \\ 0, & \text{if } t = t_2, \\ -1, & \text{if } t \in (t_2, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

respectively on  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ik}$ . To reach our aims it is quite enough to show that trajectories of the system (3) will leave the domain  $R$  across some part of some component of the curve  $r_i$ . Take, for example, the second component  $r_{ik}$  of  $r_i$  (a similar analysis can be given for the component  $r_{ij}$  of  $r_i$ ).



As it follows from the formulas above  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) > 0$  for  $t \in (M_i, t_2)$ . This means that on every point of a part of the curve  $r_{ik}$  which corresponds to  $(M_i, t_2)$  under the parametrization (9) the associated vector field  $\mathbf{V}$  of the system (3) forms an acute angle with the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i$  of the surface  $\Lambda_i \subset \partial(R)$  (in fact its component  $\Lambda_{ik}$ ). Since the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i$  is directed inside  $R$  at every point of  $r_i$  due to Lemma 6, we conclude that trajectories of (3) attend  $R$  at  $t \in (M_i, t_2)$ .

For  $t \in (t_2, +\infty)$  clearly  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) < 0$  meaning that  $\mathbf{V}$  forms an obtuse angle with the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i$ . Thus we established that trajectories of the system (3) originated in  $R$  will leave  $R$  at least through a part of the curve  $r_{ik}$  and never cross or touch  $r_{ik}$  again for all  $t > t_2$ . This means that there exist metrics that lose the positivity of the Ricci curvature in finite time. Theorem 5 is proved for the case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ .

**The case**  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$ . Obviously we deal with the linear function  $p(y) = c_1 y + c_2$  since  $c_0 = 0$ ,  $c_1 < 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  by Lemma 9. Moreover, the only root  $y_0$  of  $p(y) = 0$  is positive. Since  $p(a_i^{-1}) > 0$  due to (17) and

$$p(+\infty) := \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} p(y) = -\infty$$

due to  $c_1 < 0$  we have  $y_0 \in (a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$ . Lemma 8 implies that the polynomial  $h(t) = (c_1 t^2 + c_2 t + c_1) t$  of degree 3 has two roots  $t_1 \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_2 \in (M_i, +\infty)$  which correspond to  $y_0$ . We are in the same situation as in the considered case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ . Further reasoning is similar. Theorem 5 is proved. ■

**Remark 2.** According to Remark 1 we had to consider intervals  $(0, t_{ij}] \subset (0, m_i)$  and  $[t_{ik}, +\infty) \subset (M_i, +\infty)$  in Theorem 5 instead of  $(0, m_i)$  and  $(M_i, +\infty)$  cutting of the extra pieces of the curves  $r_i$ ,  $r_j$  and  $r_k$  that remain after their pairwise intersections. Although such a replacing does not cancel the existence of trajectories leaving  $R$  in any way, and therefore does not affect the proof of Theorem 5, we get a little clarification to the qualitative picture of incoming trajectories. Such a picture depends on the relative position of  $t_1$  and  $t_{ij}$  within the interval  $(0, m_i)$ , for instance, if the component  $r_{ij}$  is considered.

If  $t_{ij} < t_1$  then  $h(t) < 0$  on all „useful“ part of  $r_{ij}$  corresponding to  $t \in (0, t_{ij}]$ , hence  $h(t)$  changes its sign only at the „tail“ of the curve  $r_{ij}$  behind the point  $P_{ij}$ . Therefore  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i) < 0$  for all  $t \in (0, t_{ij}]$  and hence every point on  $r_{ij}$  emits trajectories towards the exterior of  $R$ . The interval  $(0, t_{ij}]$  above should be replaced by  $(0, t_{ij})$  if  $t_{ij} = t_1$ . In the case  $t_{ij} > t_1$  trajectories come into  $R$  through the part of  $r_{ij}$  corresponding to  $t \in (t_1, t_{ij}] \subset (t_1, m_i)$  and leave  $R$  through its part corresponding to  $t \in (0, t_1)$ .

Analogously, if  $t_{ik} < t_2$  then trajectories attend  $R$  for  $t \in [t_{ik}, t_2) \subset (M_i, t_2)$  and leave  $R$  for  $t > t_2$ . If  $t_{ik} > t_2$  (respectively  $t_{ik} = t_2$ ) then outgoing of trajectories from  $R$  happens through every point of  $r_{ik}$  for  $t \geq t_{ik}$  (respectively  $t > t_{ik}$ ).

Thus analyzing all possible outcomes we conclude that trajectories of (3) will leave  $R$  in any case whenever  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ : either through entire curve  $r_i$  either through some its part.

**Remark 3.** In the case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$  no metric (2) can evolve again into a metric with positive Ricci curvature, being transformed once into a metric with mixed Ricci curvature, but some metrics with mixed Ricci curvature can be transformed into metrics with positive Ricci curvature, and then again into metrics with mixed Ricci curvature. This follows from the proof of Theorem 5 according to which no trajectory of (3) returns back to  $R$  leaving  $R$  once, but some trajectories attend  $R$  and come back if initiated in the exterior of  $R$ .

**3.2. The case**  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ . Recall the specific parameters  $\theta_i := a_i - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-2a_i}{1+2a_i}}$  exposed in the text of Theorem 6. Clearly  $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and all  $a_i \in (0, 1/2)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 6.** It suffices to consider the curve  $r_i$  only. In formulas (15) for  $c_0, c_1$  and  $c_2$  replace the sum  $a_j + a_k$  by  $\frac{1}{2} - a_i + \theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= 4a_i^2 \theta (1 - 2a_i + \theta), \\ c_1 &:= a_i (1 - 2a_i)^3 - a_i (4a_i^2 + 1) (1 - 2a_i + 2\theta)^2, \\ c_2 &:= \frac{1}{4} (16a_i^4 + 16a_i^2 + 1) (1 - 2a_i + 2\theta)^2 + 2a_i(1 - a_i)(1 - 2a_i)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since each coefficient  $c_0, c_1$  and  $c_2$  depends on the parameters  $a_i$  and  $\theta$  only so does the discriminant of the quadratic equation  $p(y) = 0$  in (16). By this reason denote them by  $D_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &:= c_1^2 - 4c_0(c_2 - 2c_0) = c_1^2 + 8c_0^2 - 4c_0c_2 \\ &= -4a_i^2(1 + 2a_i)^2(1 - 2a_i)^3 \left\{ (1 + 2a_i)\theta^2 + (1 - 4a_i^2)\theta - (1 - 2a_i)a_i^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_0 > 0$  for  $a_i + a_j + a_k > 1/2$  and  $c_2 > 0$  by Lemma 9, the polynomial  $D_i$  can accept values of any sign. Firstly, we find roots of  $D_i = 0$ . Observe that the value  $\theta = \theta_i$  is exactly the unique root of the quadratic equation

$$(1 + 2a_i)\theta^2 + (1 - 4a_i^2)\theta - (1 - 2a_i)a_i^2 = 0.$$

Its another root is negative.

