

Grundy Packing Coloring of Graphs*

Didem Gözüpek¹ Iztok Peterin²

Department of Computer Engineering, Gebze Technical University, Türkiye¹

Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Maribor, Slovenia²

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia²

Emails: *didem.gozupek@gtu.edu.tr*¹; *iztok.peterin@um.si*²

Abstract

A map $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ of a graph G is a packing k -coloring if every two different vertices of the same color $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ are at distance more than i . The packing chromatic number $\chi_\rho(G)$ of G is the smallest integer k such that there exists a packing k -coloring. In this paper we introduce the notion of *Grundy packing chromatic number*, analogous to the Grundy chromatic number of a graph. We first present a polynomial-time algorithm that is based on a greedy approach and gives a packing coloring of G . We then define the Grundy packing chromatic number $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ of a graph G as the maximum value that this algorithm yields in a graph G . We present several properties of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$, provide results on the complexity of the problem as well as bounds and some exact results for $\Gamma_\rho(G)$.

Keywords: Grundy packing chromatic number, packing coloring, Grundy packing coloring, algorithm, lower independence number, diameter

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 05C15, 05C12, 05C69

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of packing chromatic number $\chi_\rho(G)$ of a graph G was initiated by Goddard et. al. [21] under the name *broadcast chromatic number* in 2008. The name was changed by Brešar et al. [11] in the next publication on this topic (officially published a year earlier in 2007) because color classes of a packing coloring of G form packings of G . First the focus was on different infinite lattices such as (2- and 3-dimensional) grid, hexagonal lattice and triangular lattice. For hexagonal lattice \mathcal{H} , preliminary work on \mathcal{H} was done already in [11] and by Fiala et al. [17]. Later, Korže and Vesel [29] showed that $\chi_\rho(\mathcal{H}) = 7$. Packing chromatic number is infinite for some lattices like for \mathbb{Z}^3 as shown by Finbow and Rall [18]. Later contributions on $\chi_\rho(G)$ are oriented into graph operations like Cartesian product [26, 29, 34] or lexicographic product [7], some special graph classes [1, 4, 5, 12, 19, 30] and to packing chromatic critical graphs [9]. Gastineau et al. [20] introduced an S -packing coloring that is a generalization of packing coloring which also draw some attention in the community, mainly as (d, h) -packing coloring. For more on packing colorings and packing chromatic number we recommend the survey [10].

*This work was partially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) 2221 Fellowships for Visiting Scientists and Scientistts on Sabbatical Leave program.

For graphs with diameter two, a nice connection between the packing chromatic number $\chi_p(G)$ and independence number $\alpha(G)$ of G was shown in [21] as follows:

$$\chi_p(G) = |V(G)| - \alpha(G) + 1. \quad (1)$$

This result implies that the packing coloring problem is NP-hard even for graphs with diameter two, which is usual for different coloring problems as well. Later, Fiala and Golovach [16] showed that the existence of a packing k -coloring is NP-complete also for trees. Despite that fact no (polynomial-time) algorithm was presented to construct a packing coloring of an arbitrary graph G , in [29, 30] the authors did use a computer (SAT solver) to construct packing colorings, but an explicit algorithm was not presented. Korže et al. [28] presented a heuristic algorithm for the more general problem of (d, n) -packing coloring for several (infinite) lattices, but not for arbitrary graphs.

In this paper, we fill this gap in the literature by presenting a polynomial-time algorithm that produces a packing coloring for an arbitrary graph G . It is based on a famous greedy algorithm, sometimes also called first-fit algorithm, with some additional property that prevents vertices of the same color to be too close to each other in G . As usual for a greedy algorithm, our algorithm also gives only an upper bound for $\chi_p(G)$. This motivates us to introduce the study of the worst possible case of our algorithm and the number $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ of colors in such a case called *Grundy packing chromatic number* as an analogue to the Grundy number $\Gamma(G)$ that represents the maximum number of colors that can be produced by a greedy algorithm for G .

The term *Grundy* was initially coined because [23]. The *Grundy number* or *Grundy chromatic number* of an undirected graph is the maximum number of colors that can be used by a greedy coloring strategy that considers the vertices of the graph in a sequence and assigns each vertex its first available color, using a vertex ordering chosen to use as many colors as possible [14]. One of the earliest uses of the term *Grundy number*, as we recognize it today, dates back to Erdős et al. [15] where the equality between $\Gamma(G)$ and certain chromatic number of graphs is presented. The complexity of the problem is studied by Bonnet et al. in [6]. Several general bounds for $\Gamma(G)$ are presented by Zaker [37]. Grundy number of graph products are studied by Asté et al. [3] and Campos et al. [13]. A study on a special graph class due to Araujo and Linhares-Sales is in [2]. Recently, several papers have compared $\Gamma(G)$ with the b-chromatic number [25, 31, 32, 33]. Interesting upper bounds for $\Gamma(G)$ in terms of the domination number and girth of a graph are attributed to Khaleghi and Zaher [27]. We conclude this brief overview by noting that the term 'Grundy' is also employed in domination theory. Interested readers are referred to the seminal paper [8] on this subject for further insight.

We now introduce the notation used throughout the rest of this paper. Let G be a graph without loops and multiple edges. The number of edges on a shortest path between vertices u and v of G is called the *distance* $d(u, v)$ between u and v . The maximum length of a shortest path between $v \in V(G)$ and any other vertex u is called the *eccentricity* of v , denoted by $\text{ecc}(v)$. The maximum eccentricity among all vertices is the *diameter* of G , denoted by $\text{diam}(G)$, and the minimum eccentricity is the *radius* of G , denoted by $\text{rad}(G)$. A vertex of minimum eccentricity is called a *central vertex* of G . If $d(u, v) = \text{diam}(G)$, then u (and v) is referred to as a *diametrical vertex*, while u and v form a *diametrical pair*. A vertex is called *universal* if it is adjacent to all the other vertices. We denote open and closed neighborhoods of $v \in V(G)$ by $N(v)$ and $N[v]$, respectively. A complete graph, a path and a cycle on n vertices are denoted by K_n , P_n and C_n , respectively. A *clique* is a subset of vertices $V(G)$ of graph G such that every two distinct vertices in the clique are adjacent.

Let $D \subseteq V(G)$. If no two vertices from D are adjacent, then D is an *independent set*. An independent set that is not a subset of any other independent set is called *maximal*. The maximum

cardinality of an independent set is called the *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of G , whereas the minimum cardinality of a maximal independent set of G is called the *independent domination number* $i(G)$ of G . A maximal independent set of cardinality $\alpha(G)$ or $i(G)$ is simply called an $\alpha(G)$ -set or $i(G)$ -set, respectively.

