Beyond the Carnot Limit in the Internal Cycles of a Quantum Heat Engine under **Finite Heat Reservoirs**

L.-L.Yan^{1,2},* M.-R. Yun¹, M. Li³, S.-L. Su^{1,2},[†] K.-F. Cui¹, Gang Chen^{1,2},[‡] and M. Feng^{4,5§}

Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Ministry of Education,

School of Physics and Laboratory of Zhongyuan Light,

Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China

² Institute of Quantum Materials and Physics, Henan Academy of Sciences, Zhengzhou 450046, China

³ School of Telecommunications and Intelligent Manufacturing, Sias University, Xinzheng, 451150, China

State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics,

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Innovation Academy of Precision Measurement Science and Technology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, 430071, China ⁵ Research Center for Quantum Precision Measurement,

Guangzhou Institute of Industry Technology, Guangzhou 511458, China

We investigate, in an analytical fashion, quantum Carnot cycles of a microscopic heat engine coupled to two finite heat reservoirs, whose internal cycles could own higher efficiency than the standard Carnot limit without consuming extra quantum resources, e.g., coherence or squeezing properties. The engine runs time-dependently, involving both the internal and external cycles to collaboratively accomplish a complete Carnot cycle, and the efficiency of the engine depends on the reservoirs' heat capacities and the working substance. Our analytical results of the maximum efficiency and the maximum power output clarify the mechanism behind the high performance of the microscopic engines, displaying the key roles played by the finite-sized heat reservoirs. Our proposal is generally valid for any microscopic thermodynamic system and fully feasible under current laboratory conditions.

Heat engines, converting heat into mechanical work, have played an essential role in exploring the fundamental nature and practical application of thermodynamics [1, 2]. With emergent techniques decreasing the length and energy scales, studies of the heat engines have been moving toward the microscopic region, even to quantum regime [3–10]. Along with theoretical developments, experimental efforts have been devoted to the engines in different physical systems from colloidal particles [11–14] to engineered quantum systems [15–19], with some peculiar designs by consuming extra resources and performing customized cycles, e.g., quantum heat engine cycles [20-27] and critical heat engines working on the exceptional conditions [28-30].

As a vital property of the engines, the Carnot limit [1] sets a standard reference bound on the efficiency of conventional heat engines due to the restriction of the thermodynamic laws. Devoting to the Carnot limit in quantum regime, a seminal work [31] has shown the possibility to enhance the engine's efficiency beyond the standard Carnot limit by quantum resource of coherence, and then along this way, the standard Carnot limit was broken by other approaches, e.g., coupling the engine to an engineered quantum reservoir [32-36] and consuming additional resources, such as extra information [23-25, 27, 37-39].

Here we provide another way to exceed the standard

Carnot limit by developing a general theory for a microscopic quantum heat engine coupled to two finite heat reservoirs. The finite heat reservoir is characterized by the finite heat capacity which causes the change of the reservoir's temperature in the isothermal process of a standard Carnot cycle. This effect produces a break between the start and the end of a cycle (called internal cycle), and thus we have to introduce an external cycle to compensate the break. As elucidated below, the efficiency of such an engine, with internal and external cycles working collaboratively, could go beyond the Carnot limit over a suitable range of the working substance (WS) without expending any extra quantum resource. In practice, a maximum efficiency of the engine always accompanies an extinct power output due to the adiabatic cyclic process, implying a trade-off between the efficiency and the power output [40–45]. Especially, heat engines at the maximum power output are limited by the Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency [46, 47]; Recent results have also shown that the Carnot efficiency can be reached at the finite power output [48–53]. In contrast, we focus on the key role played by the finite heat reservoirs in the present study, and concern the relevance to currently available techniques of laboratory. Making use of the recent ideas of open quantum systems [54–59], we explore optimal strategies, in an analytical fashion, to reach the maximum efficiency and the maximum power output of the engine surpassing the Carnot limit.