(1) *The case  $D_i < 0$ .* The inequality  $D_i < 0$  is equivalent to

$$(1 + 2a_i)\theta^2 + (1 - 4a_i^2)\theta - (1 - 2a_i)a_i^2 > 0$$

which has solutions  $\theta > \theta_i$ . Therefore the equation  $p(y) = 0$ , and hence the equation  $h(t) = 0$  has no real roots if  $\theta \in (\theta_i, 1)$ . Then  $h(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$  due to  $c_0 > 0$ , in other words, by Lemma 7 the sign of  $(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_i)$  is positive along the curve  $r_i$ , that means there is no arc or even a point on the curve  $r_i$ , across which trajectories of the system (3) could leave the domain  $R$ , because of the normal  $\nabla \lambda_i$  of the cone  $\Lambda_i$  is directed inside  $R$  at every point of  $r_i$  independently on values of  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$  due to Lemma 6.

*The case  $D_i = 0$ .* Since  $D_i = 0$  happens at  $\theta = \theta_i$  only, for the sum  $a_j + a_k$  we have

$$a_j + a_k = \frac{1}{2} - a_i + \theta_i = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2a_i}{1 + 2a_i}}.$$

The coefficients (18) take the following forms at  $\theta = \theta_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_0 &:= -a_i^2 \left( (1 - 2a_i)^2 - 4(a_j + a_k)^2 \right) = 4a_i^4 \cdot \frac{1 - 2a_i}{1 + 2a_i}, \\ \tilde{c}_1 &:= a_i (1 - 2a_i)^3 - a_i (4a_i^2 + 1) \cdot \frac{1 - 2a_i}{1 + 2a_i}, \\ \tilde{c}_2 &:= \frac{1}{4} (16a_i^4 + 16a_i^2 + 1) \cdot \frac{1 - 2a_i}{1 + 2a_i} + 2a_i(1 - a_i)(1 - 2a_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows an estimation

$$y_0 - a_i^{-1} = -\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_0} - a_i^{-1} = \frac{(1 - 2a_i)^2(1 + 2a_i)^2}{4a_i^2(1 - 4a_i^2)} = \frac{1 - 4a_i^2}{4a_i^2} > 0$$

for the unique root  $y_0 = -\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_0}$  of the equation  $\tilde{P}_2(y) = \tilde{c}_0 y^2 + \tilde{c}_1 y + \tilde{c}_2 - 2\tilde{c}_0 = 0$ .

Since  $y_0 \in (a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$  is the single root of  $p(y)$  of multiplicity 2 the polynomial  $h(t)$  of degree 4 has two zeros  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  each of multiplicity 2 such that  $t_1 \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_2 \in (M_i, +\infty)$ . Since  $c_0 > 0$  then  $h(t) > 0$  anywhere on  $(0, m_i) \cup (M_i, +\infty)$  excepting the points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  (the shape of the graph of  $h(t)$  is similar to the letter „W“ touching the  $t$ -axis at the points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ). Then by Lemma 7 no trajectory of (3) can leave  $R$  through its boundary curve  $r_i$ . Note that in the case  $D_i = 0$  we are able to find the mentioned multiple roots of  $h(t)$  explicitly:

$$t_{1,2} = \frac{1}{8a_i^2} \left[ -4a_i^2 + 4a_i + 1 \mp (1 + 2a_i) \sqrt{(1 + 6a_i)(1 - 2a_i)} \right],$$

since  $h(t) = (1 - 2a_i)(1 + 2a_i)^{-1} \left( a_i^2 t^2 + (a_i^2 - a_i - 0.25)t + a_i^2 \right)^2$ .

Thus uniting the cases  $\theta > \theta_i$  ( $D_i < 0$ ) and  $\theta = \theta_i$  ( $D_i = 0$ ) we conclude that no trajectory of (3) can leave  $R$  through  $r_i$ . The same will hold on the curves  $r_j$  and  $r_k$ , if  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_i, \theta_j, \theta_k\}$  (all metrics maintain the positivity of the Ricci curvature). This finishes the proof of the first assertion in Theorem 6. See also Example 3.

(2) To prove the second assertion in Theorem 6 assume that  $\theta < \theta_i$  for some  $i$ . Such an assumption equivalent to  $D_i > 0$ . We claim that both roots of  $p(y) = 0$  belong to  $(a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$  in this case. We are in a situation where a quadratic function with a positive discriminant takes positive values at the ends of the interval:

$$p(a_i^{-1}) > 0, \quad p(+\infty) := \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} p(y) = +\infty$$

due to  $c_0 > 0$ . Therefore, the analysis used in the proof of Theorem 5 is not applicable here: such an interval can contain either both roots of the equation or none.

*No root can belong to  $(-\infty, 0)$ .* Suppose by contrary  $p(y) = 0$  has roots  $y_1, y_2 \in (-\infty, 0)$ . Then  $-\frac{c_1}{2c_0} = \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} < 0$  despite the facts  $c_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 < 0$  established in Lemma 9 in the case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ .

*No root can belong to  $(0, a_i^{-1})$ .* There is a similar situation at the ends of the interval:  $p(0) = c_2 - 2c_0 > 0$  by Lemma 9 and  $p(a_i^{-1}) > 0$  by (17). Introduce a function

$$U(\mu) := -\frac{c_1}{2c_0} - a_i^{-1},$$

where the sum  $a_j + a_k$  is replaced by  $\mu = a_j + a_k$  in  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ . Then  $U(\mu) > 0$  is equivalent to the inequality  $4\mu^2 - (1 - 2a_i) < 0$  admitting solutions  $\mu \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ , where  $\bar{\mu} := \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2a_i}}{2}$  is the positive root of  $U(\mu) = 0$ . Since  $\mu = 1/2 - a_i + \theta$  the following value of  $\theta$  corresponds to  $\bar{\mu}$ :  $\bar{\theta}_i = \frac{2a_i - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 2a_i}}{2}$ . It follows then  $U > 0$  for  $\theta \in (0, \bar{\theta}_i)$  and  $U < 0$  for  $\theta \in (\bar{\theta}_i, 1)$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$\bar{\theta}_i = \frac{4a_i^2 - 1 + \sqrt{(1 - 4a_i^2)(1 + 2a_i)}}{2(1 + 2a_i)} > \frac{4a_i^2 - 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4a_i^2}}{2(1 + 2a_i)} = \theta_i.$$

Therefore,  $U = -\frac{c_1}{2c_0} - a_i^{-1} > 0$  for values  $\theta \in (0, \theta_i) \subset (0, \bar{\theta}_i)$ , which correspond to the case  $D_i > 0$ . It follows then  $p(y) = 0$  has no roots in  $(0, a_i^{-1})$ . Supposing the contrary we would conclude  $-\frac{c_1}{2c_0} = \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} < a_i^{-1}$  from  $0 < y_1 < a_i^{-1}$  and  $0 < y_2 < a_i^{-1}$  despite  $U > 0$ .

