GenAgent: Build Collaborative AI Systems with Automated Workflow Generation - Case Studies on ComfyUI

Xiangyuan Xue Shanghai AI Laboratory **Zeyu Lu** Shanghai AI Laboratory **Di Huang** Shanghai AI Laboratory

Wanli Ouyang Shanghai AI Laboratory

Lei Bai [∗] Shanghai AI Laboratory

True intelligence operates not by simplification but by selective integration.

Marvin Minsky

Abstract

Much previous AI research has focused on developing monolithic models to maximize their intelligence and capability, with the primary goal of enhancing performance on specific tasks. In contrast, this paper explores an alternative approach: collaborative AI systems that use workflows to integrate models, data sources, and pipelines to solve complex and diverse tasks. We introduce **GenAgent**, an LLM-based framework that automatically generates complex workflows, offering greater flexibility and scalability compared to monolithic models. The core innovation of GenAgent lies in representing workflows with code, alongside constructing workflows with collaborative agents in a step-by-step manner. We implement GenAgent on the *ComfyUI* platform and propose a new benchmark, **OpenComfy**. The results demonstrate that GenAgent outperforms baseline approaches in both run-level and task-level evaluations, showing its capability to generate complex workflows with superior effectiveness and stability. The project page of this work is available at <https://xxyqwq.github.io/GenAgent>.

1 Introduction

The recent evolution of artificial intelligence is defined by the growing importance of collaborative AI systems, which integrate multiple models and tools to work together as a whole collaborative system. The success of ChatGPT Plus [\(OpenAI,](#page-11-0) [2024\)](#page-11-0) presents the possibility of integrating a wide range of tasks, such as web browsing, image generation, and code execution, into a single conversational agent. Unlike traditional AI models that function as single entities, collaborative AI systems integrate multiple AI components, each contributing unique capabilities to solve complex problems. This shift towards integration has become crucial for achieving state-of-the-art results, as it leverages the combined strengths of diverse AI functionalities within a unified framework [\(Zaharia et al.,](#page-13-0) [2024\)](#page-13-0).

Unfortunately, the design space and optimization of collaborative systems often require significant human expertise. For instance, various collaborative systems have distinctly different approaches: AlphaCode 2 [\(AlphaCode Team,](#page-10-0) [2024\)](#page-10-0) generates up to 1 million solutions for a coding problem and then filters and scores them. AlphaGeometry [\(Trinh](#page-12-0) [et al.,](#page-12-0) [2024\)](#page-12-0) iteratively suggests constructions in a geometry problem via LLM and checks deduced facts produced by a symbolic engine. [ComfyUI](#page-10-1) [\(2023\)](#page-10-1), though designed to be user-friendly, still requires users to have a certain level of expertise in understanding the principles of various generative model components and in meticulously designing

[∗]Corresponding author, baisanshi@gmail.com

Figure 1: The GenAgent framework builds collaborative AI systems by creating workflows. The workflows are converted into code so that LLM agents can better understand them. GenAgent can learn from human-designed workflows and create new ones. The generated workflows can be interpreted as collaborative systems to complete complex tasks.

workflows. This brings us to a pivotal question: *Can we develop an intelligent agent, akin to a human expert, capable of autonomously designing collaborative AI systems?*

In this paper, we define the automatic design of collaborative AI systems as a problem of automatic workflow design, as shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) A workflow serves as a high-level abstraction of a collaborative AI system. These pipelines are structured through the systematic organization of AI-related components (*e.g*., AI models, retrievers, and external tools) into processes that analyze data, execute tasks, or provide outputs.

However, the automatic design of workflows for collaborative AI systems presents significant challenges. First, current representations of workflows, such as JSON or CoRE [\(Li](#page-11-1) [et al.,](#page-11-1) [2024\)](#page-11-1), are inadequate for LLM agents to fully comprehend the intrinsic logic and dependencies within collaborative systems. Second, properly organizing the pipeline and effectively utilizing AI-related modules require substantial expert knowledge and complex cognitive processes. Relying solely on basic prompt strategies, such as Chain-of-Thought prompting (CoT) [\(Wei et al.,](#page-12-1) [2022\)](#page-12-1) or retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) [\(Lewis et al.,](#page-11-2) [2020\)](#page-11-2), often fails to generate complex workflows.

To tackle the aforementioned problems, we introduce GenAgent, a novel framework that empowers LLM agents to autonomously design collaborative AI systems by designing workflows. The core concepts behind GenAgent are twofold: First, representing the workflows as code, which can be reversibly converted into workflows and executed as collaborative systems by the interpreter. Second, the construction of a multi-agent system that collaborates to learn from existing workflows and generate new workflows for a given task. The core of the multi-agent system is PlanAgent, responsible for the global planning of workflows by task instruction. After collecting document descriptions of all components and code examples of collected workflows, RetrievalAgent automatically retrieves and learns key information about the given task from code. CombineAgent and AdaptAgent gradually write code to construct a new workflow that can fulfill the specified task. Upon task completion, PlanAgent executes the finish action to organize the final workflow.

We implement and test the GenAgent framework primarily on the ComfyUI [\(ComfyUI,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1) platform. By designing workflows within ComfyUI and then executing them, GenAgent is capable of composing advanced models to flexibly complete a wide range of generation tasks with a high success rate. In contrast, common methods for LLM agents, such as CoT and RAG, have proven less effective when tasked with designing complex workflows. We also observe that this paradigm achieves high-quality, flexible generation with strong reliability and robustness. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We define the automatic design of collaborative AI systems as a problem of workflow generation and propose to represent workflows as code for effective design.
- We develop GenAgent, a novel framework that empowers LLM agents to autonomously design collaborative AI systems by writing workflow code.
- We implement GenAgent on the ComfyUI platform, achieving high-quality and flexible ComfyUI workflow generation for a wide range of generation tasks. Experimental results demonstrate that code representation significantly improves the success rate of LLM-generated workflows. Additionally, GenAgent outperforms common prompt-based methods in workflow generation efficiency.

2 Related Work

2.1 LLM Agents

LLM agents are an emerging class of autonomy systems that leverage LLMs to interact with external tools and solve real-world problems [\(Xi et al.,](#page-12-2) [2023;](#page-12-2) [Wang et al.,](#page-12-3) [2024a;](#page-12-3) [Sumers et al.,](#page-12-4) [2023\)](#page-12-4). On one hand, LLM agents exploit the powerful capabilities of LLMs in cognition and creativity, so that they can adapt to various novel tasks without relying on human intervention [\(Li et al.,](#page-11-3) [2023;](#page-11-3) [Mei et al.,](#page-11-4) [2024\)](#page-11-4). On the other hand, LLM agents have access to a wide range of external tools such as search engines and databases, which makes up for the lack of specialized knowledge in LLMs [\(Ge et al.,](#page-10-2) [2024;](#page-10-2) [Shen et al.,](#page-11-5) [2024\)](#page-11-5).

With the rapid development of LLM agents, researchers have proposed various methods to improve their performance, including chain-of-thought prompting [\(Wei et al.,](#page-12-1) [2022\)](#page-12-1), self-consistency reasoning [\(Wang et al.,](#page-12-5) [2022a\)](#page-12-5), retrieval-augmented generation [\(Lewis](#page-11-2) [et al.,](#page-11-2) [2020;](#page-11-2) [Gao et al.,](#page-10-3) [2023\)](#page-10-3), memory mechanism [\(Zhong et al.,](#page-13-1) [2024;](#page-13-1) [Zhang et al.,](#page-13-2) [2024\)](#page-13-2), reinforcement learning [\(Ge et al.,](#page-10-2) [2024;](#page-10-2) [Li et al.,](#page-11-1) [2024;](#page-11-1) [Ouyang et al.,](#page-11-6) [2022;](#page-11-6) [Bai et al.,](#page-10-4) [2022;](#page-10-4) [Kaufmann et al.,](#page-11-7) [2023\)](#page-11-7), etc. These methods have been proven effective in enhancing the capabilities of LLM agents in different tasks, thus hastening a common architecture for novel LLM agents.

Based on well-designed module architectures and interaction mechanisms, LLM agents can be applied to a wide range of complex tasks, such as web navigation [\(Nakano et al.,](#page-11-8) [2021;](#page-11-8) [Thoppilan et al.,](#page-12-6) [2022;](#page-12-6) [Yao et al.,](#page-13-3) [2022;](#page-13-3) [Zhou et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023;](#page-14-0) [Koh et al.,](#page-11-9) [2024\)](#page-11-9), interface operation [\(Yang et al.,](#page-13-4) [2023b;](#page-13-4) [Xie et al.,](#page-12-7) [2023;](#page-12-7) [2024b;](#page-13-5) [Cao et al.,](#page-10-5) [2024;](#page-10-5) [Wu et al.,](#page-12-8) [2024\)](#page-12-8), code generation [\(Wang et al.,](#page-12-9) [2022b;](#page-12-9) [Yin et al.,](#page-13-6) [2022;](#page-13-6) [Yang et al.,](#page-13-7) [2024a;](#page-13-7) [Wang et al.,](#page-12-10) [2024c\)](#page-12-10), and other specified tasks [\(Huang et al.,](#page-11-10) [2024;](#page-11-10) [Thakur et al.,](#page-12-11) [2023;](#page-12-11) [Yang et al.,](#page-13-8) [2023a\)](#page-13-8). With the power of code generation, LLM agents can also be used for reasoning, planning, and decision making [\(Wei et al.,](#page-12-1) [2022;](#page-12-1) [Yao et al.,](#page-13-9) [2024;](#page-13-9) [Besta et al.,](#page-10-6) [2024;](#page-10-6) [Song et al.,](#page-11-11) [2023;](#page-11-11) [Shen](#page-11-5) [et al.,](#page-11-5) [2024;](#page-11-5) [Ge et al.,](#page-10-2) [2024;](#page-10-2) [Xie et al.,](#page-12-12) [2024a;](#page-12-12) [Wang et al.,](#page-12-13) [2024b;](#page-12-13) [Yang et al.,](#page-13-10) [2024b\)](#page-13-10). These tasks, previously considered to require expert knowledge and human intervention, can now be accomplished by LLM agents with high efficiency and accuracy.

2.2 LLM-based Collaborative AI Systems

LLMs can be instructed to perform general tasks, leading to an intense focus on the capabilities of the base models. However, [Zaharia et al.](#page-13-0) [\(2024\)](#page-13-0) points out that state-of-the-art AI results are increasingly obtained by collaborative systems instead of monolithic models, which has been verified many times [\(AlphaCode Team,](#page-10-0) [2024;](#page-10-0) [Trinh et al.,](#page-12-0) [2024;](#page-12-0) [Lewis et al.,](#page-11-2) [2020;](#page-11-2) [Nori et al.,](#page-11-12) [2023;](#page-11-12) [Team et al.,](#page-12-14) [2023\)](#page-12-14). [Yuan et al.](#page-13-11) [\(2024\)](#page-13-11) even designs a multi-agent framework that incorporates several advanced visual AI agents to replicate generalist video generation demonstrated by Sora [\(Brooks et al.,](#page-10-7) [2024\)](#page-10-7). The advantages of collaborative systems are prominent. On one hand, dynamic systems make it possible to fetch external knowledge with components such as search and retrieval. On the other hand, improving control and trust is easier with systems, so the tasks can be better solved.

Collaborative AI systems pose new challenges in design, optimization, and operation compared to AI models. Many novel paradigms are emerging to tackle these challenges. Using composition frameworks is the most trending paradigm, which builds applications out of calls to AI models and other components [\(LangChain,](#page-11-13) [2023;](#page-11-13) [LlamaIndex,](#page-11-14) [2023;](#page-11-14) [AutoGPT,](#page-10-8) [2023;](#page-10-8) [Hong et al.,](#page-10-9) [2023;](#page-10-9) [Willard & Louf,](#page-12-15) [2023;](#page-12-15) [LMQL,](#page-11-15) [2023;](#page-11-15) [Zheng et al.,](#page-13-12) [2023;](#page-13-12) [Wu et al.,](#page-12-16) [2023;](#page-12-16) [Chen et al.,](#page-10-10) [2023\)](#page-10-10). System optimization is another approach. [Khattab et al.](#page-11-16) [\(2023\)](#page-11-16) proposed the DSPy framework, which automatically tunes the pipeline by creating prompt instructions, few-shot examples, and other parameter choices to maximize end-toend performance. GPTSwarm [\(Zhuge et al.,](#page-14-1) [2024\)](#page-14-1) supports building LLM agents in the form of graphs and optimizing the parameters and connections as a whole system.