^{*}Electronic address: llyan@zzu.edu.cn

[†]Electronic address: slsu@zzu.edu.cn

[‡]Electronic address: chengang971@163.com

[§]Electronic address: mangfeng@wipm.ac.cn

I. MODEL AND PROTOCOL

As sketched in Fig. 1, our quantum Carnot cycle consists of two process, i.e., internal cycle process and external cycle process. The internal cycle process consisting of four consecutive steps, i.e., expansion-coolingcompression-heating. The system (i.e., the WS), governed by a time-dependent Hamiltonian, is coupled to two finite heat reservoirs at the initial temperatures $T_h^{(1)}$ and $T_c^{(1)}$ with the superscript (n) implying the *n*th round and $T_h^{(n)} > T_c^{(n)}$ (For simplicity of description, we omit the superscripts below for the first round, i.e., $T_{h,c}^{(1)} = T_{h,c}$). The internal cycle gets started from the starting point A with the WS in thermal equilibrium with the high-temperature reservoir, where the WS is described by the Hamiltonian H_0 . Each round of the cycle takes following four steps: (i) The system is kept in isolation from the reservoirs, undergoing an adiabatically isentropic expansion process, in which the system's Hamiltonian H(t) varying from H_0 to H_1 commutes with the initial state at any time t. Thus this step is accomplished by a Hamiltonian quench during which the system' state is unaffected. The end of the step (i) is the system in thermal equilibrium with the low-temperature reservoir, implying $H_1 = H_0 T_c / T_h$. (ii) Contacted with the low-temperature reservoir, the Hamiltonian of the system is isothermally modulated from H_1 to H_2 with a duration τ_c . In this case, the temperature of the lowtemperature reservoir is also changed, which can be labeled as $T_c^{(2)}$, i.e., the beginning temperature of the lowtemperature reservoir when interacting with the WS in the second round. (iii) Similar to step (i), a second quench is carried out, followed by a thermal equilibrium with the high-temperature reservoir. Thus, the Hamiltonian of the WS varies from H_2 to $H_3 = H_2 T_h / T_c^{(2)}$ at the end of this step. (iv) Coupled to the high-temperature reservoir again, the system is isothermally back to the Hamiltonian H_0 with a duration τ_h , along with the temperature change of the high-temperature reservoir to be $T_h^{(2)}$ at the end of this step. Then the second round of the internal cycle begins, as labeled in Fig. 1 as the step (v) and so on. The internal cycle generally needs to go on for several rounds until some termination conditions are satisfied, as clarified later.

After the internal cycle is accomplished, the periodical reset process, to avoid the engine's idling, is executed by the external cycle of the engine. In this process, we couple these two finite heat reservoires of internal cycles to two baths with infinite capacities, respectively, where the internal low-temperature finite heat reservoir delivers heat into the infinite cold bath with temperature T_c and the internal high-temperature finite heat reservoir absorbs heat from the infinite hot bath with temperature T_h . After this process, the internal heat reservoirs will be in equilibrium with the corresponding external heat reservoires.



FIG. 1: Schematic for a quantum cyclic heat engine, exemplified by a two-level system as the WS. Internal cycles: Population-frequency diagram for the internal cycle of a two-level quantum heat engine (elucidated later) with the population of the upper state $p_e = [1 - \tanh(\beta_k \omega(t)/2)]/2$ in a Gibbs state, where β_k changes due to contact with the finite heat reservoirs and $\omega(t)$ is the time-varying two-level resonance frequency with $\omega(0) = \omega_0$. In the process from A to B (C to D), the WS executes the isentropic expansion (compression), while the process from B to C (from D to $A^{(2)}$) is an isothermal cooling (heating) by contacting the low-temperature (high-temperature) bath. External cycles: The built-in finite heat reservoirs of the internal cycle are reset to the initial temperature to avoid the engine's idling by contacting their corresponding infinite cold or heat baths.

II. INTERNAL CYCLE PROCESS

For our purpose, we first scrutinize the heat exchange in the internal cycle, i.e., in the isothermal processes. For the finite heat reservoirs, we define the heat capacities of the reservoirs as $C_k = dU_k/dT_k$ with k = h, c (also in the following) and the internal energy U_k being finite. Considering the first round, the dynamics of the thermodynamic quantities in the isothermal process (in units of $k_B = \hbar = 1$ throughout the paper) can be written as

$$\dot{Q}_k = T_k \dot{S}_k - \dot{W}_k^{ir}, \quad \dot{T}_k = -\dot{Q}_k / \mathcal{C}_k,$$

and the work $W_k = \Delta U_K - Q_k$ with Q_k , W_k^{ir} , S_k and U_k denoting the heat exchange, irreversible work dissipation, entropy and internal energy of the WS, respectively. Then, the heat exchange can be obtained as (see Supplementary Information),

$$Q_{k} = T_{k}\Delta S_{k} - \int_{0}^{\tau_{k}} \dot{S}_{k} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{Q}_{k} \mathcal{C}_{k}^{-1} d\tau dt - W_{k}^{ir}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta S_k = S(\pi_k(\tau_k)) - S(\pi_k(0))$ with the von Neumann entropy $S(\cdot)$ and the Gibbs state $\pi_k(t) = e^{-\beta_k(t)H_k(t)}/\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta_k(t)H_k(t)}]$ at temperature $\beta_k(t) = 1/T_k(t)$. In our case, $\Delta S_c + \Delta S_h \neq 0$ in the internal cycle. The second term comes from the temperature variation of the heat reservoir due to the finite capacity. The irreversible work dissipation in the last term is given by $W_k^{ir} = T_k V_k / \tau_k$ with V_k relevant to the thermodynamic length at finite duration [59–66]. Then, the engine's effi-