Thus we showed that *both roots of  $p(y) = 0$  belong to  $(a_i^{-1}, +\infty)$*  in the case  $\theta < \theta_i$ . Denote them by  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $y_1 < y_2$ . By Lemma 8 two different roots  $t_1 \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_2 = t_1^{-1} \in (M_i, +\infty)$  of  $h(t) = 0$  correspond to  $y_1$ . Analogously there are roots  $t_3 \in (0, m_i)$  and  $t_4 = t_3^{-1} \in (M_i, +\infty)$  of  $h(t) = 0$  corresponding to  $y_2$ . It is easy to see that

$$t_3 < t_1 < m_i < M_i < t_2 < t_4.$$

Thus each of the intervals  $(0, m_i)$  and  $(M_i, +\infty)$  contains exactly two roots of the polynomial  $h(t)$  which has a „W“-shaped graph. It follows then exactly two points are expected on each component of the curve  $r_i$  at which the vector field  $\mathbf{V} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$  changes its direction in relation to the domain  $R$  („into  $R$ “ or „from  $R$ “). Consider the component  $r_{ik}$  only with  $t \in (M_i, +\infty)$ . Then  $M_i < t_2 < t_4$  implies that trajectories of (3) come into  $R$  through  $r_{ik}$  and never cross or touch  $r_{ik}$  again for all  $t > t_4$ . For the component  $r_{ij}$  with  $t \in (0, m_i)$  a similar analysis can be carried out by mirroring of  $M_i < t_2 < t_4$ .

Thus in the case  $\theta < \theta_i$  trajectories of (3) can intersect the border curve  $r_i$  twice according to the scenario „towards  $R$  – from  $R$  – towards  $R$  again“ (see also Remark 4). A similar scenario will take place on the border curves  $r_j$  or (and)  $r_k$ , if  $\theta < \theta_j$  or (and)  $\theta < \theta_k$  returning trajectories to  $R$  even they ever left  $R$  once. Clearly if  $\theta \geq \theta_j$  or  $\theta \geq \theta_k$  at  $\theta < \theta_i$  then the curve  $r_j$  or  $r_k$  is locked for trajectories to leave  $R$  as proved before. After such an analysis we conclude that at least some metrics with positive Ricci curvature can preserve the positivity of the Ricci curvature. The second assertion in Theorem 6 is also proved. Theorem 6 is proved. ■

**Remark 4.** *Let us comment the behavior of trajectories in more detail in the case  $\theta < \theta_i$  in Theorem 6. Consider the example of  $r_{ik}$ . As it was noted in Remark 2 we are actually interested in the „useful“ part of the component  $r_{ik}$  parameterized by  $t \in [t_{ik}, +\infty) \subset (M_i, +\infty)$ , where  $t_{ik} > M_i$  by Lemma 5. The dynamics of trajectories of (3) depends on which link of the chain  $M_i < t_2 < t_4 < +\infty$  the value  $t_{ik}$  will be situated. In the case  $\theta < \theta_i$  the following situations may happen on the component  $r_{ik}$  of  $r_i$ :*

*Case 1.*  $t_{ik} \in [t_4, +\infty)$ . Trajectories move towards  $R$  through a part of  $r_{ik}$  which corresponds to  $t \geq t_{ik}$  (respectively  $t > t_{ik}$  if  $t_{ik} = t_4$ ). No trajectory can leave  $R$ .

*Case 2.*  $t_{ik} \in (t_2, t_4)$ . Trajectories leave  $R$  for  $t \in [t_{ik}, t_4)$  and return back to  $R$  for  $t > t_4$ .

*Case 3.*  $t_{ik} \in (M_i, t_2]$ . Trajectories come into  $R$  for  $t \in [t_{ik}, t_2)$ , leave  $R$  for  $t \in (t_2, t_4)$  and return back to  $R$  for  $t > t_4$ .

The analysis of all possible outcomes shows that even if the strong Case 1 never can occur at  $\theta < \theta_i$ , some trajectories of (3) must return back to  $R$  and remain there for all  $t > t_4$  in any case.


**Remark 5.** *In the case  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$  some metrics with mixed Ricci curvature can be transformed into metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$ , after then lose  $\text{Ric} > 0$  and restore  $\text{Ric} > 0$  again. This follows from the proof of Theorem 6 according to which trajectories of (3) can attend  $R$  twice and then remain in  $R$  forever.*

**Proof of Theorem 7.** Clearly  $\theta = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{k+l+m-2} > 0$  for GWS 1.

(1) **Case A.** *The condition  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  holds.* Without loss of generality consider the difference  $\theta - \theta_1$  only. Observe that  $a_1 = k\theta/2$  and hence

$$\theta - \theta_1 = \theta - a_1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-2a_1}{1+2a_1}} = \theta - \frac{k\theta}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-k\theta}{1+k\theta}}.$$

It follows then  $1 - k\theta \geq 0$  necessarily to be well defined. Therefore permissible values of  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  must belong to a narrower interval  $(0, 1/k] \subseteq (0, 1)$  for each natural number  $k$ . Under such


 FIGURE 3. The functions  $X(k)$  and  $Y(k)$ 

restrictions the inequality  $\theta - \theta_1 \geq 0$  is equivalent to the following system of inequalities

$$T(\theta) := k(k-2)^2\theta^2 - (k^2-4)\theta + 4 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \theta \leq 1/k.$$

Obviously  $T(\theta) = 4 > 0$  is trivial at  $k = 2$ . Assume that  $k \neq 2$ . The discriminant

$$D(k) := (k^2 - 12k + 4)(k - 2)^2 = (k - (6 - 4\sqrt{2})) (k - 2)^2 (k - (6 + 4\sqrt{2}))$$

of the polynomial  $T(\theta)$ , where  $6 - 4\sqrt{2} \approx 0.3431$  and  $6 + 4\sqrt{2} \approx 11.6569$ , is negative for all  $k \in \{1, 3, 4, \dots, 11\}$ , and hence for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$  we have  $T(\theta) > 0$  that means  $\theta > \theta_1$ . Then by Theorem 6 no point on the curve  $r_1$  can emit a trajectory towards the exterior of  $R$ . The same will hold for the curves  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  if  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  that imply  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ . Example 4 illustrates the case  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$ .




