

ALEXANDROV SPHERE THEOREMS FOR $W^{2,n}$ -HYPERSURFACES

MARIO SANTILLI AND PAOLO VALENTINI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we extend Alexandrov's sphere theorems for higher-order mean curvature functions to $W^{2,n}$ -regular hypersurfaces under a general degenerate elliptic condition. The proof is based on the extension of the Montiel-Ros argument to the aforementioned class of hypersurfaces and on the existence of suitable Legendrian cycles over them. Using the latter we can also prove that there are n -dimensional Legendrian cycles with $2n$ -dimensional support, hence answering a question by Rataj and Zaehle. Finally we provide a very general version of the umbilicality theorem for Sobolev-type hypersurfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and background	5
3. Legendrian cycles over $W^{2,n}$ -graphs	9
4. The support of Legendrian cycles	16
5. Reilly-type variational formulae for $W^{2,n}$ -domains	17
6. Sphere theorems for $W^{2,n}$ -domains	22
7. Nabelpunktsatz for Sobolev graphs	24
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Ale58] Alexandrov used Hopf maximum principle to develop his famous moving plane method and prove a sphere theorem for bounded C^2 -domains $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying the general curvature condition

$$\varphi(\chi_{\Omega,1}(p), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)) = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(p), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)) > 0$$

for every $p \in \partial\Omega$ and for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, and for some C^1 function $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Here $\chi_{\Omega,1} \leq \dots \leq \chi_{\Omega,n}$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$. This result includes the celebrated soap bubble theorem for hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature. Moreover, choosing $\varphi = \sigma_k$, where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric function (see Definition 12), and employing Garding's theory of hyperbolic polynomials (see [Gar59]), it follows from Alexandrov's theorem the following generalization of the soap bubble theorem, which we refer to as *smooth H_k -theorem*¹.

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded C^2 -domain such that $H_{\Omega,k}$ is constant for some k , then Ω is a round ball,

where $H_{\Omega,k} = \sigma_k(\chi_{\Omega,1}, \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n})$ is the k -th mean curvature function of $\partial\Omega$; cf. [Ros88, Appendix]. A few years later, employing maximum principles for $W^{2,n}$ -solutions of uniformly elliptic linear equations (see [GT01, Chapter 9]), Alexandrov observed in [Ale62, pag. 305] that the moving plane method can still be applied to certain Sobolev-type hypersurfaces. In particular, the H_k -theorem can be generalized as follows.

¹In his famous paper [Hop51], Hopf refers to sphere theorems for constant mean curvature hypersurfaces and constant Gaussian curvature hypersurfaces as H -satz and K -satz (H -theorem and K -theorem).

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded region enclosed by a C^1 hypersurface whose second-order distributional derivatives belong to L^n , and if there exist constants λ, μ_1, μ_2 such that

$$\sigma_k(\chi_{\Omega,1}(p), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)) = \lambda \text{ and}$$

$$0 < \mu_1 \leq \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(p), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)) \leq \mu_2 < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.1)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $p \in \partial\Omega$, where $\chi_{\Omega,1} \leq \dots \leq \chi_{\Omega,n}$ are the generalized principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$, then Ω must be a round ball.

We remark that if $\partial\Omega$ is at least C^2 then the principal curvatures are continuous functions and (1.1) is true for every $p \in \partial\Omega$ thanks to Garding's inequalities. The uniform condition (1.1) guarantees the uniform ellipticity of the underlying linear equations, see [Ale62, Statement A, pag. 304]; moreover C^1 -regularity is used in connection with the Hopf boundary lemma [Ale62, Statement B].

In a different direction, Hsiung [Hsi54, Theorem 1] extended the Minkowski integral formulae for convex hypersurfaces to general compact C^2 -hypersurfaces. They assert that if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded C^2 -domain then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (x \bullet \nu_\Omega(x)) H_{\Omega,k}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.2)$$

These formulae were extensively used to prove different type of sphere theorems, including the smooth H_k -theorem under an additional star-shapedness hypothesis (see for instance [Hsi54] or [Sto60]). On the other hand, it was only in the 80's that A. Ros in [Ros87], and later jointly with S. Montiel in [MR91], combined the Minkowski-Hsiung formulae with a Heintze-Karcher-type inequality to re-prove the smooth H_k -theorem in full generality with a different approach. It is interesting to mention that Minkowski-Hsiung formulae can be derived as special cases of variational formulae of certain curvature integrals. Namely, if we define the k -th total curvature measure of a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k} d\mathcal{H}^n \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n,$$

then R. Reilly proved in [Rei72] that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(F_t(\Omega)) \right|_{t=0} = (n-k+1) \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k} (\nu_\Omega \bullet V) d\mathcal{H}^n \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.3)$$

whenever $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is a local variation of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with $F_0 = \text{Id}$ and initial velocity V . Variational formulae for more general integrands, as well as in space forms and in higher codimension, were proved in [Rei72] and [Rei73] (see also [PT68]).

In [HS22] and in [San24, Theorem A.1] the first author and D. Hug generalized the Montiel-Ros method and proved the H_k -theorem for sets of positive reach in terms of their curvature measures (extending an analogous result of Schneider in [Sch79] for convex bodies). In the simplest case of domains $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ whose boundary is locally given by graphs of semi-convex functions, this result gives the H_k -theorem in terms of the pointwise curvature functions defined almost everywhere on the boundary, under the general *degenerate elliptic condition*

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(p), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } p \in \partial\Omega; \quad (1.4)$$

see [HS22, Corollary 6.18 and Lemma 6.20] and Remark 6.1. Motivated by these results, it is natural to investigate the Montiel-Ros approach for Sobolev-type hypersurfaces. This is the content of this paper, where in particular we prove both Reilly's variational formulae and the H_k -theorem under the degenerate elliptic condition (1.4) for the following general class of $W^{2,n}$ -domains, where neither C^1 -regularity nor Lipschitz-regularity is required.

Definition 1. An open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain if and only there exists a couple (Ω', F) , where

- (1) $\Omega' \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is an open set such that for each $p \in \partial\Omega'$ there exist $\epsilon > 0$, $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^n$, a bounded open set $U \subseteq \nu^\perp$ with $0 \in U$ and a continuous function $f \in W^{2,n}(U)$ with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\{p + b + \tau\nu : b \in U, -\epsilon < \tau \leq f(b)\} = \overline{\Omega'} \cap \{p + b + \tau\nu : b \in U, -\epsilon < \tau < \epsilon\},$$

- (2) F is a C^2 -diffeomorphism defined over an open set $V \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\overline{\Omega'} \subseteq V$,
(3) $F(\Omega') = \Omega$.

This class of domains is invariant under images of C^2 -diffeomorphisms, which is clearly a necessary condition in order to provide a natural framework to generalize Reilly's variational formulae. We do not know if we really need to introduce the diffeomorphism F in the definition above; in other words, if Ω' belongs to the class \mathcal{S} of domains satisfying only condition (1) of Definition 1, is it true that $F(\Omega')$ belongs to \mathcal{S} too?

As a consequence of classical pointwise differentiability results for Sobolev functions (cf. [CZ61]), it is not difficult to see that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of a $W^{2,n}$ -domain can be \mathcal{H}^n -almost covered by the union of countably many C^2 -hypersurfaces; even better, it can be touched from inside and outside by mutually tangent balls at \mathcal{H}^n almost all points (see Lemma 5.2). Henceforth, an exterior unit-normal ν_Ω is well defined and approximately differentiable at \mathcal{H}^n almost all points with a symmetric approximate differential (see Lemma 5.1). The reader familiar with sets of finite perimeter will have no difficulty to see that a $W^{2,n}$ -domain is a set of locally finite perimeter and ν_Ω is the measure theoretic exterior normal (cf. [AFP00] or [Mag12]). For a $W^{2,n}$ -domain we denote by $\chi_{\Omega,1}(p) \leq \dots \leq \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)$ the eigenvalues of $\text{ap} D\nu_\Omega(p)$ at \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $p \in \partial\Omega$, and we define the k -th mean curvature function $H_{\Omega,k}$ and the total k -th mean curvature $\mathcal{A}_k(\Omega)$ as in the smooth case.

Our first result extends Reilly variational formulae (1.3) and Minkowski-Hsiung formulae (1.2) to $W^{2,n}$ -domain.

Theorem A. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain and $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is a local variation of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with initial velocity vector field V , then (1.3) holds for every $k = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover,*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}_n(F_t(\Omega)) \right|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

If Ω is a C^2 -domain, then (1.5) follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. The validity of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domains is an interesting open question, and (1.5) seems to point to a positive answer. Choosing $F_t(x) = e^t x$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}$, it is easy to derive the Minkowski-Hsiung formulae (see Corollary 5.7). Reilly's proof in the smooth case heavily relies on the smoothness assumption. On the other hand, J. Fu in [Fu98, Lemma 3.2] computed the first variational formulae of the total curvature measures $T(\varphi_{n-k})$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$ associated to a general compactly supported Legendrian integral cycle T (here φ_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$ are the Lipschitz-Killing forms, see Definition 3). We recall that an integer multiplicity locally rectifiable n -current T of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ (see section 2) with compact support in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n$ is called *Legendrian cycle of \mathbf{R}^{n+1}* if and only if $\partial T = 0$ and $T \lrcorner \alpha = 0$, where α is the contact form in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ (cf. Definition 2). Since the normal bundle of a bounded C^2 -domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ has a natural structure of Legendrian cycle T and

$$T(\varphi_{n-k}) = \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{A}_k(\Omega) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n, \quad (1.6)$$

it is clear that Fu's result provides a different way to obtain Reilly's formulae. Moreover, an approximation argument allows to construct an integral Legendrian cycle T associated to a general $W^{2,n}$ -graph (see eq. (3.13)). Henceforth, it is natural to ask if Theorem A could be derived from Fu's result. This is indeed true, provided we prove that (1.6) holds for a Legendrian cycle T associated with a $W^{2,n}$ -domain. The proof of the latter is subtle, and crucially relies on the fact that T is carried over the following subset

$$\text{nor}(\Omega) = \{(x, u) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^n : \text{dist}(x + su, \Omega) = s \text{ for some } s > 0\}, \quad (1.7)$$

which we call *proximal unit-normal bundle* (cf. Section 2). The proof of this fact is the content of the following theorem, which is the central result of this paper.

Theorem B. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain then $\mathcal{H}^n(\text{nor}(\Omega)) < \infty$ and there exists a unique n -dimensional Legendrian cycle T such that*

$$T = (\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge \eta,$$

where η is a $\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)$ measurable n -vectorfield such that

$$|\eta(x, u)| = 1, \quad \eta(x, u) \text{ is simple,}$$

$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), (x, u))$ is associated with $\eta(x, u)$

and

$$\langle [\bigwedge_n \pi_0](\eta(x, u)) \wedge u, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$.

We denote such a Legendrian cycle by N_Ω . An analogous result is true for convex bodies and, more generally, for sets of positive reach (cf. [Fed59] and [Zae86]). However, while the proof for the latter is based on the regularity of the level sets of the distance function, the proof for $W^{2,n}$ -domains is completely different and makes use of certain fine second-order differentiability properties of general $W^{2,n}$ -functions. If Ω was a domain locally representable as graphs of $W^{2,p}$ functions with $p > n$ (in particular Ω is a C^1 -domain), then $\text{nor}(\Omega)$ could be replaced by the classical unit-normal bundle (as their difference has \mathcal{H}^n -measure zero²) and Theorem B could be proved relying on well known properties of $W^{1,p}$ -maps (which fails to hold even for continuous $W^{1,n}$ -maps!) cf. [MSZ03]. On the other hand, there exist C^1 -regular $W^{2,n}$ -domains such that the difference between the classical unit-normal bundle and the proximal unit-normal bundle is a set of positive \mathcal{H}^n -measure; cf [Ros16].

Relying again on certain structural properties of the normal bundle (see Theorem 5.3(2)-(3)), we can also deduce the following Heintze-Karcher type result for $W^{2,n}$ -domains.

Theorem C. *Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain such that $H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then*

$$(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{H_{\Omega,1}(x)} d\mathcal{L}^n(x).$$

Moreover, if $H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)}$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$ then Ω is a round ball.

We have now all the ingredients to perform a Montiel-Ros type argument to prove rigidity of $W^{2,n}$ -domains with constant higher order mean curvatures under the degenerate elliptic condition.

Theorem D. *Suppose $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain such that $H_{\Omega,k}(z) = \lambda$ and*

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(z), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(z)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then Ω is a round ball.

Theorem D contains a novel statement only for $k \geq 2$. Indeed, if $k = 1$ this result clearly reduces to Alexandrov's soap bubble theorem, since the condition $H_{\Omega,1}(z) = \lambda$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$ implies that $\partial\Omega$ is smooth (by Theorem A the function $H_{\Omega,1}$ is the generalized mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of varifolds, see [All72]). It is worth to mention that a far-reaching generalization of Alexandrov's soap bubble theorem for sets of finite perimeter is proved in [DM19].

Theorem B finds natural application in other problems, beyond the rigidity questions that we have considered so far. For example in Section 4 we employ it to answer a question implicit in [RZ15]. In [RZ15, Remark 2.3] the authors asked if there exist n -dimensional Legendrian cycles in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} whose support is not locally \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable, or even has positive \mathcal{H}^{n+1} -measure. Combining Theorem B with an observation by J. Fu in [Fu11] about the existence of $W^{2,n}$ -functions whose differential has a graph dense in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, we prove the following result.