FIG. 2: Analytical and numerical results of the internal cycle for the two-level system as the WS. Efficiency of the Carnot engine in the first round with respect to (a) the heat capacity C with $T_h/T_c = 2$ and (b) temperature with $C/C_S = 6.4$, where the subscripts 'C', 'num' and 'ana' denote, respectively, the Carnot limit, the numerical result and the analytical result in the first round. The efficiency in (b) surpassing the Carnot limit is obtained when $T_c/T_h < 2/3$. (c) Enhanced power coefficient ς with respect to temperature ratio and heat capacity, where the dotted curve denotes the zero boundary and the dashed line at $T_c/T_h = 3(7 - \sqrt{33})/8$ is the zero line obtained from the analytical result of the two-level system. (d) ς and (e) ζ at the maximum power output along the zero line, where the symbol 'two' denotes the corresponding analytical results of the two-level system given in Discussion. (f) Efficiency of the engine compared with the Carnot limit $\eta_C^{(n)} = 1 - T_c^{(n)}/T_h^{(n)}$ in the *n*th round. (g) Power output enhancement $\varsigma^{(n)}$ (with its efficiency increment $\zeta^{(n)}$ in Inset) of the *n*th round, where $\varsigma^{(n)} = P_m^{(n)}/P_C^{(n)} - 1$, $\zeta^{(n)} = \eta^{(n)}/\eta_{CA}^{(n)} - 1$ with the Carnot power output $P_C^{(n)} = \Delta S_h^{(n)} (\sqrt{T_h^{(n)}} - \sqrt{T_c^{(n)}})^2/4\iota$ (the Carnot efficiency $\eta_{CA}^{(n)} = 1 - \sqrt{T_c^{(n)}/T_h^{(n)}}$). Other parameters: $\iota = 0.5\omega_0^{-1}$ and $\omega_2 T_h/\omega_0 T_c = \sqrt{2}$ in (a)-(e) while 1.2 in (f,g).

ciency is given by,

finite heat reservoirs, i.e.,

$$\eta = 1 + \frac{Q_c}{Q_h} + \frac{\text{Tr}[(\pi_c(0) - \pi_h(\tau_h))H_0]}{Q_h}, \qquad (2)$$

where the last term originates from the misalignment of the end of the step (iv) from the starting point of the round. This term is always positive due to the temperature decrease of the high-temperature reservoir, ensuring breakthrough of the Carnot limit.

For a large heat reservoir, i.e., $C_k \gg |\Delta S_k|$ and keeping the leading terms of C_k , Eq. (1) is reduced to

$$Q_k = \tilde{T}_k \Delta S_k (1 - \frac{\Delta S_k}{2\mathcal{C}_k}), \qquad (3)$$

and the temperature $T_k^{(2)} = T_k - \Delta S_k \tilde{T}_k / \mathcal{C}_k$ where the finite duration creates an effective temperature $\tilde{T}_k = (1 - \iota_k / \tau_k) T_k$ with the time parameter of the internal cycle $\iota_k := V_k / S_k$. Furthermore, we can obtain the heat capacity of the WS for Hamiltonian H_0 as $\mathcal{C}_S = \text{Tr}[(\pi_c(0) - \pi_h(\tau_h))H_0]/(T_h - T_h^{(2)})$. Therefore, Eq. (2) is reduced to $\eta = \eta_C + \zeta$ with the Carnot limit $\eta_C = 1 - T_c/T_h$, and the increment ζ induced by the

$$\zeta = \frac{\mathcal{C}_S}{\mathcal{C}_h} + \frac{T_c}{T_h} \left[1 - g_\tau \left(1 - \frac{\delta S}{\Delta S_h} \right) - \frac{g_\tau (\mathcal{C}_c + \mathcal{C}_h) \Delta S_h}{2\mathcal{C}_c \mathcal{C}_h} \right],\tag{4}$$

where $\delta S = \Delta S_c + \Delta S_h$ with $\delta S \ll \Delta S_h$, and the factor caused by the finite duration is defined as $g_{\tau} = \tau_h (\tau_c - \iota_c)/\tau_c (\tau_h - \iota_h)$.

Equation (4), showing the potential to surpass the Carnot limit (see Fig. 2(a,b), is one of the main results in the present work. The first term in ζ represents the efficiency increase due to the finite heat capacities of the high-temperature reservoir and the WS. In contrast, the second term, which is induced by the capacities of the heat reservoirs and the duration of the isothermal process, generally indicates the efficiency decrease. In the large reservoir limit, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_k \to \infty$, Eq. (4) returns to the Carnot efficiency with $\zeta = (1 - g_{\tau})T_c/T_h$. Besides, since the conditions of $\iota_c \leq 0$ and $\iota_h \geq 0$ make $g_{\tau} \geq 1$, the maximal efficiency appears always in the slowly dynamical limit, i.e., $\tau_{c,h} \to \infty$. However, the engine working at the maximal efficiency will lose its power output due to an infinite duration of the isothermal process. As a result, the key problem here is the maximum power $P = -W/(\tau_c + \tau_h)$ at the finite time, which can be

solved by optimizing the durations of the two isothermal processes satisfying the condition $\partial P/\partial \tau_k = 0$. This corresponds to the optimal ratio $\tau_h/\tau_c = \sqrt{T_h \epsilon/C}$ with the amendment factor ϵ induced by the finite heat reservoirs (see Supplementary Information).