FIGURE 4. All pairs  $(l, m)$  satisfying one of the inequalities  $2 < l + m \leq X(12)$  (red points) or  $l + m \geq Y(12)$  (blue points)

**Case B.** *The condition  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  fails.* Recall our assumption  $k \geq \max\{l, m\}$  due to symmetry. Suppose that  $k \geq 12$ . For such values of  $k$  the discriminant  $D(k)$  is positive. However it does not mean that  $T(\theta) \geq 0$ . It may be  $T(\theta) < 0$ . Firstly we show that all roots of  $T$  belong to  $(0, 1/k]$  for such values of  $k$ . Since there is no double roots, we can apply Sturm's method. For this aim we construct on  $[0, 1/k]$  the Sturm's polynomial system of  $T(\theta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_0(\theta) &:= T(\theta), \\
 f_1(\theta) &:= \frac{dT}{d\theta} = 2k(k-2)^2\theta - (k^2 - 4), \\
 f_2(\theta) &:= -\text{rem}(f_0, f_1) = \frac{D(k)}{4\text{lc}(T)} = \frac{k^2 - 12k + 4}{4k(k-2)},
 \end{aligned}$$






FIGURE 5. All pairs  $(l, m)$  satisfying one of the inequalities  $2 < l + m \leq X(14)$  (red points) or  $l + m \geq Y(14)$  (blue points)

where the symbol  $\text{rem}(f_0, f_1)$  means the remainder of dividing  $f_0$  by  $f_1$  and  $\text{lc}$  means the leading coefficient of a polynomial. At the point  $\theta = 0$  the number of sign changes in the Sturm system equals 2 since  $k \geq 12$ :

$$f_0(0) = 4 > 0, \quad f_1(0) = 4 - k^2 < 0, \quad f_2(0) > 0.$$

At  $\theta = 1/k$  the corresponding number is 0:

$$f_0(1/k) = 8/k > 0, \quad f_1(1/k) = (k - 2)(k - 6) > 0, \quad f_2(1/k) > 0.$$

Therefore both roots of the polynomial  $T(\theta)$  belong to the interval  $(0, 1/k)$  in cases  $k \geq 12$ . Denote them  $\Theta^{(1)} = \Theta^{(1)}(k)$  and  $\Theta^{(2)} = \Theta^{(2)}(k)$ . Actually we are able to find them explicitly:

$\Theta^{(1)}(k) = \frac{k+2 - \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}{2k(k-2)}$  and  $\Theta^{(2)}(k) = \frac{k+2 + \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}{2k(k-2)}$ . Note that

$$0 < \Theta^{(1)}(k) < \Theta^{(2)}(k) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Now the sign of  $\theta - \theta_1$  will depend on the fact what link in the chain of these inequalities will contain  $\theta$ .

*Subcase B1.*  $\theta \leq \Theta^{(1)}$  or  $\Theta^{(2)} \leq \theta < 1/k$ . Then  $\theta \geq \theta_1$ . According to Theorem 6 no trajectory of (3) can leave  $R$  via the curve  $r_1$ . Examples 5 and 6 are relevant to *Subcase B1*.

*Subcase B2.*  $\Theta^{(1)} < \theta < \Theta^{(2)}$  is equivalent to  $\theta < \theta_1$ . By Theorem 6 there exists a trajectory of (3) that can leave  $R$  but return back to  $R$ . Example 7 is relevant to *Subcase B2*.

For further analysis the inequalities should be rewritten in more convenient form in *Subcases B1 and B2* in terms of the parameters  $k, l$  and  $m$ . For instance, *Subcase B1* can be described by the union of solutions of the inequalities  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  and  $l + m \geq Y(k)$ , where

$$X(k) := \frac{2k(k-2)}{k+2 + \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}} - k + 2, \quad Y(k) := \frac{2k(k-2)}{k+2 - \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}} - k + 2$$

are functions appeared in the text of Theorem 7 and Table 2.

Imagine  $X(k)$  and  $Y(k)$  as functions of real independent variable  $k$  to apply methods of calculus (see Fig. 3 for their graphs). It is easy to establish  $X(k) > 2$  for all  $k \geq 12$  (observe that 2 is a horizontal asymptote since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X(k)/k = 0$ ). Moreover,  $X(k)$  decreases and satisfies  $2 < X(k) \leq 5$  for  $k \geq 12$  since  $X(12) = 5$  and

$$X'(k) = -16 \frac{k^2 - 5k + 2 - (k-1)\sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}{(k+2 + \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4})^2 \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}} < 0$$

due to  $(k^2 - 5k + 2)^2 - (k-1)^2(k^2 - 12k + 4) = 4k^3 > 0$ .

As for  $Y(k)$  it increases since

$$Y'(k) = 16 \frac{k^2 - 5k + 2 + (k-1)\sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}{(k+2 - \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4})^2 \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}} > 0$$

for all  $k \geq 12$ . In addition,  $Y(k) \geq 10$  for all  $k \geq 12$  with  $Y(12) = 10$ .

We claim that only the values  $k \in \{12, \dots, 16\}$  can satisfy  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  in *Subcase B1*. Indeed since  $X(k)$  decreases and  $X(17) \approx 2.93$  with the critical case  $l + m \leq 2$  it loses any sense to consider  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  for  $k \geq 17$ : we would obtain  $l = m = 1$  for all  $k \geq 17$  contradicting  $l + m > 2$ . Analogously due to increasing of  $Y(k)$  the numbers  $l$  and  $m$  in the sum  $l + m \geq Y(k)$  will be out of the range  $\max\{l, m\} \leq 11$  in a short time. Then the inequalities

$$\tilde{Y}(k) \leq l + m + k - 2$$

are required to be true for every permutation of the triple  $(k, l, m)$  in order to keep the condition  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  for  $k, l, m \geq 12$ , where  $\tilde{Y}(k) := \frac{2k(k-2)}{k+2 - \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}$ . It follows than permissible values of  $l, m, k$  can not be large than 16. Indeed  $k \geq \max\{l, m\}$  by assumption. Since the function  $\tilde{Y}(k) = Y(k) + (k-2)$  increases for all  $k \geq 12$  we obtain the following inequality

$$\max\{\tilde{Y}(k), \tilde{Y}(l), \tilde{Y}(m)\} = \tilde{Y}(k) \leq 3k - 2.$$

Introduce the function

$$Z(k) := \tilde{Y}(k) - (3k - 2) = \frac{k^2 + 8k - 4 - (3k - 2)\sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}{k + 2 - \sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4}}.$$

Its derivative  $Z'(k)$  has the numerator  $k^3 - 6k^2 - 40k + 16 - (k^2 - 8k + 8)\sqrt{k^2 - 12k + 4} > 0$  due to  $(k^3 - 6k^2 - 40k + 16)^2 - (k^2 - 8k + 8)^2(k^2 - 12k + 4) = 16k^2(k^3 - 20k^2 + 104k - 32) > 0$  for all  $k \geq 12$  (the unique real root of  $k^3 - 20k^2 + 104k - 32$  is less than 1). Therefore  $Z(k)$  increases for  $k \geq 12$ . Note also that  $Z(16) \approx -0.0691 < 0$  and  $Z(17) \approx 4.3137 > 0$  implying that the inequality  $\tilde{Y}(k) \leq 3k - 2$  can not be satisfied if  $k \geq 17$ .

Collecting all values of  $(k, l, m)$  which provide the condition  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  of Theorem 6 in both **Cases A** and **B** we conclude that on GWS 1 all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  maintain  $\text{Ric} > 0$  under NRF (1) if  $(k, l, m)$  satisfies either  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  or one of the inequalities  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  or  $l + m \geq Y(k)$  at  $12 \leq k \leq 16$ .

(2) The system of inequalities  $X(k) < l + m < Y(k)$  and  $k \geq 12$  corresponding to *Subcase B2* is equivalent to the negation of  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  and guaranties the existence of some metrics preserving  $\text{Ric} > 0$  by the same Theorem 6.