Theorem E. *There exist n -dimensional Legendrian cycles of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} whose support has positive \mathcal{H}^{2n} -measure.*

In the final section of this paper we study the problem of extending the classical umbilicity theorem (or Nabelpunktsatz). The classical proof of this theorem works for hypersurfaces that are at least C^3 -regular. A proof for C^2 -hypersurfaces is given in [ST06] (see also [Pau08] or [Man22]). Considering more general hypersurfaces with curvatures defined only almost everywhere, the question about the validity of the Nabelpunktsatz goes back to the classical

²Notice that the proximal unit-normal bundle is a subset of the classical unit-normal bundle for C^1 -domains, but their difference can have positive measure.

paper of Busemann and Feller [BF36], where they also pointed out the existence of non-spherical convex C^1 -hypersurfaces which are umbilical at almost every point; see also Remark 7.2. In [DRKS20] it was pointed out that the Nabelpunktsatz still holds for $C^{1,1}$ -hypersurfaces. Here we obtain the following far reaching generalization of this result.

Theorem F (cf. Theorem 7.3). *The Nabelpunktsatz holds for almost everywhere umbilical $W^{2,1}$ -graphs, provided the Lusin condition (N) holds for the graph function.*

The Lusin condition (N) is necessary in order to guarantee the existence of weak curvatures on the graphs (i.e. second order rectifiability) and it is automatically verified for graphs of $W^{2,p}$ -functions, with $p > \frac{n}{2}$; cf. Remark 7.1.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

Given a set of parameters $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$, we denote a *generic* positive constant depending only p_1, \dots, p_n by $c(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

If $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a function we define

$$\bar{f} : S \rightarrow S \times T, \quad \bar{f}(x) = (x, f(x)). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, we often use the following projection maps

$$\pi_0 : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \quad \pi_1 : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \quad (2.2)$$

defined as $\pi_0(x, u) = x$ and $\pi_1(x, u) = u$.

In this paper we use the symbol \bullet to denote *scalar product*. For a subset S of an Euclidean space, \bar{S} is the closure of S . We use the symbols $B(a, r)$ for the *open* ball in \mathbf{R}^k centered at a with radius r , and the symbols D and ∇ for the classical differential and gradient. On the other hand, we denote by ∇f and $\mathbf{D}^k f$ for the distributional gradient and the distributional k -differential of a Sobolev map f . The characteristic function of a set X is $\mathbf{1}_X$. The Grassmannian of m -dimensional subspaces of \mathbf{R}^k is $\mathbf{G}(k, m)$, and if $T \in \mathbf{G}(k, m)$ then $\pi_T : \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is the orthogonal projection onto T . If $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function defined on an open set U , we denote the set of $x \in U$ where f is pointwise differentiable by

$$\text{Diff}(f).$$

2.1. Basic notions from geometric measure theory. In this paper we use standard notation from geometric measure theory, for which we refer to [Fed69]. For reader's convenience we recall some basic notions here.

For a subset $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ and a positive integer μ we define $\text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a)$ to be the set of all $v \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that

$$\Theta^{*\mu}(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X \cap \{x : |r(x - a) - v| < \epsilon \text{ for some } r > 0\}, a) > 0$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. This is a cone with vertex at 0 and we set

$$\text{Nor}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^m : v \bullet u \leq 0 \text{ for } u \in \text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a)\}.$$

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ and f maps a subset of \mathbf{R}^m into \mathbf{R}^k . Given a positive integer μ and $a \in \mathbf{R}^m$ we say that f is $\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X$ *approximately differentiable at a* (cf. [Fed69, 3.2.16]) if and only if there exists a map $g : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ pointwise differentiable at a such that

$$\Theta^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X \cap \{b : f(b) \neq g(b)\}, a) = 0.$$

In this case (see [Fed69, 3.2.16]) f determines the restriction of $Dg(a)$ on the approximate tangent cone $\text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a)$ and we define

$$\text{ap } Df(a) = Dg(a)|_{\text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a)}.$$

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and μ is a positive integer. We say that X is *countably \mathcal{H}^μ -rectifiable* if there exist countably many μ -dimensional C^1 -submanifolds Σ_i of \mathbb{R}^m such that $\mathcal{H}^\mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Sigma_i) = 0$. It is well known that if X is countably \mathcal{H}^μ -rectifiable with $\mathcal{H}^\mu(X) < \infty$, then $\text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a)$ is a μ -dimensional plane at \mathcal{H}^μ a.e. $a \in X$, and every Lipschitz function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ has an $\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X$ -approximate differential $\text{ap } Df(a) : \text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ at

\mathcal{H}^μ a.e. $a \in X$. At such points a we define for $h \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the h -dimensional approximate Jacobian of f

$$J_h^X f(a) = \sup \{ |[\wedge_h \text{ap } Df(a)](\xi)| : \xi \in \wedge_h \text{Tan}^\mu(\mathcal{H}^\mu \llcorner X, a), |\xi| = 1 \}$$

(see (2.3) for the definition of $\wedge_h \text{ap } Df(a)$). The approximate Jacobian naturally appears in area and coarea formula for f ; see [Fed69, 3.2.20, 3.2.22].

2.2. Differential forms and currents. Let V be a vector space. We denote by $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m$ the simple m -vector obtained by the exterior multiplication of vectors v_1, \dots, v_m in V and $\wedge_m V$ is the vector space generated by all simple m vectors of V . Each linear map $f : V \rightarrow V'$ can be uniquely extended to a linear map

$$\wedge_m f : \wedge_m V \rightarrow \wedge_m V' \quad (2.3)$$

such that $\wedge_m f(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) = f(v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge f(v_m)$ for every $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$.

The vector space of all alternating m -linear functions $f : V^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $f(v_1, \dots, v_m) = 0$ whenever $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ and $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$) is denoted by $\wedge^m V$. There is an obvious isomorphism between $\wedge^m V$ and the space of all linear \mathbb{R} -valued maps on $\wedge_m V$. It is often convenient to use the following customary notation (see [Fed69]):

$$\langle \xi, h \rangle = h(\xi) \quad \text{whenever } \xi \in \wedge_m V \text{ and } h \in \wedge^m V.$$

If V is an inner product space, then both $\wedge_m V$ and $\wedge^m V$ can be endowed with natural scalar products, whose *associated norms* are denoted by $|\cdot|$; see [Fed69, 1.7.5].

Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ is open and $k \geq 0$. A k -form is a smooth map $\phi : U \rightarrow \wedge^k \mathbf{R}^p$ (if $k = 0$ we set $\wedge^0 \mathbf{R}^p = \mathbf{R}$). Following [Fed69, 4.1.1, 4.1.7], we denote by $\mathcal{E}^k(U)$ the space of all smooth k -forms on U and we denote by $\mathcal{D}^k(U)$ the space of all k -forms with compact support in U , endowed with the standard topologies described in [Fed69, 4.1.1]. If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^k(U)$ we denote by $d\phi$ the *exterior derivative* of ϕ (cf. [Fed69, 4.1.6]). If X_1, \dots, X_p are the coordinate functions of \mathbf{R}^p , then

$$dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_p$$

is the standard volume form of \mathbf{R}^p .

Functions mapping a subset of U into $\wedge_k(\mathbf{R}^p)$ are called *k -vectorfields*.

Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ is open and $k \geq 0$. A k -current is a continuous \mathbf{R} -valued linear map T on $\mathcal{D}_k(U)$ and we denote the space of all k -currents on U by $\mathcal{D}_k(U)$. We say that a sequence $T_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ *weakly converges* to $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ if and only if

$$T_\ell(\phi) \rightarrow T(\phi) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^k(U).$$

If T is a k -current on U , then *the boundary of T* is the $(k-1)$ -current $\partial T \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(U)$ given by

$$\partial T(\phi) = T(d\phi) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^k(U),$$

while the *support of T* is defined as

$$\text{spt}(T) = U \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \subseteq U \text{ open and } T(\phi) = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^k(V)\}.$$

If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^h(U)$, $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ and $h \leq k$ we set

$$(T \llcorner \psi)(\phi) = T(\psi \wedge \phi) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^{k-h}(U).$$

Finally if $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ and $g : U \rightarrow V$ is a smooth map onto an open subset V of \mathbf{R}^q such that $g|_{\text{spt}(T)}$ is proper then we denote by

$$g\#T \in \mathcal{D}_k(V)$$

the push-forward of T ; cf. [Fed69, pag. 359].

We say that a k -current $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(U)$ is a *integer multiplicity locally rectifiable k -current of U* provided

$$T(\phi) = \int_M \langle \eta(x), \phi(x) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^k(x) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^k(U),$$

where $M \subseteq U$ is \mathcal{H}^k -measurable and countably \mathcal{H}^k -rectifiable and η is an $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner M$ -measurable k -vectorfield such that

$$(1) \int_{K \cap M} |\eta| d\mathcal{H}^k < \infty \text{ for every compact subset } K \text{ of } U,$$

- (2) $\eta(x)$ is a simple and $|\eta(x)|$ is a positive integer for \mathcal{H}^k a.e. $x \in M$,
- (3) $\text{Tan}^k(\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner M, x)$ is associated with $\eta(x)$ for \mathcal{H}^k a.e. $x \in M$.

It is clear that M is \mathcal{H}^k almost uniquely determined by T and we denote it by W_T . If T is a locally rectifiable k -current of U with compact support (in U) then T can be naturally extended to a continuous linear map on $\mathcal{E}^k(U)$.

2.3. Legendrian currents. Here we introduce the notion of Legendrian cycles and we collect some fundamental facts

Definition 2 (Legendrian cycle). Let $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ be an open set. We say that an integer-multiplicity locally rectifiable n -current T of $W \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a *Legendrian cycle of W* if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied

- (1) $\text{spt}(T) \subseteq W \times \mathbf{S}^n$,
- (2) $\partial T = 0$,
- (3) $T \llcorner \alpha = 0$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}^1(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ is the *contact 1-form of \mathbf{R}^{n+1}* defined by $\langle (y, v), \alpha(x, u) \rangle = y \bullet u$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $W_1, \dots, W_m \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ are open and $T \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ such that $T \llcorner (W_i \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ is a Legendrian cycle of W_i for every $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\text{spt}(T)$ is a compact subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^m W_i \times \mathbf{S}^n$. Then T is a Legendrian cycle of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} .*

Proof. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ choose an open set V_i with compact closure in W_i and $f_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ such that $\text{spt}(f_i)$ is a compact subset of W_i , $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x) = 1$ for every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ and $\text{spt}(T) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i \times \mathbf{S}^n$. Then $T = T \llcorner (\sum_{i=1}^m f_i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^m df_i = 0$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$,

$$\langle \phi, T \llcorner \alpha \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle f_i \phi, T \llcorner \alpha \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\partial T(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial T(f_i \phi) + T \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m df_i \right) \wedge \phi \right] = 0$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$. □

Definition 3 (Lipschitz-Killing forms). For $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ the k -th *Lipschitz-Killing differential form* $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{E}^n(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n, \varphi_k(x, u) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{n,k}} \langle \pi_{\sigma(1)}(\xi_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \pi_{\sigma(n)}(\xi_n) \wedge u, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where

$$\Sigma_{n,k} = \left\{ \sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} : \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(i) = n - k \right\}.$$

Given a C^2 -diffeomorphism $F : U \rightarrow V$ between open subsets of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} we define the C^1 -diffeomorphism $\Psi_F : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n$ by

$$\Psi_F(x, u) = \left(F(x), \frac{(DF(x)^{-1})^*(u)}{|(DF(x)^{-1})^*(u)|} \right) \quad \text{for } (x, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [Fu98, Lemma 3.2]). *Suppose T is a compactly supported Legendrian cycle of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is a local variation³ of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with initial velocity vector field V and $\theta : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is given by $\theta(x, u) = V(x) \bullet u$ for $(x, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$.*

³A *local variation* $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} is a family of smooth maps over \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , varying smoothly in the time variable t , such that F_0 is the identity of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , $F_t : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a diffeomorphism for every $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and the set $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : F_t(x) \neq x \text{ for some } t\}$ has compact closure in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . The *initial velocity vector field* V of $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is smooth vector field V of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} defined as

$$V(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_t(x) - x}{t} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}.$$

Then (see (2.4))

$$\frac{d}{dt}[(\Psi_{F_t})\#T](\varphi_i)\Big|_{t=0} = (n+1-i)T(\theta \cdot \varphi_{i-1}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}[(\Psi_{F_t})\#T](\varphi_0)\Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

2.4. Normal bundle of closed sets. For an arbitrary subset $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ we define the proximal unit normal bundle of C as

$$\text{nor}(C) = \{(x, \nu) \in \overline{C} \times \mathbf{S}^n : \text{dist}(x + s\nu, C) = s \text{ for some } s > 0\};$$

(cf. [RW98, pag. 212]). Notice that $\text{nor}(C) = \text{nor}(\overline{C})$. We recall that $\text{nor}(C)$ is a Borel set and it is always countably \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable; see [San20, Remark 4.3]⁴.

The following lemma is a simple extension of well known results for sets of positive reach (cf. [RZ19, Lemma 4.23 and 4.24]).