For the two reservoirs with the same heat capacity ($C \equiv C_h = C_c$), Eq. (4) in the slowly dynamical limit is reduced to $\zeta = (C_S T_h \Delta S_h - T_c \Delta S_h^2 + T_c C \delta S)/CT_h \Delta S_h$. Keeping the first-order term of C, we acquire the maximum power output as $P_m = P_C(1+\varsigma)$ in the high-temperature limit, where the maximum power output of the Carnot cycle is $P_C = \Delta S_h (\sqrt{T_h} - \sqrt{T_c})^2/4\iota$ (assuming $\iota := \iota_h = -\iota_c)$ [8, 59, 67]. The improvement induced by the effect of the finite heat reservoir is given by (see Methods for details)

$$\varsigma = \frac{\sqrt{T_h}\Delta S_h (2\mathcal{C}_S - \Delta S_h) + \sqrt{T_c} (2\mathcal{C}\delta S - \Delta S_h^2)}{2\mathcal{C}(\sqrt{T_h} - \sqrt{T_c})\Delta S_h}.$$
 (5)

Eq. (5) is another main result of the present work, which indicates the maximum power output surpassing the power limit of the Carnot cycle if the heat capacities, the entropy change and the temperature ratio T_c/T_h make $\zeta \geq 0$ (see Fig. 2(c,d)). At the maximum power output, the corresponding efficiency is reduced to $\eta_m = \eta_{CA} + \zeta$ with the Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency $\eta_{CA} = 1 - \sqrt{T_c/T_h}$ [46] and the nonzero term ζ caused by the finite heat reservoir

$$\zeta = \frac{\mathcal{C}_S}{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{T_c}{T_h}}(\frac{\Delta S_h}{\mathcal{C}} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_S}{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{\delta S}{\Delta S_h}), \qquad (6)$$

which is always positive and inversely proportional to the heat capacity of the reservoir (see Fig. 2(e)), implying $\eta_m > \eta_{CA}$.

Since the temperature of the finite heat reservoir varies with the cycling, we have the following iterative relation,

$$T_k^{(n)} = \left[1 - \frac{\Delta S_k^{(n-1)}}{\mathcal{C}_k} \left(1 - \frac{\iota_k}{\tau_k}\right)\right] T_k^{(n-1)}.$$
 (7)

Despite the shrink of the entropy change as the increase of the internal cycling, Eq. (7) shows an exponential variation tendency of the temperature (see Supplementary Information), which leads to exponential decays of the efficiency and the power output as n increases. As shown in Fig. 2(f,g), both the maximum efficiency and the power output can surpass the corresponding Carnot limit in other rounds except the first. The smaller temperature difference between the two reservoirs favors the surpassing. However, the smaller temperature difference between the two reservoirs would increase the duration of single rounds in the isothermal process, which lowers the power output. Therefore, the temperature difference between the two reservoirs should be set appropriately. Otherwise, the decreasing efficiency and power output of the engine would finally disable the engine with the internal cycle proceeding.



FIG. 3: Analytical and numerical results regarding the external cycle and Eq. (8). (a) Efficiency of the external cycle with respect to the heat capacity C of the reservoirs, where the symbols 'C', 'ana' and 'num' denote the Carnot limit, the analytical result in Eq. (8) and the numerical result, respectively. Inset: variation of γ and α . (b) Average power output of the engine with respect to the reset time, where m denotes the number of rounds taken in the internal cycle and P^e is obtained by calculating Eq. (9). The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2(f).

III. EXTERNAL CYCLE PROCESS

In this process, the built-in low-temperature finite heat reservoir delivering heat into an infinite cold bath is cooled down to T_c with the heat exchange of $Q_c^r = C_c(T_c^{(m+1)} - T_c)$. Meanwhile, an infinite hot bath injects heat into the built-in high-temperature finite heat reservoir and raises the latter's temperature to T_h . This also implies the system's temperature reaching T_h due to the fact that the system is coupled to the high-temperature finite heat reservoir at the end of the *m*th round of the internal cycle. Thus, the heat absorption is assessed as $Q_h^r = (C_h + \gamma C_S)(T_h - T_h^{(m+1)})$ with $\gamma > 0$. In the slowly dynamical limit, we obtain the maximum efficiency of the external cycle as

$$\eta^e = \eta_C + \frac{\alpha \gamma \mathcal{C}_S T_c}{\mathcal{C} T_h} - (\alpha - 1) \frac{T_c}{T_h},\tag{8}$$

with $\alpha \geq 1$ (see Supplementary Information for details). As plotted in Fig. 3(a), the efficiency of the external cycle can approach the Carnot limit in the large reservoir limit, but cannot surpass the Carnot limit [68, 69] although the analytical result based on some approximations shows the possibility of surpassing for the reservoirs with small heat capacity.