(3) Let us estimate the number of GWS 1 on which all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  maintain  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

It is obvious that there exists a finite number of GWS 1 which satisfy  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$ . As we saw above the inequality  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  corresponding to *Subcase B1* can provide only a finite set of solutions in  $(k, l, m)$  due to decreasing of  $X(k)$ . For instance,  $X(12) = 5$  implies  $l + m \leq 5$  etc. Analogously, another inequality  $Y(k) \leq l + m$  corresponding to *Subcase B1* admits a finite number of solutions  $(k, l, m)$  as well according to assumed  $\max\{l, m\} \leq k$  and established  $12 \leq k \leq 16$ . Therefore the number of GWS 1 on which any metric with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  remains  $\text{Ric} > 0$  must be finite.

Obviously, there is no restriction to  $k$  in the inequalities  $X(k) < l + m < Y(k)$  besides supposed  $k \geq 12$  in **Case B**. Indeed they admit infinitely many solutions in  $(k, l, m)$  since the range  $(X(k), Y(k))$  expands as  $k$  grows providing an increasing number of pairs  $(l, m)$  which can satisfy  $X(k) < l + m < Y(k)$  for every fixed  $k \geq 12$ . Therefore there are infinitely (countably) many GWS 1 on which at least some metrics can keep  $\text{Ric} > 0$ . Theorem 7 is proved. ■

**Table 3.**  $X(k)$  and  $Y(k)$  at  $k \in \{12, \dots, 17\}$

$k$	12	13	14	15	16	17
$X(k)$	5	3.96	3.51	3.25	3.07	2.94
$Y(k)$	10	15.29	20.49	26	31.93	38.31

**Remark 6.** All GWS 1 on which any metric with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  remains  $\text{Ric} > 0$  can easily be found. Using values of  $X(k)$  and  $Y(k)$  given in Table 3 all triples  $(k, l, m)$  are found in Tables 4 and 5 which satisfy  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$  or  $l + m \geq Y(k)$  at fixed  $k \in \{12, \dots, 16\}$  and  $k \geq \max\{l, m\}$ , where  $N = [Y(k)]$  if  $Y(k)$  is integer, else  $N = [Y(k)] + 1$  (symbol  $[x]$  means the integral part of  $x$ ), analogously  $\nu(l) := 10 - l$  if  $10 - l > 0$  else  $\nu(l) := 1$ . See also Fig. 4 and 5. The single pair  $(l, m) = (16, 16)$  corresponds to  $k = 16$ . No permissible pair  $(l, m)$  at  $k \geq 17$ .

**Proof of Corollary 1.** Recall that we are using the list of generalized Wallach spaces given in Table 1 in accordance with [26].

**Table 4.** All triples  $(k, l, m)$  which satisfy  $2 < l + m \leq X(k)$ 

$k$	12	13	14	15	16	$\geq 17$
$(l, m)$	(4, 1), (1, 4), (3, 2), (2, 3) (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2) (2, 1), (1, 2)	(2, 1) (1, 2)	(2, 1) (1, 2)	(2, 1) (1, 2)	(2, 1) (1, 2)	–

**Table 5.** All triples  $(k, l, m)$  which satisfy  $l + m \geq Y(k)$ 

$k$	12	13, 14, 15	16	$\geq 17$
$(l, m)$	$1 \leq l \leq 12$ $\nu(l) \leq m \leq 12$	$N - k \leq l \leq k$ $N - l \leq m \leq k$	(16, 16)	–

(1) GWS **2, 5, 7**. The proof follows from Theorem 5 since  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$  for spaces **2, 5, 7**. An illustration is given in Example 1.

(2) GWS **3, 15**. Clearly  $\theta = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2(k+l+m+1)} < 0$  for GWS **3**. Analogously  $\theta = -1/6 < 0$  for GWS **15**. The proof follows from Theorem 5.

(3) GWS **4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14**. For all them  $\theta > 0$ . Desired conclusions follow by checking the conditions  $\max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\} < \theta < 1$  directly. We demonstrate the proof only for GWS **4** which depend on parameters. It is easy to show that  $\theta = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$  is greater than each of  $\theta_1 = \frac{2l\sqrt{(3l+1)(l-1)}-3l^2+2l+1}{4l(3l+1)}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{2l\sqrt{(l+1)(3l-1)}-3l^2-2l+1}{4l(3l-1)}$  and  $\theta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} - \frac{1}{4}$  for all  $l = 2, 3, \dots$ . Corollary 1 is proved. ■

#### 4. PLANAR ILLUSTRATIONS OF RESULTS

##### 4.1. The case $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ .

**Example 1.**  $\text{Ric} > 0$  is not preserved on every GWS **3**. Take for instance  $(k, l, m) = (5, 4, 3)$ . Then  $a_1 = 5/26 \approx 0.1923$ ,  $a_2 = 2/13 \approx 0.1538$  and  $a_3 = 3/26 \approx 0.1154$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ . Results are depicted in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7.

In Example 1 we demonstrate all evaluations in detail. In sequel we will restrict ourselves to concise comments. The curve  $r_1 = \Sigma \cap \Lambda_1$  defined by the system of equations  $5(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2) + 26x_2x_3 = 0$  and  $x_1^{1/5}x_2^{1/4}x_3^{1/3} = 1$  has the following parametric representation according to Lemma 3:  $x_1 = \phi_1(t) = \frac{\psi^{35/94}}{t^{15/47}}$ ,  $x_2 = \phi_2(t) = \frac{t^{32/47}}{\psi^{6/47}}$  and  $x_3 = \phi_3(t) = \frac{t^{-15/47}}{\psi^{6/47}}$ , where  $\psi := t^2 - 26t/5 + 1$ ,  $t \in (0, m_1) \cup (M_1, +\infty)$ ,  $m_1 = 1/5$ ,  $M_1 = 5$ .

Choose the component  $r_{13}$  corresponding to  $t \in (5, +\infty)$ . Coordinates of the point  $P_{13} = r_{13} \cap r_{31}$  can be found using formulas (8) and (12):  $x_1 = \gamma q^0 \approx 0.3916$ ,  $x_2 = q^0 \approx 3.4857$ ,  $x_3 = \delta q^0 \approx 17.4285$ , where  $\gamma = \Phi(a_1, a_3) = \frac{-27+9\sqrt{10}}{13} \approx 0.1123$ ,  $\delta = \Psi(a_1, a_3) = \frac{50-15\sqrt{10}}{13} \approx 0.1974$ ,  $q^0 = \gamma^{-\omega a_1^{-1}} \delta^{-\omega a_3^{-1}} = \gamma^{-12/47} \delta^{-15/47} \approx 3.4857$ . Due to formula (13) the following value of the parameter  $t$  corresponds to the point  $P_{13}$ :  $t = t_{13} = \delta^{-1} = \frac{13}{50-15\sqrt{10}} \approx 5.0666$ .