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. For \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(C)$ there exist numbers*

$$-\infty < \kappa_1(x, u) \leq \dots \leq \kappa_n(x, u) \leq \infty$$

and vectors $\tau_1(x, u), \dots, \tau_n(x, u)$ such that $\{\tau_1(x, u), \dots, \tau_n(x, u), u\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} and the vectors

$$\zeta_i(x, u) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_i(x, u)^2}} \tau_i(x, u), \frac{\kappa_i(x, u)}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_i(x, u)^2}} \tau_i(x, u) \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

form an orthonormal basis of $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner Q, (x, u))$ for every \mathcal{H}^n -measurable set $Q \subseteq \text{nor}(C)$ with $\mathcal{H}^n(Q) < \infty$ and for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in Q$ (We set $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ and $\frac{\infty}{\infty} = 1$).

The maps $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ can be chosen to be $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(C)$ -measurable and they are $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(C)$ -almost uniquely determined.

Proof. The existence part of the statement and the measurability property are discussed in [HS22, Section 3] (see in particular [HS22, Remark 3.7]). Uniqueness can be proved as in [RZ19, Lemma 4.24]. \square

Definition 4. If $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ we denote by $\kappa_{C,1}, \dots, \kappa_{C,n}$ the $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(C)$ measurable maps given by Lemma 2.3.

The following Heintze-Karcher type inequality for arbitrary closed sets is proved in [HS22].

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [HS22, Theorem 3.20]). *Let $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ be a bounded closed set with non empty interior. Let $K = \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \text{interior}(C)$ and assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{K,i}(x, u) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (x, u) \in \text{nor}(K).$$

Then

$$(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\text{interior}(C)) \leq \int_{\text{nor}(K)} J_n^{\text{nor}(K)} \pi_0(x, u) \frac{n}{|\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{K,i}(x, u)|} d\mathcal{H}^n(x, u).$$

Moreover, if the equality holds and there exists $q < \infty$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{K,i}(x, u)| \leq q$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(K)$, then Ω is a finite union of disjointed (possibly mutually tangent) open balls.

Lemma 2.5. *If $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and α is the contact 1 form of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} (see Definition 2), then*

$$\langle \xi, \alpha(x, u) \rangle = 0$$

for every $\xi \in \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner Q, (x, u))$ and for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in Q$, whenever $Q \subseteq \text{nor}(C)$ is a \mathcal{H}^n -measurable set with $\mathcal{H}^n(Q) < \infty$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of α and Lemma 2.3. \square

⁴The unit normal bundle of a closed set C in [San20] is denoted with $N(C)$.

3. LEGENDRIAN CYCLES OVER $W^{2,n}$ -GRAPHS

Definition 5. Given $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ open set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ continuous function, we define $\Gamma^+(f, U)$ as the set of $x \in U$ for which there exists $p \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + p \bullet (y - x) \quad \forall y \in U.$$

Definition 6. If $f \in C(U)$ we define $\mathcal{S}^*(f)$, respectively $\mathcal{S}_*(f)$, as the set of $x \in U$ where there exists a polynomial function P of degree at most 2 such that $P(x) = f(x)$ and

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - P(y)}{|y - x|^2} < \infty, \quad \text{respectively} \quad \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - P(y)}{|y - x|^2} > -\infty.$$

We set $\mathcal{S}(f)$ to be the set of $x \in U$ where there exists a polynomial function P of degree at most 2 such that $P(x) = f(x)$ and

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - P(y)}{|y - x|^2} = 0.$$

We recall now two classical results on the pointwise differentiability of Sobolev functions, which essentially go back to the classical work of Calderon and Zygmund in [CZ61].

Lemma 3.1. *If $f \in C(U) \cap W^{2,n}(U)$, then $\mathcal{L}^n(U \setminus \mathcal{S}(f)) = 0$.*

Proof. This is a special case of [CCKS96, Proposition 2.2], which is attributed to Calderon and Zygmund. This result can also be proved by a simple adaptation of the method of [Tru89] (cf. [Val24]). An interesting generalization is given in [Fu11]. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose U is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(U, \mathbf{R}^k)$. Then f is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -approximately differentiable at \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ with $\text{ap} Df(x) = \mathbf{D}f(x)$.*

Proof. This statement follows from classical pointwise differentiability properties of Sobolev functions. Indeed, by [CZ61, Theorem 12] (or [Zie89, Theorem 3.4.2]),

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-n-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x) - \mathbf{D}f(x)(y - x)| d\mathcal{L}^n(y) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. Fix now $x \in U$ such that (3.1) holds, define the affine function $L_x(y) = f(x) + \mathbf{D}f(x)(y - x)$ for $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and notice that

$$\frac{\epsilon \mathcal{L}^n(B(x,r) \cap \{y : |f(y) - L_x(y)| \geq \epsilon r\})}{r^n} \leq r^{-n-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - L_x(y)| d\mathcal{L}^n y$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Henceforth, by (3.1) and [San19, Lemma 2.7],

$$\Theta^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \{y : |f(y) - L_x(y)| \geq 2\epsilon|y - x|\}, x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0,$$

whence we conclude that f is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -approximately differentiable at x with $\text{ap} Df(x) = \mathbf{D}f(x)$ applying [Fed69, pag. 253] with ϕ and m replaced by $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ and n . \square

The next lemma provides the basic oscillation estimate needed to prove Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $r > 0$, $f \in C(B_r(a)) \cap W^{2,n}(B_r(a))$ and $g \in C^2(B_r(a))$ such that*

$$g(a) = f(a), \quad f(x) \geq g(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in B_r(a).$$

Then f is pointwise differentiable at a with $Df(a) = Dg(a)$, and there exists a constant $c(n)$, depending only on n , such that

$$\|\mathbf{D}f - Df(a)\|_{L^n(B_r(a))} \leq c(n)r \left(\|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|_{L^n(B_r(a))} + r \|D^2 g\|_{L^\infty(B_r(a))} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|f - L_a\|_{L^\infty(B_r(a))} \leq c(n)r \left(\|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|_{L^n(B_r(a))} + r \|D^2 g\|_{L^\infty(B_r(a))} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

where $L_a(x) = f(a) + Df(a)(x - a)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. See [Men24, Appendix B]. \square

Remark 3.1. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that if $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(f) \cup \mathcal{S}_*(f)$, then a is a Lebesgue point of Df , the map f is pointwise differentiable at a , and

$$Df(a) = \mathbf{D}f(a).$$

Definition 7. Suppose $\psi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is defined as

$$\psi(y) = \frac{(-y, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |y|^2}}.$$

If $f \in W^{2,n}(U) \cap C(U)$ we define

$$\Phi_f(x) = (x, f(x), \psi(\nabla f(x))) \quad \text{for every } x \in \text{Diff}(f).$$

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathbf{S}_+^n = \{(z, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} : |z|^2 + t^2 = 1, t > 0\}$. Notice that ψ is a diffeomorphism onto \mathbf{S}_+^n with inverse given by

$$\varphi : \mathbf{S}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \varphi(z, t) = -\frac{z}{t},$$

and $\|D\psi(y)\| \leq 2$ for $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Remark 3.3. We recall that ∇f is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -approximately differentiable at \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $a \in U$ by Lemma 3.2. Moreover, $\nabla f = \mathbf{\nabla} f$ by Remark 3.1. If $a \in \text{Diff}(f)$ and ∇f is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -approximately differentiable at a , then Φ_f is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -approximately differentiable at a and

$$\text{ap } D\Phi_f(a)(\tau) = (\tau, Df(a)(\tau), D\psi(\nabla f(a))[\text{ap } D(\nabla f)(a)(\tau)])$$

for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^n$. In particular $\text{ap } D\Phi_f(a)$ is injective for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $a \in U$ and, recalling (3.2) and noting that $\mathbf{D}(\nabla f) = \text{ap } D(\nabla f)$ by Lemma 3.2, we infer that

$$\int_U \|\text{ap } D\Phi_f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \leq c(n) \left(\mathcal{L}^n(U) + \int_U \|Df\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_U \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right).$$

It follows that Φ_f is a $W^{1,n}$ -map over U .

Remark 3.4. The following basic fact of measure theory is used in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Suppose μ is a measure over a set X , C is a positive constant, and $\{E_j : j \in S\}$ is a countable family of μ -measurable sets, such that $\mathcal{H}^0(\{j \in S : E_j \cap E_i \neq \emptyset\}) \leq C$ for every $i \in S$. Then

$$\sum_{i \in S} \mu(E_i) \leq C\mu \left(\bigcup_{i \in S} E_i \right).$$

The following result is proved using a Rado-Reichelderfer type argument; cf. [Mal99] and references therein.

Lemma 3.4. If $f \in C(U) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,n}(U)$ then $\mathcal{H}^n(\Phi_f(Z)) = 0$ for every $Z \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(f)$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n(Z) = 0$.

Proof. Given $\mu > 0$ and $V \subseteq U$, we define $X(V, \mu)$ as the set of $x \in V$ for which there exists a polynomial function Q of degree at most 2 such that $f(y) \leq Q(y)$ for every $y \in V$, $f(x) = Q(x)$, $\|DQ(x)\| \leq \mu$ and $\|D^2Q\| \leq \mu$. If $D \subseteq U$ is a countable dense subset of U and $R(c) = \{s \in \mathbf{Q} : B_s(c) \subseteq U\}$ for every $c \in D$, then we notice that

$$\mathcal{S}^*(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{c \in D} \bigcup_{s \in R(c)} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X(B_s(c), i).$$

Henceforth, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{H}^n(\Phi_f(Z)) = 0$ whenever $Z \subseteq X(U, \mu)$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(Z) = 0$, for some $\mu > 0$. Notice that f is pointwise differentiable at each point of the set $X(V, \mu)$, by Lemma 3.3.

Now we prove the following estimates: given $c \in U$ and $0 < r < 1$ such that $\overline{B_{3r}(c)} \subseteq U$, then

$$\|Df(a) - Df(b)\| \leq c(n) (\|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + \mu r) \quad (3.4)$$

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \leq c(n)r (\|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + \mu) \quad (3.5)$$

for every $a, b \in X(U, \mu) \cap B_r(c)$. We fix $a, b \in X(U, \mu) \cap B_r(c)$, $a \neq b$, and we define

$$s = \frac{|a - b|}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \frac{a + b}{2}.$$

We notice that $s \leq r$,

$$B_s(d) \subseteq B_{2s}(a) \cap B_{2s}(b) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2s}(a) \cup B_{2s}(b) \subseteq B_{3r}(c);$$

consequently it follows from (3.2) of Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{D}f - Df(e)\|_{L^n(B_s(d))} &\leq \|\mathbf{D}f - Df(e)\|_{L^n(B_{2s}(e))} \\ &\leq c(n)s(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{2s}(e))} + \mu s) \\ &\leq c(n)s(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + \mu r),\end{aligned}$$

for $e \in \{a, b\}$, whence we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(n)^{1/n}s\|Df(a) - Df(b)\| &\leq \|\mathbf{D}f - Df(a)\|_{L^n(B_s(d))} + \|\mathbf{D}f - Df(b)\|_{L^n(B_s(d))} \\ &\leq c(n)s(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + \mu r)\end{aligned}$$

and (3.4) is proved. Moreover, combining (3.3) of Lemma 3.3 with (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}|f(a) - f(b)| &\leq \|f - L_a\|_{L^\infty(B_s(d))} + \|f - L_b\|_{L^\infty(B_s(d))} + s\|Df(a)\| + s\|Df(b)\| \\ &\leq \|f - L_a\|_{L^\infty(B_{2s}(a))} + \|f - L_b\|_{L^\infty(B_{2s}(b))} + 2\mu r \\ &\leq c(n)r(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + \mu).\end{aligned}$$

We consider the function $\bar{f} \times \nabla f$ mapping $x \in \text{Diff}(f)$ into $(\bar{f}(x), \nabla f(x)) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$. Then it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\text{diam}[(\bar{f} \times \nabla f)(B_r(c) \cap X(U, \mu))] \leq c(n, \mu)(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{3r}(c))} + r). \quad (3.6)$$

Let $Z \subseteq X(U, \mu)$ bounded and $\mathcal{L}^n(Z) = 0$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we choose an open set $V \subseteq U$ such that $Z \subseteq V$, and

$$\mathcal{L}^n(V) \leq \epsilon, \quad \|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(V)}^n \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

We define $R : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\rho : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as

$$R(x) = \inf \left\{ 1, \frac{\text{dist}(x, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus V)}{4} \right\}, \quad \text{for } x \in Z,$$

$$\rho(x) = \text{diam}((\bar{f} \times \nabla f)(B_{R(x)}(x) \cap X(U, \mu))), \quad \text{for } x \in Z.$$

We notice that R is a Lipschitzian function with $\text{Lip}(R) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and, noting that $B_{3R(x)}(x) \subseteq V$ for every $x \in Z$ and combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\rho(x) \leq c(n, \mu)(\|\mathbf{D}^2f\|_{L^n(B_{3R(x)}(x))} + R(x)) \leq c(n, \mu)\epsilon^{1/n}, \quad (3.8)$$

for $x \in Z$. We prove now the following claim: *there exists $C \subseteq Z$ countable such that*

$$\{B_{R(y)/5}(y) : y \in C\} \text{ is disjointed,}$$

$$Z \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in C} B_{R(y)}(y),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\{y \in C : B_{3R(y)}(y) \cap B_{3R(x)}(x) \neq \emptyset\}) \leq c(n), \quad \text{for every } x \in Z.$$

Applying Besicovitch covering theorem [AFP00, Theorem 2.17] (see also the remark at the beginning of page 52), there exists a positive constant $\xi(n)$ depending only on n , and there exist $Z_1, \dots, Z_{\xi(n)} \subseteq Z$ such that

$$Z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\xi(n)} \bigcup_{x \in Z_i} B_{R(x)/5}(x),$$

and $\{B_{R(x)/5}(x) : x \in Z_i\}$ is disjointed for every $i = 1, \dots, \xi(n)$. We now apply [Fed69, Lemma 3.1.12] with $S = Z_i$, $U = Z$, $h = \frac{R}{5}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{20}$ and $\alpha = \beta = 15$, to infer that

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\{y \in Z_i : B_{3R(y)}(y) \cap B_{3R(x)}(x) \neq \emptyset\}) \leq c(n), \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, \xi(n).$$

We define $Z' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\xi(n)} Z_i$, and we notice that $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in Z'} B_{R(x)/5}(x)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\{y \in Z' : B_{3R(y)}(y) \cap B_{3R(x)}(x) \neq \emptyset\}) \leq \xi(n)c(n), \quad \text{for every } x \in Z.$$

Now we apply Vitali covering theorem to find a countable set $C \subseteq Z'$ such that $\{B_{R(x)/5}(x) : x \in C\}$ is disjointed and

$$Z \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in C} B_{R(x)}(x),$$

which proves the claim.