Figure 2: Four different representations of workflows, including flow graph, JSON, element list, and code. Flow graph is only intuitive for human vision. JSON is a structured format but is complex and redundant. Element list is more compact and closer to natural language but lacks semantic and topological information. Code is compact, Turing complete, semantically rich, and friendly for LLMs, thus suitable for describing workflows.

3 Method

3.1 Workflow as the High-Level Abstract of the Collaborative AI System

A collaborative AI system is a specific operational framework that addresses complex tasks by coordinating multiple interacting components, including AI models, retrievers, and external tools. A collaborative, low-level, and specific AI system corresponds to a high-level and abstract operational logic diagram, defined as the workflow of the collaborative AI system. Once we establish the workflow for a complex AI system at an abstract level, we can compile it into a specific AI system and execute this system by the interpreter reliably. Therefore, by focusing on building the workflows, we can effectively construct diverse collaborative AI systems.

Typically, a workflow can be described as a directed acyclic graph (DAG), which necessarily contains vertices and directed edges. Here, we define:

- Vertex: A vertex represents a particular component in the system for information processing (*e.g*. AI model, retriever, and external tool), which contains necessary input and output interfaces connected by directed edges.
- Directed Edge: A directed edge represents the flow of information. The incoming edges of a vertex represent the external information received by it, while the outgoing edges represent the information output from it. A vertex can have multiple incoming and outgoing edges, representing different information paths.

By constructing the DAG, we can efficiently build and edit the workflow of the collaborative AI system. ComfyUI [\(ComfyUI,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1) is a typical example, which atomizes all modules and standardizes their data interfaces within a workflow DAG, thus providing an ideal platform for building collaborative AI systems in such a paradigm.

3.2 Representing Workflows with Code

Workflows are widely used across various applications, with diverse representations: flow graph, JSON, element list, and code.

Flow graph is one of the most intuitive and user-friendly representations of workflow DAGs for humans, but not LLMs. As shown in Figure [2a,](#page-3-0) a flow graph illustrates a default text-to-image workflow in ComfyUI [\(ComfyUI,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1), precisely mirroring how the ComfyUI frontend interacts with users. However, since flow graphs are inherently visual, they are not easily understood by standard LLMs, which cannot interpret visual data. While Vision-Language Models (VLMs) can read flow graphs, they struggle to modify them due to their limited vision-generation capabilities.

Figure 3: The architecture of the GenAgent framework. Multiple agents collaborate to generate workflows in a step-by-step manner. The PlanAgent receives the task instruction and generates high-level plans and action decisions at every step. Different actions are then handled by the CombineAgent, AdaptAgent, and RetrieveAgent, respectively. The agents are equipped with memory, which consists of history, reference, and workspace. The RefineAgent is responsible for debugging if needed. Once the PlanAgent decides to finish the generation process, the workflow will be submitted to the interpreter for execution.

JSON is a popular way for LLMs to represent structured information, but not good for workflow DAGs. [Zhang et al.](#page-13-13) [\(2023\)](#page-13-13) propose using JSON to represent some simple workflows for data analysis. For complex workflows, our experiments indicate that LLMs struggle to understand and generate it using JSON, as shown in Table [2.](#page-8-0) This difficulty may stem from the inability of large language models (LLMs) to fully comprehend the complex Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) relationships encoded in JSON. This challenge is further exacerbated by the substantial redundant information typically found in JSON format, which poses significant issues due to the limited context length of LLMs.

Element list is a more natural representation for LLMs to grasp workflows compared to flow graphs or JSON files, but not enough. The element list is more compact and closer to natural language, making it more accessible to LLMs compared to flow graphs or JSON files. However, element lists emphasize symbolic representation, which disrupts the intuitive dependencies within the workflow. They do not explicitly reveal the topological order of the flow graph, leading to significant bottlenecks for LLMs in understanding and generating workflows in this format once the workflows become relatively complex, as shown in Table [2.](#page-8-0) [Li et al.](#page-11-1) [\(2024\)](#page-11-1) propose using the CoRE language to represent workflows, which can be viewed as a verbal version of element lists. The CoRE language does not resolve the issues inherent in element lists. Moreover, it requires additional fine-tuning of LLMs.

Code is a stable representation for LLMs to understand and create workflow DAGs. Compared to previous methods, we assert that utilizing code offers a superior workflow representation, as illustrated in Figure [2d.](#page-3-0) Programming languages are Turing complete, allowing any computationally feasible workflow to be represented equivalently. Additionally, they provide sufficient semantic information, enhancing comprehensibility and learnability, which leverages the potential of LLMs in code generation. In this work, we manage to represent workflows with code. A dedicated programming language for accurate workflow description is of vital importance, ensuring that they can be precisely translated into corresponding code snippets and vice versa. To minimize the cost of developing a new language, we prompt LLMs to use a subset of Python-like syntax, restricting it to basic operations and control structures while prohibiting advanced features. The development of a workflow-oriented language is left for future work.

3.3 Generate Workflows with GenAgent: A Collaborative-Agents System

Through code representation, we can prompt LLMs to generate workflows for collaborative AI systems following our task instructions. In practice, traditional prompt-based methods, such as chain-of-thoughts (CoT) [\(Wei et al.,](#page-12-1) [2022\)](#page-12-1) and retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) [\(Lewis et al.,](#page-11-2) [2020\)](#page-11-2), fail to generate correct results stably with the complexity of workflows increasing, as shown in Table [1.](#page-7-0) To achieve the goal of generating complex workflows, we propose the GenAgent framework where the agents collaborate to complete the workflow generation task. GenAgent is mainly composed of three independent modules: Memory, PlanAgent, and Action.

Memory mainly stores the recent state of the agent, building on psychological theories [\(Atkinson,](#page-10-11) [1968\)](#page-10-11). Here, memory refers to working memory [\(Baddeley,](#page-10-12) [1992\)](#page-10-12), which reflects the agent's current circumstances: it stores the agent's recent history behaviors, results from intermediate, external reference knowledge, and internal reasoning. We mainly formulate these into three parts:

- History: The history primarily stores recent actions taken by PlanAgent. PlanAgent can review past actions through the history to plan subsequent activities.
- Reference: References predominantly comprise the most salient information retrieved by RetrieveAgent from the knowledge database for analysis. RetrieveAgent typically retrieves the most pertinent information based on the current memory state, subsequently updating the references.
- Workspace: The workspace stores the current workflow and its natural language description, keeping the current working status complete and comprehensible.

PlanAgent is the core of GenAgent, responsible for the global planning of workflows under the task instruction. At each step, PlanAgent generates a high-level plan with an action decision based on the current memory and task instruction.

Actions represent different activities that PlanAgent can select, and the goal of each action is to modify the current memory. There are five possible actions for PlanAgent:

- Init: PlanAgent selects an existing workflow to initialize the memory, and gives a thorough multi-step plan based on the task instruction.
- Combine: There is a dedicated CombineAgent, responsible for executing the combine action. CombineAgent chooses a specific workflow from references and combines it into the current workflow code. After combining, a RefineAgent will refine the output of the CombineAgent and update it in the workspace.
- Adapt: AdaptAgent is a specialized agent responsible for carrying out the adapt action. AdaptAgent adapts the details (*e.g*. hyperparameters) of the current workflow based on the memory and instructions. After adaptation, a RefineAgent refines the output of the AdaptAgent and updates it in the workspace.
- Retrieve: A dedicated RetrieveAgent is responsible for executing the retrieve action. RetrieveAgent retrieves the most relevant information from the knowledge database and updates the references accordingly.
- Finish: PlanAgent evaluates the completion status of the task instructions based on the current workflow in the workspace. Upon task completion, the PlanAgent executes the finish action to prevent the occurrence of infinite loops.

GenAgent stably generates workflow code through multiple iterations of planning and action, based on the task instruction. The code is then converted into a standardized workflow, enabling the construction of a collaborative AI system.

4 Experiments

4.1 Implementation Details

Theoretically, GenAgent can be applied to any platform that solves problems by designing and executing workflows. In this work, we implement GenAgent on the ComfyUI platform as a proof of concept. ComfyUI is initially designed for stable diffusion models, where multiple modules are connected to form a pipeline, allowing for flexible and efficient generation. With the joint efforts of the open-source community, ComfyUI has been extended to support various models and tools, making it possible to solve a wide range of generation tasks. ComfyUI uses workflows to describe the generation pipelines. A typical ComfyUI workflow consists of tens of nodes and links, which are connected to form a complex DAG. In contrast, the benchmark tasks in previous works, such as NCEN-QA [\(Zeng et al.,](#page-13-14) [2023\)](#page-13-14) and OpenAGI [\(Ge et al.,](#page-10-2) [2024\)](#page-10-2), can be solved by tool chains within several steps. Therefore, the automatic design of ComfyUI workflows is more valuable and challenging.

The bidirectional conversion between workflow and code is one of the key features of GenAgent. Naive ComfyUI workflows are stored in JSON format. We implement a parser to extract the entire DAG described in the structured JSON file. The nodes on the graph are viewed as function calls, while the links pass the variables between functions. According to the topological order, the DAG is converted into a sequence of assignments and function calls, which exactly forms the code we mentioned in Section [3.2.](#page-3-1) The code follows a simplified Python syntax, only involving class instantiation and function calls. Although the code cannot be executed directly, it enhances the readability and compresses the context length. Similarly, a reverse parser is implemented to convert the code back to the structured JSON file, so that the generated workflows can be executed in ComfyUI.

Considering the difficulty of generating ComfyUI workflows, a powerful backbone LLM is required. In this work, we choose the latest version of GPT-4o, where different agents, such as the PlanAgent, CombineAgent, and AdaptAgent, are implemented as different roles played by GPT-4o. On one hand, GPT-4o has been fed with updated online knowledge, which makes the latest tools more understandable. On the other hand, it has strong multimodal capabilities in both natural language and programming language, which is an essential feature benefiting the GenAgent framework. Practice shows that GPT-4o can maximize the potential of GenAgent without any additional fine-tuning.

4.2 Benchmark Evaluation

To evaluate the effectiveness of GenAgent, we propose a benchmark, OpenComfy, based on the ComfyUI platform. OpenComfy contains 20 different tasks, covering various types of generation tasks, such as image editing, video generation, and even some compound tasks. All the tasks are verified feasible with native nodes or common extensions in ComfyUI, of which the most challenging one requires 24 nodes to build the expected workflow. We provide complete documentation for every node to help agents better understand the inner logic. We also provide a set of examples, containing 12 basic workflows with manual annotations, so that agents can learn from these examples. The specific tasks are listed in Appendix [B.](#page-20-0) We implement 4 competitive agents as baselines, which are listed as follows:

- **Zero-shot Agent** follows the zero-shot learning paradigm, which is fed with the task requirement and directly generates the workflow.
- **Few-shot Agent** follows the few-shot learning paradigm. which learns from the fixed examples and generates the workflow according to the task requirement.
- **CoT Agent** follows the Chain-of-Thought (CoT) [\(Wei et al.,](#page-12-1) [2022\)](#page-12-1) paradigm, which involves multiple intermediate steps to generate the workflow.
- **RAG Agent** follows the Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) [\(Lewis et al.,](#page-11-2) [2020\)](#page-11-2) paradigm, which learns from the retrieved examples and generates the workflow.
- **GenAgent** is our proposed framework, where multiple agents collaborate to learn and generate the workflow according to the task requirement.