Let t be a positive integer. A set $X \subseteq V(G)$ is a *t-packing* if any two distinct vertices from X are at a distance greater than t . The maximum cardinality of a *t-packing* of G is called the *t-packing number* of G and is denoted by $\rho_t(G)$. Notice, that if $t = 1$, then the 1-packing number is equal to $\alpha(G)$. A *packing k-coloring* of G is a map $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that if $c(u) = c(v) = i$, then $d_G(u, v) > i$. Hence, an i^{th} color class of a packing coloring represents an i -packing of G . Clearly, $\chi_\rho(G)$ is the minimum integer k for which there exists a packing k -coloring of G .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a greedy polynomial-time algorithm that finds a packing coloring of a graph. In Section 3, we define the Grundy packing chromatic number $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ of a graph G and present a polynomial-time construction for G that connects $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ with the minimum independence number, which yields the complexity of finding $\Gamma_\rho(G)$. In Section 4 we describe all graphs with $\Gamma_\rho(G) \in \{|V(G)|, |V(G)| - 1\}$. Section 5 is devoted to $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ for graphs with small diameter (at most three). We conclude the paper with Section 6, where several additional aspects of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ are discussed and several open problems are presented.

2 Greedy Packing Coloring Algorithm

Recall that the greedy algorithm employed in Grundy coloring is such that each time an uncolored vertex v is picked, it is colored with the smallest positive integer not present in the neighborhood of v . We adapt this greedy algorithm for standard graph coloring for packing colorings. To this end, we additionally keep track of the distances of already colored vertices in the following way: an n -tuple (v_1, \dots, v_n) is constructed for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, where n is the number of vertices and v_i is a binary variable indicating whether color i is available for vertex v . More precisely, for an uncolored vertex v at some stage of our algorithm, $v_i = 0$ means that color i was already assigned to a vertex at distance at most i to v and hence v cannot be colored with i . Likewise, $v_i = 1$ means that color i is available for vertex v . So, when v is processed by our greedy algorithm, we simply need to find the smallest index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $v_i = 1$ and $v_1 = \dots = v_{i-1} = 0$, and then v is colored with i . To ensure that the obtained coloring is a feasible packing coloring, that is, the vertices of the same color are within distance at least $i + 1$ apart, we run a BFS algorithm starting from the vertex under consideration, say v with $c(v) = i$, and set $u_i = 0$ for every vertex u in any of first i distance levels of BFS algorithm. The pseudocode of our algorithm is as follows.

Algorithm 1: Packing coloring

Data: Graph G and every vertex with $|V(G)|$ dimensional array with 1s for every vertex.

Result: Packing coloring c of G .

while *there exists an uncolored vertex* **do**

1. pick an uncolored vertex v
2. find first non-zero entry i in array for v and set $c(v) = i$
3. find the vertices that are at distance at most i from v using BFS algorithm and for every such uncolored vertex u set $u_i = 0$

end

We illustrate a step-by-step execution of Algorithm 1 on an example graph in Figure 1, where Step 1 depicts the initial condition and at each step the vertex shown in black is colored and only

the color numbers are displayed for the vertices previously colored.




Figure 1: Step-by-step execution of Algorithm 1. The vertex shown in black is colored at each step.

Theorem 2.1. *Algorithm 1 computes a packing coloring of a given graph G in $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ time in the worst case, where $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |E(G)|$.*

Proof. It is clear that Algorithm 1 produces a feasible packing coloring. As for its time complexity, the while loop iterates $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time. In each iteration of the while loop, Step 2 takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time in the worst case because an array of length n is traversed until the first nonzero entry is found. BFS algorithm in Step 3 has time complexity $\mathcal{O}(m)$ in worst case, making the overall worst case time complexity of Algorithm 1 as $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$. \square

3 Grundy Packing Chromatic Number

Since Algorithm 1 produces a feasible packing coloring, it yields an upper bound for $\chi_\rho(G)$ and hence it is called a *greedy packing coloring* of G . In other words, $\chi_\rho(G)$ is the minimum number of

colors that can be obtained by Algorithm 1. We are often interested in finding the other extreme: the maximum numbers of colors in a coloring produced by Algorithm 1, which we refer to as the *Grundy packing chromatic number* of G denoted by $\Gamma_\rho(G)$. This provides insight into the quality of the heuristic algorithm and how much reliable it is. An alternative description of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ is simply the maximum number of colors in a packing coloring, where each vertex of color $i \geq 2$ has a vertex of color j at a distance at most j for every $j \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$.

We start by applying a polynomial-time transformation to a graph G to obtain a graph $G(k)$, as introduced by Argiroffo et al. [1]. While their original work focused on utilizing this transformation for $\chi_\rho(G)$, we demonstrate its applicability to $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ with minor adjustments. To ensure that the paper is self-contained, we provide a detailed description of the transformation.

For a graph G we denote by G^ℓ a graph with $V(G^\ell) = \{v^\ell : v \in V(G)\}$ and $E(G^\ell) = \{u^\ell v^\ell : d_G(u, v) \leq \ell\}$. Clearly, $G^1 \cong G$ and if $k \geq \text{diam}(G)$, then $G^k \cong K_n$ for $n = |V(G)|$. Further, for a positive integer k we define graph $G(k)$ by $V(G(k)) = \cup_{i=1}^k V(G^i)$ and $E(G(k)) = \{v^j v^i : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^k E(G^i))$. Notice that the vertex set v^1, \dots, v^k constitutes a clique Q_v in $G(k)$ and that every independent set of G contains at most one vertex from this set. Figure 2 demonstrates an example G , its corresponding $G(3)$ together with their minimum maximal independent sets $i(G)$ and $i(G(3))$, denoted by black vertices.




Figure 2: An example graph G and its corresponding $G(3)$ together with their $i(G)$ - and $i(G(3))$ -sets, respectively (black vertices).

The following crucial lemma, essential for the existence of a packing k -coloring, was presented in [1].