Denoting with ϕ_δ the size δ approximating measure of the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^n of \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} (cf. [Fed69, 2.10.1, 2.10.2]), and combining (3.8) with the claim above and with Remark 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{c(n,\mu)\epsilon^{1/n}}((\bar{f} \times \nabla f)(Z)) &\leq c(n) \sum_{y \in C} \rho(y)^n \\ &\leq c(n, \mu) \sum_{y \in C} \left(\|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|_{L^n(B_{3R(y)}(y))} + R(y) \right)^n \\ &\leq c(n, \mu) \sum_{y \in C} R(y)^n + c(n, \mu) \sum_{y \in C} \int_{B_{3R(y)}(y)} \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &\leq c(n, \mu) \mathcal{L}^n(V) + c(n, \mu) \int_V \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &\leq c(n, \mu) \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that $\mathcal{H}^n((\bar{f} \times \nabla f)(Z)) = 0$. Since $\Phi_f = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \times \psi) \circ (\bar{f} \times \nabla f)$ (see Remark 3.2), we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^n(\Phi_f(Z)) = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is open, $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, $f \in C^{0,\gamma}(U)$, $x \in U$, $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^n \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $B^{n+1}(\bar{f}(x) + s\nu, s) \cap \bar{f}(U) = \emptyset$ for some $s > 0$.*

Then $\nu \notin \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$. In particular, this is always true for $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,n}(U) \cap C(U)$.

Proof. We prove the assertion by contradiction. Suppose $0 \in U$ and $f(0) = 0$; hence there exists $c \in U \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$B^{n+1}(c, |c|) \cap G = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad K := \overline{B^{n+1}(c, |c|) \cap (\mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\})} \subseteq U.$$

Suppose $L > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\gamma$ for every $x, y \in K$, and define

$$h_\pm(x) = \pm \sqrt{|c|^2 - |x - c|^2} = \pm \sqrt{2c \bullet x - |x|^2}$$

for $x \in K$. Henceforth, either $h_+(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in K$, or $f(x) \leq h_-(x)$ for every $x \in K$. In both cases, replacing x with tc and $0 < t < 1$, one obtains

$$t^{1-2\gamma}(2-t) \leq L^2|c|^{2\gamma-2}$$

for $0 < t < 1$. This is clearly impossible, since $1 - 2\gamma < 0$. \square

Definition 8. If $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is an open set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function, we define

$$E_f = \{(x, u) \in U \times \mathbf{R} : u \leq f(x)\}$$

and

$$N_f = \text{nor}(E_f) \cap (U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

Lemma 3.6. *If U is a bounded open set and $f \in W^{2,n}(U) \cap C(U)$ then*

$$N_f \cap (A \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}) = \Phi_f[A \cap \mathcal{S}^*(f)] \quad (3.9)$$

for every $A \subseteq U$, and

$$\int_{N_f} \beta d\mathcal{H}^n = \int_U \beta(\Phi_f(x)) \text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x) d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \quad (3.10)$$

whenever $\beta : U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a \mathcal{H}^n -measurable non-negative function. In particular, $\mathcal{H}^n(N_f) < \infty$ and

$$\text{ap } D\Phi_f(x)[\mathbf{R}^n] = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, \Phi_f(x)) \quad (3.11)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in \mathcal{S}^*(f)$.

Proof. Suppose $(z, \nu) \in N_f$, where $z = (x, f(x))$ with $x \in A$, and $s > 0$ such that $B^{n+1}(z + s\nu, s) \cap E_f = \emptyset$. Since $\nu \notin \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$ by Lemma 3.5, we can easily see that there exists an open set $W \subseteq U \times \mathbf{R}$ with $z \in W$, an open set $V \subseteq U$ with $x \in V$, and a smooth function $g : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f(x) = g(x)$ and

$$W \cap B^{n+1}(z + s\nu, s) = \{(y, u) : x \in V, u > g(y)\};$$

in particular $f(y) \leq g(y)$ for every $y \in V$. It follows that $x \in \mathcal{S}^*(f)$, $x \in \text{Diff}(f)$ and $Df(x) = Dg(x)$ by Lemma 3.3. Noting that

$$\nu = \frac{(-\nabla g(x), 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla g(x)|^2}}$$

we conclude $(z, \nu) = \Phi_f(x)$ and $N_f \cap (A \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}) \subseteq \Phi_f(\mathcal{S}^*(f) \cap A)$.

The opposite inclusion is clear, since for every $x \in \mathcal{S}^*(f)$ there exists a polynomial function P and an open neighbourhood V of x , such that $P(x) = f(x)$ and $P(y) \geq f(y)$ for every $y \in V$.

We prove now the area formula in (3.10). Since Φ_f is a $W^{1,n}$ -map (see Remark 3.3), by classical results (see [CZ61, Theorem 13]) there exist countably many C^1 -maps $\Psi_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\text{Lip}\Psi_i < \infty$ for every $i \geq 1$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^n(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x \in U : \Psi_i(x) = \Phi_f(x)\}) = 0.$$

We define \tilde{A}_i as the set of $x \in \mathcal{S}^*(f)$ where $\Psi_i(x) = \Phi_f(x)$ and Φ_f is approximately differentiable at x with $\text{ap} D\Phi_f(x) = D\Psi_i(x)$. Then we set

$$A_1 = \tilde{A}_1, \quad A_i = \tilde{A}_i \setminus \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \tilde{A}_\ell \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

We notice that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^n(N \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Phi_f(A_i)) = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

by Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.4 and (3.9). Let $\beta : U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a \mathcal{H}^n -measurable non-negative function. Employing [Fed69, 2.4.8, 3.2.5], the injectivity of Φ_f and (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \beta(\Phi_f(x)) \text{ap} J_n \Phi_f(x) d\mathcal{L}^n(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_i} \beta(\Psi_i(x)) J_n \Psi_i(x) d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Phi_f(A_i)} \beta(y) d\mathcal{H}^n(y) \\ &= \int_{N_f} \beta(y) d\mathcal{H}^n(y). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\beta = 1$ we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^n(N_f) < \infty$ and we deduce that $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, (z, \nu))$ is a n -dimensional plane for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(z, \nu) \in N_f$. Let D_i be the set of $x \in A_i$ such that $\Theta^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \mathbf{R}^n \setminus A_i, x) = 0$, $\text{ap} D\Phi_f(x)$ is injective and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, \Phi_f(x))$ is a n -dimensional plane. Noting [Fed69, 2.10.19] and Remark 3.3, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(A_i \setminus D_i) = 0.$$

Noting that $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner A_i, x) = \mathbf{R}^n$ for $x \in D_i$, and noting that $\Psi|_{A_i}$ is a bi-lipschitz homeomorphism onto $\Phi_f(A_i)$, we employ [San20, Lemma B.2] to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ap} D\Phi_f(x)[\mathbf{R}^n] &= D\Psi_i(x)[\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner A_i, x)] \\ &\subseteq \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Psi_i(A_i), \Phi_f(x)) \subseteq \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, \Phi_f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in D_i$. Since $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, \Phi_f(x))$ is a n -dimensional plane and $\text{ap} D\Phi_f(x)$ is injective for every $x \in D_i$, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{D}\Phi_f(x)[\mathbf{R}^n] = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, \Phi_f(x)) \quad \text{for every } x \in D_i.$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *If U is a bounded open set and $f \in W^{2,n}(U) \cap C(U)$, then there exists a Borel n -vectorfield η on N_f such that*

$$(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f) \wedge \eta \text{ is a Legendrian cycle of } U \times \mathbf{R}$$

and, for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(z, \nu) \in N_f$,

$$|\eta(z, \nu)| = 1, \quad \eta(z, \nu) \text{ is simple,}$$

$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner N_f, (z, \nu))$ is associated with $\eta(z, \nu)$

and (see (2.2))

$$\langle [\bigwedge_n \pi_0](\eta(z, \nu)) \wedge \nu, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0.$$

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard base of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} and we use the symbols D_1, \dots, D_n and $\text{ap } D_1, \dots, \text{ap } D_n$ for the partial derivatives and the approximate partial derivatives with respect to e_1, \dots, e_n . We notice by [Fed69, 3.1.4] that $\text{ap } D_i \Phi_f$ is a $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ -measurable map for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Henceforth, by the classical Lusin theorem (cf. [Fed69, 2.3.5, 2.3.6]) there exists a Borel map $\xi_i : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that ξ_i is $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U$ almost equal to $\text{ap } D_i \Phi_f$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Recalling Remark 3.3, we define the n -current $T \in \mathcal{D}_n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ by

$$T(\phi) = \int_U \langle \xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x), \phi(\Phi_f(x)) \rangle d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \quad (3.13)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$. We choose a sequence $f_k \in C^\infty(U) \cap W^{2,n}(U)$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $W^{2,n}(U)$, $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ and $Df_k(x) \rightarrow Df(x)$ for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$; see [GT01, Theorem 7.9]. We define $T_k = (\Phi_{f_k})_\#(\mathbf{E}^n \llcorner U) \in \mathcal{D}_n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ and we prove that

$$T_k \rightarrow T \text{ in } \mathcal{D}_n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}). \quad (3.14)$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$. We notice by area formula (see [Fed69, 4.1.25]) that

$$T_k(\phi) = \int_U \langle D_1 \Phi_{f_k}(x) \wedge \dots \wedge D_n \Phi_{f_k}(x), \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x)) \rangle d\mathcal{L}^n(x)$$

and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_k(\phi) - T(\phi)| \quad (3.15) \\ & \leq \int_U |\langle D_1 \Phi_{f_k}(x) \wedge \dots \wedge D_n \Phi_{f_k}(x) - \xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x), \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x)) \rangle| d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \\ & \quad + \int_U |\langle \xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x), \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x)) - \phi(\Phi_f(x)) \rangle| d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \\ & \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(U)} \int_U |D_1 \Phi_{f_k}(x) \wedge \dots \wedge D_n \Phi_{f_k}(x) - \xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x)| d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \\ & \quad + \int_U J_n \Phi_f(x) \|\phi(\Phi_f(x)) - \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x))\| d\mathcal{L}^n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\text{ap } J_n \Phi_f \in L^1(U)$ (see Remark 3.3) and

$$\text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x) \|\phi(\Phi_f(x)) - \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x))\| \leq 2\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n)} \text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ and for every $k \geq 1$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_U \text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x) \|\phi(\Phi_f(x)) - \phi(\Phi_{f_k}(x))\| d\mathcal{L}^n(x) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & D_1 \Phi_{f_k} \wedge \dots \wedge D_n \Phi_{f_k} - \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i-1} \Phi_f \wedge (\xi_i - D_i \Phi_{f_k}) \wedge D_{i+1} \Phi_{f_k} \wedge \dots \wedge D_n \Phi_{f_k} \end{aligned}$$

and we use the generalized Holder's inequality to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_U |D_1\Phi_{f_k} \wedge \dots \wedge D_n\Phi_{f_k} - \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n| d\mathcal{L}^n \tag{3.17} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U |\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i-1}| \cdot |\xi_i - D_i\Phi_{f_k}| \cdot |D_{i+1}\Phi_{f_k} \wedge \dots \wedge D_n\Phi_{f_k}| d\mathcal{L}^n \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U \|\text{ap } D\Phi_f\|^{i-1} \cdot \|\text{ap } D\Phi_f - D\Phi_{f_k}\| \cdot \|D\Phi_{f_k}\|^{n-i} d\mathcal{L}^n \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_U \|\text{ap } D\Phi_f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{i-1}{n}} \cdot \left(\int_U \|\text{ap } D\Phi_f - D\Phi_{f_k}\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \left(\int_U \|D\Phi_{f_k}\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{n-i}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_U \|D\Phi_{f_k} - \text{ap } D\Phi_f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \\
& \leq c(n) \left(\int_U \|D\bar{f}_k - \mathbf{D}\bar{f}\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_U \|D(\psi \circ \nabla f_k) - \mathbf{D}(\psi \circ \nabla f)\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right) \\
& \leq c(n) \left(\int_U \|D\bar{f}_k - \mathbf{D}\bar{f}\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_U \|D\psi(\nabla f_k)\|^n \|D^2 f_k - \mathbf{D}^2 f_k\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_U \|D\psi(\nabla f_k) - D\psi(\nabla f)\|^n \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right) \\
& \leq c(n) \left(\int_U \|D\bar{f}_k - \mathbf{D}\bar{f}\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_U \|D^2 f_k - \mathbf{D}^2 f_k\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_U \|D\psi(\nabla f_k) - D\psi(\nabla f)\|^n \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_U \|D\psi(\nabla f_k) - D\psi(\nabla f)\|^n \|\mathbf{D}^2 f\|^n d\mathcal{L}^n = 0$$

by dominated convergence theorem. Consequently $\|D\Phi_{f_k} - \mathbf{D}\Phi_f\|_{L^n(U)} \rightarrow 0$, and combining (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain (3.14).