All the agents represent the workflows with code as described in Section [3.2.](#page-3-1) We compute two types of pass rates as the metrics. The first pass rate is computed when the code is parsed into JSON format, which reflects whether the generated code is syntactically correct. Then the parsed workflow will be executed in ComfyUI, and the second pass rate reflects whether the workflow is logically correct and can be successfully executed. These two pass rates do not consider the quality of the final result, but they to some extent reveal the effectiveness and stability of the agent in generating workflows. Each task will be tested 5 times in the evaluation process, so there are 100 runs in total. Besides the run-level results, we also compute the task-level results, where a task is considered passed if any of the 5 runs are successful. The evaluation results on the OpenComfy benchmark are shown in Table [1.](#page-7-0)

Table 1: The evaluation results on the OpenComfy benchmark. Two types of pass rates of both run-level and task-level evaluations are reported. We compare GenAgent with zero-shot, few-shot, CoT, and RAG agents. The best results are highlighted in bold.

Agent Name	Run Level		Task Level	
		Pass Rate 1 Pass Rate 2 Pass Rate 1 Pass Rate 2		
Zero-shot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Few-shot	0.17	0.10	0.30	0.10
CoT	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05
RAG	0.65	0.49	0.95	0.85
GenAgent	0.69	0.59	0.95	0.90

From Table [1](#page-7-0) we can see that GenAgent outperforms all the baselines in both runlevel and task-level evaluations. The Zeroshot Agent fails to generate any valid workflow because the LLM lacks the basic knowledge. The Few-shot Agent and CoT Agent have limited performance because they cannot learn from relevant examples. The RAG Agent performs relatively well but has lower stability compared to GenAgent. In addition, its performance is limited by the number and quality of the retrieved examples. Therefore, we claim that GenAgent is capable of generating complex workflows with better effectiveness and stability. Figure [4](#page-7-1) shows how the task-level success rate changes with the number of runs for GenAgent, which reveals some randomness be-

Figure 4: The pass@k performance of GenAgent, demonstrating the percentage of the passed tasks within a certain number of runs.

tween different runs. The success rate rises dramatically within the first 4 runs but tends to remain at a high level after all 5 runs.

4.3 Ablation Studies

In Section [3.2,](#page-3-1) we argue that code is the most suitable representation for workflows compared to other formats. In this section, we conduct an ablation study to verify this claim. We implement three variants of GenAgent, where the workflows are represented in JSON, element list, and code, respectively. Note that flow graph is not implemented because it is not feasible for language models. Each variant is still evaluated on the OpenComfy benchmark, and each task is tested 5 times. We report the same metrics as in Section [4.2.](#page-6-0) The results are shown in Table [2.](#page-8-0)

We can see that representing workflows with code achieves the best performance in terms of all the metrics. The JSON representation shows poor performance as expected, because understanding and generating complex JSON structures is extremely difficult for LLMs. The element list representation fails to achieve satisfactory performance, because of the

Table 2: The results of the ablation study on different workflow representations. Four representations described in Section [3.2](#page-3-1) are compared, including flow graph, JSON, element list, and code. "-" means this representation cannot be directly used by LLMs.

lack of semantic and topological information. Therefore, we conclude that it is essential to represent workflows with code for GenAgent.

Figure 5: The first generation example of GenAgent in the ComfyUI platform. The task is to follow the pose in the given photo and generate a new image according to the description. GenAgent generates a workflow with 13 nodes and successfully completes the task.

4.4 Generation Examples

Besides the quantitative evaluation, we also present some qualitative results to demonstrate the effectiveness of GenAgent. We present the generation results of two different tasks selected from the OpenComfy benchmark, which can intuitively show that GenAgent can generate complex ComfyUI workflows and complete various generation tasks.

Figure [5](#page-8-1) shows the first generation example, where the task provides a photo of a girl playing the guitar and requires generating an image of an old man in the forest, playing the guitar with the same pose as the girl. Besides, the expected style and resolution are specified in the task requirement. Technically, a possible solution is to use a pose estimator together with a ControlNet model to inject the pose information as conditions. It turns out that GenAgent learns these techniques from human experience and generates a simple

Figure 6: The second generation example of GenAgent in the ComfyUI platform. The task involves techniques such as style transfer, image-to-video generation, super-resolution, and frame interpolation. GenAgent generates a workflow with 22 nodes, which finally produces a high-quality video satisfying the task requirement.

workflow with 13 nodes. The resulting image is generated by executing the workflow in ComfyUI, which satisfies the task requirement in terms of both parameters and semantics.

Figure [6](#page-9-0) shows the second generation example. The task is more complex compared to the first one, which requires generating an image of London following the style of the given photo of Budapest and converting it into a video. Considering the resolution and frame rate are limited by a single model, the task also involves upscaling and interpolation to form a high-quality video. To solve this task, GenAgent generates a workflow with 22 nodes and complicated connections, utilizing multiple models such as SVD, ESRGAN, and RIFE. The workflow finally generates a video with the expected content and quality. The complete workflows and corresponding images or videos are presented on our [Project Page.](https://xxyqwq.github.io/GenAgent)

5 Conclusion

In this work, we introduce the trend of building collaborative AI systems to solve more complex and diverse tasks systematically. We point out that the automatic design of collaborative AI systems may be the key to achieving high-level machine intelligence. To realize this possibility, we propose the GenAgent framework, which enables LLM agents to automatically design collaborative systems by generating workflows. The core idea of GenAgent lies in two aspects: representing workflows with code and building workflows with collaborative agents. We argue that a dedicated programming language is the most suitable way to describe workflows, which is compact, Turing complete, semantically rich, and friendly for LLMs. We illustrate the entire architecture of GenAgent, where multiple agents collaborate to generate workflows in a step-by-step manner.

To verify the effectiveness of our GenAgent framework, we implement and apply it on the ComfyUI platform. We also propose the OpenComfy benchmark to conduct comprehensive evaluations. The results show that GenAgent outperforms all the baselines in both run-level and task-level evaluations, which demonstrates that GenAgent is capable of generating complex workflows with better effectiveness and stability. In addition, we present some qualitative examples of the generated workflows and final results. We believe GenAgent can be applied to design any collaborative AI system as long as unified interfaces are provided, which provides a potential solution for completing general tasks and realizing AGI.

References

- Google DeepMind AlphaCode Team. Alphacode 2 technical report. [https://storage.](https://storage.googleapis.com/deepmind-media/AlphaCode2/AlphaCode2_Tech_Report.pdf) [googleapis.com/deepmind-media/AlphaCode2/AlphaCode2](https://storage.googleapis.com/deepmind-media/AlphaCode2/AlphaCode2_Tech_Report.pdf) Tech Report.pdf, 2024.
- Richard C Atkinson. Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. *The psychology of learning and motivation*, 1968.
- AutoGPT. Autogpt: Build & use ai agents. [https://github.com/Significant-Gravitas/](https://github.com/Significant-Gravitas/AutoGPT) [AutoGPT](https://github.com/Significant-Gravitas/AutoGPT), 2023.
- Alan Baddeley. Working memory. *Science*, 1992.
- Yuntao Bai, Andy Jones, Kamal Ndousse, Amanda Askell, Anna Chen, Nova DasSarma, Dawn Drain, Stanislav Fort, Deep Ganguli, Tom Henighan, et al. Training a helpful and harmless assistant with reinforcement learning from human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.05862*, 2022.
- Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Michal Podstawski, Lukas Gianinazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, et al. Graph of thoughts: Solving elaborate problems with large language models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.
- Tim Brooks, Bill Peebles, Connor Holmes, Will DePue, Yufei Guo, Li Jing, David Schnurr, Joe Taylor, Troy Luhman, Eric Luhman, Clarence Ng, Ricky Wang, and Aditya Ramesh. Video generation models as world simulators. [https://openai.com/research/](https://openai.com/research/video-generation-models-as-world-simulators) [video-generation-models-as-world-simulators](https://openai.com/research/video-generation-models-as-world-simulators), 2024.
- Ruisheng Cao, Fangyu Lei, Haoyuan Wu, Jixuan Chen, Yeqiao Fu, Hongcheng Gao, Xinzhuang Xiong, Hanchong Zhang, Yuchen Mao, Wenjing Hu, et al. Spider2-v: How far are multimodal agents from automating data science and engineering workflows? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10956*, 2024.
- Weize Chen, Yusheng Su, Jingwei Zuo, Cheng Yang, Chenfei Yuan, Chen Qian, Chi-Min Chan, Yujia Qin, Yaxi Lu, Ruobing Xie, et al. Agentverse: Facilitating multi-agent collaboration and exploring emergent behaviors in agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10848*, 2023.
- ComfyUI. Comfyui: The most powerful and modular diffusion model gui, api and backend with a graph/nodes interface. <https://github.com/comfyanonymous/ComfyUI>, 2023.
- Yunfan Gao, Yun Xiong, Xinyu Gao, Kangxiang Jia, Jinliu Pan, Yuxi Bi, Yi Dai, Jiawei Sun, and Haofen Wang. Retrieval-augmented generation for large language models: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.10997*, 2023.
- Yingqiang Ge, Wenyue Hua, Kai Mei, Juntao Tan, Shuyuan Xu, Zelong Li, Yongfeng Zhang, et al. Openagi: When llm meets domain experts. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024.
- Sirui Hong, Xiawu Zheng, Jonathan Chen, Yuheng Cheng, Jinlin Wang, Ceyao Zhang, Zili Wang, Steven Ka Shing Yau, Zijuan Lin, Liyang Zhou, et al. Metagpt: Meta programming for multi-agent collaborative framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00352*, 2023.
- Ian Huang, Guandao Yang, and Leonidas Guibas. Blenderalchemy: Editing 3d graphics with vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.17672*, 2024.
- Timo Kaufmann, Paul Weng, Viktor Bengs, and Eyke Hullermeier. A survey of reinforcement ¨ learning from human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14925*, 2023.
- Omar Khattab, Arnav Singhvi, Paridhi Maheshwari, Zhiyuan Zhang, Keshav Santhanam, Sri Vardhamanan, Saiful Haq, Ashutosh Sharma, Thomas T Joshi, Hanna Moazam, et al. Dspy: Compiling declarative language model calls into self-improving pipelines. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03714*, 2023.
- Jing Yu Koh, Robert Lo, Lawrence Jang, Vikram Duvvur, Ming Chong Lim, Po-Yu Huang, Graham Neubig, Shuyan Zhou, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Daniel Fried. Visualwebarena: Evaluating multimodal agents on realistic visual web tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13649*, 2024.
- LangChain. Applications that can reason. powered by langchain. [https://www.langchain.](https://www.langchain.com/) [com/](https://www.langchain.com/), 2023.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. Retrievalaugmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020.
- Guohao Li, Hasan Hammoud, Hani Itani, Dmitrii Khizbullin, and Bernard Ghanem. Camel: Communicative agents for" mind" exploration of large language model society. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- Zelong Li, Shuyuan Xu, Kai Mei, Wenyue Hua, Balaji Rama, Om Raheja, Hao Wang, He Zhu, and Yongfeng Zhang. Autoflow: Automated workflow generation for large language model agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12821*, 2024.
- LlamaIndex. Turn your enterprise data into production-ready llm applications. [https:](https://www.llamaindex.ai/) [//www.llamaindex.ai/](https://www.llamaindex.ai/), 2023.
- LMQL. A programming language for large language models. [https://github.com/](https://github.com/eth-sri/lmql) [eth-sri/lmql](https://github.com/eth-sri/lmql), 2023.
- Kai Mei, Zelong Li, Shuyuan Xu, Ruosong Ye, Yingqiang Ge, and Yongfeng Zhang. Llm agent operating system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16971*, 2024.
- Reiichiro Nakano, Jacob Hilton, Suchir Balaji, Jeff Wu, Long Ouyang, Christina Kim, Christopher Hesse, Shantanu Jain, Vineet Kosaraju, William Saunders, et al. Webgpt: Browserassisted question-answering with human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09332*, 2021.
- Harsha Nori, Yin Tat Lee, Sheng Zhang, Dean Carignan, Richard Edgar, Nicolo Fusi, Nicholas King, Jonathan Larson, Yuanzhi Li, Weishung Liu, et al. Can generalist foundation models outcompete special-purpose tuning? case study in medicine. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16452*, 2023.

OpenAI. Chatgpt plus. <https://openai.com/chatgpt/pricing/>, 2024.

- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2022.
- Yongliang Shen, Kaitao Song, Xu Tan, Dongsheng Li, Weiming Lu, and Yueting Zhuang. Hugginggpt: Solving ai tasks with chatgpt and its friends in hugging face. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024.
- Chan Hee Song, Jiaman Wu, Clayton Washington, Brian M Sadler, Wei-Lun Chao, and Yu Su. Llm-planner: Few-shot grounded planning for embodied agents with large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023.
- Theodore R Sumers, Shunyu Yao, Karthik Narasimhan, and Thomas L Griffiths. Cognitive architectures for language agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02427*, 2023.
- Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- Amitayush Thakur, Yeming Wen, and Swarat Chaudhuri. A language-agent approach to formal theorem-proving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04353*, 2023.
- Romal Thoppilan, Daniel De Freitas, Jamie Hall, Noam Shazeer, Apoorv Kulshreshtha, Heng-Tze Cheng, Alicia Jin, Taylor Bos, Leslie Baker, Yu Du, et al. Lamda: Language models for dialog applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08239*, 2022.
- Trieu H Trinh, Yuhuai Wu, Quoc V Le, He He, and Thang Luong. Solving olympiad geometry without human demonstrations. *Nature*, 2024.
- Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, et al. A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2024a.
- Xingyao Wang, Yangyi Chen, Lifan Yuan, Yizhe Zhang, Yunzhu Li, Hao Peng, and Heng Ji. Executable code actions elicit better llm agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01030*, 2024b.
- Xingyao Wang, Boxuan Li, Yufan Song, Frank F Xu, Xiangru Tang, Mingchen Zhuge, Jiayi Pan, Yueqi Song, Bowen Li, Jaskirat Singh, et al. Opendevin: An open platform for ai software developers as generalist agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.16741*, 2024c.
- Xuezhi Wang, Jason Wei, Dale Schuurmans, Quoc Le, Ed Chi, Sharan Narang, Aakanksha Chowdhery, and Denny Zhou. Self-consistency improves chain of thought reasoning in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11171*, 2022a.
- Zhiruo Wang, Shuyan Zhou, Daniel Fried, and Graham Neubig. Execution-based evaluation for open-domain code generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10481*, 2022b.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2022.
- Brandon T Willard and Remi Louf. Efficient guided generation for llms. ´ *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09702*, 2023.
- Qingyun Wu, Gagan Bansal, Jieyu Zhang, Yiran Wu, Shaokun Zhang, Erkang Zhu, Beibin Li, Li Jiang, Xiaoyun Zhang, and Chi Wang. Autogen: Enabling next-gen llm applications via multi-agent conversation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08155*, 2023.
- Zhiyong Wu, Chengcheng Han, Zichen Ding, Zhenmin Weng, Zhoumianze Liu, Shunyu Yao, Tao Yu, and Lingpeng Kong. Os-copilot: Towards generalist computer agents with self-improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07456*, 2024.
- Zhiheng Xi, Wenxiang Chen, Xin Guo, Wei He, Yiwen Ding, Boyang Hong, Ming Zhang, Junzhe Wang, Senjie Jin, Enyu Zhou, et al. The rise and potential of large language model based agents: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07864*, 2023.
- Jian Xie, Kai Zhang, Jiangjie Chen, Tinghui Zhu, Renze Lou, Yuandong Tian, Yanghua Xiao, and Yu Su. Travelplanner: A benchmark for real-world planning with language agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01622*, 2024a.
- Tianbao Xie, Fan Zhou, Zhoujun Cheng, Peng Shi, Luoxuan Weng, Yitao Liu, Toh Jing Hua, Junning Zhao, Qian Liu, Che Liu, et al. Openagents: An open platform for language agents in the wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10634*, 2023.
- Tianbao Xie, Danyang Zhang, Jixuan Chen, Xiaochuan Li, Siheng Zhao, Ruisheng Cao, Toh Jing Hua, Zhoujun Cheng, Dongchan Shin, Fangyu Lei, et al. Osworld: Benchmarking multimodal agents for open-ended tasks in real computer environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07972*, 2024b.
- John Yang, Akshara Prabhakar, Shunyu Yao, Kexin Pei, and Karthik R Narasimhan. Language agents as hackers: Evaluating cybersecurity skills with capture the flag. In *Multi-Agent Security Workshop@ NeurIPS'23*, 2023a.
- John Yang, Carlos E Jimenez, Alexander Wettig, Kilian Lieret, Shunyu Yao, Karthik Narasimhan, and Ofir Press. Swe-agent: Agent-computer interfaces enable automated software engineering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15793*, 2024a.
- John Yang, Akshara Prabhakar, Karthik Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. Intercode: Standardizing and benchmarking interactive coding with execution feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024b.
- Zhao Yang, Jiaxuan Liu, Yucheng Han, Xin Chen, Zebiao Huang, Bin Fu, and Gang Yu. Appagent: Multimodal agents as smartphone users. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13771*, 2023_b .
- Shunyu Yao, Howard Chen, John Yang, and Karthik Narasimhan. Webshop: Towards scalable real-world web interaction with grounded language agents. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
- Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran, Tom Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik Narasimhan. Tree of thoughts: Deliberate problem solving with large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024.
- Pengcheng Yin, Wen-Ding Li, Kefan Xiao, Abhishek Rao, Yeming Wen, Kensen Shi, Joshua Howland, Paige Bailey, Michele Catasta, Henryk Michalewski, et al. Natural language to code generation in interactive data science notebooks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09248*, 2022.
- Zhengqing Yuan, Ruoxi Chen, Zhaoxu Li, Haolong Jia, Lifang He, Chi Wang, and Lichao Sun. Mora: Enabling generalist video generation via a multi-agent framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.13248*, 2024.
- Matei Zaharia, Omar Khattab, Lingjiao Chen, Jared Quincy Davis, Heather Miller, Chris Potts, James Zou, Michael Carbin, Jonathan Frankle, Naveen Rao, and Ali Ghodsi. The shift from models to compound ai systems. [https://bair.berkeley.edu/blog/2024/02/](https://bair.berkeley.edu/blog/2024/02/18/compound-ai-systems/) [18/compound-ai-systems/](https://bair.berkeley.edu/blog/2024/02/18/compound-ai-systems/), 2024.
- Zhen Zeng, William Watson, Nicole Cho, Saba Rahimi, Shayleen Reynolds, Tucker Balch, and Manuela Veloso. Flowmind: automatic workflow generation with llms. In *Proceedings of the Fourth ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, 2023.
- Wenqi Zhang, Yongliang Shen, Weiming Lu, and Yueting Zhuang. Data-copilot: Bridging billions of data and humans with autonomous workflow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07209*, 2023.
- Zeyu Zhang, Xiaohe Bo, Chen Ma, Rui Li, Xu Chen, Quanyu Dai, Jieming Zhu, Zhenhua Dong, and Ji-Rong Wen. A survey on the memory mechanism of large language model based agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13501*, 2024.
- Lianmin Zheng, Liangsheng Yin, Zhiqiang Xie, Chuyue Sun, Jeff Huang, Cody Hao Yu, Shiyi Cao, Christos Kozyrakis, Ion Stoica, Joseph E Gonzalez, et al. Sglang: Efficient execution of structured language model programs, 2023.
- Wanjun Zhong, Lianghong Guo, Qiqi Gao, He Ye, and Yanlin Wang. Memorybank: Enhancing large language models with long-term memory. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.
- Shuyan Zhou, Frank F Xu, Hao Zhu, Xuhui Zhou, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Xianyi Cheng, Tianyue Ou, Yonatan Bisk, Daniel Fried, et al. Webarena: A realistic web environment for building autonomous agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13854*, 2023.
- Mingchen Zhuge, Wenyi Wang, Louis Kirsch, Francesco Faccio, Dmitrii Khizbullin, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Gptswarm: Language agents as optimizable graphs. In Forty-first *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.

A Prompt Template

In this section, we provide the prompt templates of different agents in the GenAgent framework. Generally, we first introduce the ComfyUI platform as the background, then present the useful information for the current task, and finally specify the answer format. To extract the expected answers correctly and stably, we follow the prompting strategy in CodeAct [\(Wang et al.,](#page-12-13) [2024b\)](#page-12-13), which uses tags to enclose the specific answers.

The prompt template for AnalyzeAgent is presented as follows:

Prompt Template of AnalyzeAgent

Figure 7: Prompt template of AnalyzeAgent.

The prompt template for PlanAgent is presented as follows:

Prompt Template of PlanAgent ## Task ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. \rightarrow ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. \rightarrow Users can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and \rightarrow invoking them for execution. You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows. \hookrightarrow Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task: {query} The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow is \rightarrow analyzed as follows: {analysis} ## Reference According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful: {reference} ## History

Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most recent \rightarrow record is at the bottom of history. {history} ## Workspace The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented as \leftrightarrow follows: {workspace} ## Action Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about \rightarrow what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added. Your → thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is needed \rightarrow to improve the resolution. </thought>. After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your workflow. \rightarrow Your plan should contain at most {step} steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action. Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will \rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since all \rightarrow the functions are implemented. </plan>. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments (if \rightarrow required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your new \rightarrow plan. You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python. \rightarrow Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action> combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish() </action>. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow - `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the ,[→] current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to load. - `combine`: Combine the current workflow with the specified example workflow, so \rightarrow that you can add necessary modules (e.g. adding a upscaling module to the → text-to-image pipeline). Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to combine. - `adapt`: Adapt some of the parameters in the current workflow, so that you can \rightarrow better realize the expected effects. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to specify which parameters you want to adapt and how to \rightarrow adapt them. - `retrieve`: Retrieve a new batch of example workflows, so that you may find useful ,[→] references. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to describe the expected features of example workflows \rightarrow you want to retrieve. - `finish`: Finish the task since the current workflow is capable of realizing the \rightarrow expected effects. Refer to the history before making a decision. Here are some general rules you \rightarrow should follow: 1. You may choose the `load` action only when the history is empty (i.e. the current \rightarrow workflow may be unrelated to the task). 2. If you choose the `load` or `combine` action, make sure that the example workflow exists in the reference. Otherwise, you should try to update the reference list \rightarrow by the `retrieve` action. \hookrightarrow 3. You should not choose the `adapt` action twice in a row, because they can be

 \rightarrow simplified into a single action.

4. If you choose the `adapt` or `retrieve` action, make sure that your prompt is \rightarrow concise and contains all the necessary information. 5. You should choose the `finish` action before the steps are exhausted. Now, provide your thought, plan and action with the required format.

Figure 8: Prompt template of PlanAgent.

The prompt template for CombineAgent is presented as follows:

2. Each instantiated node should be invoked only once. You should instantiate ,[→] another node if you need to reuse the same function. 3. Avoid reusing the same variable name. For example: "value_1 = $node_1(value_1)$ " is \rightarrow not allowed because the output "value_1" overrides the input "value_1". 4. Avoid nested calls in a single code line. For example: "output_2 = node_2(input_1, \rightarrow node_1())" should be separated into "output_1 = node_1() and output_2 = \rightarrow node_2(input_1, output_1)". Your code should be enclosed with "<code>" tag. For example: <code> output_1 = \rightarrow node_1() \lt /code>. After that, you should provide a brief description of the updated workflow and the \rightarrow expected effects as in the example. Your description should be enclosed with "<description>" tag. For example: <description> This workflow uses the \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline together with an upscaling module to generate a \rightarrow high-resolution image of a running horse. </description>. \hookrightarrow Now, provide your code and description with the required format.

Figure 9: Prompt Template of CombineAgent.