FIGURE 6. GWS **3** with  $k = 5$ ,  $l = 4$ ,  $m = 3$ : the phase portrait of (4) and the projections of  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  onto the plane  $x_3 = 0$

The polynomial  $h(t)$  in (14) takes the form  $h(t) = -63375t^4 - 370500t^3 + 4529574t^2 - 370500t - 63375$ . Respectively  $p(y) = -63375y^2 - 370500y + 4656324$  has a unique positive root  $y_0 = -\frac{38}{13} + \frac{192}{325}\sqrt{235} \approx 6.1332 > a_1^{-1} = 5.2$ . The corresponding roots of  $h(t)$  are the following:  $t_1 \approx 0.1676 < m_1 = 0.2$  and  $t_2 \approx 5.9656 > M_1 = 5$ .

The vector field  $\mathbf{V}$  changes its direction at the unique point  $K_2$  with coordinates  $x_1 = \phi_1(t_2) \approx 1.0717$ ,  $x_2 = \phi_2(t_2) \approx 2.7097$ ,  $x_3 = \phi_3(t_2) \approx 0.4542$ .

For  $P_{13}$  we have  $M_1 < t_{13} < t_2$ . Therefore  $h(t) > 0$  on  $r_{13}$  if  $t \in [t_{13}, t_2)$ . This means that trajectories originated in the exterior of  $R$  can reach  $R$  across the arc of the curve  $r_{13}$  with endpoints at  $P_{13}$  and  $K_2$ . In sequel the mentioned incoming trajectories and trajectories originated in  $R$ , will leave  $R$  at once through an unbounded part of the curve  $r_{13}$ , which extends from  $K_2$  to infinity as




FIGURE 7. GWS **3** with  $k = 5$ ,  $l = 4$ ,  $m = 3$ : the function  $\alpha(t)$  on the component  $r_{13}$

the image of the interval  $(t_2, +\infty)$ . Such a conclusion is confirmed by the values of the limits in (10) as well:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow M_1+} x_1 = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow M_1+} x_2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow M_1+} x_3 = +\infty$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_2 = +\infty$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_3 = 0$ .

Using coordinates of the normal found in Lemma 6, we can analyze values of the angle

$$\alpha(t) := \frac{180}{\pi} \arccos \frac{(\mathbf{V}, \nabla \lambda_1)}{\|\mathbf{V}\| \|\nabla \lambda_1\|}$$

between  $\mathbf{V} = (f, g, h)$  and  $\lambda_1$  on every point of the curve  $r_{13}$ , in degrees. As calculations show  $73.16^\circ < \alpha(t) < 90^\circ$  for the incoming flow at  $t \in (t_{13}, t_2)$  and  $90^\circ < \alpha(t) < 93.36^\circ$  for the outgoing flow at  $t > t_2$ . Therefore, trajectories leave  $R$  under small angles not exceeding  $4^\circ$ .

**Example 2.** *Ric*  $> 0$  is not preserved on every GWS **2**. Take for instance  $k = 5$ ,  $l = 4$  and  $m = 3$ . Then  $a_1 = 5/24 \approx 0.2083$ ,  $a_2 = 4/24 \approx 0.1667$  and  $a_3 = 3/24 = 0.125$  with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$ .



All necessary evaluations can be repeated in the same order as in Example 1. Observe that  $71.62 < \alpha(t) \leq 90$  for incoming trajectories at  $4.6533 \approx \frac{64}{5(45-\sqrt{595\sqrt{3}})} = t_{13} \leq t \leq t_2 = \frac{3713+17\sqrt{42721}}{1200} \approx 6.0223$  and  $90 < \alpha(t) < 91.43$  for outgoing trajectories at  $t > t_2$ . Trajectories leave  $R$  under angles not greater than  $1.5^\circ$ , that means they are almost parallel to tangent vectors to  $r_{13}$ .




FIGURE 8. The phase portrait of (4) at  $a_1 = 5/14$ ,  $a_2 = 3/7$ ,  $a_3 = \frac{1}{14} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}$

#### 4.2. The case $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ .

**Example 3.** The values  $a_1 = 5/14 \approx 0.3571$ ,  $a_2 = 3/7 \approx 0.4286$  and  $a_3 = \frac{1}{14} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \approx 0.2756$  satisfy  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$  and  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ . Therefore trajectories of (3) are expected only incoming into  $R$  by Theorem 6 (see also Fig. 8).

Indeed  $\theta = \frac{5}{14} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \approx 0.5613$ ,  $\theta_1 = -\frac{1}{7} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12} \approx 0.0613$ ,  $\theta_2 = -\frac{1}{14} + \frac{\sqrt{13}}{26} \approx 0.0672$ ,  $\theta_3 = \frac{1}{14} \frac{-239+14\sqrt{6}+7\sqrt{1434-84\sqrt{6}}}{48+7\sqrt{6}} \approx 0.0445$ . It should be noted that in general such artificial values of the parameters  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  need not correspond to certain generalized Wallach space as noted in Introduction.




FIGURE 9. GWS 1 with  $k = l = m = 2$ : the phase portrait of (4)

**Example 4.** On GWS 1 with  $k = l = m = 2$  ( $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a = 1/4$ ) all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  preserve  $\text{Ric} > 0$ . Results are depicted in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10.

Since  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  the sufficient condition  $\theta \geq \max\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$  is satisfied. Indeed  $\theta = 0.25$  and  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 \approx 0.0387$ . Therefore we have only trajectories incoming into  $R$ . Note that this example confirms also Theorem 2 (Theorem 3 in [7]), because  $a = 1/4 > 1/6$ .




FIGURE 10. GWS 1 with  $k = l = m = 2$ : the function  $\alpha(t)$  on the component  $r_{13}$

**Example 5.** On GWS 1 with  $k = 12$ ,  $l = 3$ ,  $m = 2$  ( $a_1 = 2/5$ ,  $a_2 = 1/10$ ,  $a_3 = 1/15 \approx 0.0667$ ) all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  preserve  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

The point  $(3, 2)$  lies on the straight line  $l + m = X(12) = 5$ . Therefore  $\theta = \theta_1$  meaning that trajectories can only touch each component of  $r_1$  at some unique point of that component remaining inside of  $R$  (see Fig. 11). Also trajectories of (3) can not leave  $R$  via  $r_2$  or  $r_3$  since  $\theta > \max\{\theta_2, \theta_3\}$  due to  $\max\{l, m\} < 11$ . Therefore they remain in  $R$ .

In detail, the polynomial  $p(y) = (16y - 49)^2$  corresponds to  $r_1$  that admits the single root  $y_0 = 49/16 = 3.0625$  of multiplicity 2. Then the corresponding polynomial  $h(t) = (16t^2 - 49t + 16)^2$  admits two roots  $t_1 = \frac{49 - 9\sqrt{17}}{32} \approx 0.3716$  and  $t_2 = \frac{49 + 9\sqrt{17}}{32} \approx 2.6909$  each of multiplicity 2.

Actual values of the parameters are  $\theta = \theta_1 = 1/15 \approx 0.0667$ ,  $\theta_2 = -2/5 + \sqrt{6}/6 \approx 0.0082$ ,  $\theta_3 = -13/30 + 3\sqrt{221}/34 \approx 0.0039$ .