Since $\partial T_k = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, we readily infer from (3.14) that $\partial T = 0$. Define $G = [\Phi_f | \mathcal{S}^*(f)]^{-1} : N_f \rightarrow U$ and notice that G is simply the restriction on N_f of the linear function that maps a point of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ onto its first n coordinates; in particular G is a Borel map (recall that N_f is a Borel set). We employ Lemma 3.6 to see that

$$T(\phi) = \int_{N_f} \langle \xi[G(z, \nu)], \phi(z, \nu) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^n(z, \nu) \tag{3.18}$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^n(U \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$, where $\xi : U \rightarrow \bigwedge_n(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ is the Borel map defined as

$$\xi(x) = \frac{\xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x)}{|\xi_1(x) \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n(x)|}.$$

Then we define the Borel map

$$\eta : N_f \rightarrow \bigwedge_n(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}), \quad \eta = \xi \circ G,$$

and we readily infer that $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner N_f, (z, \nu))$ is associated with $\eta(z, \nu)$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(z, \nu) \in N$ by Lemma 3.6, and $(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner N_f) \wedge \eta$ is a Legendrian cycle by Lemma 2.5. Finally, denoting by e_1, \dots, e_n the canonical base of \mathbf{R}^n and by X_1, \dots, X_{n+1} the coordinate functions of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} = \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$, we use Remark 3.3 and shuffle formula (see [Fed69, pag. 19]) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [\bigwedge_n \pi_0](\xi(x)) \wedge \psi(\nabla f(x)), dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{\text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x)} \langle (e_1, D_1 f(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n, D_n f(x)) \wedge \psi(\nabla f(x)), dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle \\
& = \frac{dX_{n+1}(\psi(\nabla f(x)))}{\text{ap } J_n \Phi_f(x)} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. □

4. THE SUPPORT OF LEGENDRIAN CYCLES

Suppose $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. We define $\text{Unp}(C)$ as the set of $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C}$ such that there exists a *unique* $y \in \overline{C}$ with $\text{dist}(x, C) = |y - x|$. It is well known that $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus (\overline{C} \cup \text{Unp}(C))$ is the set of points in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C}$ where $\text{dist}(\cdot, C)$ is not differentiable, see [KS23, Lemma 2.41(c)] and references therein. In particular, Rademacher theorem ensures that

$$\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus (\overline{C} \cup \text{Unp}(C))) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

The nearest point projection ξ_C is multivalued function mapping $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ onto

$$\xi_C(x) = \{a \in C : |a - x| = \text{dist}(x, C)\}.$$

Notice that $\xi_C|_{\text{Unp}(C)}$ is single-valued and we define

$$\nu_C(x) = \frac{x - \xi_C(x)}{\text{dist}(x, C)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_C(x) = (\xi_C(x), \nu_C(x))$$

for $x \in \text{Unp}(C)$. It is well known (see [Fed59, Theorem 4.8(4)]) that ξ_C , ν_C and ψ_C are continuous functions over $\text{Unp}(C)$ and it is easy to see that

$$\text{nor}(C) = \psi_C(\text{Unp}(C)). \quad (4.2)$$

We also define

$$\rho_C(x) = \sup\{s > 0 : \text{dist}(a + s(x - a), C) = s \text{dist}(x, C)\}$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C}$ and $a \in \xi_C(x)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $a \in \xi_C(x)$, the function $\rho_C : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C} \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ is upper-semicontinuous and we set

$$\text{Cut}(C) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C} : \rho_C(x) = 1\};$$

see [KS23, Remark 2.32 and Lemma 2.33]. Finally we define

$$S_t(C) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \text{dist}(x, C) = t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

and we recall from [HS22, Lemma 4.2(53)] that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(S_t(C) \cap \text{Unp}(C) \cap \text{Cut}(C)) = 0 \quad \text{for every } t > 0. \quad (4.3)$$

We are ready to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is an open set such that $W \cap \text{nor}(C) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{H}^n(W \cap \text{nor}(C)) > 0$.*

Proof. Notice that $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C} \neq \emptyset$ since $\text{nor}(C) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from the continuity of ψ_C that $\psi_C^{-1}(W \cap \text{nor}(C))$ is relatively open in $\text{Unp}(C)$. Henceforth, we conclude from (4.1) that

$$\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\psi_C^{-1}(W \cap \text{nor}(C))) > 0.$$

We define $T = \psi_C^{-1}(W \cap \text{nor}(C))$. Since $J_1 \text{dist}(\cdot, C)$ is \mathcal{L}^{n+1} almost equal to the constant function 1 on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{C}$, we use coarea formula to compute

$$0 < \mathcal{L}^{n+1}(T) = \int \mathcal{H}^n(T \cap S_t(C)) dt$$

we infer there exists $\tau > 0$ so that $\mathcal{H}^n(T \cap S_\tau(C)) > 0$ and we use (4.3) to conclude

$$\mathcal{H}^n((T \cap S_\tau(C)) \setminus \text{Cut}(C)) > 0.$$

Consequently there exists $s > \tau$ so that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(T \cap S_\tau(C) \cap \{\rho_C \geq s/\tau\}) > 0.$$

Since $\psi_C|_{S_\tau(C) \cap \{\rho_C \geq s/\tau\}}$ is a bi-lipschitz homeomorphism by [HS22, Theorem 3.16], we conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\psi_C[T \cap S_\tau(C) \cap \{\rho_C \geq s/\tau\}]) > 0,$$

whence we infer that $\mathcal{H}^n(W \cap \text{nor}(C)) > 0$. □

For the next proof we recall that, for a subset $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, the normal cone $\text{Nor}(C, z)$ (see [Fed69, 3.1.21]) coincides with the cone of *regular normals* of C at z introduced in [RW98, Definition 6.3] (and denoted there by $\hat{N}_C(z)$), while $\text{nor}(C, z)$ is the cone of proximal normals of unit length of C at z defined in [RW98, Example 6.16].

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is open and $f \in C(U)$ such that $\overline{\nabla f}[\text{Diff}(f)]$ is a dense subset of $U \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Then $\text{nor}(E_f)$ is dense in $G \times \mathbf{S}_+^n$, where $G = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in U\}$.*

Proof. First, we observe that

$$\psi(\nabla f(x)) \in \text{Nor}(E_f, \overline{f}(x)) \quad \text{for every } x \in \text{Diff}(f).$$

Since ψ is a diffeomorphism of \mathbf{R}^n onto \mathbf{S}_+^n and f is continuous, the set $\{(\overline{f}(x), \psi(\nabla f(x))) : x \in U\}$ is dense in $G \times \mathbf{S}_+^n$; consequently we infer that $\text{nor}(E_f)$ is dense in $G \times \mathbf{S}_+^n$ by standard approximation of regular normals, see [RW98, Exercise 6.18(a)]. \square

Fu in [Fu11, pag. 2260] observed that there exist continuous functions as in Lemma 4.2 that belong to $W^{2,n}(U)$. Consequently, combining Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.7, we conclude that there exists n -dimensional Legendrian cycles (of open subsets on \mathbf{R}^{n+1}) whose support has positive \mathcal{H}^{2n} -measure. This answers a question implicit in [RZ15, Remark 2.3].

5. REILLY-TYPE VARIATIONAL FORMULAE FOR $W^{2,n}$ -DOMAINS

In this section we study the structure of the unit normal bundle of a $W^{2,n}$ -domain (see Theorem 5.3), and we prove the variational formulae for their mean curvature functions (see Theorem 5.5). The latter extends the well known variational formulae obtained by Reilly in [Rei72] for smooth domains. As a corollary Minkowski-Hsiung formulae are also proved; see Theorem 5.6.

Definition 9 (Viscosity boundary). Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ be an open set. We define $\partial_+^v \Omega$ to be the set of all $p \in \partial \Omega$ such that there exists $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^n$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$B^{n+1}(p + r\nu, r) \cap \Omega = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad B^{n+1}(p - r\nu, r) \subseteq \Omega.$$

[Notice $\{p\} = \partial B(p + r\nu, r) \cap \partial B(p - r\nu, r)$.] Clearly for each $p \in \partial_+^v \Omega$ the unit vector ν is unique. This defines an exterior unit-normal vector field on $\partial_+^v \Omega$,

$$\nu_\Omega : \partial_+^v \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n.$$

We introduce the notion of second order rectifiability. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ and μ is a positive integer such that $\mathcal{H}^\mu(X) < \infty$. We say that X is \mathcal{H}^μ -*rectifiable of class 2* if and only if there exists countably many μ -dimensional submanifolds $\Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ of class 2 such that

$$\mathcal{H}^\mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Sigma_i) = 0.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is \mathcal{H}^n -measurable and \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2, and $\nu : X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$ is a $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X$ -measurable map such that*

$$\nu(a) \in \text{Nor}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X, a) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } a \in \Sigma.$$

Then there exist countably many $X_i \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty X_i) = 0$ and $\text{Lip}(\nu|_{X_i}) < \infty$; moreover, ν is $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X$ -approximately differentiable at \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $a \in X$ and $\text{ap} D\nu(a)$ is a symmetric endomorphism of $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X, a)$.

Proof. Let Σ_i be a sequence of C^2 -hypersurfaces such that $\mathcal{H}^n(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Sigma_i) = 0$ and let $\eta_i : \Sigma_i \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$ be a sequence of Lipschitzian continuously differentiable unit-normal vector fields. For $i \geq 1$ we define

$$\Sigma_i^\pm = \{a \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma : \eta_i(a) = \pm \nu(a)\}$$

and we employ [Fed69, 2.10.19(4), 3.2.19] to infer that $\Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X \setminus \Sigma_i^\pm, a) = 0$ and ν is $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma$ approximately differentiable at \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $a \in \Sigma_i^\pm$. Moreover, employing [Fed69, 2.10.19(4)] we deduce that $\Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X \setminus \Sigma_i, a) = \Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma_i \setminus X, a) = 0$ and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X, a) = \text{Tan}(\Sigma_i, a)$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $a \in \Sigma_i \cap X$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^n(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty (\Sigma_i^+ \cup \Sigma_i^-)) = 0$. Finally the symmetry of $\text{ap} D\nu(a)$ follows from the well known symmetry of $D\eta_i(a)$. \square

We collect some basic properties of $W^{2,n}$ -domains.

Lemma 5.2. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain, then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega \setminus \partial_+^v\Omega) = 0$ and $K \cap \partial\Omega$ is \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2 for every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$.
- (2) For \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $p \in \partial\Omega$,

$$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, p) = \text{Tan}(\partial\Omega, p) = \nu_\Omega(p)^\perp.$$

- (3) For every $p \in \partial_+^v\Omega$,

$$\text{Tan}^{n+1}(\mathcal{L}^{n+1} \llcorner \Omega, p) = \text{Tan}(\Omega, p) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : v \bullet \nu_\Omega(p) \leq 0\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\Omega = F(\Omega')$, where Ω' and F are as in Definition 1. Clearly, $F(\partial\Omega') = \partial\Omega$ and $F(\partial_+^v\Omega') = \partial_+^v\Omega$. Therefore, assertion (1) follows from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 7.3 and Remark 7.1.

If $p \in \partial_+^v\Omega$, we have $\text{Tan}(\partial\Omega, p) \subseteq \nu_\Omega(p)^\perp$; since $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, p) \subseteq \text{Tan}(\partial\Omega, p)$ for every $p \in \partial\Omega$ and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, p)$ is a n -dimensional plane for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $p \in \partial\Omega$, we obtain (2). Finally it follows from definitions that $\text{Tan}(\Omega, p) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : v \bullet \nu_\Omega(p) \leq 0\}$ and $\text{Tan}^{n+1}(\mathcal{L}^{n+1} \llcorner \Omega, p) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : v \bullet \nu_\Omega(p) \leq 0\}$ for every $p \in \partial_+^v\Omega$. \square

By Lemma 5.1 the map ν_Ω is $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega$ -approximately differentiable with a symmetric approximate differential $\text{ap}D\nu_\Omega(x)$ at \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$. Consequently we introduce the following definition.

Definition 10 (Approximate principal curvatures). Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain. The *approximate principal curvatures of Ω* are the \mathbf{R} -valued $(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega)$ -measurable maps

$$\chi_{\Omega,1}, \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n},$$

defined so that $\chi_{\Omega,1}(p) \leq \dots \leq \chi_{\Omega,n}(p)$ are the eigenvalues of $\text{ap}D\nu_\Omega(p)$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $p \in \partial\Omega$.

We prove now the main structure theorem for the unit normal bundle $\text{nor}(\Omega)$ of a $W^{2,n}$ -domain.