For a finite duration of the isothermal process, the average power output depends on the summed duration of the internal cycle and the reset time. Therefore, obtaining the maximal average power output is a multiparameter optimization problem in a multi-cycle process, which can be stated as

$$P^{e} = \max_{m,\tau_{k}} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{S}(T_{h} - T_{h}^{(m+1)}) + \sum_{n=1}^{m} (Q_{c}^{(n)} + Q_{h}^{(n)})}{\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{k=c,h} \tau_{k}^{(n)} + \tau_{e}}.$$
 (9)

In principle, maximizing the power output in each round

of the internal cycle could produce a large average power output, even though not the maximal one, of the external cycle. A higher power output always favors a shorter reset time due to no power output during the reset process. Therefore, to acquire a large average power output, we may terminate the internal cycle at the end of the *m*th round for a given reset time τ_e . As demonstrated in Fig. 3(b), for the case of two rounds of the internal cycle, the power output P_2^e is closer to the maximal average power output P^e than others. For $\tau_e < 4\tau^{(1)}$, m = 2 is an optimal solution for the internal cycling due to the trade-off between the cycling number and the reset time τ_e .

IV. CONCRETE SYSTEM

A. Two-level system

First of all, we exemplify a two-level system as the WS [70–72], such as a qubit encoded in two internal levels of an ion [73, 74], described by the Hamiltonian $H(t) = \omega(t)\sigma_z/2$ with σ_z being the usual Pauli operator and the energy difference $\omega(t)$ modulated at the two fixed points ω_0 and ω_2 of the internal cycle. This case has been treated as an example in Fig. 2 both analytically and numerically. In the first round of the internal cycle, the entropy change is solved as $\Delta S_h = (\omega_2^2 T_h^2 - \omega_0^2 T_c^2)/8T_c^2 T_h^2$, guaranteeing an effective power output if $\omega_2/\omega_0 > T_c/T_h$. Thus we have the heat capacity of the WS as $C_S = \omega_0^2/4T_h^2$. In the adiabatic limit, the efficiency for the finite heat reservoirs owning the same heat capacity is enhanced by $\zeta = [2\omega_0^2 T_c T_h - \omega_0^2 T_c^2 - \omega_2^2 T_h^2]/8CT_c T_h^2 (T_h - T_c)$. Thus the Carnot limit could be surpassed if $\omega_2/\omega_0 < \sqrt{T_c(2T_h - T_c)}/T_h$, see Fig. 2(b). Besides, the coefficient in Eq. (5) is now given by

$$\varsigma = \frac{\omega_0^2 T_c^2 (5T_h - \sqrt{T_c T_h} - 2T_c) - \omega_2^2 T_h^2 (T_h + \sqrt{T_c T_h})}{16 \mathcal{C} T_c^2 T_h^2 (T_h - \sqrt{T_c T_h})} (10)$$

which implies the fact going beyond the maximum power output of the Carnot cycle if the temperature ratio $T_c/T_h < r_{\omega}$ for the given modulation frequencies ω_0 and ω_2 . It results in the zero boundary in Fig. 2(c), e.g., $r_{\omega} \approx 0.47$, and vice versa (see Supplementary Information). Meanwhile, the efficiency at the maximum power output will exceed the Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency if $T_c/T_h < R_\omega$ (e.g., $R_\omega \approx 0.69$) (see Supplementary Information) that the power output beyond the maximal counterpart of the Carnot limit is always accompanied by its efficiency larger than the Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency, see Fig. 2(e). On the other hand, since the finite heat reservoir should own a larger heat capacity than that of the WS, we consider that an ensemble of spin-1/2 particles might be a suitable candidate of the finite heat reservoir [75–78]. In addition, for the moderate temperature of the WS, i.e., comparable to $\omega_{0,2}$, the harmonic oscillators can be also employed as the finite heat reservoirs in this situation [71].

B. Harmonic oscillator system

Secondly, the harmonic oscillator can also be employed as the WS, such as the quantized vibration of an ion [35], described by the Hamiltonian $H(t) = \omega(t)a^{\dagger}a$ with $a(a^{\dagger})$ denoting the annihilation (creation) operator. The entropy change in the first round of the internal cycle is $\Delta S_h = \ln(\omega_2 T_h/\omega_0 T_c)$ and the corresponding heat capacity is $C_S = 1$. Thus the maximal efficiency of the engine is enlarged by $\zeta = \frac{1}{C} [\eta_C - \frac{T_c}{T_h} \ln \frac{\omega_2 T_h}{\omega_0 T_c}]$, which makes η beyond the Carnot limit if $\omega_2/\omega_0 < T_c \exp(T_h/T_c - 1)/T_h$. Besides, the enhancement of the maximal power output is

$$\varsigma = \frac{2\sqrt{T_h} + \sqrt{T_c}}{2\mathcal{C}\sqrt{T_h}} - \frac{\sqrt{T_h} + \sqrt{T_c}}{2\mathcal{C}(\sqrt{T_h} - \sqrt{T_c})} \ln \frac{\omega_2 T_h}{\omega_0 T_c}.$$
 (11)