Lemma 3.1. [1] *Let G be a graph on n vertices and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A graph G admits a packing k -coloring if and only if there exists an independent set of cardinality n in $G(k)$.*

Lemma 3.1 can be applied to derive $\chi_\rho(G)$ by minimizing k , as demonstrated in Lemma 3 of [1], which states the following:

$$\chi_\rho(G) = \min\{k : \alpha(G(k)) = n\}. \quad (2)$$

Note here that the approach of maximizing k and replacing $\alpha(G)$ by $i(G)$ to obtain $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ is not viable, as the maximum value of k for which there exists an independent set of cardinality n is always n . To accomplish this, one can merely place a distinct vertex from G into each copy of G^i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, resulting in an independent set of $G(n)$. Indeed, this forms a maximal independent set as each Q_v , where $v \in V(G)$, constitutes a clique within $V(G(n))$. Such an independent set yields a packing coloring with n colors; however, typically, this does not represent a Grundy packing coloring. So, the question arises: which packing colorings also qualify as greedy packing colorings? We address this query by adapting Lemma 3.1 as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a graph on n vertices and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A graph G admits a greedy packing k -coloring if and only if there exists an independent set A of cardinality n in $G(k)$ such that $A^i = A \cap G^i$ is a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a greedy packing k -coloring c of a graph G with color classes V_1, \dots, V_k . Let A^i be a subset of $V(G^i)$ that corresponds to vertices of V_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and let $A = A^1 \cup \dots \cup A^k$. Suppose that A^i is not a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Hence, there exists a vertex $z^i \in V(G^i) - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$ such that $A^i \cup \{z^i\}$ is an independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$. But then there exists a vertex $z \notin V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_i$ such that $c(z) > i$. This yields a contradiction, since z has no vertex of color i within a distance of at most i at the moment it receives the color in Algorithm 1.

Conversely, let A be an independent set of cardinality n in $G(k)$ such that $A^i = A \cap G^i$ is a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Each set $V_i \subset V(G)$ contains the corresponding vertices of A^i . We claim that V_1, \dots, V_k yield a greedy packing k -coloring c of G . If we order $V(G)$ according to their colors with respect to c , then this gives a possible order in Algorithm 1. When $v \in V_i$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, is considered by this order in Algorithm 1, it has every color $j \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$ at distance at most j because A^j is a maximal independent set of $G^j - \cup_{\ell=1}^{j-1} A^\ell$ for every $\ell \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$. Hence, v receives color i by Algorithm 1, and this yields a greedy packing k -coloring of G . \square

Recall that the vertex set v^1, \dots, v^k forms a clique Q_v in $G(k)$. Notice that every independent set A of $G(k)$ with cardinality $n = |V(G)|$ is a maximal independent set of $G(k)$ because it can have at most one vertex from Q_v for every $v \in V(G)$. However, the condition of Lemma 3.2 is not always fulfilled. Therefore, we introduce a procedure called *dense maximization procedure* (DMP for short) for an independent set A of $G(k)$ with cardinality n . If $A^i = A \cap G^i$ is a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, then we are done. Otherwise, consider the minimum $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that A^i is not a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$. Hence, there exists $z^\ell \in A$ for some $\ell > i$ such that $A_i \cup \{z^i\}$ is independent in $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$. We exchange z^ℓ with z^i in A and keep the notation A . Clearly, A is independent and $|A| = n$ after this change. We continue this procedure until A^i is a maximal independent set of $G^i - \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A^j$. Next, we proceed with the first $t > i$, where A^t is not a maximal independent set of $G^t - \cup_{j=1}^{t-1} A^j$, if such a set exists. At the end of the DMP, $A \cap V(G^k)$ may be empty, and whether it is empty or not plays an important role. Therefore, we denote by $DMP(A)$ the maximum ℓ such that $A \cap V(G^\ell) \neq \emptyset$ after any run of the DMP.

We are now able to present an exact description of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ that will lead to several significant consequences. This result is somewhat connected to Equation (2), as we are seeking a maximum among some special independent sets of $G(k)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a graph with $n = |V(G)|$. If \mathcal{I} is the set of all independent sets of $G(k)$ with cardinality n for any possible integer $k \leq n$, then*

$$\Gamma_\rho(G) = \max_{A \in \mathcal{I}} \{DMP(A)\}.$$

Proof. Let $t = \max_{A \in \mathcal{I}} \{DMP(A)\}$. Clearly, there exist independent sets of cardinality n in $G(n)$ and let $A \in \mathcal{I}$ be such that $DMP(A) = t$. Suppose that A' is the set obtained by DMP from A such that $A' \cap G^t \neq \emptyset$. The set A' fulfills the condition of Lemma 3.2, and hence there exists a Grundy packing t -coloring by the same lemma. Therefore, $\Gamma_\rho(G) \geq t$.

Conversely, $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ cannot exceed t because a greedy packing $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ -coloring would yield an independent set A^* of $G(s)$ of cardinality n that fulfills the condition of Lemma 3.2 and has $A^* \cap G(s) \neq \emptyset$ for some $s > t$. This is a contradiction because $A^* \in \mathcal{I}$ and $s > t$. Therefore, the equality follows. \square

An independent set A of cardinality $|V(G)|$ of $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ that yields $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ by Theorem 3.3 will simply be denoted by a $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ -set. A $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ -set A contains at least $i(G)$ elements in G^1 by the condition of Lemma 3.2. Additionally, $|A \cap G^j| \geq 1$ for every $j \in \{2, \dots, \Gamma_\rho(G)\}$. Hence, the following upper bound is valid for $\Gamma_\rho(G)$, which is symmetrical to the one for $\chi_\rho(G)$ from [21], with the exchange of $\alpha(G)$ by $i(G)$.

Corollary 3.4. *If G is a graph, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) + 1$.*

We end this section with a short discussion about the complexity of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$. We consider the following decision problem.

GRUNDY PACKING COLORING PROBLEM (GPC problem for short)
 INSTANCE: A graph G on n vertices and an integer $1 \leq k \leq n$.
 QUESTION: Is $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq k$?

Since it is NP-complete to decide whether $i(G) \geq \ell$ even when G is restricted to bipartite graphs, line graphs, circle graphs, unit disk graphs or planar cubic graphs (see Section 7 of [22] and the references therein), the following theorem holds by Corollary 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *GPC problem is NP-complete even when G is restricted to bipartite graphs, line graphs, circle graphs, unit disk graphs or planar cubic graphs.*

4 Graphs with Large Grundy Packing Chromatic Number

In this section we describe all graphs with $\Gamma_\rho(G) \in \{n - 1, n\}$, where n denotes the number of vertices of the graph under consideration. We start with all graphs for which Algorithm 1 can produce a coloring where every vertex receives a unique color and the class is much larger than for the packing chromatic number, where only complete graphs fulfill this property.

Proposition 4.1. *A graph G on n vertices has $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n$ if and only if G has a universal vertex.*

Proof. Let first $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n$. So, every color is used exactly once and let $v \in V(G)$ be of color 1. If there exists $u \in V(G)$ that is not adjacent to v , then Step 2 of Algorithm 1 would give color 1 also to v , a contradiction. Thus, v is a universal vertex.