FIGURE 11. GWS 1 with  $k = 12$ ,  $l = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ : the function  $\alpha(t)$  on the component  $r_{13}$

**Example 6.** On GWS 1 with  $k = 15$ ,  $l = 14$ ,  $m = 13$  ( $a_1 = 3/16 = 0.1875$ ,  $a_2 = 7/40 = 0.175$ ,  $a_3 = 13/80 = 0.1625$ ) all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  preserve  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

The triple  $(15, 14, 13)$  satisfies  $l + m > Y(15) = 26$ . Therefore  $\theta > \theta_1$ . Analogously the inequalities  $k + m > Y(14)$  and  $l + k > Y(13)$  imply  $\theta > \theta_2$  and  $\theta > \theta_3$ , where  $Y(14) \approx 20.49$  and  $Y(13) \approx 15.29$  are known from Table 3.2. Therefore no trajectory of (3) can leave  $R$ .

Supplementary, for each  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$  their polynomials of the kind  $h(t)$  admit no real root preserving positive sign. Actual values of the parameters are  $\theta = 1/40 = 0.025$ ,  $\theta_1 = -5/16 + \sqrt{55}/22 \approx 0.0246$ ,  $\theta_2 = -13/40 + \sqrt{39}/18 \approx 0.0219$ ,  $\theta_3 = -27/80 + 3\sqrt{159}/106 \approx 0.0194$ .

**Example 7.** On GWS 1 with  $k = 14$ ,  $l = 7$ ,  $m = 4$  ( $a_1 = 7/23 \approx 0.3043$ ,  $a_2 = 7/46 \approx 0.1522$ ,  $a_3 = 2/23 \approx 0.0869$ ) some metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  preserve  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .




FIGURE 12. GWS 1 with  $k = 14, l = 7, m = 4$ : the function  $\alpha(t)$  on the component  $r_{13}$

Since  $l, m < 11$  and  $(k, l, m) = (14, 7, 4)$  satisfies  $X(k) \approx 3.51 < l + m < Y(k) \approx 20.49$  then  $\theta > \theta_2, \theta > \theta_3$  and  $\theta < \theta_1$  avoiding direct computations (actually  $\theta = 1/23 \approx 0.0435, \theta_1 = -9/46 + 3\sqrt{37}/74 \approx 0.0509, \theta_2 = -8/23 + \sqrt{30}/15 \approx 0.0173, \theta_3 = -19/46 + \sqrt{57}/18 \approx 0.0064$ ). Therefore no trajectory can leave  $R$  via  $r_2, r_3$  but there expected exactly two points on each component of  $r_1$  at which trajectories of (3) can change directions relatively to  $R$  in accordance with the scenario „towards  $R$  - from  $R$  - towards  $R$  again“ (see Fig. 12).

Supplementary, the polynomial  $h(t)$  corresponding to  $r_1$  admits four roots  $t_1 \approx 0.2532, t_2 \approx 3.9488, t_3 \approx 0.15, t_4 \approx 6.6663$  such that  $t_3 < t_1 < t_{12} = \frac{320}{161} - \frac{8\sqrt{1110}}{161} \approx 0.3321$  and  $t_{13} = \frac{230}{7(\sqrt{2109}-57)} \approx 2.9665 < t_2 < t_4$ .




FIGURE 13. The function  $\theta_i = \theta_i(a)$ ,  $a \in (0, 1/2)$ .

## 5. FINAL REMARKS

1) Let us return to generalized Wallach spaces with  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a$ , in particular, let us consider the cases  $a = 1/4$ ,  $a = 1/6$ ,  $a = 1/8$  and  $a = 1/9$  studied in [3, 4, 7]. As mentioned in Introduction the Wallach spaces  $W_6$ ,  $W_{12}$  and  $W_{24}$  are examples of GWS which correspond to  $a = 1/6$ ,  $a = 1/8$  and  $a = 1/9$  respectively. The value  $a = 1/4$  is very special at which four different singular points of (3) merge to its unique linearly zero saddle, see [3, 5]. Moreover, on the algebraic surface of degree 12 mentioned in Introduction, the point  $(1/4, 1/4, 1/4)$  is a singular point of elliptic umbilic type in the sense of Darboux (see [2, 5]).

Theorem 7 generalizes Theorem 4. Indeed generalized Wallach spaces with  $a = 1/4$  can be obtained in the special case of GWS 1 with  $k = l = m = 2$ . The condition  $\max\{k, l, m\} \leq 11$  is satisfied. Therefore by Theorem 7 all initial metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  maintain  $\text{Ric} > 0$ .

Although Theorem 5 covers Theorem 2 we have stronger statements in Theorem 2 that all initial metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  lose  $\text{Ric} > 0$  on the narrow class of GWS with  $a \in (0, 1/6)$ , in particular on the spaces  $W_{12}$  and  $W_{24}$ , whereas Theorem 5 states such a property only for some initial metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$ , but for a wider class of GWS with  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \leq 1/2$ , in particular, for the mentioned  $a = 1/8$  and  $a = 1/9$  ( $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1/2$ ), which correspond to GWS 3 with  $k = l = m = 1$  and GWS 15 respectively.

Analogously, for  $a \in (1/6, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1/2)$  all metrics become  $\text{Ric} > 0$  according to Theorem 2, whereas by Theorem 6 (even by Theorem 7) the similar property can be guaranteed for some metrics only, but again on a wider class of GWS which satisfy  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$ .

Theorem 5 is consistent with Theorem 3 and extends it. According to Theorem 3 not every metric lose  $\text{Ric} > 0$  in the case  $a = 1/6$  (they are exactly metrics contained in the domain bounded by parameters of Kähler metrics  $x_k = x_i + x_j$ ,  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , see [7]). This fact does not contradict the conclusions of Theorem 5, where spaces with  $a = 1/6$  are contained as a special class of GWS 2 with  $k = l = m$  ( $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1/2$ ). Moreover, Theorem 5 implies the departure of some metrics from the domain with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  at  $a = 1/6$ , that was not previously stated in Theorem 3.

2) In general there is infinitely (uncountably) many triples  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (0, 1/2)^3$  satisfying  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > 1/2$  such that trajectories of (3) all remain in  $R$  originating there. Indeed each function  $\theta_i = \theta_i(a_i) = a_i - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1-2a_i}{1+2a_i}}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , attains its largest value  $\theta_i^* = \theta_i(a^*) \approx 0.067442248$  at the point  $a^* = \frac{\mu^2 - 2\mu + 4}{6\mu} \approx 0.4196433778$  where  $\mu = \sqrt[3]{19 + 3\sqrt{33}} \approx 3.30905648$  (see Fig. 13). Then we always have an opportunity to get  $\theta > \theta_i$  for each  $i$  choosing (uncountably many)  $a_i$  at least from the interval  $[a^*, 1/2)$  because

$$\theta = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - 0.5 \geq 3a^* - 0.5 > 1.2 - 0.5 = 0.7 > \theta_i.$$

Therefore the number of appropriated generalized Wallach spaces, where all metrics with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  maintain  $\text{Ric} > 0$ , might be unbounded too if they exist for such  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  (compare with the third assertion of Theorem 7).