If $\varsigma > 0$, we have the restriction of $T_c/T_h < r_{\omega}$ for the given modulation frequencies $\omega_{0,2}$. Besides, the Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency will be exceeded if $T_c/T_h < R_{\omega}$. In practice, a finite multi-mode environment can serve as the heat reservoirs in this case [79–81]; For the moderate temperature, i.e., comparable to the oscillator's frequency, a spin ensemble can also be employed as the finite heat reservoir.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We mention the influence from the possible dissipation, which was neglected above. The influence lies in two aspects. One is from the irreversible work dissipation caused by the finite duration in the cooling and heating process. The other is from the extra heat dissipation of the WS and the reservoirs due to the coupling with the external environment. In the slowly dynamical limit that the duration is infinitely long, the work dissipation caused by the first factor is zero but the second factor would yield the efficiency of the heat engine to be vanishing. In the case of the maximum power output, however, the duration is finite. Hence the main influence is caused by the first factor which restricts the efficiency of the Carnot cycle within the Curzon-Ahlborn (CA) efficiency, whereas the second factor is negligible. Nevertheless, this consideration of dissipation does not change the main results in our work.

We have explored the possibility going beyond the Carnot limit using a microscopic engine coupled to two finite heat reservoirs. The favorable feature of our proposal lies in the experimental relevance: No extra quantum resource necessary, no special system required and availability using current laboratory techniques. Our finding paves the way to employing finite-sized reservoirs in microscopic quantum thermodynamics and clarifies the high efficiency of the nano-engines coupled to two same-sized finite heat reservoirs with different temperatures.

VI. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFA1404500, by Cross-disciplinary Innovative Research Group Project of Henan Province under Grant No. 232300421004, National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 1232410, U21A20434, 12074346, 12274376, 12074232, 12125406, 12374466, by Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province under Grant Nos. 232300421075, 242300421212, by Major science and technology project of Henan Province under Grant No. 221100210400, by K. C. Wong Educa-

- Carnot, S. Réflexions sur la puissance motrice du feu et surles machines propresa développer cette puissance (Bachelier, Paris, 1824).
- [2] Cengel, Y. A. & Boles, M. A. Thermodynamics. An Engineering Approach (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001).
- [3] Scovil, H. E. D. & Schulz-DuBois, E. O. Three-Level Masers as Heat Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 262 (1959).
- [4] Alicki, R. The quantum open system as a model of the heat engine. J. Phys. A 12, L103 (1979).
- [5] Kosloff, R. A quantum mechanical open system as a model of a heat engine. J. Chem. Phys. 80, 1625 (1984).
- [6] Scully, M. O. Quantum Afterburner: Improving the Efficiency of an Ideal Heat Engine. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 050602 (2002).
- [7] Quan, H. T. et al. Quantum thermodynamic cycles and quantum heat engines. Phys. Rev. E 76, 031105 (2007).
- [8] Schmiedl, T. & Seiffrt, U. Efficiency at maximum power: An analytically solvable model for stochastic heat engines. Europhys. Lett. 81, 20003 (2008).
- [9] Uzdin, R., Levy, A. & Kosloff, R. Equivalence of Quantum Heat Machines, and Quantum-Thermodynamic Signatures. Phys. Rev. X 5, 031044 (2015).
- [10] Brandner, K. & Saito, K. Thermodynamic Geometry of Microscopic Heat Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 040602 (2020).
- [11] Blickle, V. & Bechinger, C. Realization of a micrometersized stochastic heat engine, Nat. Phys. 8, 143 (2012).
- [12] Martínez, I. A. et al. Adiabatic Processes Realized with a Trapped Brownian Particle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 120601 (2015).
- [13] Martínez, I. A. et al. Brownian Carnot engine, Nat. Phys. 12, 67 (2016).
- [14] Krishnamurthy, S. et al. A micrometre-size heat engine between bacterical reservoirs. Nat. Phys. 12, 1134 (2016).
- [15] Roßnagel, J. et al. A single-atom heat engine, Science 352, 325 (2016).
- [16] Marchegiani, G. et al. Self-Oscillating Josephson Quantum Heat Engine. Phys. Rev. Applied 6, 054014 (2015).

tion Foundation (GJTD-2019-15), and by Nansha senior leading talent team technology project under Grant No. 2021CXTD02.

VIII. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.Y.L., S.L.S. and M.F. proposed the idea and made the main deductions; Y.Y.L. carried out numerical calculation; Y.Y.L., M.F. and G. Chen wrote the paper; All the authors contributed to the interpretation of results and improvement of the manuscript.