If G has a universal vertex, then $i(G) = 1$ and $G^i \cong K_n$ for every $i > 1$. So, there exists a $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ -set A with $A \cap G^n \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3 we have $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n$. \square

Next, we concentrate on graphs where $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$. We distinguish between the connected and disconnected cases. Observe that $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ of a disconnected graph is equal to the maximum value $\Gamma_\rho(G_i)$ of its components G_1, \dots, G_k . For disconnected graphs, the following is clear by Proposition 4.1:

Corollary 4.2. *A disconnected graph G with n vertices has $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$ if and only if $G = K_1 \cup G'$, where G' is a graph having a universal vertex.*

We proceed with the following simple lemma that will assist us in describing all connected graphs with $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph. If $i(G) = 2$, then $\text{rad}(G) \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\text{diam}(G) \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$.*

Proof. Let G be a connected graph with $i(G) = 2$. Hence, G has no universal vertex and $\text{rad}(G) > 1$ and $\text{diam}(G) > 1$. For an $i(G)$ -set $\{x, y\}$ we have $2 \leq d(x, y) \leq 3$. If $d(x, y) = 2$, then x and y have a common neighbor w with $d(w, v) \leq 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Thus, $\text{rad}(G) = 2$ and consequently $\text{diam}(G) \leq 4$. So, let $d(x, y) = 3$. Any neighbor w of x on a shortest x, y -path has $d(w, v) \leq 3$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Thus $\text{ecc}(w) \leq 3$ and $\text{rad}(G) \leq 3$ follows. From this, it also follows that $\text{diam}(G) \leq 6$. If $\text{diam}(G) = 6$, then there exists a diametrical path P of length six. At most one vertex from x and y can be on P . If x is on P , then y is adjacent to at least four vertices of P , a contradiction with P being a shortest path. Otherwise, if x and y do not belong to P , then by the pigeon hole principle, one of them must be again adjacent to at least four of them, again a contradiction. Hence, the result holds. \square

Theorem 4.4. *A connected graph G on n vertices has $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$ if and only if the following statements hold:*

- (i) $i(G) = 2$.
- (ii) $\text{diam}(G) \leq 4$.
- (iii) *If $\text{rad}(G) = 3$, then there exist an $i(G)$ -set $\{x, y\}$ with $d(x, y) = 3$ and $w \in N(x)$ such that $d(w, z) \leq 2$ for every vertex $z \in N(y)$.*
- (iv) *If $\text{rad}(G) = 2$ and $\text{diam}(G) = 4$, then there exists an $i(G)$ -set that avoids one central vertex and one additional non-diametrical vertex.*

Proof. Suppose first that (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) hold. Let $A = \{x, y\}$ be an $i(G)$ -set. By Lemma 4.3 we have $\text{rad}(G) \in \{2, 3\}$. Suppose first that $\text{rad}(G) = 3$. By (iii) we set $c(x) = 1 = c(y)$ and $c(w) = 2$. There also exists a vertex $z \notin \{x, y, w\}$ that belongs to a shortest x, y -path. So, $c(z) = 3$ and every other vertex receives a different color since $\text{diam}(G) \leq 4$ by (ii) and all other vertices are at distance at most 2 to w , yielding a packing $(n - 1)$ -coloring of G . Since $\Gamma_\rho(G) < n$ by Proposition 4.1, we have $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$.

Now let $\text{rad}(G) = 2$. If in addition $\text{diam}(G) = 4$, then we may assume that A fulfills (iv). Accordingly, let $w \notin A$ be the central vertex and $z \notin A$, $z \neq w$ be a non-diametrical vertex. If we set $c(x) = 1 = c(y)$, $c(w) = 2$, $c(z) = 3$ and every other vertex receives a different color, then c is a packing $(n - 1)$ -coloring and $\Gamma_\rho(G) \geq n - 1$. Equality now follows by Proposition 4.1. So, let $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. If there exists a central vertex $w \notin A$, then we set $c(x) = 1 = c(y)$, $c(w) = 2$ and every other vertex receives a different color, yielding an $(n - 1)$ -packing coloring and $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$ by Proposition 4.1. Otherwise, either x or y , say x , is a central vertex and $d(x, y) = 2$. Let w be a

common neighbor of x and y and we have $d(w, v) \leq 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$ since A is an $i(G)$ -set. So, a coloring where $c(x) = 1 = c(y)$, $c(w) = 2$ and every other vertex receives a different color is an $(n - 1)$ -packing coloring and again $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - 1$ by the same reason. If $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then we can use the same coloring where w is a common neighbor of x and y . and we are done with this direction.

Conversely, suppose first that (i) does not hold. If $i(G) = 1$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n$ by Proposition 4.1. If $i(G) \geq 3$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) + 1 \leq n - 2$ by Corollary 3.4, a contradiction. Therefore, $i(G) = 2$. Now let $A = \{x, y\}$ be an $i(G)$ -set and B be a $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ -set. Recall that G^1 and G^2 are subgraphs of $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$. If $|B \cap V(G^1)| > i(G)$, then we have $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - |B \cap V(G^1)| + 1 < n - i(G) + 1 = n - 1$ and $\Gamma_\rho(G) \neq n - 1$. Therefore, we may also assume that $|B \cap V(G^1)| = i(G)$ holds.

By Lemma 4.3 we have $\text{diam}(G) \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. So, if (ii) does not hold, then $\text{diam}(G) = 5$. Let $v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6$ be a diametrical path of G . Since A is an $i(G)$ -set, we may assume that x is either v_2 or is adjacent to v_1, v_2 and v_3 , and y is either v_5 or it is adjacent to v_4, v_5 and v_6 . In particular, x and y are different from v_1 and v_6 for any $i(G)$ -set. Notice that no vertex in G is at distance two to v_1 and v_6 at the same time and hence $|B \cap V(G^2)| > 1$ follows. Therefore, $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) - |B \cap V(G^2)| + 2 \leq n - 2$. Thus, $\Gamma_\rho(G) \neq n - 1$.

If (iii) is false, then $\text{rad}(G) = 3$ and for every $i(G)$ -set $\{x, y\}$ with $d(x, y) = 3$ and every $w \in N(x)$ there exists $z \in N(y)$ with $d(w, z) > 2$. This means that no matter how we choose w there always exists z such that they are not adjacent in G^2 . Again we have $|B \cap V(G^2)| > 1$ and $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) - |B \cap V(G^2)| + 2 \leq n - 2$ which ends this case.