The prompt template for AdaptAgent is presented as follows:

Prompt Template of AdaptAgent ## Task ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. \rightarrow ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. \rightarrow <code>Users</code> can formulate a workflow into <code>Python</code> code by instantiating nodes and \rightarrow invoking them for execution. You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to \rightarrow design their own workflows. Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task: {query} The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow is \rightarrow analyzed as follows: {analysis} ## Workspace The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented as \leftrightarrow follows:

{workspace}

Adaptation

Based on the current working progress, your step-by-step plan is presented as \leftrightarrow follows:

{planning}

Now you are working on the first step of your plan. Later steps should not be \rightarrow considered at this moment. In other words, you should adjust some of the \rightarrow <code>parameters</code> in the current workflow according to your plan, so that you can better

realize the expected effects. Your expected modification is specified as follows: \hookrightarrow

```
{adaptation}
First, you should provide your Python code to formulate the updated workflow. Your
\rightarrow code must meet the following rules:
1. Each code line should either instantiate a node or invoke a node. You should not
\rightarrow invoke a node before it is instantiated.
2. Each instantiated node should be invoked only once. You should instantiate
\rightarrow another node if you need to reuse the same function.
3. Avoid reusing the same variable name. For example: "value_1 = node_1(value_1)" is
\rightarrow not allowed because the output "value_1" overrides the input "value_1".
4. Avoid nested calls in a single code line. For example: "output_2 = node_2(input_1,
\rightarrow node_1())" should be separated into "output_1 = node_1() and output_2 =
\rightarrow node_2(input_1, output_1)".
Your code should be enclosed with "<code>" tag. For example: <code> output_1 =
\rightarrow node_1() </code>.
After that, you should provide a brief description of the updated workflow and the
\rightarrow expected effects as in the example. Your description should be enclosed with
   "<description>" tag. For example: <description> This workflow uses the
\rightarrow text-to-image pipeline together with an upscaling module to generate a
\rightarrow high-resolution image of a running horse. </description>.
\hookrightarrowNow, provide your code and description with the required format.
```
Figure 10: Prompt Template of AdaptAgent.

The prompt template for RefineAgent is presented as follows:


```
{workspace}
## Refinement
Based on the current working progress, your step-by-step plan is presented as
\leftrightarrow follows:
{planning}
To realize the first step of your plan, the code and description of your updated
\rightarrow workflow are presented as in the workspace. However, an error occurred when
\rightarrow running your code. This may be caused by nested calls, missing parameters, or
   other issues. The detailed error message is presented as follows:
\hookrightarrow{refinement}
First, Try to explain why this error occurred. Your explanation should be enclosed
\rightarrow with "<explanation>" tag. For example: <explanation> The error occurred because
\rightarrow the input parameter of node_1 is missing. </explanation>.
After that, correct the error and provide your Python code again. Your code must
\rightarrow meet the following rules:
1. Each code line should either instantiate a node or invoke a node. You should not
\rightarrow invoke a node before it is instantiated.
2. Each instantiated node should be invoked only once. You should instantiate
,→ another node if you need to reuse the same function.
3. Avoid reusing the same variable name. For example: "value_1 = node_1(value_1)" is
\rightarrow not allowed because the output "value_1" overrides the input "value_1".
4. Avoid nested calls in a single code line. For example: "output_2 = node_2(input_1,
\rightarrow node_1())" should be separated into "output_1 = node_1() and output_2 =
\rightarrow node_2(input_1, output_1)".
Your code should be enclosed with "<code>" tag. For example: <code> output_1 =
\rightarrow node_1() \lt/code>.
Finally, provide a brief description of the updated workflow and the expected
\rightarrow effects as in the example. Your description should be enclosed with
    "<description>" tag. For example: <description> This workflow uses the
\rightarrow text-to-image pipeline together with an upscaling module to generate a
   high-resolution image of a running horse. </description>.
\hookrightarrow\hookrightarrowNow, provide your explanation, code, and description with the required format.
```
Figure 11: Prompt Template of RefineAgent.

In addition, the retriever and the memory in the GenAgent framework are implemented as rule-based modules, so no prompt template is required for them.

B Benchmark Task

In this section, we provide detailed information on all the tasks in the OpenComfy benchmark. The tasks are designed to cover a wide range of image and video generation requirements. There are various modality combinations for the input and output of the tasks. The complexity of the expected workflows also varies. The tasks are presented as follows:

Table 3: All the task information in the OpenComfy benchmark. The tasks cover a wide range of modality combinations and complexity levels. We present the task requirements together with the input and output modalities in the table.

Continued on next page

Table 3 – continued from previous page

C Example Trajectory

In this section, we provide an example trajectory to show how GenAgent gradually builds a complete workflow to finish the given generation task. We select the 18th task in the OpenComfy benchmark, which generates a video from the given image and involves basic pipelines such as image-to-video generation, video upscaling and video interpolation. The entire trajectory, including the task requirement, multi-turn system prompt, and answers of different agents, is presented as follows:

Task Requirement

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

- \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its
- \rightarrow resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation.
- \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

System Prompt

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking

 \rightarrow them for execution.

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

 \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its

 \rightarrow resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation.

 \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

Based on the description, point out the key points behind the requirements (e.g.

- \rightarrow main object, specific style, target resolution, etc.) and the expected
- \rightarrow paradigm of the workflow (e.g., text-to-image, image-to-image, image-to-video, etc.). \hookrightarrow

You are not required to provide the code for the workflow. Please make sure your \rightarrow answers are clear and concise within a single paragraph.

AnalyzeAgent Response

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest

 \hookrightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications

- in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \hookrightarrow
- \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate
- enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video \hookrightarrow
- \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then
- \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased
- \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques.
- \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a
- video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow

System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users

 \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking \rightarrow them for execution.

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

- \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its
- resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation. \hookrightarrow
- \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate \rightarrow enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques. \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a \rightarrow video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow ## Reference According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful: - Example: image_to_video <description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a \rightarrow $\,$ girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 $\,$ \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. </description> - Example: frame_interpolation <description> This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video, \rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine \rightarrow them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per \rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames \rightarrow per second. </description> - Example: text_to_animation <description> This workflow uses AnimateDiff to generate animations from text descriptions. \rightarrow With the screen size and frame number specified, the workflow uses the batch \rightarrow prompt node to describe the animation contents in those specific key frames, \rightarrow so that a temporal-consistent animation corresponding to the text description \rightarrow can be generated. In this example, we animate a smiling girl, with her eyes \rightarrow winking and wind blowing her hair. Image size of around 512x512 is recommended. Extremely large image size will lead to defective results. \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: follow_style <description> This workflow uses CLIPVisionEncode and unCLIPConditioning nodes to follow the \rightarrow style of the given image. Given a reference image, the workflow encodes it \rightarrow with the CLIP vision model and then converts it into conditioning, which will be combined with the text prompt. In this example, we provide an image of Budapest and follow its style to generate a beautiful photograph of an old \leftrightarrow European city. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: detail_editing <description>

This workflow uses ControlNets based on depth map and line art to edit details in \rightarrow an image, such as expressions, ornaments, and painting styles, where the \rightarrow result image tends to follow the original image faithfully and large-scale \rightarrow modification is unrealizable. Given an image, the source prompt describes the \rightarrow original appearance and the destination prompt describes the desired \rightarrow appearance. Then the workflow will try to make some modifications in detail \rightarrow according to the expected effects. In this example, we add a pair of \rightarrow sunglasses to the girl in the image. </description> ## History Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most \rightarrow recent record is at the bottom of history. - The history is empty. ## Workspace The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented \rightarrow as follows: <code></code> # create nodes by instantiation vaedecode_8 = VAEDecode() saveimage_9 = SaveImage(filename_prefix="""ComfyUI""") cliptextencode_6 = $CLIPTextEncode(text="''"a photo of a cat wearing a spacesuit$ \rightarrow inside a spaceship \rightarrow high resolution, detailed, 4k""") cliptextencode_7 = CLIPTextEncode(text="""blurry, illustration""") emptylatentimage_5 = EmptyLatentImage(width=512, height=512, batch_size=1) ksampler_3 = KSampler(seed=636250194499614, control_after_generate="""fixed""", → steps=20, cfg=7, sampler_name="""dpmpp_2m""", scheduler="""karras""", \leftrightarrow denoise=1) checkpointloadersimple_4 = ,[→] CheckpointLoaderSimple(ckpt_name="""dreamshaper_8.safetensors""") # link nodes by invocation $latent_5 = emptylatentimage_5()$ model_4, clip_4, vae_4 = checkpointloadersimple_4() conditioning_6 = cliptextencode_6(clip=clip_4) $conditioning_7 = cliptextencode_7(clip=clip_4)$ latent_3 = ksampler_3(model=model_4, positive=conditioning_6, \rightarrow negative=conditioning_7, latent_image=latent_5) image_8 = vaedecode_8(samples=latent_3, vae=vae_4) $result_9 = saveimage_9(images=image_8)$ </code> <description> This workflow presents a basic pipeline of text-to-image generation using Stable \rightarrow Diffusion. Given the positive and negative prompts, the workflow will load the \rightarrow pretrained models, encode the prompts into conditioning, create and denoise \rightarrow the latent code, and finally decode the latent code into an image. In this \rightarrow example, we generate a photo of a cat wearing a spacesuit inside a spaceship, while avoiding blurry and illustration-like results. \hookrightarrow </description> ## Action Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about \rightarrow what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added.

Your thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is → needed to improve the resolution. </thought>. After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your \rightarrow workflow. Your plan should contain at most 5 steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make \rightarrow sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action. Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will \rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since \rightarrow all the functions are implemented. </plan>. Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments \rightarrow (if required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your \hookrightarrow new plan. You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python. Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action> → combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the \rightarrow factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish() \leftrightarrow </action>. - `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the \rightarrow current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to load. - `combine`: Combine the current workflow with the specified example workflow, so \rightarrow that you can add necessary modules (e.g. adding a upscaling module to the → text-to-image pipeline). Arguments: . `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to combine. - `adapt`: Adapt some of the parameters in the current workflow, so that you can \rightarrow better realize the expected effects. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to specify which parameters you want to adapt and how to \rightarrow adapt them. - `retrieve`: Retrieve a new batch of example workflows, so that you may find \rightarrow useful references. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to describe the expected features of example workflows \rightarrow you want to retrieve. - `finish`: Finish the task since the current workflow is capable of realizing the \leftrightarrow expected effects. Refer to the history before making a decision. Here are some general rules you \leftrightarrow should follow: 1. You may choose the `load` action only when the history is empty (i.e. the \rightarrow current workflow may be unrelated to the task). 2. If you choose the `load` or `combine` action, make sure that the example \rightarrow workflow exists in the reference. Otherwise, you should try to update the \rightarrow reference list by the `retrieve` action.
3. You should not choose the `adapt` action twice in a row, because they can be \rightarrow simplified into a single action. 4. If you choose the `adapt` or `retrieve` action, make sure that your prompt is \rightarrow concise and contains all the necessary information. 5. You should choose the `finish` action before the steps are exhausted. Now, provide your thought, plan and action with the required format.

PlanAgent Response

<thought> The current workflow is a basic text-to-image generation pipeline, and \rightarrow it does not align with the task of generating a high-quality video from a \rightarrow given image. The required workflow needs modules for video creation from an \rightarrow image, upscaling, frame interpolation, and saving the video in GIF format. \rightarrow None of these functions are implemented in the current workflow. </thought> $<$ plan $>$ Step 1: I will load the `image_to_video` workflow, as it provides the foundation \rightarrow for converting an image into a video. Step 2: Next, I will combine it with the `frame_interpolation` workflow to ,[→] enhance the frame rate of the generated video. Step 3: Then, I will integrate an upscaling module to enhance the resolution of \rightarrow the interpolated video. Step 4: Finally, I will save the resulting video in GIF format as required. </plan>

<action> load(name="image_to_video") </action>

System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that ,[→] users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users

 \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

 \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its

resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation. \hookrightarrow

 \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques. \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a \rightarrow video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow ## Reference

According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful:

- Example: image_to_video

<description>

 \rightarrow them for execution.