Theorem 5.3. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $\mathcal{H}^n(\overline{\text{nor}(\Omega)}) = 0$ whenever $Z \subseteq \partial_+^v\Omega$ with $\mathcal{H}^n(Z) = 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}^n(\text{nor}(\Omega) \setminus \overline{\text{nor}(\Omega)}) = 0$.
- (3) $\kappa_{\Omega,i}(x, u) = \chi_{\Omega,i}(x)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$. In particular, $\kappa_{\Omega,i}(x, u) < \infty$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$.
- (4) If $\partial\Omega$ is compact, then $\mathcal{H}^n(\text{nor}(\Omega)) < \infty$ and there exists a unique Legendrian cycle T of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} such that

$$T = (\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge \eta,$$

where η is a $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)$ measurable n -vectorfield such that

$$|\eta(x, u)| = 1, \quad \eta(x, u) \text{ is simple,}$$

$$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), (x, u)) \text{ is associated with } \eta(x, u)$$

and

$$\langle [\bigwedge_n \pi_0](\eta(x, u)) \wedge u, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$. In this case, $\eta = \zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_n$, where

$$\zeta_i = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega,i}^2}} \tau_i, \frac{\kappa_{\Omega,i}}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega,i}^2}} \tau_i \right) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

and $\tau_1(x, u), \dots, \tau_n(x, u)$ are an orthonormal basis of u^\perp such that $\tau_1(x, u) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n(x, u) \wedge u = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Suppose $\Omega = F(\Omega')$, where Ω' and F are as in Definition 1. We recall the definition of Ψ_F from (2.4) and notice that

$$\Psi_F(\text{nor}(\Omega')) = \text{nor}(\Omega) \tag{5.1}$$

by [San21, Lemma 2.1]. Since $F(\partial_+^v\Omega') = \partial_+^v\Omega$, we readily infer from (5.1) that

$$\Psi_F(x, \nu_{\Omega'}(x)) = (F(x), \nu_\Omega(F(x))) \quad \text{for every } x \in \partial_+^v\Omega'$$

and

$$\Psi_F(\overline{\nu_\Omega}(F^{-1}(S))) = \overline{\nu_\Omega}(S) \quad \text{for every } S \subseteq \partial_+^v \Omega. \quad (5.2)$$

To prove the assertions in (1) and (2) we notice, firstly, that they are true for Ω' as a consequence of Lemma 3.4, (3.9) of Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.7; then we apply (5.1) and (5.2).

To prove (3) we first employ Lemma 5.1 to find a countable family $X_i \subseteq \partial_+^v \Omega$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty X_i) = 0$ and $\text{Lip}(\nu_\Omega|_{X_i}) < \infty$ for every $i \geq 1$; then we define Y_i to be the set of $x \in X_i$ such that ν_Ω is $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega$ approximately differentiable at x , $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x)$ and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), \overline{\nu_\Omega}(x))$ are n -dimensional planes, and $\Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega \setminus X_i, x) = 0$. We notice that $\overline{\nu_\Omega}|_{X_i}$ is bi-lipschitz and, since $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), (x, u))$ is a n -dimensional plane for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(X_i \setminus Y_i) = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \geq 1.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\text{nor}(\Omega) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \overline{\nu_\Omega}(Y_i)) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

We fix now $x \in Y_i$. Then there exists a map $g : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ pointwise differentiable at x such that $\Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega \setminus \{g = \overline{\nu_\Omega}\}, x) = 0$ and $\text{ap } D\overline{\nu_\Omega}(x) = Dg(x)|_{\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x)}$; noting that $\text{ap } D\overline{\nu_\Omega}(x)$ is injective, $g|_{X_i \cap \{g = \overline{\nu_\Omega}\}}$ is bi-lipschitz and

$$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x) = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X_i \cap \{g = \overline{\nu_\Omega}\}, x),$$

we readily infer by [San20, Lemma B.2] that

$$\text{ap } D\overline{\nu_\Omega}(x)[\text{Tan}(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x)] = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), \overline{\nu_\Omega}(x)).$$

Henceforth, if τ_1, \dots, τ_n is an orthonormal basis of $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x)$ with $\text{ap } D\nu_\Omega(x)(\tau_i) = \chi_{\Omega, i}(x)\tau_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we conclude that

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_{\Omega, i}(x)^2}} \tau_i, \frac{\chi_{\Omega, i}(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \chi_{\Omega, i}(x)^2}} \tau_i \right) : i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), \overline{\nu_\Omega}(x))$. Since x is arbitrarily chosen in Y_i , thanks to (5.3), we deduce from the uniqueness stated in Lemma 2.3 that

$$\kappa_{\Omega, i}(x, u) = \chi_{\Omega, i}(x) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega).$$

Finally we prove (4). By Lemma 2.3 we can choose maps τ_1, \dots, τ_n defined \mathcal{H}^n a.e. on $\text{nor}(\Omega')$ such that $\tau_1(x, u), \dots, \tau_n(x, u), u$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} ,

$$\tau_1(x, u) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n(x, u) \wedge u = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega') \quad (5.4)$$

and the vectors

$$\zeta'_i(x, u) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega', i}(x, u)^2}} \tau_i(x, u), \frac{\kappa_{\Omega', i}(x, u)}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega', i}(x, u)^2}} \tau_i(x, u) \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

form an orthonormal basis of $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega'), (x, u))$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega')$. Then we define

$$\eta' = \zeta'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta'_n$$

and notice that

$$|\eta'(x, u)| = 1, \quad \eta'(x, u) \text{ is simple,}$$

$$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \text{nor}(\Omega'), (x, u)) \text{ is associated with } \eta'(x, u)$$

and (see (2.2))

$$\langle [\bigwedge_n \pi_0](\eta'(x, u)) \wedge u, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{(by (5.4))} \quad (5.5)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega')$. If $p \in \partial\Omega'$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^n$, $U \subseteq \nu^\perp$ is a bounded open set with $0 \in U$ and $f \in W^{2, n}(U)$ is a continuous function with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\{p + b + \tau\nu : b \in U, -\epsilon < \tau \leq f(b)\} = \overline{\Omega'} \cap C_{U, \epsilon},$$

where $C_{U, t} = \{p + b + \tau\nu : b \in U, -t < \tau < t\}$ for each $0 < t \leq \infty$, then we observe that

$$N_f = \text{nor}(\Omega') \cap (C_{U, \epsilon} \times \mathbf{S}^n)$$

where $N_f = \text{nor}(E_f) \cap (C_{U,\infty} \times \mathbf{S}^n)$ and $E_f = \{p + b + \tau\nu : b \in U, -\infty < \tau \leq f(b)\}$. It follows from (5.5) and Theorem 5.3 that $\eta' | [\text{nor}(\Omega') \cap (C_{U,\epsilon} \times \mathbf{S}^n)]$ is \mathcal{H}^n almost equal to a Borel n -vectorfield defined over $\text{nor}(\Omega') \cap (C_{U,\epsilon} \times \mathbf{S}^n)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner [\text{nor}(\Omega') \cap (C_{U,\epsilon} \times \mathbf{S}^n)]) \wedge \eta'$ is a n -dimensional Legendrian cycle of $C_{U,\epsilon}$. Henceforth, we define the integer multiplicity locally rectifiable n -current

$$T' = (\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega')) \wedge \eta'$$

and we conclude by Lemma 2.1 that T' is a Legendrian cycle of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} .

We define now $\psi = \Psi_F | \text{nor}(\Omega')$ and, recalling (5.1) and noting that

$$\text{ap } D\psi(\psi^{-1}(y, v)) = D\Psi_F(\psi^{-1}(y, v)) \quad (5.6)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$, we define

$$\eta(y, v) = \frac{[\wedge_n \text{ap } D\psi(\psi^{-1}(y, v))] \eta'(\psi^{-1}(y, v))}{J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega')} \psi(\psi^{-1}(y, v))}$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$. Since Ψ_F is a diffeomorphism we have that $\eta(y, v) \neq 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$. We now apply [Fed69, 4.1.30] with U, K, W, ξ, G and g replaced by $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, \partial\Omega' \times \mathbf{S}^n, \text{nor}(\Omega'), \Psi_F$ and ψ respectively. We infer that

$$(\Psi_F)_\# [(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega')) \wedge \eta'] = (\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge \eta$$

and that $|\eta(y, v)| = 1$ and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega), (y, v))$ is associated with $\eta(y, v)$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$. Clearly $(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge \eta$ is a cycle, and $[(\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge \eta] \lrcorner \alpha = 0$ by Lemma 2.5. Finally, since $\tau_1(x, u) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n(x, u) = (-1)^n (*u)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\wedge_n \pi_0](\eta(\Psi_F(x, u))) \\ &= \frac{1}{J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega')} \psi(x, u)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega', i}(x, u)^2}} \right) [DF(x)(\tau_1(x, u)) \wedge \dots \wedge DF(x)(\tau_n(x, u))] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega')} \psi(x, u)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega', i}(x, u)^2}} \right) [\wedge_n DF(x)](*u) \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $(x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega')$, it follows by Remark 5.1 below and (5.1) that either

$$\langle [\wedge_n \pi_0](\eta(y, v)) \wedge v, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega)$$

or

$$\langle [\wedge_n \pi_0](\eta(y, v)) \wedge v, dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle < 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (y, v) \in \text{nor}(\Omega).$$

This settles the existence part in statement (4). Uniqueness easily follows from the defining conditions of T and the representation of η follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

Remark 5.1. Let $* : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \wedge_n \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ be the Hodge-star operator, taken with respect to $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}$; cf. [Fed69, 1.7.8]. We notice that if $u \in \mathbf{S}^n$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_n is an orthonormal basis of u^\perp such that $u \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}$, then it follows from the shuffle formula [Fed69, pag. 18] that

$$*u = \tau_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n.$$

Using this remark, we prove that *if $F : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a diffeomorphism, then either*

$$\langle [\wedge_n DF(x)](*u) \wedge (DF(x)^{-1})^*(u), dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for every } (x, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n$$

or

$$\langle [\wedge_n DF(x)](*u) \wedge (DF(x)^{-1})^*(u), dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle < 0 \quad \text{for every } (x, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n.$$

By contradiction, assume that there exists $(x, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n$ such that

$$\langle [\wedge_n DF(x)](*u) \wedge (DF(x)^{-1})^*(u), dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{n+1} \rangle = 0$$

and choose an orthonormal basis τ_1, \dots, τ_n of u^\perp such that $u \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_n = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}$. Henceforth, $DF(x)(\tau_1) \wedge \dots \wedge DF(x)(\tau_n) \wedge (DF(x)^{-1})^*(u) = 0$ and, since $\{DF(x)(\tau_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are linearly independent, we conclude that there exists $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$(DF(x)^{-1})^*(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i DF(x)(\tau_i).$$

Applying $DF(x)^{-1}$ to both sides and taking the scalar product with u , we get

$$[DF(x)^{-1} \circ (DF(x)^{-1})^*](u) \bullet u = 0,$$

whence we infer that $(DF(x)^{-1})^*(u) = 0$, a contradiction.

Definition 11. Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain. We denote by N_Ω the Legendrian cycle given by Theorem 5.3(4).

Remark 5.2. The proof of Theorem 5.3(4) proves that if $F : U \rightarrow V$ is a C^2 -diffeomorphism between open subsets of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} and Ω is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain such that $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq U$, then

$$(\Psi_F)_\#(N_\Omega) = N_{F(\Omega)}.$$

Definition 12 (r -th elementary symmetric function). Suppose $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The r -th symmetric function $\sigma_r : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined as

$$\sigma_r(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{n,r}} t_{\lambda(1)} \cdots t_{\lambda(r)}$$

where $\Lambda_{n,r}$ is the set of all increasing functions from $\{1, \dots, r\}$ to $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We set

$$\sigma_0(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 1 \quad \text{for } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Definition 13 (r -th mean curvature function). Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain and $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then we define the r -th mean curvature function of Ω as

$$H_{\Omega,r}(z) = \sigma_r(\chi_{\Omega,1}(z), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(z))$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Lemma 5.4. If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain and ϕ is a smooth \mathbf{R} -valued function over $\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{S}^n$ then

$$[N_\Omega \lrcorner \varphi_{n-k}](\phi) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k}(x) \phi(x, \nu_\Omega(x)) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Proof. We know by Theorem 5.3(4) that $N_\Omega = (\mathcal{H}^n \lrcorner \text{nor}(\Omega)) \wedge (\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_n)$. Noting that

$$J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega)} \pi_0(x, u) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \kappa_{\Omega,i}(x, u)^2}} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (x, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega),$$

we employ Theorem 5.3(3) to compute

$$[N_\Omega \lrcorner \varphi_{n-k}](\phi) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{\text{nor}(\Omega)} J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega)} \pi_0(x, u) \phi(x, u) H_{\Omega,k}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x, u),$$

whence we conclude using area formula in combination with Theorem 5.3(2) and Lemma 5.2(1). \square

Definition 14 (r -th total curvature measure). If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain and $r = 0, \dots, n$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_r(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,r} d\mathcal{H}^n.$$

Now we can quickly derive the following extension of Reilly's variational formulae (cf. [Rei72]) to $W^{2,n}$ -domain.

Theorem 5.5. Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain and $(F_t)_{t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ is a local variation of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with initial velocity vector field V . Then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(F_t(\Omega)) \right|_{t=0} = (n - k + 1) \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k}(\nu_\Omega \bullet V) d\mathcal{H}^n \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}_n(F_t(\Omega)) \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Proof. Combining Remark 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 we obtain

$$[(\Psi_{F_t})_{\#}N_{\Omega}](\varphi_{n-k+1}) = N_{F_t(\Omega)}(\varphi_{n-k+1}) = \binom{n}{k-1} \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(F_t(\Omega))$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n+1$. Hence we use Lemma 2.2 and again Lemma 5.4 to compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(\Psi_{F_t})_{\#}N_{\Omega}](\varphi_{n-k+1}) \Big|_{t=0} = k \binom{n}{k} \int_{\partial\Omega} (V(x) \bullet \nu_{\Omega}(x)) H_{\Omega,k}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(\Psi_{F_t})_{\#}N_{\Omega}](\varphi_0) \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

□

The following integral formulae can be easily deduced from Theorem 5.5 by a standard procedure.