Finally, suppose that (iv) does not hold. Then $\text{rad}(G) = 2$ and $\text{diam}(G) = 4$ and every $i(G)$ -set either contains all central vertices or it does not contain one central vertex but contains all the other non-diametrical vertices. Alternative description is that outside of any $i(G)$ -set, there exists at most one non-diametrical vertex w . If we have $c(x) = c(y) = 1$ and $c(w) = 2$, then any diametrical pair is nonadjacent in G^3 and $|B \cap V(G^3)| > 1$. Hence, $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) - 1 - |B \cap V(G^3)| + 3 \leq n - 2$. Otherwise, if $c(x) = 1 = c(y)$, $c(w) \neq 2$, then $|B \cap V(G^2)| > 1$ and $\Gamma_\rho(G) \leq n - i(G) - |B \cap V(G^2)| + 2 \leq n - 2$ follows. Consequently, $\Gamma_\rho(G) \neq n - 1$ in both cases. \square

Graphs that are eliminated by (iv) of Theorem 4.4 are relatively rare. One can obtain them from two stars $K_{1,r}$ and $K_{1,t}$, $r, t > 1$, where we identify one leaf u of the first with one leaf u' of the second star. In addition we can add arbitrary edges between the leaves of the first star (excluding u) and arbitrary edges between leaves of the second star (u' excluded). Besides, a graph that fulfills (iii) of Theorem 4.4 is on Figure 3.




Figure 3: Graph G that fulfills (iii) of Theorem 4.4.

5 Graphs with Small Diameter

This section is devoted to $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ of graphs with $\text{diam}(G) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. More precisely, we can ignore complete graphs, which are the only graphs of diameter one because obviously $\Gamma_\rho(K_n) = n$. We proceed with the assumption that $\text{diam}(G) = 2$. The next result can be seen as an analogue of

Equation (1), a generalization of Proposition 4.1 or a special case of Corollary 3.4. This follows from Theorem 3.3, given that $G^j \cong K_n$ for every $j \geq 2$.

Corollary 5.1. *If G is a graph with $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - i(G) + 1$.*

Well-known diameter two graphs are joins $G \vee H$ of graphs G and H (unless both G and H are complete graphs), which are graphs with $V(G \vee H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G \vee H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{gh : g \in V(G), h \in V(H)\}$. It is easy to see that $i(G \vee H) = \min\{i(G), i(H)\}$ which yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *For graphs G and H , $\Gamma_\rho(G \vee H) = |V(G)| + |V(H)| - \min\{i(G), i(H)\} + 1$. In particular, for $s, t \geq 1$, $p, r \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$, we have:*

- $\Gamma_\rho(K_{s,t}) = s + t - \min\{s, t\} + 1$;
- $\Gamma_\rho(G \vee K_1) = |V(G)| + 1$;
- $\Gamma_\rho(K_s \vee \overline{K}_n) = s + n$;
- $\Gamma_\rho(P_p \vee P_r) = \Gamma_\rho(P_p \vee C_r) = \Gamma_\rho(C_p \vee C_r) = p + r - \min\left\{\left\lceil \frac{p}{3} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{r}{3} \right\rceil\right\} + 1$.

We now introduce a construction that is useful for presenting an alternative description of $\Gamma_\rho(G)$, where $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. It is obvious that $G^j \cong K_n$ for $n = |V(G)|$ and every $j \geq 3$. Hence, in view of Theorem 3.3, where B is a $G(\Gamma_\rho(G))$ -set, only $B \cap V(G^1)$ and $B \cap V(G^2)$ can have more than one vertex. Moreover, if $x, y \in B \cap V(G^2)$, then they form a diametrical pair. Therefore, we define a diametrical graph $D(G)$ of G , where $V(D(G)) = V(G)$ and two vertices x and y are adjacent in $D(G)$ if they form a diametrical pair of G . Notice that the graph G in Figure 2 contains three diametrical vertices (all of degree two), mutually forming diametrical pairs, and $D(G) \cong K_3 \cup 7K_1$. Similarly, we can see that $D(C_6) = 3K_2$ and $D(Q_3) = 4K_2$ where Q_3 is a cube graph. With $D(G)$, we can describe $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ for any diameter three graph as an optimization problem over all maximal independent sets of G .

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be a graph and let \mathcal{I} be the set of all maximal independent sets of G . If $\text{diam}(G) = 3$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) = |V(G)| - m(G) + 2$, where*

$$m(G) = \min_{A \in \mathcal{I}} \{|A| + |Q| : Q \text{ is a maximal clique of minimum cardinality of } D(G) - A\}.$$

Proof. Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| = n$ and $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $m(G) = |A_0| + |Q|$, where Q is a maximal clique of minimum cardinality of $D(G) - A_0$. Notice that not all vertices of G belong to A_0 since $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. Therefore, $D(G) - A_0$ contains some vertices and $m(G)$ is well defined. We construct $B \subseteq V(G(k))$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ as follows. All the vertices from G^1 that correspond to vertices of A_0 in G are put in B . Similarly, we put the corresponding vertices from Q in G^2 to B . In addition, B gets exactly one corresponding vertex from $V(G) - (A_0 \cup Q)$ for every other G^j , $j \geq 3$, until all vertices are used. Clearly, B is an independent set of $G(k)$ and $k = |V(G)| - |A_0| - |Q| + 2$ when $|B| = n$. By Theorem 3.3, we get $\Gamma_\rho(G) \geq |V(G)| - |A_0| - |Q| + 2 = |V(G)| - m(G) + 2$.

Suppose now contrary that $\Gamma_\rho(G) > n - m(G) + 2$ and let V_1, \dots, V_t , $t = \Gamma_\rho(G)$, be color classes of a greedy packing t -coloring. Let B_i be vertices of G^i that correspond to V_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and let $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_t$. Now, V_1 is a maximal independent set of G and V_2 a maximal independent set of $G^2 - B_1$ by Lemma 3.2. If $V_2 = \{x\}$, then either x is not a diametrical vertex of G , or if it is, then all vertices that form a diametrical pair with x belong to V_1 . If $|V_2| > 1$, then all the vertices

from V_2 form pairwise diametrical pairs in G . Moreover, V_2 forms a maximal clique in $D(G) - V_1$. If V_2 is not a maximal clique of minimal cardinality of $D(G) - V_1$, then we get a contradiction with a greedy packing t -coloring by replacing V_2 with a smaller maximal clique in $D(G) - V_1$. Thus, we have two sets V_1 and V_2 that fulfills conditions of $m(G)$; however, $|V_1| + |V_2| < m(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, the equality holds and the proof is completed. \square

The last theorem cannot be improved, as demonstrated by the family $K_{n,n} - M$, $n \geq 3$, where $M = \{u_i v_i : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ is a perfect matching. Notice that C_6 and Q_3 belong to this family for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, respectively. There are two types of maximal independent sets in $K_{n,n} - M$. The first one is $A_1 = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the second one is $A_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for $x \in \{u, v\}$. A maximal clique of minimum cardinality of $D((K_{n,n} - M)) - A_1 = (n - 1)K_2$ is K_2 and of $D((K_{n,n} - M)) - A_2 = nK_1$ is K_1 . Hence, $m(K_{n,n}) = 4$ for $n \geq 3$ and

$$\Gamma_\rho(K_{n,n} - M) = 2n - 2 \quad (3)$$

follows by Theorem 5.3. Besides, notice that for $n > 3$ there is no singleton in $D(K_{n,n} - M) - A_1$. Therefore, a simpler general result cannot be obtained for diameter three graphs. Nevertheless, this is possible for many diameter three graphs whenever there exists a singleton in $D(G) - A$, where A is an $i(G)$ -set. In such a case, $m(G) = i(G) + 1$ and the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.3:

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be a graph with $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. If there exists an $i(G)$ -set A with a singleton in $D(G) - A$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) = n - i(G) + 1$.*

We can demonstrate the use of Corollary 5.4 on split graphs $S_{p,r}$ of diameter three. Recall that $S_{p,r}$ is a *split graph* (on $p + r$ vertices) if there exists a partition of $V(S_{p,r})$ into a clique Q on p vertices and into an independent set I on r vertices. If $\text{diam}(S_{p,r}) = 3$, then there exists no universal vertex and $p, r \geq 2$. Notice that $S_{p,r}$ can have diameter two, even if there are no universal vertices in $S_{p,r}$. There always exists a vertex v of maximal degree that belongs to Q . It is easy to observe that $A = V(S_{p,r}) - N(v)$ forms an $i(S_{p,r})$ -set of cardinality $p + r - \Delta(S_{p,r})$. Moreover, $u \in Q - \{v\}$ is a singleton in $D(S_{p,r}) - A$ and the following result is a direct consequence of Corollary 5.4:

Corollary 5.5. *If $S_{p,r}$ is a split graph with $\text{diam}(S_{p,r}) = 3$, then $\Gamma_\rho(S_{p,r}) = \Delta(S_{p,r}) + 1$.*

Now we briefly shift to the packing chromatic number. Namely, there is no counterpart of Theorem 5.3 for $\chi_\rho(G)$ found in the literature. Although symmetric in nature and proved similarly, we present it here for completeness. Recall that $\omega(G)$ is the clique number of a graph G , which is the maximum cardinality of a complete subgraph of G .

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a graph and let \mathcal{I} be the set of all maximal independent sets of G . If $\text{diam}(G) = 3$, then $\chi_\rho(G) = |V(G)| - m'(G) + 2$, where*

$$m'(G) = \max_{A \in \mathcal{I}} \{|A| + \omega(D(G) - A)\}.$$

Proof. Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| = n$ and $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $m'(G) = |A_0| + |Q|$ where Q is a maximum clique of $D(G) - A_0$. Notice that not all vertices of G belong to A_0 since $\text{diam}(G) = 3$. Therefore $D(G) - A_0$ contains some vertices and $m'(G)$ is well defined. We construct $B \subseteq V(G)$ as follows. All the vertices from G^1 that correspond to vertices of A_0 in G are put in B . Similar we put corresponding vertices from Q in G^2 to B . In addition B gets exactly one corresponding vertex from $V(G) - (A_0 \cup Q)$ for every other G^j , $j \geq 3$, until all vertices are used.

Clearly, B is an independent set of $G(k)$ and $k = |V(G)| - |A_0| - |Q| + 2$ when $|B| = n$. By (2) we get $\chi_\rho(G) \leq |V(G)| - |A_0| - |Q| + 2 = |V(G)| - m'(G) + 2$.

Now suppose to the contrary that $\chi_\rho(G) < n - m'(G) + 2$ and let V_1, \dots, V_t , $t = \chi_\rho(G)$, be color classes of a greedy packing t -coloring. Let B_i be vertices of G^i that correspond to V_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and let $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_t$. After applying DMP on B , we obtain a potentially modified set B with additional properties, namely that $B \cap G^t \neq \emptyset$, because otherwise it would contradict Equation (2). Furthermore, vertices of $A_1 \subset V(G)$ that correspond to vertices of $B \cap G^1$ form a maximal independent set of G . Moreover, vertices of $A_2 \subset V(G)$ that correspond to vertices of $B \cap G^2$ form a maximal independent set of $G - A_1$. Since G is diameter three graph, A_2 form a maximal clique of $D(G) - A_1$. If $|A_2| \neq \omega(D(G) - A_1)$, then we get a contradiction with a packing $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ -coloring by replacing A_2 with a maximum clique of $D(G) - A_1$. Thus, we have two sets A_1 and A_2 that fulfills conditions of $m'(G)$; however, $|A_1| + |A_2| \geq |V_1| + |V_2| > m'(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, the equality holds and the proof is completed. \square

On the other hand, there is no analogue of Corollary 5.4 for $\chi_\rho(G)$. To illustrate this, consider the graph G shown of Figure 2. The unique $\alpha(G)$ -set A_1 of G (all degree two vertices and the one in the middle) contains all the diametrical pairs and $D(G) - A_1 = 6K_1$. Hence, we get $|A_1| + \omega(D(G) - A_1) = 5$. The maximal independent set A_2 that consists of three black vertices (see graph G of Figure 2) is not an $\alpha(G)$ -set of G . In this case, $\omega(D(G) - A_2) = 3$ and $m'(G) = 6$ is achieved by a maximal independent set that is not an $\alpha(G)$ -set.

6 Concluding Remarks and Discussion

A natural relation between packing and Grundy packing chromatic number is the following:

$$\chi_\rho(G) \leq \Gamma_\rho(G)$$

This raises the natural question of when equality holds and when the difference can be arbitrarily large. It appears that several simple graph families exist where the difference can indeed be arbitrarily large. First, such a family forms stars $S_n = K_{n-1,1}$. We have $\Gamma_\rho(S_n) = n$ by Corollary 5.2 and it is easy to see that $\chi_\rho(S_n) = 2$. Thus, we have a family of graphs where the difference $\Gamma_\rho(S_n) - \chi_\rho(S_n) = n - 2$ tends to infinity as n grows.

Another family represents graphs $K_{n,n} - M$ for $n \geq 4$ and a perfect matching M . Recall that $\Gamma_\rho(G_n) = 2n - 2$ by (3). From another perspective, we have $\alpha(G_n) = n$, meaning that when we choose such an independent set, all diametrical pairs have exactly one representative in this set. By Equation (2), we get $\chi_\rho(G) = n + 1$. So, again $\Gamma_\rho(G_n) - \chi_\rho(G_n) = n - 3$ tends to infinity when n grows. The next result is now clear.

Proposition 6.1. *For an arbitrary positive integer k , there exists a graph G such that $\Gamma_\rho(G) - \chi_\rho(G) \geq k$.*

A natural family where the equality between $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ and $\chi_\rho(G)$ holds are complete graphs. Besides, we do not expect this equality to hold for many graphs. If we restrict ourselves to diameter two graphs, then by (1) and Proposition 5.1, $\alpha(G) = i(G)$ holds. A graph is said to be *well-covered* if all its (inclusion-)maximal independent sets have the same size, that is when $\alpha(G) = i(G)$. Well-covered graphs were introduced by Plummer in 1970 [35] and have been studied extensively in the literature (see, e.g., the survey papers [36, 24]). Whence the next result and following general problem.