This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: frame_interpolation <description> This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video, \rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine \rightarrow them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per \rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames \rightarrow per second. </description> - Example: text_to_animation <description> This workflow uses AnimateDiff to generate animations from text descriptions. \rightarrow With the screen size and frame number specified, the workflow uses the batch \rightarrow prompt node to describe the animation contents in those specific key frames, \rightarrow so that a temporal-consistent animation corresponding to the text description \rightarrow can be generated. In this example, we animate a smiling girl, with her eyes \rightarrow winking and wind blowing her hair. Image size of around 512x512 is \rightarrow recommended. Extremely large image size will lead to defective results. </description> - Example: follow_style <description> This workflow uses CLIPVisionEncode and unCLIPConditioning nodes to follow the \rightarrow style of the given image. Given a reference image, the workflow encodes it \rightarrow with the CLIP vision model and then converts it into conditioning, which will \rightarrow be combined with the text prompt. In this example, we provide an image of Budapest and follow its style to generate a beautiful photograph of an old \leftrightarrow European city. \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: detail_editing <description> This workflow uses ControlNets based on depth map and line art to edit details in \rightarrow an image, such as expressions, ornaments, and painting styles, where the \rightarrow result image tends to follow the original image faithfully and large-scale modification is unrealizable. Given an image, the source prompt describes the \rightarrow original appearance and the destination prompt describes the desired \rightarrow appearance. Then the workflow will try to make some modifications in detail \rightarrow according to the expected effects. In this example, we add a pair of \rightarrow sunglasses to the girl in the image. \rightarrow </description> ## History Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most \rightarrow recent record is at the bottom of history. <plan> Step 1: I will load the `image_to_video` workflow, as it provides the \rightarrow foundation for converting an image into a video.

Step 2: Next, I will combine it with the `frame_interpolation` workflow to \rightarrow enhance the frame rate of the generated video. Step 3: Then, I will integrate an upscaling module to enhance the resolution of \rightarrow the interpolated video. Step 4: Finally, I will save the resulting video in GIF format as required. </plan> <action> load(name="image_to_video") </action>. ## Workspace The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented \leftrightarrow as follows: <code></code> # create nodes by instantiation ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""", → noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20, \rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""", → start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""") svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=1024, height=576, ,[→] video_frames=24, motion_bucket_id=100, fps=6, augmentation_level=0) imageonlycheckpointloader_64 = ,[→] ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""") modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 = → ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500, \rightarrow sigma_min=0.002) $freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)$ videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1) vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode() loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""play_guitar.jpg""") vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0, → filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False, → save_output=True) # link nodes by invocation model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64() $image_50$, mask $_50 = loadimage_50()$ positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 = → svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50, \rightarrow vae=vae_64) model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64) model_90 = freeu_v2_90(model=model_91) model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90) latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63, \rightarrow negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63) image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64) filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None) </code> <description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow $\,$ video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a \rightarrow $\,$ girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 $\,$ frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. \hookrightarrow </description> ## Action Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about

 \rightarrow what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added.

Your thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is → needed to improve the resolution. </thought>. After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your \rightarrow workflow. Your plan should contain at most 4 steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make \rightarrow sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action. Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will \rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since \rightarrow all the functions are implemented. </plan>. Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments \rightarrow (if required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your \hookrightarrow new plan. You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python. Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action> → combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the \rightarrow factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish() \leftrightarrow </action>. - `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the \rightarrow current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to load. - `combine`: Combine the current workflow with the specified example workflow, so \rightarrow that you can add necessary modules (e.g. adding a upscaling module to the → text-to-image pipeline). Arguments: . `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to combine. - `adapt`: Adapt some of the parameters in the current workflow, so that you can \rightarrow better realize the expected effects. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to specify which parameters you want to adapt and how to \rightarrow adapt them. - `retrieve`: Retrieve a new batch of example workflows, so that you may find \rightarrow useful references. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to describe the expected features of example workflows \rightarrow you want to retrieve. - `finish`: Finish the task since the current workflow is capable of realizing the \leftrightarrow expected effects. Refer to the history before making a decision. Here are some general rules you \leftrightarrow should follow: 1. You may choose the `load` action only when the history is empty (i.e. the \rightarrow current workflow may be unrelated to the task). 2. If you choose the `load` or `combine` action, make sure that the example \rightarrow workflow exists in the reference. Otherwise, you should try to update the \rightarrow reference list by the `retrieve` action.
3. You should not choose the `adapt` action twice in a row, because they can be \rightarrow simplified into a single action. 4. If you choose the `adapt` or `retrieve` action, make sure that your prompt is \rightarrow concise and contains all the necessary information. 5. You should choose the `finish` action before the steps are exhausted. Now, provide your thought, plan and action with the required format.

PlanAgent Response

<thought> The current workflow has implemented the initial step of generating a \rightarrow video from the image using Stable Video Diffusion. However, it needs further \rightarrow enhancements, such as increasing the resolution to 1024x1024 and the frame \rightarrow rate to 24 FPS via upscaling and interpolation. It also needs to finally save \rightarrow the video in GIF format. </thought> $<$ plan $>$ Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the `frame_interpolation` \rightarrow workflow to implement the frame rate enhancement. Step 2: I will add an upscaling module to increase the video resolution to \rightarrow 1024x1024. Step 3: I will adapt the relevant parameters of the video output settings, such as \rightarrow frame rate, resolution, and output format to GIF. Step 4: I will finish the task by ensuring that the workflow meets the criteria. </plan>

<action> combine(name="frame_interpolation") </action>

System Prompt

```
## Task
```
ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users

 \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking \rightarrow them for execution.

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

- \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its
- \rightarrow resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation.
- \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications \rightarrow in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques. \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a \rightarrow video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow ## Reference The code and description of the example workflow you are referring to are \rightarrow presented as follows: - Example: frame_interpolation

<code></code> # create nodes by instantiation

```
vhs_videocombine_3 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""AnimateDiff""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
    save_output=True)
\hookrightarrowvhs_loadvideo_7 = VHS_LoadVideo(video="""play_guitar.gif""", force_rate=0,
\rightarrow force_size="""Disabled""", custom_width=512, custom_height=512,
→ frame_load_cap=0, skip_first_frames=0, select_every_nth=1)
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
# link nodes by invocation
image_7, frame_count_7, audio_7, video_info_7 = vhs_loadvideo_7(meta_batch=None)
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_7, optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_3 = vhs_videocombine_3(images=image_10, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
\langle/code>
<description>
This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video,
\rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine
\rightarrow them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per
\rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames
    per second.
\hookrightarrow</description>
## Workspace
The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented
\rightarrow as follows:
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
\rightarrow noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
,→
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=1024, height=576,
,→ video_frames=24, motion_bucket_id=100, fps=6, augmentation_level=0)
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = Freel_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""play_guitar.jpg""")
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# link nodes by invocation
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\rightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90(model=model_91)
model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
,→ negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
```
</code>

<description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow $\,$ video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a \rightarrow girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. </description> ## Combination Based on the current working progress, your step-by-step plan is presented as $ightharpoonup$ follows: Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the 'frame_interpolation' \rightarrow workflow to implement the frame rate enhancement. Step 2: I will add an upscaling module to increase the video resolution to $1024x1024$. Step 3: I will adapt the relevant parameters of the video output settings, such as \rightarrow frame rate, resolution, and output format to GIF. Step 4: I will finish the task by ensuring that the workflow meets the criteria. Now you are working on the first step of your plan. Later steps should not be \rightarrow considered at this moment. In other words, you should merge the example workflow with the current workflow \rightarrow according to your plan, so that their functions can be combined. First, you should provide your Python code to formulate the updated workflow. Your \rightarrow code must meet the following rules: 1. Each code line should either instantiate a node or invoke a node. You should \rightarrow not invoke a node before it is instantiated. 2. Each instantiated node should be invoked only once. You should instantiate \rightarrow another node if you need to reuse the same function. 3. Avoid reusing the same variable name. For example: "value_1 = node_1(value_1)" is not allowed because the output "value_1" overrides the input "value_1". 4. Avoid nested calls in a single code line. For example: "output_2 = \rightarrow node_2(input_1, node_1())" should be separated into "output_1 = node_1() and \rightarrow output_2 = node_2(input_1, output_1)". Your code should be enclosed with "<code>" tag. For example: <code> output_1 = \rightarrow node_1() \lt /code>. After that, you should provide a brief description of the updated workflow and the \rightarrow expected effects as in the example. Your description should be enclosed with "<description>" tag. For example: \rightarrow \prec description> This workflow uses the text-to-image pipeline together with an \rightarrow upscaling module to generate a high-resolution image of a running horse. → </description>. Now, provide your code and description with the required format.

CombineAgent Response

<code></code> # create nodes by instantiation

```
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
→ noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
    start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
\hookrightarrowsvd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow video_frames=16, motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
\leftrightarrow Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# link nodes by invocation
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()
positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\leftrightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90 (model=model_91)model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
,→ negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_70, optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None,
\rightarrow meta_batch=None)
</code>
<description>
This updated workflow combines the original image-to-video pipeline with a frame
\rightarrow interpolation technique to generate a 2-second video from a single image. The
\rightarrow initial video is generated at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames per second. The
\rightarrow workflow then interpolates the frames to increase the frame rate to 24 FPS.
\rightarrow The final high-quality video is saved in GIF format.
</description>
```
System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking \rightarrow them for execution. You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows. Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task: You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second \rightarrow <code>video</code> with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation. \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format. \hookrightarrow The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows: The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques. \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a \rightarrow video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow ## Reference According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful: - Example: image_to_video <description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow $\,$ video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: frame_interpolation <description> This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video, \rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine \rightarrow them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per \rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames \leftrightarrow per second. </description> - Example: text_to_animation

<description>

This workflow uses AnimateDiff to generate animations from text descriptions. \rightarrow With the screen size and frame number specified, the workflow uses the batch prompt node to describe the animation contents in those specific key frames, so that a temporal-consistent animation corresponding to the text description \rightarrow can be generated. In this example, we animate a smiling girl, with her eyes \rightarrow winking and wind blowing her hair. Image size of around 512x512 is \rightarrow recommended. Extremely large image size will lead to defective results. \rightarrow \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: follow_style <description> This workflow uses CLIPVisionEncode and unCLIPConditioning nodes to follow the \rightarrow style of the given image. Given a reference image, the workflow encodes it \rightarrow with the CLIP vision model and then converts it into conditioning, which will \rightarrow be combined with the text prompt. In this example, we provide an image of \rightarrow Budapest and follow its style to generate a beautiful photograph of an old \leftrightarrow European city. </description> - Example: detail_editing <description> This workflow uses ControlNets based on depth map and line art to edit details in \rightarrow an image, such as expressions, ornaments, and painting styles, where the \rightarrow result image tends to follow the original image faithfully and large-scale \rightarrow modification is unrealizable. Given an image, the source prompt describes the \rightarrow original appearance and the destination prompt describes the desired \rightarrow appearance. Then the workflow will try to make some modifications in detail according to the expected effects. In this example, we add a pair of \rightarrow sunglasses to the girl in the image. \hookrightarrow </description> ## History Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most \rightarrow recent record is at the bottom of history. <plan> Step 1: I will load the `image_to_video` workflow, as it provides the \rightarrow foundation for converting an image into a video. Step 2: Next, I will combine it with the `frame_interpolation` workflow to \rightarrow enhance the frame rate of the generated video. Step 3: Then, I will integrate an upscaling module to enhance the resolution of \rightarrow the interpolated video. Step 4: Finally, I will save the resulting video in GIF format as required. </plan> <action> load(name="image_to_video") </action>. <plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the `frame_interpolation` \rightarrow workflow to implement the frame rate enhancement. Step 2: I will add an upscaling module to increase the video resolution to \rightarrow 1024x1024. Step 3: I will adapt the relevant parameters of the video output settings, such as \rightarrow frame rate, resolution, and output format to GIF. Step 4: I will finish the task by ensuring that the workflow meets the criteria. \rightarrow </plan> <action> combine(name="frame_interpolation") </action>. ## Workspace The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented

 \rightarrow as follows:

```
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
\rightarrow noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
→ start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow <code>video_frames=16,</code> motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
,→ Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# link nodes by invocation
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\leftrightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90 (model=model_91)model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
,→ negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_70, optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None,
\rightarrow meta_batch=None)
\langle/\langlende\rangle<description>
This updated workflow combines the original image-to-video pipeline with a frame
\rightarrow interpolation technique to generate a 2-second video from a single image. The
\rightarrow initial video is generated at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames per second. The
\rightarrow workflow then interpolates the frames to increase the frame rate to 24 FPS.
\rightarrow The final high-quality video is saved in GIF format.
</description>
## Action
Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about
```
 \rightarrow what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added.