Corollary 5.6. *If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain and $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,r-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (x \bullet \nu_{\Omega}(x)) H_{\Omega,r}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x).$$

Proof. We consider the local variation $F_t(x) = e^t x$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$ and we notice that

$$\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \partial\Omega, x) = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner F_t(\partial\Omega), F_t(x))$$

$$\nu_{F_t(\Omega)}(F_t(x)) = \nu_{\Omega}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{F_t(\Omega),i}(F_t(x)) = e^{-t} \chi_{\Omega,i}(x)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Henceforth, we compute by area formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{r-1}(F_t(\Omega)) &= \int_{\partial F_t(\Omega)} H_{F_t(\Omega),r-1} d\mathcal{H}^n \\ &= e^{-(r-1)t} \int_{F_t(\partial\Omega)} H_{\Omega,r-1}(F_t^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^n(y) \\ &= e^{(n-r+1)t} \int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,r-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) \end{aligned}$$

and we apply Theorem 5.5. □

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and*

$$H_{\Omega,i}(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1 \text{ and for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } z \in \partial\Omega. \quad (5.7)$$

Then there exists $P \subseteq \partial\Omega$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n(P) > 0$ and $H_{\Omega,k}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in P$.

Proof. Suppose $H_{\Omega,k}(z) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then we can employ Corollary 5.6 (with $r = k$) and use (5.7) (for $i = k-1$) to infer that $H_{\Omega,k-1}(z) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Now we repeat this argument with $r = k-1$ and $i = k-2$ to infer that $H_{\Omega,k-2}(z) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$, and we continue until we obtain that $H_{\Omega,0}(z) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$, which means $\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$. Since the latter is clearly impossible, we have proved the assertion. □

6. SPHERE THEOREMS FOR $W^{2,n}$ -DOMAINS

The results in the previous section in combination with the Heintze-Karcher inequality proved below can be used to generalize classical sphere theorems to $W^{2,n}$ -domains.

Theorem 6.1 (Heintze-Karcher inequality). *Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain such that $H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq 0$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then*

$$(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{H_{\Omega,1}(x)} d\mathcal{L}^n(x).$$

Moreover, if $H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)}$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$ then Ω is a round ball.

Proof. We define $\Omega' = \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and notice that Ω' is a $W^{2,n}$ -domain. Since $\partial_+^v \Omega' = \partial_+^v \Omega$ and $\nu_{\Omega'} = -\nu_\Omega$, it follows from Theorem 5.3 that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\text{nor}(\Omega') \setminus \{(z, -\nu_\Omega(z)) : z \in \partial_+^v \Omega\}) = 0,$$

and

$$-\chi_{\Omega,i}(z) = \chi_{\Omega',i}(z) = \kappa_{\Omega',i}(z, -\nu_\Omega(z)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } z \in \partial_+^v \Omega.$$

Henceforth,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{\Omega',i}(z, u) = -n H_{\Omega,1}(z) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } (z, u) \in \text{nor}(\Omega') \quad (6.1)$$

and we infer from Theorem 2.4 and area formula [Fed69, 3.2.20] that

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega) &\leq \int_{\text{nor}(\Omega')} J_n^{\text{nor}(\Omega')} \pi_0(z, u) \frac{n}{|\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{\Omega',i}(z, u)|} d\mathcal{H}^n(z, u) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{H_{\Omega,1}(z)} d\mathcal{H}^n(z). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

We assume now that $H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)}$ for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then, we observe that

$$\mathcal{H}^n\left(\left\{z \in \partial\Omega : H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq (1+\epsilon) \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)}\right\}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0,$$

otherwise we would obtain a contradiction with the inequality (6.2) (cf. proof of [HS22, Corollary 5.16]). This implies that

$$H_{\Omega,1}(z) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } z \in \partial\Omega,$$

whence we infer that (6.2) holds with equality. Recalling (6.1) we deduce from [HS22, Theorem 3.20] that Ω must be a round ball. \square

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a bounded $W^{2,n}$ -domain such that*

$$H_{\Omega,i}(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1, \quad (6.3)$$

$$H_{\Omega,k}(z) = \lambda \quad (6.4)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$.

Then Ω is a round ball.

Proof. Combining Theorem 5.6 and divergence theorem for sets of finite perimeter (it is clear by Lemma 5.2 that Ω is a set of finite perimeter whose reduced boundary is \mathcal{H}^n almost equal to the topological boundary) we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k-1} d\mathcal{H}^n = \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} x \bullet \nu_\Omega(x) d\mathcal{H}^n(x) = \lambda(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega) \quad (6.5)$$

and we infer that $\lambda \geq 0$. Hence we deduce from [HS22, Lemma 2.2] and Corollary 5.7 that

$$H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq \dots \geq H_{\Omega,k-1}(z)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \geq H_{\Omega,k}(z)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{k}} > 0 \quad (6.6)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$. By (6.6),

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H_{\Omega,k-1}(z) d\mathcal{H}^n(z) \geq \lambda^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)$$

and combining with (6.5) we obtain

$$\lambda(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega) \geq \lambda^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega).$$

Since $\lambda > 0$, we obtain from (6.6) that

$$H_{\Omega,1}(z) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(\partial\Omega)}{(n+1)\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } z \in \partial\Omega \quad (6.7)$$

and we conclude applying Lemma 6.1. \square

Remark 6.1. Hypothesis (6.3) in Theorem 6.2 can be equivalently replaced by the following assumption:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(z), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(z)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and for } \mathcal{H}^n \text{ a.e. } z \in \partial\Omega. \quad (6.8)$$

Assume (6.8) in place of (6.5) in Theorem 6.2. Then, first we use [Sal99, eq. (1.15)] to infer that

$$H_{\Omega,k-1}(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t_i}(\chi_{\Omega,1}(z), \dots, \chi_{\Omega,n}(z)) \geq 0$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in \partial\Omega$; then we deduce that $\lambda \geq 0$ as in (6.5); finally we employ [Sal99, Proposition 1.3.2] to infer (6.3).

7. NABELPUNKSATZ FOR SOBOLEV GRAPHS

In this final section we extend the Nabelpunktsatz to graphs of twice weakly differentiable functions in terms of the approximate curvatures of their graphs. In particular, Theorem 7.3 provides a general version of the Nabelpunktsatz for $W^{2,1}$ -graphs. In view of well known examples of convex functions, this result is sharp; see Remark 7.2. In this section we use the symbols \mathbf{D}_i and \mathbf{D}_{ij}^2 (respectively D_i and D_{ij}^2) for the distributional partial derivatives of a Sobolev function (respectively the classical partial derivatives of a function) with respect to the standard base e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathbf{R}^n .

I. . Let $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ be a connected open and $f \in C^2(U)$. We define $G = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in U\}$, and $\nu : G \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ so that

$$\nu(\bar{f}(x)) = \frac{(-\nabla f(x), 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} \quad (7.1)$$

for every $x \in U$. Differentiating (7.1) we get

$$D\nu(\bar{f}(x))(v, Df(x)(v)) = \frac{(-D(\nabla f)(x)(v), 0)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} - \frac{\nabla f(x) \bullet D(\nabla f)(x)(v)}{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2} \nu(\bar{f}(x))$$

for every $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$. We recall that G is umbilical if and only if there exists a function $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$D\nu(z) = \lambda(z) \text{Id}_{\text{Tan}(G,z)} \quad \forall z \in G.$$

Therefore, noting that $\text{Tan}(G, \bar{f}(x)) = \{(v, Df(x)(v)) : v \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$, we conclude that G is umbilical if and only if

$$\lambda(\bar{f}(x)) [e_i \bullet e_j + D_i f(x) D_j f(x)] = -\frac{D_{ij}^2 f(x)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} \quad (7.2)$$

for every $x \in U$ and for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows from [ST06] (see also [Man22]) that if $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a connected open set, $f \in C^2(U)$ and $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function such that (7.2) holds for every $x \in U$, then either $\bar{f}(U)$ is contained in an n -dimensional plane, or $\bar{f}(U)$ is contained in an n -dimensional sphere. The first result of this section generalizes this assertion to $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(U)$.

II. . Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is an open set, $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and π_ν is the orthogonal projection onto ν^\perp . Then we define

$$U_\nu = \pi_\nu[U]$$

and

$$U_y^\nu = \{t \in \mathbf{R} : y + t\nu \in U\} \subseteq \mathbf{R} \quad \text{for } y \in U_\nu.$$

Notice that U_ν is an open subset of ν^\perp and U_y^ν is an open subset of \mathbf{R} for every $y \in U_\nu$.

Lemma 7.1. Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ be an open set, $g \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(U)$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_k g(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n \text{ a.e. } x \in U.$$

Then for \mathcal{L}^{n-1} a.e. $y \in U_{e_k}$ the function mapping $t \in U_y^{e_k}$ into $g(y + te_k)$ is \mathcal{L}^1 almost equal to a constant function.

Proof. It follows from [Zie89, Theorem 2.1.4] that there exists a representative \tilde{g} of g such that the restriction of \tilde{g} on $U_y^{e_k}$ is absolutely continuous and

$$\mathbf{D}_k g(y + te_k) = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{g}(y + te_k) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^1 \text{ a.e. } t \in U_y^{e_k}$$

for \mathcal{L}^{n-1} a.e. $y \in U_{e_k}$. It follows from the hypothesis that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{g}(y + te_k) = 0$$

for \mathcal{L}^1 a.e. $t \in U_y^{e_k}$ and for \mathcal{L}^{n-1} a.e. $y \in U_{e_k}$, and we readily obtain the conclusion from the absolute continuity hypothesis of \tilde{g} . \square

We prove now the first result of this section.

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a connected open set, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(U)$ and $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function such that*

$$\mu(x) [e_i \bullet e_j + \mathbf{D}_i f(x) \mathbf{D}_j f(x)] = - \frac{\mathbf{D}_{ij}^2 f(x)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} \quad (7.3)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ and for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Then, either f is \mathcal{L}^n almost equal to a linear function on U , or there exists a n -dimensional sphere S in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} such that $\bar{f}(x) \in S$ for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$.

Proof. Recall the diffeomorphism ψ from Remark 3.2 and define $\eta = \psi \circ \nabla f$. By the classical chain rule for Sobolev maps (cf. [GT01]), $\eta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(U, \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}\eta(x)(v) &= [D\psi(\nabla f(x)) \circ \mathbf{D}(\nabla f)(x)](v) \\ &= \frac{(-\mathbf{D}(\nabla f)(x)(v), 0)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} - \frac{\nabla f(x) \bullet \mathbf{D}(\nabla f)(x)(v)}{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2} \eta(x) \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. In particular, noting that $\eta(x) \bullet (e_j, \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n$ and for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$, we employ the umbilicality condition to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_i \eta(x) \bullet (e_j, \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) &= - \frac{\mathbf{D}_{ij}^2 f(x)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} \\ &= \mu(x) (e_i, \mathbf{D}_i f(x)) \bullet (e_j, \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ and for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ there exists $\lambda_i(x) \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_i \eta(x) - \mu(x) (e_i, \mathbf{D}_i f(x)) = \lambda_i(x) \eta(x). \quad (7.4)$$

On the other hand, since η is a unit-length vector, we see (again from the chain rule for Sobolev maps) that $\eta(x) \bullet \mathbf{D}_i \eta(x) = 0$ for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, we infer from (7.4) that $\lambda_i(x) = 0$ and

$$\mathbf{D}_i \eta(x) = \mu(x) (e_i, \mathbf{D}_i f(x)) = \mu(x) \mathbf{D}_i \bar{f}(x) \quad (7.5)$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. For $k = 1, \dots, n$ let $g_k \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(U)$ be given by

$$g_k = - \frac{\mathbf{D}_k f}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}},$$

and we notice from (7.5) that

$$\mathbf{D}_i g_j = 0, \quad \text{whenever } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j, \quad (7.6)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_i g_i = \mu, \quad \text{whenever } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (7.7)$$

We fix now an open cube $Q \subseteq U$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, $\phi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$, and we prove that

$$\int_Q \mu D_k \phi d\mathcal{L}^n = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

Choose $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $k \neq j$. Since by (7.6) we have that $\mathbf{D}_k g_j = 0$, it follows from Lemma 7.1 that for \mathcal{L}^{n-1} a.e. $y \in U_{e_k}$ there exists $v_j(y) \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$g_j(y + te_k) = v_j(y) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^1 \text{ a.e. } t \in U_y^{e_k}.$$

Now we use (7.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \mu D_k \phi d\mathcal{L}^n &= \int_Q \mathbf{D}_j g_j D_k \phi d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &= - \int_Q g_j D_j (D_k \phi) d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &= - \int_{Q_{e_k}} v_j(y) \int_{Q_y^{e_k}} D_k (D_j \phi)(y + te_k) d\mathcal{L}^1(t) d\mathcal{L}^{n-1}(y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that the function mapping $t \in Q_y^{e_k}$ into $D_j \phi(y + te_k)$ has compact support in $Q_y^{e_k}$.