IX. APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary materials to this article can be found online.

- [17] Zhang, K.-Y., Bariani, F. & Meystre, P. Quantum optomechanical heat engine. Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 150602 (2014).
- [18] Klatzow, J. et al. Experimental Demonstration of Quantum Effects in the Operation of Microscopic Heat Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 110601 (2019).
- [19] Ronzani, A. et al. Tunable photonic heat transport in a quantum heat valve. Nat. Phys. 14, 911 (2018).
- [20] Quan, H. T. et al. Maxwell's Demon Assisted Thermodynamic Cycle in Superconducting Quantum Circuits. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 180402 (2006).
- [21] Maruyama, K., Nori, F. & Vedral, V. Colloquium: The physics of Maxwell's demon and information. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1 (2009).
- [22] Wang, W.-B. et al. Realization of Quantum Maxwell's Demon with Solid-State Spins. Chin. Phys. Lett. 35, 040301 (2018).
- [23] Beyer, K., Luoma, K. & Strunz, W. T. Steering Heat Engines: A Truly Quantum Maxwell Demon. Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 250606 (2019).
- [24] Seah, S., Nimmrichter, S. & Scarani, V. Maxwell's Lesser Demon: A Quantum Engine Driven by Pointer Measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 100603 (2020).
- [25] Kim, S. W. et al. Quantum Szilard Engine. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 070401 (2011).
- [26] Koski, J. et al. Experimental realization of a Szilard engine with a single electron. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 13786 (2014).
- [27] Bengtsson, J. et al. Quantum Szilard Engine with Attractively Interacting Bosons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 100601 (2018).
- [28] Campisi, M. & Fazio, R. The power of a critical heat engine. Nat. Commun. 7, 11895 (2016).
- [29] Y.-H. Ma, S.-H. Su, and Chang-Pu Sun, Quantum thermodynamic cycle with quantum phase transition, Phys. Rev. E 96, 022143 (2017).
- [30] Chen, Y.-Y. et al. An interaction-driven many-particle quantum heat engine and its universal behavior. npj

Quantum Information 5, 88 (2019).

- [31] Scully, M. O. et al. Extracting Work from a Single Heat Bath via Vanishing Quantum Coherence. Science 299, 862 (2003).
- [32] Scully, M. O. et al. Quantum heat engine power can be increased by noise-induced coherence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 15097 (2011).
- [33] Nalbach, P. & Thorwart, M. Enhanced quantum efficiency of light-harvesting in a biomolecular quantum "steam engine". Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 2693 (2013).
- [34] Dillenschneider, R. & Lutz, E. Energetics of quantum correlations. Europhys. Lett. 88, 50003 (2009).
- [35] Roßnagel, J. et al. Nanoscale Heat Engine Beyond the Carnot Limit. Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 030602 (2014).
- [36] Klaers, J. et al. Squeezed Thermal Reservoirs as a Resource for a Nanomechanical Engine beyond the Carnot Limit. Phys. Rev. X 7, 031044 (2017).
- [37] Elouard, C. et al. Extracting Work from Quantum Measurement in Maxwell's Demon Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 260603 (2017).
- [38] Yi, J., Talkner, P. & Kim, Y. W. Single-temperature quantum engine without feedback control. Phys. Rev. E 96, 022108 (2017).
- [39] Elouard, C. & Jordan, A. N. Efficient Quantum Measurement Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 260601 (2018).
- [40] Schmiedl, T. & Seifert, U., Optimal Finite-Time Processes In Stochastic Thermodynamics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 108301 (2007)
- [41] Allahverdyan, A. E., Hovhannisyan, K. & Mahler, G. Optimal refrigerator, Phys. Rev. E 81, 051129 (2010).
- [42] Allahverdyan, A. E. et al. Carnot Cycle at Finite Power: Attainability of Maximal Efficiency. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 050601 (2013).
- [43] Correa, L. A. et al. Optimal performance of endoreversible quantum refrigerators. Phys. Rev. E 90, 062124 (2014).
- [44] Palao, J. P. et al. Efficiency of Inefficient Endoreversible Thermal Machines. Braz. J Phys. 46, 282 (2016).
- [45] Maslennikov, G. et al. Quantum absorption refrigerator with trapped ions. Nat. Commun. 10, 202 (2019).
- [46] Curzon, F. L. & Ahlborn, B. Efficiency of a Carnot engine at maximum power output. Am. J. Phys. 43, 22 (1975).
- [47] Van den Broeck, C. Thermodynamic Efficiency at Maximum Power. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 190602 (2005).
- [48] Polettini, M., Verley, G. & Esposito, M. Efficiency Statistics at All Times: Carnot Limit at Finite Power. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 050601 (2015).
- [49] Koning, J. & Indekeu, J. O. Engines with ideal efficiency and nonzero power for sublinear transport laws. Eur. Phys. J. B 89, 248 (2016).
- [50] Polettini, M. & Esposito, M. Carnot efficiency at divergent power output. Europhys. Lett. 118, 40003 (2017).
- [51] Johnson, C. V. Exact model of the power-to-efficiency trade-off while approaching the Carnot limit. Phys. Rev. D 98, 026008 (2018)
- [52] Holubec, V. & Ryabov, A. Cycling Tames Power Fluctuations near Optimum Efficiency. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 120601 (2018).
- [53] Erdman, P. A. et al. Maximum power and corresponding efficiency for two-level heat engines and refrigerators: optimality of fast cycles. New J. Phys. 21, 103049 (2019).
- [54] Wang, Y. et al. Coefficient of performance at maximum figure of merit and its bounds for low-dissipation Carnot-