Your thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is → needed to improve the resolution. </thought>. After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your \rightarrow workflow. Your plan should contain at most 3 steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make \rightarrow sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action. Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will \rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since \rightarrow all the functions are implemented. </plan>. Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments \rightarrow (if required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your \hookrightarrow new plan. You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python. Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action> → combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the \rightarrow factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish() \leftrightarrow </action>. - `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the \rightarrow current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to load. - `combine`: Combine the current workflow with the specified example workflow, so \rightarrow that you can add necessary modules (e.g. adding a upscaling module to the → text-to-image pipeline). Arguments: . `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to combine. - `adapt`: Adapt some of the parameters in the current workflow, so that you can \rightarrow better realize the expected effects. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to specify which parameters you want to adapt and how to \rightarrow adapt them. - `retrieve`: Retrieve a new batch of example workflows, so that you may find \rightarrow useful references. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to describe the expected features of example workflows \rightarrow you want to retrieve. - `finish`: Finish the task since the current workflow is capable of realizing the \leftrightarrow expected effects. Refer to the history before making a decision. Here are some general rules you \leftrightarrow should follow: 1. You may choose the `load` action only when the history is empty (i.e. the \rightarrow current workflow may be unrelated to the task). 2. If you choose the `load` or `combine` action, make sure that the example \rightarrow workflow exists in the reference. Otherwise, you should try to update the \rightarrow reference list by the `retrieve` action.
3. You should not choose the `adapt` action twice in a row, because they can be \rightarrow simplified into a single action. 4. If you choose the `adapt` or `retrieve` action, make sure that your prompt is \rightarrow concise and contains all the necessary information. 5. You should choose the `finish` action before the steps are exhausted. Now, provide your thought, plan and action with the required format.

PlanAgent Response

<thought> The current workflow already implements the transformation of the image \rightarrow into a video with a 512x512 resolution and 8 FPS, followed by frame

 \rightarrow interpolation to increase the frame rate to 24 FPS. However, the workflow does

- \rightarrow not yet include the upscaling module to increase the resolution to 1024x1024.
- → </thought>

<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with an upscaling example, ,[→] which can help in improving the resolution to 1024x1024. Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary functions will be implemented \rightarrow by then. </plan>

<action> retrieve(prompt="image upscaling in video processing") </action>

System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow <code>users</code> can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking

 \rightarrow them for execution.

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

- \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its
- \rightarrow resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation.
- \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest

- \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications
- \rightarrow in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be
- \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate \rightarrow enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video
- \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then
- \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased
- \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques.
- \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a
- video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow

Reference

According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful:

- Example: upscale_by_model

<description>

This workflow uses Upscale Model to upscale images. Given an image, the workflow \rightarrow will upscale it into high resolution. In this example, we upscale the input \rightarrow image by 2x. Since the model will upscale the image by 4x, we add another node \rightarrow for 0.5x downscale.

</description>

- Example: frame_interpolation <description> This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video, \rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine \rightarrow them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per \rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames \leftrightarrow per second. </description> - Example: extend_image <description> This workflow utilizes image padding and VAE encoder to extend images. With the \rightarrow padding size specified, the workflow pads the input image and then encodes it \rightarrow into latent code using the VAE encoder. The latent code is then decoded into \rightarrow an extended image. In this example, we extend an image of icebergs by 256 \rightarrow pixels on both left and right sides. </description> - Example: image_to_video <description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a \rightarrow girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. </description> - Example: swap_face <description> This workflow uses the integrated ReActorFaceSwap node to swap faces. Given an \rightarrow input image and a source image, the workflow will replace the face in the \rightarrow input image with the face in the source image, then the output image will be \rightarrow upscaled to a higher resolution. In this example, we replace the face in `target.jpg` with the face in `source.jpg`. \hookrightarrow </description> ## History Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most \rightarrow recent record is at the bottom of history. <plan> Step 1: I will load the `image_to_video` workflow, as it provides the \rightarrow foundation for converting an image into a video. Step 2: Next, I will combine it with the `frame_interpolation` workflow to \rightarrow enhance the frame rate of the generated video. Step 3: Then, I will integrate an upscaling module to enhance the resolution of \rightarrow the interpolated video. Step 4: Finally, I will save the resulting video in GIF format as required. </plan> <action> load(name="image_to_video") </action>. <plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the `frame_interpolation` \rightarrow workflow to implement the frame rate enhancement. Step 2: I will add an upscaling module to increase the video resolution to \rightarrow 1024x1024. Step 3: I will adapt the relevant parameters of the video output settings, such as \rightarrow frame rate, resolution, and output format to GIF.

```
Step 4: I will finish the task by ensuring that the workflow meets the criteria.
\rightarrow </plan>
<action> combine(name="frame_interpolation") </action>.
<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with an upscaling example,
\rightarrow which can help in improving the resolution to 1024x1024.
Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary functions will be implemented
\rightarrow by then. \lt/plan>
<action> retrieve(prompt="image upscaling in video processing") </action>.
## Workspace
The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented
\rightarrow as follows:
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
→ noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
,→
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow video_frames=16, motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
,→ Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# link nodes by invocation
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 =loadimage_50()positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\rightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90(model=model_91)
model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
,→ negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_70, optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None,
\rightarrow meta_batch=None)
```
</code>

<description> This updated workflow combines the original image-to-video pipeline with a frame \rightarrow interpolation technique to generate a 2-second video from a single image. The \rightarrow initial video is generated at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames per second. The \rightarrow workflow then interpolates the frames to increase the frame rate to 24 FPS. \rightarrow The final high-quality video is saved in GIF format. </description> ## Action Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about ,[→] what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added. Your thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The \rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is → needed to improve the resolution. </thought>. After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your \rightarrow workflow. Your plan should contain at most 2 steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make \rightarrow sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action. Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will \rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since \rightarrow all the functions are implemented. </plan>. Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments \rightarrow (if required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your \hookrightarrow new plan. You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python. Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action> → combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the \rightarrow factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish() \leftrightarrow </action>. - `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the \rightarrow current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments: `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to load. - `combine`: Combine the current workflow with the specified example workflow, so \rightarrow that you can add necessary modules (e.g. adding a upscaling module to the → text-to-image pipeline). Arguments: - `name`: The name of the example workflow you want to combine. - `adapt`: Adapt some of the parameters in the current workflow, so that you can \rightarrow better realize the expected effects. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to specify which parameters you want to adapt and how to \rightarrow adapt them. - `retrieve`: Retrieve a new batch of example workflows, so that you may find \rightarrow useful references. Arguments: - `prompt`: The prompt to describe the expected features of example workflows \rightarrow you want to retrieve. - `finish`: Finish the task since the current workflow is capable of realizing the \rightarrow expected effects. Refer to the history before making a decision. Here are some general rules you \leftrightarrow should follow: 1. You may choose the `load` action only when the history is empty (i.e. the \rightarrow current workflow may be unrelated to the task). 2. If you choose the `load` or `combine` action, make sure that the example \rightarrow workflow exists in the reference. Otherwise, you should try to update the \rightarrow reference list by the `retrieve` action. 3. You should not choose the `adapt` action twice in a row, because they can be \rightarrow simplified into a single action.

4. If you choose the `adapt` or `retrieve` action, make sure that your prompt is \rightarrow concise and contains all the necessary information. 5. You should choose the `finish` action before the steps are exhausted.

Now, provide your thought, plan and action with the required format.

PlanAgent Response

<thought> The current workflow successfully converts the input image into a

- \rightarrow 2-second video at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames per second, then uses frame
- \rightarrow interpolation to enhance the frame rate to 24 FPS. However, the resolution
- \rightarrow remains at 512x512; therefore, I need to add an upscaling module to increase
- \rightarrow the resolution to 1024x1024 before saving the final output in the GIF format.
- </thought>. ,→

<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the "upscale_by_model" \rightarrow example to add an upscaling module that will upscale the video to 1024x1024 \rightarrow resolution. Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary steps would then have been

,[→] implemented. </plan>.

<action> combine(name="upscale_by_model") </action>.

System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users

 \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking \rightarrow them for execution.

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

- \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its
- \rightarrow resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation.

 \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications

- \rightarrow in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be
- \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate
- \rightarrow enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video
- \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then
- \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased
- \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques.
- Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a \hookrightarrow
- video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds. \hookrightarrow

Reference

The code and description of the example workflow you are referring to are \rightarrow presented as follows:

```
- Example: upscale_by_model
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
imageupscalewithmodel_12 = ImageUpscaleWithModel()
imagescaleby_30 = ImageScaleBy(upscale_method="""bilinear""", scale_by=0.5)
upscalemodelloader_11 = UpscaleModelLoader(model_name="""4x-UltraSharp.pth""")
loadimage_31 = LoadImage(image="""titled_book.png""")
saveimage_29 = SaveImage(filename_prefix="""ComfyUI""")
# link nodes by invocation
upscale_model_11 = upscalemodelloader_11()
image_31, mask_31 = loadimage_31()image_12 = imageupscalewithmodel_12(upscale_model=upscale_model_11,
\rightarrow image=image_31)
image_30 = imagescaleby_30(image=image_12)
result_29 = saveimage_29(images=image_30)
\langle/\langlecode\rangle<description>
This workflow uses Upscale Model to upscale images. Given an image, the workflow
\rightarrow will upscale it into high resolution. In this example, we upscale the input
\rightarrow image by 2x. Since the model will upscale the image by 4x, we add another node
\rightarrow for 0.5x downscale.
</description>
## Workspace
The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented
\rightarrow as follows:
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
→ noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
→ start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow <code>video_frames=16,</code> motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
\rightarrow Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
\rightarrow multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# link nodes by invocation
```

```
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()
positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\rightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90 (model=model_91)model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
\rightarrow negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_70, optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None,
\rightarrow meta_batch=None)
</code>
<description>
This updated workflow combines the original image-to-video pipeline with a frame
\rightarrow interpolation technique to generate a 2-second video from a single image. The
\rightarrow initial video is generated at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames per second. The
\rightarrow workflow then interpolates the frames to increase the frame rate to 24 FPS.
\rightarrow The final high-quality video is saved in GIF format.
</description>
## Combination
Based on the current working progress, your step-by-step plan is presented as
\rightarrow follows:
Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the "upscale_by_model" example to
\rightarrow add an upscaling module that will upscale the video to 1024x1024 resolution.
Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary steps would then have been
\rightarrow implemented.
Now you are working on the first step of your plan. Later steps should not be
\rightarrow considered at this moment.
In other words, you should merge the example workflow with the current workflow
,→ according to your plan, so that their functions can be combined.
First, you should provide your Python code to formulate the updated workflow. Your
\rightarrow code must meet the following rules:
1. Each code line should either instantiate a node or invoke a node. You should
\rightarrow not invoke a node before it is instantiated.
2. Each instantiated node should be invoked only once. You should instantiate
\rightarrow another node if you need to reuse the same function.
3. Avoid reusing the same variable name. For example: "value_1 = node_1(value_1)"
\rightarrow is not allowed because the output "value_1" overrides the input "value_1".
4. Avoid nested calls in a single code line. For example: "output_2 =
\rightarrow node_2(input_1, node_1())" should be separated into "output_1 = node_1() and
\rightarrow output_2 = node_2(input_1, output_1)".
Your code should be enclosed with "<code>" tag. For example: <code> output_1 =
\rightarrow node_1() </code>.
After that, you should provide a brief description of the updated workflow and the
\rightarrow expected effects as in the example.
```
Your description should be enclosed with "<description>" tag. For example: \rightarrow <description> This workflow uses the text-to-image pipeline together with an \rightarrow upscaling module to generate a high-resolution image of a running horse.

```
→ </description>.
```
Now, provide your code and description with the required format.