Since (7.8) holds for every open cube Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$, and since U is connected, we infer from [Fed69, 4.1.4] that

$$\mu \text{ is } \mathcal{L}^n \text{ almost equal to a constant function on } U. \quad (7.9)$$

Since U is connected, we combine (7.9) and (7.5) to infer that there exists $c \in \mathbf{R}$ and $w \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\eta(x) - c\bar{f}(x) = w \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n \text{ a.e. } x \in U.$$

If $c \neq 0$ the last equation evidently implies that $\bar{f}(x) \in \partial B^{n+1}(-w/c, 1/|c|)$ for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. If $c = 0$, we have that $w \bullet e_{n+1} = (1 + |\nabla f|^2)^{-1/2}$ and

$$\mathbf{D}_i f(x) = -\frac{w \bullet e_i}{w \bullet e_{n+1}} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n \text{ a.e. } x \in U \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This implies that f is \mathcal{L}^n almost equal to linear function on U , since U is connected. \square

III. . Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is \mathcal{H}^n -measurable and \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2. We say that X is *approximate totally umbilical* if there exists a $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X$ -measurable map ν such that $\nu(x) \in \text{Nor}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X, x) \cap \mathbf{S}^n$ and there exists a function $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\text{ap } D\nu(x)(\tau) = \mu(x)\tau \quad \text{for every } \tau \in \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner X, x), \quad (7.10)$$

for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $x \in X$ (keep in mind Lemma 5.1).

Moreover, if $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is open and $g : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ ($k \geq n$) then we say that g satisfies the *Lusin's condition (N)* if and only if $\mathcal{H}^n(g(Z)) = 0$ for every $Z \subseteq U$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(Z) = 0$.

We are now ready to prove the second result of this section.

Theorem 7.3. *Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a bounded open set, $f \in W^{2,1}(U)$, \bar{f} satisfies the Lusin's condition (N) and $G = \bar{f}(U)$.*

Then G is \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2. Moreover, if G is approximate totally umbilical then, up to a \mathcal{H}^n -negligible set, either G is a subset of a n -dimensional plane or a subset of a n -dimensional sphere.

Proof. By [CZ61, Theorem 13] and [Fed69, 2.10.19(4), 2.10.43] we can find countably many functions $g_1, g_2, \dots \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n(U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \{g_i = f\}) = 0$ and $\text{Lip}(g_i) < \infty$ for every $i \geq 1$. Henceforth, thanks to the Lusin's condition (N), we readily infer that G is \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2. We define D_i as the set of $x \in \{g_i = f\}$ such that

$$\Theta^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner U \setminus \{g_i = f\}, x) = 0, \quad Dg_i(x) = \mathbf{D}f(x)$$

and $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, \bar{f}(x))$ is a n -dimensional plane. Since $Dg_i(x) = \text{ap } Df(x)$ for every $x \in D_i$, it follows from [Fed69, 2.10.19(4), 3.2.19] and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\{g_i = f\} \setminus D_i) = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \geq 1.$$

Since $\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \{g_i = f\}, x) = \mathbf{R}^n$ for every $x \in D_i$, and noting that $\bar{g}_i : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{g}_i(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a bi-lipschitz homeomorphism, we use [San20, Lemma B.2] to conclude

$$\mathbf{D}\bar{f}(x)[\mathbf{R}^n] = D\bar{g}_i(x)[\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \{g_i = f\}, x)] \subseteq \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, \bar{f}(x))$$

for every $x \in D_i$. Since $\mathbf{D}\bar{f}(x)$ is injective whenever it exists, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{D}\bar{f}(x)[\mathbf{R}^n] = \text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, \bar{f}(x))$$

and

$$\psi(\nabla f(x)) \in \text{Nor}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, \bar{f}(x))$$

for every $x \in D_i$ and $i \geq 1$. Let $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ and notice that $\mathcal{H}^n(G \setminus \bar{f}(D)) = 0$ (again by Lusin's condition (N)). Let ν be the $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G$ -measurable map defined by

$$\nu = \psi \circ \nabla f \circ (\pi|_G),$$

where $\pi : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathbf{R} . We observe that if $z \in \bar{f}(D_i)$ and $\Theta^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G \setminus \bar{f}(D_i), z) = 0$, then ν is $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G$ -approximately differentiable at z (since $\nu|_{\bar{f}(D_i)} = (\psi \circ \nabla g_i \circ \pi)|_{\bar{f}(D_i)}$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ap } D\nu(z) &= D(\psi \circ \nabla g_i \circ \pi)(z) \\ &= D(\psi \circ \nabla g_i)(\pi(z)) \circ (\pi|_{\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, z)}) \\ &= \text{ap } D(\psi \circ \nabla f)(\pi(z)) \circ (\pi|_{\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, z)}) \\ &= \mathbf{D}(\psi \circ \nabla f)(\pi(z)) \circ (\pi|_{\text{Tan}^n(\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner G, z)}), \end{aligned}$$

whence we infer

$$\text{ap } D\nu(z) \circ \mathbf{D}\bar{f}(\pi(z)) = \mathbf{D}(\psi \circ \nabla f)(\pi(z)). \quad (7.11)$$

By [Fed69, 2.10.19(4)] we conclude that (7.11) is true for \mathcal{H}^n a.e. $z \in G$.

If G is approximate totally umbilical then it is easy to see that the unit normal vector field ν defined above fulfils the umbilicality condition in (7.10) with some function μ . Henceforth,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bar{f}(x))(e_i \bullet e_j + \mathbf{D}_i f(x) \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) &= (\text{ap } D\nu(\bar{f}(x)) \circ D\bar{f}(x))(e_i) \bullet (e_j, \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) \\ &= \mathbf{D}_i(\psi \circ \nabla f)(x) \bullet (e_j, \mathbf{D}_j f(x)) \\ &= -\frac{\mathbf{D}_{ij}^2 f(x)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f(x)|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in U$. By Theorem 7.2 and the Lusin's condition (N) we deduce that, up to a \mathcal{H}^n -negligible set, G is either a subset of a n -dimensional plane, or a subset of a n -dimensional sphere of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . \square

Remark 7.1. If $f \in W^{2,p}(U)$ with $p > \frac{n}{2}$, then the Sobolev embedding theorem [GT01, Theorem 7.26] ensures that $f \in W^{1,p^*}(U)$ with $p^* > n$. Henceforth, \bar{f} satisfies the Lusin's condition (N) by [MM73, Theorem 1.1].

Remark 7.2. It is easy to find convex functions $f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that the approximate principal curvatures of the graph are zero \mathcal{H}^n almost everywhere and the conclusion of Theorem 7.3 fails (Notice that the graph is \mathcal{H}^n -rectifiable of class 2 and \bar{f} satisfies the Lusin's condition (N)). Indeed the gradient of these functions are continuous maps of bounded variation whose distributional derivative is not a function. An example of such functions is given by the primitive of the ternary Cantor function.

REFERENCES

- [AFP00] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Fusco, and Diego Pallara. *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [Ale58] A. D. Aleksandrov. Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. V. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.*, (no. 19):5–8, 1958.
- [Ale62] A. D. Alexandrov. A characteristic property of spheres. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 58:303–315, 1962.
- [All72] William K. Allard. On the first variation of a varifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 95:417–491, 1972.
- [BF36] Herbert Busemann and Willy Feller. Krümmungseigenschaften Konvexer Flächen. *Acta Math.*, 66(1):1–47, 1936.
- [CCKS96] L. Caffarelli, M. G. Crandall, M. Kocan, and A. Swiech. On viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear equations with measurable ingredients. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49(4):365–397, 1996.
- [CZ61] A.-P. Calderón and A. Zygmund. Local properties of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. *Studia Math.*, 20:171–225, 1961.

- [DM19] Matias Gonzalo Delgado and Francesco Maggi. Alexandrov’s theorem revisited. *Anal. PDE*, 12(6):1613–1642, 2019.
- [DRKS20] Antonio De Rosa, Slawomir Kolasinski, and Mario Santilli. Uniqueness of critical points of the anisotropic isoperimetric problem for finite perimeter sets. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 238(3):1157–1198, 2020.
- [Fed59] Herbert Federer. Curvature measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 93:418–491, 1959.
- [Fed69] Herbert Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [Fu98] Joseph H. G. Fu. Some remarks on Legendrian rectifiable currents. *Manuscripta Math.*, 97(2):175–187, 1998.
- [Fu11] Joseph H. G. Fu. An extension of Alexandrov’s theorem on second derivatives of convex functions. *Adv. Math.*, 228(4):2258–2267, 2011.
- [Gar59] Lars Garding. An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials. *J. Math. Mech.*, 8:957–965, 1959.
- [GT01] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [Hop51] Heinz Hopf. über Flächen mit einer Relation zwischen den Hauptkrümmungen. *Math. Nachr.*, 4:232–249, 1951.
- [HS22] Daniel Hug and Mario Santilli. Curvature measures and soap bubbles beyond convexity. *Adv. Math.*, 411(part A):Paper No. 108802, 89, 2022.
- [Hsi54] Chuan-Chih Hsiung. Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces. *Math. Scand.*, 2:286–294, 1954.
- [KS23] Slawomir Kolasinski and Mario Santilli. Regularity of the distance function from arbitrary closed sets. *Math. Ann.*, 386(1-2):735–777, 2023.
- [Mag12] Francesco Maggi. *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, volume 135 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. An introduction to geometric measure theory.
- [Mal99] Jan Malý. Absolutely continuous functions of several variables. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 231(2):492–508, 1999.
- [Man22] Carlo Mantegazza. On C^2 umbilical hypersurfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150(4):1745–1748, 2022.
- [Men24] Ulrich Menne. A sharp lower bound on the mean curvature integral with critical power for integral varifolds, 2024.
- [MM73] M. Marcus and V. J. Mizel. Transformations by functions in Sobolev spaces and lower semicontinuity for parametric variational problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79:790–795, 1973.
- [MR91] Sebastián Montiel and Antonio Ros. Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures. In *Differential geometry*, volume 52 of *Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 279–296. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.
- [MSZ03] Jan Malý, David Swanson, and William P. Ziemer. The co-area formula for Sobolev mappings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(2):477–492, 2003.
- [Pau08] Arno Pauly. Flächen mit lauter Nabelpunkten. *Elem. Math.*, 63(3):141–144, 2008.
- [PT68] M. Pini and H. W. Trapp. Stationäre Krümmungsdichten auf Hyperflächen des euklidischen R_{n+1} . *Math. Ann.*, 176:257–272, 1968.
- [Rei72] Robert C. Reilly. Variational properties of mean curvatures. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Biennial Seminar of the Canadian Mathematical Congress (Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., 1971)*, Vol. 2, pages 102–114. Canad. Math. Congr., Montreal, QC, 1972.
- [Rei73] Robert C. Reilly. Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms. *J. Differential Geometry*, 8:465–477, 1973.
- [Ros87] Antonio Ros. Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 3(3-4):447–453, 1987.
- [Ros88] Antonio Ros. Compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature and a congruence theorem. *J. Differential Geom.*, 27(2):215–223, 1988. With an appendix by Nicholas J. Korevaar.
- [Ros16] Tomáš Roskovec. Construction of $W^{2,n}(\Omega)$ function with gradient violating Lusin (N) condition. *Math. Nachr.*, 289(8-9):1100–1111, 2016.
- [RW98] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar and Roger J.-B. Wets. *Variational analysis*, volume 317 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [RZ15] Jan Rataj and Martina Zähle. Legendrian cycles and curvatures. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(4):2133–2147, 2015.
- [RZ19] Jan Rataj and Martina Zähle. *Curvature measures of singular sets*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [Sal99] Paolo Salani. Equazioni Hessiane e k -convessità. Tesi di Dottorato in Matematica. IX ciclo: 1993–1997. Università di Firenze.
- [San19] Mario Santilli. Rectifiability and approximate differentiability of higher order for sets. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 68(3):1013–1046, 2019.
- [San20] Mario Santilli. Fine properties of the curvature of arbitrary closed sets. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 199(4):1431–1456, 2020.
- [San21] Mario Santilli. Second order rectifiability of varifolds of bounded mean curvature. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 60(2):Paper No. 81, 17, 2021.

- [San24] Mario Santilli. Finite Total Curvature and Soap Bubbles With Almost Constant Higher-Order Mean Curvature. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page rnae159, 07 2024.
- [Sch79] Rolf Schneider. Bestimmung konvexer Körper durch Krümmungsmasse. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 54(1):42–60, 1979.
- [ST06] Rabah Souam and Eric Toubiana. On the classification and regularity of umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds. volume 30, pages 201–215. 2006. XIV School on Differential Geometry (Portuguese).
- [Sto60] Robert E. Stong. Some characterizations of Riemann n -spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11:945–951, 1960.
- [Tru89] Neil S. Trudinger. On the twice differentiability of viscosity solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 39(3):443–447, 1989.
- [Val24] Paolo Valentini. Tesi di Dottorato in Matematica. XXXVII ciclo: 2021–2024. Università dell’Aquila. *In preparation*.
- [Zae86] M. Zaehle. Integral and current representation of Federer’s curvature measures. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 46(6):557–567, 1986.
- [Zie89] William P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions*, volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA E SCIENZE DELL’INFORMAZIONE E MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELL’AQUILA, 67100 L’AQUILA, ITALY

Email address: `mario.santilli@univaq.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA E SCIENZE DELL’INFORMAZIONE E MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELL’AQUILA, 67100 L’AQUILA, ITALY

Email address: `paolo.valentini@graduate.univaq.it`