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor Yuriĭ Nikonorov for helpful discussions on the topic of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abiev, N. A.: Two-parametric bifurcations of singular points of the normalized Ricci flow on generalized Wallach spaces. AIP Conf. Proc. **1676** (020053), 1–6 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4930479>
- [2] Abiev, N. A.: On topological structure of some sets related to the normalized Ricci flow on generalized Wallach spaces. Vladikavkaz. Math. J. **17**(3), 5–13 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.23671/VNC.2017.3.7257>
- [3] Abiev, N. A.: On the evolution of invariant Riemannian metrics on one class of generalized Wallach spaces under the influence of the normalized Ricci flow. Mat. Tr. **20**(1), 3–20 (2017) (Russian). [English translation. In: Sib. Adv. Math. **27**(4), 227–238 (2017)] <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1055134417040010>
- [4] Abiev, N.: On the dynamics of a three-dimensional differential system related to the normalized Ricci flow on generalized Wallach spaces. Results Math. **79**, 198 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-024-02229-w>
- [5] Abiev, N. A., Arvanitoyeorgos, A., Nikonorov, Yu. G., Siasos, P.: The dynamics of the Ricci flow on generalized Wallach spaces. Differ. Geom. Appl. **35**(Suppl.), 26–43 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2014.02.002>
- [6] Abiev, N. A., Arvanitoyeorgos, A., Nikonorov, Yu. G., Siasos, P.: The Ricci flow on some generalized Wallach spaces. In: V. Rovenski, P. Walczak (eds.) Geometry and its Applications. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics. **72**, pp. 3–37. Springer, Switzerland (2014) <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04675-4>



- [7] Abiev, N. A., Nikonorov, Yu. G.: The evolution of positively curved invariant Riemannian metrics on the Wallach spaces under the Ricci flow. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **50**(1), 65–84. (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-016-9502-8>
- [8] Aloff, S., Wallach, N. R.: An infinite family of 7-manifolds admitting positively curved Riemannian structures. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **81**, 93–97 (1975) <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1975-13649-4>
- [9] Batkhin, A. B.: A real variety with boundary and its global parameterization. *Program. Comput. Softw.* **43**(2), 75–83 (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0361768817020037>
- [10] Batkhin, A. B., Bruno, A. D.: Investigation of a real algebraic surface. *Program. Comput. Softw.* **41**(2), 74–83. (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0361768815020036>
- [11] Bérard Bergery, L.: Les variétés riemanniennes homogènes simplement connexes de dimension impaire à courbure strictement positive. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **55**(1), 47–67 (1976)
- [12] Berestovskii V. N.: Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of positive Ricci curvature. *Mat. Zametki.* **58**(3), 334–340, 478 (1995) (Russian). [English translation. In: *Math. Notes* **58**(3-4), 905–909 (1995)] <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02304766>
- [13] Berestovskii V. N., Nikonorov, Yu., N.: *Riemannian Manifolds and Homogeneous Geodesics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2020, XXII+482 pp.
- [14] Berger, M.: Les variétés riemanniennes homogènes normales simplement connexes à courbure strictement positive. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa.* **15**(3), 179–246 (1961) <https://eudml.org/doc/83265>
- [15] Bettiol, R. G., Krishnan, A. M.: Ricci flow does not preserve positive sectional curvature in dimension four. *Calc. Var. Partial Diff. Eq.* **62**(1), 13, 21p. (2023) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-022-02335-z>
- [16] Böhm, C., Wilking, B.: Nonnegatively curved manifolds with finite fundamental groups admit metrics with positive Ricci curvature. *GAGA Geom. Func. Anal.* **17**, 665–681 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-007-0617-8>
- [17] Bruno, A. D., Azimov, A. A.: Parametric expansions of an algebraic variety near its singularities. *Axioms.* **12**(5), 469 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12050469>
- [18] Bruno, A. D., Azimov, A. A.: Parametric expansions of an algebraic variety near its singularities II. *Axioms.* **13**(2), 106 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms13020106>
- [19] Cavenaghi, L. F., Grama, L., Martins, R. M.: On the dynamics of positively curved metrics on  $SU(3)/T^2$  under the homogeneous Ricci flow. *Mat. Contemp.* **60**, 3–30 (2024) <http://doi.org/10.21711/231766362024/rmc602>
- [20] Chen, Z., Kang, Y., Liang, K.: Invariant Einstein metrics on three-locally-symmetric spaces. *Commun. Anal. Geom.* **24**(4), 769–792 (2016) <https://doi.org/10.4310/CAG.2016.v24.n4.a4>
- [21] Cheung, M.-W., Wallach, N. R.: Ricci flow and curvature on the variety of flags on the two dimensional projective space over the complexes, quaternions and octonions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **143**(1), 369–378 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12241-6>
- [22] González-Álvarez, D., Zarei, M.: Positive intermediate curvatures and Ricci flow. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **152**(6), 2637–2645 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/16752>
- [23] Hamilton, R. S.: Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Diff. Geom.* **17**, 255–306 (1982) <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214436922>
- [24] Lomshakov, A. M., Nikonorov, Yu. G., Firsov, E. V.: Invariant Einstein metrics on three-locally-symmetric spaces. *Matem. Tr.*, **6**(2), 80–101 (2003) (Russian). [English translation. In: *Sib. Adv. Math.*, **14**(3), 43–62 (2004)]
- [25] Nikonorov, Yu. G.: On a class of homogeneous compact Einstein manifolds. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **41**(1), 200–205 (2000)(Russian). [English translation. In: *Sib. Math. J.* **41**(1), 168–172 (2000)] <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02674006>
- [26] Nikonorov, Yu. G.: Classification of generalized Wallach spaces. *Geom. Dedicata.* **181**(1), 193–212 (2016); correction: *Geom. Dedicata.* **214**(1), 849–851 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-015-0119-z>, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-021-00604-3>
- [27] Nikonorov, Yu. G., Rodionov, E. D., Slavskii, V. V.: Geometry of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **146**(7), 6313–6390 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-007-0472-z>
- [28] Statha, M.: Ricci flow on certain homogeneous spaces. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **62**(1), 93–127 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-022-09843-3>
- [29] Wallach, N. R.: Compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with strictly positive curvature. *Ann. Math. Second Ser.* **96**(2), 277–295 (1972) <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970789>
- [30] Wilking, B.: The normal homogeneous space  $SU(3) \times SO(3)/U(2)$  has positive sectional curvature. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **127**(4), 1191–1194 (1999) <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-99-04613-4>

- [31] Wilking, B., Ziller, W.: Revisiting homogeneous spaces with positive curvature. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **738**, 313–328 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2015-0053>
- [32] Xu, M., Wolf, J. A.:  $Sp(2)/U(1)$  and a positive curvature problem. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **42**, 115–124 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2015.08.002>

N. A. ABIEV

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS NAS KR, BISHKEK, PROSPECT CHUI, 265A, 720071, KYRGYZSTAN

*Email address:* [abievn@mail.ru](mailto:abievn@mail.ru)