Corollary 6.2. *If G is well-covered graph with $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $\Gamma_\rho(G) = \chi_\rho(G)$.*

Problem 6.3. *Describe all graphs for which $\Gamma_\rho(G) = \chi_\rho(G)$ holds. In particular, does this equality hold for all well-covered graphs?*

For diameter three graphs, we pose two natural problems. The first one is with respect to Corollary 5.4, where we are interested in all graphs for which the equality from Corollary 5.4 holds. To this end, we call a graph G *diametrical* if every vertex of G is a diametrical vertex.

Problem 6.4. *Describe all diameter three graphs for which $\Gamma_\rho(G) = |V(G)| - i(G) + 1$ holds. In particular, does any diametrical graph satisfy the equality and are there any exceptions to the equality besides diametrical graphs?*

The second problem we pose is motivated by the example described after Theorem 5.6, where $\chi_\rho(G)$ -coloring does not result in the vertices of color one forming an $\alpha(G)$ -set. We have not found any example where the vertices of color one do not form an $i(G)$ -set in a $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ -coloring.

Problem 6.5. *Describe all diameter-three graphs where the vertices of color one do not form an $i(G)$ -set for any $\Gamma_\rho(G)$ -coloring.*

We conclude with $\Gamma_\rho(P_k)$. This is a first step into the area of infinite lattices, which have been extensively studied for the packing chromatic number as mentioned in the introduction. Clearly, $\Gamma_\rho(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ is not finite, since $\chi_\rho(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ is not finite (see [18]). However, the questions concerning $\Gamma_\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, $\Gamma_\rho(\mathcal{H})$ for a hexagonal lattice \mathcal{H} , and $\Gamma_\rho(P_k \square \mathbb{Z})$, where \square denotes the Cartesian product, remain open. We refrain from making predictions regarding the finiteness of the Grundy packing chromatic number for the aforementioned (and other) infinite lattices. We begin with a path, where $\Gamma_\rho(P_k)$ is bounded, extending from the following result for finite paths to a (two-way) infinite path:

Theorem 6.6. *For an integer $k \geq 29$, we have $\Gamma_\rho(P_k) = 7$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that the following coloring is indeed a greedy packing 7-coloring of P_{29} :

$$2, 1, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 3, 2, 1, 7, 1, 4, 2, 1, 3, 6, 1, 2, 3, 1, 5, 2, 1, 4, 3, 1, 2.$$

Thus, $7 \leq \Gamma_\rho(P_k)$ for $k \geq 29$.

For the other inequality $\Gamma_\rho(P_k) \leq 7$, we assume that $\Gamma_\rho(P_k) > 7$ for some k and let $c = (V_1, \dots, V_{\Gamma_\rho(P_k)})$ be a greedy packing $\Gamma_\rho(P_k)$ -coloring of P_k . First notice that two consecutive vertices cannot be colored with two consecutive colors that are both greater than 4. Suppose to the contrary that colors $i \geq 5$ and $i+1$ are on two consecutive vertices u and v , respectively. It is straightforward to observe that four vertices preceding u and following v must receive colors 1, 2, 3, 1. However, then u and v have no vertex of color 4 at distance at most 4 from them, a contradiction with c being a greedy packing $\Gamma_\rho(P_k)$ -coloring. Since $\Gamma_\rho(P_k) > 7$, there exists a vertex v with $c(v) = 8$. Let x_i and y_i be vertices at distance i from v for any possible i . We may assume that x_i precedes v and y_i follows v . We may assume that all the colors 1, \dots , 7 must appear on vertices $x_1, \dots, x_7, y_1, \dots, y_6$ and let P be a x_7, y_6 -subpath of P_k . We separate three cases with respect to the positions of vertices of color 1 that are adjacent to v . In all three cases we will obtain a contradiction, which shows that $\Gamma_\rho(P_k) \leq 7$ as well.

Case 1. $c(y_1) = 1 \neq c(x_1)$. In this case, $c(x_2) = 1$ and the $i(P_k)$ -set that contains y_1 and x_2 poses also y_4, y_7, x_5, x_8 . Optimal positions for color two are vertices x_1, x_6, y_3, y_8 to dominate P to obtain a maximal independent set of $G^2 - V_1$. Now, $P^3 - (V_1 \cup V_2)$ is a path $x_7 x_4 x_3 v y_2 y_5 y_6$ and at least two vertices of them must have color 3 for a maximal independent set (when x_7 or y_6 has a

vertex of color 3 at distance at most 3 outside of P). Therefore, exactly four vertices remain for colors 4, 5, 6, 7. Clearly, $c(x_7) = 7$ and $c(y_6) = 6$. Moreover, two consecutive vertices cannot have colors 5 and 6, which yields $c(y_5) \neq 5$ and $c(y_5) \neq 4$ because $d(v, y_5) = 5$. Hence, $c(y_5) = 3$ and consequently $c(x_3) = 3$. If $c(y_2) = 4$, then $c(x_4) = 5$, a contradiction because x_4 has no vertex of color 4 at distance at most 4. Similarly, if $c(x_4) = 4$, then $c(y_2) = 5$, a contradiction since y_2 has no vertex of color 4 at distance at most 4. So, the coloring is not optimal for the first two color classes (we have more vertices of color 1 or 2 than needed). Nevertheless, now we have more vertices of color 1 or 2 and we are left with only three vertices for the remaining four colors 4, 5, 6, 7, which is again not possible.

Case 2. $c(x_1) = 1 \neq c(y_1)$. We have $c(y_2) = 1$ and in optimal case $c(y_5) = 1$ and either $c(y_7) = 1$ or $c(y_8) = 1$. Besides, we have at least two vertices of color 1 among x_i , $I \in \{3, \dots, 7\}$. Hence, we have at least five vertices of color 1 on P . Now, $P^2 - V_1 = P_9$ and there are at least three vertices in V_2 . Further, $P^3 - (V_1 \cup V_2) = P_6$ and there are at least two vertices of P in V_3 . With this, only three vertices remain for four colors 4, 5, 6, 7, which is not possible. If the coloring is not optimal, then we have even less vertices for colors 4, 5, 6, 7, a contradiction.