like refrigerators. Phys. Rev. E 86, 011127 (2012)

- [55] Hu, Y. et al. Coefficient of performance for a lowdissipation Carnot-like refrigerator with nonadiabatic dissipation. Phys. Rev. E 88, 062115 (2013).
- [56] Cavina, V., Mari, A. & Giovannetti, V. Slow Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Open Quantum Systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 050601 (2017)
- [57] Rotskoff, G. M., Crooks, G. E. & Vanden-Eijnden, E. Geometric approach to optimal nonequilibrium control: Minimizing dissipation in nanomagnetic spin systems. Phys. Rev. E 95, 012148 (2017).
- [58] Cavina, V. et al. Optimal thermodynamic control in open quantum systems. Phys. Rev. A 98, 012139 (2018).
- [59] Abiuso, P. & Perarnau-Llobet, M. Optimal Cycles for Low-Dissipation Heat Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 110606 (2020).
- [60] Salamon, P. & Berry, R. S. Thermodynamic Length and Dissipated Availability. Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1127 (1983).
- [61] Schlögl, F. Thermodynamic metric and stochastic measures. Z. Phys. B 59, 449 (1985).
- [62] Nulton, J. et al. Quasistatic processes as step equilibrations. J. Chem. Phys. 83, 334 (1985).
- [63] Crooks, G. E. Measuring Thermodynamic Length. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 100602 (2007).
- [64] Sivak, D. A. & Crooks, G. E. Thermodynamic Metrics and Optimal Paths. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 190602 (2012).
- [65] Bonança, M. V. S. & Deffner, S. Optimal driving of isothermal processes close to equilibrium. J. Chem. Phys. 140, 244119 (2014).
- [66] Scandi, M. & Perarnau-Llobet, M. Thermodynamic length in open quantum systems. Quantum 3, 197 (2019).
- [67] Esposito, M. et al. Efficiency at Maximum Power of Low-Dissipation Carnot Engines. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 150603 (2010).
- [68] Tajima H. and Hayashi M. Finite-size effect on optimal efficiency of heat engines. Phys. Rev. E 96, 012128 (2017).
- [69] Mohammady M. Hamed and Romito A. Efficiency of a cyclic quantum heat engine with finite-size baths. Phys. Rev. E 100, 012122 (2019).
- [70] de Assis, R. J. et al. Efficiency of a Quantum Otto Heat Engine Operating under a Reservoir at Effective Negative Temperatures. Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 240602 (2019).
- [71] Von Lindenfels, D. et al. Spin Heat Engine Coupled to a Harmonic-Oscillator Flywheel. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 080602 (2019).
- [72] Peterson, J. P. S. et al. Experimental Characterization of a Spin Quantum Heat Engine. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 240601 (2019).
- [73] Xiong, T. P. et al. Experimental Verification of a Jarzynski-Related Information-Theoretic Equality by a Single Trapped Ion. Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**. 010601 (2018).
- [74] Yan, L.-L. et al. Single-Atom Demonstration of the Quantum Landauer Principle. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 210601 (2018).
- [75] Scarani, V. et al. Thermalizing Quantum Machines: Dissipation and Entanglement. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 097905 (2002).
- [76] McCloskey, R. & Paternostro, M. Non-Markovianity and system-environment correlations in a microscopic collision model. Phys. Rev. A 89, 052120 (2014).
- [77] Bernardes, N. K. et al. High Resolution non-Markovianity in NMR. Sci. Rep. 6, 33945 (2016).
- [78] Pezzutto, M., Paternostro, M. & Omar, Y. Implications

of non-Markovian quantum dynamics for the Landauer bound. New J. Phys. **18**, 123018 (2016).

- [79] Allahverdyan, A. E. & Nieuwenhuizen, Th. M. Extraction of Work from a Single Thermal Bath in the Quantum Regime. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1799 (2000)
- [80] Ma, Tiantian et al. Crossover between non-Markovian

and Markovian dynamics induced by a hierarchical environment. Phys. Rev. A ${\bf 90},\,042108~(2014)$

[81] Man, Z.-X., An, N. B. & Xia, Y.-J. Non-Markovian dynamics of a two-level system in the presence of hierarchical environments. Opt. Exp. 23, 5763 (2015).