CombineAgent Response

<code></code>

```
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
→ noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
,→
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow video_frames=16, motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = FreeU_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
,→ Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for upscaling
imageupscale without thmodel_13 = ImageUpscaleWithModel()upscalemodelloader_12 = UpscaleModelLoader(model_name="""4x-UltraSharp.pth""")
# link nodes by invocation
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()
positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\leftrightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90 (model=model_91)model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
,→ negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Load and apply the upscale model to each frame
upscale_model_12 = upscalemodelloader_12()
image_upscaled_13 = imageupscalewithmodel_13(upscale_model=upscale_model_12,
\rightarrow image=image_70)
```
Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS after upscaling image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_upscaled_13, \rightarrow optional_interpolation_states=None) filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None, ,[→] meta_batch=None) $\langle \text{code}\rangle$ <description> This updated workflow combines the image-to-video pipeline with upscaling and \rightarrow frame interpolation techniques. First, a 2-second video is generated from the given photo of Budapest at 512x512 \rightarrow resolution with 8 frames per second. Next, the video frames are upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution. Following this, frame interpolation is applied to increase the frame rate to 24 \leftrightarrow FPS. Finally, the high-resolution video is saved in GIF format. </description>

System Prompt

Task

ComfyUI uses workflows to create and execute Stable Diffusion pipelines so that \rightarrow users can design their own workflows to generate highly customized artworks. ComfyUI provides many nodes. Each node represents a module in the pipeline. Users

 \rightarrow can formulate a workflow into Python code by instantiating nodes and invoking

You are an expert in ComfyUI who helps users to design their own workflows.

Now you are required to create a ComfyUI workflow to finish the following task:

You are given a photo of Budapest `budapest.jpg`. First turn it into a 2-second

 \rightarrow video with 512x512 resolution and 8 frames per second. Then increase its

resolution to 1024x1024 and frame rate to 24 by upscaling and interpolation. \hookrightarrow

 \rightarrow The result should be a high-quality video saved in gif format.

The key points behind the requirements and the expected paradigm of the workflow \rightarrow is analyzed as follows:

The key points behind the requirements include taking a given photo of Budapest \rightarrow (`budapest.jpg`) and creating a high-quality 2-second video with modifications in resolution and frame rate. The workflow paradigm would primarily be \hookrightarrow

 \rightarrow image-to-video with intermediate steps for resolution and frame rate

enhancement. Initially, the photo is converted into an 8 FPS, 2-second video \rightarrow

 \rightarrow of 512x512 resolution, implying basic video creation from a static image. Then

 \rightarrow the video is upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution and the frame rate is increased

 \rightarrow to 24 FPS, suggesting the use of upscaling and frame interpolation techniques.

 \rightarrow Finally, the high-quality video is saved in GIF format, which is not only a

 \rightarrow video file but also loopable for short durations like 2 seconds.

Reference

According to the analysis, we have retrieved relevant workflows. Here are some \rightarrow example workflows that may be helpful:

- Example: upscale_by_model

<description>

 \rightarrow them for execution.

This workflow uses Upscale Model to upscale images. Given an image, the workflow \rightarrow will upscale it into high resolution. In this example, we upscale the input \rightarrow image by 2x. Since the model will upscale the image by 4x, we add another node \rightarrow for 0.5x downscale. </description> - Example: frame_interpolation <description> This workflow uses the RIFE VFI node to interpolate frames. Given the input video, \rightarrow the workflow will interpolate the frames with a certain multiplier and combine them into a new video. In this example, the input video contains 8 frames per \rightarrow second, and we interpolate it by 3x, so the output video will have 24 frames \rightarrow per second. \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: extend_image <description> This workflow utilizes image padding and VAE encoder to extend images. With the \rightarrow padding size specified, the workflow pads the input image and then encodes it into latent code using the VAE encoder. The latent code is then decoded into \rightarrow an extended image. In this example, we extend an image of icebergs by 256 \rightarrow pixels on both left and right sides. \hookrightarrow </description> - Example: image_to_video <description> This workflow uses multiple nodes based on Stable Video Diffusion to generate a \rightarrow $\,$ video from an image. Given an image, the workflow will convert it into video \rightarrow conditioning and corresponding latent code, which will be fed into the sampler \rightarrow and decoder to generate the video. In this example, we generate a video of a \rightarrow $\,$ girl playing the guitar based on the given image. Image size of 1024x768, 24 $\,$ \rightarrow frames and 6 frames per second are recommended for the best results. </description> - Example: swap_face <description> This workflow uses the integrated ReActorFaceSwap node to swap faces. Given an \rightarrow input image and a source image, the workflow will replace the face in the \rightarrow input image with the face in the source image, then the output image will be \rightarrow upscaled to a higher resolution. In this example, we replace the face in `target.jpg` with the face in `source.jpg`. \hookrightarrow </description> ## History Here is a recent history of your thought, plan and action in the previous steps. \rightarrow This only includes several records and earlier ones are omitted. The most \rightarrow recent record is at the bottom of history. <plan> Step 1: I will load the `image_to_video` workflow, as it provides the \rightarrow foundation for converting an image into a video. Step 2: Next, I will combine it with the `frame_interpolation` workflow to \rightarrow enhance the frame rate of the generated video. Step 3: Then, I will integrate an upscaling module to enhance the resolution of \rightarrow the interpolated video. Step 4: Finally, I will save the resulting video in GIF format as required. </plan> <action> load(name="image_to_video") </action>.

```
<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the `frame_interpolation`
\rightarrow workflow to implement the frame rate enhancement.
Step 2: I will add an upscaling module to increase the video resolution to
  ,→ 1024x1024.
Step 3: I will adapt the relevant parameters of the video output settings, such as
\rightarrow frame rate, resolution, and output format to GIF.
Step 4: I will finish the task by ensuring that the workflow meets the criteria.
\rightarrow </plan>
<action> combine(name="frame_interpolation") </action>.
<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with an upscaling example,
\rightarrow which can help in improving the resolution to 1024x1024.
Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary functions will be implemented
\rightarrow by then. </plan>
<action> retrieve(prompt="image upscaling in video processing") </action>.
<plan> Step 1: I will combine the current workflow with the "upscale_by_model"
\rightarrow example to add an upscaling module that will upscale the video to 1024x1024
\rightarrow resolution.
Step 2: I will finish the task since all necessary steps would then have been
,→ implemented. </plan>
<action> combine(name="upscale_by_model") </action>.
## Workspace
The code and description of the current workflow you are working on are presented
\rightarrow as follows:
<code></code>
# create nodes by instantiation
ksampleradvanced_92 = KSamplerAdvanced(add_noise="""enable""",
→ noise_seed=49770757027309, control_after_generate="""fixed""", steps=20,
\rightarrow cfg=2.52, sampler_name="""euler""", scheduler="""ddim_uniform""",
→ start_at_step=0, end_at_step=10000, return_with_leftover_noise="""disable""")
svd_img2vid_conditioning_63 = SVD_img2vid_Conditioning(width=512, height=512,
\rightarrow <code>video_frames=16,</code> motion_bucket_id=100, fps=8, augmentation_level=0) # Set
\rightarrow video_frames to 16 for 2-second video with 8 FPS
imageonlycheckpointloader_64 =
,→ ImageOnlyCheckpointLoader(ckpt_name="""svd_xt_1_1.safetensors""")
modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91 =
→ ModelSamplingContinuousEDM(sampling="""v_prediction""", sigma_max=500,
\rightarrow sigma_min=0.002)
freeu_v2_90 = Freel_v2(b1=1.3, b2=1.4, s1=0.9, s2=0.2)videolinearcfgguidance_89 = VideoLinearCFGGuidance(min_cfg=1)
vaedecode_70 = VAEDecode()
loadimage_50 = LoadImage(image="""budapest.jpg""") # Load the given photo of
\leftrightarrow Budapest
vhs_videocombine_95 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=8, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""svd""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for frame interpolation
rife_vfi_10 = RIFE_VFI(ckpt_name="""rife47.pth""", clear_cache_after_n_frames=10,
,→ multiplier=3, fast_mode=True, ensemble=True, scale_factor=1)
vhs_videocombine_139 = VHS_VideoCombine(frame_rate=24, loop_count=0,
→ filename_prefix="""final_output""", format="""image/gif""", pingpong=False,
→ save_output=True)
# Nodes for upscaling
imageupscale without thmodel_13 = ImageUpscaleWithModel()upscalemodelloader_12 = UpscaleModelLoader(model_name="""4x-UltraSharp.pth""")
# link nodes by invocation
```

```
model_64, clip_vision_64, vae_64 = imageonlycheckpointloader_64()
image_50, mask_50 = loadimage_50()
positive_63, negative_63, latent_63 =
→ svd_img2vid_conditioning_63(clip_vision=clip_vision_64, init_image=image_50,
\rightarrow vae=vae_64)
model_91 = modelsamplingcontinuousedm_91(model=model_64)
model_90 = freeu_v2_90 (model=model_91)model_89 = videolinearcfgguidance_89(model=model_90)
latent_92 = ksampleradvanced_92(model=model_89, positive=positive_63,
\rightarrow negative=negative_63, latent_image=latent_63)
image_70 = vaedecode_70(samples=latent_92, vae=vae_64)
filenames_95 = vhs_videocombine_95(images=image_70, audio=None, meta_batch=None)
# Load and apply the upscale model to each frame
upscale_model_12 = upscalemodelloader_12()
image_upscaled_13 = imageupscalewithmodel_13(upscale_model=upscale_model_12,
\rightarrow image=image_70)
# Frame interpolation to increase frame rate from 8 FPS to 24 FPS after upscaling
image_10 = rife_vfi_10(frames=image_upscaled_13,
 ,→ optional_interpolation_states=None)
filenames_139 = vhs_videocombine_139(images=image_10, audio=None,
\rightarrow meta_batch=None)
</code>
<description>
This updated workflow combines the image-to-video pipeline with upscaling and
\rightarrow frame interpolation techniques.
First, a 2-second video is generated from the given photo of Budapest at 512x512
\rightarrow resolution with 8 frames per second.
Next, the video frames are upscaled to 1024x1024 resolution.
Following this, frame interpolation is applied to increase the frame rate to 24
\rightarrow FPS.
Finally, the high-resolution video is saved in GIF format.
</description>
## Action
Based on your previous plan and the current workflow, you should first think about
\hookrightarrow what functions have been implemented and what modules remain to be added.
Your thought should be enclosed with "<thought>" tag. For example: <thought> The
\rightarrow text-to-image pipeline has been implemented, but an upscaling module is
→ needed to improve the resolution. </thought>.
After that, you should update your step-by-step plan to further modify your
\rightarrow workflow.
Your plan should contain at most 1 steps, but fewer steps will be better. Make
\rightarrow sure that each step is feasible to be converted into a single action.
Your plan should be enclosed with "<plan>" tag. For example: <plan> Step 1: I will
\rightarrow refer to example_name to add a new node. Step 2: I will finish the task since
\rightarrow all the functions are implemented. </plan>.
Finally, you should choose one of the following actions and specify the arguments
\rightarrow (if required), so that the updated workflow can realize the first step in your
\hookrightarrow new plan.
You should provide your action with the format of function calls in Python.
Your action should be enclosed with "<action>" tag. For example: <action>
→ combine(name="example_name") </action>, <action> adapt(prompt="Change the
\rightarrow factor to 0.5 and rewrite the prompt.") </action>, and <action> finish()
→ </action>.
- `load`: Load the specified example workflow into your workspace to replace the
,→ current workflow, so that you can start over. Arguments:
```


<thought> The current workflow has successfully implemented the necessary steps:

- \rightarrow generating a 2-second video from the image at 512x512 resolution with 8 frames
- \rightarrow per second, upscaling it to 1024x1024 resolution, and increasing the frame
- \rightarrow rate to 24 FPS via interpolation. The video is saved in GIF format, per the
- \rightarrow task requirements. All required functionalities have been fulfilled.
- → </thought>

<plan> Step 1: I will finish the task since the current workflow is capable of ,[→] realizing the expected effects. </plan>

<action> finish() </action>

Figure 12: Example trajectory of the 18th task in the OpenComfy benchmark.