Case 3. $c(y_1) = 1 = c(x_1)$. In optimal case $c(y_4) = 1 = c(y_7)$ and there are two vertices of color 1 among x_i , $I \in \{3, \dots, 7\}$. So, at least five vertices of color 1 are on P . Now, $P^2 - V_1 = P_9$ and there are at least three vertices in V_2 . Furthermore, $P^3 - (V_1 \cup V_2)$ contains six vertices which induce P_6 or $P_5 \cup K_1$, and there are at least two vertices of P in V_3 . With this, only three vertices remain for four colors 4, 5, 6, 7, which is not possible. If the coloring is not optimal, then we have even less vertices for colors 4, 5, 6, 7, a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] G. Argiroffo, G. Nasini, G Torres, *The packing coloring problem for $(q, q - 4)$ graphs*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 7422, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, 309–319.
- [2] J. Araujo, C. Linhares Sales, *On the Grundy number of graphs with few P_4 's*, Discrete Appl. Math. 160 (2012), 2514–2522.
- [3] M. Asté, F. Havet, C. Linhares-Sales, *Grundy number and products of graphs*, Discrete Math. 310 (2010), 1482–1490.
- [4] J. Balogh, A. Kostochka, X. Liu, *Packing chromatic number of cubic graphs*, Discrete Math. 341 (2018), 474–483.
- [5] Ez-Z. Bidine, T. Gadi, M. Kchikech, *The exponential growth of the packing chromatic number of iterated Mycielskians*, Discrete Appl. Math. 341 (2023), 232–241.
- [6] É. Bonnet, F. Foucaud, E.J. Kim, F. Sikora, *Complexity of Grundy coloring and its variants*, Discrete Appl. Math. 243 (2018), 99–114.
- [7] D. Božović, I. Peterin, *A note on the packing chromatic number of lexicographic products*, Discrete Appl. Math. 293 (2021), 34–37.
- [8] B. Brešar, Cs. Bujtás, T. Gologranc, S. Klavžar, G. Košmrlj, B. Patkós, Zs. Tuza, M. Vizer, *Grundy dominating sequences and zero forcing sets*, Discrete Optim. 26 (2017), 66–77.
- [9] B. Brešar, J. Ferme, *Graphs that are critical for the packing chromatic number*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 42 (2022), 569–589.

- [10] B. Brešar, J. Ferme, S. Klavžar, D.F. Rall, *A survey on packing colorings*, Discuss. math. Graph theory 40 (2020), 923–970.
- [11] B. Brešar, S. Klavžar, D.F. Rall, *On the packing chromatic number of Cartesian products, hexagonal lattice, and trees*. Discrete Appl. Math. 155 (2007), 2303–2311.
- [12] B. Brešar, S. Klavžar, D.F. Rall, *Packing chromatic number of base-3 Sierpiński graphs*, Graphs Combin. 32 (2016), 1313–1327.
- [13] V. Campos, A Gyárfás, F. Havet, C. Linhares-Sales, F. Maffray, *New bounds on the Grundy number of products of graphs*, J. Graph Theory 71 (2012), 78–88.
- [14] N.E. Clarke, S. Finbow, S. Fitzpatrick, M.-E. Messinger, R. Milley, R.J. Nowakowski, *A note on the Grundy number and graph products*, Discrete Appl. Math. 202 (2016), 1–7.
- [15] P. Erdős, W.R. Hare, S.T. Hedetniemi, R. Laskar, *On the equality of the Grundy and chromatic numbers of a graph*, J. Graph Theory 11 (1987), 157–159.
- [16] J. Fiala, P.A. Golovach, *Complexity of the packing coloring problem for trees*, Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010), 771–7789.
- [17] J. Fiala, S. Klavžar, B. Lidický, *The packing chromatic number of infinite product graphs*. European J. Combin. 30 (2009), 1101–1113.
- [18] A. Finbow, D.F. Rall, *On the packing chromatic number of some lattices*. Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010), 1224–1228.
- [19] N. Gastineau, P. Holub, O. Togni, *On the packing chromatic number of subcubic outerplanar graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 255 (2019), 209–221.
- [20] N. Gastineau, H. Kheddouci, O. Togni, *Subdivision into i -packings and S -packing chromatic number of some lattices*, Ars Math. Contemp. 9 (2015), 331–354.
- [21] W. Goddard, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, J.M. Harris, D.F. Rall, *Broadcast chromatic numbers of graphs*, Ars Combin. 86 (2008), 33–49.
- [22] W. Goddard, M.A. Henning, *Independent domination in graphs: A survey and recent results*, Discrete Math. 313 (2013), 839–854.
- [23] P.M. Grundy, *Mathematics and games*, Eureka 2 (1939), 6–8.
- [24] B.L. Hartnell, D.F. Rall, *On graphs having maximal independent sets of exactly t distinct cardinalities*, Graphs Combin. 29(3) (2013), 519–525.
- [25] F. Havet, L. Sampaio, *On the Grundy and b -chromatic numbers of a graph*, Algorithmica 65 (2013), 885–899.
- [26] Y. Jacobs, E. Jonck, E.J. Joubert, *A lower bound for the packing chromatic number of the Cartesian product of cycles*. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11 (2013), 1344–1357.
- [27] A. Khaleghi, M. Zaker, *Bounds for the Grundy chromatic number of graphs in terms of domination number*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 29 (2022), 193–206.

- [28] D. Korže, Ž. Markuš, A. Vesel, *A heuristic approach for searching (d, n) -packing colorings of infinite lattices*, Discrete Appl. Math. 257 (2019), 353–358.
- [29] D. Korže, A. Vesel, *On the packing chromatic number of square and hexagonal lattice*. Ars Math. Contemp. 7 (2014), 13–22.
- [30] D. Korže, A. Vesel, *Packing coloring of generalized Sierpiński graphs*, Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 21 (2019), 1–18.
- [31] Z. Masih, M. Zaker, *On Grundy and b -chromatic number of some families of graphs: a comparative study*, Graphs Combin. 37 (2021), 605–620.
- [32] Z. Masih, M. Zaker, *Some comparative results concerning the Grundy and b -chromatic number of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 306 (2022), 1–6.
- [33] Z. Masih, M. Zaker, *A comparison of the Grundy and b -chromatic number of $K_{2,t}$ -free graphs*, Graphs Combin. 39 (2023), Paper No. 16.
- [34] G.L. Nasini, D. Severin, P. Torres, *On the packing chromatic number on Hamming graphs and general graphs*, Simpósio Bras. Pesqui. Oper. (2015), 4226–4231.
- [35] M.D. Plummer, *Some covering concepts in graphs*, Journal Combin. Theory 8(1) (1970), 91–98.
- [36] M.D. Plummer, *Well-covered graphs: a survey*, Quaestiones Math. 16(3) (1993), 253–287.
- [37] M. Zaker, *Inequalities for the Grundy chromatic number of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 155 (2007), 2567–2572.